

PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST
Form N-CSRS
December 28, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number: (811- 07626)

Exact name of registrant as specified in charter: Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust

Address of principal executive offices: One Post Office Square, Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Name and address of agent for service: Beth S. Mazor, Vice President
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Copy to: John W. Gerstmayr, Esq.
Ropes & Gray LLP
One International Place
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (617) 292-1000

Date of fiscal year end: April 30, 2007

Date of reporting period: May 1, 2006 - October 31, 2006

Item 1. Report to Stockholders:

The following is a copy of the report transmitted to stockholders pursuant to Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940:

What makes Putnam different?

In 1830, Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court Justice Samuel Putnam established The Prudent Man Rule, a legal foundation for responsible money management.

THE PRUDENT MAN RULE

All that can be required of a trustee to invest is that he shall conduct himself faithfully and exercise a sound discretion. He is to observe how men of prudence, discretion, and intelligence manage their own affairs, not in regard to speculation, but in regard to the permanent disposition of their funds, considering the probable income, as well as the probable safety of the capital to be invested.

A time-honored tradition in money management

Since 1937, our values have been rooted in a profound sense of responsibility for the money entrusted to us.

A prudent approach to investing

We use a research-driven team approach to seek consistent, dependable, superior investment results over time, although there is no guarantee a fund will meet its objectives.

Funds for every investment goal

We offer a broad range of mutual funds and other financial products so investors and their financial representatives can build diversified portfolios.

A commitment to doing what's right for investors

We have stringent investor protections and provide a wealth of information about the Putnam funds.

Industry-leading service

We help investors, along with their financial representatives, make informed investment decisions with confidence.

Putnam Municipal

Opportunities

Trust

10|31|06

Semiannual Report

Message from the Trustees	2
About the fund	4
Report from the fund managers	7
Performance	13

Your fund's management	16
Terms and definitions	19
Trustee approval of management contract	20
Other information for shareholders	25
Financial statements	26
Shareholder meeting results	49

Cover photograph: © Richard H. Johnson

Message from the Trustees

Dear Fellow Shareholder

Beginning in May 2006, leading economic indicators began to point toward slower growth and sparked a correction that undercut much of the market advance achieved in previous months. However, once the Federal Reserve (the Fed) halted its series of interest-rate increases in August, the combination of continued strong corporate profits and a fall in energy and commodity prices contributed to a more favorable market environment. In addition, U.S. export growth is currently strong, thanks to robust economic growth abroad. Growth in exports, combined with the effects of lower energy and commodity prices and recent stock market gains, may offset the economic impact of the housing sector's continuing slowdown. This may set the stage for stronger domestic economic growth in 2007, which would bode well for markets going forward.

We would like to take this opportunity to announce that a new independent Trustee, Kenneth R. Leibler, has joined your fund's Board of Trustees. Mr. Leibler has had a distinguished career as a leader in the investment management industry. He is the founding Chairman of the Boston Options Exchange, the nation's newest electronic marketplace for the trading of derivative securities. He currently serves as a Trustee of Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital in Boston; a lead director of Ruder Finn Group, a global communications and advertising firm; and a director of the Optimum Funds group.

We would also like to announce the retirement of one of your fund's Trustees, John Mullin, an independent Trustee of the Putnam funds since 1997. We thank him for his service.

2

In the following pages, members of your fund's management team discuss the fund's performance and strategies for the fiscal period ended October 31, 2006, and provide their outlook for the months ahead. As always, we thank you for your support of the Putnam funds.

Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust: potential for

high current income exempt from federal income tax

One of the most significant challenges of fixed-income investing is taxes on income. Investing in municipal bonds through a fund such as Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust can help address this challenge. While the stated yields on municipal bonds are usually lower than those of taxable bonds, the income most of these bonds pay has the advantage of being exempt from federal tax.

Municipal bonds are typically issued by states and local municipalities to raise funds for building and maintaining public facilities. The bonds are backed by either the issuing city or town, by revenues collected from usage fees, or by state tax revenues. Depending on the type of backing, the bonds will have varying degrees of credit risk, which is the risk that the issuer will not be able to repay the bond.

Many municipal bonds are not rated by independent rating agencies such as Standard & Poor's and Moody's. This is primarily because many issuers decide not to pursue a rating that might be below investment grade. As a result, investment managers must do additional research to determine whether these bonds are prudent investments.

Evaluating a bond's credit risk is one area in which Putnam has particular expertise. Putnam's municipal bond research team analyzes each issue in depth and assigns non-rated bonds an agency-equivalent Putnam rating. This analysis helps the team identify bonds with attractive risk/return profiles among the large number of bonds not rated by agencies.

Once the fund has invested in a bond, the fund's management team continues to monitor developments that affect the overall bond market, the specific sector, and the issuer of the bond. Typically, higher-risk, lower-rated bonds are reviewed more frequently because of their greater potential risk.

The goal of the team's research and active management is to stay a step ahead of the industry and pinpoint opportunities to adjust the fund's holdings for the benefit of the fund and its shareholders.

Capital gains, if any, are taxable for federal and, in most cases, state purposes. For some investors, investment income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Income from federally exempt funds may be subject to state and local taxes. Please consult with your tax advisor for more information. Mutual funds that invest in bonds are subject to certain risks, including interest-rate risk, credit risk, and inflation risk. As interest rates rise, the prices of bonds fall. Long-term bonds are more exposed to interest-rate risk than short-term bonds. Unlike bonds, bond funds have ongoing fees and expenses. The fund uses leverage, which involves risk and may increase the volatility of the fund's net asset value. The fund's shares trade on a stock exchange at market prices, which may be higher or lower than the fund's net asset value.

How do closed-end funds differ from open-end funds?

More assets at work While open-end funds need to maintain a cash position to meet redemptions, closed-end funds are not subject to redemptions and can keep more of their assets invested in the market.

Traded like stocks Closed-end fund shares are traded on stock exchanges, and their market prices fluctuate in response to supply and demand, among other factors.

Market price vs. net asset value Like an open-end fund's net asset value (NAV) per share, the NAV of a closed-end fund share equals the current value of the fund's assets, minus its liabilities, divided by the number of shares outstanding. However, when buying or selling closed-end fund shares, the price you pay or receive is the market price. Market price reflects current market supply and demand and may be higher or lower than the NAV.

Strategies for higher income Closed-end funds have greater flexibility to use strategies such as "leverage" for example, issuing preferred shares to raise capital, then seeking to invest it at higher rates to enhance return for common shareholders.

Municipal bonds may finance a range of projects in your community and thus play a key role in its development.

Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust is a leveraged fund that seeks to provide as high a level of current income free from federal income tax as Putnam Management believes is consistent with the preservation of capital. The fund invests in investment-grade and some below-investment-grade municipal bonds. The fund may be appropriate for investors seeking tax-free income who are willing to accept a moderate degree of risk, including risk associated with the use of leverage.

Highlights

For the six months ended October 31, 2006, Putnam Municipal Opportunities Trust returned 5.96% at net asset value (NAV) and 3.41% at market price.

The fund's benchmark, the Lehman Municipal Bond Index, returned 4.12% .

The average return for the fund's Lipper category, General Municipal Debt Funds (leveraged closed-end), was 5.66% .

Additional fund performance, comparative performance, and Lipper data can be found in the performance section beginning on page 13.

Performance

It is important to note that a fund's performance at market price usually differs from its results at NAV. Although market price performance generally reflects investment results, it may also be influenced by several other factors, including changes in investor perceptions of the fund or its investment manager, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for the fund's shares, and changes in fund distributions.

Total return for periods ended 10/31/06

Since the fund's inception (5/28/93), average annual return is 6.61% at NAV and 5.16% at market price.

	Average annual return		Cumulative return	
	NAV	Market price	NAV	Market price
10 years	6.54%	5.17%	88.35%	65.57%
5 years	6.63	4.10	37.82	22.25
3 years	7.42	3.80	23.95	11.85
1 year	8.50	8.41	8.50	8.41
6 months	□	□	5.96	3.41

Data is historical. Past performance does not guarantee future results. More recent returns may be less or more than those shown. Investment return, net asset value, and market price will fluctuate, and you may have a gain or a loss when you sell your shares. Performance assumes reinvestment of distributions and does not account for taxes.

6

Report from the fund managers

The period in review

The use of leverage and solid security selection, especially among lower-rated bonds, enabled your fund to surpass both the performance of its benchmark and the average for its Lipper peer group during the fiscal period ended October 31, 2006, based on results at NAV. In keeping with our ongoing efforts to prudently balance risk with return, we opted to limit the fund's exposure to a sector carrying substantial credit risk — airline-related industrial development bonds (IDBs). Although this sector strengthened significantly during the period, the fund's weighting in other strong-performing market sectors, such as single-family housing bonds and securities issued by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, more than made up for any missed opportunity and proved the effectiveness of our diversification strategies.

Market overview

Following a string of 17 increases in the federal funds rate — including two that occurred during the reporting period — the Fed suspended its credit-tightening program in August, opting to hold the benchmark rate for overnight loans between banks steady at 5.25%. Statements from the Federal Open Market Committee, the Fed's policy-setting panel, indicate that future rate increases are possible but will depend on whether the Fed concludes that its two-year campaign to keep inflation in check has been successful.

As the market anticipated the Fed's rate-tightening pause, bond yields declined across the maturity spectrum during the period, resulting in an impressive rally, especially among long-term bonds. In fact, because yields on longer-term bonds declined more than yields on shorter-term bonds, the yield curve — a graphical representation of differences in yield for bonds of comparable quality plotted from the shortest to the longest maturity — flattened. Tax-exempt bonds continued to outperform comparable Treasury bonds, especially among long-term maturities.

A generally robust economy, coupled with solid demand from buyers searching for higher yields, contributed to the strong relative performance of lower-rated bonds. Among uninsured

7

bonds in general and especially bonds rated Baa and below, yield spreads tightened as lower-rated bonds performed better than higher-rated bonds. Non-rated bonds also rallied.

Market sectors that performed particularly well during the period included airline-related IDBs; securities issued by hospitals, utilities, and long-term care facilities; and land-secured bonds. Tobacco settlement bonds, meanwhile, underperformed other credit-sensitive sectors modestly, but still outperformed higher-rated bonds. Limited issuance of tobacco settlement-related securities, coupled with strong investor demand, provided solid supply-and-demand support for the sector.

Strategy overview

The fund's use of leverage (borrowing at short-term interest rates and purchasing longer-term securities with the proceeds) contributed positively to performance relative to the benchmark. However, the fund's higher overall credit quality compared to others in its peer group held back performance, as the lower-quality tiers of the municipal bond market delivered the strongest results during the period. Although we continue to seek opportunities among lower-rated bonds that meet our credit standards, we believe valuations at the lower-quality tiers of the market have become stretched and intend to continue favoring higher-quality bonds over the near term.

Market sector performance

These indexes provide an overview of performance in different market sectors for the six months ended 10/31/06.

Bonds

Lehman Municipal Bond Index (tax-exempt bonds)	4.12%
Lehman Aggregate Bond Index (broad bond market)	4.60%
Lehman Government Bond Index (U.S. Treasury and agency securities)	4.39%
JPMorgan Global High Yield Index (global high-yield corporate bonds)	4.67%

Equities

S&P 500 Index (broad stock market)	6.11%
Russell 1000 Growth Index (large-company growth stocks)	3.53%
Russell 1000 Value Index (large-company value stocks)	7.61%

8

Your fund's holdings

Improving credit markets helped the performance of below-investment-grade bonds across many sectors during the period. Although tobacco settlement bonds did not perform as strongly as those from other below-investment-grade sectors, the fund's overweight position in the sector still contributed positively to returns. Payments from tobacco settlement bonds are secured by income promised to various states through settlements negotiated by tobacco companies. The fund's portfolio includes tobacco settlement bonds from a variety of states, including those issued by **Badger Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation** (Wisconsin), **NY Counties Tobacco Trust III** (New York), **SC Tobacco Settlement Revenue Management** (South Carolina), and **Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation** (California).

The fund's investments in Puerto Rico municipal bonds also contributed to returns. At the beginning of the period, the portfolio had an underweight position in these bonds relative to the peer group. This proved helpful as general obligation bonds issued by Puerto Rico were downgraded in May due to financial turmoil that led to a partial government shutdown. Prices of uninsured Puerto Rico bonds declined, providing us with an opportunity to increase the fund's holdings at favorable price levels. Among our additions to the portfolio were bonds

Comparison of the fund's maturity and duration

This chart compares changes in the fund's average effective maturity (a weighted average of the holdings' maturities) and its average effective duration (a measure of its sensitivity to interest-rate changes).

Average effective duration and average effective maturity take into account put and call features, where applicable, and reflect prepayments for mortgage-backed securities. Duration is usually shorter than maturity because it reflects interest payments on a bond prior to its maturity. Duration may be longer for funds that use leverage, which magnifies the effects of interest-rate changes.

9

issued by the **Commonwealth of Puerto Rico Government Development Bank**. During the last few months of the period, Puerto Rico bonds rallied nicely.

We continued to increase the fund's holdings in the single-family housing sector during the period. For example, we purchased AAA-rated bonds issued by the **Texas State Department of Housing and Community Affairs**. Many of the other single-family housing bonds in the portfolio are longer-term holdings that were purchased at attractive prices after the bonds fell out of favor. Those prices reflected a long period of underperformance when interest rates were trending downward and refinancing activity was on the rise. When refinancing activity accelerates and existing mortgages are paid off early, the securities underlying those mortgages are retired at faster-than-expected rates, causing performance to weaken across the entire mortgage-backed securities sector. However, when interest rates reversed course late in calendar 2005, mortgage prepayment rates slowed, causing single-family housing bonds to outperform. The sector continued to perform well right through the first half of the fund's 2006 fiscal period.

Given our view that the credit risk among **airline-related IDBs** continues to be high — most bonds in this sector carry ratings from B to CCC, which are substantially below investment grade —

Credit quality overview

Credit qualities shown as a percentage of portfolio value as of 10/31/06. A bond rated Baa or higher is considered investment grade. The chart reflects Moody's ratings; percentages may include bonds not rated by Moody's but considered by Putnam Management to be of comparable quality. Ratings will vary over time.

10

the fund maintained an underweight position in the sector relative to its peer group. Despite high volatility, airline-related IDBs posted strong results during the period. Airlines have benefited from stronger demand for air travel, better passenger load factors, and lower fuel costs. The fund's airline-related holdings benefited from these trends, but its modest exposure limited the extent of the resulting appreciation and ultimately detracted from relative performance. As noted earlier, however, the strength of holdings from other sectors of the market nevertheless enabled the fund to deliver competitive results for the period.

Please note that the holdings discussed in this report may not have been held by the fund for the entire period. Portfolio composition is subject to review in accordance with the fund's investment strategy and may vary in the future.

Of special interest

Fund's dividend reduced

Given the narrowing of the yield spread between higher- and lower-rated municipal bonds, the opportunities for finding relatively high-yielding investments have become more limited, especially in light of our commitment to focusing on sound, creditworthy investments. Furthermore, the use of leverage became less profitable for the fund during the fiscal period when the spread between short- and long-term yields narrowed. To reflect the reduction in earnings, the dividend was reduced in May 2006 from \$0.0562 to \$0.0479 per share.

11

The outlook for your fund

The following commentary reflects anticipated developments that could affect your fund over the next six months, as well as your management team's plans for responding to them.

It appears that the Fed has left the door open for future rate increases as it continues its efforts to engineer a "soft landing" for the economy. A soft landing occurs when economic growth slows but is still solid enough to sustain job creation and corporate profits. Therefore, while we anticipate that economic growth is likely to slow as we move into 2007, we plan to maintain a defensive duration strategy until longer-range Fed policy becomes clearer. In addition, given the municipal bond market's exceptionally strong performance relative to Treasuries throughout the period, valuations have become elevated to levels that, we believe, argue in favor of taking a defensive approach over the near term.

In our view, the extended rally among lower-rated, higher-yielding bonds may be in its final stages. We base this view, in part, on the fact that the difference in yield between Aaa-rated bonds and Baa-rated bonds — the highest and lowest investment-grade ratings, respectively — is at its narrowest point since 1999. In other words, the higher-income advantage available to those willing to assume additional credit risk has diminished substantially.

Among sectors, we remain positive on tobacco settlement bonds and currently plan to maintain an allocation to the sector, as we believe that the bonds continue to offer an attractive risk/reward profile. We are also maintaining the fund's exposure to the single-family housing sector, and will look to add to these holdings as opportunities arise.

The views expressed in this report are exclusively those of Putnam Management. They are not meant as investment advice.

Capital gains, if any, are taxable for federal and, in most cases, state purposes. For some investors, investment income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Income from federally exempt funds may be subject to state and local taxes. Please consult with your tax advisor for more information. Mutual funds that invest in bonds are subject to certain risks, including interest-rate risk, credit risk, and inflation risk. As interest rates rise, the prices of bonds fall. Long-term bonds are more exposed to interest-rate risk than short-term bonds. Unlike bonds, bond funds have ongoing fees and expenses. The fund uses leverage, which involves risk and may increase the volatility of the fund's net asset value. The fund's shares trade on a stock exchange at market prices, which may be higher or lower than the fund's net asset value.

12

Your fund's performance

This section shows your fund's performance for periods ended October 31, 2006, the end of the first half of its current fiscal year. In accordance with regulatory requirements for mutual funds, we also include performance as of the most recent calendar quarter-end. Performance should always be considered in light of a fund's investment strategy. Data represents past performance. Past performance does not guarantee future results. More recent returns may be less or more than those shown. Investment return, net asset value, and market price will fluctuate, and you may have a gain or a loss when you sell your shares.

Fund performance

Total return for periods ended 10/31/06

	NAV	Market price	Lehman Municipal Bond Index	Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (leveraged closed-end) category average*
Annual average Life of fund (since 5/28/93)	6.61%	5.16%	5.90%	6.50%
10 years	88.35	65.57	76.60	90.06
Annual average	6.54	5.17	5.85	6.63
5 years	37.82	22.25	27.94	40.40
Annual average	6.63	4.10	5.05	7.00
3 years	23.95	11.85	14.97	24.66
Annual average	7.42	3.80	4.76	7.60
1 year	8.50	8.41	5.75	8.42
6 months	5.96	3.41	4.12	5.66

Performance assumes reinvestment of distributions and does not account for taxes.

Index and Lipper results should be compared to fund performance at net asset value. Lipper calculations for reinvested dividends may differ from actual performance.

** Over the 6-month and 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-year periods ended 10/31/06, there were 56, 56, 56, 49, and 39 funds, respectively, in this Lipper category.*

13

Fund price and distribution information

For the six-month period ended 10/31/06

Distributions □ common shares*

Number	6
Income ¹	\$0.2874

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Capital gains ²	□		
Total	\$0.2874		
Distributions □ preferred shares*	Series A (800 shares)	Series B (1,620 shares)	Series C (1,620 shares)
Income ¹	\$912.36	\$441.97	\$443.40
Capital gains ²	□	□	□
Total	\$912.36	\$441.97	\$443.40
Share value:	NAV		Market price
4/30/06	\$12.85		\$11.68
10/31/06	13.28		11.78
Current yield (end of period)			
Current dividend rate ³	4.33%		4.88%
Taxable equivalent ⁴	6.66		7.51

* Dividend sources are estimated and may vary based on final tax calculations after the fund's fiscal year-end.

¹ For some investors, investment income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Income from federally exempt funds may be subject to state and local taxes.

² Capital gains, if any, are taxable for federal and, in most cases, state purposes.

³ Most recent distribution, excluding capital gains, annualized and divided by NAV or market price at end of period.

⁴ Assumes maximum 35% federal tax rate for 2006. Results for investors subject to lower tax rates would not be as advantageous.

14

Fund performance as of most recent calendar quarter

Total return for periods ended 9/30/06

	NAV	Market price
Annual average		
Life of fund (since 5/28/93)	6.58%	5.07%

10 years	89.07	65.53
Annual average	6.58	5.17
5 years	38.42	21.07
Annual average	6.72	3.90
3 years	22.42	9.14
Annual average	6.98	2.96
1 year	6.45	3.86
6 months	4.83	1.65

15

Your fund's management

Your fund is managed by the members of the Putnam Tax Exempt Fixed-Income Team. James St. John is the Portfolio Leader, and Paul Drury, Brad Libby, Susan McCormack, and Thalia Meehan are Portfolio Members of your fund. The Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members coordinate the team's management of the fund.

For a complete listing of the members of the Putnam Tax Exempt Fixed-Income Team, including those who are not Portfolio Leaders or Portfolio Members of your fund, visit Putnam's Individual Investor Web site at www.putnam.com.

Investment team fund ownership

The table below shows how much the fund's current Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members have invested in the fund and in all Putnam mutual funds (in dollar ranges). Information shown is as of October 31, 2006, and October 31, 2005.

N/A indicates the individual was not a Portfolio Leader or Portfolio Member as of 10/31/05.

Trustee and Putnam employee fund ownership

As of October 31, 2006, all of the Trustees on the Board of the Putnam funds owned fund shares. The table below shows the approximate value of investments in the fund and all Putnam funds as of that date by the Trustees and Putnam employees. These amounts include investments by the Trustees' and employees' immediate family members and investments through retirement and deferred compensation plans.

	Assets in the fund	Total assets in all Putnam funds
Trustees	\$34,000	\$ 92,000,000
Putnam employees	\$ 3,000	\$427,000,000

Fund manager compensation

The total 2005 fund manager compensation that is attributable to your fund is approximately \$150,000. This amount includes a portion of 2005 compensation paid by Putnam Management to the fund managers listed in this section for their portfolio management responsibilities, calculated based on the fund assets they manage taken as a percentage of the total assets they manage. The compensation amount also includes a portion of the 2005 compensation paid to the Chief Investment Officer of the team and the Group Chief Investment Officer of the fund's broader investment category for their oversight responsibilities, calculated based on the fund assets they oversee taken as a percentage of the total assets they oversee. This amount does not include compensation of other personnel involved in research, trading, administration, systems, compliance, or fund operations; nor does it include non-compensation costs. These percentages are determined as of the fund's fiscal period-end. For personnel who joined Putnam Management during or after 2005, the calculation reflects annualized 2005 compensation or an estimate of 2006 compensation, as applicable.

Other Putnam funds managed by the Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members

James St. John is the Portfolio Leader and Paul Drury, Brad Libby, Susan McCormack, and Thalia Meehan are Portfolio Members of Putnam's open-end tax-exempt funds for the following states: Arizona, California, Florida, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. The same group also manages Putnam AMT-Free Insured Municipal Fund, Putnam California Investment Grade Municipal Trust, Putnam Investment Grade Municipal Trust, Putnam Municipal Bond Fund, Putnam New York Investment Grade Municipal Trust, and Putnam Tax Exempt Income Fund.

Paul Drury is the Portfolio Leader and Brad Libby, Susan McCormack, Thalia Meehan, and James St. John are Portfolio Members of Putnam High Yield Municipal Trust, Putnam Managed Municipal Income Trust, Putnam Tax-Free Health Care Fund, and Putnam Tax-Free High Yield Fund.

James St. John, Paul Drury, Brad Libby, Susan McCormack, and Thalia Meehan may also manage other accounts and variable trust funds advised by Putnam Management or an affiliate.

Changes in your fund's Portfolio Leader and Portfolio Members

During the year ended October 31, 2006, Portfolio Member James St. John became Portfolio Leader and Brad Libby and Thalia Meehan became Portfolio Members of your fund. These changes followed the departure of Portfolio Leader David Hamlin from your fund's management team.

Putnam fund ownership by Putnam's Executive Board

The table below shows how much the members of Putnam's Executive Board have invested in all Putnam mutual funds (in dollar ranges). Information shown is as of October 31, 2006, and October 31, 2005.

	\$1	\$10,001	\$50,001	\$100,001	\$500,001	\$1,000,001
Year	\$0	\$10,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000 and over

Philippe Bibi

2006

□

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

<i>Chief Technology Officer</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Joshua Brooks	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Deputy Head of Investments</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
William Connolly	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Head of Retail Management</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kevin Cronin	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Head of Investments</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Charles Haldeman, Jr.	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>President and CEO</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Amrit Kanwal	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Steven Krichmar	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Chief of Operations</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Francis McNamara, III	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>General Counsel</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jeffrey Peters	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Head of International Business</i>	N/A	
Richard Robie, III	2006	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Chief Administrative Officer</i>	2005	<input type="checkbox"/>

Edward Shadek	2006	□
<hr/>		
Deputy Head of Investments	2005	□
<hr/>		
Sandra Whiston	2006	□
<hr/>		
Head of Institutional Management	2005	□
<hr/>		

N/A indicates the individual was not a member of Putnam's Executive Board as of 10/31/05.

18

Terms and definitions

Important terms

Total return shows how the value of the fund's shares changed over time, assuming you held the shares through the entire period and reinvested all distributions in the fund.

Net asset value (NAV) is the value of all your fund's assets, minus any liabilities and the net assets allocated to any outstanding preferred shares, divided by the number of outstanding common shares.

Market price is the current trading price of one share of the fund. Market prices are set by transactions between buyers and sellers on exchanges such as the New York Stock Exchange and the American Stock Exchange.

Comparative indexes

JPMorgan Global High Yield Index is an unmanaged index of global high-yield fixed-income securities.

Lehman Aggregate Bond Index is an unmanaged index of U.S. investment-grade fixed-income securities.

Lehman Government Bond Index is an unmanaged index of U.S. Treasury and agency securities.

Lehman Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of long-term fixed-rate investment-grade tax-exempt bonds.

Russell 1000 Growth Index is an unmanaged index of those companies in the large-cap Russell 1000 Index chosen for their growth orientation.

Russell 1000 Value Index is an unmanaged index of those companies in the large-cap Russell 1000 Index chosen for their value orientation.

S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of common stock performance.

Indexes assume reinvestment of all distributions and do not account for fees. Securities and performance of a fund and an index will differ. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Lipper is a third-party industry-ranking entity that ranks mutual funds. Its rankings do not reflect sales charges. Lipper rankings are based on total return at net asset value relative to other funds that have similar current investment styles or objectives as determined by Lipper. Lipper may change a fund's category assignment at its discretion. Lipper category averages reflect performance trends for funds within a category.

19

Trustee approval of management contract

General conclusions

The Board of Trustees of the Putnam funds oversees the management of each fund and, as required by law, determines annually whether to approve the continuance of your fund's management contract and administrative services contract with Putnam Management. In this regard, the Board of Trustees, with the assistance of its Contract Committee consisting solely of Trustees who are not "interested persons" (as such term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) of the Putnam funds (the "Independent Trustees"), requests and evaluates all information it deems reasonably necessary under the circumstances. Over the course of several months ending in June 2006, the Contract Committee met four times to consider the information provided by Putnam Management and other information developed with the assistance of the Board's independent counsel and independent staff. The Contract Committee reviewed and discussed key aspects of this information with all of the Independent Trustees. Upon completion of this review, the Contract Committee recommended, and the Independent Trustees approved, the continuance of your fund's management contract and administrative services contract, effective July 1, 2006.

This approval was based on the following conclusions:

That the fee schedule in effect for your fund (which includes fees paid under the administrative services contract) represents reasonable compensation in light of the nature and quality of the services being provided to the fund, the fees paid by competitive funds and the costs incurred by Putnam Management in providing such services, and

That such fee schedule represents an appropriate sharing between fund shareholders and Putnam Management of such economies of scale as may exist in the management of the fund at current asset levels.

These conclusions were based on a comprehensive consideration of all information provided to the Trustees and were not the result of any single factor. Some of the factors that figured particularly in the Trustees' deliberations and how the Trustees considered these factors are described below, although individual Trustees may have evaluated the information presented differently, giving different weights to various factors. It is also important to recognize that the fee arrangements for your fund and the other Putnam funds are the result of many years of review and discussion between the Independent Trustees and Putnam Management, that certain aspects of such arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than others, and that the Trustees' conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of these same arrangements in prior years.

20

Management fee schedules and categories; total expenses

The Trustees reviewed the management (and administrative services, if applicable) fee schedules in effect for all Putnam funds, including fee levels and breakpoints, and the assignment of funds to particular fee categories. In reviewing fees and expenses, the Trustees generally focused their attention on material changes in circumstances — for example, changes in a fund's size or investment style, changes in Putnam Management's operating costs, or changes in competitive practices in the mutual fund industry — that suggest that consideration of fee changes might be warranted. The Trustees concluded that the circumstances did not warrant changes to the management and administrative services fee structure of your fund, which had been carefully developed over the years, re-examined on many occasions and adjusted where appropriate. The Trustees focused on two areas of particular interest, as

discussed further below:

Competitiveness. The Trustees reviewed comparative fee and expense information for competitive funds, which indicated that, in a custom peer group of competitive funds selected by Lipper Inc., your fund ranked in the 75th percentile in management fees and in the 63rd percentile in total expenses as of December 31, 2005 (the first percentile being the least expensive funds and the 100th percentile being the most expensive funds). The Trustees expressed their intention to monitor this information closely to ensure that fees and expenses of your fund continue to meet evolving competitive standards.

Economies of scale. In recent years, the Trustees have examined the operation of the existing breakpoint structure during periods of both growth and decline in asset levels. (A "breakpoint" is a reduction in fee rates that applies to additional assets once specified asset levels are reached.) The Trustees concluded that the fee schedules in effect for the funds represented an appropriate sharing of economies of scale at current asset levels. In reaching this conclusion, the Trustees considered the Contract Committee's stated intent to continue to work with Putnam Management to plan for an eventual resumption in the growth of assets, including a study of potential economies that might be produced under various growth assumptions.

In connection with their review of the management fees and total expenses of the Putnam funds, the Trustees also reviewed the costs of the services to be provided and profits to be realized by Putnam Management and its affiliates from the relationship with the funds. This information included trends in revenues, expenses and profitability of Putnam Management and its affiliates relating to the investment management and distribution services provided to the funds. In this regard, the Trustees also reviewed an analysis of Putnam Management's revenues, expenses and profitability with respect to the funds' management contracts, allocated on a fund-by-fund basis. Because many of the costs incurred by Putnam Management in managing the funds are not readily identifiable to particular funds, the Trustees observed that the methodology for allocating costs is an important factor in evaluating Putnam Management's costs and profitability, both as to the Putnam funds in the aggregate and as to individual funds. The Trustees reviewed Putnam Management's cost allocation methodology with the assistance of independent consultants and concluded that this methodology was reasonable and well-considered.

21

Investment performance

The quality of the investment process provided by Putnam Management represented a major factor in the Trustees' evaluation of the quality of services provided by Putnam Management under your fund's management contract. The Trustees were assisted in their review of the Putnam funds' investment process and performance by the work of the Investment Process Committee of the Trustees and the Investment Oversight Committee of the Trustees, which meet on a regular monthly basis with the funds' portfolio teams throughout the year. The Trustees concluded that Putnam Management generally provides a high-quality investment process — as measured by the experience and skills of the individuals assigned to the management of fund portfolios, the resources made available to such personnel, and in general the ability of Putnam Management to attract and retain high-quality personnel — but also recognize that this does not guarantee favorable investment results for every fund in every time period. The Trustees considered the investment performance of each fund over multiple time periods and considered information comparing each fund's performance with various benchmarks and with the performance of competitive funds.

The Trustees noted the satisfactory investment performance of many Putnam funds. They also noted the disappointing investment performance of certain funds in recent years and discussed with senior management of Putnam Management the factors contributing to such underperformance and actions being taken to improve performance. The Trustees recognized that, in recent years, Putnam Management has made significant changes in its investment personnel and processes and in the fund product line to address areas of underperformance. In particular, they noted the important contributions of Putnam Management's leadership in attracting, retaining and supporting high-quality investment professionals and in systematically implementing an investment process that seeks to merge the best features of fundamental and quantitative analysis. The Trustees indicated their intention to continue to monitor performance trends to assess the effectiveness of these changes and to evaluate whether additional changes to address areas of underperformance are warranted.

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

In the case of your fund, the Trustees considered that your fund's common share cumulative total return performance at net asset value was in the following percentiles of its Lipper Inc. peer group (Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (leveraged closed-end)) (compared using tax-adjusted performance to recognize the different federal income tax treatment for capital gains distributions and exempt-interest distributions) for the one-, three- and five-year periods ended March 31, 2006 (the first percentile being the best performing funds and the 100th percentile being the worst performing funds):

One-year period	Three-year period	Five-year period
75th	49th	42nd

22

(Because of the passage of time, these performance results may differ from the performance results for more recent periods shown elsewhere in this report. Over the one-, three- and five-year periods ended March 31, 2006, there were 61, 58, and 46 funds, respectively, in your fund's Lipper peer group.* Past performance is no guarantee of future performance.)

As a general matter, the Trustees concluded that cooperative efforts between the Trustees and Putnam Management represent the most effective way to address investment performance problems. The Trustees noted that investors in the Putnam funds have, in effect, placed their trust in the Putnam organization, under the oversight of the fund's Trustees, to make appropriate decisions regarding the management of the funds. Based on the responsiveness of Putnam Management in the recent past to Trustee concerns about investment performance, the Trustees concluded that it is preferable to seek change within Putnam Management to address performance shortcomings. In the Trustees' view, the alternative of terminating a management contract and engaging a new investment adviser for an underperforming fund would entail significant disruptions and would not provide any greater assurance of improved investment performance.

Brokerage and soft-dollar allocations; other benefits

The Trustees considered various potential benefits that Putnam Management may receive in connection with the services it provides under the management contract with your fund. These include benefits related to brokerage and soft-dollar allocations, whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research services that may be useful to Putnam Management in managing the assets of the fund and of other clients. The Trustees indicated their continued intent to monitor the potential benefits associated with the allocation of fund brokerage to ensure that the principle of seeking "best price and execution" remains paramount in the portfolio trading process.

The Trustees' annual review of your fund's management contract also included the review of your fund's custodian and investor servicing agreements with Putnam Fiduciary Trust Company, which provide benefits to affiliates of Putnam Management.

** The percentile rankings for your fund's common share annualized total return performance in the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (leveraged closed-end) category for the one-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2006, were 64%, 76%, and 63%, respectively. Over the one-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2006, the fund ranked 36 out of 56, 37 out of 48, and 25 out of 39 funds, respectively. Unlike the information above, these rankings reflect performance before taxes. Note that this more recent information was not available when the Trustees approved the continuance of your fund's management contract.*

23

Comparison of retail and institutional fee schedules

The information examined by the Trustees as part of their annual contract review has included for many years information regarding fees charged by Putnam Management and its affiliates to institutional clients such as defined

benefit pension plans, college endowments, etc. This information included comparison of such fees with fees charged to the funds, as well as a detailed assessment of the differences in the services provided to these two types of clients. The Trustees observed, in this regard, that the differences in fee rates between institutional clients and the mutual funds are by no means uniform when examined by individual asset sectors, suggesting that differences in the pricing of investment management services to these types of clients reflect to a substantial degree historical competitive forces operating in separate market places. The Trustees considered the fact that fee rates across all asset sectors are higher on average for mutual funds than for institutional clients, as well as the differences between the services that Putnam Management provides to the Putnam funds and those that it provides to institutional clients of the firm, but did not rely on such comparisons to any significant extent in concluding that the management fees paid by your fund are reasonable.

24

Other information for shareholders

Important notice regarding share repurchase program

In September 2006, the Trustees of your fund approved an extension of the current share repurchase program being implemented by Putnam Investments on behalf of your fund. The plan, as extended, allows your fund to repurchase, in the 24 months ending October 6, 2007, up to 10% of the common shares outstanding as of October 7, 2005.

Important notice regarding delivery of shareholder documents

In accordance with SEC regulations, Putnam sends a single copy of annual and semiannual shareholder reports, prospectuses, and proxy statements to Putnam shareholders who share the same address, unless a shareholder requests otherwise. If you prefer to receive your own copy of these documents, please call Putnam at 1-800-225-1581, and Putnam will begin sending individual copies within 30 days.

Proxy voting

Putnam is committed to managing our mutual funds in the best interests of our shareholders. The Putnam funds' proxy voting guidelines and procedures, as well as information regarding how your fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the 12-month period ended June 30, 2006, are available on the Putnam Individual Investor Web site, www.putnam.com/individual, and on the SEC's Web site, www.sec.gov. If you have questions about finding forms on the SEC's Web site, you may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You may also obtain the Putnam funds' proxy voting guidelines and procedures at no charge by calling Putnam's Shareholder Services at 1-800-225-1581.

Fund portfolio holdings

The fund will file a complete schedule of its portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. Shareholders may obtain the fund's Forms N-Q on the SEC's Web site at www.sec.gov. In addition, the fund's Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. You may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for information about the SEC's Web site or the operation of the Public Reference Room.

25

Financial statements

A guide to financial statements

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

These sections of the report, as well as the accompanying Notes, constitute the fund's financial statements.

The fund's portfolio lists all the fund's investments and their values as of the last day of the reporting period. Holdings are organized by asset type and industry sector, country, or state to show areas of concentration and diversification.

Statement of assets and liabilities shows how the fund's net assets and share price are determined. All investment and noninvestment assets are added together. Any unpaid expenses and other liabilities are subtracted from this total. The result is divided by the number of shares to determine the net asset value per share. (For funds with preferred shares, the amount subtracted from total assets includes the liquidation preference of preferred shares.)

Statement of operations shows the fund's net investment gain or loss. This is done by first adding up all the fund's earnings — from dividends and interest income — and subtracting its operating expenses to determine net investment income (or loss). Then, any net gain or loss the fund realized on the sales of its holdings — as well as any unrealized gains or losses over the period — is added to or subtracted from the net investment result to determine the fund's net gain or loss for the fiscal period.

Statement of changes in net assets shows how the fund's net assets were affected by the fund's net investment gain or loss, by distributions to shareholders, and by changes in the number of the fund's shares. It lists distributions and their sources (net investment income or realized capital gains) over the current reporting period and the most recent fiscal year-end. The distributions listed here may not match the sources listed in the Statement of operations because the distributions are determined on a tax basis and may be paid in a different period from the one in which they were earned. Dividend sources are estimated at the time of declaration. Actual results may vary. Any non-taxable return of capital cannot be determined until final tax calculations are completed after the end of the fund's fiscal year.

Financial highlights provide an overview of the fund's investment results, per-share distributions, expense ratios, net investment income ratios, and portfolio turnover in one summary table, reflecting the five most recent reporting periods. In a semiannual report, the highlight table also includes the current reporting period.

26

The fund's portfolio 03/31/06 (Unaudited)

Key to abbreviations

AMBAC AMBAC Indemnity Corporation	FSA Financial Security Assurance
COP Certificate of Participation	GNMA Coll. Government National Mortgage
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	Association Collateralized
FHA Insd. Federal Housing Administration Insured	G.O. Bonds General Obligation Bonds
FHLMC Coll. Federal Home Loan Mortgage	IFB Inverse Floating Rate Bonds
Corporation Collateralized	MBIA MBIA Insurance Company
FNMA Coll. Federal National Mortgage	PSFG Permanent School Fund Guaranteed
Association Collateralized	U.S. Govt. Coll. U.S. Government Collateralized
FRN Floating Rate Notes	XLCA XL Capital Assurance

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)*

	Rating **	Principal amount	Value
Alabama (0.2%)			
Sylacauga, Hlth. Care Auth. Rev. Bonds			

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

(Coosa Valley Med. Ctr.), Ser. A, 6s, 8/1/25 B/P \$ 400,000 \$ 419,640

Arizona (1.6%)

AZ Hlth. Fac. Auth. Hosp. Syst. Rev. Bonds (John C. Lincoln Hlth. Network), 6 3/8s, 12/1/37 (Prerefunded)	BBB	750,000	866,055
Casa Grande, Indl. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Casa Grande Regl. Med. Ctr.), Ser. A, 7 5/8s, 12/1/29	BBB/P	950,000	1,059,782
Cochise Cnty., Indl. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Sierra Vista Cmnty. Hosp.), Ser. A, 6 3/4s, 12/1/26	BB+/P	465,000	475,077
Pima Cnty., Indl. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Horizon Cmnty. Learning Ctr.), 5.05s, 6/1/25	BBB	500,000	494,045
Queen Creek, Special Assmt. (Dist. No. 1), 5s, 1/1/26	Baa2	350,000	359,023
			3,253,982

Arkansas (1.5%)

Independence Cnty., Poll. Control Rev. Bonds (Entergy, AR Inc.), 5s, 1/1/21	A	1,000,000	1,025,470
Jefferson Cnty., Poll. Control Rev. Bonds (Entergy AR, Inc.), 4.6s, 10/1/17	A	200,000	203,146
Northwest Regl. Arpt. Auth. Rev. Bonds, 7 5/8s, 2/1/27 (Prerefunded)	BB/P	1,000,000	1,068,370
Springdale, Sales & Use Tax Rev. Bonds, FSA, 4.05s, 7/1/26	Aaa	500,000	499,305
Washington Cnty., Hosp. Rev. Bonds (Regl. Med. Ctr.), Ser. B, 5s, 2/1/25	Baa2	250,000	258,863
			3,055,154

27

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)* *continued*

	Rating **	Principal amount	Value
California (27.6%)			
CA Edl. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (U. of the Pacific), 5s, 11/1/21	A2	\$ 450,000	\$ 476,046
CA State G.O. Bonds 5 1/8s, 4/1/23	A+	500,000	532,780
5.1s, 2/1/34	A+	750,000	767,655
5s, 5/1/22	A1	4,000,000	4,253,080
CA State Dept. of Wtr. Resources Rev.			

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Bonds, Ser. A			
6s, 5/1/15 (Prerefunded)	Aaa	2,000,000	2,268,780
AMBAC, 5 1/2s, 5/1/16 (Prerefunded)	Aaa	2,000,000	2,219,120
CA State Econ. Recvy. G.O. Bonds, Ser. A, 5s, 7/1/16	AA+	1,000,000	1,060,420
CA State Pub. Wks. Board Rev. Bonds (Dept. of Hlth. Svcs. Richmond Laboratory), Ser. B, XLCA, 5s, 11/1/22	Aaa	1,810,000	1,930,112
CA Statewide Cmnty., Dev. Auth. COP (The Internext Group), 5 3/8s, 4/1/30	BBB	1,750,000	1,783,233
CA Statewide Cmnty., Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Huntington Memorial Hosp.), 5s, 7/1/21	A+	250,000	265,128
Cathedral City, Impt. Board Act of 1915 Special Assmt. Bonds (Cove Impt. Dist.), Ser. 04-02, 5.05s, 9/2/35	BB+/P	250,000	254,923
Chula Vista COP, MBIA, 5s, 8/1/32	Aaa	4,000,000	4,197,240
Chula Vista, Indl. Dev. Rev. Bonds (San Diego Gas), Ser. B, 5s, 12/1/27	A1	575,000	603,946
Gilroy, Rev. Bonds (Bonfante Gardens Park), 8s, 11/1/25	B/P	576,000	524,984
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp. Rev. Bonds, Ser. 03 A-1, 5s, 6/1/21	BBB	625,000	627,738
Metro. Wtr. Dist. FRN (Southern CA Wtr. Works), 3.085s, 8/10/18	AA+	3,000,000	3,000,000
Metro. Wtr. Dist. IFB (Southern CA Wtr. Works), 8.088s, 8/10/18	AA+	3,000,000	3,950,820
Roseville, Special Tax (Fiddymont Ranch Cmnty. Fac), Ser. 1, 5s, 9/1/20	BB/P	200,000	202,934
Roseville, Cmnty. Fac. Special Tax (Dist. No. 1 □ Westpark), 5 1/4s, 9/1/25	BB/P	875,000	901,731
Sacramento, Special Tax (North Natomas Cmnty. Fac.), Ser. 97-01, 5s, 9/1/29	BB/P	1,190,000	1,210,742
Sacramento, City Unified School Dist. G.O. Bonds (Election 1999), Ser. D, FSA, 5s, 7/1/28	Aaa	2,000,000	2,105,080
San Bernardino Cnty., COP (Med. Ctr. Fin.), Ser. A, MBIA, 6 1/2s, 8/1/17	Aaa	5,000,000	5,905,400
San Diego Cnty., COP, AMBAC 5 5/8s, 9/1/12	Aaa	6,000,000	6,357,060
5 1/2s, 9/1/07	AAA	6,000,000	6,103,140

28

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)* *continued*

Rating **	Principal amount	Value
-----------	------------------	-------

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

California *continued*

San Jose, Redev. Agcy. Tax Alloc. Bonds (Merged Area Redev. Project), MBIA, 5s, 8/1/32	Aaa	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,609,100
Sunnyvale, Cmnty. Fac. Dist. Special Tax Rev. Bonds, 7.65s, 8/1/21	BB□/P	745,000	824,082
Vallejo, COP (Marine World Foundation), 7.2s, 2/1/26	BBB□/P	1,300,000	1,334,437
			56,269,711

Colorado (0.5%)

CO Hlth. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Christian Living Cmnty.), Ser. A, 5 3/4s, 1/1/26	BB□/P	100,000	104,275
(Evangelical Lutheran), 5 1/4s, 6/1/21	A3	535,000	574,103
(Evangelical Lutheran), 5 1/4s, 6/1/17	A3	340,000	369,019
			1,047,397

Delaware (0.8%)

GMAC Muni. Mtge. Trust 144A sub. notes, Ser. A1-2, 4.9s, 10/31/39	A3	1,500,000	1,554,180
--	----	-----------	-----------

District of Columbia (9.2%)

DC G.O. Bonds, Ser. A, 6s, 6/1/26 (Prerefunded)	A+	12,450,000	12,873,798
DC Wtr. & Swr. Auth. Pub. Util. Rev. Bonds, FGIC, 5s, 10/1/28	Aaa	5,550,000	5,823,948
			18,697,746

Florida (4.2%)

FL Hsg. Fin. Corp. Rev. Bonds (Homeowner Mtge.), Ser. 5, 5s, 7/1/34	Aa1	860,000	877,587
Halifax, Hosp. Med. Ctr. Rev. Bonds, Ser. A, 5 1/4s, 6/1/20	BBB+	2,000,000	2,134,860
Lee Cnty., Indl. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Alliance Cmnty.), Ser. C, 5 1/2s, 11/15/29	BBB□	1,000,000	1,026,190
Miami Beach, Hlth. Fac. Auth. Hosp. Rev. Bonds (Mount Sinai Med. Ctr.), Ser. A, 6.8s, 11/15/31	BB+	500,000	553,270
Reunion West, Cmnty. Dev. Dist. Special Assmt. Bonds, 6 1/4s, 5/1/36	BB□/P	800,000	849,272
St. Lucie Cnty., School Board COP (Master Lease), Ser. A, FSA, 5s, 7/1/26	Aaa	1,965,000	2,081,485
Tern Bay, Cmnty. Dev. Dist. Special Assmt.			

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Bonds, Ser. B, 5s, 5/1/15 Tolomato, Cmnty. Dev. Dist. Special Assmt.	BB□/P	400,000	409,392
Bonds, 5.4s, 5/1/37 Wentworth Estates, Cmnty. Dev. Dist.	BB□/P	150,000	152,667
Special Assmt. Bonds, Ser. B, 5 1/8s, 11/1/12	BB□/P	400,000	407,244
			8,491,967

29

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)* *continued*

	Rating **	Principal amount	Value
Georgia (5.1%)			
Atlanta, Arpt. Rev. Bonds, Ser. B, FGIC, 5 5/8s, 1/1/30	Aaa	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,170,610
Atlanta, Wtr. & Waste Wtr. Rev. Bonds, FSA, 5s, 11/1/24	Aaa	4,000,000	4,260,120
Effingham Cnty., Indl. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Pacific Corp.), 6 1/2s, 6/1/31	B2	900,000	953,055
Rockdale Cnty., Dev. Auth. Solid Waste Disp. Rev. Bonds (Visay Paper, Inc.), 7.4s, 1/1/16	B+/P	1,075,000	1,076,795
Savannah, Econ. Dev. Auth. Poll. Control Rev. Bonds (Intl. Paper Co.), Ser. A, 5.1s, 8/1/14	BBB	1,000,000	1,059,590
			10,520,170

Hawaii (0.2%)			
HI State Hsg. Fin. & Dev. Corp. Rev. Bonds, Ser. A, FNMA Coll., 5 3/4s, 7/1/30	Aaa	375,000	375,611

Idaho (0.3%)			
ID Hsg. & Fin. Assn. Rev. Bonds (Single Fam. Mtge.), Ser. C-2, FHA Insd., 5.15s, 7/1/29	Aaa	625,000	632,963

Illinois (5.0%)			
IL Hsg. Dev. Auth. Multi-Fam. Hsg. Rev. Bonds, Ser. 91-A, 8 1/4s, 7/1/16	A1	5,790,000	5,997,514
IL State Toll Hwy. Auth. Rev. Bonds, Ser. A-1, FSA, 5s, 1/1/23	Aaa	2,250,000	2,419,380
Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Auth. Rev. Bonds (McCormack Place Expansion			

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Project), MBIA, 5s, 12/15/28	Aaa	1,770,000	1,849,933
			10,266,827

Indiana (6.9%)

Carmel Clay, Indl. Parks Bldg. Corp. Rev. Bonds, MBIA, 5s, 1/15/26	AAA	2,000,000	2,121,300
Fairfield, School Bldg. Corp. Ind. Rev. Bonds, FGIC, 5s, 7/15/24	AAA	3,000,000	3,170,520
GCS School Bldg. Corp. Rev. Bonds (First Mtg.), FSA, 5s, 7/15/26	AAA	1,000,000	1,053,530
IN Hlth. Fac. Fin. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Cmnty. Hosp.), Ser. A, AMBAC, 5s, 5/1/24	Aaa	2,695,000	2,851,633
IN State Dev. Fin. Auth. Env. Impt. Rev. Bonds (USX Corp.), 5.6s, 12/1/32	Baa1	2,100,000	2,194,185
Indianapolis, Arpt. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Federal Express Corp.), 5.1s, 1/15/17	Baa2	2,500,000	2,663,575
			14,054,743

30

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)* *continued*

	Rating **	Principal amount	Value
Iowa (1.1%)			
IA Fin. Auth. Hlth. Care Fac. Rev. Bonds (Care Initiatives), 9 1/4s, 7/1/25 (Prerefunded)	AAA	\$ 860,000	\$ 1,072,721
IA State Higher Ed. Loan Auth. Rev. Bonds 5s, 10/1/22	BBB□/F	605,000	625,679
Ser. A, 5s, 10/1/21	BBB□/F	605,000	626,405
			2,324,805

Kansas (0.3%)

Lawrence, Hosp. Rev. Bonds (Lawrence Memorial Hosp.), 5 1/4s, 7/1/21	A3	250,000	269,830
Salina, Hosp. Rev. Bonds (Salina Regl. Hlth.), 5s, 10/1/16	A1	300,000	323,568
			593,398

Louisiana (0.7%)

LA Local Govt. Env. Fac. Cmnty. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (St. James Place), Ser. A, 7s, 11/1/20	B□/P	950,000	966,340
LA Pub. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Pennington			

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Med. Foundation), 5s, 7/1/16	A3	350,000	371,210
			1,337,550

Maine (0.3%)

Rumford, Solid Waste Disp. Rev. Bonds (Boise Cascade Corp.), 6 7/8s, 10/1/26	Ba3	600,000	655,590
---	-----	---------	---------

Massachusetts (2.7%)

MA State Hlth. & Edl. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (UMass Memorial), Ser. C, 6 1/2s, 7/1/21	Baa2	1,875,000	2,064,038
(Berkshire Hlth. Syst.), Ser. E, 6 1/4s, 10/1/31	BBB+	1,300,000	1,398,332
(Hlth. Care Syst. Covenant Hlth.), Ser. E, 6s, 7/1/31	A	1,800,000	1,972,116
			5,434,486

Michigan (5.9%)

Detroit, G.O. Bonds, Ser. A, FGIC, 5s, 7/1/30	Aaa	4,500,000	4,673,880
MI Higher Ed. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Kalamazoo College), 5 1/2s, 12/1/18	A1	500,000	540,655
MI State Hosp. Fin. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Oakwood Hosp.), Ser. A, 5 3/4s, 4/1/32	A2	1,000,000	1,070,050
Ser. A, 5s, 4/15/26	A1	1,575,000	1,648,631
MI State Hsg. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds, Ser. A, 3.9s, 6/1/30	AA+	1,200,000	1,197,408
MI State Strategic Fund, Ltd. Rev. Bonds (Worthington Armstrong Venture), U.S. Govt. Coll., 5 3/4s, 10/1/22 (Prerefunded)	AAA/P	1,650,000	1,902,879
Midland Cnty., Econ. Dev. Corp. Rev. Bonds, 6 3/4s, 7/23/09	B	1,000,000	1,025,230
			12,058,733

31

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)* *continued*

	Rating **	Principal amount	Value
Minnesota (2.3%)			
Cohasset, Poll. Control Rev. Bonds (Alleto, Inc.), 4.95s, 7/1/22	A	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 3,088,440
MN State Higher Ed. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (U. of St. Thomas), Ser. 6-I, 5s, 4/1/23	A2	500,000	533,215
MN State Hsg. Fin. Agcy. Rev. Bonds (Res.			

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Hsg.), Ser. B, 5s, 7/1/34	AA+	625,000	645,375
St. Paul, Hsg. & Redev. Auth. Hosp. Rev.			
Bonds (Hlth. East), 6s, 11/15/25	Baa3	450,000	498,303
			4,765,333

Mississippi (1.1%)

MS Bus. Fin. Corp. Poll. Control Rev.			
Bonds (Syst. Energy Resources, Inc.)			
5.9s, 5/1/22	BBB□	1,000,000	1,004,920
5 7/8s, 4/1/22	BBB□	580,000	582,448
MS Home Corp. Rev. Bonds (Single Fam.			
Mtge.), Ser. B-2, GNMA Coll., FNMA Coll.,			
6.45s, 12/1/33	Aaa	585,000	608,657
			2,196,025

Missouri (2.9%)

Cape Girardeau Cnty., Indl. Dev. Auth.			
Hlth. Care Fac. Rev. Bonds (St. Francis			
Med. Ctr.), Ser. A, 5 1/2s, 6/1/16	A+	1,750,000	1,896,230
MO State Hlth. & Edl. Fac. Auth. Rev.			
Bonds (Washington U.), Ser. A, 5s, 2/15/33	AAA	2,500,000	2,619,150
MO State Hsg. Dev. Comm. Mtge. Rev. Bonds			
(Single Fam. Homeowner Loan)			
Ser. C-1, GNMA Coll., FNMA Coll.,			
7.15s, 3/1/32	AAA	680,000	693,668
Ser. C, GNMA Coll., FNMA Coll.,			
5.6s, 9/1/35	AAA	575,000	611,064
			5,820,112

Nevada (5.1%)

Clark Cnty., G.O. Bonds (Pk. & Regl.			
Justice Ctr.), FGIC, 5 5/8s, 11/1/19			
(Prerefunded)	Aaa	3,505,000	3,712,952
Clark Cnty., Arpt. Rev. Bonds, Ser. A-2,			
FGIC, 5 1/8s, 7/1/26	Aaa	5,000,000	5,340,550
Clark Cnty., Impt. Dist. Special Assmt.			
Bonds (Summerlin No. 151), 5s, 8/1/25	BB/P	700,000	723,800
Henderson, Local Impt. Dist. Special Assmt.			
(No. T-16), 5 1/8s, 3/1/25	BB□/P	200,000	204,916
(No. T-16), 5.1s, 3/1/21	BB□/P	310,000	318,289
(No. T-17), 5s, 9/1/25	BB/P	175,000	178,603
			10,479,110

Edgar Filing: PUTNAM MUNICIPAL OPPORTUNITIES TRUST - Form N-CSRS

MUNICIPAL BONDS AND NOTES (157.5%)* *continued*

	Rating **	Principal amount	Value
New Hampshire (1.8%)			
NH Higher Edl. & Hlth. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Riverwoods at Exeter), Ser. A,			
6 1/2s, 3/1/23	BB+/P	\$ 1,450,000	\$ 1,483,249
Ser. 05, 6 3/8s, 1/1/27	BBB□	765,000	781,639
Ser. 05, 6 3/8s, 1/1/27 (Prerefunded)	BBB□	270,000	276,558
(NH College), 6 3/8s, 1/1/27 (Prerefunded)	BBB□	215,000	220,222
NH State Bus. Fin. Auth. Poll. Control Rev. Bonds, 3 1/2s, 7/1/27	Baa2	950,000	932,862
			3,694,530
New Jersey (8.1%)			
Casino Reinvestment Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds, Ser. A, MBIA, 5 1/4s, 6/1/18			
	Aaa	1,150,000	1,264,874
Newark, Hsg. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Port Auth. Newark Marine Terminal), MBIA,			
5 1/4s, 1/1/20	AAA	1,000,000	1,088,150
NJ Econ. Dev. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Cigarette Tax), 5 3/4s, 6/15/29			
	Baa2	1,500,000	1,618,695
(Motor Vehicle), Ser. A, MBIA, 5s, 7/1/27	Aaa	2,000,000	2,121,260
NJ State Rev. Bonds (Trans. Syst.), Ser. C, AMBAC, zero %, 12/15/24			
	Aaa	4,200,000	1,950,102
NJ State Edl. Fac. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Rowan U.), Ser. C, MBIA, 5s, 7/1/23			
	Aaa	1,840,000	1,962,783
Passaic Cnty., Impt. Auth. Lease Rev. Bonds (Preakness Hlth. Care Ctr.), AMBAC			
5s, 5/1/25	Aaa	2,505,000	2,661,638
5s, 5/1/24	Aaa	2,385,000	2,535,899
Tobacco Settlement Fin. Corp. Rev. Bonds, 6 3/4s, 6/1/39			
	BBB	1,150,000	1,316,256
			16,519,657
New Mexico (0.7%)			
NM Mtge. Fin. Auth. Rev. Bonds (Single Family Mtge.)			
Ser. F2, Class I, GNMA Coll., FNMA Coll., FHLMC Coll., 5.6s, 7/1/38			
	AAA	500,000	540,460
Ser. C, GNMA Coll., FNMA Coll., FHLMC Coll., 5.82s, 9/1/33			
	AAA	800,000	847,824
			1,388,284

New York (11.6%)