

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC
Form 10-Q
May 19, 2008

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 000-31828

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

06-1694835
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2005 Market Street, 21st Floor, Philadelphia, PA
(Address of principal executive offices)

19103
(Zip Code)

(215) 564-5900

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of common stock outstanding on April 30, 2008 was 43,283,339.

INDEX

| | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| PREFACE | 2 |
| CAUTIONARY NOTE | 3 |
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 5 |
| ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 36 |
| ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK | 58 |
| ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES | 63 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 64 |
| ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS | 65 |
| ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS | 65 |
| ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES | 65 |
| ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | 65 |
| ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION | 65 |
| ITEM 6. EXHIBITS | 65 |
| SIGNATURES | 66 |
| EXHIBIT INDEX | 67 |

PREFACE

Effective May 9, 2008, S. Trezevant Moore, Jr. resigned as our President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of our board of directors and we entered into a separation agreement with him on that date. On May 9, 2008, our board of directors appointed Zachary H. Pashel as our President and Chief Executive Officer effective May 15, 2008 and we intend to enter into an employment agreement with him when he commences employment. Mr. Pashel has served as a member of our board of directors since September 2007.

For further information, reference is made to the Form 8-K Report we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 15, 2008 which is hereby incorporated herein by reference.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements convey our current expectations or forecasts of future events. All statements contained in this Form 10-Q other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs, plans and objectives of management for future operations. The words may continue, estimate, intend, project, believe, plan, anticipate and similar terms may identify forward-looking statements, but the absence of such words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking. These forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements about:

our ability to obtain the financing we need to support our liquidity position and to remain in business;

our inability to meet real estate investment trust, or REIT, dividend and distribution requirements;

continued creditworthiness of the holders of mortgages underlying our mortgage-related assets;

the effect of the flattening of, or other changes in, the yield curve on our investment strategies;

changes in interest rates and mortgage prepayment rates;

our ability to develop new fee-based asset management businesses successfully;

the possible effect of negative amortization of mortgages on our financial condition;

the possible impact of our failure to maintain exemptions under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or the 1940 Act;

the possible impact of our disqualification as a REIT;

the ability to trade our common stock due to the delisting of the stock from the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE;

potential impacts of our leveraging policies on our results of operations and cash available for distribution;

the power of our board of directors to change our operating policies and strategies without stockholder approval;

whether our board of directors will declare periodic distributions to our stockholders;

effects of interest rate caps on the adjustable-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate loans and mortgage-backed securities we own;

the degree to which our hedging strategies may or may not protect us from interest rate volatility;

volatility in the timing and amount of our cash distributions; and

the other important factors described in this Form 10-Q including those under the captions Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk and the risk factors incorporated by reference under Risk Factors.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q may turn out to be inaccurate and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or implied by our forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and future trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. They may be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties.

You should not rely unduly on those forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. Unless required by the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information or future events.

This Form 10-Q contains market data, industry statistics and other data that we have obtained or compiled from information made available by third parties. We have not independently verified any third party data.

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Luminent Mortgage Capital, Inc.: | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2008 (unaudited) and December 31, 2007</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007</u> | 7 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Deficit (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2008</u> | 8 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007</u> | 9 |
| <u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 11 |

**LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | March 31, 2008 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2007 |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| (in thousands, except share and per share amounts) | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,344 | \$ 3,522 |
| Restricted cash | 6,210 | 7,550 |
| Residential mortgage loans, at fair value at March 31, 2008 and at amortized cost, net of allowance for loan losses of \$38,145, at December 31, 2007 | 3,443,660 | 4,191,343 |
| Mortgage-backed securities pledged as collateral, at fair value | 220,574 | 435,885 |
| Equity securities, at fair value | | 373 |
| Interest receivable | 8,179 | 16,129 |
| Principal receivable | 165 | 96 |
| Derivatives, at fair value | 69 | 101 |
| Other assets | 77,004 | 66,919 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 3,757,205 | \$ 4,721,918 |
| | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Mortgage-backed notes, at fair value at March 31, 2008 | \$ 3,376,270 | \$ 3,914,643 |
| Repurchase agreements, at fair value at March 31, 2008 | 348,616 | 526,634 |
| Collateralized debt obligations, at fair value at March 31, 2008 | 53,759 | 294,416 |
| Junior subordinated notes, at fair value at March 31, 2008 | 24,591 | 92,788 |
| Convertible senior notes, at fair value at March 31, 2008 | 36,000 | 90,000 |
| Derivatives, at fair value | 21,807 | 10,605 |
| Revolving line of credit, at fair value at March 31, 2008 | 15,833 | 15,833 |
| Cash distributions payable | 13,857 | 13,857 |
| Accrued interest expense | 13,739 | 10,511 |
| Warrant liability, at fair value | 13,653 | 22,868 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 62,144 | 39,788 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 3,980,269 | 5,031,943 |
| | | |
| Minority interest | 148 | |
| | | |
| Stockholders Deficit: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.001: 100,000,000 shares authorized; 43,283,339 and 43,172,839 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively | 43 | 43 |

Edgar Filing: LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 548,494 | 548,474 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,007 | 6,556 |
| Accumulated distributions in excess of accumulated earnings | (773,756) | (865,098) |
| | | |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (223,212) | (310,025) |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit | \$ 3,757,205 | \$ 4,721,918 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

6

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

| (in thousands, except share and per share amounts) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net interest income: | | |
| Interest income: | | |
| Mortgage loan and securitization portfolio | \$ 66,338 | \$ 98,690 |
| Spread portfolio | 1,316 | 30,376 |
| Credit sensitive bond portfolio | 9,112 | 16,500 |
| | | |
| Total interest income | 76,766 | 145,566 |
| | | |
| Interest expense | 68,972 | 115,204 |
| | | |
| Net interest income | 7,794 | 30,362 |
| | | |
| Other income (expenses): | | |
| Unrealized gains on instruments carried at fair value, net | 141,215 | 14,427 |
| Realized Losses on loans and mortgage-backed securities, net | (6,024) | (15,453) |
| Interest income (expense) on derivative instruments | (2,337) | 837 |
| Impairment losses on mortgage-backed securities | | (4) |
| Other expense | | (80) |
| | | |
| Total other income (expenses) | 132,854 | (273) |
| | | |
| Operating Expenses: | | |
| Servicing expense | 4,827 | 5,986 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 3,543 |
| Salaries and benefits | 1,524 | 3,084 |
| Professional services | 2,225 | 844 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 1,527 | 1,785 |
| | | |
| Total operating expenses | 10,103 | 15,242 |
| | | |
| Income before income taxes | 130,545 | 14,847 |
| | | |
| Income taxes | 2,352 | 460 |
| | | |
| Net income | \$ 128,193 | \$ 14,387 |

Edgar Filing: LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|---------|----|------------|----|------------|
| Net income per share | basic | \$ | 2.97 | \$ | 0.30 |
| Net income per share | diluted | \$ | 1.59 | \$ | 0.30 |
| Weighted-average number of shares outstanding | basic | | 43,108,841 | | 47,316,058 |
| Weighted-average number of shares outstanding | diluted | | 80,644,547 | | 47,427,502 |
| Dividends per share | | \$ | | \$ | 0.30 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

7

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT
(Unaudited)

| (in thousands) | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Par Value | Additional Paid-in Capital | Other Comprehensive Income | Accumulated Distributions in Excess of Accumulated Earnings | Comprehensive Income | Total |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2008 | 43,173 | \$ 43 | \$ 548,474 | \$ 6,556 | \$ (865,098) | | \$ (310,025) |
| Cumulative effect of adoption of new accounting principle | | | | (4,296) | (36,847) | \$ (4,296) | (41,143) |
| Net income | | | | | 128,193 | 128,193 | 128,193 |
| Amortization of derivative gains | | | | (253) | | (253) | (253) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | \$ 123,644 | |
| Issuance and amortization of restricted common stock | 111 | | 20 | | (4) | | 16 |
| Balance, March 31, 2008 | 43,284 | \$ 43 | \$ 548,494 | \$ 2,007 | \$ (773,756) | | \$ (223,212) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| (in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended March | |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| | 2008 | 31, 2007 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 128,193 | \$ 14,387 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Change in fair value of financial instruments | (135,191) | (4,550) |
| Amortization of premium/(discount) on residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities and depreciation and amortization | (164) | 4,442 |
| Impairment losses on securities | | 4 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 3,543 |
| Realized loss on real estate owned | (4,465) | |
| Negative amortization of residential mortgage loans | (8,323) | (35,466) |
| Share-based compensation | 17 | 712 |
| Net losses on sales of mortgage-backed-securities available-for-sale | | 15,453 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in interest receivable, net of purchased interest | 5,181 | (1,250) |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | 10,511 | (18,491) |
| Increase in accounts payable and other liabilities | 16,661 | 7,485 |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued interest expense | 3,225 | (2,754) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 15,645 | (16,485) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of mortgage-backed securities | | (461,640) |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage-backed securities | 129,363 | 14,887 |
| Principal payments of mortgage-backed securities | 6,182 | 115,838 |
| Purchases of residential mortgage loans, net | | (414,262) |
| Principal payments of residential mortgage loans | 100,398 | 460,433 |
| Purchases of derivative instruments | | (8,880) |
| Proceeds from derivative instruments | | 6,701 |
| Net change in restricted cash | 1,340 | (49,947) |
| Proceeds from sale of equity securities | 373 | |
| Other | | (136) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 237,656 | (337,006) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Capitalized financing costs | | (4,414) |
| Borrowings under repurchase agreements | 1,633,530 | 11,312,497 |
| Principal payments on repurchase agreements | (1,823,334) | (11,139,734) |
| Repayments of warehouse lending facilities, net | | (349,362) |

Edgar Filing: LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Repayments of commercial paper facility, net | | (18,655) |
| Distributions to stockholders | | (14,343) |
| Proceeds from issuance of mortgage-backed notes | | 718,451 |
| Principal payments on mortgage-backed notes | (92,829) | (362,535) |
| Proceeds from issuance of collateralized debt obligations | 27,825 | 266,027 |
| Principal payments on collateralized debt obligations | (823) | |
| Proceeds from minority interest investment | 152 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (255,479) | 407,932 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (2,178) | 54,441 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period | 3,522 | 5,902 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period | \$ 1,344 | \$ 60,343 |

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)
(Unaudited)

| (in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 65,743 | \$ 122,217 |
| Taxes paid (refund received) | (3,600) | 56 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Transfer of residential mortgage loans to real estate owned | 37,830 | 2,879 |
| Proceeds from the sale of mortgage-backed securities | (2,315) | |
| Repayments of repurchase agreements | 2,315 | |
| Acquisition of mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale through collateralized debt obligations | | (3,986) |
| (Increase) decrease in principal receivable | (69) | (433) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash distributions payable to stockholders | | 75 |
| Principal payments of mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale | | 183 |
| Repayments of warehouse lending facilities | | (183) |
| Increase in unsettled security purchases | | 2,271 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 OVERVIEW

Luminent Mortgage Capital Inc., or the Company, was organized as a Maryland corporation on April 25, 2003. The Company commenced operations on June 11, 2003. The Company's common stock began trading on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the trading symbol LUM on December 19, 2003. The NYSE suspended the listing of the Company's stock effective May 2, 2008. Subsequently, the Company's stock began to be quoted on the Over-the-Counter, or OTC, Bulletin Board under the trading symbol LUMC .

The Company is a real estate investment trust, or REIT, which, together with its subsidiaries, has historically invested in two core mortgage investment strategies. Under its Residential Mortgage Credit strategy, the Company invests in mortgage loans purchased from selected high-quality providers within certain established criteria as well as subordinated mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities that have credit ratings below AAA. Under its Spread strategy, the Company invests primarily in U.S. agency and other highly-rated single-family, adjustable-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities. On March 28, 2008, the Company announced its intention, subject to stockholder approval, to convert from a Maryland corporation qualified as a REIT to a publicly-traded partnership, or PTP, taxed as a partnership in order to provide more flexibility to offer services managing real estate debt and provide fee-based management services to third-parties. In anticipation of this conversion, the Company formed a new REIT subsidiary to hold certain retained interests of securitization trusts that are required to be held by a REIT in accordance with the trust documents. Third-party investors hold the common stock of this subsidiary, and the Company therefore recognized a minority interest of \$0.1 million on the consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2008 representing the subsidiary common stock held by third parties.

The information furnished in these unaudited condensed consolidated interim statements reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, unless otherwise disclosed in this Form 10-Q. The results of operations for the interim periods do not necessarily indicate the results that may be expected for the full year. The interim financial information should be read in conjunction with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Business Conditions and Going Concern

The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. As the Company announced on August 6, 2007, the mortgage industry and the financing methods the industry has historically relied upon deteriorated significantly and in an unprecedented fashion. Effectively, the secondary market for many fixed income securities especially mortgage-backed securities closed, and, as a result, the Company simultaneously experienced a significant increase in margin calls from its repurchase agreement counterparties, or repurchase agreement lenders, and a decrease in the amount of financing its lenders would provide on a given amount of collateral. Prices for even the highest quality AAA-rated bonds dropped precipitously. These events resulted in a rapid and significant loss of liquidity forcing the Company to sell investment assets at significant losses and write down investments held in its portfolio to reflect reductions in the fair value of the investments. As of March 31, 2008, the Company had a deficit to stockholder's equity of \$223.2 million because of these losses, and these events caused substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

Beginning in August 2007, the Company has entered into a number of financing transactions with Arco Capital Corporation, Ltd., or Arco, and issued a warrant to Arco enabling it to acquire a 51% economic interest in the Company. Currently, the Company is continuing to shift financing from short-term arrangements that are subject to margin calls to long-term financing and financing provided by related parties. As of March 31, 2008, the Company had \$182.3 million of short-term financing remaining with non-related parties.

The Company's main source of liquidity is monthly principal and interest payments on loans and mortgage-backed securities investments. These monthly cash receipts are used to pay contractual principal and interest payments on debt as well as to pay expenses required to support the Company's operations.

On March 28, 2008, the Company announced its intention, subject to shareholder approval, to restructure the Company from a corporation qualified as a REIT to a PTP. The PTP structure will permit the Company to offer fee-based services including credit-risk management services, asset management advisory services and sub-manager services without the restrictive asset and income rules to which companies qualified as a REIT must adhere. An additional advantage of this structure is the flexibility it would provide related to income distribution in that management would have more discretion to conserve capital or distribute capital to stockholders than is allowed under REIT requirements. In anticipation of the conversion to this structure, the Company is actively marketing its services, including the formation of a joint venture to perform credit risk management services. The Company believes that over time fee income will provide a more significant source of income for the Company.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 describes the Company's significant accounting policies. There have been no significant changes to these policies during 2008 with the exception of the required adoption of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, and the adoption of SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, that the Company adopted for investment assets and financing liabilities. See the description of recent accounting pronouncements below.

Changes in Accounting Policies

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued SFAS No. 159 that allows entities to make an election to record financial assets and liabilities, with limited exceptions, at fair value on the balance sheet, with changes in fair value recorded in earnings. The Company adopted the Statement as of January 1, 2008 and elected the fair value option for all of its investment assets, which include residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, and for financing liabilities, which include repurchase agreements, mortgage-backed notes, collateralized debt obligations, senior convertible notes, junior subordinated notes and lines of credit. These assets and liabilities were selected for fair value accounting in order to provide better matching of accounting methods for related assets and liabilities and may reduce, or at times may increase volatility in the income statement that occurred previously due to the use of different accounting methods for related assets and liabilities. By adopting this Statement, the Company's investment assets and related financing liabilities will be carried on the balance sheet at fair value with gains and losses due to changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities recorded in the statement of operations. At January 1, 2008, all differences between the carrying basis of these assets and liabilities as well as the effect of the write-off of certain related assets and liabilities such as premiums and discounts, deferred financing costs and valuation accounts were recorded in stockholders' equity as the cumulative effect of adoption of a new accounting principle.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The effect on the financial assets and liabilities selected for the fair value option as of January 1, 2008 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Carrying Value Prior to Adoption | Net Gain (Loss) due to Adoption | Carrying Value After Adoption |
|--|---|--|--|
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ 4,191,343 | \$ (522,586) | \$ 3,668,757 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 435,885 | | 435,885 |
| Debt issuance costs, a component of other assets | 17,144 | (17,144) | |
| Mortgage-backed notes | 3,914,643 | 280,007 | 3,634,636 |
| Repurchase agreements | 526,634 | (19,795) | 546,429 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 294,416 | 171,283 | 123,133 |
| Junior subordinated notes | 92,788 | 43,242 | 49,546 |
| Convertible senior notes | 90,000 | 23,850 | 66,150 |
| Pre-tax cumulative effect of adoption | | (41,143) | |
| Effect on deferred tax asset | | | |
| Cumulative effect on stockholders' equity | | (41,143) | |
| Reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income | | 4,296 | |
| Cumulative effect on retained earnings | | \$ (36,847) | |

The net loss due to the adoption of SFAS No. 159 above included the reclassification to stockholder equity of a \$38.1 million allowance for loan losses, \$95.7 million of loan premiums, \$23.7 million of net discounts on mortgage-backed securities, \$19.8 million of discounts on repurchase agreements, \$3.9 million of net premiums on debt obligations and \$17.2 million of debt issuance costs.

The adoption of SFAS No. 159 resulted in new fair value measurement policies for some of the Company's assets and liabilities. The following discussion describes new accounting policies adopted in conjunction with the adoption of SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008. Historical balances are carried in accordance with the policies in place prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, which are detailed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Residential Mortgage Loans

The Company estimates the fair value of its residential mortgage loans using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. The Company believes the estimates used reflect the fair values it may be able to receive should it choose to sell the loans. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. The Company

applies these factors to its portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of residential mortgage loans are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Interest income on mortgage loans is accrued and credited to income based on the contractual amounts due under the loan agreements. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in the Company's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. Also, loans 90 days or more past due are placed on non-accrual status. When an interest accrual is discontinued, all associated unpaid accrued interest income is reversed against current period operating results. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

Investment Securities

The Company's Spread portfolio consists of AAA-rated mortgage-backed securities. The fair values of these securities are based on estimates provided by independent pricing services and dealers in mortgage-backed securities. Because the price estimates may vary between sources, the Company makes certain judgments and assumptions about the appropriate price to use. Different judgments and assumptions could result in different presentations of value.

The Company's Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio consists of mortgage-backed securities rated below AAA. The fair value of the Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio is estimated using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. The Company believes the estimates used reflect the fair values it may be able to receive should it choose to sell the mortgage-backed securities. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. The Company applies these factors to its portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of mortgage-backed securities are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Security transactions are recorded on the trade date.

Interest income on investment securities is accrued and credited to income based upon the contractual amounts due under the security terms.

Mortgage-Backed Notes

The Company has issued non-recourse mortgage-backed notes to provide permanent financing for its residential mortgage loans. The mortgage-backed notes are issued through securitization trusts which are comprised of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR. The Company estimates the fair value of its mortgage-backed notes using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. The Company believes the estimates used reflect the fair values that the Company would pay to transfer the liability. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. The Company applies these factors to its portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of mortgage-backed notes are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Interest expense on mortgage-backed notes is accrued as interest expense based upon the contractual amounts due under the note terms.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

The Company has issued non-recourse collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs, to provide permanent financing for some of its mortgage-backed securities. The CDOs are issued through a securitization trust which is comprised of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the three-month LIBOR. The Company estimates the fair value of its CDOs using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. The Company believes the estimates used reflect the fair values that the Company would pay to transfer the liability. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. The Company applies these factors to its portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of CDOs are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Interest expense on CDOs is accrued as interest expense based upon the contractual amounts due under the note terms.

Repurchase Agreements

The Company's repurchase agreements are borrowings secured by mortgage-backed securities. All of the Company's repurchase agreements at March 31, 2008 are short-term, and, therefore, the contractual obligation approximates their fair value.

Revolving Line of Credit and Unsecured Borrowings

The Company has a revolving line of credit and unsecured borrowings in the form of junior subordinated notes and convertible senior notes. The fair value of these borrowings is estimated based upon the contractual principal and interest payment terms, contractual interest rates in relation to current interest rates and the Company's own credit standing and in the case of the convertible notes, estimates obtained from third parties. The Company believes the estimates used reflect the fair value that it would pay to transfer the liability. Gains and losses in the fair value of revolving lines of credit and unsecured borrowings are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Interest expense on the revolving line of credit and unsecured borrowings is accrued as interest expense based upon the contractual amounts due under the debt terms.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 that defines fair value in generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or GAAP, and expands requirements for disclosure about fair value estimates. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008. The statement did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements other than the requirement to include the expanded disclosure included in Note 8.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position, or FSP 140-3, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets and Repurchase Financing Transactions*, to resolve questions about the accounting for repurchase financings. Under the FSP, if a repurchase agreement is entered into contemporaneously, or in the contemplation of the initial transfer of an asset and is with the same counterparty as the asset transfer, then under most circumstances neither the asset nor the repurchase agreement liability will be recorded in the financial statements and the arrangement will be treated as a forward contract under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. The FSP is effective for repurchase financings in which the initial transfer is entered into in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The initial adoption of this Statement will not have a material effect on the Company's balance sheet or results of operations, but if the Company enters into significant transactions described in the Statement the accounting would be significantly different from current practice where both the asset and liability are recorded on the Company's balance sheet and interest income and expense is recorded in the Company's results of operations.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction, whether the assets are acquired from a full or partial acquisition; establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; requires expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs; and requires the acquirer to disclose to investors and other users all of the information needed to evaluate and understand the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) applies to all transactions or other events in which the Company obtains control of one or more businesses, including those sometimes referred to as true mergers or mergers of equals and combinations achieved without the transfer of consideration, for example, by contract alone or through the lapse of minority veto rights. SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51*. SFAS No. 160 requires reporting entities to present noncontrolling or minority interests as equity as opposed to as a liability or mezzanine equity, and provides guidance on the accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests. SFAS No. 160 applies for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

NOTE 3 SECURITIES

As of January 1, 2008, due to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, all of the Company's securities are carried at fair value with all realized and unrealized gains and losses recognized in the consolidated statement of operations.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company held \$6.9 million hybrid securities that were classified as trading. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company recognized an increase in fair value of these securities of \$59 thousand in its consolidated statement of operations.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the Company's unrealized gains and losses on securities classified as available-for-sale, which were carried at fair value as of December 31, 2007.

Unrealized Gains and Losses on Available-for-Sale Securities
(in thousands)

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2007 | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities, available-for-sale | \$ 424,838 | \$ 4,188 | \$ | \$ 429,026 |
| Equity securities | 373 | | | 373 |
| Total | \$ 425,211 | \$ 4,188 | \$ | \$ 429,399 |

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, the Company evaluated available-for-sale securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when conditions warranted such evaluation. As of December 31, 2007, due to business conditions at that date, the Company could not say with certainty that it had the ability to hold these securities until recovery of the impairment loss, and, therefore, all impairment losses were recognized through its consolidated statement of operations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2008 the Company had realized losses of \$2.0 million on the sales of securities in its Residential Mortgage Portfolio. The securities were sold as part of our continuing strategy to reduce leverage. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company had realized losses of \$15.5 million on the sale of securities in its Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio. These securities were selected for sale due to the rising level of delinquencies in the underlying loan collateral which was noted in the first quarter of 2007, as well as to reduce the Company's exposure to certain mortgage-backed assets issuers.

The weighted-average lives of the mortgage-backed securities as of March 31, 2008 in the table below are based upon data provided through subscription-based financial information services, assuming constant prepayment rates to the balloon or reset date for each security. The prepayment model considers current yield, forward yield, steepness of the yield curve, current mortgage rates, mortgage rates of the outstanding loans, loan age, margin and volatility. Actual maturities of the Company's mortgage-backed securities are affected by the contractual lives of the underlying mortgages, periodic payments of principal and prepayments of principal, and are generally shorter than their stated maturities.

Weighted-Average Life of Mortgage-Backed Securities
(dollars in thousands)

| Weighted-Average Life | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Weighted- Average Coupon |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Less than one year | \$ 6,034 | \$ 14,951 | 4.78% |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 166,900 | 209,877 | 3.86 |
| Greater than five years | 47,640 | 85,066 | 4.26 |
| Total | \$ 220,574 | \$ 309,894 | 4.08% |

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

At December 31, 2007, mortgage-backed securities had a weighted-average amortized cost, excluding residual interests, of 51.1% of face amount.

NOTE 4 RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LOANS

As of January 1, 2008, due to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, all of the Company's residential mortgage loans are carried at fair value with all realized and unrealized gains and losses recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. Prior to January 1, 2008, the Company's residential mortgage loans were carried at amortized cost, net of allowance for loan losses.

Components of Residential Mortgage Loans
(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Principal | \$ 3,999,843 | \$ 4,133,820 |
| Unamortized premium | | 95,668 |
| | 3,999,843 | 4,229,488 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | (38,145) |
| Fair value adjustment | (556,183) | |
| Total residential mortgage loans, at fair value at March 31, 2008 and at amortized cost, net of allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2007 | \$ 3,443,660 | \$ 4,191,343 |

At December 31, 2007, residential mortgage loans had a weighted-average amortized cost of 102.3% of face amount.

Geographic Concentrations of Residential Mortgage Loans

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Top five geographic concentrations (% exposure): | | |
| California | 56.6% | 56.5% |
| Florida | 9.9% | 9.7% |
| Arizona | 4.2% | 4.3% |
| Virginia | 3.8% | 3.9% |
| Nevada | 3.7% | 3.7% |

Residential mortgage loans are now carried at fair value, and, therefore, no allowance for loan losses is recorded because estimated losses are now included in the calculation of fair value. The Company's activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 is as follows:

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)
Allowance for Loan Losses
(in thousands)

| | | Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 |
|------------------------------|----|--|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 5,020 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 3,543 |
| Charge-offs | | (301) |
| | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 8,262 |

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company estimated that \$513.3 million and \$352.8 million, respectively, of loans were impaired. At December 31, 2007, residential mortgage loans in the amount of \$11.8 million were individually evaluated and it was determined that no allowance for loan losses was required because those loans were eligible for putback to the seller or the value of the collateral, including any available mortgage insurance, was sufficient, and no loss was expected on the loan. At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$702.8 million and \$254.0 million, respectively, of residential mortgage loans were 90 days or more past due all of which were on non-accrual status. Interest reversed for loans in non-accrual status at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$17.0 million and \$10.5 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company had \$63.5 million and \$34.7 million, respectively, of real estate owned that is included in other assets on its consolidated balance sheet.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

NOTE 5 BORROWINGS

The Company leverages its portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and residential mortgage loans through the use of various financing arrangements. As of January 1, 2008, due to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, all of the Company's borrowings are carried at fair value with all realized and unrealized gains and losses recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. Prior to January 1, 2008, the Company's borrowings were carried at amortized cost, net of unamortized discounts or premiums. The following table presents summarized information with respect to the Company's borrowings.

Borrowings
(dollars in thousands)

| | Fair Value | Contractual Balance | Weighted- Average Interest Rate | Fair Value of Collateral(4) | Final Stated Maturities (5) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| March 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed notes | \$ 3,376,270 | \$ 3,797,843 | 2.99% | \$ 3,442,707 | 2046 |
| Repurchase agreements | 348,616 | 348,616 | 6.39 | 165,830 | 2008 |
| Collateralized debt obligations | 53,759 | 358,109 | 3.64 | 92,814 | 2047 |
| Junior subordinated notes | 24,591 | 92,788 | 7.44 | none | 2035 |
| Convertible senior notes | 36,000 | 90,000 | 8.63 | none | 2027 |
| Revolving line of credit | 15,833 | 15,833 | 7.14 | none | 2012 |
| Total | \$ 3,855,069 | \$ 4,703,189 | 3.50% | \$ 3,701,351 | |
| December 31, 2007 | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed notes (1) | \$ 3,634,636 | \$ 3,890,224 | 3.95% | \$ 3,711,875 | 2046 |
| Repurchase agreements (2) | 546,429 | 546,429 | 7.23 | 396,657 | 2008 |
| Collateralized debt obligations (3) | 123,133 | 295,122 | 5.55 | 117,789 | 2047 |
| Junior subordinated notes | 49,546 | 92,788 | 8.42 | none | 2035 |
| Convertible senior notes | 66,150 | 90,000 | 8.63 | none | 2027 |
| Revolving line of credit | 15,833 | 15,833 | 9.10 | none | 2012 |
| Total | \$ 4,435,727 | \$ 4,930,396 | 4.57% | \$ 4,226,321 | |

(1) Outstanding balances for mortgage-backed notes exclude \$24.4 million in unamortized premium at December 31, 2007

- (2) Outstanding balances for repurchase agreements at December 31, 2007 exclude \$19.8 million of unamortized discounts for the issuance of a warrant in conjunction with obtaining certain repurchase agreement financing.
- (3) Outstanding balance for collateralized debt obligations excludes \$0.7 million of unamortized discounts at December 31, 2007.
- (4) Collateral for borrowings consists of mortgage-backed securities and residential mortgage loans. Values listed as none are unsecured borrowings.
- (5) Mortgage-backed notes, repurchase agreements and collateralized debt obligations mature at various dates. The date above is the last maturity date for each type of

borrowing. For mortgage-backed notes and collateralized debt obligations, the maturity of each class of securities is directly affected by the rate of principal repayments on the associated residential mortgage loan collateral. As a result, the actual maturity of each series of mortgage-backed notes may be shorter than the stated maturity.

At December 31, 2007, the Company had unamortized capitalized financing costs of \$17.2 million related to its borrowings, which were deferred at the issuance date of the related borrowing and were being amortized using the effective yield method over the estimated life of the borrowing. All capitalized financing costs were reclassified to stockholders' equity as part of the cumulative effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2008.

Mortgage-Backed Notes

The Company has issued non-recourse mortgage-backed notes to provide permanent financing for its residential mortgage loans. The mortgage-backed notes are issued through securitization trusts which are comprised

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the one-month LIBOR. The borrowing rates of the mortgage-backed notes reset monthly except for \$0.2 billion of the notes which, like the underlying loan collateral, are fixed for a period of three to five years and then become variable based on the average rates of the underlying loans which will adjust based on LIBOR. Residential mortgage loans collateralize the mortgage-backed notes. On a consolidated basis, the securitizations are accounted for as financings in accordance with SFAS No. 140 and qualify for consolidation with the Company's balance sheet in accordance with FIN 46(R); therefore, the assets and liabilities of the securitization entities are consolidated on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. See Note 12 for information on the deconsolidation of securitization trusts.

Repurchase Agreements

The Company has entered into repurchase agreements with four third-party financial institutions to finance the purchase of certain of its mortgage-backed securities. The repurchase agreements are short-term borrowings that bear interest rates based on one-month LIBOR plus a spread.

Repurchase Agreement Maturities
(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Overnight 1 day or less | \$ 75,523 | \$ 4,199 |
| Between 2 and 30 days | 273,093 | 542,230 |
| Total | \$ 348,616 | \$ 546,429 |

(1) Balance at December 31, 2007 excludes \$19.8 million of unamortized discounts for the issuance of a warrant in conjunction with obtaining certain repurchase agreement financing.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

The Company has issued non-recourse collateralized debt obligations or CDOs to provide permanent financing for some of its mortgage-backed securities. The CDOs are issued through a securitization trust, which is comprised of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the three-month LIBOR. The borrowing rates of the CDOs reset monthly. Mortgage-backed securities collateralize the CDOs. On a consolidated basis, the securitization is accounted for as a financing in accordance with SFAS No. 140 and qualified for consolidation with the Company's balance sheet in accordance with FIN 46(R); therefore, the assets and liabilities of the securitization entity is consolidated on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

As of March 31, 2008, 39 securities with a fair value of \$8.1 million that collateralize the CDOs were deemed to be in default by way of ratings downgrades. These securities have, to date, continued to make principal and interest payments as required by their individual structures. Because these securities are deemed to be in default, the principal payment structure of the CDOs has converted from a pro-rata principal payment structure to a sequential principal payment structure where principal payments are paid to the highest rated bonds first and then will be made sequentially to each class of bonds from highest to lowest. In addition, all interest payments collected by the trust on the securities that are deemed to be in default are made as principal payments on a sequential pay basis.

Junior Subordinated Notes

Junior subordinated notes consist of 30-year notes issued in March and December of 2005 to Diana Statutory Trust I, or DST I, and Diana Statutory Trust II, or DST II, respectively. These trusts are unconsolidated affiliates of the Company formed to issue \$2.8 million of the trusts' common securities to the Company and to place

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

\$90.0 million of preferred securities privately with unrelated third-party investors. The Company pays interest to the trusts quarterly. The trusts remit dividends pro rata to the holders of the common and preferred trust securities based on the same terms as the junior subordinated notes.

The DST I notes in the amount of \$51.6 million bear interest at a fixed rate of 8.16% per annum through March 30, 2010 and, thereafter, at a variable rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum through maturity. The DST I notes and trust securities mature in March 2035 and are redeemable on any interest payment date at the option of the Company in whole, but not in part, on or after March 30, 2010 at the redemption rate of 100% plus accrued and unpaid interest. Prior to March 30, 2010, upon the occurrence of a special event relating to certain U.S. federal income tax or investment company events, the Company may redeem the DST I notes in whole, but not in part, at the redemption rate of 107.5% plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The DST II notes in the amount of \$41.2 million bear interest at a variable rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum through maturity. The DST II notes and trust securities mature in December 2035, the Company may redeem the DST II notes at any interest payment date in whole, but not in part, at the redemption rate of 100% plus accrued and unpaid interest.

Convertible Senior Notes

In June 2007, the Company completed a private offering of \$90.0 million of convertible senior notes, or the Notes, that are due in 2027 with a coupon of 8.125%.

Prior to June 1, 2026, upon the occurrence of specified events, as defined in the Note agreement, primarily related to the price of the Company's stock or change of control transactions, the Notes are convertible at the option of the holder at an initial conversion rate of 89.4114 shares of the Company's common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes. The initial conversion price of \$11.18 represented a 22.5% premium to the closing price of \$9.13 per share of the Company's common stock on May 30, 2007. On or after June 1, 2026, the Notes are convertible at any time prior to maturity at the option of the holder. Upon conversion of the Notes by a holder, the holder will receive cash up to the principal amount of such Notes and, with respect to the remainder, if any, of the conversion value in excess of such principal amount, at the option of the Company in cash or in shares of the Company's common stock. The initial conversion rate is subject to adjustment in certain circumstances, which primarily relate to the issuance of new shares of common stock, as defined in the Note agreement.

Prior to June 5, 2012, the Notes are not redeemable at the Company's option, except to preserve the Company's qualification as a REIT. On or after June 5, 2012, the Company may redeem all or a portion of the Notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, including additional interest, if any.

Note holders may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of the Notes at a purchase price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest (including additional interest), if any, on the Notes on June 1, 2012, June 1, 2017, June 1, 2022 or upon the occurrence of certain change in control transactions prior to June 5, 2012.

As of March 31, 2008, the Company is paying an additional interest rate penalty of 0.50% per annum on the Notes because the Company has not filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to register the securities associated with the convertible debt as required by the agreement. In accordance with GAAP, the penalty rate is accrued until the time the Company estimates it will be in compliance with the terms of the agreement. As of March 31, 2008, \$0.2 million was accrued for this penalty.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

Revolving Line of Credit

In September 2007, the Company entered into a definitive credit agreement with a related party that was amended in December 2007 that provides for a revolving credit facility in the amount of the lesser of \$16.0 million or 85% of eligible asset values as defined in the definitive credit agreement. The line of credit matures on September 26, 2012 and undrawn portions of the facility can be cancelled at the Company's option. The line of credit bears interest at LIBOR plus 4.00%. A commitment fee of 0.50% is payable quarterly on the daily average amount of the unused line of credit. The facility will be repaid from net proceeds in excess of \$250,000 from the sale, transfer or disposition of any property or asset of the Company or from 75% of the Company's annual excess cash amount as defined in the agreement. The line of credit is secured by all of the assets of the Company that are not subject to a previous security interest. As of March 31, 2008, the Company was not in compliance with certain covenants required by this agreement; however, Arco has provided the Company with a waiver and has continued to allow the Company to maintain the lines of credit.

NOTE 6 CAPITAL STOCK AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

Beginning on August 14, 2007, the Company entered into a series of agreements with Arco that provided it with repurchase agreement financing and a liquidity line of credit. In exchange for the repurchase agreement financing Arco received a warrant to purchase up to 51,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock representing 49% of the voting interest in the Company and preferred stock to, together with the common stock, represent a total of 51% of the economic interest in the Company on a fully diluted basis. The warrant holder has the right to elect to receive non-voting shares upon exercise of the warrant. The warrant is exercisable until September 30, 2012 at an exercise price of \$0.18 per share subject to anti-dilution adjustments to maintain the economic ownership percentage of the Company attributable to the warrant at 51% on a fully-diluted basis.

The Company recorded the initial value of the warrant of \$22.3 million as a liability with an offsetting amount recorded as debt discount on the related repurchase agreement financing. At January 1, 2008, due to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, the Company reclassified to stockholder's equity unamortized debt discount related to the warrants in the amount of \$19.8 million as a component of the cumulative effect of adoption of a new accounting principle. Subsequent changes in the fair value of the warrants are recorded as a liability with the gain or loss recognized in other income (expense) in the consolidated statement of operations.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The Company estimates the fair value of the warrant using the Black-Scholes Pricing Model. Values ascribed to the warrant are as follows:

Warrant Pricing Assumptions

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.46% | 3.45% |
| Expected life in years | 4.42 | 4.67 |
| Expected volatility (1) | 30.0% | 30.0% |
| Dividend yield (2) | 0.0% | 0.0% |

(1) The Company's stock price volatility increased dramatically subsequent to July 31, 2007 due to unprecedented events in the mortgage industry and the Company's liquidity concerns. That level of volatility is not considered to be indicative of volatility over the life of the warrant and, therefore, expected volatility was calculated based on the Company's stock price and competitors stock prices prior to July 31, 2007.

- (2) The Company has suspended the payment of its second quarter dividend and does not currently expect to declare a dividend over the life of the warrant; therefore the dividend yield is estimated to be zero.

From November 2005 to May 2007, the Company's board of directors authorized a series of common stock repurchase programs. As of March 31, 2008, the Company had the authority to acquire up to 2,370,785 common shares, however; due to the Company's liquidity concerns; the Company has no plans to repurchase shares of its common stock.

The Company calculates basic net income or loss per share by dividing net income or loss for the period by the weighted-average shares of its common stock outstanding for that period. Diluted net income per share takes into account the effect of dilutive instruments, such as warrants, stock options and unvested restricted common stock and convertible notes, but uses the average share price for the period in determining the number of incremental shares that are to be added to the weighted-average number of shares outstanding.

Reconciliation of Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | March 31, 2008 | | March 31, 2007 | |
| | Basic | Diluted(1) | Basic | Diluted |
| Net income | \$ 128,193 | \$ 128,193 | \$ 14,387 | \$ 14,387 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | 43,108,841 | 43,108,841 | 47,316,058 | 47,316,058 |
| Additional shares due to: | | | | |
| Assumed conversion of dilutive warrant, common stock options and vesting of unvested restricted common stock | | 37,535,706 | | 111,444 |
| Adjusted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | 43,108,841 | 80,644,547 | 47,316,058 | 47,427,502 |
| Net income per share | \$ 2.97 | \$ 1.59 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 |

- (1) At March 31, 2008, the Company had 5,000 shares in stock options, 130,760 in unvested stock grants and

8,050,089
shares in
instruments
related to
convertible debt
which are not
included in the
above
calculations due
to their
anti-dilutive
effect.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

NOTE 7 2003 STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

Effective June 4, 2003, the Company adopted a 2003 Stock Incentive Plan and a 2003 Outside Advisors Stock Incentive Plan. The plans provide for the grant of a variety of long-term incentive awards to employees and officers of the Company or individual consultants or advisors who render or have rendered bona fide services as an additional means to attract, motivate, retain and reward eligible persons. These plans as amended authorize the award of up to 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at the discretion of the compensation committee of the board of directors of which 1,850,000 shares comprise the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan and 150,000 shares comprise the 2003 Outside Advisors Stock Incentive Plan. The compensation committee determines the exercise price and the vesting requirement of each grant as well as the maximum term of each grant. The Company uses historical data to estimate stock option exercises and employee termination in its calculations of stock-based employee compensation expense and expected terms.

Common Stock Available for Grant

| | 2003 Stock Incentive Plan | 2003 Outside Advisors Stock Incentive Plan | Total |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| March 31, 2008 | | | |
| Shares reserved for issuance | 1,850,000 | 150,000 | 2,000,000 |
| Granted | (1,140,000) | (20,760) | (1,160,760) |
| Forfeited | | | |
| Expired | 50,000 | | 50,000 |
| Total available for grant | 760,000 | 129,240 | 889,240 |

Outstanding Stock Options

| | |
|---|---------|
| March 31, 2008 | |
| Stock options outstanding (shares) | 5,000 |
| Weighted-average exercise price | \$13.00 |
| Weighted-average remaining life (years) | 5.58 |

At March 31, 2008, all outstanding stock options were fully vested and had no aggregate intrinsic value. No stock options were granted, exercised or forfeited during the three months ended March 31, 2008. Stock options for 50,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$15.00 per share expired unexercised during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)
Common Stock Awards

| | Number of Common Shares | Weighted-Average Issue Price |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Outstanding, January 1, 2008 | 990,423 | \$ 9.18 |
| Issued | 110,500 | 0.65 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Outstanding, March 31, 2008 | 1,100,923 | \$ 8.32 |

Non-Vested Common Stock Awards

| | Number of Common Shares | Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| Nonvested, January 1, 2008 | 20,760 | \$ 9.20 |
| Granted | 110,500 | 0.65 |
| Vested | | |
| Forfeited | | |
| Nonvested, March 31, 2008 | 131,260 | \$ 1.92 |

The fair value of common stock awards is determined on the grant date using the closing stock price on the NYSE that day.

Total stock-based compensation expense related to common stock awards for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 were \$20 thousand and \$0.7 million, respectively. At March 31, 2008, stock-based employee compensation expense of \$0.2 million related to non-vested common stock awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The fair value of short-term financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, interest receivable, principal receivable, accrued interest expense and accounts payable approximate their carrying value on the consolidated balance sheet.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 159 and elected to account for all of its investments and financing liabilities at fair value. The fair values of the Company's financial instruments that were not reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007 prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 159 are reported below.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments
(in thousands)

| | December 31, 2007 | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$4,191,343 | \$3,668,755 |

Edgar Filing: LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Mortgage-backed notes | 3,914,643 | 3,634,636 |
| Repurchase agreements | 526,634 | 546,429 |
| CDOs | 294,416 | 123,133 |
| Convertible senior notes | 90,000 | 66,150 |
| Junior subordinated notes | 92,788 | 49,546 |

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157. The statement established a fair value hierarchy that distinguished between assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company's assumptions (unobservable inputs). Determining where an asset or liability falls within that hierarchy depends on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement as a whole. An adjustment to the pricing method used within either level 1 or level 2 inputs could generate a fair value measurement that effectively falls into a lower level in the hierarchy. The hierarchy consists of three broad levels as follows:

Level 1 quoted market prices in an active market for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 inputs other than level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable

Level 3 unobservable inputs developed using the Company's estimates and assumptions, which reflect those that market participants would use

The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter, and, based on various factors; it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from quarter to quarter. However, the Company expects that changes in classifications between different levels will be rare.

See Note 2 for a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities for which the Company adopted fair value accounting as of January 1, 2008. Valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value prior to January 1, 2008 are described in Note 2 to the December 31, 2007 consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the valuation of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the statement of financial position at March 31, 2008:

Fair Value Hierarchy of Financial Assets and Liabilities
(in thousands)

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,443,660 | \$ 3,443,660 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | | 220,574 | 220,574 |
| Derivatives | | \$ | 69 | 69 |
| | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,664,303 | \$ 3,664,303 |
| | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed notes | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,376,270 | \$ 3,376,270 |
| Repurchase agreements | | 348,616 | | 348,616 |
| CDOs | | | 53,759 | 53,759 |
| Junior subordinated notes | | | 24,591 | 24,591 |
| Convertible senior notes | | | 36,000 | 36,000 |
| Warrant liability | | | 13,653 | 13,653 |
| Revolving line of credit | | | 15,833 | 15,833 |
| Derivatives | | | 21,807 | 21,807 |
| | | | | |
| Total liabilities | \$ | \$ 348,616 | \$ 3,541,913 | \$ 3,890,529 |

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)
Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs
(Level 3)
(in thousands)

| | Beginning Balance at January 1, 2008 | Total Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) | Purchases, Sales, Issuances, Repayments or Settlements, net | Ending Balance at March 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Assets | | | | |
| Residential mortgage loans (1) | \$ 3,668,757 | (99,657) | (125,440) | \$ 3,443,660 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 435,885 | (80,151) | (135,160) | 220,574 |
| Derivatives | 101 | (32) | | 69 |
| | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 4,104,743 | \$ (179,840) | \$ (260,600) | \$ 3,664,303 |
| | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed notes | \$ 3,634,636 | \$ 165,537 | \$ (92,829) | \$ 3,376,270 |
| CDOs | 123,133 | 96,376 | 27,002 | 53,759 |
| Junior subordinated notes | 49,546 | 24,955 | | 24,591 |
| Convertible senior notes | 66,150 | 30,150 | | 36,000 |
| Warrant liability | 22,868 | 9,215 | | 13,653 |
| Revolving line of credit | 15,833 | | | 15,833 |
| Derivatives | 10,605 | (11,202) | | 21,807 |
| | | | | |
| Total liabilities | \$ 3,922,771 | \$ 315,031 | \$ (65,827) | \$ 3,541,913 |

(1) Purchases, sales, issuances, repayments or settlements of loans includes increases in the loan balance due to negative amortization and transfers of loans to real estate owned, a component of

other assets.

- (2) There have been no transfers of balances in or out of the level three hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2008.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The following table presents the amounts of total gains (losses) for the three months ended March 31, 2008 are included in the statement of operations and are attributable to assets and liabilities held on the Company's balance sheet as of March 31, 2008.

Changes in Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities Held on the Balance Sheet
(in thousands)

| | Gains (Losses) in Fair Value |
|---|---|
| For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008: | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) | |
| Assets | |
| Residential mortgage loans | \$ (95,585) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | (78,199) |
| Derivatives | (32) |
| | |
| Total assets | (173,816) |
| | |
| Liabilities | |
| Mortgage-backed notes | 165,537 |
| Repurchase agreements | |
| CDOs | 96,376 |
| | |
| Junior subordinated notes | 24,955 |
| Convertible senior notes | 30,150 |
| Warrant liability | 9,215 |
| | |
| Revolving line of credit | |
| Derivatives | (11,202) |
| | |
| Total liabilities | 315,031 |
| | |
| Unrealized gains, net | 141,215 |
| Realized losses, net | (6,024) |
| | |
| Realized and unrealized gains, net | \$ 135,191 |

The Company has no assets or liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

NOTE 9 ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
(in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Unrealized holding losses on securities available-for-sale | \$ | \$ (658,507) |
| Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) on sales of securities available-for-sale included in net income | | 181,149 |
| Impairment losses on securities included in net income | | 481,654 |
| Net unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale | | 4,296 |
| Net deferred realized and unrealized gains on cash flow hedges | 2,007 | 2,260 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 2,007 | \$ 6,556 |

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

NOTE 10 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company seeks to manage its interest rate risk and credit exposure and protect its liabilities against the effects of major interest rate changes. Such interest rate risk may arise from: (1) the issuance and forecasted rollover and repricing of short-term liabilities with fixed rate cash flows or from liabilities with a contractual variable rate based on LIBOR; (2) the issuance of long-term fixed rate or floating rate debt through securitization activities or other borrowings or (3) the change in value of loan purchase commitments. The Company also seeks to manage its credit risk exposure which may arise from the creditworthiness of the holders of the mortgages underlying its mortgage-related assets. The Company may use various combinations of derivative instruments or other risk-sharing arrangements to attempt to manage these risks.

Derivative Contracts
(in thousands)

| | Estimated Fair Value | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | March 31, | December |
| | 2008 | 31, |
| | | 2007 |
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$(22,420) | \$(11,436) |
| Interest rate cap contracts | 682 | 932 |
| Credit default swaps | | |

Realized and Unrealized Gains and Losses on Derivative Contracts
(in thousands)

| | For the Three Months Ended March | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------|
| | 31, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Free standing derivatives: | | |
| Realized gains (losses) | \$ (2,337) | \$ 10,976 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) | (11,234) | 4,748 |
| Purchase commitment derivatives: | | |
| Realized losses | | (95) |
| Unrealized losses | | (547) |

The Company's derivative contracts have master netting arrangements allowing it to net gains and losses in individual contracts with the same counterparty.

Cash Flow Hedging Strategies

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company entered into derivative contracts that it accounted for under hedge accounting as prescribed by SFAS No. 133. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company discontinued the use of hedge accounting. Under hedge accounting, prior to the end of the specified hedge time period, the effective portion of all contract gains and losses, whether realized or unrealized, was recorded in other comprehensive income. Due to the discontinuance of hedge accounting prior to contract maturity, hedge effectiveness gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2005 will be amortized during the specified hedge time period. During the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, interest expense decreased by \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, due to the amortization of hedge effectiveness gains and net realized gains.

NOTE 11 INCOME TAXES

In addition to the \$36.2 million of undistributed REIT taxable income as of March 31, 2008, the Company has declared but suspended dividends in the amount of \$13.6 million, net of dividend equivalent rights. In order to

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

maintain its status as a REIT, the Company must pay the dividend through a cash distribution or distribution-in-kind prior to September 15, 2008.

Although the Company has taken the position that it will be taxed as a REIT for the year ended December 31, 2007, the tax position described above is uncertain. Because such tax position fails to meet the more likely than not tax benefit recognition threshold as set forth in FIN 48, which was adopted on January 1, 2007, the Company provided for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2007 and for the three months ended March 31, 2008 in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$23.7 million as of March 31, 2008, all of which, if recognized, would affect the effective rate on continuing operations. If the Company meets the distribution requirement by September 15, 2008, then it will qualify as a REIT, and an unrecognized tax benefit of \$23.7 million will be recognized in full.

On March 17, 2008, the Company filed requests for extension of time to file its 2007 U.S. Federal Tax Return for Real Estate Investment Trusts, as well as U.S. federal, state, and local tax returns for Proserpine, LLC, a taxable REIT subsidiary. The Company did not include required payments totaling \$1.6 million with these extension requests. As a result, the Company will incur penalties and interest at the IRS, state and local prescribed rates until such delinquent taxes are paid in full. Approximately \$1.4 million of the total delinquent amount represents federal excise tax on estimated undistributed REIT taxable income for 2007. The Company is currently evaluating options to maintain its qualification as a REIT; however, if the Company fails to do so, then it would owe corporate income tax instead of the \$1.4 million in excise tax as shown on its extension request.

NOTE 12 DECONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company consolidates variable interest entities if it is the primary beneficiary of the entity. Beginning in the third quarter of 2007, the Company sold some of its interests in its securitization trusts that were established to permanently finance its residential mortgage loans. These sales triggered a reconsideration event in accordance with FIN 46(R). As a result, the Company's Luminent Mortgage Trust 2007-2, or 2007-2, securitization no longer qualified for consolidation in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Residential mortgage loans in the amount of \$642.5 million were removed from the Company's balance sheet along with the related debt of \$620.8 million. The Company recorded \$12.8 million in losses on the deconsolidation of this securitization. The Company continues to hold mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$6.2 million at March 31, 2008 related to the 2007-2 trust. These assets are included in the Company's mortgage-backed securities portfolio as of March 31, 2008. All other securitizations, other than the 2007-2 securitization, continue to qualify for consolidation as of March 31, 2008.

At March 31, 2008, the 2007-2 trust had \$604.6 million of residential mortgage loans that collateralized \$604.6 million of mortgage-backed notes.

NOTE 13 LEGAL MATTERS

Class Action Lawsuits

Following the Company's August 6, 2007 announcement of certain actions the Company's board of directors took, the Company and certain officers and directors were named as defendants in six purported class action lawsuits. A consolidated complaint has been filed, on behalf of a purported class of investors who purchased the Company's securities between June 25, 2007 and August 6, 2007. The lawsuit alleges, generally, that the defendants violated federal securities laws by making material misrepresentations to the market concerning the Company's operations and prospects, thereby artificially inflating the price of the Company's common stock. The complaints seek unspecified damages.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The case involves complex issues of law and fact and has not yet progressed to the point where the Company can:
predict its outcome;

estimate damages that might result from the case; or

predict the effect that final resolution that the case might have on its business, financial condition or results of operations, although such effect could be materially adverse.

The Company believes these allegations to be without merit. The Company intends to seek dismissal of the lawsuit for failure to state a valid legal claim, and if the case is not dismissed on motion, to vigorously defend itself against these allegations. The Company maintains directors and officers liability insurance which the Company believes should provide coverage to the Company and its officers and directors for most or all of any costs, settlements or judgments resulting from the lawsuit.

In addition, a stockholder derivative action was filed on August 31, 2007 in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco, in which an individual stockholder purports to assert claims on behalf of the Company against numerous directors and officers for alleged breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control and other similar claims. The Company believes the allegations in the stockholder derivative complaint to be without merit and filed a motion to dismiss all claims. In response to the motion, the plaintiff voluntarily filed an amended derivative complaint. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on April 13, 2008. Furthermore, any recovery in the derivative lawsuit would be payable to the Company, and this lawsuit is, therefore, unlikely to have a material negative effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

Repurchase Agreement Litigation

The Company has initiated legal proceedings against two repurchase agreement counterparties in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York regarding those counterparties' disposition of certain mortgage-backed securities that were posted with them pursuant to certain repurchase agreements. The lawsuits allege that during the summer of 2007 the counterparties, among other things, failed to act in good faith and in a commercially reasonable manner in purportedly attempting to liquidate the securities posted with them and/or in attempting to credit the Company for the value of those securities, after certain margin calls were not met.

Both defendants dispute the lawsuits' allegations claim that the liquidation of the posted collateral resulted in a deficit that is owed by the Company. One of the defendants has asserted counterclaims alleging that it is owed approximately \$6.6 million for alleged deficit amount plus certain principal and interest amounts paid on the securities it had seized and demanding legal fees. The other counterparty has not yet asserted a counterclaim, but had previously claimed a deficit of approximately \$7.7 million.

On December 21, 2007, a repurchase agreement lender alleged that an event of default existed for an unspecified reason on the part of the Company and its affiliates under a master repurchase agreement. As a result, the repurchase agreement lender alleged that the repurchase date for reverse repurchase transactions by the Company and those affiliates having an aggregate repurchase price of approximately \$8.0 million calculated as of December 21, 2007, would occur (to the extent that the repurchase date had not already occurred), and the repurchase agreement lender demanded payment by the Company of that aggregate repurchase price, together with interest thereon and expenses. In March 2008, the repurchase agreement lender informed the Company that it had sold the collateral for the repurchase agreement and the Company estimates the sales resulted in a deficit of approximately \$7.3 million.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

The Company and its affiliates do not agree with the repurchase agreement lender that the above-described event of default existed. Furthermore, the Company and its affiliates believe that most of the securities subject to the related reverse repurchase transactions were sponsored, issued and underwritten by affiliates of the repurchase agreement lender and then sold to the Company by the underwriter, which is an agent of the repurchase agreement lender, in violation of federal and state securities laws. The Company and an affiliate are seeking to rescind the related sale. Approximately \$7.0 million of the amount demanded by the repurchase agreement lender relates to the securities sponsored, issued, and underwritten by affiliates of the repurchase agreement lender.

On December 24, 2007, the Company and an affiliate filed a lawsuit against affiliates of the repurchase agreement lender relating to the issuance and sale of the aforementioned securities. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants misrepresented and failed to disclose material information relating to the securities they offered and sold to the Company and an affiliate, entitling the Company and an affiliate to rescission and/or monetary damages. In April 2008, the defendant filed a motion to dismiss the case.

These cases are in the early stages and the Company cannot predict the effect that final resolution of the cases might have on its business, financial condition or results of operations, although such effect could be materially adverse. The Company believes the counterparties' claims are without merit and the Company intends to vigorously defend itself against them if and to the extent they are pursued in the lawsuits.

NOTE 14 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of its business operations, the Company has ongoing relationships and has engaged in transactions with several related entities described below.

Beginning on August 14, 2007, the Company entered into a series of financing agreements with Arco. As a result of these agreements, the Company issued Arco a warrant to purchase up to 51,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock representing 49% of the voting interest in the Company and 51% of the economic interest in the Company on a fully diluted basis. In addition, as part of this series of agreements, four directors satisfactory to Arco were appointed to the Company's board of directors. See Note 5 and Note 6 for additional information on the terms of these agreements.

At March 31, 2008, the Company had a facility through Arco and its affiliate to provide repurchase agreement financing and a revolving line of credit in the maximum amount of \$190.0 million. At March 31, 2008, \$166.3 million in repurchase agreement financing was outstanding at an average interest rate of 9.08%. The repurchase agreements mature and are re-extended monthly. In addition, the Company had \$15.8 million outstanding on a revolving line of credit provided by Arco at an average interest rate of 7.14%. The line of credit matures on September 26, 2012.

In January 2008, the Company sold mortgage-backed securities with a cost basis of approximately \$132.6 million for proceeds of \$132.3 million to an affiliated company of a director. This sale was reported to the audit committee of the board of directors in accordance with the Company's policy for such transactions and was deemed to be on terms that would have been obtained in an arms-length transaction.

As of March 31, 2008, Arco had also provided guarantees on \$96.8 million of repurchase agreement financing with an unaffiliated third-party.

NOTE 15 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The NYSE delisted the Company's common stock effective May 2, 2008 due to the Company's inability to meet the stock price and capitalization requirements for continued listing on the exchange. Subsequent to the

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Unaudited)

delisting by the NYSE, the Company's stock began to be quoted on the Over-the-Counter, or OTC, Bulletin Board under the symbol LUMC .

In April 2008, the Company entered into a lease for 6,556 square feet of office space in Philadelphia, PA that will replace its current office space. The lease commences on May 15, 2008 and expires on May 31, 2014. Base rental is \$0.2 million per year, and the lease incorporates a provision for annual 2.5% increases in base rent.

On May 9, 2008, the Company entered into a second amendment to the amended and restated credit agreement dated September 26, 2007 with Arco. The amended agreement no longer limits the aggregate amount outstanding under specified master repurchase agreements with an affiliate of Arco. In addition, the amendment reduces the fixed charge coverage ratio requirement, and allows non-cash adjustments thereto, through December 31, 2009;

changes the payment of obligations covenant to allow for lender agreement to exclude certain items; and

modifies events of default language to require notice and failure to remedy for cross-default and judgment default items

On May 15, 2008 the Company entered into an agreement with a repurchase agreement lender to settle \$75.5 million of repurchase agreement financing in exchange for mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of approximately \$18.8 million, a cash payment of \$5.0 million and a note for \$13.0 million with a five year maturity.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes to those statements included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q. This discussion may contain certain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are those that are not historical in nature. See Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. As a result of many factors, such as those set forth under Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Form 10-Q, Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, elsewhere in this Form 10-Q or incorporated by reference herein, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in such forward-looking statements.

Overview

Business Conditions and Going Concern

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. As we announced on August 6, 2007, the mortgage industry and the financing methods the industry has historically relied upon deteriorated significantly and in an unprecedented fashion. Effectively, the secondary market for many fixed income securities especially mortgage-backed securities closed, and, as a result, we simultaneously experienced a significant increase in margin calls from our repurchase agreement counterparties, or repurchase agreement lenders, and a decrease in the amount of financing our lenders would provide on a given amount of collateral. Prices for even the highest quality AAA-rated bonds dropped precipitously. These events resulted in a rapid and significant loss of liquidity forcing us to sell investment assets at significant losses and write down investments held in our portfolio to reflect reductions in the fair value of the investments. As of March 31, 2008, we had a stockholders' equity deficit of \$223.2 million because of these losses, and these events caused substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

Beginning in August 2007, we entered into a number of financing transactions with Arco Capital Corporation Ltd., or Arco, and issued a warrant to Arco enabling it to acquire a 51% economic interest in us. Currently, we are continuing to shift financing from short-term arrangements that are subject to margin to long-term financing and financing provided by related parties. As of March 31, 2008, we had \$182.3 million of short-term financing remaining with non-related parties.

Our main source of liquidity is monthly principal and interest payments from our loans and mortgage-backed securities investments. These monthly cash receipts are used to pay contractual principal and interest payments on debt as well as to pay expenses required to support our operations.

On March 28, 2008, we announced our intention, subject to stockholder's approval, to restructure from a corporation qualified as a REIT to a publicly-traded partnership, or PTP. The PTP structure would permit us to offer fee-based services including credit-risk management services, asset management advisory services and sub-manager services without the restrictive asset and income rules to which companies qualified as a REIT must adhere. An additional advantage of this structure is the flexibility it would provide related to income distribution in that management would have more discretion to conserve capital or distribute capital to stockholders than is allowed under REIT requirements. In anticipation of the conversion to this structure, we are actively marketing our services, including the formation of a joint venture to perform credit risk management services. We believe that over time fee-based income will provide a more significant source of income for us.

Our longer-term strategy is focused on returning to profitability. Our strategy includes both new investments in mortgages and mortgage-backed securities as well as new business initiatives. We believe our existing credit management infrastructure is readily adaptable to asset management, particularly in three areas:

First, we are offering our services as an advisor to holders of existing mortgage-backed securities or whole loan positions to provide forensic underwriting, loss mitigation oversight and surveillance services. We currently perform these functions in conjunction with managing our

loan and mortgage-backed securities portfolios and can readily provide these services to third parties.

Second, we intend to seek, through one or more taxable corporate subsidiaries, asset management engagements from institutional investors seeking to profit from the current turmoil in the mortgage-backed securities market. We will earn a management fee for these services and will invest our client funds with the same investment philosophy as we invest our own capital. Our credit underwriting, loss mitigation, surveillance and information technology systems have recently been upgraded to accommodate any reasonably expected increase in volume.

Finally, we expect to serve as a sub-manager on investment funds. Our business plan includes opportunities in domestic markets as well as expansion to non-U.S. markets. We believe the current market environment provides significant opportunities for us to develop these new services, which would be closely aligned with our current expertise.

We can provide no assurance that further market disruption will not occur or that we will be able to successfully execute our business or liquidity plans discussed herein.

We did not declare or pay all of the required distributions of REIT taxable income for 2007 necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT. We are considering alternatives related to the payment of our required distribution of our REIT taxable income and other issues related to our current qualification as a REIT. See Note 11 to our March 31, 2008 consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q for additional information on the status of our REIT qualification.

The NYSE delisted our common stock effective May 2, 2008 due to our inability to meet the stock price and capitalization requirements for continued listing on the exchange. Subsequent to the delisting by the NYSE, our stock began to be quoted on the Over-the-Counter, or OTC, Bulletin Board under the symbol LUMC .

Exposure to Subprime Mortgage Loans

The subprime mortgage banking environment has been experiencing considerable strain from rising delinquencies and liquidity pressures and some subprime mortgage lenders have failed. The increased scrutiny of the subprime lending market is one of the factors that have impacted general market conditions as well as perceptions of our business. Our credit underwriting standards have been structured to limit our exposure to the types of loans and investments that are currently experiencing high foreclosure and loss rates. We believe our mortgage loan portfolio has virtually no exposure to loans with FICO scores of less than 620 which are generally considered to be subprime loans. At March 31, 2008, we had eight loans with a contractual balance of \$3.3 million out of a total of 10,077 loans with FICO scores below the subprime threshold of 620. One of these eight loans for \$0.3 million was seriously delinquent.

Our mortgage-backed securities portfolio as of March 31, 2008 includes securities with a fair value of \$56.4 million, or 1.5% of our total assets, that were classified as subprime. Securities with a fair value of \$49.2 million, or 1.3%, are included in a trust that is permanently financed by collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs. We determine the credit quality classification of securities in this portfolio based on the assignment from a third-party service provider. In this portfolio as of March 31, 2008, the weighted-average credit rating of our subprime mortgage-backed securities was BBB. The weighted-average life of these securities is 5.7 years. One security with a fair value of \$0.2 million was a first loss security which absorbs losses from defaulted loans collateralizing the security prior to the remaining securities in the securitization that have higher credit ratings. We recorded losses in the fair value of securities of \$80.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, which includes \$44.5 million of losses on subprime securities.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP. These accounting principles require us to make some complex

and subjective decisions and assessments. Our most critical accounting policies involve decisions and assessments that could significantly affect our reported assets and liabilities, as well as our reported revenues and expenses. We believe that all of the decisions and assessments upon which our consolidated financial statements are based were reasonable at the time made based upon information available to us at that time. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 for a further discussion of our significant accounting policies.

The adoption of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard, or SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, resulted in new fair value measurement policies for some of our assets and liabilities. The following discussion describes new accounting policies adopted in conjunction with our adoption of SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008. Historical balances are carried in accordance with the policies in place prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 159 which are detailed in our Form 10-K as of December 31, 2007.

Our most critical accounting policies as well as new policies adopted for the implementation of SFAS No. 159 are as follows:

Residential Mortgage Loans

We estimate the fair value of our residential mortgage loans using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. We believe the estimates used reflect the fair values we may be able to receive should we choose to sell the loans. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. We apply these factors to our portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of residential mortgage loans are recorded in other income (expense) in our statement of operations.

Interest income on mortgage loans is accrued and credited to income based on the contractual amounts due under the loan agreements. The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in our opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. Also, loans 90 days or more past due are placed on non-accrual status. When an interest accrual is discontinued, all associated unpaid accrued interest income is reversed against current period operating results. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received.

Investment Securities

Our Spread portfolio consists of AAA-rated mortgage-backed securities. The fair values of these securities are based on estimates provided by independent pricing services and dealers in mortgage-backed securities. Because the price estimates may vary between sources, we make certain judgments and assumptions about the appropriate price to use. Different judgments and assumptions could result in different presentations of value.

Our Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio consists of mortgage-backed securities rated below AAA. The fair value of the Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio is estimated using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. We believe the estimates used reflect the fair values we may be able to receive should we choose to sell the mortgage-backed securities. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. We apply these factors to our portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of mortgage-backed securities are recorded in other income (expense) in our statement of operations.

Security transactions are recorded on the trade date.

Interest income on investment securities is accrued and credited to income based upon the contractual amounts due under the security terms.

Mortgage-Backed Notes

We have issued non-recourse mortgage-backed notes to provide permanent financing for our residential mortgage loans. The mortgage-backed notes are issued through securitization trusts which are comprised of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the one-month London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR. We estimate the fair value of our mortgage-backed notes using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. We believe the estimates used reflect the fair values we would pay to transfer the liability. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. We apply these factors to our portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of mortgage-backed notes are recorded in other income (expense) in our statement of operations.

Interest expense on mortgage-backed notes is accrued as interest expense based upon the contractual amounts due under the note terms.

Collateralized Debt Obligations

We have issued non-recourse collateralized debt obligations, or CDOs, to provide permanent financing for our mortgage-backed securities. The CDOs are issued through securitization trusts which are comprised of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the three-month LIBOR. We estimate the fair value of our CDOs using internally generated cash flow analysis, available market information and other appropriate valuation methodologies. We believe the estimates used reflect the fair values that we would pay to transfer the liability. These estimates involve matters of uncertainty, judgment in interpreting relevant market data and are inherently subjective in nature. Many factors are necessary to estimate fair values, including, but not limited to, interest rates, prepayment rates, amount and timing of credit losses, supply and demand, liquidity, cash flows and other market factors. We apply these factors to our portfolio as appropriate in order to determine fair values. Gains and losses in the fair value of CDOs are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Interest expense on CDOs is accrued as interest expense based upon the contractual amounts due under the note terms.

Repurchase Agreements

Our repurchase agreements are borrowings secured by mortgage-backed securities. All of our repurchase agreements at March 31, 2008 are short-term, and, therefore, the contractual obligation approximates their fair value.

Revolving Line of Credit and Unsecured Borrowings

We have a revolving line of credit and unsecured borrowings in the form of junior subordinated notes and convertible senior notes. The fair value of these borrowings is estimated based upon the contractual principal and interest payment terms, contractual interest rates in relation to current interest rates our own credit standing and in the case of convertible senior notes, estimates obtained from third parties. We believe the estimates used reflect the fair value that would be paid to transfer the liability. Gains and losses in the fair value of borrowings are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Interest expense on the revolving line of credit and unsecured borrowings is accrued as interest expense based upon the contractual amounts due under the debt terms.

Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

We may enter into a variety of derivative contracts, including futures contracts, swaption contracts, interest rate swap contracts, interest rate cap contracts, credit default swaps, risk-sharing arrangements and purchase

commitments to purchase mortgage loans as a means of mitigating our interest rate risk on forecasted interest expense as well as to mitigate our credit risk on credit sensitive mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of derivative instruments is estimated using either independent third-party valuations or internally developed models that utilize observable inputs such as interest rates, loan defaults or other data and makes assumptions about future events depending on the structure of the derivative contract. Gains and losses on the fair value of derivatives are recorded in other income (expense) in the statement of operations.

Accounting for Warrant

In August 2007, we issued a warrant exercisable for 51,000,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for a repurchase agreement financing arrangement. In accordance with EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to and Potentially Settled in a Company's Own Stock*, the warrant is to be classified as a liability and the change in fair value of the warrant from the issuance date until it is exercised is recorded as other expense in our consolidated statement of operations.

We estimate the fair value of the warrant using the Black-Scholes Pricing Model. The Black-Scholes Pricing model is a commonly used model for estimating the fair value of equity related instruments, the exercise of which can create more shares of common stock and thus affect our stock price. This pricing model uses observable data such as risk-free interest rates at a point in time, the warrant expiration date and the exercise price of the warrant, and, in addition, the model requires us to make certain assumptions related to our stock price volatility and future dividend payments that effect the calculation of the fair value of the warrant. Because all changes in the fair value of the warrant is recorded in other income or expense until the warrant is exercised, changes in fair value could result in increased volatility in our consolidated results of operations. See Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements for further information on the assumptions used in the estimation of the fair value of the warrant.

Results of Operations

For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, we had net income of \$128.2 million, or \$2.97 and \$1.59 per weighted-average share outstanding (basic and diluted), respectively, and \$14.4 million, or \$0.30 per weighted-average share outstanding (basic and diluted), respectively.

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was mainly comprised of \$7.8 million of net interest income and \$135.2 million of net gains on instruments carried at fair value mainly due to the decrease in the fair value of borrowings. Due to our adoption of SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008, all gains and losses in the fair value of our investment assets and financing liabilities are now recognized in our statement of operations. The gains and losses recorded for the three months represent our estimates of changes in the fair values of the various classes of assets and liabilities for the three-month period ended March 31, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 for investment assets and financing liabilities may at reduce, or at times may increase volatility in the income statement. Details of gains and losses by asset and liability class are detailed in the Components of Other Income table below. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2007 included \$30.4 million of net interest income and gains on instruments carried at fair value of \$15.3 million offset by losses on the sales of mortgage backed securities of \$15.5 million.

Interest income decreased \$68.8 million, or 47.3%, to \$76.8 million from \$145.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease in interest income was due to the lower average balance of our interest earning investment portfolio due to the sale of securities in order to repay borrowings. The average balance of our interest earning investment portfolio decreased \$4.3 billion, or 49.4%, to \$4.4 billion from \$8.7 billion. To a lesser extent, the change in interest income was due to a change in the accounting for interest income as of January 1, 2008 due to our adoption of fair value accounting for our investments in accordance with SFAS No. 159 as explained below.

Interest expense decreased \$46.2 million, or \$40.1%, to \$69.0 million from \$115.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease in interest expense was due to the lower average balance of our borrowings. Average borrowings decreased \$3.5 billion, or 41.2%, to \$4.8 billion from \$8.3 billion. To a lesser extent, the change in interest expense was due to a change in the accounting for interest expense as of

January 1, 2008 due to our adoption of fair value accounting for our financing liabilities in accordance with SFAS No. 159 as explained below.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, premiums and discounts on loans, mortgage-backed securities and borrowings were amortized into interest income or expense over the estimated life of the asset or liability. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 159, all premiums and discounts associated with the investment assets and financing liabilities were eliminated and interest income or expense is recorded based on the coupon interest rate of the investment or borrowing. The weighted-average coupon of investments at March 31, 2008 was 6.93%, and the weighted-average coupon of our borrowings at March 31, 2008 was 3.50%.

The following table presents interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 as a percentage of liabilities weighted by the respective average balance of each liability.

Components of Interest Expense

(dollars in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 | Percentage of Average Financing Liabilities | Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 | Percentage of Average Financing Liabilities |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Interest expense on mortgage-backed notes | \$ 53,200 | 4.40% | \$ 61,044 | 2.99% |
| Interest expense on repurchase agreement liabilities | 6,879 | 0.57 | 36,414 | 1.78 |
| Interest expense on commercial paper facility | | | 9,150 | 0.45 |
| Interest expense on warehouse lending facilities | | | 6,868 | 0.34 |
| Interest expense on junior subordinated notes | 1,834 | 0.15 | 1,954 | 0.10 |
| Interest expense on CDOs | 4,779 | 0.40 | 146 | nm |
| Interest expense on convertible senior notes | 1,960 | 0.16 | | |
| Interest expense on revolving line of credit | 311 | 0.03 | | |
| Amortization of net realized gains on futures and interest rate swap contracts | (253) | (0.02) | (444) | (0.02) |
| Other | 262 | 0.02 | 72 | nm |
| Total interest expense | \$ 68,972 | 5.71% | \$ 115,204 | 5.64% |

nm not meaningful

Components of Other Income
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on instruments carried at fair value: | | |
| Losses on the fair value of residential mortgage loans | \$ (95,585) | \$ |
| Losses on the fair value of securities | (78,199) | |
| Gains on the fair value of mortgage-backed notes | 165,537 | |
| Gains on the fair value of collateralized debt obligations | 96,376 | |
| Gains on the fair value of junior subordinated notes | 24,955 | |
| Gains on the fair value of convertible senior notes | 30,150 | |
| Gains on the fair value of the warrant liability | 9,215 | |
| Gains (losses) on the fair value of derivative instruments | (11,234) | 14,427 |
| | | |
| Gains on instruments carried at fair value, net | 141,215 | 14,427 |
| Realized losses on sales of securities | (1,952) | (15,453) |
| Realized losses on disposition of residential mortgage loans | (4,072) | |
| | | |
| Realized losses on instruments carried at fair value, net | (6,024) | |
| Interest income (expense) on derivative instruments | (2,337) | 837 |
| Impairment losses on mortgage-backed securities | | (4) |
| | | |
| Other expense | | (80) |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 132,854 | \$ (273) |

We adopted SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008, and elected the fair value option for all of our investment assets, which include residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities and for our financing liabilities, which include repurchase agreements, mortgage-backed notes, collateralized debt obligations, senior convertible notes, junior subordinated notes and lines of credit. These assets and liabilities were selected for fair value accounting in order to provide better matching of accounting methods for related assets and liabilities and may reduce, or at times increase, volatility in the statement of operations that occurred previously due to the use of different accounting methods for related assets and liabilities. By adopting SFAS No. 159, our investment assets and related financing will be carried on our balance sheet at fair value with gains and losses due to changes in the fair value of our assets and liabilities recorded in our statement of operations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, our realized losses on the sale of mortgage-backed securities and other-than-temporary impairment losses were partially offset by realized and unrealized gains on derivative instruments that were structured to economically hedge credit risk.

Components of Operating Expenses
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Servicing expense | \$ 4,827 | 5,986 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 3,543 |
| Salaries and benefits | 1,524 | 3,084 |
| Professional services | 2,225 | 844 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 1,527 | 1,785 |
| | | |
| Total expenses | \$ 10,103 | 15,242 |

Our operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2008 decreased \$5.1 million, or 33.7%, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007 due to a decrease in the costs of managing our smaller investment portfolio as well as expense reduction measures that were instituted in August 2007, when we began selling significant portions of our investment portfolio.

We employ third parties to perform servicing of our mortgage loans. Servicing includes payments processing, collection activities and reporting of loan activity. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007, servicing expense decreased \$1.2 million, or 19.4%. The decrease in servicing expenses reflects the decrease in the average contractual balance of our mortgage loan portfolio of \$1.4 billion, or 25.5%, to \$4.1 billion from \$5.5 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007, our provision for loan losses decreased \$3.5 million. Due to our adoption of SFAS No. 159 for residential mortgage loans as of January 1, 2008, we no longer estimate an allowance for loan losses. We now include anticipated losses in our estimates of the fair value of the loans.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007, salaries and benefits expense decreased \$1.6 million, or 50.6%. The decrease in salaries and benefits reflects the reduction of the number of employees by approximately 50% at March 31, 2008 compared to March 31, 2007.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007, professional services increased \$1.4 million, or 163.6%. The increases in professional services include increases in accountants fees incurred in the first quarter of 2008. Due to our change in auditors for our financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2007, most of the work required for the audit of the prior year financial statements was performed in the first quarter of 2008 when normally it would be spread throughout the year as significant transactions occur. Attorneys fees increased due to expenses for various legal disputes as well as legal fees related to various credit facilities and general corporate matters.

REIT taxable income

We calculate REIT taxable income according to the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, or the Code, rather than GAAP. We believe that REIT taxable income is an important measure of our financial performance because REIT taxable income, and not GAAP income, is the basis upon which we are required to make distributions to our stockholders that enable us to maintain our REIT status.

We estimate our REIT taxable income based upon a variety of information from third parties. Due to the timing of the receipt of some of this information, we make estimates in order to determine our REIT taxable income and dividend distributions within a reporting period. As a result, our REIT taxable income estimates are subject to adjustments to reflect the receipt of information on past events. Our REIT taxable income is also subject to changes

in the Code, or in the interpretation of the Code, with respect to our business model. REIT taxable income for each fiscal year does not become final until we file our tax return for that fiscal year.

Reconciliation of GAAP Net Income to REIT Taxable Income

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| GAAP net income | \$ 128,193 | \$ 14,387 |
| Adjustments to GAAP net income: | | |
| Interest income and interest expense, net | 5,612 | (15,304) |
| Gains on instruments carried at fair value, net | (135,191) | |
| Impairment losses on mortgage-backed securities | | 4 |
| Provision for loan losses | | 3,538 |
| Servicing expense | 4,537 | 5,640 |
| Losses (gains) on sales of mortgage-backed securities and loans, net | | 15,453 |
| Unrealized gains on derivative instruments, net | | |
| Other, net | 1,840 | (9,439) |
| Net adjustments to GAAP net income | (123,203) | (108) |
| REIT taxable income | 4,990 | 14,279 |

Estimated Undistributed REIT Taxable Income

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Undistributed REIT taxable income, beginning of period | \$ 31,215 | \$ 4,429 |
| REIT taxable income earned during period | 4,990 | 14,279 |
| Distributions declared during period, net of dividend equivalent rights on restricted stock | | (14,216) |
| Other adjustments | | 2,171 |
| Undistributed REIT taxable income, end of period | \$ 36,205 | \$ 6,663 |
| Cash distributions per share that were declared during period | \$ | \$ 0.30 |
| Percentage of current year REIT taxable income distributed | | % 99.6% |

We believe that these presentations of our REIT taxable income are useful to investors because they are directly related to the distributions to stockholders we are required to make in order to retain our REIT status. REIT taxable income entails certain limitations and by itself is an incomplete measure of our financial performance over any period. As a result, our REIT taxable income should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, our GAAP-based net income as a measure of our financial performance. The calculations of REIT taxable income above are estimated based on information currently known to us. Our calculations of REIT taxable income are not finalized until we file

our tax return. Amounts could vary materially from our estimates disclosed herein.

On March 28, 2008, we announced our intention to restructure from a corporation qualified as a REIT to a publicly-traded partnership, or PTP and to merge with and into Sub Holdings, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Luminent LLC. In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT for our taxable year ended December 31, 2007 and for our taxable year ending on the effective date of the merger, we must distribute at least 90% of our taxable income for each such taxable year through cash distributions or distributions-in-kind. We intend to designate part or all of the deemed distribution of our assets subject to our liabilities that will be treated as occurring for U.S. federal income taxes pursuant to the merger as a dividend paid in our taxable year ended December 31, 2007 in accordance with the merger agreement. Depending on the amount by which the fair market value of our assets exceeds our

liabilities, such deemed distribution of our assets to our stockholders could potentially allow us to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement for such taxable years. The starting point for determining the fair market value of our assets distributed will likely be the trading price of the PTP's common shares immediately after the merger, although such amount is not necessarily determinative of the actual value of such assets. As the trading price of PTP's common shares immediately after the merger will not be known until after our stockholders vote to approve the merger, we can provide no assurance that such deemed distribution will be sufficient to satisfy the distribution requirement for either taxable year. In the event that we determine that the deemed distribution occurring pursuant to the merger is likely to be insufficient to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement for our taxable year ended on December 31, 2007 and our taxable year ending on the effective date of the merger, we intend to declare an in-kind dividend of our equity securities immediately prior to the merger that, when added to the deemed distribution occurring pursuant to the merger, will allow us to meet that requirement for those taxable years.

If it were determined that we could not apply either the deemed distribution in connection with the merger or any in-kind dividend to the REIT distribution requirement for our taxable year ended December 31, 2007, or that the amount available to be applied was insufficient, we would fail the REIT distribution requirement for such taxable year. If we failed the REIT distribution requirement for our taxable year ended December 31, 2007, we would also fail to qualify as a REIT for such year and our taxable year ending on the effective date of the merger. In that event, we would be taxed as a regular domestic corporation for such taxable years, which, among other things, means that we would be unable to deduct distributions to our stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to U.S. federal and state income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate tax rates. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 we estimate that tax liability to be \$2.4 million and have recognized this amount as a provision for income taxes in our statement of operations and recorded the amount as a liability on our balance sheet.

Financial Condition

Mortgage-Backed Securities

Our investment strategy includes purchases of U.S. agency and other AAA-rated single-family adjustable-rate and hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities and purchases of credit-sensitive residential mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities that have credit ratings below AAA.

The following table presents our mortgage-backed securities classified as either Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio assets or Spread portfolio assets and further classified by type of issuer and/or by rating categories.

Asset Quality
(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| | Fair Value | Percentage of Total Mortgage-backed Securities | Fair Value | Percentage of Total Mortgage-backed Securities |
| Residential Mortgage Credit Portfolio | | | | |
| Investment-grade MBS: | | | | |
| AA/Aa rating | \$ 10,854 | 4.9% | \$ 27,747 | 6.4% |
| A/A rating | 60,581 | 27.5 | 70,802 | 16.1 |
| BBB/Baa rating | 26,008 | 11.8 | 40,904 | 9.4 |
| Total Investment-grade MBS | 97,443 | 44.2 | 139,453 | 31.9 |
| Weighted-average credit rating | A | | A | |
| Non-investment-grade MBS: | | | | |
| BB/Ba rating | 34,148 | 15.5 | 44,101 | 10.1 |
| B/B2 rating | 4,543 | 2.1 | 7,621 | 1.8 |
| CCC/Caa and below | 14,970 | 6.8 | 35,986 | 8.3 |
| Not rated | 755 | 0.3 | 4,177 | 1.0 |
| Total non-investment-grade MBS | 54,416 | 24.7 | 91,885 | 21.2 |
| Weighted-average credit rating (1) | B | | B | |
| Total Residential Mortgage Credit portfolio | 151,859 | 68.9 | 231,338 | 53.1 |
| Weighted-average credit rating (1) | BBB | | BBB | |
| Spread Portfolio | | | | |
| AAA/Aaa rating | 68,715 | 31.1 | 204,547 | 46.9 |
| Weighted-average credit rating | AAA | | AAA | |
| Total mortgage-backed securities | \$ 220,574 | 100.0% | \$ 435,885 | 100.0% |
| Weighted-average credit rating | A | | A | |

(1) Weighted-average credit rating excludes non-rated mortgage-backed securities of

\$0.8 million and
\$4.2 million at
March 31, 2008
and December 31,
2007,
respectively.

*Residential Mortgage Loans***Residential Mortgage Loans Product Data**

(dollars in thousands)

| | Weighted-Average Interest Rate | Weighted-Average Maturity Date | Weighted-Average Months to Reset of Loans After Effect of Cost of Funds Hedging (1) | Principal Balance (1) | Principal Amount of Seriously Delinquent Loans (2) | Seriously Delinquent Loans as a Percentage of Total Principal | Number of Seriously Delinquent Loans (2) | Seriously Delinquent Loans as a Percentage of Total Loans | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|---|-------|
| March 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| Floating rate mortgage | 7.82% | 2038 | 1 | 1 | \$ 2,952,166 | \$ 311,010 | 7.78% | 710 | 7.05% |
| Hybrid mortgage | 6.54% | 2036 | 40 | 20 | 1,047,677 | 90,374 | 2.26 | 238 | 2.35 |
| Total | 7.45% | 2037 | 11 | 5 | \$ 3,999,843 | \$ 401,384 | 10.04% | 948 | 9.40% |
| December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| Floating rate mortgage | 8.15% | 2038 | 1 | 1 | \$ 3,045,983 | \$ 199,985 | 4.84% | 451 | 4.30% |
| Hybrid mortgage | 6.61% | 2036 | 47 | 20 | 1,087,837 | 54,062 | 1.31 | 150 | 1.43 |
| Total | 7.72% | 2037 | 12 | 5 | \$ 4,133,820 | \$ 254,047 | 6.15% | 601 | 5.73% |

(1) We attempt to mitigate our interest rate risk by hedging the cost of liabilities related to our hybrid residential

mortgage loans.
Amounts reflect the effect of these hedges on the months to reset of our residential mortgage loans.
In addition at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the financing for \$0.2 billion and \$0.3 billion of our hybrid residential mortgage loans, respectively, is, like the underlying collateral, fixed for a period of three to five years and then becomes variable based upon the average rates of the underlying loans which will adjust based on LIBOR.
The weighted-average period to reset of the debt we use to acquire residential mortgage loans was match funded approximately five months and five months at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

- (2) Seriously delinquent loans are loans 90 or more days past due and loans in foreclosure.

Edgar Filing: LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

As of January 1, 2008, due to the adoption of SFAS No. 159, we now carry loans at fair value, and premiums on loans were reclassified to shareholder's equity as part of the adoption of a new accounting principle. As of December 31, 2007, our loans included unamortized premium of \$95.7 million.

Our loans at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 consisted of \$3.4 billion and \$4.1 billion of loans that collateralize mortgage-backed notes, respectively. Unsecuritized loans were \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Residential Mortgage Loans Key Metrics
(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Fair value | \$3,443,660 | \$ 3,668,755 |
| Unpaid principal balance | \$3,999,843 | \$ 4,133,820 |
| Number of loans | 10,077 | 10,491 |
| Average loan balance | \$ 397 | \$ 394 |
| Weighted-average coupon rate | 7.45% | 7.71% |
| Weighted-average lifetime cap | 10.65% | 10.66% |
| Weighted-average original term, in months | 376 | 376 |
| Weighted-average remaining term, in months | 354 | 356 |
| Weighted-average effective loan-to-value ratio (LTV) ⁽¹⁾ | 72.1% | 71.8% |
| Weighted-average FICO score | 711 | 711 |
| Number of loans with FICO scores below 620 | 8 | 8 |
| Percentage of loans with FICO scores above 700 | 55.3% | 55.6% |
| Percentage of loans with LTV greater than 80% | 7.2% | 7.2% |
| Percentage of loans with LTV greater than 90% | 1.3% | 1.3% |
| Percentage of loans with effective LTV greater than 80% ⁽¹⁾ | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of no documentation loans | 2.1% | 2.2% |
| Percentage of loans originated for refinancing purposes | 58.3% | 58.3% |
| Top five geographic concentrations (% exposure): | | |
| California | 56.6% | 56.5% |
| Florida | 9.9% | 9.7% |
| Arizona | 4.2% | 4.3% |
| Virginia | 3.8% | 3.9% |
| Nevada | 3.7% | 3.7% |
| Occupancy status: | | |
| Owner-occupied | 85.7% | 85.8% |
| Investor | 14.3% | 14.2% |
| Property type: | | |
| Single-family | 83.0% | 83.1% |
| Condominium | 10.2% | 10.2% |
| Other residential | 6.8% | 6.7% |
| Collateral type: | | |
| Alt A first lien | 100.0% | 100.0% |

(1) Including the effect of mortgage insurance purchased to cover an additional \$1.1 billion of loan principal at March 31, 2008 and

December 31,
2007.

The following table presents our residential mortgage loan portfolio grouped by the percentages in each of three different documentation types, further stratified by loan-to-value ratios, net of mortgage insurance, and FICO scores:

Residential Mortgage Loan Quality

| March 31, 2008 | FICO Scores | | | | | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| | <620 | 620-659 | 660-699 | 700-739 | 740+ | |
| Full Documentation:(1) | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.8% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 6.9 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 7.7 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Total Full Documentation | 0.0% | 2.8% | 4.7% | 4.1% | 4.4% | 16.0% |
| Reduced Documentation:(2) | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 1.2% | 1.2% | 2.0% | 4.8% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 9.1 | 7.6 | 28.9 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.1 | 3.4 | 15.0 | 14.1 | 11.2 | 43.8 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.4 |
| Total Reduced Documentation | 0.1% | 6.3% | 28.7% | 25.5% | 21.3% | 81.9% |
| No Documentation:(3) | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.6% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total No Documentation | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.7% | 0.8% | 2.1% |
| Total Portfolio: | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.6% | 1.6% | 1.6% | 2.4% | 6.2% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 3.1 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 10.2 | 37.1 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.1 | 4.9 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 13.3 | 51.7 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 5.0 |
| Total Portfolio | 0.1% | 9.2% | 33.9% | 30.3% | 26.5% | 100.0% |

| December 31, 2007 | FICO Scores | | | | | Total |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--------|
| | <620 | 620-659 | 660-699 | 700-739 | 740+ | |
| Full Documentation:(1) | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.8% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 7.0 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 8.0 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Full Documentation | 0.0% | 2.9% | 4.5% | 4.0% | 4.4% | 15.8% |
| Reduced Documentation:(2) | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.5% | 1.3% | 1.3% | 2.1% | 5.2% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 7.7 | 29.8 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.1 | 3.8 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 11.6 | 47.0 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Reduced Documentation | 0.1% | 6.4% | 28.7% | 25.4% | 21.4% | 82.0% |
| No Documentation:(3) | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.6% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total No Documentation | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.6% | 0.7% | 0.8% | 2.2% |
| Total Portfolio: | | | | | | |
| LTV: | | | | | | |
| £60% | 0.0% | 0.7% | 1.7% | 1.7% | 2.5% | 6.6% |
| 60.01 70% | 0.0 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 38.1 |
| 70.01 80% | 0.1 | 5.4 | 19.2 | 16.8 | 13.8 | 55.3 |
| > 80% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Portfolio | 0.1% | 9.4% | 33.8% | 30.1% | 26.6% | 100.0% |

(1) Full documentation includes verification of the borrower's income, employment,

assets and liabilities.

- (2) Reduced documentation, sometimes referred to as Alt-A, includes mortgages that comply with most, but not all, of the Federal National Mortgage Association and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation criteria for a conforming mortgage. Alt-A mortgages are generally high quality, with less than full documentation verified.
- (3) No documentation excludes verification of borrower's income, employment or assets.

Delinquencies and Allowance for loan losses

Residential Mortgage Loan Delinquency Status

(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Number of Loans | Principal Amount | Number of Loans | Principal Amount |
| Delinquency status: | | | | |
| 30 to 59 days | 520 | \$ 223,025 | 475 | \$ 184,814 |
| 60 to 90 days | 271 | 111,955 | 230 | 98,735 |
| 90 days or more | 445 | 189,485 | 299 | 123,959 |
| Total | 1,236 | 524,465 | 1,004 | 407,508 |

Edgar Filing: LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| Foreclosures | 503 | 211,899 | 302 | 130,088 |
| Total Delinquencies | 1,739 | \$ 736,364 | 1,306 | \$ 537,596 |

We expect delinquencies and losses to continue to increase as our portfolio seasons but, due to our extensive due diligence procedures performed on loans prior to our purchase of the loan, we expect losses to be lower than industry loss averages

As of January 1, 2008, we carry residential mortgage loans at fair value, and, therefore, we record no allowance for loan losses because estimated losses are now included in the calculation of fair value. When a loan

proceeds through the foreclosure process and becomes real estate owned, or REO, the fair value of the loan based on updated information on the value of the property collateralizing the loans is reclassified from loans to REO, a component of other assets.

Prior to January 1, 2008, we analyzed our allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis and recorded a \$3.5 million provision for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Our allowance for loan loss analysis resulted in our \$36.0 million general allocated allowance at December 31, 2007. We recorded a specific reserve for loans greater than \$1.0 million and 90 days or more past due of \$1.0 million at December 31, 2007. Usage of the allowance occurred when a loan proceeded through the foreclosure process and became REO. When a loan became REO, we estimated the specific loss on that loan, if any, based upon the expected net proceeds from the final disposition of the property and reduced the allowance for loan losses by that amount. We also reduced the allowance for any loans that were disposed of at a loss prior to their becoming REO.

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, 194 and 110 of the residential loans we owned with a fair value of \$63.5 million and \$34.7 million, respectively, were REO as a result of foreclosure on delinquent loans. We reclassified these loans to other assets on our consolidated balance sheet at fair value as of January 1, 2008 and, prior to January 1, 2008, the lower of cost or estimated fair value less costs to dispose of the property.

*Asset Repricing Characteristics***Asset Repricing Characteristics**

(dollars in thousands)

| | March 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
|--|----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Value | Portfolio Mix | Carrying Value | Portfolio Mix |
| Residential Mortgage Credit Portfolio | | | | |
| ARM residential loans: | | | | |
| Reset 1 month or less | \$ 2,541,421 | 69.4% | \$ 3,042,491 | 65.7% |
| Reset >1 month but < 12 months | 37,880 | 1.0 | 24,803 | 0.5 |
| Reset >12 months but < 60 months | 733,500 | 20.0 | 909,440 | 19.7 |
| Reset > 60 months | 130,859 | 3.6 | 157,085 | 3.4 |
| Unamortized premium | | | 95,669 | 2.1 |
| Allowance for loan losses | | | (38,145) | (0.8) |
| Sub-total | 3,443,660 | 94.0 | 4,191,343 | 90.6 |
| ARM residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Reset 1 month or less | 146,790 | 4.0 | 225,436 | 4.9 |
| Reset >1 month but < 12 months | 2,607 | 0.1 | 900 | nm |
| Sub-total | 149,397 | 4.1 | 226,336 | 4.9 |
| Fixed-rate residential mortgage-backed securities: | 1,382 | nm | 5,002 | 0.1 |
| Spread Portfolio | | | | |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| Reset 1 month or less | 69,795 | 1.9 | 204,547 | 4.4 |
| Total mortgage assets | \$ 3,664,234 | 100.0% | \$ 4,627,228 | 100.0% |

nm = not meaningful

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the weighted-average period to reset of our total mortgage assets was approximately 11 months. We attempt to mitigate our interest rate risk by hedging the cost of liabilities related to our hybrid residential mortgage loans. Our net asset/liability duration gap was approximately four months and four months at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Our total mortgage assets had a weighted-average coupon of 6.93% and 7.54% at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Our mortgage assets are typically subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps. Periodic interest rate caps limit the amount by which the interest rate on a mortgage can increase during any given period. Lifetime interest rate caps limit the amount by which an interest rate can increase through the term of a mortgage. The weighted-average lifetime cap of our mortgage-backed securities was 11.68% and 11.96% at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The weighted-average lifetime cap of our residential mortgage loans was 10.65% and 10.66% at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Our mortgage assets have contractual periodic adjustment to their coupon rate based on changes in an objective index. The percentages of the mortgage assets in our investment portfolio that were indexed to interest rates are as follows:

| | Index rates | | | | Fixed Rate or Other |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------|-----|------|---------------------|
| | LIBOR | Treasury | MTA | COFI | |
| March 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 100% | % | % | % | nm% |
| Residential mortgage loans | 27 | | 73 | nm | |
| December 31, 2007 | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 99% | nm% | % | % | 1% |
| Residential mortgage loans | 28 | | 72 | nm | |

nm = not meaningful

The constant payment rate on our total mortgage assets, an annual rate of principal paydowns for our mortgage assets relative to the outstanding principal balance of our total mortgage assets, was 8% and 24% for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The constant payment rate attempts to predict the percentage of principal that will paydown over the next 12 months based on historical principal paydowns. The principal payment rate is not considered an indication of future principal repayment rates because actual changes in market interest rates will have a direct impact on the principal prepayments on our mortgage assets.

Securitizations

We create securitization entities as a means of securing long-term collateralized financing for our residential mortgage loan portfolio and certain mortgage-backed securities in our portfolio, matching the income earned on the investments with the cost of related liabilities, otherwise referred to as match-funding our balance sheet. We may use derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps, to achieve this result. We transfer residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed assets to a separate bankruptcy-remote legal entity from which private-label multi-class securities are issued. On a consolidated basis, we account for our securitizations as secured financings and, therefore, record no gain or loss in connection with securitizations. We evaluate each securitization entity in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, or FIN 46(R), and we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of most of the securitization entities. As such, we consolidate those securitization entities into our consolidated balance sheet subsequent to securitization. In the third quarter of 2007, we sold certain interests in our 2007-2 securitization trust, which resulted in us no longer qualifying as the primary beneficiary of that trust. The assets and liabilities of that trust have been deconsolidated from our balance sheet and the remaining interests that we have retained are recorded as mortgage-backed securities on our balance sheet at March 31, 2008. Residential mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities transferred to securitization entities collateralize the securities issued, and, as a result, those investments are not available to us, our creditors or our stockholders.

Mortgage-Backed Notes

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had mortgage-backed notes, net of unamortized discounts at December 31, 2007, with a contractual outstanding balance of \$3.8 billion and \$3.9 billion, with a weighted-average borrowing rate of 2.99% and 3.95% per annum, respectively. Each series of mortgage-backed notes that we have issued consists of various classes of securities that bear interest at varying spreads to LIBOR. The borrowing rates of the mortgage-backed notes at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 reset monthly based on LIBOR except for \$0.2 billion of notes, which, like the underlying loan collateral, are fixed for a period of three to five years and, then become variable based on the average rates of the underlying loans which will adjust based on LIBOR. The stated maturities of our mortgage-backed notes at March 31, 2008 were from 2035 to 2047. The maturity of each class of securities is directly affected by the rate of principal repayments on the associated residential mortgage

loan collateral. As a result, the actual maturity of each series of mortgage-backed notes may be shorter than its stated maturity.

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had pledged residential mortgage loans with an estimated fair value of \$3.4 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively, as collateral for mortgage-backed notes issued.

The following table highlights the securitizations we have completed through March 31, 2008. Amounts presented include both securitizations that are consolidated on our balance sheet and the Luminent Mortgage Trust 2007-2 securitization that is not consolidated with our balance sheet.

Loan Securitization Highlights

(dollars in thousands)

| | At Securitization Date | At March 31, 2008 |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Number of securitizations | 10 | 10 |
| Loans, unpaid principal balance | \$6,528,104 | \$4,685,365 |
| Mortgage-backed notes issued to third parties | 5,656,654 | 4,386,468 |
| Debt retained | 871,450 | 292,116 |
| Retained investment grade ^{%(1)} | 11.3% | 3.1% |
| Retained non-investment grade ^{%(1)} | 1.6% | 3.3% |
| Cost of debt on AAA-rated mortgage-backed notes spread to LIBOR ⁽²⁾ | 0.22% | 0.22% |

(1) Retained tranches as a percentage of total mortgage-backed notes issued.

(2) LUM 2006-3 cost of debt excludes \$0.3 billion of AAA-rated mortgage-backed notes which, like the underlying loan collateral, are fixed for three to five years and then become variable based upon the average rates of the underlying loans which will adjust based on LIBOR.

The following table presents the rating categories and balances of the mortgage-backed notes issued in our loan securitizations.

Loan Securitizations Mortgage-Backed Notes Ratings

(dollars in thousands)

| | At Securitization Date | At March 31, 2008 |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Mortgage-backed notes issued to third-party investors | | |
| AAA/Aaa rating | \$ 5,423,405 | \$ 4,129,586 |
| AA/Aa rating | 208,867 | 212,140 |
| A/A rating | 21,741 | 31,176 |
| BBB/Baa rating | 2,641 | 8,444 |
| Not rated | | 5,122 |
| Total mortgage-backed notes issued to third-party investors | \$ 5,656,654 | \$ 4,386,468 |
| Percentage of total collateral | 86.7% | 93.6% |
| Debt retained | | |
| AAA/Aaa rating | \$ 551,441 | \$ 17,696 |
| AA/Aa rating | 57,631 | 28,300 |
| A/A rating | 80,396 | 46,473 |
| BBB/Bbb rating | 68,670 | 60,856 |
| BB/Ba rating | 40,584 | 37,752 |
| B/B rating | 24,630 | 55,984 |
| CCC rating | | 13,320 |
| Not rated | 36,055 | 31,735 |
| Total mortgage- backed notes retained | 859,407 | 292,116 |
| Overcollateralization | 12,043 | 6,782 |
| Total debt retained | \$ 871,450 | \$ 298,898 |
| Percentage of total collateral | 13.3% | 6.4% |

Collateralized Debt Obligations

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had CDOs, with a contractual outstanding balance, net of unamortized discounts at December 31, 2007, of \$358.1 and \$294.4 million, with a weighted-average borrowing rate of 3.64% and 5.55% per annum, respectively. The CDOs represent non-recourse debt obligations that provide permanent financing for some of our mortgage-backed securities. The CDOs are issued through a securitization trust, which is comprised of various classes of securities that bear interest at various spreads to the three-month LIBOR. The borrowing rates of the CDOs reset monthly. Mortgage-backed securities collateralize the CDOs. On a consolidated basis, the securitization is accounted for as a financing in accordance with SFAS No. 140 and qualifies for consolidation with our balance sheet in accordance with FIN 46(R); therefore, the assets and liabilities of the securitization entity is consolidated on our consolidated balance sheet. The stated maturities of our CDOs are 2047. The maturity of each class of security is directly affected by the rate of principal repayments on the associated mortgage-backed security collateral. As a result, the actual maturity of each series of CDOs may be shorter than its stated maturity.

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had pledged mortgage-backed securities with an estimated fair value of \$67.9 million and \$177.8 million, respectively, as collateral for mortgage-backed notes issued.

As of March 31, 2008, 39 securities with a fair value of \$8.1 million that collateralize the CDOs were deemed to be in default by way of ratings downgrades. These securities have to date continued to make principal and interest payments as required by their individual structures. Because these securities are deemed to be in default, the principal payment structure of the CDOs have converted from a pro-rata principal payment structure to a sequential principal payment structure whereby principal payments are paid to the highest rated bonds first and then will be made sequentially to each class of bond from highest to lowest. In addition, all interest payments collected

by the trust on the securities that are deemed to be in default are made as principal payments on a sequential pay basis.

Exemption from the Investment Company Act of 1940

We seek to conduct our business so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the 1940 Act.

Under Section 3(a)(1) of the 1940 Act, a company is deemed to be an investment company if:

it neither is, nor holds itself out as being, engaged primarily, nor proposes to engage primarily, in the business of investing, reinvesting or trading in securities; and

it neither is engaged nor proposes to engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning holding or trading in securities and does not own or propose to acquire investment securities having a value exceeding 40% of the value of its total assets on an unconsolidated basis (the 40% Test).

Prior to June 30, 2007, we relied on the 40% Test. Because of the recent market deterioration and resulting defaults, several of our subsidiaries designed to rely on Section 3(c)(5)(C) currently fail to hold at least 55% of their assets in mortgage loans or other qualifying assets (the 55% Test), and as a result must rely on Section 3(c)(7) to avoid registration as investment companies. As a result, we no longer satisfy the 40% Test.

We now rely on Rule 3a-2 for our exemption from registration under the 1940 Act. That rule provides a safe harbor exemption, not to exceed one year, for companies that have a bona fide intent to be engaged in an excepted activity but that temporarily fail to meet the requirements for another exemption from registration as an investment company. As required by the rule, after we learned that we were out of compliance, our board of directors promptly adopted a resolution declaring our bona fide intent to be engaged in excepted activities within a one-year period.

Reliance upon Rule 3a-2 is permitted only once every three years. As a result, if we otherwise fail to maintain our exclusion from registration, within that three-year period, and another exemption is not available, we may be required to register as an investment company, or we may be required to acquire and/or dispose of assets in order to meet the 55% Test or other tests for exclusion.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As previously noted, as we announced in a press release on August 6, 2007, the mortgage industry and the financing methods upon which the mortgage industry has historically relied deteriorated significantly and in an unprecedented fashion. Effectively, the secondary market for many fixed income securities especially mortgage-backed securities seized-up, and, as a result, we simultaneously experienced a significant increase in margin calls from our repurchase agreement counterparties, or repurchase agreement lenders, and a decrease in the amount of financing our lenders would provide on a given amount of collateral. Prices for even the highest quality AAA-rated bonds dropped precipitously. These events resulted in a rapid and significant loss of liquidity forcing us to sell investment assets at significant losses and write down investments held in our portfolio to reflect reductions in the fair value of the investments. As of March 31, 2008, because of these losses, we had a stockholders equity deficit of \$223.2 million, and these events caused substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

Beginning in August 2007, we entered into a number of financing transactions with Arco and issued a warrant to Arco enabling it to acquire a 51% economic interest in us. Currently, we are continuing to shift financing from short-term arrangements that are subject to margin calls if the value of the collateral continues to decline to long-term financing and financing provided by related parties. As of March 31, 2008, we had \$182.3 million of short-term financing remaining with non-related parties.

Our main source of liquidity is monthly principal and interest payments from our loans and mortgage-backed securities investments. These monthly cash receipts are used to pay contractual principal and interest payments on debt as well as to pay expenses required to support our operations.

On March 28, 2008, we announced our intention, subject to stockholder approval, to restructure from a corporation qualified as a REIT to a publicly-traded partnership, or PTP. The PTP structure would permit us to offer fee-based services including credit-risk management services, asset management advisory services and sub-manager services without the restrictive asset and income rules to which companies qualified as a REIT must adhere. An additional advantage of this structure is the flexibility it would provide related to income distribution in that management would have more discretion to conserve capital or distribute capital to stockholders than is allowed under REIT requirements. In anticipation of the conversion to this structure, we are actively marketing our services, including the formation of a joint venture to perform credit risk management services. We believe that over time fee income will provide a more significant source of income for us.

Our longer-term strategy is focused on returning to profitability. Our strategy includes both new investments in mortgages and mortgage-backed securities as well as new business initiatives. We believe our existing credit management infrastructure is readily adaptable to asset management, particularly in areas of offering services related to credit risk management, asset management services to institutional investors and servicing as a sub-manger to investment funds.

We did not declare or pay all of the distributions of REIT taxable income for 2007 required for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT. We are considering alternatives related to the payment of our dividend and other issues related to our current qualification as a REIT. See Note 11 to our March 31, 2008 consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q for additional information on the status of our REIT qualification.

From November 2005 to May 2007 our board of directors authorized a series of common stock repurchase programs. As of March 31, 2008, we have the authority to acquire up to 2,370,785 common shares; however, due to our liquidity concerns, we have no plans to repurchase shares of our common stock.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The table below summarizes our contractual obligations including interest payable. Interest payable on our variable rate obligations is estimated using forward interest rates curves. The table excludes unamortized discounts and premiums and debt issuance costs. The table also excludes derivative contracts because those contracts do not have fixed and determinable payments.

Contractual Obligations (in millions)

| | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1 3 years | 3 5 years | More than 5 years |
| March 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed notes (1) | \$ 4,259.6 | \$ 1,166.0 | \$ 1,449.8 | \$ 770.5 | \$ 873.3 |
| Repurchase agreements | 348.8 | 348.8 | | | |
| CDOs (1) | 649.9 | 26.4 | 41.3 | 52.7 | 529.5 |
| Junior subordinated notes | 313.6 | 6.8 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 278.0 |
| Convertible senior notes | 649.9 | 26.4 | 41.3 | 52.7 | 529.5 |
| Revolving credit facility (2) | 20.9 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 17.5 | |
| Facilities leases | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| Total | \$ 6,242.8 | \$ 1,575.6 | \$ 1,548.4 | \$ 908.5 | \$ 2,210.3 |

(1) The mortgage-backed notes and CDOs have stated

maturities through 2047; however, the expected maturity is subject to change based on the prepayments and loan losses of the underlying mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities. In addition, we may exercise a redemption option and thereby effect termination and early retirement of the debt. The payments represented reflect our assumptions for prepayment and credit losses.

- (2) The revolving credit facility has a stated maturity of 2012. The terms of the facility require repayment from the sale of assets and excess cash flows as defined in the agreement.
- (3) Subsequent to March 31, 2008, we entered into a new lease for office space. Contractual obligations on that lease are approximately \$0.2 million for each year of a six-year term

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

To date, we have completed ten mortgage loan securitizations and one CDO where we have retained an interest in the securitizations. All of our securitizations were initially structured as financing arrangements. Due to the amount of our retained interests in these securitizations, we were the primary beneficiary in the securitizations and therefore the securitizations qualified for consolidation with our financial statements. In accordance with FIN 46(R), we reconsider whether these securitizations continue to qualify for consolidation if any of the following three events occurs:

If the governing documents or contractual arrangements are changed in a manner that the obligation to absorb expected losses or rights to receive expected residual returns are reallocated among the primary beneficiary and other unrelated parties;

If we sell or otherwise dispose of all or a part of our beneficial interest to unrelated parties; or

If new interests are issued by the securitization trust.

Subsequent to June 30, 2007, we sold some of our interests in certain securitizations. These sales of securities constituted a reconsideration event, and we performed a new analysis to determine if we continued to be the primary beneficiary in each of these securitizations. As a result of our analysis, we determined that we were no longer the primary beneficiary of our 2007-2 securitization, and, therefore, our 2007-2 securitization no longer qualified for consolidation with our consolidated financial statements. We removed residential mortgage loans in the amount of \$642.5 million from our balance sheet along with the related debt of \$620.8 million. We continue to hold mortgage-backed securities with a fair value of \$6.2 million at March 31, 2008 related to the 2007-2 trust. We included these assets in our mortgage-backed securities portfolio. These security sales have no effect on the tax treatment of the securitizations or on the securitization trusts' status as a special purpose entity. We have no obligation to provide additional support to this securitization, and our exposure to losses is limited to the \$6.2 million of securities we have retained from the 2007-2 trust. Because the terms and structure of the securitization were established at the inception of the securitization, the only way we would consider a reconsolidation of the securitization would be if we were to repurchase additional securities issued by this trust on the open market. We do not currently plan to repurchase any securities issued by this trust.

At March 31, 2008, the 2007-2 trust had \$604.6 million of residential mortgage loans that collateralized \$604.6 million of mortgage-backed notes.

In 2005, we completed two trust preferred securities offerings in the aggregate amount of \$90.0 million. We received proceeds, net of debt issuance costs, from the preferred securities offerings in the amount of \$87.2 million. See Note 5 to our March 31, 2008 consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Form 10-Q for further information.

Recent Accounting and Reporting Developments

See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for a discussion of recently issued or proposed accounting pronouncements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The primary components of our market risk are credit risk and interest rate risk. We seek to assume risk that can be quantified from historical experience, manage that risk, earn sufficient compensation to justify taking that risk and maintain capital levels consistent with the risk we undertake or to which we are exposed.

Short-Term Financing Risks

We are subject to risks in connection with our usage of short-term financing for our mortgage-backed

securities and whole loan purchases. We finance our purchases of mortgage-backed securities and whole loans through a combination of repurchase agreements, warehouse lines of credit and, in the past, have used commercial paper financing, until we secure permanent financing through the issuance of non-recourse mortgage-backed notes or CDOs. We obtain short-term financing by borrowing against the market value of our securities or whole loans. At any given time, our ability to borrow depends on our lenders' estimate of the credit quality of our securities, liquidity and expected cash flow as well as our lenders' advance rates on securities. The securities that we purchase are subject to daily fluctuations in market pricing and, as market pricing changes, we may be subject to margin calls from our financing counterparties. A margin call requires us to post additional collateral or cash with our financing counterparties to maintain the financing on our securities. We face the risk that we might not be able to meet our debt service obligations or margin calls, and, to the extent that we cannot, we might be forced to liquidate some or all of our assets at disadvantageous prices that would adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition. A default on a collateralized borrowing could also result in an involuntary liquidation of the pledged asset, which would adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition. Furthermore, if our lenders do not allow us to renew our borrowings or we cannot replace maturing borrowings on favorable terms or at all, we might be forced to liquidate some or all of our assets at disadvantageous prices which would adversely impact our results of operations or financial condition.

Credit Risk

We are subject to credit risk in connection with our investments in residential mortgage loans and credit sensitive mortgage-backed securities and other asset-backed securities rated below AAA. The credit risk related to these investments pertains to the ability and willingness to pay of the borrowers whose mortgages collateralize these investments, which is assessed before credit is granted or renewed and periodically reviewed throughout the loan or security term. We believe that loan credit quality is primarily determined by the borrowers' credit profiles and loan characteristics.

We use a comprehensive credit review process. Our analysis of loans includes borrower profiles, as well as valuation and appraisal data. Our resources include sophisticated industry and rating agency software. We also outsource underwriting services to review higher risk loans, either due to borrower credit profiles or collateral valuation issues. Since June 2006, we have evaluated the accuracy of every appraisal on every loan we have purchased. In addition to statistical sampling techniques, we create adverse credit and valuation samples, which we individually review. We reject loans that fail to conform to our standards, and we accept only those loans that meet our careful underwriting criteria.

Once we own a loan, our surveillance process includes ongoing analysis through our proprietary data warehouse and servicer files. We are proactive in our analysis of payment behavior and in loss mitigation through our servicing relationships.

In addition, from time to time, we may purchase derivative securities such as credit default swaps or other instruments which change in value based on changes in asset-backed securities indexes. We use these derivative securities to attempt to mitigate the effect of unforeseen increases in losses on the investment securities in our portfolio. We may use single-name credit default swaps to economically hedge changes in value due to credit of certain specific investment securities or use derivative instruments as economic hedges of portions of the investment portfolio generally. Hedging strategies involving the use of derivative securities are highly complex and may produce volatile returns.

We are also subject to credit risk in connection with our investments in mortgage-backed securities in our Spread portfolio, which we mitigate by holding securities that are either guaranteed by government or government-sponsored agencies or have credit ratings of AAA.

Concentration Risk

Inadequate diversification of our loan portfolio, such as geographic concentrations, may result in losses. As part of our underwriting process, we attempt to diversify the geographic concentration risk exposure in our portfolios.

Interest Rate Risk

We are subject to interest rate risk in connection with our investment securities and our related debt obligations.

Effect on Net Interest Income

We finance our residential mortgage loans through a combination of warehouse lending facilities initially and non-recourse mortgage-backed notes following the securitization of our loans. Our mortgage loan assets consist of a combination of adjustable-rate mortgage loans and hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage loans. The interest rates on our warehouse lending facilities and non-recourse mortgage-backed notes generally reset on a monthly basis. In general, we use derivative contracts to match-fund the cost of our related borrowings with the income that we expect to earn from our hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage loans that currently have fixed coupon rates. If our hedging activities are effective over a variety of interest rate scenarios the change in income from our mortgage loans, plus the benefit or cost of our related hedging activities, will generally offset the change in the cost of our related borrowings such that the net interest spread from our mortgage loans will remain substantially unchanged.

Our repurchase agreements, CDOs and revolving lines of credit all reset on a short term basis, and, therefore, the fair value of these instruments would not change materially based on changes in interest rates. The following sensitivity analysis table shows the estimated impact on the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive instruments assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points, rise 100 basis points and rise 200 basis points.

Interest Rate Sensitivity
(dollars in millions)

| | Interest Rates Fall 100 Basis Points | Unchanged | Interest Rates Rise 100 Basis Points | Interest Rates Rise 200 Basis Points |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|---|---|
| March 31, 2008: | | | | |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 220.8 | \$ 220.6 | \$ 220.4 | \$ 220.2 |
| Change in fair value | 0.2 | | (0.2) | (0.4) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 0.1% | | (0.1)% | (0.2)% |
| Hedge Instruments | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ (28.2) | \$ (21.7) | \$ (14.2) | \$ (5.5) |
| Change in fair value | (6.5) | | 7.5 | 16.2 |
| Change as a percent of fair value | (30.0)% | | 34.5% | 74.7% |
| Residential Mortgage Loans | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 3,476.4 | \$ 3,443.7 | \$ 3,410.9 | \$ 3,378.2 |
| Change in fair value | 32.7 | | (32.7) | (65.4) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 1.0% | | (0.9)% | (1.9)% |
| Mortgage-Backed Notes | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 3,446.5 | \$ 3,443.7 | \$ 3,441.0 | \$ 3,438.4 |
| Change in fair value | 2.8 | | (2.7) | (5.3) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 0.1% | | (0.1)% | (0.2)% |
| Senior Convertible Notes | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 37.2 | \$ 36.0 | \$ 34.9 | \$ 33.8 |
| Change in fair value | 1.2 | | (1.1) | (2.2) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 3.3% | | (3.1)% | (6.1)% |
| Junior Subordinated Notes | | | | |
| Fair value | \$ 25.5 | \$ 24.6 | \$ 88.1 | \$ 84.7 |
| Change in fair value | 0.9 | | (0.9) | (1.7) |
| Change as a percent of fair value | 3.7% | | (3.7)% | (6.9)% |

It is important to note that the impact of changing interest rates on fair value can change significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. Therefore, the volatility in the fair value of our assets could increase significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points. In addition, other factors impact the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of our assets would likely differ from that shown above and such difference might be material and adverse to our stockholders.

To the extent consistent with maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we seek to manage our interest rate risk exposure to protect our portfolio of mortgage-backed securities and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage our interest rate risk by:

- monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the reset index and interest rate related to our mortgage-backed securities and our borrowings;

- attempting to structure our borrowing agreements to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods;

using derivatives, financial futures, swaps, options, caps, floors and forward sales to adjust the interest rate-sensitivity of our mortgage-backed securities and our borrowings; and

actively managing, on an aggregate basis, the interest rate indices, interest rate adjustment periods and gross reset margins of our mortgage-backed securities and the interest rate indices and adjustment periods of our borrowings.

Extension Risk

Hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage loans and hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities have interest rates that are fixed for the first few years of the mortgage loan or mortgage-backed security typically three, five, seven or 10 years and thereafter their interest rates reset periodically. At March 31, 2008, 27.9% of our total mortgage assets consisted of hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage loans and we held no hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage-backed securities. We compute the projected weighted-average life of hybrid adjustable-rate mortgage assets based

on the market's assumptions regarding the rate at which the borrowers will prepay these assets. During a period of interest rate increases, prepayment rates on our hybrid adjustable-rate assets may decrease and cause the weighted-average life of these assets to lengthen. During a period of interest rate decreases, prepayment rates on our hybrid adjustable-rate assets may increase and cause the weighted-average life of these assets to shorten. The possibility that our hybrid adjustable-rate assets may lengthen due to slower prepayment activity is commonly known as extension risk. See *Prepayment Risk* below. We may purchase a variety of hedging instruments to mitigate these risks. Depending upon the type of derivative contract that we use to hedge these borrowing costs, however, extension risk related to the hybrid adjustable-rate assets being hedged may cause a mismatch with the hedging instruments and negatively impact the desired result from our hedging activities. In extreme situations, we may be forced to sell assets and incur losses to maintain adequate liquidity.

Interest Rate Cap Risk

We also invest in residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities that are based on mortgages that are typically subject to periodic and lifetime interest rate caps. These interest rate caps limit the amount by which the coupon rate of these assets may change during any given period. However, the borrowing costs related to our mortgage assets are not subject to similar restrictions. Therefore, in a period of increasing interest rates, interest rate costs on the borrowings for our mortgage assets could increase without the limitation of interest rate caps, while the corresponding increase in coupon rates on mortgage assets could be limited by interest rate caps. This problem will be magnified to the extent that we acquire mortgage assets that are not based on mortgages that are fully-indexed.

In addition, our mortgage assets may be subject to periodic payment caps that result in some portion of the interest being deferred and added to the principal outstanding. The presence of these payment caps could result in our receipt of less cash income on our mortgage assets than we need in order to pay the interest cost on our related borrowings. These factors could lower our net interest income or cause a net loss during periods of rising interest rates, which would negatively impact our financial condition, cash flows and results of operations. We may purchase a variety of hedging instruments to mitigate these risks.

Prepayment Risk

Prepayments are the full or partial unscheduled repayment of principal prior to the original term to maturity of a loan. Prepayment rates for mortgage loans and mortgage loans underlying mortgage-backed securities generally increase when prevailing interest rates fall below the market rate existing when the mortgage loans were originated. Prepayment rates on mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities generally increase when the difference between long-term and short-term interest rates declines or becomes negative. In the event that we owned such loan or security and it is prepaid prior to or soon after the time of adjustment to a fully-indexed rate, then we would have held such loan or security while it was less profitable and lost the opportunity to receive interest at the fully-indexed rate over the expected life of asset. In addition, we currently own mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities that were purchased at a premium. The prepayment of such mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities at a rate faster than anticipated would result in a write-off of any remaining capitalized premium amount and a consequent reduction of our net interest income by such amount. At March 31, 2008, 68% of our mortgage loans contained prepayment penalty provisions compared to 67% at December 31, 2007. Generally, mortgage loans with prepayment penalty provisions are less likely to prepay than mortgage loans without prepayment penalty provisions.

Inflation

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are financial in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors influence our performance far more so than inflation does. Changes in interest rates do not necessarily correlate with inflation rates or changes in inflation rates. We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and primarily base our distributions on our REIT taxable net income; in each case, we measure our activities and balance sheet reference to historical cost and fair market value without considering inflation.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding Disclosure Controls and Procedures

At March 31, 2008, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer have performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, and concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that our disclosure controls and procedures are also effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

No material changes occurred during the first quarter of our fiscal year ending December 31, 2008 in our internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II
OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Class Action Lawsuits

Following our August 6, 2007 announcement of certain actions our board of directors took, we and certain officers and directors were named as defendants in six purported class action lawsuits. A consolidated complaint has been filed, on behalf of a purported class of investors who purchased our securities between June 25, 2007 and August 6, 2007. The lawsuit alleges, generally, that the defendants violated federal securities laws by making material misrepresentations to the market concerning our operations and prospects, thereby artificially inflating the price of our common stock. The complaints seek unspecified damages.

The case involves complex issues of law and fact and has not yet progressed to the point where we can:
predict its outcome;

estimate damages that might result from the case; or

predict the effect that final resolution that the case might have on our business, financial condition or results of operations, although such effect could be materially adverse.

We believe these allegations to be without merit. We intend to seek dismissal of the lawsuit for failure to state a valid legal claim, and if the case is not dismissed on motion, to vigorously defend ourselves against these allegations. We maintain directors and officers liability insurance which we believe should provide coverage to us and our officers and directors for most or all of any costs, settlements or judgments resulting from the lawsuit.

In addition, a stockholder derivative action was filed on August 31, 2007 in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco, in which an individual stockholder purports to assert claims on our behalf against numerous directors and officers for alleged breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control and other similar claims. We believe the allegations in the stockholder derivative complaint to be without merit and filed a motion to dismiss all claims. In response to this motion, the plaintiff voluntarily filed an amended derivative complaint. We filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on April 13, 2008. Furthermore, any recovery in the derivative lawsuit would be payable to us, and this lawsuit is, therefore, unlikely to have a material negative effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Repurchase Agreement Litigation

We have initiated legal proceedings against two repurchase agreement counterparties in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York regarding those counterparties disposition of certain mortgage-backed securities that were posted with them pursuant to certain repurchase agreements. The lawsuits allege that during the summer of 2007 the counterparties, among other things, failed to act in good faith and in a commercially reasonable manner in purportedly attempting to liquidate the securities posted with them and/or in attempting to credit us for the value of those securities after certain margin calls were not met.

Both of the defendants dispute the lawsuits allegations and are claiming that the liquidation of the posted collateral resulted in a deficit that we owe. One of the defendants has asserted counterclaims alleging that we owe approximately \$6.6 million consisting of the alleged deficit amount plus certain principal and interest amounts paid on the securities it had seized and demanding legal fees. The other counterparty has not yet asserted a counterclaim, but had previously claimed a deficit of approximately \$7.7 million.

On December 21, 2007, a repurchase agreement lender alleged that an event of default existed for an unspecified reason on the part of us and our affiliates under a master repurchase agreement. As a result, the repurchase agreement lender alleged that the repurchase date for reverse repurchase transactions by us and those

affiliates having an aggregate repurchase price of approximately \$8.0 million calculated as of December 21, 2007, would occur (to the extent that the repurchase date had not already occurred), and the repurchase agreement lender demanded payment by us of that aggregate repurchase price, together with interest thereon and expenses. In March 2008, the repurchase agreement lender informed us that it had sold the collateral for the repurchase agreement and we estimate the sales resulted in a deficit of approximately \$7.3 million.

We and our affiliates do not agree with the repurchase agreement lender that the above-described event of default existed. Furthermore, we and our affiliates believe that most of the securities subject to the related reverse repurchase transactions were sponsored, issued and underwritten by affiliates of the repurchase agreement lender and then sold to us by the underwriter, which is an agent of the repurchase agreement lender, in violation of federal and state securities laws. We and an affiliate are seeking to rescind the related sale. Approximately \$7.0 million of the amount demanded by the repurchase agreement lender relates to the securities sponsored, issued, and underwritten by affiliates of the repurchase agreement lender.

On December 24, 2007, we and an affiliate filed a lawsuit against affiliates of the repurchase agreement lender relating to the issuance and sale of the aforementioned securities. The lawsuit alleges that the defendants misrepresented and failed to disclose material information relating to the securities they offered and sold to us and an affiliate, entitling us and an affiliate to rescission and/or monetary damages. In April 2008, the defendant filed a motion to dismiss the case.

These cases are in the early stages. We cannot predict the effect that final resolution of the cases might have on our business, financial condition or results of operations, although such effect could be materially adverse. We believe the counterparties' claims are without merit and we intend to vigorously defend ourselves against them if and to the extent they are pursued in the lawsuits.

Item 1A Risk Factors

For additional risk factor information about us, please refer to Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

The exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index (following the Signatures section of this report) are included, or incorporated by reference, in this Form 10-Q.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LUMINENT MORTGAGE CAPITAL, INC.

By: /s/ Zachary H. Pashel

Zachary H. Pashel
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 19, 2008

By: /s/ Karen Chang

Karen Chang
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: May 19, 2008

66

EXHIBIT INDEX

Pursuant to Item 601(a) (2) of Regulation S-K, this exhibit index immediately precedes any exhibits filed herewith. The following exhibits are included, or incorporated by reference, in this Form 10-Q and are numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| 10.1 | Employment Agreement dated as of January 1, 2008 between the Registrant and Dimitri Papatheoharis (1) |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of January 1, 2008 between the Registrant and S. Trezevant Moore, Jr. (1) |
| 10.3 | Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2008 (2) |
| 10.4 | Supplement No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Subsidiary Guarantee Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2008 (2) |
| 10.5 | Assumption and Joinder Agreement to Security Pledge Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2008 (2) |
| 10.6 | Joinder Agreement to Collateral Security, Setoff and Netting Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2008 (2) |
| 10.7 | Separation Agreement with S. Trezevant Moore, Jr., dated May 9, 2008 |
| 31.1 * | Certification of Zachary H. Pashel, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 * | Certification of Karen Chang, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 * | Certification of Zachary H. Pashel, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 * | Certification of Karen Chang, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, pursuant 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Filed herewith

(1) Incorporated by reference to our Form 8-K filed January 9, 2008.

(2) Incorporated by reference to our Form 8-K filed May 15, 2008.