NUVEEN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSRS July 08, 2015

### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

### FORM N-CSR

### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-09297

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

> Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: April 30, 2015

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

### Life is Complex

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready—no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements from your 3nancial advisor or brokerage account.

or

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements directly from Nuveen.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Managers' Comments	5
Fund Leverage	8
Common Share Information	10
Risk Considerations	12
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries	13
Portfolios of Investments	25
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	95
Statement of Operations	97
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	98
Statement of Cash Flows	100
Financial Highlights	102
Notes to Financial Statements	111
Additional Fund Information	124
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	125
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	127
Nuveen Investments	3

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

A pattern of divergence has emerged in the past year. Steady and moderate growth in the U.S. economy helped sustain the stock market's bull run another year. U.S. bonds also performed well, amid subdued inflation, interest rates that remained unexpectedly low and concerns about the economic well-being of the rest of the world. The stronger domestic economy enabled the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) to gradually reduce its large scale bond purchases, known as quantitative easing (QE), without disruption to the markets, as well as beginning to set expectations for a transition into tightening mode.

The economic story outside the U.S. continues to improve. Despite the drama over Greece's debt negotiations, the European economy appears to be stabilizing. Japan is on a moderate recovery path as it emerged from recession late last quarter. China's economy decelerated and, despite running well above the rate of other major global economies, investors feared it looked slow by China's standards. Some areas of concern were a surprisingly steep decline in oil prices, the U.S. dollar's rally and an increase in geopolitical tensions, including the Russia-Ukraine crisis and terrorist attacks across the Middle East and Africa, as well as more recently in Europe.

While a backdrop of healthy economic growth in the U.S. and the continuation of accommodative monetary policy (with the central banks of Japan and Europe stepping in where the Fed has left off) bodes well for the markets, the global outlook has become more uncertain. Indeed, volatility is likely to feature more prominently in the investment landscape going forward. Such conditions underscore the importance of professional investment management. Experienced investment teams have weathered the market's ups and downs in the past and emerged with a better understanding of the sensitivities of their asset class and investment style, particularly in times of turbulence. We recognize the importance of maximizing gains, while striving to minimize volatility.

And, the same is true for investors like you. Maintaining an appropriate time horizon, diversification and relying on practiced investment teams are among your best strategies for achieving your long-term investment objectives. Additionally, I encourage you to communicate with your financial consultant if you have questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

William J. Schneider Chairman of the Board June 22, 2015

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPP) Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (NMA) Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NMO) Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAD) Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NXZ) Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZF)

These Funds feature portfolio management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments, Inc. Portfolio managers Thomas C. Spalding, CFA, and Paul L. Brennan, CFA, review key investment strategies and the six-month performance of these six Funds. Tom has managed NXZ since its inception in 2001 and NPP, NMA, NMO and NAD since 2003. Paul assumed portfolio management responsibility for NZF in 2006.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the six-month reporting period ended April 30, 2015?

A backdrop of supportive technical and fundamental factors helped the municipal market rally in the first half of the reporting period. However, conditions turned more volatile in the second three months. Disappointing economic data, uncertainty about the timeline for the Federal Reserve's first rate increase, an oversupply of new issuance and seasonal weakness due to tax loss selling led to greater price fluctuations within the municipal market in early 2015. In this environment, interest rates fell through January then plodded upward, ending the reporting period at nearly the same level where they began. Municipal bond prices were up modestly for the overall six-month reporting period. We continued to take a bottom-up approach to identifying sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term and helped keep the Funds fully invested.

Much of our trading activity during the reporting period was focused on reinvesting the cash from called bonds. The decline in municipal yields and the flattening of the municipal yield curve relative to the Treasury curve helped to make refunding deals more attractive and we saw an increase in this activity during the reporting period, as bond issuers sought to lower costs through refinancings.

In NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ, buying activity was relatively muted early in the reporting period then accelerated in the latter half. The five Funds purchased new issues for Atlanta Water and Sewer Authority, and all except NMA bought Indiana Finance Authority for Indiana University Hospital bonds. We also emphasized other shorter duration credits with ample liquidity to help keep these five Funds within their specified duration ranges.

NZF maintained its overall positioning strategy throughout the course of the reporting period, namely a bias toward longer maturity and lower credit quality bonds. Additions to NZF's portfolio were primarily in the transportation and health care sectors. We bought

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Fitch, Inc. (Fitch) Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds

backed by U.S. government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Bond insurance guarantees only the payment of principal and interest on the bond when due, and not the value of the bonds themselves, which will fluctuate with the bond market and the financial success of the issuer and the insurer. Insurance relates specifically to the bonds in the portfolio and not to the share prices of a Fund. No representation is made as to the insurers' ability to meet their commitments.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

tollroad bonds issued for the Central Texas Turnpike and North Texas Tollway Authority and an airport bond for the New Orleans Aviation Board. The health care sector has been an attractive source of ideas for us and has continued to be an overweight position in the Fund. The advent of the Affordable Health Care Act has encouraged health care providers to increase the scale of their businesses through affiliations and consolidations. While NZF's general sector and credit quality positioning was largely unchanged during this reporting period, we have become more selective at the individual issue level. As investor demand for municipal securities has increased and created a slight supply-demand imbalance, we've started to see underwriters bring new issues to market that are structured with terms more favorable to the issuer and perhaps less advantageous to the investor than in the recent past. We believe this shift in the marketplace merits extra vigilance on our part to ensure that every credit considered for the portfolio offers adequate reward potential for the level of risk to the bondholder. In cases where our convictions have been less certain, we've sought compensation for the additional risk or have passed on the deal all together.

Cash for purchases was generated primarily by proceeds from called and matured bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested and support their income streams. In addition, NMO trimmed some of its holding of American Airlines common stock, which performed well as the company has emerged from bankruptcy. The Fund received American Airlines stock when its holding of bonds issued by Puerto Rico Ports Authority for American Airlines was converted into equity as part of the merger with US Airways, which was completed in December 2013. Over time, we expect to sell these shares and reinvest the proceeds into municipal bonds.

As of April 30, 2015, all of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

How did the Funds perform during the six-month reporting period ended April 30, 2015?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' total returns for the six-month, one-year, five-year and ten-year periods ended April 30, 2015. Each Fund's total returns at net asset value (NAV) are compared with the performance of a corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the six months ended April 30, 2015, the total returns on common share NAV for all of these Funds outperformed the return for the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. For the same period, NPP, NMA, NMO and NXZ outperformed the average return for the Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, while NAD and NZF slightly underperformed this classification average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. Keeping the Funds fully invested throughout the reporting period also was beneficial for performance. In addition, the use of regulatory leverage was an important positive factor affecting the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in the Fund Leverage section of this report.

In this reporting period, municipal bonds with intermediate and longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities. In general, the Funds' durations and yield curve positioning were positive for performance. Consistent with our long term strategy, these Funds tended to have longer durations than the municipal market in general, with overweightings in the longer parts of the yield curve that performed well and underweightings in the underperforming shorter end of the curve. This was especially true in NZF and NPP, where greater sensitivity to changes in interest rates benefited their performance. The positioning of NMA and NXZ, which had the shortest durations among these Funds, was slightly less advantageous and the two Funds received less benefit from duration. In addition, exposure to zero coupon bonds, which typically have higher durations, was particularly beneficial. Among

the six Funds, NPP and NAD had the largest weightings in zero coupon bonds and NZF had the smallest weighting.

During this reporting period, lower rated bonds generally outperformed higher quality bonds, as the municipal market rally continued and investors became more willing to accept risk. These Funds tended to have overweights in A rated and BBB rated bonds and underweights in the AAA rated and AA rated categories relative to their benchmark and credit exposure was generally positive for their performance. As with duration, differences in credit allocation accounted for some of the differences in performance. All of these Funds benefited from their lower rated holdings during this reporting period.

Among the municipal market sectors, tobacco, health care (especially hospitals), industrial development revenue (IDR) and transportation (especially toll roads) were some of the top-performing groups during this reporting period. Tobacco bonds performed well due to their lower credit quality and the broader demand for higher yields. Health care, IDR and transportation bonds also benefited from investor demand for lower rated credits, as well as generally improving credit fundamentals across these sectors. Overweight positions in tobacco, health care and transportation bonds were the main positive drivers of performance for NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ. NMO also benefited from its holding of strong-performing American Airlines common stock, as described earlier in the key strategies section. The performance of NZF was largely driven by the Fund's allocation to the tobacco settlement, health care, transportation, utilities and the IDR sectors. However, relative gains were somewhat offset by weak performance from the Fund's exposure to the pre-refunded and tax obligation sectors. Pre-refunded bonds generally underperformed the municipal market, owing to their short maturities and higher credit quality. Although the tax-supported sectors encompass a wide range of credit ratings, the underperformance of higher quality issues has been one of the main reasons the tax-supported sectors have tended to lag revenue sectors. Also, during this reporting period, Moody's upgraded Harris County-Houston Sports Authority bonds following a successful restructuring in November 2014. All six Funds held the bonds in their portfolios.

As noted in the previous Shareholder Fund Report we continued to monitor two situations in the broader municipal market for any impact on the Funds' holdings and performance: the ongoing economic problems of Puerto Rico and the City of Detroit's bankruptcy case. In terms of Puerto Rico holdings, shareholders should note that NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ had limited exposure to Puerto Rico debt, 0.67%, 0.48%, 0.49%, 0.46% and 0.30%, respectively, while NZF did not hold any Puerto Rico bonds. The Puerto Rico credits offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from most federal, state and local taxes). However, Puerto Rico's continued economic weakening, escalating debt service obligations, and long-standing inability to deliver a balanced budget led to multiple downgrades on its debt over the past two years. Puerto Rico general obligation debt is rated Caa2/CCC+/B (below investment grade) by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, respectively, with negative outlooks.

On February 6, 2015, a federal court found Puerto Rico's Recovery Act to be unconstitutional. Though the Commonwealth is pursuing an appeal of the ruling, the outcome is uncertain. Puerto Rico's non-voting Representative in Congress recently introduced legislation that would make chapter 9 bankruptcy available to the Commonwealth's public corporations. A congressional committee hearing was held on February 26, 2015, but the bill has not advanced out of committee.

In light of the evolving economic situation in Puerto Rico, Nuveen's credit analysis of the Commonwealth had previously considered the possibility of a default and restructuring of public corporations and we adjusted our portfolios to prepare for such an outcome, although no such default or restructuring has occurred to date. The Nuveen complex's entire exposure to obligations of the government of Puerto Rico and other Puerto Rico issuers totaled 0.33% of assets under management as of April 30, 2015. As of April 30, 2015, the Funds' limited exposure to Puerto Rico generally was invested in bonds that were insured, pre-refunded (and therefore backed by securities such as U.S. Treasuries), or tobacco settlement bonds. Overall, the small size of our exposures meant that our Puerto Rico holdings had a negligible impact on performance.

The second situation that we continued to monitor was the City of Detroit's filing for chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court in July 2013. Burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry and significant tax base deterioration, Detroit had been under severe financial stress for an extended period prior to the filing. Before Detroit could exit bankruptcy, issues surrounding the city's complex debt portfolio, numerous union contracts, significant legal questions and more than 100,000 creditors had to be resolved. By October 2014, all of the major creditors had reached an agreement on the city's plan to restructure its \$18.5 billion of debt and emerge from bankruptcy on November 7, 2014. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the city's bankruptcy exit plan, thereby erasing approximately \$7 billion in debt. The settlement plan also provided for \$1.7 billion to be reinvested in the city

for improved public safety, blight removal and upgraded basic services.

In August 2014, Detroit announced a tender offer for the city's water and sewer bonds, aimed at replacing some of the \$5.2 billion of existing debt with lower cost bonds. Approximately \$1.5 billion in existing water and sewer bonds were returned to the city by investors under the tender offer, which enabled Detroit to issue new water and sewer bonds, resulting in savings of \$250 million over the life of the bonds. The city also raised about \$150 million to finance sewer system improvements. As part of the deal, Detroit water and sewer bonds also were permanently removed from the city's bankruptcy case, which led to a rally in the bonds' price. The six Funds continued to hold Detroit water and sewer bonds, and the small position sizes had an insignificant impact on performance.

## Fund Leverage

## IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of the Funds relative to their comparative benchmarks was the Funds' use of leverage through their issuance of preferred shares and/or investments in inverse floating rate securities, which represent leveraged investments in underlying bonds. The Funds use leverage because our research has shown that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income, particularly in the recent market environment where short-term market rates are at or near historical lows, meaning that the short-term rates the Fund has been paying on its leveraging instruments have been much lower than the interest the Fund has been earning on its portfolio of long-term bonds that it has bought with the proceeds of that leverage. However, use of leverage also can expose the Fund to additional price volatility. When a Fund uses leverage, the Fund will experience a greater increase in its net asset value if the municipal bonds acquired through the use of leverage increase in value, but it will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its net asset value if the bonds acquired through leverage decline in value, which will make the Fund's net asset value more volatile, and its total return performance more variable over time. In addition, income in levered funds will typically decrease in comparison to unlevered funds when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of these Funds over this reporting period.

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds' percentages of leverage are as shown in the accompanying table.

	NPP	NMA	NMO	NAD	NXZ	NZF
Effective Leverage*	37.34%	34.44%	35.88%	34.50%	33.67%	34.90%
Regulatory Leverage*	35.42%	30.76%	33.44%	30.27%	29.60%	28.68%

\* Effective Leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage. Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued or borrowings of a Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

### THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds have issued and outstanding Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred (iMTP) Shares, Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares and/or Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	iMTP Sha	ares	VMTP Sh	ares	VRDP Sh	ares	
		Shares		Shares		Shares	
		Issued at		Issued at		Issued at	
		Liquidation		Liquidation		Liquidation	
Fund	Series	Value	Series	Value	Series	Value	Total
NPP			2015	\$535,000,000	—		\$535,000,000
NMA			—	—	1	\$268,800,000	\$268,800,000
NMO				—	1	\$350,900,000	\$350,900,000
NAD			2016	\$265,000,000	_		\$265,000,000
NXZ				—	2	\$196,000,000	\$196,000,000
NZF	2017	\$150,000,000	2017	\$81,000,000	—	—	\$231,000,000

Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Note 4 — Fund Shares, Preferred Shares for further details on iMTP, VMTP and VRDP Shares and each Fund's respective transactions.

Subsequent to the close of this reporting period, NPP refinanced all of its outstanding VMTP Shares with the issuance of new VMTP Shares.

Common Share Information

## COMMON SHARE DISTRIBUTION INFORMATION

The following information regarding the Funds' distributions is current as of April 30, 2015. Each Fund's distribution levels may vary over time based on each Fund's investment activity and portfolio investments value changes.

During the current reporting period, each Fund's distributions to common shareholders were as shown in the accompanying table.

	Per Comn	non Share Amo	ounts			
Ex-Dividend Date	NPP	NMA	NMO	NAD	NXZ	NZF
November 2014	\$0.0770	\$0.0670	\$0.0670	\$0.0740	\$0.0715	\$0.0625
December	0.0770	0.0670	0.0670	0.0740	0.0715	0.0625
January	0.0770	0.0670	0.0670	0.0740	0.0715	0.0625
February	0.0770	0.0670	0.0670	0.0740	0.0715	0.0625
March	0.0770	0.0660	0.0670	0.0740	0.0680	0.0640
April 2015	0.0770	0.0660	0.0670	0.0740	0.0680	0.0640
Ordinary Income						
Distribution*	\$0.0002	\$0.0007	\$0.0006	\$0.0001	\$0.0001	\$0.0017
Market Yield**	6.18	% 5.75	% 5.90	% 6.19	% 5.78	% 5.45 %
Taxable-Equivalent Yield**	8.58	% 7.99	% 8.19	% 8.60	% 8.03	% 7.57 %

\* Distribution paid in December 2014.

\*\* Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly dividend divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28.0%. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

Each Fund in this report seeks to pay regular monthly dividends out of its net investment income at a rate that reflects its past and projected net income performance. To permit each Fund to maintain a more stable monthly dividend, the Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it will hold the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's net asset value. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid in dividends more than it has earned, the excess will constitute a negative UNII that will likewise be reflected in the Fund's net asset value. Each Fund will, over time, pay all its net investment income as dividends to shareholders.

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds had positive UNII balances, based upon our best estimate, for tax purposes and positive UNII balances for financial reporting purposes.

All monthly dividends paid by the Funds during the current reporting period, were paid from net investment income. If a portion of the Fund's monthly distributions was sourced from or comprised of elements other than net investment income, including capital gains and/or a return of capital, shareholders would have received a notice to that effect. For financial reporting purposes, the composition and per share amounts of each Fund's dividends for the reporting period

are presented in this report's Statement of

Changes in Net Assets and Financial Highlights, respectively. For income tax purposes, distribution information for each Fund as of its most recent tax year end is presented in Note 6 — Income Tax Information within the Notes to Financial Statements of this report.

### COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES

During August 2014, the Funds' Board of Directors/Trustees reauthorized an open-market share repurchase program, allowing each Fund to repurchase an aggregate of up to approximately 10% of its outstanding shares.

As of April 30, 2015, and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired their outstanding common shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	NPP	NMA	NMO	NAD	NXZ	NZF
Common Shares Cumulatively						
Repurchased and Retired	0	0	0	0	0	30,000
Common Shares Authorized for Repurchase	6,005,000	4,370,000	4,585,000			4,040,000

During the current reporting period, the Funds did not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

### OTHER COMMON SHARE INFORMATION

As of April 30, 2015, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' common share prices were trading at a premium/(discount) to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

	NPP	NMA	NMO	NAD	NXZ	NZF	
Common Share NAV	\$16.25	\$15.39	\$15.23	\$15.54	\$15.82	\$15.81	
Common Share Price	\$14.94	\$13.77	\$13.62	\$14.34	\$14.11	\$14.10	
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(8.06	)% (10.53	)% (10.57	)% (7.72	)% (10.81	)% (10.82 )	)%
6-Month Average							
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	(9.78	)% (11.25	)% (11.24	)% (9.12	)% (11.15	)% (12.50 )	)%

Nuveen Investments

11

### **Risk Considerations**

Fund shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund common shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Market and Price Risk. An investment in common shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in common shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Funds, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value (NAV). Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful. Certain aspects of the recently adopted Volcker Rule may limit the availability of tender option bonds, which are used by the Funds for leveraging and duration management purposes. The effects of this new Rule, expected to take effect in mid-2015, may make it more difficult for a Fund to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Fund to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds may invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that a Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Derivatives Risk. The Funds may use derivative instruments which involve a high degree of financial risk, including the risk that the loss on a derivative may be greater than the principal amount investment.

Municipal Bond Market Liquidity Risk. Inventories of municipal bonds held by brokers and dealers have decreased in recent years, lessening their ability to make a market in these securities. This reduction in market making capacity has the potential to decrease a Fund's ability to buy or sell bonds, and increase bond price volatility and trading costs, particularly during periods of economic or market stress. In addition, recent federal banking regulations may cause certain dealers to reduce their inventories of municipal bonds, which may further decrease a Fund's ability to buy or sell bonds. As a result, the Fund may be forced to accept a lower price to sell a security, to sell other securities to raise cash, or to give up an investment opportunity, any of which could have a negative effect on performance. If the Fund

needed to sell large blocks of bonds, those sales could further reduce the bonds' prices and hurt performance.

NPP

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative Average Annual			
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NPP at Common Share NAV	2.42%	9.49%	8.21%	6.28%
NPP at Common Share Price	5.49%	7.99%	7.66%	6.85%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.11%
Classification Average				

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Nuveen Investments

13

### NPP Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015 (continued)

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	156.2%
Corporate Bonds	0.0%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.6%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations & VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	157.8%
Floating Rate Obligations	(2.9)%
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(54.9)%
Net Assets	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	15.9%
AA	48.9%
Α	18.7%
BBB	7.9%
BB or Lower	7.2%
N/R (not rated)	1.4%
Total	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/Limited	19.6%
Transportation	18.8%
Health Care	17.4%
Tax Obligation/General	15.2%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.8%
Utilities	6.4%
Consumer Staples	6.3%
Other	7.5%
Total	100%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
Illinois	16.9%
California	12.9%

Texas	8.4%
Colorado	6.5%
Florida	5.4%
Ohio	4.2%
New York	4.0%
Virginia	3.8%
Nevada	3.7%
Indiana	3.3%
Pennsylvania	2.8%
New Jersey	2.6%
South Carolina	2.6%
Michigan	2.5%
Massachusetts	2.1%
Other	18.3%
Total	100%

### NMA

### Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative A	verage Annu	al	
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NMA at Common Share NAV	2.47%	9.71%	8.04%	6.01%
NMA at Common Share Price	3.15%	9.91%	6.10%	5.62%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.11%
Classification Average				

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Common Share Price Performance - Weekly Closing Price

Nuveen Investments

15

#### NMA Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015 (continued)

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	145.8%
Corporate Bonds	0.0%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.5%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations & VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	148.3%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.9)%
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(44.4)%
Net Assets	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	13.6%
AA	50.6%
Α	17.2%
BBB	9.9%
BB or Lower	7.7%
N/R (not rated)	1.0%
Total	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Health Care	21.2%
Transportation	20.4%
Tax Obligation/General	16.8%
Tax Obligation/Limited	14.5%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.8%
Utilities	5.6%
Consumer Staples	5.5%
Other	7.2%
Total	100%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
California	15.5%
Illinois	10.5%
Texas	10.2%

Colorado	9.2%
Ohio	5.3%
New York	4.8%
Louisiana	4.3%
Indiana	3.9%
Nevada	3.5%
Florida	3.0%
Michigan	2.8%
Arizona	2.6%
Pennsylvania	2.6%
Massachusetts	2.6%
Other	19.2%
Total	100%

NMO

## Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative A	verage Annu	al	
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NMO at Common Share NAV	2.64%	10.59%	8.15%	5.92%
NMO at Common Share Price	3.12%	9.40%	6.09%	6.14%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.11%
Classification Average				

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Nuveen Investments

17

NMO Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015 (continued)

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	150.7%
Long-Term Municipal Bonds Common Stocks	0.4%
Corporate Bonds	0.4%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.1%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations & VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	153.2%
Floating Rate Obligations	(3.0)%
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(50.2)%
Net Assets	100%
	10070
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	15.0%
AA	48.8%
Α	19.3%
BBB	8.0%
BB or Lower	7.9%
N/R (not rated)	0.8%
N/A (not applicable)	0.2%
Total	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Transportation	22.8%
Health Care	19.1%
Tax Obligation/Limited	14.3%
Tax Obligation/General	12.5%
U.S. Guaranteed	9.2%
Consumer Staples	6.0%
Utilities	5.6%
Other	10.5%
Total	100%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	15.00
California	15.2%
Illinois	10.6%

Texas	9.4%
Colorado	5.8%
Ohio	5.6%
Florida	4.7%
New York	4.6%
Nevada	4.3%
Virginia	4.1%
Pennsylvania	4.1%
Michigan	3.2%
New Jersey	2.6%
Indiana	2.5%
North Carolina	2.5%
Washington	2.2%
Other	18.6%
Total	100%

NAD

## Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative Average Annual			
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NAD at Common Share NAV	2.21%	8.95%	8.08%	6.18%
NAD at Common Share Price	4.45%	10.77%	7.05%	6.35%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.11%
Classification Average				

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Nuveen Investments

19

NAD Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015 (continued)

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	147.7%
Corporate Bonds	0.0%
Investment Companies	0.1%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.0%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations & VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	148.8%
Floating Rate Obligations	(5.4)%
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(43.4)%
Net Assets	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	13.7%
AA	53.5%
Α	19.1%
BBB	6.2%
BB or Lower	5.6%
N/R (not rated)	1.8%
N/A (not applicable)	0.1%
Total	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Health Care	21.3%
Transportation	20.6%
Tax Obligation/Limited	16.3%
Tax Obligation/General	15.9%
U.S. Guaranteed	7.1%
Consumer Staples	5.5%
Utilities	4.2%
Other	9.1%
Total	100%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
Illinois	14.7%
California	10.7%

Texas	8.9%
Colorado	7.3%
Florida	6.2%
Washington	5.5%
New York	4.8%
Nevada	3.8%
Ohio	3.8%
New Jersey	3.7%
Wisconsin	3.6%
Arizona	2.6%
Indiana	2.6%
Massachusetts	2.5%
Other	19.3%
Total	100%

### NXZ

## Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative Average Annual			
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NXZ at Common Share NAV	2.67%	9.30%	7.77%	6.28%
NXZ at Common Share Price	2.93%	8.25%	6.07%	5.72%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.11%
Classification Average				

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

### NXZ Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015 (continued)

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	143.9%
Corporate Bonds	0.0%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	2.3%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations & VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	146.2%
Floating Rate Obligations	(4.2)%
VRDP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(42.0)%
Net Assets	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	16.5%
AA	50.3%
Α	14.5%
BBB	8.2%
BB or Lower	8.4%
N/R (not rated)	2.1%
Total	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Health Care	18.5%
Tax Obligation/Limited	17.3%
Transportation	15.8%
Tax Obligation/General	12.6%
U.S. Guaranteed	10.6%
Consumer Staples	6.7%
Utilities	6.4%
Water and Sewer	4.2%
Other	7.9%
Total	100%
States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds) California	15.9%
	13.6%
Texas	15.0%

12.5%
6.4%
5.6%
4.0%
4.0%
3.2%
3.2%
2.8%
2.5%
2.4%
2.3%
2.2%
19.4%
100%

NZF

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Average Annual Total Returns as of April 30, 2015

	Cumulative A	verage Annu	ıal	
	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NZF at Common Share NAV	2.33%	9.93%	7.83%	6.26%
NZF at Common Share Price	4.96%	11.27%	6.33%	6.25%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	1.27%	4.86%	4.92%	4.63%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds	2.40%	9.96%	8.36%	6.11%
Classification Average				

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Returns at NAV are net of Fund expenses, and assume reinvestment of distributions. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Nuveen Investments

23

### NZF Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of April 30, 2015 (continued)

This data relates to the securities held in the Fund's portfolio of investments as of the end of the reporting period. It should not be construed as a measure of performance for the Fund itself. Holdings are subject to change.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Fund Allocation	
(% of net assets)	
Long-Term Municipal Bonds	145.5%
Corporate Bonds	0.0%
Investment Companies	0.6%
Other Assets Less Liabilities	1.3%
Net Assets Plus Floating Rate Obligations &, iMTP shares at Liquidation Value &	147.4%
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	
Floating Rate Obligations	(7.2)%
iMTP Share, at Liquidation Value	(26.1)%
VMTP Shares, at Liquidation Value	(14.1)%
Net Assets	100%
Credit Quality	
(% of total investment exposure)	
AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	16.6%
AA	43.9%
Α	20.1%
BBB	9.7%
BB or Lower	4.3%
N/R (not rated)	5.0%
N/A (not applicable)	0.4%
Total	100%
Portfolio Composition	
(% of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/Limited	20.5%
Health Care	16.2%
Transportation	14.9%
Tax Obligation/General	11.8%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.7%
Utilities	8.3%
Water and Sewer	6.8%
Consumer Staples	4.2%
Other	8.6%
Total	100%

States and Territories	
(% of total municipal bonds)	
Texas	14.4%
Illinois	10.2%
California	10.0%
Indiana	6.2%
New York	5.6%
Michigan	4.8%
Washington	4.6%
Nevada	3.6%
Louisiana	3.2%
Massachusetts	3.2%
South Carolina	3.1%
Colorado	3.0%
New Jersey	3.0%
Georgia	2.5%
Wisconsin	2.4%
Missouri	2.1%
Other	18.1%
Total	100%

NPP

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments

	Principal		Optional Call		
A	mount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS – 156.2% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
		MUNICIPAL BONDS – 156.2% (100.0% of Total Investments)			
		Alaska – 1.5% (0.9% of Total Investments)			
\$	3,945	CivicVentures, Alaska, Revenue Bonds, Anchorage Convention Center Series 2006, 5.000%, 9/01/34 – NPFG Insured	9/15 at 100.00	AA- \$	4,001,335
		Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2006A:			
	8,500	5.000%, 6/01/32	7/15 at 100.00	B2	7,453,478
	3,545	5.000%, 6/01/46	7/15 at 100.00	B2	2,803,457
	15,990	Total Alaska			14,258,270
		Arizona – 1.5% (1.0% of Total Investments)			
	4,000	Arizona School Facilities Board, School Facilities Board Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/17 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15)	7/15 at 100.00	AAA	4,032,520
	2,500	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Civic Plaza Expansion Project, Subordinate Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/35 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – FGIC Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	2,520,375
	7,780	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	A+	8,481,134
	14,280	Total Arizona			15,034,029
		Arkansas – 0.4% (0.3% of Total Investments)			
	5,080	Independence County, Arkansas, Hydroelectric Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 5.350%, 5/01/28 – ACA Insured	7/15 at 100.00	N/R	4,090,010
	3,500	California – 20.1% (12.9% of Total Investments) Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Subordinate Lien Series 2004A, 5.450%, 10/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	10/17 at 100.00	BBB+	3,842,265
	4,225	Alameda Unified School District, Alameda County, I California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	2,645,146

- 3-	5			-
15,870	Anaheim Public Financing Authority, California, Lease Revenue Bonds, Public Improvement Project, Series 1997C, 0.000%, 9/01/20 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	14,206,983
5,000	Bay Area Toll Authority, California, Revenue Bonds, San Francisco Bay Area Toll Bridge, Series 2013S-4, 5.000%, 4/01/38	4/23 at 100.00	A+	5,599,100
3,250	California Department of Water Resources, Power Supply Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2008H, 5.000%, 5/01/22 – AGM Insured	5/18 at 100.00	AA	3,650,920
	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006:			
5,000	5.000%, 4/01/37	4/16 at 100.00	A+	5,137,750
7,000	5.250%, 4/01/39	4/16 at 100.00	A+	7,200,270
2,330	California Municipal Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2010A, 5.750%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	Baa2	2,565,982
3,700	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Series 2004C, 4.750%, 12/01/23 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/17 at 100.00	A3	3,922,703
1,300	California State Public Works Board, Lease Revenue Bonds, Various Capital Projects, Series 2013I, 5.000%, 11/01/38	11/23 at 100.00	A1	1,452,477
5,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 3/01/31 (Pre-refunded 3/01/16)	3/16 at 100.00	Aa3 (4)	5,201,500
16,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007, 5.000%, 6/01/37	6/17 at 100.00	Aa3	17,149,118
10,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41	10/21 at 100.00	Aa3	11,215,500
6,435	California State, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 6.000%, 4/01/16 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa3	6,779,208
3,770	California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Cottage Health System Obligated Group, Series 2010, 5.250%, 11/01/30	11/20 at 100.00	AA-	4,299,911

#### NPP Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued) April 30, 2015 (Unaudited) Optional Principal Call Provisions Ratings (3) Amount (000) Description (1) Value (2)California (continued) \$ 3,000 California Statewide Community Development 7/18 at 3,314,760 A \$ Authority, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Catholic 100.00 Healthcare West, Series 2008C, 5.625%, 7/01/35 5,000 Coast Community College District, Orange County, 8/18 at Aa1 5,512,200 California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 100.00 5.000%, 8/01/32 - AGM Insured 7,240 Desert Community College District, Riverside 8/17 at AA 3,913,799 County, California, General Obligation Bonds, 56.01 Election 2004 Series 2007C, 0.000%, 8/01/28 - AGM Insured 910 Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, 1/31 at BBB-676,094 California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Refunding 100.00 Series 2013A, 0.000%, 1/15/42 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1: 2,345 4.500%, 6/01/27 6/17 at В 2,282,435 100.00 7,750 5.000%, 6/01/33 6/17 at В 6,530,770 100.00 1,500 5.125%, 6/01/47 В 6/17 at 1,198,095 100.00 В 10,000 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, 6/22 at 8,367,100 California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, 100.00 Series 2007A-2, 5.300%, 6/01/37 2,500 Huntington Beach Union High School District, No Opt. Call Aa2 1,231,800 Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 0.000%, 8/01/32 - FGIC Insured 5,000 Los Angeles Community College District, California, 8/17 at AA+(4)5,476,450 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007C, 5.000%, 100.00 8/01/32 (Pre-refunded 8/01/17) - FGIC Insured 2,495 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, 1/19 at AA 2,822,643 California, Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series 100.00 2009A, 5.375%, 7/01/34 Madera Unified School District, Madera County, 2,490 No Opt. Call AA-1,600,796

California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2002 Series 2005, 0.000%, 8/01/27 – NPFG Insured 1,855 Mount San Antonio Community College District, Los 8/35 at AA 1,259,100 Angeles County, California, General Obligation 100.00 Bonds, Election of 2008, Series 2013A, 0.000%,

	8/01/43			
3,300	M-S-R Energy Authority, California, Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Prepay Contracts, Series 2009B, 6.500%, 11/01/39	No Opt. Call	А	4,485,657
920	Mt. Diablo Hospital District, California, Insured Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.125%, 12/01/23 – AMBAC Insured (ETM)	7/15 at 100.00	N/R (4)	1,059,095
2,000	Murrieta Valley Unified School District Public Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.125%, 9/01/26 – AGM Insure		AA	2,114,720
2,615	New Haven Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,317,751
8,985	Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, San Bernardino County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1995, 7.200%, 8/01/17 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	9,708,832
2,325	Palmdale Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Restructured Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1986D, 8.000%, 4/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	2,490,145
920	Palmdale, California, Certificates of Participation, Park Improvement & Avenue Construction, Series 2002, 5.000%, 9/01/32 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 100.00	A3	921,196
5,960	Palomar Pomerado Health, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2004, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/32 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 100.00	AA–	6,357,234
9,320	Palomar Pomerado Health, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/33 – AGC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	4,252,809
1,780	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Certificates of Participation, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 1997B, 4.875%, 7/01/22 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 102.00	A3	1,822,649
1,830	San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, California, Water Utility Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2015-XF0098, 19.852%, 2/01/33 (IF)	8/19 at 100.00	Aa2	2,995,143
7,210	San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Orange County, California, Toll Road Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 1997A, 0.000%, 1/15/23 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	5,463,882
2,965	San Juan Unified School District, Sacramento County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,875,718

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
<b>* * * *</b>	California (continued)			
\$ 4,005	San Mateo Union High School District, San Mateo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2000, Series 2002B, 0.000%, 9/01/26 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+ \$	2,829,533
2,315	Tobacco Securitization Authority of Northern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 4.750%, 6/01/23	6/15 at 100.00	B+	2,314,838
2,630	Union Elementary School District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 9/01/25 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,936,206
2,515	Vacaville Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/30 – NPFG Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA–	2,542,640
2,730	Vacaville Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/30 (Pre-refunded 8/01/15) – NPFG Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA- (4)	2,763,279
208,790	Total California			196,306,202
	Colorado – 10.1% (6.5% of Total Investments)			
5,240	Adams 12 Five Star Schools, Adams County, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/15/24 (Pre-refunded 12/15/15) – AGM Insured	12/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	5,397,514
6,350	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2006A, 4.500%, 9/01/38	9/16 at 100.00	A+	6,490,399
2,295	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 2/01/41	2/21 at 100.00	A+	2,461,112
14,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Services Corporation, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 1/01/40	1/20 at 100.00	AA-	15,604,960
2,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Valley View Hospital Association, Series 2007, 5.250%, 5/15/42	5/17 at 100.00	A–	2,121,120
3,225	Denver City and County, Colorado, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Series 2013B, 5.000%, 11/15/43	11/23 at 100.00	А	3,592,037
13,920	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B, 0.000%, 9/01/21 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	11,995,838
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B:			
16,635	0.000%, 9/01/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	8,294,211

33,120	0.000%, 9/01/33 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	15,778,697
55,120	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll	No Opt. Call	AA-	13,778,077
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A:			
9,310	0.000%, 9/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	5,781,138
18,500	0.000%, 3/01/36 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	7,725,785
	Regional Transportation District, Colorado, Denver			
	Transit Partners Eagle P3 Project Private Activity			
5 000	Bonds, Series 2010:	7100		5 005 150
5,000	6.500%, 1/15/30	7/20 at	Baa3	5,905,150
3,750	6.000%, 1/15/41	100.00 7/20 at	Baa3	4,285,613
5,750	0.000 %; 1/15/41	100.00	Daas	4,205,015
40	University of Colorado, Enterprise System Revenue	6/15 at	Aa2 (4)	40,165
	Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded	100.00		,
	6/01/15) – FGIC Insured			
	University of Colorado, Enterprise System Revenue			
	Bonds, Series 2005:			
2,130	5.000%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – FGIC	6/15 at	Aa2 (4)	2,138,776
1 1 4 7	Insured	100.00	A Q (4)	1 1 40 717
1,145	5.000%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – FGIC Insured	6/15 at	Aa2 (4)	1,149,717
136,660	Total Colorado	100.00		98,762,232
150,000	Connecticut – 0.8% (0.5% of Total Investments)			76,762,252
7,640	Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities	7/16 at	AAA	7,995,260
	Authority, Revenue Bonds, Yale University, Series	100.00		
	2007Z-1, 5.000%, 7/01/42			
	District of Columbia – 0.7% (0.5% of Total			
	Investments)			
1,875	District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement	5/15 at	A1	1,875,225
	Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed	100.00		
5,000	Bonds, Series 2001, 6.250%, 5/15/24 Washington Convention Center Authority, District of	f 10/16 at	A1	5,208,200
5,000	Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior	100.00	AI	3,208,200
	Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 –	100.00		
	AMBAC Insured			
6,875	Total District of Columbia			7,083,425

## NPP Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued)

## April 30, 2015 (Unaudited)

	Principal		Optional Call		
An	nount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
		Florida – 8.4% (5.4% of Total Investments)			
\$	2,615	Florida Housing Finance Corporation, Homeowner Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006-2, 4.950%, 7/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16 at 100.00	AA+ \$	2,658,801
	7,705	Jacksonville, Florida, Special Revenue Bonds, Series 2010B-1, 5.000%, 10/01/15 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	AA- (4)	7,860,795
	2,500	Lakeland, Florida, Hospital System Revenue Bonds, Lakeland Regional Health, Series 2015, 5.000%, 11/15/45	11/24 at 100.00	A2	2,701,800
	4,500	Leon County, Florida, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/15 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA	4,588,110
	3,775	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/15 at 100.00	А	3,829,738
	5,000	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2010A-1, 5.375%, 10/01/41	10/20 at 100.00	А	5,685,950
	2,500	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2010B, 5.000%, 10/01/27	10/20 at 100.00	А	2,862,925
	3,150	Miami-Dade County, Florida, General Obligation Bonds, Build Better Communities Program, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – NPFO Insured	7/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	3,175,578
	2,410	Miami-Dade County, Florida, General Obligation Bonds, Parks Program, Series 2005, 4.300%, 11/01/30 (Pre-refunded 11/01/15) – NPFG Insured	11/15 at 100.00	AA (4)	2,459,477
	5,500	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Transit System Sales Surtax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/31	No Opt. Call	AA	6,257,845
	1,665	Orange County Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Orlando Health, Inc., Series 2009, 5.125%, 10/01/26	10/19 at 100.00	А	1,858,590
	2,400	Orange County School Board, Florida, Certificates of Participation, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 8/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA	2,428,752
	5,085	Orange County, Florida, Tourist Development Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2007, 4.750%, 10/01/29 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	5,456,968

-	-			
	Port Saint Lucie. Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Southwest Annexation District 1B, Series 2007:			
1,795	5.000%, 7/01/33 – NPFG Insured	7/17 at 100.00	AA–	1,932,587
4,700	5.000%, 7/01/40 – NPFG Insured	7/17 at 100.00	AA–	5,044,557
6,000	Saint John's County, Florida, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 10/01/36 (Pre-refunded 10/01/16) – BHAC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	6,389,340
6,625	South Broward Hospital District, Florida, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2015, 4.000%, 5/01/33	5/25 at 100.00	AA–	6,706,817
6,000	Sunshine State Governmental Financing Commission, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Miami Dade County Program, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 9/01/15	, No Opt. Call	AA–	6,096,480
3,300	Tampa, Florida, Health System Revenue Bonds, Baycare Health System, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/15/33	5/22 at 100.00	Aa2	3,727,284
77,225	Total Florida			81,722,394
	Georgia – 1.9% (1.2% of Total Investments)			
3,400	Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2015, 5.000%, 11/01/33	5/25 at 100.00	AA–	3,926,626
5,000	Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.500%, 11/01/22 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	6,119,200
2,000	DeKalb County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Anticipation Certificates Revenue Bonds, DeKalb Medical Center, Inc. Project, Series 2010, 6.000%, 9/01/30	9/20 at 100.00	BBB-	2,207,040
3,000	East Point Building Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Water & Sewer Project Series 2006A, 5.000%, 2/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	2/16 at 100.00	N/R	3,024,180
2,500	Medical Center Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Columbus Regional Healthcare System, Inc. Project, Series 2008, 6.500%, 8/01/38 – AGC Insured	100.00	AA	2,838,950
15,900	Total Georgia			18,115,996
	Illinois – 26.4% (16.9% of Total Investments)			
1,470	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Revenues Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/41	12/21 at 100.00	A–	1,375,655

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
*	Illinois (continued)			
\$ 10,200	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1998B-1, 0.000%, 12/01/19 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA- \$	8,756,496
10,000	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues, Series 1999A, 0.000%, 12/01/20 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	8,163,700
1,890	Chicago, Illinois, General Airport Revenue Bonds, O'Hare International Airport, Third Lien Series 2005A, 5.000%, 1/01/33 – FGIC Insured	1/16 at 100.00	AA-	1,945,982
	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, City Colleges, Series 1999:			
32,170	0.000%, 1/01/21 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	26,110,781
32,670	0.000%, 1/01/22 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	25,143,485
3,350	Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 1/01/17 – AGM Insured	1/17 at 100.00	AA	3,363,266
5,325	Cook County, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.250%, 11/15/33	11/20 at 100.00	AA	5,857,340
	DuPage County Forest Preserve District, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000:			
8,000	0.000%, 11/01/18	No Opt. Call	AAA	7,609,120
15,285	0.000%, 11/01/19	No Opt. Call	AAA	14,229,724
1,500	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Central DuPage Health, Series 2009B, 5.500%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	AA	1,731,990
2,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Children' Memorial Hospital, Series 2008A, 5.250%, 8/15/47 – AGC Insured (UB)	s 8/18 at 100.00	AA	2,147,220
5,245	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loyola University of Chicago, Tender Option Bond Trust 1137, 9.356%, 7/01/15 (IF)	No Opt. Call	AA+	5,976,835
3,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Memorial Health System, Series 2009, 5.500%, 4/01/34	4/19 at 100.00	AA–	3,336,330
2,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Provena Health, Series 2009A, 7.750%, 8/15/34	8/19 at 100.00	BBB+	2,458,560
870	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago, Series 2013A, 6.000%, 7/01/43	7/23 at 100.00	A–	1,034,561
495	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers, Refunding Series 2015C, 5.000%, 8/15/44	8/25 at 100.00	Baa1	533,580
2,500	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, The University of Chicago Medical Center, Series 2011C, 5.500%, 8/15/41 (UB) (6)	2/21 at 100.00	AA-	2,807,275
	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers,			

	Series 2008A:			
2,250	6.000%, 8/15/23	8/18 at	BBB+	2,499,458
2,230	0.000 %, 0/15/25	100.00	DDD+	2,499,430
3,055	5.500%, 8/15/30	8/18 at	BBB+	3,318,524
5,055	5.500%, 8/15/50	100.00	DDD+	5,510,524
4,945	Illinois Finance Authority, Student Housing Revenue	5/17 at	BBB+	5,096,465
т, ут у	Bonds, Educational Advancement Fund Inc.,	100.00	DDDT	5,070,405
	Refunding Series 2007A, 5.250%, 5/01/34	100.00		
2,515	Illinois State, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding	8/22 at	А-	2,768,059
2,515	Series 2012, 5.000%, 8/01/24	100.00	1 1	2,700,057
2,235	Illinois Toll Highway Authority, Toll Highway	1/23 at	AA-	2,482,504
2,233	Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2013A, 5.000%,	100.00		2,402,504
	1/01/38	100.00		
28,000	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois,	No Opt Call	AAA	11,059,440
20,000	Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion	No Opt. Call	1 11 11 1	11,039,440
	Project, Series 2002A, 0.000%, 12/15/35 – AGM			
	Insured			
10,650	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois,	No Opt Call	Aaa	14,212,425
10,000	Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Hospitality	rie opu ouii	1 144	1,212,120
	Facility, Series 1996A, 7.000%, 7/01/26 (ETM)			
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois,			
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place			
	Expansion Project, Series 1996A:			
9,400	0.000%, 12/15/18 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	8,876,420
16,570	0.000%, 12/15/20 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	14,450,863
23,920	0.000%, 12/15/22 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	19,289,806
13,350	0.000%, 12/15/24 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	9,786,752
5,100	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois,	No Opt. Call	AAA	6,000,507
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place	•		
	Expansion Project, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 12/15/23 -	-		
	FGIC Insured			
5,180	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois,	No Opt. Call	AA- (4)	6,294,943
	Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place	-		
	Expansion Project, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 12/15/23 -	-		
	FGIC Insured (ETM)			
2,685	Midlothian, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series	s 2/20 at	AA	2,851,336
	2010A, 5.000%, 2/01/30 - AGM Insured	100.00		
17,865	Regional Transportation Authority, Cook, DuPage,	No Opt. Call	AA	22,237,280
	Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will Counties, Illinois,			
	General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999, 5.750%,			
	6/01/23 – AGM Insured			

NPP		Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments (continued)			30, 2015 dited)
	Principal		Optional Call		
An	nount (000)	Description (1)		Ratings (3)	Value
		Illinois (continued)			
\$	4,810	Will County Community High School District 210 Lincoln-Way, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 0.000%, 1/01/23 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	A1	\$ 3,789,078
	290,500	Total Illinois			257,595,760
		Indiana – 5.2% (3.3% of Total Investments)			
	5,000	Decatur Township-Marion County Multi-School Building Corporation, Indiana, First Mortgage Bonds Series 2006A, 5.000%, 7/15/26 (Pre-refunded 1/15/17) – AGM Insured	1/17 at , 100.00	AA+ (4)	