

DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC  
Form N-CSR  
February 03, 2016

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT  
INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number      811- 05877

**Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.**  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in charter)

c/o The Dreyfus Corporation

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166

(Address of principal executive offices)      (Zip code)

Bennett A. MacDougall, Esq.

200 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10166

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:      (212) 922-6000

Date of fiscal year end:      11/30

Date of reporting period:      11/30/15

**FORM N-CSR**

**Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.**

---

Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.

**ANNUAL REPORT**  
November 30, 2015

**Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.**

**Protecting Your Privacy**  
**Our Pledge to You**

**THE FUND IS COMMITTED TO YOUR PRIVACY.** On this page, you will find the Fund's policies and practices for collecting, disclosing, and safeguarding "nonpublic personal information," which may include financial or other customer information. These policies apply to individuals who purchase Fund shares for personal, family, or household purposes, or have done so in the past. This notification replaces all previous statements of the Fund's consumer privacy policy, and may be amended at any time. We'll keep you informed of changes as required by law.

**YOUR ACCOUNT IS PROVIDED IN A SECURE ENVIRONMENT.** The Fund maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that comply with federal regulations to guard nonpublic personal information. The Fund's agents and service providers have limited access to customer information based on their role in servicing your account.

**THE FUND COLLECTS INFORMATION IN ORDER TO SERVICE AND ADMINISTER YOUR ACCOUNT.** The Fund collects a variety of nonpublic personal information, which may include:

- Information we receive from you, such as your name, address, and social security number.
- Information about your transactions with us, such as the purchase or sale of Fund shares.
- Information we receive from agents and service providers, such as proxy voting information.

**THE FUND DOES NOT SHARE NONPUBLIC PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH ANYONE, EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY LAW.**

*Thank you for this opportunity to serve you.*

The views expressed in this report reflect those of the portfolio manager only through the end of the period covered and do not necessarily represent the views of Dreyfus or any other person in the Dreyfus organization. Any such views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions and Dreyfus disclaims any responsibility to

update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a Dreyfus fund are based on numerous factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Dreyfus fund.

Not FDIC-Insured • Not Bank-Guaranteed • May Lose Value

## Contents

### THE FUND

<u>A Letter from the President</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Discussion of Fund Performance</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Selected Information</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Statement of Investments</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Statement of Assets and Liabilities</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Statement of Operations</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Statement of Cash Flows</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Statement of Changes in Net Assets</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Additional Information</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Important Tax Information</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Proxy Results</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Information About the Renewal of the Fund's Investment Advisory Agreement</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Board Members Information</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Officers of the Fund</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Officers and Directors</u>	<u>49</u>
FOR MORE INFORMATION	

Back Cover

### **Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.**

The Fund

#### A LETTER FROM THE PRESIDENT

Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to present this annual report for Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc., covering the 12-month period from December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015. For information about how the fund performed during

the reporting period, as well as general market perspectives, we provide a Discussion of Fund Performance on the pages that follow.

Financial markets proved volatile over the reporting period amid choppy U.S. and global economic growth. Employment and housing market gains helped U.S. stocks advance over the reporting period's first half, driving some broad measures of market performance to new record highs in the spring. Although those gains were erased over the summer when global economic instability undermined investor sentiment, a renewed rally in the fall enabled most stock indices to end the reporting period in mildly positive territory. In contrast, international stocks mostly provided negative results, but developed markets fared better than emerging markets amid falling commodity prices and depreciating currency values. U.S. bonds produced modestly positive total returns overall, with municipal bonds achieving higher returns, on average, than U.S. government securities and corporate-backed bonds.

We expect market volatility to persist over the near term until investors see greater clarity regarding domestic and global economic conditions. Our investment strategists and portfolio managers are monitoring developments carefully, keeping a close watch on credit spreads, currency values, commodity prices, corporate profits, economic trends in the emerging markets, and other developments that could influence investor sentiment. Over the longer term, we remain confident that markets are likely to benefit as investors increasingly recognize that inflation is likely to stay low, economic growth expectations are stabilizing, and monetary policies remain accommodative in most regions of the world. In our view, investors will continue to be well served under these circumstances by a long-term perspective and a disciplined investment approach.

Thank you for your continued confidence and support.

Sincerely,

J. Charles Cardona  
President  
The Dreyfus Corporation  
December 17, 2015

**2**

## DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE

*For the period of December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015, as provided by Daniel Barton and Jeffrey Burger, Portfolio Managers*

### **Fund and Market Performance Overview**

For the 12-month period ended November 30, 2015, Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc. achieved a total return of 6.84% on a net-asset-value basis.<sup>1</sup> Over the same period, the fund provided aggregate income dividends of \$0.498 per share, which reflects a distribution rate of 6.26%.<sup>2</sup>

Municipal bonds gained value over the reporting period despite heightened market volatility amid shifting economic sentiment. In this environment, the fund benefited from a focus on higher yielding bonds with longer maturities.

### **The Fund's Investment Approach**

The fund seeks to maximize current income exempt from federal income tax to the extent believed by Dreyfus to be consistent with the preservation of capital. In pursuing this goal, the fund invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds. Under normal market conditions, the weighted average maturity of the fund's portfolio is expected to exceed 10 years. Under normal market conditions, the fund invests at least 80% of its net assets in municipal bonds considered investment grade or the unrated equivalent as determined by Dreyfus.

The fund also has issued auction-rate preferred stock (ARPS), a percentage of which remains outstanding from its initial public offering, and has invested the proceeds in a manner consistent with its investment objective. This, along with the fund's participation in secondary inverse floater structures, has the effect of "leveraging" the portfolio, which can magnify gain and loss potential depending on market conditions.

Over time, many of the fund's older, higher yielding bonds have matured or were redeemed by their issuers. We have attempted to replace those bonds with investments consistent with the fund's investment policies. We have also sought to upgrade the fund with newly issued bonds that, in our opinion, have better structural or income characteristics than existing holdings. When such opportunities arise, we usually look to sell bonds that are close to their optional redemption date or maturity.

### **Improving Credit Quality Supported Municipal Bonds**

Like most other financial markets, municipal bonds encountered heightened volatility stemming from bouts of domestic and global economic uncertainty. In late 2014, overseas investors flocked to higher yielding U.S. bonds, and the resulting buying pressure sent prices higher and yields lower. Longer term interest rates briefly moved higher amid stronger-than-expected employment data in early 2015, but disappointing GDP growth during the first quarter of the year soon caused yields to moderate. Longer term rates climbed again in the spring when domestic growth reaccelerated, but renewed global economic concerns pushed yields lower over the summer. In the fall, declining commodity prices and global economic instability continued to put downward pressure on yields.

## **3**

### **DISCUSSION OF FUND PERFORMANCE *(continued)***

The municipal bond market also weathered a degree of volatility when new issuance volumes increased in response to issuers rushing to refinance existing debt before expected hikes in short-term interest rates. Meanwhile, demand for municipal bonds remained strong and steady as investors sought competitive after-tax yields and adopted more risk-averse investment postures during a time of economic uncertainty.

Municipal bonds further benefited from improving credit conditions as tax revenues climbed beyond pre-recession levels for many states and local municipalities. Despite isolated pockets of credit weakness in Puerto Rico, Illinois, and New Jersey, most states' fiscal conditions have improved significantly in the national economic recovery.

### **Revenue Bonds Bolstered Fund Results**

The fund achieved strong results by maintaining a long average duration and a focus on longer term securities with higher yields. The benefits of our interest rate strategies were augmented by the use of tender option bonds as part of our leveraging strategy.

In addition, the fund's performance was supported by an emphasis on revenue bonds over general obligation and escrowed bonds. Results were particularly attractive among A- and BBB-rated bonds backed by hospitals, industrial development projects, and airports. High yield bonds backed by the state's settlement of litigation with U.S. tobacco

companies also fared well. The fund also benefited from lack of direct exposure to struggling Puerto Rico bonds. On the other hand, holdings from New Jersey and Chicago faltered as a result of negative credit developments during the reporting period, and higher quality bonds backed by essential municipal services lagged market averages.

## A Constructive Investment Posture

We remain optimistic regarding the prospects for the municipal bond market as the U.S. economy continues to grow and fiscal conditions generally improve. Therefore, we have looked for opportunities to add higher yielding securities when attractively valued opportunities arise. We have retained the fund's focus on longer term, higher yielding revenue-backed bonds, and we have maintained a long average duration. Conversely, we have established underweighted positions in shorter term securities that could prove vulnerable to rising short-term interest rates. Indeed, soon after the reporting period's end, the Federal Reserve Board implemented its first short-term rate hike in nearly 10 years.

December 17, 2015

*Bond funds are subject generally to interest rate, credit, liquidity, and market risks, to varying degrees, all of which are more fully described in the fund's prospectus. Generally, all other factors being equal, bond prices are inversely related to interest-rate changes, and rate increases can cause price declines.*

*High yield bonds are subject to increased credit risk and are considered speculative in terms of the issuer's perceived ability to continue making interest payments on a timely basis and to repay principal upon maturity.*

*The use of leverage may magnify the fund's gains or losses. For derivatives with a leveraging component, adverse changes in the value or level of the underlying asset can result in a loss that is much greater than the original investment in the derivative.*

*<sup>1</sup> Total return includes reinvestment of dividends and any capital gains paid, based upon net asset value per share. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Income may be subject to state and local taxes, and some income may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax (AMT) for certain investors. Capital gains, if any, are fully taxable. Return figures provided reflect the absorption of certain fund expenses by The Dreyfus Corporation pursuant to an undertaking in effect through May 31, 2016, at which time it may be extended, terminated, or modified. Had these expenses not been absorbed, the fund's returns would have been lower.*

*<sup>2</sup> Annualized distribution rate per share is based upon dividends per share paid from net investment income during the period, divided by the market price per share at the end of the period, adjusted for any capital gain distributions.*

**4**

## SELECTED INFORMATION

November 30, 2015 (Unaudited)

Market Price per share November 30, 2015	\$ 7.95
Shares Outstanding November 30, 2015	49,082,366
New York Stock Exchange Ticker Symbol	DSM

### **MARKET PRICE (NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE)**

Fiscal Year Ended November 30, 2015

	Quarter Ended February 28, 2015	Quarter Ended May 31, 2015	Quarter Ended August 31, 2015	Quarter Ended November 30, 2015
High	\$8.33	\$8.12	\$7.93	\$8.06
Low	7.84	7.82	7.59	7.65
Close	8.15	7.93	7.67	7.95

**PERCENTAGE GAIN (LOSS)** based on change in Market Price\*

November 22, 1989 (commencement of operations)	360.22%
through November 30, 2015	
December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2015	87.47
December 1, 2010 through November 30, 2015	40.72
December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015	7.41
March 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015	2.27
June 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015	3.49
September 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015	5.29

**NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE**

November 22, 1989 (commencement of operations)	\$9.32
November 30, 2014	8.57
February 28, 2015	8.66
May 31, 2015	8.51
August 31, 2015	8.48
November 30, 2015	8.59

**PERCENTAGE GAIN (LOSS)** based on change in Net Asset Value\*

November 22, 1989 (commencement of operations)	433.57%
through November 30, 2015	
December 1, 2005 through November 30, 2015	86.13
December 1, 2010 through November 30, 2015	53.40
December 1, 2014 through November 30, 2015	6.84
March 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015	4.00
June 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015	4.20
September 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015	2.89

\* With dividends reinvested.

**5**

**STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS**

November 30, 2015

Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8%	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
Alabama - 2.2%	5.25	1/1/17	2,000,000	2,011,660



Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Jefferson County, Limited Obligation School Warrants				
Jefferson County, Limited Obligation School Warrants	5.25	1/1/19	2,150,000	2,162,535
Jefferson County, Limited Obligation School Warrants	5.00	1/1/24	1,000,000	1,005,830
Jefferson County, Sewer Revenue Warrants	0/7.75	10/1/46	6,000,000	a 4,183,980
				<b>9,364,005</b>
<b>Alaska - 1.7%</b>				
Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation of Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.00	6/1/46	8,465,000	<b>7,123,467</b>
<b>Arizona - 6.0%</b>				
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 21 W), (Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Salt River Project Electric System Revenue) Recourse	5.00	1/1/38	13,198,367	b,c 14,143,487
Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Education Facility Revenue (BASIS Schools, Inc. Projects)	5.00	7/1/45	1,000,000	c 1,003,740
Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Education Facility Revenue (Legacy Traditional Schools Projects)	5.00	7/1/45	1,300,000	c 1,260,883
Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Education Revenue (American Charter Schools Foundation Project)	5.50	7/1/26	4,000,000	3,961,880
Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Senior Gas Revenue	5.00	12/1/37	4,550,000	5,097,410
				<b>25,467,400</b>
<b>California - 17.3%</b>				
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 80 W), (Los Angeles Department of Airports, Senior Revenue (Los Angeles International Airport)) Recourse	5.00	5/15/31	5,247,500	b,c 6,024,631
California, GO (Various Purpose)	5.75	4/1/31	7,800,000	9,004,164
California, GO (Various Purpose)	6.00	3/1/33	2,250,000	2,702,633
California, GO (Various Purpose)	6.50	4/1/33	5,000,000	5,927,200
California, GO (Various Purpose)	6.00	11/1/35	5,000,000	5,975,550
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Revenue (Front Porch Communities and Services Project)	5.13	4/1/37	4,975,000	c 5,099,375

6

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>California - 17.3% (continued)</b> Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.75	6/1/47	5,000,000	4,632,400

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4361), (Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Water System Revenue) Non-recourse	5.00	7/1/20	5,000,000	b,c	5,745,650
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4414), (Los Angeles Department of Airports, Senior Revenue (Los Angeles International Airport)) Non-recourse	5.00	5/15/21	6,000,000	b,c	6,711,480
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4421), (The Regents of the University of California, General Revenue) Non-recourse	5.00	5/15/21	6,250,000	b,c	7,215,125
Sacramento County, Airport System Subordinate and Passenger Facility Charges Grant Revenue	6.00	7/1/35	4,000,000		4,454,360
San Buenaventura, Revenue (Community Memorial Health System)	7.50	12/1/41	1,500,000		1,826,400
Santa Margarita/Dana Point Authority, Revenue (Santa Margarita Water District Improvement Districts Numbers 2,3 and 4)	5.13	8/1/38	5,000,000		5,485,450
Tuolumne Wind Project Authority, Revenue (Tuolumne Company Project)	5.88	1/1/29	2,000,000		2,285,040
					<b>73,089,458</b>
<b>Colorado - 1.3%</b>					
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4386), (Board of Governors of the Colorado State University, System Enterprise Revenue) Non-recourse	5.00	3/1/20	4,950,000	b,c	<b>5,513,359</b>
<b>Connecticut - 1.2%</b>					
Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority, Special Obligation Revenue (American REF-FUEL Company of Southeastern Connecticut Project)	6.45	11/15/22	4,985,000		<b>4,994,820</b>
<b>District of Columbia - 4.1%</b>					
RIB Floater Trust (Barclays Bank PLC) (Series 15 U), (District of Columbia, Income Tax Secured Revenue) Recourse	5.00	12/1/35	14,828,227	b,c	<b>17,257,826</b>
<b>Florida - 5.3%</b>					
Cape Coral Health Facilities Authority, Senior Housing Revenue (Gulf Care, Inc. Project)	5.88	7/1/40	1,600,000	c	1,609,808

7

*STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)*

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)		Value (\$)
<b>Florida - 5.3% (continued)</b>					
Mid-Bay Bridge Authority, Springing Lien Revenue (Prerefunded)	7.25	10/1/21	5,000,000	d	6,558,950
Palm Beach County Health Facilities Authority, Retirement Community Revenue (Adult Communities Total Services, Inc. Retirement - Life Communities, Inc. Obligated Group)	5.50	11/15/33	6,825,000		7,475,422

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Saint Johns County Industrial Development Authority, Revenue (Presbyterian Retirement Communities Project)	6.00	8/1/45	3,500,000	3,898,440
South Lake County Hospital District, Revenue (South Lake Hospital, Inc.)	6.25	4/1/39	2,500,000	2,826,450
				<b>22,369,070</b>

**Georgia - 3.5%**

Atlanta, Water and Wastewater Revenue (Insured; Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.)	5.25	11/1/34	1,000,000	1,119,300
Atlanta, Water and Wastewater Revenue (Prerefunded)	6.00	11/1/19	4,865,000 <sup>d</sup>	5,777,285
Atlanta Development Authority, Senior Lien Revenue (New Downtown Atlanta Stadium Project)	5.25	7/1/40	1,000,000	1,159,100
RIB Floater Trust (Barclays Bank PLC) (Series 20 U), (Private Colleges and Universities Authority, Revenue (Emory University)) Recourse	5.00	10/1/43	6,000,000 <sup>b,c</sup>	6,859,200
				<b>14,914,885</b>

**Hawaii - 1.2%**

Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, Special Purpose Revenue (Hawai'i Pacific Health Obligated Group)	5.63	7/1/30	2,500,000	2,838,800
Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, Special Purpose Revenue (Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. and Subsidiary Projects)	6.50	7/1/39	2,000,000	2,267,580
				<b>5,106,380</b>

**Illinois - 6.0%**

Chicago, General Airport Third Lien Revenue (Chicago O'Hare International Airport)	5.63	1/1/35	3,000,000	3,476,130
Chicago, GO	5.00	1/1/24	2,000,000	2,109,240
Chicago, GO	5.00	1/1/34	4,200,000	4,239,270
Chicago, GO (Project and Refunding Series)	5.00	1/1/36	2,500,000	2,528,075
Chicago, Second Lien Wastewater Transmission Revenue	5.00	1/1/39	2,330,000	2,511,484

**8**

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>Illinois - 6.0% (continued)</b>				
Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue (Plymouth Place, Inc.)	5.25	5/15/45	1,000,000	1,014,870
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Revenue (McCormick Place Expansion Project)	5.00	12/15/28	2,500,000	2,747,200
	5.00	6/15/53	2,500,000	2,616,050

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Revenue (McCormick Place Expansion Project) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Settlement Revenue	6.00	6/1/28	3,600,000	4,280,544
				<b>25,522,863</b>
<b>Iowa - 1.8%</b>				
Iowa Finance Authority, Midwestern Disaster Area Revenue (Iowa Fertilizer Company Project)	5.25	12/1/25	5,125,000	5,596,705
Tobacco Settlement Authority of Iowa, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.60	6/1/34	2,000,000	1,991,340
				<b>7,588,045</b>
<b>Kentucky - .3%</b>				
Louisville/Jefferson County Metro Government, Health Facilities Revenue (Jewish Hospital and Saint Mary's HealthCare, Inc. Project) (Prerefunded)	6.13	2/1/18	1,000,000	<b>1,112,210</b>
<b>Louisiana - 1.0%</b>				
Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority, Revenue (Westlake Chemical Corporation Projects)	6.75	11/1/32	4,000,000	<b>4,329,880</b>
<b>Maine - .6%</b>				
Maine Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue (Maine General Medical Center Issue)	7.50	7/1/32	2,000,000	<b>2,394,780</b>
<b>Maryland - 1.1%</b>				
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4422), (Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, Project Revenue (Water Projects)) Non-recourse	5.00	7/1/21	4,000,000	<b>4,532,140</b>
<b>Massachusetts - 12.6%</b>				
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 15 W), (Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue (Massachusetts Institute of Technology Issue)) Recourse	5.00	7/1/38	10,200,000	<b>10,901,454</b>

9

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>Massachusetts - 12.6% (continued)</b>				
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 3840), (Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue (Harvard University Issue)) Non-recourse	5.25	8/1/18	10,000,000	<b>11,753,500</b>
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 3898), (Massachusetts, Consolidated Loan) Non-recourse	5.00	4/1/19	6,400,000	<b>7,461,376</b>
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4395), (University of Massachusetts Building Authority, Project and Refunding Revenue) Non-recourse	5.00	5/1/21	7,406,665	<b>8,265,225</b>
	7.25	1/1/32	2,500,000	<b>3,017,950</b>

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue (Tufts Medical Center Issue)				
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue (Suffolk University Issue)	6.25	7/1/30	5,000,000	5,743,000
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, Housing Revenue	7.00	12/1/38	4,575,000	4,936,882
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, SFHR	5.00	12/1/31	940,000	942,247
				<b>53,021,634</b>

**Michigan - 5.1%**

Detroit, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue	5.00	7/1/31	3,780,000	4,075,256
Detroit, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue	5.00	7/1/36	3,290,000	3,505,659
Kent Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue (Metropolitan Hospital Project)	6.00	7/1/35	2,000,000	2,001,000
Michigan Finance Authority, Local Government Loan Program Revenue (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department, Water Supply System Revenue Senior Lien Local Project Bonds) (Insured; National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.)	5.00	7/1/36	1,000,000	1,092,540
Michigan Strategic Fund, SWDR (Genesee Power Station Project)	7.50	1/1/21	2,395,000	2,364,081
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, HR (William Beaumont Hospital Obligated Group) (Prerefunded)	8.00	9/1/18	5,000,000	5,949,100
Wayne County Airport Authority, Airport Revenue (Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport) (Insured; National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.)	5.00	12/1/34	2,450,000	2,450,319
				<b>21,437,955</b>

10

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>New Jersey - 4.2%</b>				
Essex County Improvement Authority, SWDR (Covanta Project)	5.25	7/1/45	1,000,000 <sup>c</sup>	1,030,230
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, School Facilities Construction Revenue	5.50	12/15/29	5,000,000	5,285,900
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, School Facilities Construction Revenue	5.25	6/15/40	4,250,000	4,468,025
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Water Facilities Revenue (New Jersey - American Water Company, Inc. Project)	5.70	10/1/39	3,000,000	3,393,960
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	4.50	6/1/23	1,000,000	1,015,200
	4.63	6/1/26	1,600,000	1,582,304

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds				
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation of New Jersey, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.00	6/1/41	1,000,000	812,260
				<b>17,587,879</b>
<b>New Mexico - 1.3%</b>				
Farmington, PCR (Public Service Company of New Mexico San Juan Project)	5.90	6/1/40	5,000,000	<b>5,510,150</b>
<b>New York - 16.0%</b>				
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 11 B), (New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Secured Revenue) Recourse	5.00	5/1/30	4,488,203 <sup>b,c</sup>	5,016,227
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 7 B), (New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Secured Subordinate Revenue) Recourse	5.50	11/1/27	5,000,000 <sup>b,c</sup>	5,912,750
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 3857), (New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Secured Subordinate Revenue) Non-recourse	5.25	11/1/18	5,000,000 <sup>b,c</sup>	5,884,100
Long Island Power Authority, Electric System General Revenue (Prerefunded)	6.25	4/1/19	3,000,000 <sup>d</sup>	3,527,370
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Transportation Revenue	6.25	11/15/23	9,425,000	10,851,756
New York City Educational Construction Fund, Revenue	6.50	4/1/28	2,785,000	3,419,785

11

*STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)*

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>New York - 16.0% (continued)</b>				
New York City Industrial Development Agency, Special Facility Revenue (American Airlines, Inc. John F. Kennedy International Airport Project)	7.75	8/1/31	5,000,000	5,241,050
New York Liberty Development Corporation, Revenue (3 World Trade Center Project)	5.00	11/15/44	5,500,000 <sup>c</sup>	5,641,240
Niagara Area Development Corporation, Solid Waste Disposal Facility Revenue (Covanta Energy Project)	5.25	11/1/42	2,000,000 <sup>c</sup>	2,014,920
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Special Project Bonds (JFK International Air Terminal LLC Project)	6.00	12/1/36	4,710,000	5,507,262
RIB Floater Trust (Barclays Bank PLC) (Series 16 U), (New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, Water and Sewer System Second General Resolution Revenue) Recourse	5.00	6/15/44	12,600,000 <sup>b,c</sup>	14,430,150
				<b>67,446,610</b>
<b>North Carolina - 2.6%</b>				
	5.00	6/1/42	10,000,000 <sup>b,c</sup>	<b>10,942,400</b>

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 31 W),  
(North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Health Care Facilities  
Revenue (Duke University Health System)) Recourse

**Ohio - 6.1%**

Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	5.88	6/1/30	2,000,000	1,788,360
Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds	6.50	6/1/47	7,800,000	7,269,366
Butler County, Hospital Facilities Revenue (UC Health)	5.50	11/1/40	3,000,000	3,441,060
Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Revenue (Ohio Valley Electric Corporation Project)	5.63	10/1/19	4,200,000	4,684,386
Port of Greater Cincinnati Development Authority, Tax Increment Development Revenue (Fairfax Village Red Bank Infrastructure Project)	5.63	2/1/36	2,530,000	c 2,444,967
Southeastern Ohio Port Authority, Hospital Facilities Improvement Revenue (Memorial Health System Obligated Group Project)	6.00	12/1/42	2,000,000	2,183,520

**12**

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>Ohio - 6.1% (continued)</b>				
Southeastern Ohio Port Authority, Hospital Facilities Improvement Revenue (Memorial Health System Obligated Group Project)	5.00	12/1/43	1,000,000	1,017,410
Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Special Assessment Revenue (Crocker Park Public Improvement Project)	5.38	12/1/35	3,000,000	3,002,850
				<b>25,831,919</b>
<b>Oregon - .4%</b>				
Warm Springs Reservation Confederated Tribes, Hydroelectric Revenue (Pelton Round Butte Project)	6.38	11/1/33	1,500,000	<b>1,644,525</b>
<b>Pennsylvania - 2.5%</b>				
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 3916), (Geisinger Authority, Health System Revenue (Geisinger Health System)) Non-recourse	5.13	6/1/35	3,000,000	b,c 3,300,390
Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, Revenue (Whitemarsh Continuing Care Retirement Community Project)	5.25	1/1/40	1,500,000	1,509,075
Philadelphia, GO	6.50	8/1/41	4,700,000	5,587,219
				<b>10,396,684</b>
<b>Rhode Island - 1.4%</b>				
Rhode Island Health and Educational Building Corporation, Hospital Financing Revenue (Lifespan Obligated Group Issue)	7.00	5/15/39	5,000,000	<b>5,802,200</b>

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

(Insured; Assured Guaranty Corp.)

**South Carolina - 2.7%**

JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4379), (South Carolina Public Service Authority, Revenue Obligations (Santee Cooper)) Non-recourse	5.13	6/1/37	10,200,000	b,c	<b>11,297,418</b>
---	------	--------	------------	-----	-------------------

**Tennessee - 2.3%**

JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4416), (Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Water and Sewer Revenue) Non-recourse	5.00	7/1/21	3,000,000	b,c	3,389,100
--	------	--------	-----------	-----	-----------

Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County Health and Educational Facilities Board, Revenue (The Vanderbilt University)	5.50	10/1/29	2,500,000		2,867,850
---	------	---------	-----------	--	-----------

Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County Health and Educational Facilities Board, Revenue (The Vanderbilt University)	5.50	10/1/34	3,000,000		3,424,860
---	------	---------	-----------	--	-----------

**9,681,810**

**13**

*STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)*

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)		Value (\$)
<b>Texas - 22.2%</b>					
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 28 W), (Leander Independent School District, Unlimited Tax School Building Bonds (Permanent School Fund Guarantee Program)) Recourse	5.00	8/15/40	9,997,299	b,c	11,199,549
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 39 W), (Texas A&M University System Board of Regents, Financing System Revenue) Recourse	5.00	5/15/39	13,160,000	b,c	14,943,970
Board of Managers, Joint Guadalupe County, Hospital Mortgage Improvement Revenue (City of Seguin Hospital)	5.00	12/1/45	1,000,000		1,011,770
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Senior Lien Revenue	5.00	1/1/45	1,500,000		1,630,860
Clifton Higher Education Finance Corporation, Education Revenue (International Leadership of Texas)	5.75	8/15/45	2,500,000		2,570,925
Clifton Higher Education Finance Corporation, Education Revenue (Uplift Education)	4.50	12/1/44	2,500,000		2,456,250
Harris County Health Facilities Development Corporation, HR (Memorial Hermann Healthcare System) (Prerefunded)	7.25	12/1/18	7,290,000	d	8,638,869
Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Senior Lien Revenue (Insured; Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.) Houston,	0.00	11/15/51	7,500,000	e	1,398,375
Combined Utility System First Lien Revenue (Insured; Assured Guaranty Corp.)	6.00	11/15/36	5,000,000		5,824,450
JPMorgan Chase Putters/Drivers Trust (Series 4356), (San Antonio, Electric and Gas Systems Junior Lien Revenue)	5.00	2/1/21	12,450,000	b,c	13,849,753



Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Non-recourse

Love Field Airport Modernization Corporation, Special Facilities Revenue (Southwest Airlines Company - Love Field Modernization Program Project)	5.00	11/1/28	1,000,000	1,097,560
New Hope Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Student Housing Revenue (National Campus and Community Development Corporation - College Station Properties LLC - Texas A&M University Project)	5.00	7/1/35	2,000,000	2,101,140
North Texas Tollway Authority, First Tier System Revenue (Insured; Assured Guaranty Corp.)	5.75	1/1/40	1,575,000	1,713,994
North Texas Tollway Authority, First Tier System Revenue (Insured; Assured Guaranty Corp.) (Prerefunded)	5.75	1/1/18	13,130,000 <sup>d</sup>	14,450,221

**14**

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>Texas - 22.2% (continued)</b>				
North Texas Tollway Authority, Second Tier System Revenue (Prerefunded)	5.75	1/1/18	6,650,000 <sup>d</sup>	7,315,731
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Retirement Facility Revenue (Buckingham Senior Living Community, Inc. Project)	5.50	11/15/45	3,000,000	3,080,940
Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs, Home Mortgage Revenue (Collateralized: FHLMC, FNMA and GNMA)	13.37	7/2/24	200,000 <sup>f</sup>	211,232
				<b>93,495,589</b>
<b>Virginia - 3.7%</b>				
Chesterfield County Economic Development Authority, Retirement Facilities First Mortgage Revenue (Brandermill Woods Project)	5.13	1/1/43	700,000	723,324
Henrico County Industrial Development Authority, Revenue (Bon Secours Health System, Inc.) (Insured; Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.)	11.23	8/23/27	6,350,000 <sup>f</sup>	8,137,652
Virginia College Building Authority, Educational Facilities Revenue (Marymount University Project)	5.00	7/1/45	2,110,000 <sup>c</sup>	2,166,717
Virginia College Building Authority, Educational Facilities Revenue (Marymount University Project) (Green Bonds)	5.00	7/1/45	1,000,000 <sup>c</sup>	1,026,880
Washington County Industrial Development Authority, HR (Mountain States Health Alliance)	7.75	7/1/38	3,000,000	3,424,080
				<b>15,478,653</b>
<b>Washington - 3.1%</b>				
Barclays Capital Municipal Trust Receipts (Series 27 B), (King County, Sewer Revenue) Recourse	5.00	1/1/29	8,577,246 <sup>b,c</sup>	9,905,430
	6.25	8/1/18	2,975,000 <sup>d</sup>	3,385,491

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

Washington Health Care Facilities Authority,  
Mortgage Revenue (Highline Medical Center) (Collateralized; FHA)  
(Prerefunded)

13,290,921

**West Virginia - 1.4%**

The County Commission of Harrison County,  
SWDR (Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC Harrison Station  
Project)

5.50 10/15/37 5,670,000 **5,928,495**

15

STATEMENT OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

<b>Long-Term Municipal Investments - 142.8% (continued)</b>	Coupon Rate (%)	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (\$)	Value (\$)
<b>U.S. Related - .6%</b>				
Puerto Rico Commonwealth, Public Improvement GO (Insured; Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.)	5.00	7/1/35	2,500,000	<b>2,422,500</b>
<b>Total Investments</b> (cost \$541,833,715)			<b>142.8%</b>	<b>601,897,930</b>
<b>Liabilities, Less Cash and Receivables</b>			<b>(20.7%)</b>	<b>(87,465,643)</b>
<b>Preferred Stock, at redemption value</b>			<b>(22.1%)</b>	<b>(93,000,000)</b>
<b>Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders</b>			<b>100.0%</b>	<b>421,432,287</b>

<sup>a</sup> Zero coupon until a specified date at which time the stated coupon rate becomes effective until maturity.

<sup>b</sup> Collateral for floating rate borrowings. <sup>c</sup> Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration, normally to qualified institutional buyers. At November 30, 2015, these securities amounted to \$245,754,450 or 58.3% of net assets applicable to Common Shareholders.

<sup>d</sup> These securities are prerefunded; the date shown represents the prerefunded date. Bonds which are prerefunded are collateralized by U.S. Government securities which are held in escrow and are used to pay principal and interest on the municipal issue and to retire the bonds in full at the earliest refunding date.

<sup>e</sup> Security issued with a zero coupon. Income is recognized through the accretion of discount.

<sup>f</sup> Inverse floater security—the interest rate is subject to change periodically. Rate shown is the interest rate in effect at November 30, 2015.

Portfolio Summary (Unaudited) †	Value (%)
Education	28.8
Health Care	18.7
Special Tax	16.9
Utility-Electric	14.6
Prerefunded	13.0
Utility-Water and Sewer	12.9
Transportation Services	11.3
State/Territory	5.6
Industrial	4.4
Asset-Backed	4.0

City	3.4
Resource Recovery	3.2
Pollution Control	2.9
Housing	1.7
County	.4
Other	1.0
	<b>142.8</b>

*†Based on net assets applicable to Common Shareholders.*

*See notes to financial statements.*

16

### Summary of Abbreviations

<b>ABAG</b>	Association of Bay Area Governments	<b>ACA</b>	American Capital Access
<b>AGC</b>	ACE Guaranty Corporation	<b>AGIC</b>	Asset Guaranty Insurance Company
<b>AMBAC</b>	American Municipal Bond Assurance Corporation	<b>ARRN</b>	Adjustable Rate Receipt Notes
<b>BAN</b>	Bond Anticipation Notes	<b>BPA</b>	Bond Purchase Agreement
<b>CIFG</b>	CDC Ixis Financial Guaranty	<b>COP</b>	Certificate of Participation
<b>CP</b>	Commercial Paper	<b>DRIVERS</b>	Derivative Inverse Tax-Exempt Receipts
<b>EDR</b>	Economic Development Revenue	<b>EIR</b>	Environmental Improvement Revenue
<b>FGIC</b>	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company	<b>FHA</b>	Federal Housing Administration
<b>FHLB</b>	Federal Home Loan Bank	<b>FHLMC</b>	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
<b>FNMA</b>	Federal National Mortgage Association	<b>GAN</b>	Grant Anticipation Notes
<b>GIC</b>	Guaranteed Investment Contract	<b>GNMA</b>	Government National Mortgage Association
<b>GO</b>	General Obligation	<b>HR</b>	Hospital Revenue
<b>IDB</b>	Industrial Development Board	<b>IDC</b>	Industrial Development Corporation
<b>IDR</b>	Industrial Development Revenue	<b>LIFERS</b>	Long Inverse Floating Exempt Receipts
<b>LOC</b>	Letter of Credit	<b>LOR</b>	Limited Obligation Revenue
<b>LR</b>	Lease Revenue	<b>MERLOTS</b>	

			Municipal Exempt Receipts
			Liquidity Option Tender
<b>MFHR</b>	Multi-Family Housing Revenue	<b>MFMR</b>	Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue
<b>PCR</b>	Pollution Control Revenue	<b>PILOT</b>	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
<b>P-FLOATS</b>	Puttable Floating Option Tax-Exempt Receipts	<b>PUTTERS</b>	Puttable Tax-Exempt Receipts
<b>RAC</b>	Revenue Anticipation Certificates	<b>RAN</b>	Revenue Anticipation Notes
<b>RAW</b>	Revenue Anticipation Warrants	<b>RIB</b>	Residual Interest Bonds
<b>ROCS</b>	Reset Options Certificates	<b>RRR</b>	Resources Recovery Revenue
<b>SAAN</b>	State Aid Anticipation Notes	<b>SBPA</b>	Standby Bond Purchase Agreement
<b>SFHR</b>	Single Family Housing Revenue	<b>SFMR</b>	Single Family Mortgage Revenue
<b>SONYMA</b>	State of New York Mortgage Agency	<b>SPEARS</b>	Short Puttable Exempt Adjustable Receipts
<b>SWDR</b>	Solid Waste Disposal Revenue	<b>TAN</b>	Tax Anticipation Notes
<b>TAW</b>	Tax Anticipation Warrants	<b>TRAN</b>	Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes
<b>XLCA</b>	XL Capital Assurance		

*See notes to financial statements.*

17

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES  
November 30, 2015

	Cost	Value
<b>Assets (\$):</b>		
Investments in securities—See Statement of Investments	541,833,715	601,897,930
Cash		3,455,904
Interest receivable		9,169,021
Prepaid expenses		8,564
		<b>614,531,419</b>
<b>Liabilities (\$):</b>		
Due to The Dreyfus Corporation and affiliates—Note 2(b)		275,438
Payable for floating rate notes issued—Note 3		98,468,507
Payable for investment securities purchased		987,740
Interest and expense payable related to floating rate notes issued—Note 3		209,174
Dividends payable to Preferred Shareholders		1,480
Accrued expenses		156,793
		<b>100,099,132</b>
<b>Auction Preferred Stock, Series A, B and C, par value \$.001 per share (3,720 shares issued and outstanding at \$25,000 per share</b>		<b>93,000,000</b>

**liquidation value)—Note 1**

<b>Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders (\$)</b>	<b>421,432,287</b>
<b>Composition of Net Assets (\$):</b>	
Common Stock, par value, \$.001 per share (49,082,366 shares issued and outstanding)	49,082
Paid-in capital	417,478,185
Accumulated undistributed investment income—net	3,114,435
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments	(59,273,630)
Accumulated net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	60,064,215
<b>Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders (\$)</b>	<b>421,432,287</b>
<b>Shares Outstanding</b>	
(110 million shares authorized)	49,082,366
<b>Net Asset Value Per Share of Common Stock (\$)</b>	<b>8.59</b>

*See notes to financial statements.*

**18**

**STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**  
Year Ended November 30, 2015

<b>Investment Income (\$):</b>	
<b>Interest Income</b>	<b>30,100,776</b>
<b>Expenses:</b>	
Investment advisory fee—Note 2(a)	2,565,572
Administration fee—Note 2(a)	1,282,786
Interest and expense related to floating rate notes issued—Note 3	646,295
Commission fees—Note 1	164,066
Professional fees	122,452
Directors' fees and expenses—Note 2(c)	53,643
Shareholders' reports	46,504
Registration fees	46,129
Shareholder servicing costs	20,576
Custodian fees—Note 2(b)	489
Miscellaneous	56,762
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<b>5,005,274</b>
Less—reduction in expenses due to undertaking—Note 2(a)	(513,114)
<b>Net Expenses</b>	<b>4,492,160</b>
<b>Investment Income—Net</b>	<b>25,608,616</b>
<b>Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments—Note 3 (\$):</b>	
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	3,112,077

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	(3,155,212)
<b>Net Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments</b>	<b>(43,135)</b>
<b>Dividends to Preferred Shareholders</b>	<b>(125,517)</b>
<b>Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Operations</b>	<b>25,439,964</b>

*See notes to financial statements.*

19

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS  
Year Ended November 30, 2015

<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities (\$):</b>	
Interest received	30,944,954
Operating expenses paid	(3,794,021)
Dividends paid to Preferred Shareholders	(125,438)
Purchases of portfolio securities	(75,932,960)
Net sales of short-term portfolio securities	1,000,000
Proceeds from sales of portfolio securities	77,258,947
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>29,351,482</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities (\$):</b>	
Dividends paid to Common Shareholders	(24,443,018)
Interest and expense related to floating rate notes issued paid	(656,183)
<b>Net Cash Used in Financing Activities</b>	<b>(25,099,201)</b>
Increase in cash	4,252,281
Cash overdraft at beginning of period	(796,377)
<b>Cash at end of period</b>	<b>3,455,904</b>
<b>Reconciliation of Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Operations to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities (\$):</b>	
Net Increase in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting From Operations	25,439,964
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders resulting from operations to net cash provided by operating activities (\$):</b>	
Decrease in investments in securities, at cost	3,226,170
Increase payable for investment securities purchased	987,740
Increase in interest receivable	(40,662)
Increase in prepaid expenses	(761)
Increase in commissions payable and accrued expenses	53,010
Decrease in Due to The Dreyfus Corporation and affiliates	(405)
Increase in dividends payable to Preferred Shareholders	79
Decrease in payable for floating rate notes issued	(5,000,000)

Interest and expense related to floating rate notes issued	646,295
Net unrealized depreciation on investments	3,155,212
Net amortization of premiums on investments	884,840
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>29,351,482</b>

*See notes to financial statements.*

**20**

## STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Year Ended November 30, 2015	2014
<b>Operations (\$):</b>		
Investment income—net	25,608,616	25,582,261
Net realized gain (loss) on investments	3,112,077	(8,612,528)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments	(3,155,212)	41,849,729
Dividends to Preferred Shareholders	(125,517)	(99,443)
<b>Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders Resulting from Operations</b>	<b>25,439,964</b>	<b>58,720,019</b>
<b>Dividends to Common Shareholders from (\$):</b>		
<b>Investment income—net</b>	<b>(24,443,018)</b>	<b>(27,976,950)</b>
<b>Total Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders</b>	<b>996,946</b>	<b>30,743,069</b>
<b>Net Assets Applicable to Common Shareholders (\$):</b>		
Beginning of Period	420,435,341	389,692,272
<b>End of Period</b>	<b>421,432,287</b>	<b>420,435,341</b>
Undistributed investment income—net	3,114,435	2,227,868

*See notes to financial statements.*

**21**

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Edgar Filing: DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND INC - Form N-CSR

The following table describes the performance for the fiscal periods indicated. Total return shows how much your investment in the fund would have increased (or decreased) during each period, assuming you had reinvested all dividends and distributions. These figures have been derived from the fund's financial statements, and with respect to common stock, market price data for the fund's common shares.

	Year Ended November 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
<b>Per Share Data (\$):</b>					
Net asset value, beginning of period	8.57	7.94	9.30	8.10	7.87
Investment Operations:					
Investment income—net	.52	.52	.53	.55	.57
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments	(.00) <sup>b</sup>	.68	(1.13)	1.23	.24
Dividends to Preferred Shareholders					
	(.00) <sup>b</sup>	(.00) <sup>b</sup>	(.01)	(.01)	(.01)
from investment income—net					
Total from Investment Operations	.52	1.20	(.79)	1.77	.80
Distributions to Common Shareholders:					
Dividends from investment income—net	(.50)	(.57)	(.57)	(.57)	(.57)
Net asset value, end of period	8.59	8.57	7.94	9.30	8.10
Market value, end of period	7.95	7.88	7.31	9.79	8.39
<b>Total Return (%)<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>7.41</b>	<b>15.77</b>	<b>(20.01)</b>	<b>24.46</b>	<b>13.67</b>

**22**

	Year Ended November 30,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
<b>Ratios/Supplemental Data (%):</b>					
Ratio of total expenses to average net assets					
	1.19	1.21	1.24	1.23	1.30
applicable to Common Stock <sup>d</sup>					
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets applicable to Common Stock <sup>d</sup>	1.07	1.09	1.11	1.07	1.16
Ratio of interest and expense related					
to floating rate notes issued to average	.15	.16	.11	.10	.10
net assets applicable to Common Stock <sup>d</sup>					
Ratio of net investment income					
to average net assets applicable	6.10	6.25	6.21	6.22	7.36
to Common Stock <sup>d</sup>					
Ratio of total expenses to total average net assets	.98	.99	.94	.93	.95
Ratio of net expenses to total average net assets	.88	.89	.84	.81	.85
Ratio of interest and expense related to floating rate notes issued to total					
average net assets	.13	.13	.08	.07	.07



Ratio of net investment income to total					
	4.99	5.07	4.70	4.69	5.36
average net assets					
Portfolio Turnover Rate	15.27	7.29	20.27	15.99	20.50
Asset Coverage of Preferred Stock,					
end of period	553	552	428	427	383
Net Assets, applicable to					
Common Shareholders,	421,432	420,435	389,692	455,706	394,955
end of period (\$ x 1,000)					
Preferred Stock Outstanding,					
end of period (\$ x 1,000)	93,000	93,000	118,800	139,500	139,500
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding,					
end of period (\$ x 1,000)	98,469	103,469	103,469	57,129	57,129

<sup>a</sup> Based on average common shares outstanding.

<sup>b</sup> Amount represents less than \$.01 per share.

<sup>c</sup> Calculated based on market value.

<sup>d</sup> Does not reflect the effect of dividends to Preferred Shareholders.

See notes to financial statements.

## 23

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### NOTE 1—Significant Accounting Policies:

Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc. (the “fund”) is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “Act”), as a diversified closed-end management investment company. The fund’s investment objective is to seek to maximize current income exempt from federal income tax to the extent consistent with the preservation of capital. The Dreyfus Corporation (the “Manager” or “Dreyfus”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation (“BNY Mellon”), serves as the fund’s investment adviser. The fund’s Common Stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (the “NYSE”) under the ticker symbol DSM.

The fund has outstanding 1,240 shares each of Series A, Series B and Series C Auction Preferred Stock (“APS”), with a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share (plus an amount equal to accumulated but unpaid dividends upon liquidation). APS dividend rates are determined pursuant to periodic auctions or by reference to a market rate. Deutsche Bank Trust Company America, as Auction Agent, receives a fee from the fund for its services in connection with such auctions. The fund also compensates broker-dealers generally at an annual rate of .15%-.25% of the purchase price of shares of APS.

The fund is subject to certain restrictions relating to the APS. Failure to comply with these restrictions could preclude the fund from declaring any distributions to shareholders of Common Stock (“Common Shareholders”) or repurchasing common shares and/or could trigger the mandatory redemption of APS at liquidation value. Thus, redemptions of APS may be deemed to be outside of the control of the fund.

The holders of APS, voting as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two directors. The holders of APS will vote as a separate class on certain other matters, as required by law. The fund's Board of Directors (the "Board") has designated Robin A. Melvin as a director to be elected by the holders of APS.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") is the exclusive reference of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The fund's financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which may require the use of management estimates and assumptions. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

## 24

The fund enters into contracts that contain a variety of indemnifications. The fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. The fund does not anticipate recognizing any loss related to these arrangements.

**(a) Portfolio valuation:** The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (i.e., the exit price). GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs of valuation techniques used to measure fair value. This hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements).

Additionally, GAAP provides guidance on determining whether the volume and activity in a market has decreased significantly and whether such a decrease in activity results in transactions that are not orderly. GAAP requires enhanced disclosures around valuation inputs and techniques used during annual and interim periods.

Various inputs are used in determining the value of the fund's investments relating to fair value measurements. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

**Level 1**—unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical investments.

**Level 2**—other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.).

**Level 3**—significant unobservable inputs (including the fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments).

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers in or out of an assigned level within the disclosure hierarchy. Valuation techniques used to value the fund's investments are as follows:

Investments in securities are valued each business day by an independent pricing service (the "Service") approved by the Board. Investments for which quoted bid prices are readily available and are representative of the bid side of the market in the judgment of the Service are valued at the mean between the quoted bid prices (as obtained by the Service from dealers in such securities) and asked prices (as calculated by the Service

## 25

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS *(continued)*

based upon its evaluation of the market for such securities). Other investments (which constitute a majority of the portfolio securities) are carried at fair value as determined by the Service, based on methods which include consideration of the following: yields or prices of municipal securities of comparable quality, coupon, maturity and type; indications as to values from dealers; and general market conditions. All of the preceding securities are generally categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Service's procedures are reviewed by Dreyfus under the general supervision of the Board.

When market quotations or official closing prices are not readily available, or are determined not to reflect accurately fair value, such as when the value of a security has been significantly affected by events after the close of the exchange or market on which the security is principally traded, but before the fund calculates its net asset value, the fund may value these investments at fair value as determined in accordance with the procedures approved by the Board. Certain factors may be considered when fair valuing investments such as: fundamental analytical data, the nature and duration of restrictions on disposition, an evaluation of the forces that influence the market in which the securities are purchased and sold, and public trading in similar securities of the issuer or comparable issuers. These securities are either categorized within Level 2 or 3 of the fair value hierarchy depending on the relevant inputs used.

For restricted securities where observable inputs are limited, assumptions about market activity and risk are used and are generally categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following is a summary of the inputs used as of November 30, 2015 in valuing the fund's investments:

	Level 1 - Unadjusted Quoted Prices	Level 2 - Other Significant Observable Inputs	Level 3 -Significant Unobservable Inputs	Total
<b>Assets (\$)</b>				
Investments in Securities:				
Municipal Bonds <sup>†</sup> -		601,897,930	-	<b>601,897,930</b>
<b>Liabilities (\$)</b>				
Floating Rate Notes <sup>††</sup> -		(98,468,507)	-	<b>(98,468,507)</b>

*See Statement of Investments for additional detailed categorizations.*

*Certain of the fund's liabilities are held at carrying amount, which approximates fair value for financial reporting purposes.*

**26**

At November 30, 2015, there were no transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy.

**(b) Securities transactions and investment income:** Securities transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from securities transactions are recorded on the identified cost basis. Interest income, adjusted for accretion of discount and amortization of premium on investments, is earned from settlement date and recognized on the accrual basis. Securities purchased or sold on a when issued or delayed delivery basis may be settled a month or more after the trade date.

**(c) Dividends to Common Shareholders:** Dividends are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Dividends from investment income-net are normally declared and paid monthly. Dividends from net realized capital gains, if any, are normally declared and paid annually, but the fund may make distributions on a more frequent basis to comply with the distribution requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). To the extent that net realized capital gains can be offset by capital loss carryovers, it is the policy of the fund not to distribute such gains. Income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from GAAP.

Common Shareholders will have their distributions reinvested in additional shares of the fund, unless such Common Shareholders elect to receive cash, at the lower of the market price or net asset value per share (but not less than 95% of the market price). If market price is equal to or exceeds net asset value, shares will be issued at net asset value. If net asset value exceeds market price Computershare Inc., the transfer agent for the fund's Common Stock, will buy fund shares in the open market and reinvest those shares accordingly.

On November 27, 2015, the Board declared a cash dividend of \$.0415 per share from investment income-net, payable on December 31, 2015 to Common Shareholders of record as of the close of business on December 11, 2015.

**(d) Dividends to shareholders of APS:** Dividends, which are cumulative, are generally reset every 7 days for each Series of APS pursuant to a process specified in related fund charter documents. Dividend rates as of November 30, 2015, for each Series of APS were as follows: Series A-0.154%, Series B-0.133% and Series C-0.133%. These rates reflect the “maximum rates” under the governing instruments as a result of “failed auctions” in which sufficient clearing bids are not received. The average dividend rates for the period ended November 30, 2015 for each Series of APS were as follows: Series A-0.14%, Series B-0.14% and Series C-0.13%.

27

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS *(continued)*

**(e) Federal income taxes:** It is the policy of the fund to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company, which can distribute tax-exempt dividends, by complying with the applicable provisions of the Code, and to make distributions of income and net realized capital gain sufficient to relieve it from substantially all federal income and excise taxes.

As of and during the period ended November 30, 2015, the fund did not have any liabilities for any uncertain tax positions. The fund recognizes interest and penalties, if any, related to uncertain tax positions as income tax expense in the Statement of Operations. During the period ended November 30, 2015, the fund did not incur any interest or penalties.

Each tax year in the four-year period ended November 30, 2015 remains subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and state taxing authorities.

At November 30, 2015, the components of accumulated earnings on a tax basis were as follows: undistributed tax-exempt income \$4,602,352, accumulated capital losses \$59,808,508 and unrealized appreciation \$60,599,093.

Under the Regulated Investment Company Modernization Act of 2010 (the “2010 Act”), the fund is permitted to carry forward capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 22, 2010 (“post-enactment losses”) for an unlimited period. Furthermore, post-enactment capital loss carryovers retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses rather than short-term as they were under previous statute. The 2010 Act requires post-enactment losses to be utilized before the utilization of losses incurred in taxable years prior to the effective date

of the 2010 Act (“pre-enactment losses”). As a result of this ordering rule, pre-enactment losses may be more likely to expire unused.

The accumulated capital loss carryover is available for federal income tax purposes to be applied against future net realized capital gains, if any, realized subsequent to November 30, 2015. If not applied, \$5,522,685 of the carryover expires in fiscal year 2016, \$20,261,695 expires in fiscal year 2017, \$5,075,624 expires in fiscal year 2018 and \$21,871,958 expires in fiscal year 2019. The fund has \$1,131,582 of post-enactment short-term capital losses and \$5,944,964 of post-enactment long-term capital losses which can be carried forward for an unlimited period.

The tax character of distributions paid to shareholders during the fiscal periods ended November 30, 2015 and November 30, 2014 were as follows: tax-exempt income \$24,397,001 and \$28,076,393, and ordinary income \$171,534 and \$0, respectively.

## 28

During the period ended November 30, 2015, as a result of permanent book to tax differences, primarily due to the tax treatment for amortization adjustments, capital loss carryover expiration and dividend reclassification, the fund decreased accumulated undistributed investment income-net by \$153,514, increased accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments by \$1,385,552 and decreased paid-in capital by \$1,232,038. Net assets and net asset value per share were not affected by this reclassification.

### **NOTE 2—Investment Advisory Fee, Administration Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates:**

(a) Pursuant to an investment advisory agreement with Dreyfus, the management fee is computed at the annual rate of .50% of the value of the fund’s average weekly net assets, inclusive of the outstanding APS, and is payable monthly. The fund also has an administration agreement with Dreyfus and a custody agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon (the “Custodian”), a subsidiary of BNY Mellon and an affiliate of Dreyfus. The fund pays in the aggregate for administration, custody and transfer agency services a monthly fee based on an annual rate of .25% of the value of the fund’s average weekly net assets, inclusive of the outstanding APS. All out-of-pocket transfer agency and custody expenses, including custody transaction expenses, are paid separately by the fund.

Dreyfus has currently undertaken, from December 1, 2014 through May 31, 2016, to waive receipt of a portion of the fund’s investment advisory fee, in the amount of .10% of the value of the fund’s average weekly net assets (including net assets representing APS outstanding). The reduction in expenses, pursuant to the undertaking, amounted to \$513,114 during the period ended November 30, 2015.

(b) The fund compensates the Custodian under a custody agreement for providing custodial services for the fund. These fees are determined based on transaction activity. During the period ended November 30, 2015, the fund was charged \$489 for out-of-pocket and custody transaction expenses, pursuant to the custody agreement.

The fund has an arrangement with the Custodian whereby the fund may receive earnings credits when positive cash balances are maintained, which are used to offset custody fees. For financial reporting purposes, the fund includes net earnings credits as an expense offset in the Statement of Operations.

During the period ended November 30, 2015, the fund was charged \$6,615 for services performed by the Chief Compliance Officer and his staff.

## 29

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS *(continued)*

The components of “Due to The Dreyfus Corporation and affiliates” in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities consist of: investment advisory fees \$210,458, Administration fees \$105,229, Custodian fees \$784 and Chief Compliance Officer fees \$1,059, which are offset against an expense reimbursement currently in effect in the amount of \$42,092.

(c) Each Board member also serves as a Board member of other funds within the Dreyfus complex. Annual retainer fees and attendance fees are allocated to each fund based on net assets.

**NOTE 3—Securities Transactions:**

The aggregate amount of purchases and sales of investment securities, excluding short-term securities, during the period ended November 30, 2015, amounted to \$76,920,700 and \$77,258,947, respectively.

**Inverse Floater Securities:** The fund participates in secondary inverse floater structures in which fixed-rate, tax-exempt municipal bonds are transferred to a trust (the “Trust”). The Trust typically issues two variable rate securities that are collateralized by the cash flows of the fixed-rate, tax-exempt municipal bonds. One of these variable rate securities pays interest based on a short-term floating rate set by a remarketing agent at predetermined intervals (“Trust Certificates”). A residual interest tax-exempt security is also created by the Trust, which is transferred to the fund, and is paid interest based on the remaining cash flows of the Trust, after payment of interest on the other securities and various expenses of the Trust. An inverse floater security may be collapsed without the consent of the fund due to certain termination events such as bankruptcy, default or other credit event.

The fund accounts for the transfer of bonds to the Trust as secured borrowings, with the securities transferred remaining in the fund’s investments, and the related floating rate certificate securities reflected as fund liabilities in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

The fund may invest in inverse floater securities on either a non-recourse or recourse basis. These securities are typically supported by a liquidity facility provided by a bank or other financial institution (the “Liquidity Provider”) that allows the holders of the Trust Certificates to tender their certificates in exchange for payment from the Liquidity Provider of par plus accrued interest on any business day prior to a termination event. When the fund invests in inverse floater securities on a non-recourse basis, the Liquidity Provider is required to make a payment under the liquidity facility due to a termination event to the holders of the Trust Certificates. When this occurs, the Liquidity Provider typically liquidates all or a portion of the municipal securities held in the Trust. A liquidation shortfall occurs

**30**

if the Trust Certificates exceed the proceeds of the sale of the bonds in the Trust (“Liquidation Shortfall”). When a fund invests in inverse floater securities on a recourse basis, the fund typically enters into a reimbursement agreement with the Liquidity Provider where the fund is required to repay the Liquidity Provider the amount of any Liquidation Shortfall. As a result, a fund investing in a recourse inverse floater security bears the risk of loss with respect to any Liquidation Shortfall.

The average amount of borrowings outstanding under the inverse floater structure during the period ended November 30, 2015 was approximately \$101,801,800, with a related weighted average annualized interest rate of .63%.

At November 30, 2015, the cost of investments for federal income tax purposes was \$442,830,330; accordingly, accumulated net unrealized appreciation on investments was \$60,599,093, consisting of \$61,162,415 gross unrealized appreciation and \$563,322 gross unrealized depreciation.

**31**

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED  
PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

**Shareholders and Board of Directors  
Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.**

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc., including the statement of investments, as of November 30, 2015, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statement of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2015 by correspondence with the custodian and others. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc. at November 30, 2015, the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

New York, New York  
January 28, 2016

**32**

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (Unaudited)

## **Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan**

Under the fund's Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan (the "Plan"), a Common Shareholder who has fund shares registered in his or her name will have all dividends and distributions reinvested automatically by Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as Plan administrator (the "Administrator"), in additional shares of the fund at the lower of prevailing market price or net asset value (but not less than 95% of market value at the time of valuation) unless such Common Shareholder elects to receive cash as provided below. If market price is equal to or exceeds net asset value, shares will be issued at net asset value. If net asset value exceeds market price or if a cash dividend only is declared, the Administrator, as agent for the Plan participants, will buy fund shares in the open market. A Plan participant is not relieved of any income tax that may be payable on such dividends or distributions.

A Common Shareholder who owns fund shares registered in nominee name through his or her broker/dealer (i.e., in "street name") may not participate in the Plan, but may elect to have cash dividends and distributions reinvested by his or her broker/dealer in additional shares of the fund if such service is provided by the broker/dealer; otherwise such dividends and distributions will be treated like any other cash dividend.

A Common Shareholder who has fund shares registered in his or her name may elect to withdraw from the Plan at any time for a \$5.00 fee and thereby elect to receive cash in lieu of shares of the fund. Changes in elections must be in writing, sent to The Bank of New York Mellon, c/o Computershare Inc., P.O. Box 30170, College Station, TX 77842-3170, should include the Common Shareholder's name and address as they appear on the Administrator's records and will be effective only if received more than fifteen days prior to the record date for any distribution.

The Administrator maintains all Common Shareholder accounts in the Plan and furnishes written confirmations of all transactions in the account. Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Administrator in non-certificated form in the name of the participant, and each such participant's proxy will include those shares purchased pursuant to the Plan.

The fund pays the Administrator's fee for reinvestment of dividends and distributions. Plan participants pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Administrator's open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of dividends or distributions.

## **33**

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (Unaudited) (continued)**

The fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan as applied to any dividend or distribution paid subsequent to written notice of the change sent to Plan participants at least 90 days before the record date for such dividend or distribution. The Plan also may be amended or terminated by the Administrator on at least 90 days' written notice to Plan participants.

### **Level Distribution Policy**

The fund's dividend policy is to distribute substantially all of its net investment income to its shareholders on a monthly basis. In order to provide shareholders with a more consistent yield to the current trading price of shares of Common Stock of the fund, the fund may at times pay out less than the entire amount of net investment income earned in any particular month and may at times in any month pay out such accumulated but undistributed income in addition to net investment income earned in that month. As a result, the dividends paid by the fund for any particular month may be more or less than the amount of net investment income earned by the fund during such month.



## Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The fund utilizes leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value of its Common Stock. These objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments. To leverage, the fund issues APS and floating rate certificate securities, which pay dividends or interest at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invests the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. The interest earned on these investments is paid to Common Shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share net asset value of the fund's Common Stock. In order for either of these forms of leverage to benefit Common Shareholders, the yield curve must be positively sloped: that is, short-term interest rates must be lower than long-term interest rates. At the same time, a period of generally declining interest rates will benefit Common Shareholders. If either of these conditions change along with other factors that may have an effect on APS dividends or floating rate certificate securities, then the risk of leveraging will begin to outweigh the benefits.

## Supplemental Information

During the period ended November 30, 2015, there were: (i) no material changes in the fund's investment objectives or fundamental investment policies, (ii) no changes in the fund's charter or by-laws that would delay or prevent a change of control of the fund, and (iii) no changes in the persons primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the fund's portfolio.

## 34

Shareholders should take note of the following information about certain risks of investing in the fund.

**Municipal securities risk.** The amount of public information available about municipal securities is generally less than that for corporate equities or bonds. Special factors, such as legislative changes, and state and local economic and business developments, may adversely affect the yield and/or value of the fund's investments in municipal securities. Other factors include the general conditions of the municipal securities market, the size of the particular offering, and the maturity of the obligation and the rating of the issue. The municipal securities market can be susceptible to increases in volatility and decreases in liquidity. Liquidity can decline unpredictably in response to overall economic conditions or credit tightening. Increases in volatility and decreases in liquidity may be caused by a rise in interest rates (or the expectation of a rise in interest rates), which are at or near historic lows in the United States. During periods of reduced market liquidity, the fund may not be able to readily sell municipal securities at prices at or near their perceived value. Changes in economic, business or political conditions relating to a particular municipal project, municipality, or state, territory or possession of the United States in which the fund invests may have an impact on the fund's net asset value per share of Common Stock. A credit rating downgrade relating to, default by, or insolvency or bankruptcy of, one or several municipal security issuers of a state, territory or possession of the United States in which the fund invests could affect the market values and marketability of many or all municipal securities of such state, territory or possession.

**Interest rate risk.** Prices of bonds and other fixed-income securities tend to move inversely with changes in interest rates. Typically, a rise in rates will adversely affect fixed-income securities and, accordingly, will cause the value of the fund's investments in these securities to decline. During periods of very low interest rates, which occur from time to time due to market forces or actions of governments and/or their central banks, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System in the U.S., the fund may be subject to a greater risk of principal decline from rising interest rates. When interest rates fall, the values of already-issued fixed-income securities generally rise. However, when interest rates fall, the fund's investments in new securities may be at lower yields and may reduce the fund's income. The magnitude of these fluctuations in the market price of fixed-income securities is generally greater for securities with longer effective maturities and durations because such instruments do not mature, reset interest rates or

become callable for longer periods of time. The change in the value of a fixed-income security or portfolio can be approximated by multiplying its duration by a change in interest rates. For

**35**

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (Unaudited) (continued)**

example, the market price of a fixed-income security with a duration of three years would be expected to decline 3% if interest rates rose 1%. Conversely, the market price of the same security would be expected to increase 3% if interest rates fell 1%. Risks associated with rising interest rates are heightened given that interest rates in the United States and other countries are at or near historic lows.

Liquidity risk. When there is little or no active trading market for specific types of securities, it can become more difficult to sell the securities in a timely manner at or near their perceived value. In such a market, the value of such securities and the fund’s net asset value per share of Common Stock may fall dramatically, even during periods of declining interest rates. The secondary market for certain municipal bonds tends to be less well developed or liquid than many other securities markets, which may adversely affect the fund’s ability to sell such municipal bonds at attractive prices. Investments that are illiquid or that trade in lower volumes may be more difficult to value.

**36**

**IMPORTANT TAX INFORMATION (Unaudited)**

In accordance with federal tax law, the fund hereby reports all the dividends paid from investment income-net during its fiscal year ended November 30, 2015 as “exempt-interest dividends” (not generally subject to regular federal income tax), except \$171,534 that is being reported as an ordinary income distribution for reporting purposes. Where required by federal tax law rules, shareholders will receive notification of their portion of the fund’s taxable ordinary dividends (if any), capital gains distributions (if any) and tax-exempt dividends paid for the 2015 calendar year on Form 1099-DIV, which will be mailed in early 2016.

**37**

**PROXY RESULTS (Unaudited)**

Common Shareholders and holders of APS voted together as a single class (except as noted below) on the following proposal presented at the annual shareholders’ meeting held on June 5, 2015.

	Shares For	Authority Withheld
To elect two Class I Directors: †		
Joseph S. DiMartino	42,073,108	2,067,459
Joni Evans	42,032,791	2,107,776

To elect one Class II Director ††

Robin A. Melvin

2,201

83

*The terms of these Class I Directors expire in 2018.**Elected solely by APS holders; Common Shareholders not entitled to vote.***38**

## INFORMATION ABOUT THE RENEWAL OF THE FUND'S INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT (Unaudited)

At a meeting of the fund's Board of Directors held on November 2-3, 2015, the Board considered the renewal of the fund's Investment Advisory Agreement and Administration Agreement, pursuant to which Dreyfus provides the fund with investment advisory and administrative services (together, the "Agreement"). The Board members, a majority of whom are not "interested persons" (as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) of the fund, were assisted in their review by independent legal counsel and met with counsel in executive session separate from Dreyfus representatives. In considering the renewal of the Agreement, the Board considered all factors that it believed to be relevant, including those discussed below. The Board did not identify any one factor as dispositive, and each Board member may have attributed different weights to the factors considered.

Analysis of Nature, Extent, and Quality of Services Provided to the Fund. The Board considered information provided to them at the meeting and in previous presentations from Dreyfus representatives regarding the nature, extent, and quality of the services provided to funds in the Dreyfus fund complex. Dreyfus representatives noted that the fund is a closed-end fund without daily inflows and outflows of capital, and provided the fund's asset size.

The Board also considered research support available to, and portfolio management capabilities of, the fund's portfolio management personnel and that Dreyfus also provides oversight of day-to-day fund operations, including fund accounting and administration and assistance in meeting legal and regulatory requirements. The Board also considered Dreyfus' extensive administrative, accounting and compliance infrastructures.

Comparative Analysis of the Fund's Performance and Management Fee and Expense Ratio. The Board reviewed reports prepared by Lipper, Inc. ("Lipper"), an independent provider of investment company data, which included information comparing (1) the fund's performance with the performance of a group of comparable funds (the "Performance Group") and with a broader group of funds (the "Performance Universe"), all for various periods ended September 30, 2015, and (2) the fund's actual and contractual management fees and total expenses with those of a group of comparable funds (the "Expense Group") and with a broader group of funds (the "Expense Universe"), the information for which was derived in part from fund financial statements available to Lipper as of the date of its analysis. Dreyfus previously had furnished the Board with a description of the methodology Lipper used to select the Performance Group and Performance Universe and the Expense Group and Expense Universe.

Dreyfus representatives stated that the usefulness of performance comparisons may be affected by a number of factors, including different investment limitations that may be applicable to the fund and comparison funds. The Board discussed the results of the comparisons and noted that the fund's total return performance on a net asset value

**39**

## INFORMATION ABOUT THE RENEWAL OF THE FUND'S INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT (Unaudited) *(continued)*

basis was at or below the Performance Group median for all periods, and at or above the Performance Universe median for three of the periods and below the median for three of the periods. The Board noted the proximity to the Performance Group and/or Performance Universe median in certain periods when the fund's performance was below the median. The Board noted that the fund's total return performance on a market price basis was below the Performance Group and Performance Universe medians for all periods, except for the ten-year period when the fund's performance was at the Performance Group median and above the Performance Universe median. The Board also noted that the fund's yield performance on a net asset value basis was at or above the Performance Group medians for six of the ten one-year periods ended September 30th and above the Performance Universe medians for all ten one-year periods, and on a market price basis was above the Performance Group medians for five of the ten one-year periods ended September 30th and at or above the Performance Universe medians for seven of the ten one-year periods. The Board noted the proximity to the Performance Group and/or Performance Universe median in certain periods when the fund's performance was below the median. Dreyfus also provided a comparison of the fund's calendar year total returns to the returns of the fund's Lipper category average, noting that the fund's total returns were higher than the Lipper category average for six of the ten calendar years shown.

The Board also reviewed the range of actual and contractual management fees and total expenses of the Expense Group and Expense Universe funds and discussed the results of the comparisons. The Board noted that, based on common assets alone, the fund's contractual management fee was above the Expense Group median and the fund's actual management fee and total expenses were below the Expense Group and Expense Universe medians. The Board noted that, based on common and leveraged assets together, the fund's actual management fee was above the Expense Group and Expense Universe medians and the fund's total expenses were below the Expense Group and Expense Universe medians.

Dreyfus representatives noted that Dreyfus has agreed to extend its agreement to waive receipt of a portion of the fund's investment advisory fee, in the amount of .10% of the value of the fund's average weekly net assets, including the net assets representing auction preferred stock outstanding, from November 30, 2015 through May 31, 2016.

Dreyfus representatives reviewed with the Board the management or investment advisory fees (1) paid by funds advised or administered by Dreyfus that are in the same Lipper category as the fund and (2) paid to Dreyfus or the Dreyfus-affiliated primary employer of the fund's primary portfolio manager(s) for advising any separate accounts and/or other types of client portfolios that are considered to have similar investment strategies and policies as the fund (the "Similar Clients"), and explained the nature of the Similar Clients. They discussed differences in fees paid and the relationship of the fees paid in light of any differences in the services provided and other relevant factors, noting that the fund is a closed-end fund. The Board considered the relevance of the

## 40

fee information provided for the Similar Clients to evaluate the appropriateness and reasonableness of the fund's management fee.

Analysis of Profitability and Economies of Scale. Dreyfus representatives reviewed the expenses allocated and profit received by Dreyfus and its affiliates and the resulting profitability percentage for managing the fund and the aggregate profitability percentage to Dreyfus and its affiliates for managing the funds in the Dreyfus fund complex, and the method used to determine the expenses and profit. The Board concluded that the profitability results were not unreasonable, given the services rendered and service levels provided by Dreyfus. The Board also noted the fee waiver arrangement and its effect on the profitability of Dreyfus and its affiliates. The Board also had been provided with information prepared by an independent consulting firm regarding Dreyfus' approach to allocating costs to, and determining the profitability of, individual funds and the entire Dreyfus fund complex. The consulting firm also had analyzed where any economies of scale might emerge in connection with the management of a fund.

The Board considered, on the advice of its counsel, the profitability analysis (1) as part of its evaluation of whether the fees under the Agreement bear a reasonable relationship to the mix of services provided by Dreyfus, including the nature, extent and quality of such services, and (2) in light of the relevant circumstances for the fund and the extent to which economies of scale would be realized if the fund grows and whether fee levels reflect these economies of scale for the benefit of fund shareholders. Dreyfus representatives noted that because the fund is a closed-end fund without daily inflows and outflows of capital, there were not at this time significant economies of scale to be realized by Dreyfus in managing the fund's assets. Dreyfus representatives also noted that, as a result of shared and allocated costs among funds in the Dreyfus fund complex, the extent of economies of scale could depend substantially on the level of assets in the complex as a whole, so that increases and decreases in complex-wide assets can affect potential economies of scale in a manner that is disproportionate to, or even in the opposite direction from, changes in the fund's asset level. The Board also considered potential benefits to Dreyfus from acting as investment adviser and noted that there were no soft dollar arrangements in effect for trading the fund's investments.

At the conclusion of these discussions, the Board agreed that it had been furnished with sufficient information to make an informed business decision with respect to the renewal of the Agreement. Based on the discussions and considerations as described above, the Board concluded and determined as follows.

- The Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Dreyfus are adequate and appropriate.
- The Board generally was satisfied with the fund's overall performance.
- The Board concluded that the fee paid to Dreyfus was reasonable in light of the considerations described above.

41

#### INFORMATION ABOUT THE RENEWAL OF THE FUND'S INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENT (Unaudited) *(continued)*

- The Board determined that the economies of scale which may accrue to Dreyfus and its affiliates in connection with the management of the fund had been adequately considered by Dreyfus in connection with the fee rate charged to the fund pursuant to the Agreement and that, to the extent in the future it were determined that material economies of scale had not been shared with the fund, the Board would seek to have those economies of scale shared with the fund.

In evaluating the Agreement, the Board considered these conclusions and determinations and also relied on its previous knowledge, gained through meetings and other interactions with Dreyfus and its affiliates, of the fund and the services provided to the fund by Dreyfus. The Board also relied on information received on a routine and regular basis throughout the year relating to the operations of the fund and the investment management and other services provided under the Agreement, including information on the investment performance of the fund in comparison to similar funds and benchmark performance indices; general market outlook as applicable to the fund; and compliance reports. In addition, the Board's consideration of the contractual fee arrangements for this fund had the benefit of a number of years of reviews of prior or similar agreements during which lengthy discussions took place between the Board and Dreyfus representatives. Certain aspects of the arrangements may receive greater scrutiny in some years than in others, and the Board's conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of the same or similar arrangements in prior years. The Board determined to renew the Agreement.

42

BOARD MEMBERS INFORMATION (Unaudited)

INDEPENDENT BOARD MEMBERS

**Joseph S. DiMartino (72)**

**Chairman of the Board (1995)**

Current term expires in 2018.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- Corporate Director and Trustee (1995-present)

*Other Public Company Board Memberships During Past 5 Years:*

- CBIZ (formerly, Century Business Services, Inc.), a provider of outsourcing functions for small and medium size companies, Director (1997-present)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves:* 140

---

**Joni Evans (73)**

**Board Member (2006)**

Current term expires in 2018.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- Chief Executive Officer, [www.wowOwow.com](http://www.wowOwow.com) an online community dedicated to women's conversations and publications (2007-present)
- Principal, Joni Evans Ltd. (publishing) (2006-present)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves:* 24

---

**Ehud Houminer (75)**

**Board Member (1994)**

Current term expires in 2016.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- Executive-in-Residence at the Columbia Business School, Columbia University (1992-present)

*Other Public Company Board Memberships During Past 5 Years:*

- Avnet, Inc., an electronics distributor, Director (1993-2012)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves:* 61

---

**Hans C. Mautner (78)**

**Board Member (1987)**

Current term expires in 2017.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- President-International Division and an Advisory Director of Simon Property Group, a real estate investment company (1998-2010)
- Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Simon Global Limited, a real estate company (1999-2010)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves:* 24

---

**43**

BOARD MEMBERS INFORMATION (Unaudited) (continued)  
INDEPENDENT BOARD MEMBERS (continued)

**Robin A. Melvin (52)**

**Board Member (1995)**

Current term expires in 2018.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- Co-chairman, Illinois Mentoring Partnership, non-profit organization dedicated to increasing the quantity and quality of mentoring services in Illinois; (2014-present; a board member since 2013)
- Director, Boisi Family Foundation, a private family foundation that supports youth-serving organizations that promote the self sufficiency of youth from disadvantaged circumstances (1995-2012)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves:* 111

---

**Burton N. Wallack (65)**

**Board Member (2006)**

Current term expires in 2017.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- President and Co-owner of Wallack Management Company, a real estate management company (1987-present)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves: 24*

---

**44**

**INTERESTED BOARD MEMBER**

**Gordon J. Davis (74)**

**Board Member (2006)**

Current term expires in 2016.

*Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years:*

- Partner in the law firm of Venable LLP (2012-present)
- Partner in the law firm of Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP (1994-2012)

*Other Public Company Board Memberships During Past 5 Years:*

- Consolidated Edison, Inc., a utility company, Director (1997-2014)
- The Phoenix Companies, Inc., a life insurance company, Director (2000-2014)

*No. of Portfolios for which Board Member Serves: 60*

*Gordon J. Davis is deemed to be an “interested person” (as defined under the Act) of the fund as a result of his affiliation with Venable LLP, which provides legal services to the fund.*

---

*The address of the Board Members and Officers is c/o The Dreyfus Corporation, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166.*



*William Hodding Carter III, Emeritus Board Member*  
*Arnold S. Hiatt, Emeritus Board Member*

45

OFFICERS OF THE FUND (Unaudited)

**BRADLEY J. SKAPYAK, President since January 2010.**

Chief Operating Officer and a director of the Manager since June 2009, Chairman of Dreyfus Transfer, Inc., an affiliate of the Manager and the transfer agent of the funds, since May 2011 and Executive Vice President of the Distributor since June 2007. From April 2003 to June 2009, Mr. Skapyak was the head of the Investment Accounting and Support Department of the Manager. He is an officer of 66 investment companies (comprised of 140 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 57 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since February 1988.

**BENNETT A. MACDOUGALL, Chief Legal Officer since October 2015**

Chief Legal Officer of the Manager since June 2015; from June 2005 to June 2015, Director and Associate General Counsel of Deutsche Bank – Asset & Wealth Management Division, and Chief Legal Officer of Deutsche Investment Management Americas Inc. He is an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 44 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since June 2015.

**JANETTE E. FARRAGHER, Vice President and Secretary since December 2011.**

Assistant General Counsel of BNY Mellon, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. She is 52 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since February 1984.

**JAMES BITETTO, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since August 2005.**

Managing Counsel of BNY Mellon and Secretary of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 49 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since December 1996.

**JONI LACKS CHARATAN, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since August 2005.**

Managing Counsel of BNY Mellon, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. She is 60 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since October 1988.

**JOSEPH M. CHIOFFI, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since August 2005.**

Managing Counsel of BNY Mellon, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 54 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since June 2000.

**JOHN B. HAMMALIAN, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since August 2005.**

Senior Managing Counsel of BNY Mellon, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 52 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since February 1991.

**MAUREEN E. KANE, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since April 2015.**

Managing Counsel of BNY Mellon since July 2014; from October 2004 until July 2014, General Counsel, and from May 2009 until July 2014, Chief Compliance Officer of Century Capital Management. She is an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. She is 53 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since July 2014.

**SARAH S. KELLEHER, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since April 2014.**

Senior Counsel of BNY Mellon, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager; from August 2005 to March 2013, Associate General Counsel of Third Avenue Management. She is 40 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since March 2013.

**JEFF PRUSNOFSKY, Vice President and Assistant Secretary since August 2005.**

Senior Managing Counsel of BNY Mellon, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 50 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since October 1990.

**JAMES WINDELS, Treasurer since November 2001.**

Director – Mutual Fund Accounting of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 57 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since April 1985.

46

**RICHARD CASSARO, Assistant Treasurer since January 2008.**

Senior Accounting Manager – Money Market and Municipal Bond Funds of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 56 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since September 1982.

**GAVIN C. REILLY, Assistant Treasurer since December 2005.**

Tax Manager of the Investment Accounting and Support Department of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 47 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since April 1991.

**ROBERT S. ROBOL, Assistant Treasurer since August 2005.**

Senior Accounting Manager – Fixed Income Funds of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 51 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since October 1988.

**ROBERT SALVILOLO, Assistant Treasurer since May 2007.**

Senior Accounting Manager – Equity Funds of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 48 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since June 1989.

**ROBERT SVAGNA, Assistant Treasurer since August 2005.**

Senior Accounting Manager – Equity Funds of the Manager, and an officer of 67 investment companies (comprised of 165 portfolios) managed by the Manager. He is 48 years old and has been an employee of the Manager since November 1990.

**JOSEPH W. CONNOLLY, Chief Compliance Officer since October 2004.**

Chief Compliance Officer of the Manager and The Dreyfus Family of Funds (67 investment companies, comprised of 165 portfolios). He is 58 years old and has served in various capacities with the Manager since 1980, including manager of the firm’s Fund Accounting Department from 1997 through October 2001.

**47**

NOTES

**48**

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.

200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166

**Directors**

Joseph S. DiMartino, Chairman  
Gordon J. Davis †  
Joni Evans  
Ehud Houminer  
Hans C. Mautner  
Robin A. Melvin ††  
Burton N. Wallack

**Officers (continued)**

Chief Compliance Officer  
Joseph W. Connolly

**Portfolio Managers**

Daniel A. Barton  
Jeffrey B. Burger

*†Interested Board Member*

*†Auction Preferred Stock Director*

**Investment Adviser  
and Administer**

The Dreyfus Corporation

**Officers**

President  
Bradley J. Skapyak

**Custodian**

The Bank of New York Mellon

Chief Legal Officer

Bennett A. MacDougall

Vice President and Secretary

Janette E. Farragher

Vice President and Secretaries

James Bitetto

Joni Lacks Charatan

Joseph M. Chioffi

John B. Hammalian

Maureen E. Kane

Sarah S. Kelleher

Jeff Prusnofsky

Treasurer

James Windels

Assistant Treasurers

Richard Cassaro

Gavin C. Reilly

Robert S. Robol

Robert Salviolo

Robert Svagna

**Counsel**

Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

**Transfer Agent,**

**Dividend -Paying Agent**

**Disbursing Agent and Registrar**

Computershare Inc.

(Common Stock)

Deutsche Bank Trust Company America

(Auction Preferred Stock)

**Auction Agent**

Deutsche Bank Trust Company America

(Auction Preferred Stock)

**Stock Exchange Listing**

NYSE Symbol: DSM

**Initial SEC Effective Date**

11/22/89

*The fund's net asset value per share appears in the following publications: Barron's, Closed-End Bond Funds section under*

*the heading "Municipal Bond Funds" every Monday; and Wall Street Journal, Mutual Funds section under the heading*

*"Closed-End Funds" every Monday.*

*Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 23(c) of the Act that the fund may purchase shares of its Common Stock in the*

*open market when it can do so at prices below the then current net asset value per share.*

**49**

For More Information

**Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.**

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166

**Investment Adviser and Administrator**

The Dreyfus Corporation  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166

**Custodian**

The Bank of New York Mellon  
225 Liberty Street  
New York, NY 10286

**Transfer Agent &  
Registrar (Common Stock)**

Computershare Inc.  
480 Washington Boulevard  
Jersey City, NJ 07310

**Dividend Disbursing Agent (Common Stock)**

Computershare Inc.  
P.O. Box 30170  
College Station, TX 77842

**Ticker Symbol:**

DSM

The fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The fund’s Forms N-Q are available on the SEC’s website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) and may be reviewed and copied at the SEC’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. (phone 1-800-SEC-0330 for information).

A description of the policies and procedures that the fund uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities and information regarding how the fund voted these proxies for the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available at [www.dreyfus.com](http://www.dreyfus.com) and on the SEC’s website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov) and without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-DREYFUS.

© 2016 MBSC Securities Corporation  
0852AR1115

---

**Item 2. Code of Ethics.**

The Registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the Registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. There have been no amendments to, or waivers in connection with, the Code of Ethics during the period covered by this Report.

**Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.**

The Registrant's Board has determined that Ehud Houminer, a member of the Audit Committee of the Board, is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Ehud Houminer is "independent" as defined by the SEC for purposes of audit committee financial expert determinations.

**Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.**

(a) Audit Fees. The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years (the "Reporting Periods") for professional services rendered by the Registrant's principal accountant (the "Auditor") for the audit of the Registrant's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the Auditor in connection with the statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the Reporting Periods, were \$ 33,848 in 2014 and \$34,694 in 2015.

(b) Audit-Related Fees. The aggregate fees billed in the Reporting Periods for assurance and related services by the Auditor that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the Registrant's financial statements and are not reported under paragraph (a) of this Item 4 were \$27,793 in 2014 and \$6,273 in 2015. These services consisted of one or more of the following: (i) agreed upon procedures related to compliance with Internal Revenue Code section 817(h), (ii) security counts required by Rule 17f-2 under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, (iii) advisory services as to the accounting or disclosure treatment of Registrant transactions or events and (iv) advisory services to the accounting or disclosure treatment of the actual or potential impact to the Registrant of final or proposed rules, standards or interpretations by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Financial Accounting Standards Boards or other regulatory or standard-setting bodies and (v) agreed upon procedures in evaluating compliance by the Fund with provisions of the Fund's articles supplementary, creating the series of auction rate preferred stock.

The aggregate fees billed in the Reporting Periods for non-audit assurance and related services by the Auditor to the Registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-investment adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the Registrant ("Service Affiliates"), that were reasonably related to the performance of the annual audit of the Service Affiliate, which required pre-approval by the Audit Committee were \$0 in 2014 and \$0 in 2015.

(c) Tax Fees. The aggregate fees billed in the Reporting Periods for professional services rendered by the Auditor for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning ("Tax Services") were \$3,578 in 2014 and \$3,369 in 2015. These services consisted of: (i) review or preparation of U.S. federal, state, local and excise tax returns; (ii) U.S. federal, state and local tax planning, advice and assistance regarding statutory, regulatory or administrative developments; and (iii) tax advice regarding tax qualification matters and/or treatment of various financial instruments held or proposed to be acquired or held. The aggregate fees billed in the Reporting Periods for Tax Services by the Auditor to Service Affiliates, which required pre-approval by the Audit Committee were \$0 in 2014 and \$0 in 2015.

---

(d) All Other Fees. The aggregate fees billed in the Reporting Periods for products and services provided by the Auditor, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this Item, were \$165 in 2014 and \$0 in 2015. These services consisted of a review of the Registrant's anti-money laundering program.

The aggregate fees billed in the Reporting Periods for Non-Audit Services by the Auditor to Service Affiliates, other than the services reported in paragraphs (b) through (c) of this Item, which required pre-approval by the Audit Committee, were \$0 in 2014 and \$0 in 2015.

(e)(1) Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. The Registrant's Audit Committee has established policies and procedures (the "Policy") for pre-approval (within specified fee limits) of the Auditor's engagements for non-audit services to the Registrant and Service Affiliates without specific case-by-case consideration. The pre-approved services in the Policy can include pre-approved audit services, pre-approved audit-related services, pre-approved tax services and pre-approved all other services. Pre-approval considerations include whether the proposed services are compatible with maintaining the Auditor's independence. Pre-approvals pursuant to the Policy are considered annually.

(e)(2) Note: None of the services described in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this Item 4 were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

(f) None of the hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees.

Non-Audit Fees. The aggregate non-audit fees billed by the Auditor for services rendered to the Registrant, and rendered to Service Affiliates, for the Reporting Periods were \$25,624,689 in 2014 and \$19,802,219 in 2015.

Auditor Independence. The Registrant's Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to Service Affiliates, which were not pre-approved (not requiring pre-approval), is compatible with maintaining the Auditor's independence.

#### **Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.**

The Registrant has a separately-designated standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a) (58) (A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, consisting of all of the non-interested Board members, who are: Joseph S. DiMartino, Joni Evans, Ehud Houminer, Hans C. Mautner, Robin A. Melvin, and Burton N. Wallack.



**Item 6. Investments.**

(a) Not applicable.

**Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.**

The board has delegated to The Dreyfus Corporation ("Dreyfus") the authority to vote proxies of companies held in the fund's portfolio.

---

Information regarding how the fund's proxies were voted during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30<sup>th</sup> is available on Dreyfus' website, by the following August 31<sup>st</sup>, at <http://www.dreyfus.com> and on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov> on the fund's Form N-PX.

#### Proxy Voting By Dreyfus

Dreyfus, through its participation in The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation's ("BNY Mellon") Proxy Voting and Governance Committee (the "Proxy Voting Committee"), applies detailed, pre-determined, written proxy voting guidelines for specific types of proposals and matters commonly submitted to shareholders (the "BNY Mellon Voting Guidelines"). This includes guidelines for proxy voting with respect to open-end registered investment company shares (other than securities of a registered investment company over which BNY Mellon and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including Dreyfus ("BNYM") has proxy voting authority).

Securities Out on Loan. It is Dreyfus' policy to seek to vote all proxies for securities held in the funds' portfolios for which Dreyfus has voting authority. However, situations may arise in which the Proxy Voting Committee cannot, or has adopted a policy not to, vote certain proxies, such as refraining from securities out on loan in instances in which the costs are believed to outweigh the benefits, such as when the matters presented are not likely to have a material impact on shareholder value or clients' voting will not impact the outcome of the vote.

*Securities Out on Loan.* For securities that the fund has loaned to another party, any voting rights that accompany the loaned securities generally pass to the borrower of the securities, but the fund retains the right to recall a security and may then exercise the security's voting rights. In order to vote the proxies of securities out on loan, the securities must be recalled prior to the established record date. The fund may recall the loan to vote proxies if a material issue affecting the fund's investment is to be voted upon.

Material Conflicts of Interest. Dreyfus seeks to avoid material conflicts of interest between the fund and fund shareholders, on the one hand, and Dreyfus, the Distributor, or any affiliated person of the fund, Dreyfus or the Distributor, on the other, through its participation in the Proxy Voting Committee. The BNY Mellon Proxy Voting Policy states that the Proxy Voting Committee seeks to avoid material conflicts of interest through the establishment of the committee structure, which applies the BNY Mellon Voting Guidelines in an objective and consistent manner across client accounts, based on internal and external research and recommendations provide by third party proxy advisory services (including Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. and Glass Lewis & Co., LLC (the "Proxy Advisers")) and without consideration of any client relationship factors. The Proxy Voting Committee utilizes the research services of the Proxy Advisers most frequently in connection with proposals that may be controversial or require a case-by-case analysis in accordance with the BNY Mellon Proxy Voting Guidelines. In addition, the BNY Mellon Proxy Voting Policy states that the Proxy Voting Committee engages a third party as an independent fiduciary to vote all proxies for securities of BNY Mellon or securities of a registered investment company over which BNYM has proxy voting authority and may engage an independent fiduciary to vote proxies of other issuers at the Proxy Voting Committee's discretion.

---

**Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.**

(a) (1) The following information is as of November 30, 2015;

As of November 30, 2015, Daniel A. Barton and Jeffrey Burger of Standish Mellon Asset Management LLC (“Standish”), an affiliate of The Dreyfus Corporation, are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the registrant’s portfolio.

Mr. Barton, a Senior Analyst for Tax Exempt Bonds at Standish, has serves as a primary portfolio manager of the fund since 2011. He has been employed as an analyst at Standish since 2005.

Mr. Burger, a Senior Portfolio Manager for Tax Sensitive Strategies at Standish, was appointed co-primary portfolio manager of the fund effective July 28, 2014. Prior to joining Standish on 2009, he worked as a portfolio manager and senior research analyst for another investment management firm.

(a)(2) The following information is as of the Registrant’s most recently completed fiscal year:

<u>Portfolio Manager</u>	<u>Registered Investment Company</u>	<b>Other Pooled</b>		<u>Total Assets Managed</u>	<u>Other Accounts</u>	<u>Total Assets Managed</u>
		<u>Total Assets Managed</u>	<u>Investment Vehicles</u>			
Daniel Barton	6	\$2.4 billion	0	\$0	0	\$0
Jeffrey Burger	10	\$4.5 billion	1	\$284 million	340	\$933 million

None of the funds or accounts are subject to a performance-based advisory fee.

Portfolio managers may manage multiple accounts for a diverse client base, including mutual funds, separate accounts (assets managed on behalf of institutions such as pension funds, insurance companies and foundations), bank common trust accounts and wrap fee programs (“Other Accounts”).

Potential conflicts of interest may arise because of Dreyfus’ management of the Fund and Other Accounts. For example, conflicts of interest may arise with both the aggregation and allocation of securities transactions and allocation of limited investment opportunities, as Dreyfus may be perceived as causing accounts it manages to participate in an offering to increase Dreyfus’ overall allocation of securities in that offering, or to increase Dreyfus’ ability to participate in future offerings by the same underwriter or issuer. Allocations of bunched trades, particularly trade orders that were only partially filled due to limited availability and allocation of investment opportunities generally, could raise a potential conflict of interest, as Dreyfus may have an incentive to allocate securities that are expected to increase in value to preferred accounts. Initial public offerings, in particular, are frequently of very limited availability. Additionally, portfolio managers may be perceived to have a conflict of interest if there are a

large number of Other Accounts, in addition to the Fund, that they are managing on behalf of Dreyfus. Dreyfus periodically reviews each portfolio manager's overall responsibilities to ensure that he or she is able to allocate the necessary time and resources to effectively manage the Fund. In addition, Dreyfus could be viewed as having a conflict of interest to the extent that Dreyfus or its affiliates and/or portfolio managers have a materially larger investment in Other Accounts than their investment in the Fund.

Other Accounts may have investment objectives, strategies and risks that differ from those of the Fund. For these or other reasons, the portfolio manager may purchase different securities for the Fund and the Other Accounts, and the performance of securities purchased for the Fund may vary from the performance of securities purchased for Other Accounts. The portfolio manager may place transactions on behalf of Other Accounts that are directly or indirectly contrary to investment decisions made for the Fund, which could have the potential to adversely impact the Fund, depending on market conditions.

---

A potential conflict of interest may be perceived to arise if transactions in one account closely follow related transactions in another account, such as when a purchase increases the value of securities previously purchased by the other account, or when a sale in one account lowers the sale price received in a sale by a second account.

Conflicts of interest similar to those described above arise when portfolio managers are employed by a sub-investment adviser or are dual employees of the Manager and an affiliated entity and such portfolio managers also manage other accounts.

Dreyfus' goal is to provide high quality investment services to all of its clients, while meeting Dreyfus' fiduciary obligation to treat all clients fairly. Dreyfus has adopted and implemented policies and procedures, including brokerage and trade allocation policies and procedures that it believes address the conflicts associated with managing multiple accounts for multiple clients. In addition, Dreyfus monitors a variety of areas, including compliance with Fund guidelines, the allocation of IPOs, and compliance with the firm's Code of Ethics. Furthermore, senior investment and business personnel at Dreyfus periodically review the performance of the portfolio managers for Dreyfus-managed funds.

**(a)(3) Portfolio Manager Compensation.** The portfolio managers' compensation is comprised primarily of a market-based salary and an incentive compensation plan (annual and long-term). Funding for the Standish Incentive Plan is through a pre-determined fixed percentage of overall company profitability. Therefore, all bonus awards are based initially on Standish's overall performance as opposed to the performance of a single product or group. All investment professionals are eligible to receive incentive awards. Cash awards are payable in the February month end pay of the following year. Most of the awards granted have some portion deferred for three years in the form of deferred cash, BNY Mellon equity, interests in investment vehicles (consisting of investments in a range of Standish products), or a combination of the above. Individual awards for portfolio managers are discretionary, based on both individual and multi-sector product risk adjusted performance relative to both benchmarks and peer comparisons over one year, three year and five year periods. Also considered in determining individual awards are team participation and general contributions to Standish. Individual objectives and goals are also established at the beginning of each calendar year and are taken into account. Portfolio managers whose compensation exceeds certain levels may elect to defer portions of their base salaries and/or incentive compensation pursuant to BNY Mellon's Elective Deferred Compensation Plan.

**(a)(4)** The dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by the primary portfolio managers is as follows as of the end of the Fund's fiscal year:

<b><u>Portfolio Manager</u></b>	<b><u>Registrant Name</u></b>	<b><u>Dollar Range of Registrant Shares Beneficially Owned</u></b>
Dan Barton	Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.	None

Jeffrey Burger

Dreyfus Strategic Municipal Bond Fund, Inc.

None

(b) Not applicable

---

**Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Companies and Affiliated Purchasers.**

None

**Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.**

There have been no material changes to the procedures applicable to Item 10.

**Item 11. Controls and Procedures.**

(a) The Registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers have concluded, based on their evaluation of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report, that the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures are reasonably designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant on Form N-CSR is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods and that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant in the reports that it files or submits on Form N-CSR is accumulated and communicated to the Registrant's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There were no changes to the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

**Item 12. Exhibits.**

(a)(1) Code of ethics referred to in Item 2.

(a)(2) Certifications of principal executive and principal financial officers as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

(a)(3) Not applicable.

(b) Certification of principal executive and principal financial officers as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DREYFUS STRATEGIC MUNICIPAL BOND FUND, INC.

By: /s/ Bradley J. Skapyak

Bradley J. Skapyak,

President

Date: January 20, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Bradley J. Skapyak

Bradley J. Skapyak,

President

Date: January 20, 2016

By: /s/ James Windels

James Windels,

Treasurer

Date: January 20, 2016



**EXHIBIT INDEX**

(a)(1) Code of ethics referred to in Item 2.

(a)(2) Certifications of principal executive and principal financial officers as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940. (EX-99.CERT)

(b) Certification of principal executive and principal financial officers as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940. (EX-99.906CERT)