

CONMED CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 03, 2009

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended  
June 30, 2009

Commission File Number  
0-16093

CONMED CORPORATION  
(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

New York  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

16-0977505  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

525 French Road, Utica, New York  
(Address of principal executive offices)

13502  
(Zip Code)

(315) 797-8375  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: CONMED CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “accelerated filer”, “large accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one).

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock, as of July 30, 2009 is 29,085,161 shares.

---

CONMED CORPORATION  
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q  
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item Number		Page
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	
-	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2009</u>	1
-	<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009</u>	2
-	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009</u>	3
-	<u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	38
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	38
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	39
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	39
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	40
<u>Signatures</u>		41



Table of ContentsPART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION  
Item 1.CONMED CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(Unaudited, in thousands except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	As Adjusted (Note 15) 2008	2009	As Adjusted (Note 15) 2008	2009
Net sales	\$192,755	\$164,569	\$383,528	\$328,631
Cost of sales	91,865	87,257	184,874	174,967
Gross profit	100,890	77,312	198,654	153,664
Selling and administrative expense	69,549	64,147	138,195	126,000
Research and development expense	8,689	7,396	16,767	15,885
Other expense (income)	-	734	-	(602)
	78,238	72,277	154,962	141,283
Income from operations	22,652	5,035	43,692	12,381
Gain on early extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	1,083
Amortization of debt discount	1,222	1,013	2,424	2,058
Interest expense	2,439	1,767	5,613	3,255
Income before income taxes	18,991	2,255	35,655	8,151
Provision for income taxes	7,306	846	13,718	2,257
Net income	\$11,685	\$1,409	\$21,937	\$5,894
Per share data:				
Net Income				

Edgar Filing: CONMED CORP - Form 10-Q

Basic	\$ .41	\$ .05	\$ .77	\$ .20
Diluted	.40	.05	.76	.20
Weighted average common shares				
Basic	28,662	29,056	28,643	29,043
Diluted	29,063	29,082	29,035	29,071

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

CONMED CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS  
(Unaudited, in thousands except share and per share amounts)

	As Adjusted (Note 15) December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,811	\$10,679
Accounts receivable, net	96,515	93,262
Inventories	159,976	161,994
Income taxes receivable	-	853
Deferred income taxes	14,742	14,499
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	11,218	11,618
Total current assets	294,262	292,905
Property, plant and equipment, net	143,737	147,750
Goodwill	290,245	290,403
Other intangible assets, net	195,939	193,258
Other assets	7,478	6,730
Total assets	\$ 931,661	\$931,046
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 3,185	\$2,142
Accounts payable	35,887	27,019
Accrued compensation and benefits	20,129	17,211
Income taxes payable	1,279	-
Other current liabilities	14,434	17,539
Total current liabilities	74,914	63,911
Long-term debt	182,739	184,237
Deferred income taxes	88,468	99,018
Other long-term liabilities	45,325	20,443
Total liabilities	391,446	367,609
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 500,000 shares; none outstanding	-	-
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 31,299,203 shares issued in 2008 and 2009, respectively	313	313

Edgar Filing: CONMED CORP - Form 10-Q

Paid-in capital	313,830	314,756
Retained earnings	314,373	319,744
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(31,032 )	(15,422 )
Less: 2,274,822 and 2,222,331 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost in 2008 and 2009, respectively	(57,269 )	(55,954 )
Total shareholders' equity	540,215	563,437
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 931,661	\$931,046

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

CONMED CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	As Adjusted (Note 15)	
	2008	2009
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$21,937	\$5,894
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	6,621	8,281
Amortization of debt discount	2,424	2,058
Amortization, all other	8,908	9,100
Stock-based compensation expense	2,094	2,090
Deferred income taxes	11,464	3,129
Gain on early extinguishment of debt	-	(1,083 )
Increase (decrease) in cash flows from changes in assets and liabilities:		
Sale of accounts receivable to (collections on behalf of) purchaser		
	(3,000 )	(3,000 )
Accounts receivable	(4,768 )	7,999
Inventories	3,028	(4,319 )
Accounts payable	(2,999 )	(7,774 )
Income taxes payable (receivable)	670	(1,901 )
Accrued compensation and benefits	(843 )	(2,996 )
Other assets	(1,081 )	(830 )
Other liabilities	(7,069 )	(2,661 )
	15,449	8,093
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>37,386</b>	<b>13,987</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property, plant, and equipment	(17,512 )	(12,032 )
Payments related to business acquisitions	(21,838 )	(188 )
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(39,350 )</b>	<b>(12,220 )</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Net proceeds from common stock issued under employee plans		
	595	238
Payments on senior credit agreement	(675 )	(675 )
Proceeds of senior credit agreement	7,000	9,000
Payments on mortgage notes	(538 )	(1,036 )
Payments on senior subordinated notes	-	(7,808 )
<b>Net change in cash overdrafts</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,579 )</b>
<b>Net cash provided by</b>		

Edgar Filing: CONMED CORP - Form 10-Q

(used in) financing activities	6,382	(1,860 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,737	(1,039 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	6,155	(1,132 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	11,695	11,811
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$17,850	\$10,679

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

CONMED CORPORATION  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (Unaudited, in thousands except per share amounts)

## Note 1 – Operations

CONMED Corporation (“CONMED”, the “Company”, “we” or “us”) is a medical technology company with an emphasis on surgical devices and equipment for minimally invasive procedures and monitoring. The Company’s products serve the clinical areas of arthroscopy, powered surgical instruments, electrosurgery, cardiac monitoring disposables, endosurgery and endoscopic technologies. They are used by surgeons and physicians in a variety of specialties including orthopedics, general surgery, gynecology, neurosurgery, and gastroenterology.

## Note 2 - Interim financial information

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. Results for the period ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009.

The consolidated condensed financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes for the year-ended December 31, 2008 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1 (“FSP APB 14-1”) relating to our convertible senior subordinated notes, which requires retroactive presentation. See Note 15 for disclosure of the effect on prior period results.

## Note 3 – Other comprehensive income

Comprehensive income consists of the following:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2009
Net income	\$11,685	\$1,409	\$21,937	\$5,894
Other comprehensive income:				
Pension liability	90	198	180	12,547
Foreign currency translation adjustment	715	6,459	2,700	3,063
Comprehensive income	\$12,490	\$8,066	\$24,817	\$21,504

Table of Contents

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consists of the following:

	Pension Liability	Cumulative Translation Adjustments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ (27,592 )	\$ (3,440 )	\$ (31,032 )
Pension liability	12,547	-	12,547
Foreign currency translation adjustments	-	3,063	3,063
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$ (15,045 )	\$ (377 )	\$ (15,422 )

## Note 4 – Financial instruments

In March of 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133” (“SFAS 161”). SFAS 161 requires entities to provide enhanced disclosure about how and why the entity uses derivative instruments, how the instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” (“SFAS 133”) and how the instruments and related hedged items affect the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of the entity. We adopted SFAS 161 during the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

We enter into derivative instruments for risk management purposes only. We operate internationally and, in the normal course of business, are exposed to fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. These fluctuations can increase the costs of financing, investing and operating the business. We use forward contracts, a type of derivative instrument, to manage certain foreign currency exposures.

By nature, all financial instruments involve market and credit risks. We enter into forward contracts with major investment grade financial institutions and have policies to monitor the credit risk of those counterparties. While there can be no assurance, we do not anticipate any material non-performance by any of these counterparties.

**Foreign Currency Forward Contracts.** We have a forward contract program to exchange foreign currencies for United States dollars in order to hedge our currency transaction exposures with intercompany receivables denominated in foreign currencies. These forward contracts settle each month at month-end, at which time we enter into new forward contracts. The notional contract amounts for forward contracts outstanding at June 30, 2009 totaled \$31.2 million. We have not designated these forward contracts as hedges. Net realized losses in connection with these forward contracts approximated \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, partially offsetting gains on our intercompany exposure of approximately \$2.1 million. These gains and losses have been recorded in selling and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. We mark outstanding forward contracts to market. The market value for forward foreign exchange contracts outstanding at June 30, 2009 was not material.

**Fair Value Disclosure.** SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”), defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. This statement applies under

other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The statement indicates, among other things, that a fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. SFAS 157 defines fair value based upon an exit price model.

Table of Contents

We adopted SFAS 157 as of January 1, 2008, with the exception of the application of the statement to non-recurring nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, which was delayed by FSP FAS 157-2, “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157,” to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, which we therefore adopted as of January 1, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, we do not have any significant non-recurring measurements of nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities.

**Valuation Hierarchy.** SFAS 157 establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs to the valuations used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, including interest rates, yield curves and credit risks, or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data through correlation. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on our own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability’s classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

**Valuation Techniques.** Liabilities carried at fair value and measured on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009 consist of forward foreign exchange contracts and two embedded derivatives associated with our 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes. We do not apply derivative accounting to our forward exchange contracts, and they are marked to market each reporting period. The value of these liabilities was determined within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy and was not material either individually or in the aggregate to our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, “Interim Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments” (“FSP FAS 107-1 & APB 28-1”). FSP FAS 107-1 & APB 28-1 require interim disclosures regarding the fair values of financial instruments that are within the scope of SFAS No. 107, “Disclosures about the Fair Value of Financial Instruments.” Additionally, FSP FAS 107-1 & APB 28-1 require disclosure of the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments on an interim basis as well as changes in the methods and significant assumptions from prior periods. FSP FAS 107-1 & APB 28-1 do not change the accounting treatment for these financial instruments. We adopted FSP FAS 107-1 & APB 28-1 during the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

The carrying amounts reported in our balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and long-term debt excluding the 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes (the “Notes”) approximate fair value. The fair value of the Notes approximated \$97.2 million and \$97.5 million at December 31, 2008 and June 30, 2009, respectively, based on their quoted market price. We repurchased and retired \$9.9 million of the Notes during the six months ended June 30, 2009 for \$7.8 million and recorded a net gain of \$1.1 million on the early extinguishment of debt as further described in Note 15.

Table of Contents

## Note 5 – Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009
Raw materials	\$ 55,022	\$50,692
Work-in-process	22,177	20,305
Finished goods	82,777	90,997
Total	\$ 159,976	\$161,994

## Note 6 – Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share (“basic EPS”) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share (“diluted EPS”) gives effect to all dilutive potential shares outstanding resulting from employee stock options, restricted stock units and stock appreciation rights (“SARs”) during the period. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2009.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Net income	\$11,685	\$1,409	\$21,937	\$5,894
Basic – weighted average shares outstanding	28,662	29,056	28,643	29,043
Effect of dilutive potential securities	401	26	392	28
Diluted – weighted average shares outstanding	29,063	29,082	29,035	29,071
Net Income				
Basic	\$.41	\$.05	\$.77	\$.20
Diluted	.40	.05	.76	.20

The shares used in the calculation of diluted EPS exclude options and SARs to purchase shares where the exercise price was greater than the average market price of common shares for the period. Shares excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS aggregated 1.0 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. Shares excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS aggregated 2.5 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. Upon conversion of our 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes (the "Notes"), the holder of each Note will receive the conversion value of the Note payable in cash up to the principal amount of the Note and CONMED common stock for the Note's conversion value in excess of such principal amount. As of June 30, 2009, our share price has not exceeded the conversion price of the Notes, therefore the conversion value was less than the principal amount of the Notes. Under the net share settlement method and in accordance with Emerging Issues Task

Force (“EITF”) Issue 04-8, “The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings per Share”, there were no potential shares issuable under the Notes to be used in the calculation of diluted EPS. The maximum number of shares we may issue with respect to the Notes is 5,750,000.

Table of Contents

## Note 7 – Goodwill and other intangible assets

The changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are as follows:

Balance as of January 1, 2009	\$ 290,245
Adjustments to goodwill resulting from business acquisitions finalized	149
Foreign currency translation	9
Balance as of June 30, 2009	\$ 290,403

Goodwill associated with each of our principal operating units is as follows:

	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009
CONMED Electrosurgery	\$ 16,645	\$ 16,645
CONMED Endosurgery	42,439	42,439
CONMED Linvatec	171,437	171,446
CONMED Patient Care	59,724	59,873
Balance as of June 30,	\$ 290,245	\$ 290,403

Other intangible assets consist of the following:

	December 31, 2008		June 30, 2009	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible assets:				
Customer relationships	\$ 127,594	\$ (32,187 )	\$ 127,594	\$ (34,334 )
Patents and other intangible assets	40,714	(28,526 )	41,136	(29,482 )
Unamortized intangible assets:				
Trademarks and tradenames	88,344	-	88,344	-

\$256,652    \$ (60,713 )    \$257,074    \$ (63,816 )

Other intangible assets primarily represent allocations of purchase price to identifiable intangible assets of acquired businesses. The weighted average amortization period for intangible assets which are amortized is 24 years. Customer relationships are being amortized over a weighted average life of 32 years. Patents and other intangible assets are being amortized over a weighted average life of 13 years.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets which are subject to amortization totaled \$1,559 and \$3,107 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and \$1,550 and \$3,103 in the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and is included in selling and administrative expense on the consolidated condensed statement of income.

Table of Contents

The estimated amortization expense for the year ending December 31, 2009, including the six month period ended June 30, 2009 and for each of the five succeeding years is as follows:

2009	6,147
2010	6,056
2011	5,861
2012	5,806
2013	5,463
2014	4,934

## Note 8 — Guarantees

We provide warranties on certain of our products at the time of sale. The standard warranty period for our capital and reusable equipment is generally one year. Liability under service and warranty policies is based upon a review of historical warranty and service claim experience. Adjustments are made to accruals as claim data and historical experience warrant.

Changes in the carrying amount of service and product warranties for the six months ended June 30, are as follows:

	2008	2009
Balance as of January 1,	\$ 3,306	\$ 3,341
Provision for warranties	1,200	1,709
Claims made	(1,446 )	(1,733 )
Balance as of June 30,	\$ 3,060	\$ 3,317

## Note 9 – Pension plan

Net periodic pension costs consist of the following:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Service cost	\$1,536	\$46	\$3,072	\$1,793
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	843	927	1,685	2,066
Expected return on plan assets	(845 )	(939 )	(1,690 )	(1,938 )
Net amortization and deferral	142	314	285	913
Curtailment gain	-	-	-	(4,368 )

Net periodic pension cost (gain)	\$1,676	\$348	\$3,352	\$(1,534 )
----------------------------------	---------	-------	---------	------------

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company announced the freezing of benefit accruals under the defined benefit pension plan for United States employees (“the Plan”) effective May 14, 2009. As a result, the Company recorded a net pension gain in the first quarter of 2009 of \$1.9 million including a curtailment gain of \$4.4 million and a reduction in accrued pension of \$11.4 million which is included in other long term liabilities.

We contributed \$2.0 million to the Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2009. We are required and expect to make \$6.1 million in contributions to the Plan in 2009.

## Note 10 – Other expense (income)

Other expense (income) consists of the following:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
New plant/facility consolidation costs	\$-	\$734	\$-	\$1,280
Net pension gain	-	-	-	(1,882 )
Other expense (income)	\$-	\$734	\$-	\$(602 )

During the six months ended June 30, 2009 we incurred \$7.9 million in restructuring costs of which \$1.3 million (including \$0.7 million in the second quarter of 2009) have been recorded in other expense (income) and include charges related to the consolidation of our distribution centers. The remaining \$6.6 million (including \$3.7 million in the second quarter of 2009) in restructuring costs have been charged to cost of goods sold and represent startup activities associated with a new manufacturing facility in Chihuahua, Mexico and the closure of two Utica, New York area manufacturing facilities (see Note 14).

During the first quarter of 2009, we elected to freeze benefit accruals under the defined benefit pension plan for United States employees, effective May 14, 2009. As a result, we recorded a net pension gain of \$1.9 million in the first quarter of 2009 associated with the elimination of future benefit accruals under the pension plan (see Note 9).

## Note 11 — Business Segments and Geographic Areas

CONMED conducts its business through five principal operating units, CONMED Endoscopic Technologies, CONMED Endosurgery, CONMED Electrosurgery, CONMED Linvatec and CONMED Patient Care. We believe each of our segments are similar in the nature of products, production processes, customer base, distribution methods and regulatory environment. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131 “Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information” (“SFAS 131”), our CONMED Endosurgery, CONMED Electrosurgery and CONMED Linvatec operating units also have similar economic characteristics and therefore qualify for aggregation under SFAS 131. Our CONMED Patient Care and CONMED Endoscopic Technologies operating units do not qualify for aggregation under SFAS 131 since their economic characteristics do not meet the criteria for aggregation as a result of the lower overall operating income (loss) in these segments.



Table of Contents

CONMED Endosurgery, CONMED Electrosurgery and CONMED Linvatec consist of a single aggregated segment comprising a complete line of endo-mechanical instrumentation for minimally invasive laparoscopic procedures, electrosurgical generators and related surgical instruments, arthroscopic instrumentation for use in orthopedic surgery and small bone, large bone and specialty powered surgical instruments. CONMED Patient Care product offerings include a line of vital signs and cardiac monitoring products as well as suction instruments & tubing for use in the operating room. CONMED Endoscopic Technologies product offerings include a comprehensive line of minimally invasive endoscopic diagnostic and therapeutic instruments used in procedures in the digestive tract.

The following is net sales information by product line and reportable segment:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Arthroscopy	76,651	61,629	152,174	125,456
Powered Surgical Instruments	39,842	33,446	80,299	66,274
CONMED Linvatec	116,493	95,075	232,473	191,730
CONMED Electrosurgery	25,856	22,689	52,640	45,069
CONMED Endosurgery	17,284	17,324	32,485	31,850
CONMED Linvatec, Endosurgery, and Electrosurgery	159,633	135,088	317,598	268,649
CONMED Patient Care	19,807	16,971	40,118	35,436
CONMED Endoscopic Technologies	13,315	12,510	25,812	24,546
Total	\$192,755	\$164,569	\$383,528	\$328,631

Total assets, capital expenditures, depreciation and amortization information are not available by segment.

The following is a reconciliation between segment operating income and income before income taxes:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
CONMED Endosurgery, Electrosurgery and Linvatec	\$27,678	\$14,751	\$55,175	\$27,262
CONMED Patient Care	589	(1,182 )	1,143	(1,622 )
CONMED Endoscopic Technologies	(2,366 )	(1,381 )	(4,845 )	(3,223 )
Corporate	(3,249 )	(7,153 )	(7,781 )	(10,036 )
Income from Operations	22,652	5,035	43,692	12,381
Gain on early extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	1,083
Amortization of debt discount	1,222	1,013	2,424	2,058
Interest expense	2,439	1,767	5,613	3,255
Income before income taxes	\$18,991	\$2,255	\$35,655	\$8,151



Table of Contents

Note 12 – Legal proceedings

From time to time, we are a defendant in certain lawsuits alleging product liability, patent infringement, or other claims incurred in the ordinary course of business. Likewise, from time to time, the Company may receive a subpoena from a government agency such as the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the Department of Labor, the Treasury Department, and other federal and state agencies or foreign governments or government agencies. These subpoenae may or may not be routine inquiries, or may begin as routine inquiries and over time develop into enforcement actions of various types. The product liability claims are generally covered by various insurance policies, subject to certain deductible amounts, maximum policy limits and certain exclusions in the respective policies or required as a matter of law. In some cases we may be entitled to indemnification by third parties. When there is no insurance coverage, as would typically be the case primarily in lawsuits alleging patent infringement or in connection with certain government investigations, or indemnification obligation of a third party we establish reserves sufficient to cover probable losses associated with such claims. We do not expect that the resolution of any pending claims or investigations will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. There can be no assurance, however, that future claims or investigations, or the costs associated with responding to such claims or investigations, especially claims and investigations not covered by insurance, will not have a material adverse effect on our future performance.

Manufacturers of medical products may face exposure to significant product liability claims. To date, we have not experienced any product liability claims that are material to our financial statements or condition, but any such claims arising in the future could have a material adverse effect on our business or results of operations. We currently maintain commercial product liability insurance of \$25 million per incident and \$25 million in the aggregate annually, which we believe is adequate. This coverage is on a claims-made basis. There can be no assurance that claims will not exceed insurance coverage, that the carriers will be solvent or that such insurance will be available to us in the future at a reasonable cost.

Our operations are subject, and in the past have been subject, to a number of environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, air emissions, wastewater discharges, the use, handling and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, soil and groundwater remediation and employee health and safety. In some jurisdictions environmental requirements may be expected to become more stringent in the future. In the United States certain environmental laws can impose liability for the entire cost of site restoration upon each of the parties that may have contributed to conditions at the site regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the party's activities. While we do not believe that the present costs of environmental compliance and remediation are material, there can be no assurance that future compliance or remedial obligations could not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

On April 7, 2006, CONMED received a copy of a complaint filed in the United States District for the Northern District of New York on behalf of a purported class of former CONMED Linvatec sales representatives. The complaint alleges that the former sales representatives were entitled to, but did not receive, severance in 2003 when CONMED Linvatec restructured its distribution channels. The range of loss associated with this complaint ranges from \$0 to \$3.0 million, not including any interest, fees or costs that might be awarded if the five named plaintiffs were to prevail on their own behalf as well as on behalf of the approximately 70 (or 90 as alleged by the plaintiffs) other members of the purported class. CONMED Linvatec did not generally pay severance during the 2003 restructuring because the former sales representatives were offered sales positions with CONMED Linvatec's new manufacturer's representatives. Other than three of the five named plaintiffs in the class action, nearly all of CONMED Linvatec's former sales representatives accepted such positions.



Table of Contents

The Company's motions to dismiss and for summary judgment, which were heard at a hearing held on January 5, 2007, were denied by a Memorandum Decision and Order dated May 22, 2007. The District Court also granted the plaintiffs' motion to certify a class of former CONMED Linvatec sales representatives whose employment with CONMED Linvatec was involuntarily terminated in 2003 and who did not receive severance benefits. With discovery essentially completed, on July 21, 2008, the Company filed motions seeking summary judgment and to decertify the class. In addition, on July 21, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a motion seeking summary judgment. These motions were submitted for decision on August 26, 2008. There is no fixed time frame within which the Court is required to rule on the motions. The Company believes there is no merit to the claims asserted in the Complaint, and plans to vigorously defend the case. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will prevail in the litigation.

Note 13 – New accounting pronouncements

In December 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 132(R)-1, "Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets" to provide guidance on an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension plan. FSP No. 132(R)-1 is effective for our year ending December 31, 2009.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165 ("SFAS 165"), "Subsequent Events." SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. It requires the disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. SFAS 165 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. This standard does not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB No. 166, "Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140" ("SFAS 166"). SFAS 166 requires additional disclosures about the transfer and derecognition of financial assets, eliminates the concept of qualifying special-purpose entities under SFAS 140, creates more stringent conditions for reporting a transfer of a portion of a financial asset as a sale, clarifies other sale-accounting criteria, and changes the initial measurement of a transferor's interest in transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of SFAS 166 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, "The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162" ("SFAS 168"). SFAS 168 replaces SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" and establishes the "FASB Accounting Standards Codification" ("Codification") as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. All guidance contained in the Codification carries an equal level of authority. On the effective date of SFAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become non-authoritative. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. We have evaluated this new statement, and have determined that it will not have a significant impact on the determination or reporting of our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## Note 14 – Restructuring

During the second quarter of 2008, we announced a plan to restructure certain of our operations. The restructuring plan includes the closure of two manufacturing facilities located in the Utica, New York area totaling approximately 200,000 square feet with manufacturing to be transferred into either our Corporate headquarters location in Utica, New York or into a newly constructed leased manufacturing facility in Chihuahua, Mexico. In addition, manufacturing presently done by a contract manufacturing facility in Juarez, Mexico is being transferred in-house to the Chihuahua facility. Finally, certain domestic distribution activities are being centralized in a new leased consolidated distribution center in Atlanta, Georgia. We believe our restructuring plan will reduce our cost base by consolidating our Utica, New York operations into a single facility and expanding our lower cost Mexican operations, as well as improve service to our customers by shipping orders from more centralized distribution centers. The transition of manufacturing operations and consolidation of distribution activities began in the third quarter of 2008 and is expected to be largely completed by the fourth quarter of 2009.

In conjunction with our restructuring plan, we considered Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Based on the announced restructuring plan, our current expectation is that it is more likely than not, that the two manufacturing facilities located in the Utica, New York area scheduled to be closed as a result of the restructuring plan, will be sold prior to the end of their previously estimated useful lives. Even though we expect to sell these facilities prior to the end of their useful lives, we do not believe that at present we meet the criteria contained within SFAS 144 to designate these assets as held for sale and accordingly we have tested them for impairment under the guidance for long-lived assets to be held and used. We performed our impairment testing on the two manufacturing facilities scheduled to close under the restructuring plan by comparing future cash flows expected to be generated by these facilities (undiscounted and without interest charges) against their carrying amounts (\$2.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, as of June 30, 2009). Since future cash flows expected to be generated by these facilities exceeds their carrying amounts, we do not believe any impairment exists at this time. However, we cannot be certain an impairment charge will not be taken in the future when the facilities are no longer in use.

As of June 30, 2009, we have incurred \$12.0 million (including \$4.4 million and \$7.9 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively) in costs associated with the restructuring. Approximately \$9.1 million (including \$3.7 million and \$6.6 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively) of the total \$12.0 million in restructuring costs have been charged to cost of goods sold. The \$9.1 million charged to cost of goods sold includes \$4.6 million in under utilization of production facilities (including \$1.8 million and \$3.5 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively), \$1.0 million in accelerated depreciation (including \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively), \$1.1 million in severance related charges (including \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively), and \$2.4 million in other charges (including \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively).

Table of Contents

The remaining \$2.9 million (including \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million, in the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively) in restructuring costs have been recorded in other expense (income) and include charges related to the consolidation of our distribution centers. As our restructuring plan progresses, we will incur additional charges, including employee termination and other exit costs. Based on the criteria contained within Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities", no accrual for such costs has been made at this time.

We estimate the total costs of the restructuring plan will approximate \$10.4 million during 2009, including \$2.1 million related to employee termination costs, \$4.5 million in expense related to abnormally low production levels at certain of our plants (as we transfer production to alternate sites), \$1.4 million in accelerated depreciation at one of the two Utica, New York area facilities which are expected to close and \$2.4 million in other restructuring related activities. We estimate approximately \$2.0 million of the total anticipated \$10.4 million in restructuring costs will be reported in other expense (income) with the remaining \$8.4 million charged to cost of goods sold. The restructuring plan impacts Corporate manufacturing and distribution facilities which support multiple reporting segments. As a result, costs associated with the restructuring plan will be reflected in the Corporate line within our business segment reporting.

Note 15 – Convertible senior subordinated notes

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1 ("FSP APB 14-1"). FSP APB 14-1 specifies that issuers of convertible debt instruments that permit or require the issuer to pay cash upon conversion should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The Company is required to apply the guidance retrospectively to all past periods presented. We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2009.

We have outstanding \$115.1 million in 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes due 2024 ("the Notes"). The Notes represent subordinated unsecured obligations and are convertible under certain circumstances, as defined in the bond indenture, into a combination of cash and CONMED common stock. Upon conversion, the holder of each Note will receive the conversion value of the Note payable in cash up to the principal amount of the Note and CONMED common stock for the Note's conversion value in excess of such principal amount. Amounts in excess of the principal amount are at an initial conversion rate, subject to adjustment, of 26.1849 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the Note (which represents an initial conversion price of \$38.19 per share). As of June 30, 2009, there was no value assigned to the conversion feature because the Company's share price was below the conversion price. The Notes mature on November 15, 2024 and are not redeemable by us prior to November 15, 2011. Holders of the Notes will be able to require that we repurchase some or all of the Notes on November 15, 2011, 2014 and 2019 provided the terms of the indenture are satisfied.

Table of Contents

The Notes contain two embedded derivatives. The embedded derivatives are recorded at fair value in other long-term liabilities and changes in their value are recorded through the consolidated statements of operations. The embedded derivatives have a nominal value, and it is our belief that any change in their fair value would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our effective borrowing rate for nonconvertible debt at the time of issuance of the Notes was estimated to be 6.67%, which resulted in \$34.6 million of the \$150.0 million aggregate principal amount of Notes issued, or \$21.8 million after taxes, being attributable to equity. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, we have recorded interest expense related to the amortization of debt discount on the Notes of \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, at the effective interest rate of 6.67%. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, we have recorded interest expense related to the amortization of debt discount on the Notes of \$2.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, at the effective interest rate of 6.67%. The debt discount on the Notes is being amortized through November 2011. For the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, we have recorded interest expense on the Notes of \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, at the contractual coupon rate of 2.50%. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, we have recorded interest expense on the Notes of \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, at the contractual coupon rate of 2.50%.

The following table illustrates the effects of adopting FSP APB 14-1 on each Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet line item as of December 31, 2008:

	As Originally Reported	As Adjusted	Effect of Change
Long-term debt	\$ 196,190	\$182,739	\$ (13,451 )
Deferred income taxes	83,498	88,468	4,970
Total liabilities	399,927	391,446	(8,481 )
Paid-in capital	292,251	313,830	21,579
Retained earnings	327,471	314,373	(13,098 )
Total shareholders' equity	531,734	540,215	8,481

The following tables illustrate the effects of adopting FSP APB 14-1 on each Consolidated Condensed Statement of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and Consolidated Condensed Statement of Cash Flows line item for the six months ended June 30, 2008:

Table of Contents

	As Originally Reported	As Adjusted	Effect of Change
Consolidated condensed statement of income for the three months ended June 30, 2008:			
Amortization of debt discount	\$ -	\$ 1,222	\$ 1,222
Income before income taxes	20,213	18,991	(1,222 )
Provision for income taxes	7,758	7,306	(452 )
Net income	12,455	11,685	(770 )
EPS:			
Basic	\$ .43	.41	\$ (.02 )
Diluted	.43	.40	(.03 )

Consolidated condensed statement  
of income for the six months  
ended June 30, 2008:

Amortization of debt discount	\$ -	\$ 2,424	\$ 2,424
Income before income taxes	38,079	35,655	(2,424 )
Provision for income taxes	14,614	13,718	(896 )
Net income	23,465	21,937	(1,528 )
EPS:			
Basic	\$ .82	.77	\$ (.05 )
Diluted	.81	.76	(.05 )

Consolidated condensed statement of cash flow:

Net income	23,465	21,937	(1,528 )
Amortization of debt discount	-	2,424	2,424
Deferred income taxes	12,360	11,464	(896 )

Amounts recognized in the consolidated condensed balance sheets consist of the following:

	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009
Principal value of the Notes	\$ 125,000	\$115,093
Unamortized discount	(13,451 )	(10,377 )
Carrying value of the Notes	\$ 111,549	\$104,716
Equity component	\$ 21,579	\$21,491

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we repurchased and retired \$9.9 million of the Notes for \$7.8 million and recorded a gain on the early extinguishment of debt of \$1.1 million net of the write-offs of \$0.1 million in unamortized deferred financing costs and \$1.0 million in unamortized debt discount.

Table of Contents

Note 16 – Subsequent Events

We evaluated subsequent events through August 3, 2009, the date the financial statements have been issued. In July 2009, we announced a plan to consolidate the administrative functions of our CONMED Endoscopic Technologies division in Chelmsford, Massachusetts to our Corporate Headquarters in Utica, New York. In connection with the consolidation, we expect to incur certain charges in the third and fourth quarters of 2009, including severance, lease termination and other transitional costs expected to total approximately \$3.0 million. Once the consolidation is complete, we expect the annual cost savings from the personnel related expenses to approximate \$3 - \$4 million.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

In this Report on Form 10-Q, we make forward-looking statements about our financial condition, results of operations and business. Forward-looking statements are statements made by us concerning events that may or may not occur in the future. These statements may be made directly in this document or may be "incorporated by reference" from other documents. Such statements may be identified by the use of words such as "anticipates", "expects", "estimates", "intends" and "believes" and variations thereof and other terms of similar meaning.

Forward-Looking Statements are not Guarantees of Future Performance

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including those that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include those identified under "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008 and the following, among others:

- general economic and business conditions;
- changes in foreign exchange and interest rates;
- cyclical customer purchasing patterns due to budgetary and other constraints;
  - changes in customer preferences;
  - competition;
  - changes in technology;
- the introduction and acceptance of new products;
- the ability to evaluate, finance and integrate acquired businesses, products and companies;
  - changes in business strategy;
  - the availability and cost of materials;
- the possibility that United States or foreign regulatory and/or administrative agencies may initiate enforcement actions against us or our distributors;
  - future levels of indebtedness and capital spending;
- quality of our management and business abilities and the judgment of our personnel;
  - the availability, terms and deployment of capital;

- the risk of litigation, especially patent litigation as well as the cost associated with patent and other litigation; and
- changes in regulatory requirements.

See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” below and “Risk Factors” and “Business” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008 for a further discussion of these factors. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. We do not undertake any obligation to publicly release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Table of Contents

## Overview:

CONMED Corporation (“CONMED”, the “Company”, “we” or “us”) is a medical technology company with six principal product lines. These product lines and the percentage of consolidated revenues associated with each, are as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Arthroscopy	39.7 %	37.4 %	39.7 %	38.2 %
Powered Surgical Instruments	20.7	20.4	20.9	20.1
Electrosurgery	13.4	13.8	13.7	13.7
Endosurgery	9.0	10.5	8.5	9.7
Patient Care	10.3	10.3	10.5	10.8
Endoscopic Technologies	6.9	7.6	6.7	7.5
Consolidated Net Sales	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

A significant amount of our products are used in surgical procedures with the majority of our revenues derived from the sale of single-use products. We manufacture substantially all of our products in facilities located in the United States, Mexico, and Finland. We market our products both domestically and internationally directly to customers and through distributors. International sales represent a significant portion of our business. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, sales to purchasers outside of the United States approximated 45% of total net sales.

## Business Environment and Opportunities

The aging of the worldwide population along with lifestyle changes, continued cost containment pressures on healthcare systems and the desire of clinicians and administrators to use less invasive (or noninvasive) procedures are important trends which are driving the long-term growth in our industry. We believe that with our broad product offering of high quality surgical and patient care products, we can capitalize on this growth for the benefit of the Company and our shareholders.

In order to further our growth prospects, we have historically used strategic business acquisitions and exclusive distribution relationships to continue to diversify our product offerings, increase our market share and realize economies of scale.

We have a variety of research and development initiatives focused in each of our principal product lines as continued innovation and commercialization of new proprietary products and processes are essential elements of our long-term growth strategy. Our reputation as an innovator is exemplified by recent new product introductions, which include the CONMED Linvatec Shoulder Restoration System, a comprehensive system for rotator cuff repair; the Zen™ Wireless Footswitch and Adaptor, incorporating the power of Zigbee® communications technology to provide three pedal control of CONMED Linvatec control consoles and hand pieces; the Paladin™ suture anchor, the latest addition to our arsenal for rotator cuff repair; the ReAct™ Arthroscopic Shaver Blades which have the ability to reciprocate while rotating; MPower® 2, the latest in our next generation of battery power systems for large bone and small bone orthopedic surgery; the VP1600 Digital Documentation System, a 1080p digital still capture unit which enables users to save and print the highest quality medical images, and our Endotracheal Cardiac Output Monitor, which provides an innovative alternative to catheter monitoring of cardiac output.



Table of Contents

Business Challenges

Given significant volatility in the financial markets and foreign currency exchange rates and depressed economic conditions in both domestic and international markets, we believe 2009 will continue to present significant business challenges. We expect 2009 total revenues to decrease 8% to 10% from 2008 levels, reflecting lower sales volumes, especially of our capital products, and a significant unfavorable impact from foreign currency translation due to strengthening of the United States dollar as compared with currencies such as the Euro. We will continue to monitor and manage the impact of the deteriorating economic environment on the Company.

We are in the process of executing our operational restructuring plan which began in the third quarter of 2008. The restructuring plan includes the closure of two manufacturing facilities located in the Utica, New York area with manufacturing to be transferred into either our Corporate headquarters location in Utica, New York or into a newly constructed leased manufacturing facility in Chihuahua, Mexico. In addition, manufacturing presently done by a contract manufacturing facility in Juarez, Mexico is being transferred in-house to the Chihuahua facility. Finally, certain domestic distribution activities are being centralized in a new leased consolidated distribution center in Atlanta, Georgia. We believe the successful execution of our restructuring plan will lower our costs by consolidating our Utica, New York operations into a single facility and expanding our lower cost Mexican operations, as well as improve service to our customers by shipping orders from more centralized distribution centers. We expect the transition of manufacturing operations and consolidation of distribution activities to be largely completed by the fourth quarter of 2009. However, we cannot be certain such activities will be completed in the estimated time period or that planned cost savings will be achieved.

Our CONMED Endoscopic Technologies operating segment has suffered from sales declines and operating losses since its acquisition from C.R. Bard in September 2004. We have corrected the operational issues associated with product shortages that resulted following the acquisition of the Endoscopic Technologies business and have announced a plan to consolidate the administrative functions of the Endoscopic Technologies business from Chelmsford, Massachusetts to our Corporate Headquarters in Utica, New York. We believe by reducing costs while continuing to invest in new product development, we can achieve increased sales and ensure a return to profitability.

Our facilities are subject to periodic inspection by the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) and foreign regulatory agencies for, among other things, conformance to Quality System Regulation and Current Good Manufacturing Practice (“CGMP”) requirements. We are committed to the principles and strategies of systems-based quality management for improved CGMP compliance, operational performance and efficiencies through our Company-wide quality systems initiative. However, there can be no assurance that our actions will ensure that we will not receive a warning letter or other regulatory action which may include consent decrees or fines.

## Table of Contents

### Critical Accounting Policies

Preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008 describes the significant accounting policies used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements. The most significant areas involving management judgments and estimates are described below and are considered by management to be critical to understanding the financial condition and results of operations of CONMED Corporation. There have been no significant changes in our critical accounting estimates during the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when title has been transferred to the customer which is at the time of shipment. The following policies apply to our major categories of revenue transactions:

- Sales to customers are evidenced by firm purchase orders. Title and the risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the customer when product is shipped under our stated shipping terms. Payment by the customer is due under fixed payment terms.
- We place certain of our capital equipment with customers in return for commitments to purchase single-use products over time periods generally ranging from one to three years. In these circumstances, no revenue is recognized upon capital equipment shipment and we recognize revenue upon the single-use product shipment. The cost of the equipment is amortized over the term of the individual commitment agreements.
- Product returns are only accepted at the discretion of the Company and in accordance with our “Returned Goods Policy”. Historically the level of product returns has not been significant. We accrue for sales returns, rebates and allowances based upon an analysis of historical customer returns and credits, rebates, discounts and current market conditions.
- Our terms of sale to customers generally do not include any obligations to perform future services. Limited warranties are provided for capital equipment sales and provisions for warranty are provided at the time of product sale based upon an analysis of historical data.
- Amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling have been included in net sales. Shipping and handling costs are included in selling and administrative expense.
- We sell to a diversified base of customers around the world and, therefore, believe there is no material concentration of credit risk.
  - We assess the risk of loss on accounts receivable and adjust the allowance for doubtful accounts based on this risk assessment. Historically, losses on accounts receivable have not been material. Management believes that the allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1.1 million at June 30, 2009 is adequate to provide for probable losses resulting from accounts receivable.

## Table of Contents

### Inventory Reserves

We maintain reserves for excess and obsolete inventory resulting from the inability to sell our products at prices in excess of current carrying costs. The markets in which we operate are highly competitive, with new products and surgical procedures introduced on an on-going basis. Such marketplace changes may result in our products becoming obsolete. We make estimates regarding the future recoverability of the costs of our products and record a provision for excess and obsolete inventories based on historical experience, expiration of sterilization dates and expected future trends. If actual product life cycles, product demand or acceptance of new product introductions are less favorable than projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. We believe that our current inventory reserves are adequate.

### Goodwill and Intangible Assets

We have a history of growth through acquisitions. Assets and liabilities of acquired businesses are recorded at their estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition. Goodwill represents costs in excess of fair values assigned to the underlying net assets of acquired businesses. Other intangible assets primarily represent allocations of purchase price to identifiable intangible assets of acquired businesses. We have accumulated goodwill of \$290.4 million and other intangible assets of \$193.3 million as of June 30, 2009.

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" ("SFAS 142"), goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are not amortized, but are subject to at least annual impairment testing. It is our policy to perform our annual impairment testing in the fourth quarter. The identification and measurement of goodwill impairment involves the estimation of the fair value of our reporting units. Estimates of fair value are based on the best information available as of the date of the assessment, which primarily incorporate management assumptions about expected future cash flows and other valuation techniques. Future cash flows may be affected by changes in industry or market conditions or the rate and extent to which anticipated synergies or cost savings are realized with newly acquired entities. We last completed our goodwill impairment testing as of October 1, 2008 and determined that no impairment existed at that date. Our CONMED Patient Care operating segment has the least excess of fair value over invested capital of our reporting units, although a 10% decrease in the estimated fair value of any of our reporting units at the date of our 2008 assessment would not have resulted in a goodwill impairment charge. We continue to monitor events and circumstances for triggering events which would more likely than not reduce the fair value of any of our reporting units and require us to perform impairment testing before the fourth quarter 2009.

Intangible assets with a finite life are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. SFAS 142 requires that intangible assets which continue to be subject to amortization be evaluated each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. SFAS 142 also requires that intangible assets subject to amortization be reviewed for impairment in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 requires that intangible assets subject to amortization be tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying amount may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of an intangible asset subject to amortization is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset. An impairment loss is recognized by reducing the carrying amount of the intangible asset to its current fair value.



Table of Contents

Customer relationship assets arose principally as a result of the 1997 acquisition of Linvatec Corporation. These assets represent the acquisition date fair value of existing customer relationships based on the after-tax income expected to be derived during their estimated remaining useful life. The useful lives of these customer relationships were not and are not limited by contract or any economic, regulatory or other known factors. The estimated useful life of the Linvatec customer relationship assets was determined as of the date of acquisition as a result of a study of the observed pattern of historical revenue attrition during the 5 years immediately preceding the acquisition of Linvatec Corporation. This observed attrition pattern was then applied to the existing customer relationships to derive the future expected retirement of the customer relationships. This analysis indicated an annual attrition rate of 2.6%. Assuming an exponential attrition pattern, this equated to an average remaining useful life of approximately 38 years for the Linvatec customer relationship assets. Customer relationship intangible assets arising as a result of other business acquisitions are being amortized over a weighted average life of 17 years. The weighted average life for customer relationship assets in aggregate is 32 years.

In accordance with SFAS 142, we evaluate the remaining useful life of our customer relationship intangible assets each reporting period in order to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. In order to further evaluate the remaining useful life of our customer relationship intangible assets, we perform an annual analysis and assessment of actual customer attrition and activity. This assessment includes a comparison of customer activity since the acquisition date and review of customer attrition rates. In the event that our analysis of actual customer attrition rates indicates a level of attrition that is in excess of that which was originally contemplated, we would change the estimated useful life of the related customer relationship asset with the remaining carrying amount amortized prospectively over the revised remaining useful life.

SFAS 144 requires that we test our customer relationship assets for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Factors specific to our customer relationship assets which might lead to an impairment charge include a significant increase in the annual customer attrition rate or otherwise significant loss of customers, significant decreases in sales or current-period operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast of losses. We do not believe that there have been events or changes in circumstances which would indicate the carrying amount of our customer relationship assets might not be recoverable.

Pension Plan

We sponsor a defined benefit pension plan (“the plan”) covering substantially all our United States-based employees. Major assumptions used in accounting for the plan include the discount rate, expected return on plan assets, rate of increase in employee compensation levels and expected mortality. Assumptions are determined based on Company data and appropriate market indicators, and are evaluated annually as of the plan’s measurement date. A change in any of these assumptions would have an effect on net periodic pension costs reported in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2009, we elected to freeze benefit accruals under the plan effective May 14, 2009. As a result, we recorded a curtailment gain of \$4.4 million and a reduction in accrued pension of \$11.4 million which is included in other long term liabilities. See Note 9 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The weighted-average discount rate used to measure pension liabilities and costs is set by reference to the Citigroup Pension Liability Index. However, this index gives only an indication of the appropriate discount rate because the cash flows of the bonds comprising the index do not match the projected benefit payment stream of the plan precisely. For this reason, we also consider the individual characteristics of the plan, such as projected cash flow patterns and payment durations, when setting the discount rate. This discount rate, which is used in determining pension expense, increased from 5.90% in 2008 to 6.48% in the first quarter of 2009. The discount rate used for purposes of remeasuring plan liabilities and costs as of the date of the plan freeze was 7.30%.

We have used an expected rate of return on pension plan assets of 8.0% for purposes of determining the net periodic pension benefit cost. In determining the expected return on pension plan assets, we consider the relative weighting of plan assets, the historical performance of total plan assets and individual asset classes and economic and other indicators of future performance. In addition, we consult with financial and investment management professionals in developing appropriate targeted rates of return.

We have estimated our rate of increase in employee compensation levels at 3.5% consistent with our internal budgeting.

For the second quarter of 2009 we recorded pension expense of \$0.3 million. For the six months ending June 30, 2009 we recorded a net pension gain of \$1.5 million (including a \$4.4 million curtailment gain and pension expense of \$2.8 million). Pension expense for the full year 2009 is estimated at a gain of \$0.9 million (including a \$4.4 million curtailment gain and pension expense of \$3.5 million) compared to a \$6.9 million charge in 2008. The reduction in estimated pension expense in 2009 as compared with 2008 is due to the freeze in benefit accruals.

We have recorded additional expense of approximately \$1.0 million and \$2.0 million in the three and six months ending June 30, 2009 related to an additional employer 401(k) contribution which is intended to offset some of the impact on employees of the freeze in pension benefit accruals. We expect the full year 2009 cost of the additional employer 401(k) contribution to approximate \$4.0 million.

Stock Based Compensation

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R") all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, restricted stock units, and stock appreciation rights are recognized in the financial statements based at their fair values. Compensation expense is recognized using a straight-line method over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

## Income Taxes

The recorded future tax benefit arising from net deductible temporary differences and tax carryforwards is approximately \$32.3 million at June 30, 2009. Management believes that our earnings during the periods when the temporary differences become deductible will be sufficient to realize the related future income tax benefits.

We operate in multiple taxing jurisdictions, both within and outside the United States. We face audits from these various tax authorities regarding the amount of taxes due. Such audits can involve complex issues and may require an extended period of time to resolve. The Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) has completed examinations of our United States federal income tax returns through 2007. Tax years subsequent to 2007 are subject to future examination.

We have established a valuation allowance to reflect the uncertainty of realizing the benefits of certain net operating loss carryforwards recognized in connection with an acquisition. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS 141R”), whereby changes in deferred tax valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the acquisition date, including those associated with acquisitions that closed prior to the effective date of SFAS 141R, generally will affect income tax expense. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we estimate future taxable income, considering the feasibility of ongoing tax planning strategies and the realizability of tax loss carryforwards. Valuation allowances related to deferred tax assets may be impacted by changes to tax laws, changes to statutory tax rates and future taxable income levels.

## Results of Operations

The following table presents, as a percentage of net sales, certain categories included in our consolidated statements of income for the periods indicated:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Net sales	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of sales	47.7	53.0	48.2	53.2
Gross profit	52.3	47.0	51.8	46.8
Selling and administrative expense	36.0	39.0	36.0	38.3
Research and development expense	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.8
Other expense (income)	0.0	0.4	0.0	-0.2
Income from operations	11.8	3.1	11.4	3.9
Gain on early extinguishment of debt	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Amortization of bond discount	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Interest expense	1.3	1.1	1.5	1.0
Income before income taxes	9.9	1.4	9.3	2.6
Provision for income taxes	3.8	0.4	3.8	1.2
Net income	6.1 %	1.0 %	5.5 %	1.4 %

Table of Contents

Three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to three months ended June 30, 2008

Sales for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 were \$164.6 million, a decrease of \$28.2 million (-14.6%) compared to sales of \$192.8 million in the comparable 2008 period with decreases across all product lines except Endosurgery. Foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$9.5 million of the decrease. In local currency, sales decreased 9.7%. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$16.6 million (-31.3%) from \$53.1 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$36.5 million in the second quarter of 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$11.6 million (-8.3%) from \$139.7 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$128.1 million in the second quarter of 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 27.6% while single-use products decreased 2.9%.

Cost of sales decreased to \$87.3 million in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$91.9 million in the same period a year ago on overall decreases in sales volumes as described above. Gross profit margins decreased 5.3 percentage points to 47.0% in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 52.3% in the same period a year ago. The decrease in gross profit margins of 5.3 percentage points is primarily a result of the effects of unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates on sales (2.9 percentage points), restructuring of the Company's operations as more fully described in Note 14 (2.2 percentage points) and product mix (0.2 percentage points).

Selling and administrative expense decreased \$5.4 million (-7.8%) to \$64.1 million in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$69.5 million in the same period a year ago. Foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$3.7 million of the decrease. Selling and administrative expense as a percentage of net sales increased to 39.0% in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 36.0% in the same period a year ago as a result of lower sales. This increase of 3.0 percentage points is primarily attributable to higher benefit related costs (1.0 percentage point) and higher sales force and other administrative expenses (2.0 percentage points) as a percent of sales.

Research and development expense totaled \$7.4 million in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$8.7 million in the same period a year ago. As a percentage of net sales, research and development expense remained flat at 4.5%, with decreased spending in all operating segments except CONMED Linvatec.

As discussed in Note 10 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, other expense (income) in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 consisted of a \$0.7 million charge related to the restructuring of certain of the Company's operations.

Amortization of debt discount in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 was \$1.0 million compared to \$1.2 million in the same period a year ago. This amortization is associated with the implementation of FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1 ("FSP APB 14-1") as of January 1, 2009 as further described in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Interest expense in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 was \$1.8 million as compared to \$2.4 million in the same period a year ago. The decrease in interest expense is due to lower weighted average borrowings outstanding in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period a year ago. The weighted average interest rates on our borrowings (inclusive of the finance charge on our accounts receivable sale facility) also declined to 2.62% in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 3.44% in the same period a year ago.

Table of Contents

A provision for income taxes has been recorded at an effective tax rate of 37.5% for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 compared to the 38.5% effective tax rate recorded in the same period a year ago. The effective tax rate for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 is lower than that recorded in the same period a year ago as a result of the benefit of the research and development tax credit recognized in 2009 (not extended or recognized in 2008 until the fourth quarter). A reconciliation of the United States statutory income tax rate to our effective tax rate is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to six months ended June 30, 2008

Sales for the six months ended June 30, 2009 were \$328.6 million, a decrease of \$54.9 million (-14.3%) compared to sales of \$383.5 million in the comparable 2008 period with decreases across all product lines. Foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$22.5 million of the decrease. In local currency, sales decreased 8.5%. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$32.0 million (-30.1%) from \$106.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$74.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$22.9 million (-8.3%) from \$277.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$254.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 24.7% while single-use products decreased 2.2%.

Cost of sales decreased to \$175.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$184.9 million in the same period a year ago on overall decreases in sales volumes as described above. Gross profit margins decreased 5.0 percentage points to 46.8% in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 51.8% in the same period a year ago. The decrease in gross profit margins of 5.0 percentage points is primarily a result of the effects of unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates on sales (3.4 percentage points), restructuring of the Company's operations as more fully described in Note 14 (2.0 percentage points) offset by improved product mix (0.4 percentage points).

Selling and administrative expense decreased \$12.2 million (-8.8%) to \$126.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$138.2 million in the same period a year ago. Foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$8.2 million of the decrease. Selling and administrative expense as a percentage of net sales increased to 38.3% in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 36.0% in the same period a year ago as a result of lower sales. This increase of 2.3 percentage points is primarily attributable to higher benefit related costs (0.9 percentage points) and higher sales force and other administrative expenses (1.4 percentage points) as a percent of sales.

Research and development expense totaled \$15.9 million in the six months ended ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$16.8 million in the same period a year ago. As a percentage of net sales, research and development expense increased to 4.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 4.4% in the same period a year ago. The increase in research and development expense of 0.4 percentage point is due to increased spending on our CONMED Linvatec orthopedic products (0.7 percentage points) offset by decreases in other research and development spending (0.3 percentage points).

Table of Contents

As discussed in Note 10 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, other expense (income) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 consisted of a \$1.3 million charge related to the restructuring of certain of the Company's operations and a \$1.9 million first quarter net pension gain resulting from the freezing of future benefit accruals effective May 14, 2009.

During the first quarter of 2009, we repurchased and retired \$9.9 million of our 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes (the "Notes") for \$7.8 million and recorded a gain on the early extinguishment of debt of \$1.1 million net of the write-offs of \$0.1 million in unamortized deferred financing costs and \$1.0 million in unamortized Notes discount. See additional discussion under Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Amortization of debt discount in the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$2.1 million compared to \$2.4 million in the same period a year ago. This amortization is associated with the implementation of FASB Staff Position No. APB 14-1 ("FSP APB 14-1") as of January 1, 2009 as further described in Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Interest expense in the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$3.3 million as compared to \$5.6 million in the same period a year ago. The decrease in interest expense is due to lower weighted average borrowings outstanding in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period a year ago. The weighted average interest rates on our borrowings (inclusive of the finance charge on our accounts receivable sale facility) also declined to 2.63% in the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 3.95% in the same period a year ago.

A provision for income taxes has been recorded at an effective tax rate of 27.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the 38.5% effective tax rate recorded in the same period a year ago. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is lower than that recorded in the same period a year ago as a result of the settlement of our 2007 IRS examination in the first quarter of 2009, and the resulting adjustment to our reserves and reduction of income tax expense as well as the benefit of the research and development tax credit recognized in 2009 (not extended or recognized in 2008 until the fourth quarter). A reconciliation of the United States statutory income tax rate to our effective tax rate is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008, Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operating Segment Results:

Segment information is prepared on the same basis that we review financial information for operational decision-making purposes. We conduct our business through five principal operating units: CONMED Endoscopic Technologies, CONMED Endosurgery, CONMED Electrosurgery, CONMED Linvatec and CONMED Patient Care. Based upon the aggregation criteria for segment reporting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131 "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" ("SFAS 131"), we have grouped our CONMED Endosurgery, CONMED Electrosurgery and CONMED Linvatec operating units into a single segment. The economic characteristics of CONMED Patient Care and CONMED Endoscopic Technologies do not meet the criteria for aggregation due to the lower overall operating income (loss) of these segments.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the Company's results of operations by segment for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2009.

## CONMED Linvatec, CONMED Electrosurgery and CONMED Endosurgery

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Net sales	\$159,633	\$135,088	\$317,598	\$268,649
Income from operations	27,678	14,751	55,175	27,262
Operating Margin	17.3 %	10.9 %	17.4 %	10.1 %

Product offerings include capital equipment such as electrosurgical generators, video systems, small bone, large bone and specialty hand pieces, and arthroscopic instrumentation for use in orthopedic surgery. Single-use product offerings include a complete line of endo-mechanical instrumentation for minimally invasive laparoscopic procedures, electrosurgical single-use products including pencils and ground pads and orthopedic single-use products such as burs, blades, and implants.

- Arthroscopy sales decreased \$15.1 million (-19.7%) in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$61.6 million from \$76.7 million in the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$4.3 million of the decrease. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$9.3 million (-35.8%) from \$26.0 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$16.7 million in the second quarter of 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$5.8 million (-11.4%) from \$50.7 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$44.9 million in the second quarter of 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 32.7% while single-use products decreased 4.3%. Arthroscopy sales decreased \$26.8 million (-17.6%) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$125.4 million from \$152.2 million in the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$10.4 million of the decrease. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$16.8 million (-32.9%) from \$51.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$34.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$10.0 million (-9.9%) from \$101.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$91.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 28.2% while single-use products decreased 1.9%.
- Powered surgical instrument sales decreased \$6.3 million (-15.8%) in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 to \$33.5 million from \$39.8 million in the comparable 2008 period. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$2.9 million of the decrease. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$4.8 million (-25.0%) from \$19.2 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$14.4 million in the second quarter of 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$1.5 million (-7.3%) from \$20.6 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$19.1 million in the second quarter of 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 20.0% while single-use products increased 1.8%. Powered surgical instrument sales decreased \$14.0 million (-17.4%) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$66.3 million from \$80.3 million in the comparable 2008 period. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$6.8 million of the decrease. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$10.0

million (-25.6%) from \$39.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$29.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$4.0 million (-9.7%) from \$41.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$37.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 18.9% while single-use products increased 0.4%.

Table of Contents

- Electrosurgery sales decreased \$3.2 million (-12.4%) in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 to \$22.7 million from \$25.9 million in the comparable 2008 period. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$0.7 million of the decrease. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$2.5 million (-31.6%) from \$7.9 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$5.4 million in the second quarter of 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$0.7 million (-3.9%) from \$18.0 million in the second quarter of 2008 to \$17.3 million in the second quarter of 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 29.2% while single-use products decreased 1.1%. Electrosurgery sales decreased \$7.5 million (-14.3%) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$45.1 million from \$52.6 million in the comparable 2008 period. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$1.7 million of the decrease. Sales of capital equipment decreased \$5.2 million (-32.5%) from \$16.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$10.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009; sales of single-use products decreased \$2.3 million (-6.3%) from \$36.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 to \$34.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009. On a local currency basis, sales of capital equipment decreased 27.6% while single-use products decreased 3.9%.
- Endosurgery single-use sales remained flat at \$17.3 in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) decreased sales approximately \$0.8 million. On local currency basis, sales increased 4.8%. Endosurgery single-use sales decreased \$0.6 million (-1.8%) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$31.9 million from \$32.5 million in the comparable 2008 period. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) account for approximately \$1.7 million of the decrease. On a local currency basis, sales increased 3.2%.
- Operating margins as a percentage of net sales decreased 6.4 percentage points to 10.9% in the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 compared to 17.3% in 2008 principally as a result of lower gross margins (2.6 percentage points) due to unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates and higher research and development spending (0.6 percentage points) due to increased emphasis on our CONMED Linvatec orthopedic products. In addition, we experienced higher sales force and other administrative expenses (3.2 percentage points) as a percent of lower overall sales.
- Operating margins as a percentage of net sales decreased 7.3 percentage points to 10.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 17.4% in 2008 principally as a result of lower gross margins (3.1 percentage points) due to unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates and higher research and development spending (0.9 percentage points) due to increased emphasis on our CONMED Linvatec orthopedic products. In addition, we experienced higher sales force and other administrative expenses (3.3 percentage points) as a percent of lower overall sales.

Table of Contents

## CONMED Patient Care

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Net sales	\$19,807	\$16,971	\$40,118	\$35,436
Income/(loss) from operations	589	(1,182 )	1,143	(1,622 )
Operating Margin	3.0 %	(7.0 %)	2.8 %	(4.6 %)

Product offerings include a line of vital signs and cardiac monitoring products including pulse oximetry equipment and sensors, ECG electrodes and cables, cardiac defibrillation and pacing pads and blood pressure cuffs. We also offer a complete line of single-use suction instruments and tubing for use in the operating room, as well as a line of IV products.

- Patient care sales decreased \$2.8 million (-14.1%) in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$17.0 million from \$19.8 million in the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) decreased sales approximately \$0.2 million. On a local currency basis, sales decreased 13.2%. Patient care sales decreased \$4.7 million (-11.7%) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$35.4 million from \$40.1 million in the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) decreased sales approximately \$0.5 million. On a local currency basis, sales decreased 10.4%.
- Operating margins as a percentage of net sales decreased 10.0 percentage points to -7.0% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to 3.0% in 2008 while operating margins decreased 7.4 percentage points to -4.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 2.8% in the same period a year ago. The decrease in operating margins in the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2009 is primarily due to the decreases in gross margins of 6.5 and 2.4 percentage points, respectively, compared to the same period a year ago. Higher selling and administrative costs (6.6 and 5.8 percentage points, respectively) accounted for the remaining increase and were offset by decreased research and development spending (3.1 and 0.8 percentage points, respectively) mainly due to our Endotracheal Cardiac Output Monitor (“ECOM”) project.

Table of Contents

## CONMED Endoscopic Technologies

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Net sales	\$13,315	\$12,510	\$25,812	\$24,546
Loss from operations	(2,366 )	(1,381 )	(4,845 )	(3,223 )
Operating Margin	(17.8 %)	(11.0 %)	(18.8 %)	(13.1 %)

Product offerings include a comprehensive line of single-use minimally invasive endoscopic diagnostic and therapeutic instruments used in procedures which require examination of the digestive tract.

- Endoscopic Technologies sales decreased \$0.8 million (-6.0%) in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$12.5 million compared to \$13.3 million in the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$0.6 million of the decrease. On a local currency basis, sales decreased 1.6%. Endoscopic Technologies sales decreased \$1.3 million (-5.0%) in the six months ended June 30, 2009 to \$24.5 million from \$25.8 million in the same period a year ago. Unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates (when compared to the foreign currency exchange rates in the same period a year ago) accounted for approximately \$1.3 million of the decrease. On a local currency basis, sales increased 0.3%.
- Operating margins as a percentage of net sales increased 6.8 percentage points to -11.0% in the quarterly period ending June 30, 2009 compared to -17.8% in 2008 while operating margins increased 5.7 percentage points to -13.1% in the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to -18.8% in the same period a year ago. The increase in operating margins in the quarter and six months ending June 30, 2009 is principally due to higher gross margins (2.9 and 1.4 percentage points, respectively), lower research and development spending of (2.7 and 2.4 percentage points, respectively) and overall lower spending in selling and administrative expenses (1.2 and 1.9 percentage points, respectively).

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity needs arise primarily from capital investments, working capital requirements and payments on indebtedness under the senior credit agreement. We have historically met these liquidity requirements with funds generated from operations, including sales of accounts receivable and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. In addition, we use term borrowings, including borrowings under our senior credit agreement and borrowings under separate loan facilities, in the case of real property purchases, to finance our acquisitions. We also have the ability to raise funds through the sale of stock or we may issue debt through a private placement or public offering.

Table of Contents

Cash provided by operations

Our net working capital position was \$229.0 million at June 30, 2009. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$14.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$37.4 million in the same period a year ago. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$23.4 million in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily as a result of a \$16.0 million decrease in net income in the six months ending June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period a year ago.

Investing cash flows

Net cash used in investing activities in the six month period ended June 30, 2009 consisted mainly of capital expenditures. Capital expenditures were \$17.5 million and \$12.0 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

The decrease in capital expenditures in the six month period ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period a year ago is primarily due to the completion during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 of the implementation of an enterprise business software application as well as certain other infrastructure improvements related to our restructuring efforts as more fully described in Note 14 and in "Restructuring" below. The completion of the implementation of the enterprise business software application and certain other infrastructure improvements should result in lower capital expenditures during the remainder of 2009. Capital expenditures are expected to approximate \$25.0 million in 2009.

Financing cash flows

Net cash provided by financing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2009 consisted primarily of \$9.0 million in borrowings on our revolving credit facility under our senior credit agreement, a \$7.8 million repurchase of our 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes, \$1.0 million in payments on our mortgage loan, and a \$1.6 million net change in cash overdrafts. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for further discussion of the repurchase of the Notes.

Our \$235.0 million senior credit agreement (the "senior credit agreement") consists of a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility and a \$135.0 million term loan. There were \$13.0 million in borrowings outstanding on the revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2009. Our available borrowings on the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2009 were \$80.0 million with approximately \$7.0 million of the facility set aside for outstanding letters of credit. There were \$57.0 million in borrowings outstanding on the term loan at June 30, 2009.

Borrowings outstanding on the revolving credit facility are due and payable on April 12, 2011. The scheduled principal payments on the term loan portion of the senior credit agreement are \$1.4 million annually through December 2011, increasing to \$53.6 million in 2012 with the remaining balance outstanding due and payable on April 12, 2013. We may also be required, under certain circumstances, to make additional principal payments based on excess cash flow as defined in the senior credit agreement. Interest rates on the term loan portion of the senior credit agreement are at LIBOR plus 1.50% (1.81% at June 30, 2009) or an alternative base rate; interest rates on the revolving credit facility portion of the senior credit agreement are at LIBOR plus 1.25% or an alternative base rate (3.50% at June 30, 2009). For those borrowings where the Company elects to use the alternative base rate, the base rate will be the greater of the Prime Rate or the Federal Funds Rate in effect on such date plus 0.50%, plus a margin of 0.50% for term loan borrowings or 0.25% for borrowings under the revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

The senior credit agreement is collateralized by substantially all of our personal property and assets, except for our accounts receivable and related rights which are pledged in connection with our accounts receivable sales agreement. The senior credit agreement contains covenants and restrictions which, among other things, require the maintenance of certain financial ratios, and restrict dividend payments and the incurrence of certain indebtedness and other activities, including acquisitions and dispositions. We were in full compliance with these covenants and restrictions as of June 30, 2009. We are also required, under certain circumstances, to make mandatory prepayments from net cash proceeds from any issue of equity and asset sales.

Mortgage notes outstanding in connection with the property and facilities utilized by our CONMED Linvatec subsidiary consist of a note bearing interest at 8.25% per annum compounded semiannually through June 2009, after which semiannual payments of principal and interest commence, continuing through June 2019 (the "Class C note"). The principal balance outstanding on the Class C note aggregated \$11.7 million at June 30, 2009. This mortgage note is secured by the CONMED Linvatec property and facilities.

We have outstanding \$115.1 million in 2.50% convertible senior subordinated notes due 2024 ("the Notes"). During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we repurchased and retired \$9.9 million of the Notes for \$7.8 million and recorded a gain on the early extinguishment of debt of \$1.1 million net of the write-offs of \$0.1 million in unamortized deferred financing costs and \$1.0 million in unamortized debt discount. The Notes represent subordinated unsecured obligations and are convertible under certain circumstances, as defined in the bond indenture, into a combination of cash and CONMED common stock. Upon conversion, the holder of each Note will receive the conversion value of the Note payable in cash up to the principal amount of the Note and CONMED common stock for the Note's conversion value in excess of such principal amount. Amounts in excess of the principal amount are at an initial conversion rate, subject to adjustment, of 26.1849 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the Note (which represents an initial conversion price of \$38.19 per share). As of June 30, 2009, there was no value assigned to the conversion feature because the Company's share price was below the conversion price. The Notes mature on November 15, 2024 and are not redeemable by us prior to November 15, 2011. Holders of the Notes will be able to require that we repurchase some or all of the Notes on November 15, 2011, 2014 and 2019 provided the terms of the indenture are satisfied.

The Notes contain two embedded derivatives. The embedded derivatives are recorded at fair value in other long-term liabilities and changes in their value are recorded through the consolidated statements of operations. The embedded derivatives have a nominal value, and it is our belief that any change in their fair value would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our Board of Directors has authorized a share repurchase program under which we may repurchase up to \$50.0 million of our common stock in any calendar year. We did not repurchase any shares during the first six months of 2009. We have financed the repurchases and may finance additional repurchases through the proceeds from the issuance of common stock under our stock option plans, from operating cash flow and from available borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

## Table of Contents

Management believes that cash flow from operations, including accounts receivable sales, cash and cash equivalents on hand and available borrowing capacity under our senior credit agreement will be adequate to meet our anticipated operating working capital requirements, debt service, funding of capital expenditures and common stock repurchases in the foreseeable future.

### Off-balance sheet arrangements

We have an accounts receivable sales agreement pursuant to which we and certain of our subsidiaries sell on an ongoing basis certain accounts receivable to CONMED Receivables Corporation (“CRC”), a wholly-owned, bankruptcy-remote, special-purpose subsidiary of CONMED Corporation. CRC may in turn sell up to an aggregate \$50.0 million undivided percentage ownership interest in such receivables (the “asset interest”) to a bank (the “purchaser”). The purchaser’s share of collections on accounts receivable are calculated as defined in the accounts receivable sales agreement, as amended. Effectively, collections on the pool of receivables flow first to the purchaser and then to CRC, but to the extent that the purchaser’s share of collections may be less than the amount of the purchaser’s asset interest, there is no recourse to CONMED or CRC for such shortfall. For receivables which have been sold, CONMED Corporation and its subsidiaries retain collection and administrative responsibilities as agent for the purchaser. As of June 30, 2009, the undivided percentage ownership interest in receivables sold by CRC to the purchaser aggregated \$39.0 million, which has been accounted for as a sale and reflected in the balance sheet as a reduction in accounts receivable. Expenses associated with the sale of accounts receivable, including the purchaser’s financing costs to purchase the accounts receivable were \$0.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and are included in interest expense.

There are certain statistical ratios, primarily related to sales dilution and losses on accounts receivable, which must be calculated and maintained on the pool of receivables in order to continue selling to the purchaser. The pool of receivables is in full compliance with these ratios. Management believes that additional accounts receivable arising in the normal course of business will be of sufficient quality and quantity to meet the requirements for sale under the accounts receivables sales agreement. In the event that new accounts receivable arising in the normal course of business do not qualify for sale, then collections on sold receivables will flow to the purchaser rather than being used to fund new receivable purchases. To the extent that such collections would not be available to CONMED in the form of new receivables purchases, we would need to access an alternate source of working capital, such as our \$100.0 million revolving credit facility. Our accounts receivable sales agreement, as amended, also requires us to obtain a commitment (the “purchaser commitment”) from the purchaser to fund the purchase of our accounts receivable. The purchaser commitment was amended effective December 28, 2007 whereby it was extended through October 31, 2009 under substantially the same terms and conditions.

### Restructuring

During the second quarter of 2008, we announced a plan to restructure certain of our operations. The restructuring plan includes the closure of two manufacturing facilities located in the Utica, New York area totaling approximately 200,000 square feet with manufacturing to be transferred into either our Corporate headquarters location in Utica, New York or into a newly constructed leased manufacturing facility in Chihuahua, Mexico. In addition, manufacturing presently done by a contract manufacturing facility in Juarez, Mexico is being transferred in-house to the Chihuahua facility. Finally, certain domestic distribution activities are being centralized in a new leased consolidated distribution center in Atlanta, Georgia. We believe our restructuring plan will reduce our cost base by consolidating our Utica, New York operations into a single facility and expanding our lower cost Mexican operations, as well as improve service to our customers by shipping orders from more centralized distribution centers. The transition of manufacturing operations and consolidation of distribution activities began in the third quarter of 2008 and is expected to be largely completed by the fourth quarter of 2009.



Table of Contents

In conjunction with our restructuring plan, we considered Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144 "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS 144"). SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be tested for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Based on the announced restructuring plan, our current expectation is that it is more likely than not, that the two manufacturing facilities located in the Utica, New York area scheduled to be closed as a result of the restructuring plan, will be sold prior to the end of their previously estimated useful lives. Even though we expect to sell these facilities prior to the end of their useful lives, we do not believe that at present we meet the criteria contained within SFAS 144 to designate these assets as held for sale and accordingly we have tested them for impairment under the guidance for long-lived assets to be held and used. We performed our impairment testing on the two manufacturing facilities scheduled to close under the restructuring plan by comparing future cash flows expected to be generated by these facilities (undiscounted and without interest charges) against their carrying amounts (\$2.1 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, as of June 30, 2009). Since future cash flows expected to be generated by these facilities exceeds their carrying amounts, we do not believe any impairment exists at this time. However, we cannot be certain an impairment charge will not be taken in the future when the facilities are no longer in use.

As of June 30, 2009, we have incurred \$12.0 million (including \$4.4 million and \$7.9 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively) in costs associated with the restructuring. Approximately \$9.1 million (including \$3.7 million and \$6.6 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively) of the total \$12.0 million in restructuring costs have been charged to cost of goods sold. The \$9.1 million charged to cost of goods sold includes \$4.6 million in under utilization of production facilities (including \$1.8 million and \$3.5 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively), \$1.0 million in accelerated depreciation (including \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively), \$1.1 million in severance related charges (including \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively), and \$2.4 million in other charges (including \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million, in the quarterly period and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively).

The remaining \$2.9 million (including \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million, in the second quarter of 2009 and the six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively) in restructuring costs have been recorded in other expense (income) and include charges related to the consolidation of our distribution centers. As our restructuring plan progresses, we will incur additional charges, including employee termination and other exit costs. Based on the criteria contained within Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146 "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities", no accrual for such costs has been made at this time.

Table of Contents

We estimate the total costs of the restructuring plan will approximate \$10.4 million during 2009, including \$2.1 million related to employee termination costs, \$4.5 million in expense related to abnormally low production levels at certain of our plants (as we transfer production to alternate sites), \$1.4 million in accelerated depreciation at one of the two Utica, New York area facilities which are expected to close and \$2.4 million in other restructuring related activities. We estimate approximately \$2.0 million of the total anticipated \$10.4 million in restructuring costs will be reported in other expense (income) with the remaining \$8.4 million charged to cost of goods sold. The restructuring plan impacts Corporate manufacturing and distribution facilities which support multiple reporting segments. As a result, costs associated with the restructuring plan will be reflected in the Corporate line within our business segment reporting.

New accounting pronouncements

See Note 13 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no significant changes in our primary market risk exposures or in how these exposures are managed during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009. Reference is made to Item 7A. of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008 for a description of Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act")) was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President-Finance and Chief Financial Officer ("the Certifying Officers") as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, the Certifying Officers concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting, except the change discussed under "Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting," below.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, we implemented a new enterprise resource planning ("ERP") system in our CONMED Linvatec operating unit. The implementation of the ERP system represents a material change in our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Management is reviewing and evaluating the design of key controls in the new ERP system and the accuracy of the data conversion that is taking place during the implementation and thus far has not uncovered a control deficiency or combination of control deficiencies that management believes meet the definition of a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting. Although management believes internal controls are being maintained or enhanced by the new ERP system, it has not completed its testing of the operating effectiveness of all key controls in the new system. As such, there is a risk such control deficiencies may exist that have not yet been identified and that could constitute, individually or in combination, a material weakness. Management will continue to evaluate the operating effectiveness of related key controls during subsequent periods.

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Reference is made to Item 3 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2008 and to Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements included in Part I of this Report for a description of certain legal matters.

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The annual meeting of stockholders of CONMED Corporation was held on May 21, 2009 (the "Annual Meeting"). Holders of Common Stock were entitled to elect seven directors. On all matters which came before the Annual Meeting, holders of Common Stock were entitled to one vote for each share held. Proxies for 27,974,915 of the 29,031,045 shares of Common Stock entitled to vote were received in connection with the Annual Meeting.

The following table sets forth the names of the seven persons elected at the Annual Meeting to serve as directors until the first annual meeting of stockholders following the end of the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2009 and the number of votes cast for, against or withheld with respect to each person.

## Election of Directors

Director	Votes Received	Votes Withheld
Eugene R. Corasanti	25,874,072	2,100,843
Joseph J. Corasanti	26,222,799	1,752,116
Bruce F. Daniels	25,861,789	2,113,126
Jo Ann Golden	26,097,120	1,877,795
Stephen M. Mandia	25,804,969	2,169,946
Stuart J. Schwartz	25,938,469	2,036,446
Mark E. Tryniski	26,093,659	1,881,256

Management Proposals	For	Against	Abstain	Broker Non-votes
Approval of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009;	26,952,624	1,009,994	12,297	-

Approval of the Amended and Restated 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan	21,650,468	4,293,120	111,412	1,919,915
---	------------	-----------	---------	-----------

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
31.1	Certification of Joseph J. Corasanti pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), of the Securities Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Robert D. Shallish, Jr. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), of the Securities Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Joseph J. Corasanti and Robert D. Shallish, Jr. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CONMED CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

Date: August 3, 2009

/s/ Robert D. Shallish, Jr.  
Robert D. Shallish, Jr.  
Vice President – Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit		Sequential Page Number
<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Joseph J. Corasanti pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	E-1
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Robert D. Shallish, Jr. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	E-2
<u>32.1</u>	Certification of Joseph J. Corasanti and Robert D. Shallish, Jr. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	E-3