TIME WARNER INC.

Form 425

October 26, 2016

Filed by AT&T Inc.

Pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933

and deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Subject Company: Time Warner Inc.

Commission File No.: 1-15062

The following is a transcript of a live interview with AT&T CEO Randall Stephenson and Time Warner Inc. CEO Jeff Bewkes posted on the website of the Wall Street Journal on October 25, 2016:

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 INTRODUCTORY SPEAKER: All
- 3 right, what would you buy if I
- 4 gave you 85 billion dollars? AT&T
- 5 just bought Bugs Bunny, Batman,
- 6 and the Baratheon family, it's the
- deal of the fall, and I'm sure
- 8 you've got a lot of questions, and
- 9 we've built in some time for that
- 10 today.
- To get things started let's
- bring out the deputy editor in
- chief, Rebecca Blumenstein, also
- the CEO of AT&T, Randall
- 15 Stephenson, and the chairman and
- 16 CEO of Time Warner, Jeff Bewkes.
- 17 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Well,
- thanks so much to you both for

- coming all the way, after
- announcing the biggest media deal
- 21 in years.
- I -- I want to cut to the

#### Page 1

1	chase: Time Warner was bought
2	once before, tell me why it's
3	going to turn out better this
4	time.
5	MR. STEPHENSON: Well, we
6	didn't try before, all right?
7	I'll start there.
8	But this this deal was
9	about one thing, and that was, how
10	could we change the game in this
11	ecosystem, because if there was
12	ever an environment that was
13	begging for innovation it is this
14	environment.
15	And if you think about
16	what's happened in this system
17	literally you have 20 million
18	households now who have left the
19	premium content system. They're
20	not buying a bundle of premium
21	content, they're gone, they're not
Page 2	around, and this is one of the

1	things we're trying to do, how do
2	you begin to do something to
3	access that that segment of the
4	market.
5	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: So they've
6	kind of cut the cord?
7	MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah,
8	they've cut the cord. They're not
9	even engaged in the premium
10	ecosystem anymore.
11	And then so we're going to
12	launch, at the end of next month,
13	November, a product that we think
14	does this, and that's what this
15	deal is about, and I think it's
16	important to understand it, it's
17	Direct TV Now is what we're
18	calling it, but this is, for the
19	first time, a hundred plus premium
20	channels, all right? This isn't
21	the junk nobody wants. This is a
22 Page 3	hundred plus premium channels,

1	purely over the top, a mobile
2	centric platform for \$35 a month,
3	all right? It has all of Jeff's
4	content, it has all the premium
5	content that you know and love,
6	you like to watch, \$35 a month,
7	and that includes your mobile
8	streaming costs, all right?
9	Streaming it over the mobile
10	internet. So 35 bucks pretty much
11	all in, we think this is big. We
12	think it's a game changer.
13	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: So you're
14	making more news now by announcing
15	the price point.
16	MR. STEPHENSON: We haven't
17	announced the price point before
18	but we're announcing it right now.
19	And as you think about people
20	saying this is nothing but a way
21	to increase prices, no, this is a
22 Page 4	way to drive pricing down in the

1	marketplace. We think this is
2	really important.
3	And I think there's
4	something else that's important,
5	and it's instructive to how you
6	consider this deal, and that is:
7	That would not be possible, we
8	started trying to develop this
9	product over a year ago, it would
10	not be possible had we not done
11	the Direct TV deal, it would be
12	impossible, because we had been
13	trying to do this for the last
14	three years.
15	We cannot get the media
16	companies to participate in this
17	until we have scale, in fact,
18	interestingly enough, one of the
19	last companies to finally come in
20	to this hundred channel package
21	was Fox. They were the last ones
22 Page 5	to come in.

1	And I think what's equally
2	instructive is one of the first
3	ones in was NBC Universal, which I
4	think is ironic, when you think
5	that the one company that is
6	vertically integrated, like we're
7	talking about doing, is one of the
8	first ones in doing the innovation
9	in the marketplace.
10	And I would tell you one of
11	the other first ones in was this
12	guy, we got TBS, TNT, all of those
13	channels we got in early in the
14	game. And and I think that's a
15	really important observation, that
16	if you want to innovate you're
17	going to have to have scale,
18	you're going to have to have
19	content that will allow you to
20	innovate.
21	And so to that end, just
22 Page 6	considering that, there was a lot

1	of noise yesterday around what
2	this new company looks like, and
3	and what people should be
4	concerned with.
5	And we have, internally, at
6	AT&T, that Jeff's team will be
7	introduced to, we call it our
8	Magna Carta: What are the guiding
9	principles as you put these two
10	companies together. And it starts
11	with, "Dear AT&T executives, with
12	distribution assets, Direct TV,
13	our mobility company, number one
14	principle of the Magna Carta is:
15	Recognize, Time Warner will
16	continue to distribute their
17	content widely and broadly.
18	You're now going to get exclusive
19	access to Time Warner content.
20	They've built a franchise on wide
21	and broad distribution that's
22 Page 7	going to continue.

1	Time Warner, when you come
2	in, point number two, our
3	distribution businesses are going
4	to continue to distribute a wide
5	variety of content. That's what
6	the customers expect and want, a
7	hundred channels of premium
8	content, that will not change.
9	Time Warner don't expect that to
10	be premium.
11	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: So you're
12	vowing you're not going to take
13	any price advantage?
14	MR. STEPHENSON: We're
15	actually trying to bring prices
16	down, \$35, you know, find that in
17	the marketplace with wireless
18	streaming, right?
19	Principle number three, and
20	this is to the AT&T board, when
21	you own a news company
22 Page 8	independence is sacrosanct. You

1	must protect the independent
2	editorial privileges of that news
3	organization. And to the extent
4	your customers deem otherwise you
5	damage the brand of a CNN,
6	specifically.
7	Fourth is, Time Warner is
8	going to become the launching pad
9	for innovation. Time Warner is
10	what we're going to try to touch
11	these third rails that the
12	industry will not and has not
13	touched. It's where we're going
14	to begin to experiment and test,
15	how can you bring a la carte
16	pricing into the ecosystem? How
17	can you do that?
18	I think this is going to be
19	a really important innovation.
20	It's also the place where we'll
21	begin to develop new ad support
Page 9	models, where you can net \$35

1	price point, these content costs
2	are not going to be flat. So how
3	can we develop new ad models that
4	will allow us to keep the price
5	point in check offsetting the
6	price increases on content?
7	I think that's really,
8	really important. And then last
9	element of our Magna Carta is: We
10	are going to be a head-to-head
11	nationwide competitor with the
12	cable ecosystem.
13	And 5G deployment is a game
14	changer. We will be a new
15	competitor nationwide with 5G.
16	And so the intent is to bring Time
17	Warner and AT&T together and
18	create a very new and a very
19	different kind of competitor,
20	nationwide, in the cable
21	ecosystem.
22 Page 10	So that's how we're framing

1	this, this is what we're trying to
2	become as a company.
3	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: So Jeff,
4	are you trying to right the
5	historical wrong of AOL, in part,
6	here? You have come out
7	previously against the
8	distribution coming together.
9	MR. BEWKES: No, no, no,
10	we're way past that. I've got to
11	add an example, if I could, and I
12	think it will resonate with
13	everybody here: It was about
14	seven years ago that we at Time
15	Warner we saw that the world
16	wanted to go to VOD. We already
17	had it at HBO. Richard's here, he
18	talked this morning, we had done
19	at VOD at HBO 15 or 20 years ago,
20	but we knew people wanted it on
21	every channel.
22 Page 11	So we want and literally

1	unilaterally at Time Warner we put
2	all our channels out on VOD. We
3	gave a contract with no
4	negotiation, no change, any
5	distributor, large or small, could
6	take it, and what did it say? It
7	said: "You can have the right,
8	cable, telco, satellite, anybody,
9	you can have the right to have all
10	our channels on VOD, if you didn't
11	have it before, you don't pay us
12	for this, provided, really, one
13	thing, you don't charge consumers
14	for it, you don't create a package
15	where if you pay extra you get it,
16	another guy doesn't pay he doesn't
17	get it, it's got to be go got
18	to go to everybody."
19	And we also said: "You
20	can't tie your broadband service
21	to your video service, because
22 Page 12	anybody that's got a video

1	service, anybody who's paid for"
2	which we all know 80 percent,
3	90 percent of the people have paid
4	for CNN, Fox News, et cetera, you
5	get it, what happened? Seven
6	years ago.
7	So we waited, year after
8	year we've talked about this, the
9	old media business, the other
10	media companies, the distributors,
11	they didn't offer this to
12	consumers, even though it was
13	sitting there for no charge. Why
14	not? Because the old distribution
15	company they some of them did,
16	we can go through who did it
17	better than others, but basically
18	they didn't want to make the plan
19	investments in what you have to do
20	to provide that, they didn't have
21	either the skill or the scale to
22 Page 13	do the interfaces, which everybody

1	out here knows so well is
2	important when you have more and
3	more volume of programming you
4	need a better interface to find it
5	and recommend it and share it, et
6	cetera.
7	So that was on the
8	distributor side. But then you look
9	at the other network companies, they
10	didn't offer it, either. Why not?
11	They were waiting for years for this
12	renewal negotiation or that renewal
13	negotiation. That's not how you
14	change consumer behavior.
15	What you want, and we wanted
16	this seven years ago, we want you to
17	go to your TV dial or your tablet or
18	your mobile device and you should be
19	able to get any network on that, on
20	demand, because the originators of the
21	program, whether it's NBC or TNT, or
22 Page 14	HBO, we paid for the program. You

1 paid for it, you ought to have it, 2 there's no cost to doing it on DOD. 3 So now we come to this 4 stitch, we've made some progress, 5 there, but you all know what did it force consumers to do in this interim 6 7 period? They all had to go out and 8 pay extra money to get library SVOD 9 services, for the very same 10 programming that should have been 11 available on VOD all along. 12 So we would say, and we've 13 been saying this since 1995, every 14 channel in the country, every network 15 should look like HBO or Netflix. 16 There's no reason it can't. 17 And now we have a 18 distribution platform where we can, 19 together, put out a launching pad of 20 services. And do we want it to be 21 just our channels? No. We want it to 22 be all the most important channels, Page 15

1	just this way I've described just now.
2	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: A question
3	for both of you: How much of this
4	is offense and how much is
5	defense? I mean, Randall, your
6	core business wireless subscribers
7	are down, ATT subscribers are
8	down, I mean, is this, in a sense,
9	almost to vet the company deal?
10	MR. STEPHENSON: I don't
11	know how you characterize it, vet
12	the company deal, when you buy an
13	asset like this that is EPS
14	accretive, cash flow accretive,
15	enhances dividend coverages, keep
16	credit metrics that are credit
17	quality, talk great credit
18	quality.
19	So I don't see how you can
20	characterize that as defensive.
21	It's just something where you have
22 Page 16	customers, you have a known demand

1	that customers have, this isn't
2	one of those that you have to do a
3	lot of guessing and swing for the
4	fences and hope for the best.
5	We know what the customers
6	want. It's really, really
7	obvious. They want premium
8	content in a mobile environment.
9	We have had a really difficult
10	time getting that put together.
11	It's been really hard.
12	This is a way where we can
13	actually begin to move much, much
14	faster at bringing bundled premium
15	content over a mobile environment
16	to our customer. It's no more
17	complicated than that.
18	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Regulators
19	and politicians have come up to me
20	against the deal. Donald Trump
21	has said he would nix it, and
22 Page 17	actually went further and said

2 Comeast NBC Universal. Tim Kaine 3 has said he has concerns that it 4 would raise consumer prices. 5 And there seems to be a 6 growing sense at the Department of 7 Justice and the FCC about this 8 state of mega deals and true 9 antitrust concerns. 10 What is what is your 11 response, are you surprised by 12 this? 13 MR. STEPHENSON: Not 14 surprised. They're uninformed 15 comments. 16(APPLAUSE) 17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody 18 anybody who characterizes this as 19 a means to raise prices is 20 ignoring the basic premise of what 21 we're trying do here. 22 Again, a \$35 product we	1	that he would try to do undo
would raise consumer prices.  And there seems to be a growing sense at the Department of Justice and the FCC about this state of mega deals and true antitrust concerns.  What is what is your response, are you surprised by this?  MR. STEPHENSON: Not surprised. They're uninformed comments.  MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody anybody who characterizes this as a means to raise prices is ignoring the basic premise of what we're trying do here.  Again, a \$35 product we	2	Comcast NBC Universal. Tim Kaine
5 And there seems to be a 6 growing sense at the Department of 7 Justice and the FCC about this 8 state of mega deals and true 9 antitrust concerns. 10 What is what is your 11 response, are you surprised by 12 this? 13 MR. STEPHENSON: Not 14 surprised. They're uninformed 15 comments. 16(APPLAUSE) 17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody 18 anybody who characterizes this as 19 a means to raise prices is 20 ignoring the basic premise of what 21 we're trying do here. 22 Again, a \$35 product we	3	has said he has concerns that it
growing sense at the Department of  Justice and the FCC about this  state of mega deals and true  antitrust concerns.  What is what is your  response, are you surprised by  this?  MR. STEPHENSON: Not  surprised. They're uninformed  comments.  MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  manybody who characterizes this as  a means to raise prices is  ignoring the basic premise of what  we're trying do here.  Again, a \$35 product we	4	would raise consumer prices.
Justice and the FCC about this  state of mega deals and true  antitrust concerns.  What is what is your  response, are you surprised by  this?  MR. STEPHENSON: Not  surprised. They're uninformed  comments.  MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  manybody who characterizes this as  a means to raise prices is  ignoring the basic premise of what  we're trying do here.  Again, a \$35 product we	5	And there seems to be a
8 state of mega deals and true 9 antitrust concerns. 10 What is what is your 11 response, are you surprised by 12 this? 13 MR. STEPHENSON: Not 14 surprised. They're uninformed 15 comments. 16(APPLAUSE) 17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody 18 anybody who characterizes this as 19 a means to raise prices is 20 ignoring the basic premise of what 21 we're trying do here. 22 Again, a \$35 product we	6	growing sense at the Department of
9 antitrust concerns.  10 What is what is your  11 response, are you surprised by  12 this?  13 MR. STEPHENSON: Not  14 surprised. They're uninformed  15 comments.  16(APPLAUSE)  17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  18 anybody who characterizes this as  19 a means to raise prices is  20 ignoring the basic premise of what  21 we're trying do here.  22 Again, a \$35 product we	7	Justice and the FCC about this
10 What is what is your  11 response, are you surprised by  12 this?  13 MR. STEPHENSON: Not  14 surprised. They're uninformed  15 comments.  16(APPLAUSE)  17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  18 anybody who characterizes this as  19 a means to raise prices is  20 ignoring the basic premise of what  21 we're trying do here.  22 Again, a \$35 product we	8	state of mega deals and true
11 response, are you surprised by 12 this? 13 MR. STEPHENSON: Not 14 surprised. They're uninformed 15 comments. 16(APPLAUSE) 17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody 18 anybody who characterizes this as 19 a means to raise prices is 20 ignoring the basic premise of what 21 we're trying do here. 22 Again, a \$35 product we	9	antitrust concerns.
this?  MR. STEPHENSON: Not  surprised. They're uninformed  comments. (APPLAUSE)  MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  anybody who characterizes this as  a means to raise prices is  ignoring the basic premise of what  we're trying do here.  Again, a \$35 product we	10	What is what is your
MR. STEPHENSON: Not  surprised. They're uninformed  comments.  MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  maybody who characterizes this as  maybody who characterizes this as  maybody who characterizes is  maybody who characterizes is  maybody who characterizes this as  maybo	11	response, are you surprised by
surprised. They're uninformed  comments.  MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  anybody who characterizes this as  a means to raise prices is  ginoring the basic premise of what  we're trying do here.  Again, a \$35 product we	12	this?
15 comments.  16(APPLAUSE)  17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  18 anybody who characterizes this as  19 a means to raise prices is  20 ignoring the basic premise of what  21 we're trying do here.  22 Again, a \$35 product we	13	MR. STEPHENSON: Not
16(APPLAUSE)  17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody  18 anybody who characterizes this as  19 a means to raise prices is  20 ignoring the basic premise of what  21 we're trying do here.  22 Again, a \$35 product we	14	surprised. They're uninformed
17 MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody 18 anybody who characterizes this as 19 a means to raise prices is 20 ignoring the basic premise of what 21 we're trying do here. 22 Again, a \$35 product we	15	comments.
anybody who characterizes this as  a means to raise prices is  ignoring the basic premise of what  we're trying do here.  Again, a \$35 product we	16	(APPLAUSE)
19 a means to raise prices is 20 ignoring the basic premise of what 21 we're trying do here. 22 Again, a \$35 product we	17	MR. STEPHENSON: Anybody
<ul> <li>ignoring the basic premise of what</li> <li>we're trying do here.</li> <li>Again, a \$35 product we</li> </ul>	18	anybody who characterizes this as
<ul> <li>21 we're trying do here.</li> <li>22 Again, a \$35 product we</li> </ul>	19	a means to raise prices is
Again, a \$35 product we	20	ignoring the basic premise of what
	21	we're trying do here.
		Again, a \$35 product we

1	bring into the market to innovate
2	on and find new ways of bringing
3	content to customers, that's not a
4	medium for raising prices.
5	Also, vertical integrations
6	are rarely a means for raising
7	prices. You're not changing the
8	market structure in any way,
9	shape, or form. You're not
10	changing the broadband market,
11	you're not changing the wireless
12	market.
13	When we wake up, after this
14	deal is approved, the wireless
15	market will look exactly the same
16	as it does today and the media
17	market will look the same as it
18	does today.
19	So this is not this is
20	not a combination that typically,
21	you know, gains that kind of
22 Page 19	horizontal type merger scrutiny,

1

in fact, it's really important to

2	know it is a, by every technical
3	definition, a vertical merger
4	integration. And vertical merger
5	integrations are historically
6	approved.
7	Now, it doesn't mean they're
8	approved carte blanche. Regulators
9	will have some concerns with this, I'm
10	quite confident they will. Those
11	concerns are invariably remedied with
12	conditions.
13	So we anticipate there will
14	be a good, fulsome review and
15	discussion about this.
16	MR. BEWKES: You know, we
17	ought to talk about advertising,
18	because if you're looking into
19	competition this is going to be
20	extremely helpful to increase
21	competition in advertising. And I
22 Page 20	think, since we are west of the

1	mountains, at least where I live,
2	we all need more competition in
3	advertising because what we've
4	been seeing is growing
5	concentration to a duopoly and
6	digital enabled advertising.
7	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: You're
8	talking Facebook and Google?
9	MR. BEWKES: Yes, I am. And
10	I hope some of you I know that
11	the Google and Facebook people,
12	because we work with them and know
13	them well, there's one thing they
14	love and that's innovation and
15	competition. And we are here to
16	help. We are. We're going to
17	bring more of that, and that's
18	good not only for that's
19	basically a very good development
20	for all media companies, because
21	when you create the ability to
22 Page 21	have the same kind of digitally

1	powered advertising you get so
2	many benefits, you know,
3	competition always helps
4	consumers, and it gives
5	advertisers better choices.
6	But it, most important,
7	allows the consumer experience
8	watching video to have more
9	relevant ads, less intrusive and
10	interruptive ads. Therefore,
11	they're more valuable. Therefore,
12	more of the burden of cost of
13	content goes to advertising rather
14	than to people.
15	Again, more competition,
16	lower prices, better for consumers.
17	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Randall,
18	explain how these less intrusive
19	ads are going to work, because
20	they seem a bit intrusive, I have
21	to say. You're going to be able
22 Page 22	to target homes? You're going to

1	be able to pretty much know what
2	people are watching and then use
3	that data to
4	MR. BEWKES: I think we
5	should get the Google and Facebook
6	people come up.
7	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: The last
8	question is in a bit.
9	MR. STEPHENSON: How that
10	works? So we have in the market
11	today an addressable advertising
12	platform. And we do have some
13	unique viewership data on our
14	platform. You know, we have the
15	largest video distribution
16	platform in US right now. So we
17	have some unique viewership data.
18	We anonymized that data. We
19	would never say, Rebecca, send
20	Rebecca an ad. But there are, you
21	know, 25, 50 Rebeccas out there
22 Page 23	who have a certain viewership

1	pattern. And that viewership
2	pattern informs what type of
3	advertising that individual would
4	find interesting and relevant to
5	them, and so literally begin to
6	direct and address advertising to
7	a segment of the market that has a
8	known viewing pattern or
9	discernible viewing pattern.
10	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: And you
11	think this will allow you to
12	compete better with Facebook and
13	Google, specifically.
14	MR. STEPHENSON: I think it
15	will allow us to do a lot of
16	things, specifically provide
17	advertising that's more relevant
18	to the user. I think that's
19	really, really important, and I
20	think also it will allow us to
21	defray the content cost ensuing,
22 Page 24	because content costs, in spite of

1	what people write about this
2	industry, they're not going down.
3	The content costs continue to
4	escalate.
5	And if we really want to
6	keep that retail price point in
7	check and keep 20 million homes
8	that are not on the system from
9	growing dramatically over time we
10	have to find ways to keep those
11	prices down.
12	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Jeff, I
13	can't let you off the hook too
14	quickly about the regulators.
15	There seems to be a sense that
16	maybe they erred in not attaching
17	enough conditions to the Comcast
18	merger. And that's something that
19	there's a sense out there that
20	that's something that's going to
21	hurt this merger.
22 Page 25	What's your view of this?

1	MR. BEWKES: I'm not an
2	expert in that merger. I think,
3	if I understand this, and I may be
4	not exactly right, the reporting,
5	at that time, much of the
6	condition on what they thought
7	what NBC or the content-side
8	should should do in terms of
9	making content available, that may
10	be as much on what the distributor
11	should do, I'm not sure about
12	that.
13	Do you know?
14	MR. STEPHENSON: Yeah, they
15	actually well, the
16	distributors, they were going
17	after two things. They were
18	trying to remedy the vertical
19	integration. There were two
20	concerns they had, that neutrality
21	was a big one, there is.
22 Page 26	MR. BEWKES: Yeah.

1	MR. STEPHENSON: And so they
2	put conditions all over that thing
3	to ensure that they preserved the
4	principals of that neutrality.
5	And the second was they wanted to
6	protect the introduction of
7	over-the-top content players.
8	And so net neutrality, you
9	guys from Google, you won, right?
10	It's done. We don't have to worry
11	about that one, anymore. The
12	boogieman's gone, he's in a box,
13	you won't have to worry about that
14	neutrality anymore.
15	As it relates to OTT, Jeff
16	and I have talked and we've
17	concluded that Netflix is probably
18	going to be okay now. They might
19	make it. We don't think it's
20	necessary to protect the OTT guys
21	that much anymore.
22 Page 27	So the two issues that were

1	really relevant and critical six
2	years ago are largely they're kind
3	of matured and they've aged and
4	they're kind of not as relevant
5	now.
6	MR. BEWKES: If you don't
7	take it from us, Reed was here
8	last month and he said he was
9	fine.
10	MR. STEPHENSON: He said he
11	was fine as long as the broadband
12	connection was the same for him as
13	for everybody else, and that's net
14	neutrality, that's what net
15	neutrality is.
16	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: In the
17	options market this morning placed
18	a 29 percent chance of this deal
19	going through. Are the markets
20	just pessimistic?
21	MR. BEWKES: Obviously we
22 Page 28	think so or else would we still be

1	sitting here?
2	MR. STEPHENSON: I think the
3	markets are too pessimistic. I
4	wish I could buy Time Warner stock
5	in advance, I would probably buy
6	some. I feel pretty good about
7	about this deal. Once it gets
8	into the hands of the regulators
9	the filings are done, the
10	professionals, who actually do
11	these things for a living, get
12	into it, I think the data and the
13	law will dictate how this deal was
14	handled.
15	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: You made a
16	call that didn't go so well with
17	T-Mobile. Does this I mean,
18	would you say that
19	MR. STEPHENSON: You're just
20	bringing all kinds of pleasant
21	issues up, aren't you?
22 Page 29	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: It's my

1	job.
2	MR. STEPHENSON: Let's go
3	back to AOL. Let's go back to
4	AOL, okay?
5	(Laughter)
6	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Would you
7	say the regulatory risk of this
8	deal, you talked about it, I guess
9	it came together quickly, but for
10	a couple of months was that the
11	biggest call you had to make, is
12	your lawyer the person who this
13	came down to, is this something
14	that you would say is a close
15	call?
16	MR. STEPHENSON: Look, this
17	is not T-Mobile close. T-Mobile
18	was a classic horizontal merger.
19	We actually thought, based on the
20	way the DOJ had defined the market
21	in multiple transaction, before,
22 Page 30	that even that horizontal merger

2 knew, going in, that one was a 3 high-risk deal, that's why they 4 had such a breakup deal because 5 the company was requiring high 6 risk. 7 So we knew going in that 8 that one had a lot of risk around 9 it, because it was a classic 10 horizontal merger. You were 11 taking a competitor, a nationwide 12 competitor, out of the 13 marketplace. 14 Recognize, this one, you 15 can't even compare the two. This 16 is, once again, a vertical merger. 17 No no competitive environment 18 is changing, in the least, here. 19 The media competitive environment 20 is not changing, the Telecom 21 market is not changing. 22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	1	would be good, but that was we
had such a breakup deal because the company was requiring high risk. So we knew going in that that one had a lot of risk around it, because it was a classic horizontal merger. You were taking a competitor, a nationwide competitor, out of the marketplace. Recognize, this one, you can't even compare the two. This is, once again, a vertical merger. No no competitive environment is changing, in the least, here. The media competitive environment is not changing, the Telecom market is not changing. MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	2	knew, going in, that one was a
the company was requiring high risk.  So we knew going in that that one had a lot of risk around it, because it was a classic horizontal merger. You were taking a competitor, a nationwide competitor, out of the marketplace.  Recognize, this one, you can't even compare the two. This is, once again, a vertical merger. No no competitive environment is changing, in the least, here. The media competitive environment is not changing, the Telecom market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	3	high-risk deal, that's why they
6 risk. 7 So we knew going in that 8 that one had a lot of risk around 9 it, because it was a classic 10 horizontal merger. You were 11 taking a competitor, a nationwide 12 competitor, out of the 13 marketplace. 14 Recognize, this one, you 15 can't even compare the two. This 16 is, once again, a vertical merger. 17 No no competitive environment 18 is changing, in the least, here. 19 The media competitive environment 20 is not changing, the Telecom 21 market is not changing. 22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	4	had such a breakup deal because
that one had a lot of risk around  it, because it was a classic  horizontal merger. You were  taking a competitor, a nationwide  competitor, out of the  marketplace.  Recognize, this one, you  can't even compare the two. This  is, once again, a vertical merger.  No no competitive environment  is changing, in the least, here.  The media competitive environment  is not changing, the Telecom  market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	5	the company was requiring high
that one had a lot of risk around  it, because it was a classic  horizontal merger. You were  taking a competitor, a nationwide  competitor, out of the  marketplace.  Recognize, this one, you  can't even compare the two. This  is, once again, a vertical merger.  No no competitive environment  is changing, in the least, here.  The media competitive environment  is not changing, the Telecom  market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	6	risk.
9 it, because it was a classic 10 horizontal merger. You were 11 taking a competitor, a nationwide 12 competitor, out of the 13 marketplace. 14 Recognize, this one, you 15 can't even compare the two. This 16 is, once again, a vertical merger. 17 No no competitive environment 18 is changing, in the least, here. 19 The media competitive environment 20 is not changing, the Telecom 21 market is not changing. 22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	7	So we knew going in that
10 horizontal merger. You were  11 taking a competitor, a nationwide  12 competitor, out of the  13 marketplace.  14 Recognize, this one, you  15 can't even compare the two. This  16 is, once again, a vertical merger.  17 No no competitive environment  18 is changing, in the least, here.  19 The media competitive environment  20 is not changing, the Telecom  21 market is not changing.  22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	8	that one had a lot of risk around
taking a competitor, a nationwide  competitor, out of the  marketplace.  Recognize, this one, you  can't even compare the two. This  is, once again, a vertical merger.  No no competitive environment  is changing, in the least, here.  The media competitive environment  is not changing, the Telecom  market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	9	it, because it was a classic
12 competitor, out of the 13 marketplace. 14 Recognize, this one, you 15 can't even compare the two. This 16 is, once again, a vertical merger. 17 No no competitive environment 18 is changing, in the least, here. 19 The media competitive environment 20 is not changing, the Telecom 21 market is not changing. 22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	10	horizontal merger. You were
marketplace.  Recognize, this one, you  can't even compare the two. This  is, once again, a vertical merger.  No no competitive environment  is changing, in the least, here.  The media competitive environment  is not changing, the Telecom  market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	11	taking a competitor, a nationwide
Recognize, this one, you  15 can't even compare the two. This  16 is, once again, a vertical merger.  17 No no competitive environment  18 is changing, in the least, here.  19 The media competitive environment  20 is not changing, the Telecom  21 market is not changing.  22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	12	competitor, out of the
15 can't even compare the two. This 16 is, once again, a vertical merger. 17 No no competitive environment 18 is changing, in the least, here. 19 The media competitive environment 20 is not changing, the Telecom 21 market is not changing. 22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	13	marketplace.
<ul> <li>is, once again, a vertical merger.</li> <li>No no competitive environment</li> <li>is changing, in the least, here.</li> <li>The media competitive environment</li> <li>is not changing, the Telecom</li> <li>market is not changing.</li> <li>MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to</li> </ul>	14	Recognize, this one, you
No no competitive environment is changing, in the least, here.  The media competitive environment is not changing, the Telecom market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	15	can't even compare the two. This
18 is changing, in the least, here.  19 The media competitive environment  20 is not changing, the Telecom  21 market is not changing.  22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	16	is, once again, a vertical merger.
The media competitive environment  is not changing, the Telecom  market is not changing.  MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	17	No no competitive environment
20 is not changing, the Telecom 21 market is not changing. 22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	18	is changing, in the least, here.
<ul> <li>21 market is not changing.</li> <li>22 MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to</li> </ul>	19	The media competitive environment
MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to	20	is not changing, the Telecom
	21	market is not changing.
	22 Page 31	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I want to

Page 32	
22	of resource and capabilities
21	of that, doesn't provide the kind
20	involved in it, it also, because
19	issues, including regulatory ones
18	merger, it has not only different
17	horizontal, that's a horizontal
16	Time Warner or any kind of media
15	first of all. Secondly, the Fox,
14	were you know, time got us on,
13	MR. BEWKES: Well, what we
12	when Randall asked?
11	What what was different
10	couple years ago and you said no.
9	tried to tried to buy you a
8	mean, obviously 20th Century Fox
7	I guess the question is to you, I
6	cultures and companies and, Jeff,
5	You both come from very different
4	Jeff. You were a bit surprised.
3	had lunch and you brought it up to
2	together. Apparently, you both
1	talk a bit about how the deal came

1	changes either to rox or to us
2	that something like this would.
3	So I think the difference,
4	now, is and you could do this
5	in light of our Time Warner Cable
6	spin, as well, seven/eight years
7	ago, what you have now, we all
8	know this, and it's, you know, I'm
9	for me, saying it to all of
10	you, you're out here living in the
11	digital world, very cleanly, you
12	got the distribution platforms or
13	the distribution pipes becoming
14	not dumb, smarter all the time.
15	And so everything needs to
16	be seamless across the in-home
17	television, the mobile device
18	going out the house, you got to
19	have that, we all know you have to
20	have much better curation,
21	navigation, recommendation, easy
22 Page 33	ability to find things, because

1	with that you need full view ID of
2	broadband enables you to have, and
3	all of that in the evolution of
4	the distribution platform means
5	that there's much more ability to
6	customize off for us, either what
7	you offer to subscribe to, what
8	product, individually, you might
9	want to look at, because there's
10	more and more of it all the time,
11	how the advertising works to
12	support it, which is no longer
13	point to mass, you know, you buy a
14	30 and a you know, for five
15	million people watching something
16	when some advertisers can do it
17	for the advertiser for particular
18	people that are interested in that
19	product.
20	So with all of those changes
21	what we all needed in the media
22 Page 34	business, and I think it's true of

1	all media network companies, is we
2	need the distribution industry to
3	be more capable in bringing those
4	benefits to network TV and even
5	movie releases and all of that,
6	and that's what AT&T offers, that
7	huge scale of direct selling
8	platform, direct customer
9	relationships, consumer data about
10	what people want, for both content
11	origination and delivery, and also
12	for advertising support, all
13	better for consumers, you all know
14	that, that's what occurs at
15	Google, Facebook, YouTube,
16	Netflix, et cetera.
17	So that's what the advantage
18	of this is, that time has come.
19	Now, that doesn't mean that every
20	media network company needs to be
21	co-owned and operated with
22 Page 35	distribution plants, because if

1	you have this kind of change in
2	the distribution ecosystem what we
3	think is going to happen, and
4	Randall said at the beginning, we
5	don't want network packages that
6	are reduced to our networks and
7	not there's people that are
8	interested in T&T are interested
9	in FX. They tend to like those
10	shows. If they like HBO they like
11	Netflix and Showtime.
12	So we want the right
13	packages for consumers. And we
14	think what this will do is cause
15	adoption of other network
16	companies to do what we've tried
17	to do, we didn't get followed in
18	terms of consumer benefits as much
19	as we'd hoped, and it will have
20	the other distribution platforms
21	make the same innovations because
22 Page 36	if they don't the consumers are

1	the ones who are in charge of all
2	this, and they're going to get
3	what they want.
4	And if the media business,
5	with its distribution, doesn't
6	give it then they are going to get
7	it through the next industry.
8	And, you know, that's the, quote,
9	tech industry also very helpful in
10	terms of the innovations that
11	they've brought to this, but we
12	all know they're all coming
13	together. I mean, that's why
14	we're doing it.
15	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Randall,
16	before we go to questions I just
17	have to ask you about culture and
18	some execution. You have come up
19	from your very working days to a
20	phone company. You have
21	installers, and you have calling
22 Page 37	centers, and Time Warner is a very

1	different culture.
2	MR. BEWKES: We have a call
3	center. We have a call center.
4	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: How are
5	you how are you I mean, Time
6	Warner's creative, are you going
7	to be committed to keeping it a
8	separate unit? You're you're
9	not going to interfere with calls
10	and Game of Thrones and CNN?
11	You're going to
12	MR. STEPHENSON: He does
13	want to do the casting on Game of
14	Thrones. He told me that.
15	JEFF; I know Richard's
16	here, this is going to be a little
17	awkward. We have a guy that runs
18	the network that I think would be
19	great at running HBO. And so I
20	think
21	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: You mean
22 Page 38	the phone network, then?

1	MR. STEPHENSON: No, look, I
2	understand, I'll be the first to
3	tell you, I've never run a movie
4	studio. I don't know the first
5	thing about it, and I've never run
6	a premium content delivery
7	company, like HBO. I don't know
8	the first thing about it.
9	And so we will be conscious
10	and thoughtful about how we
11	organize this, and the way it will
12	be organized is Time Warner will
13	be a wholly-owned, separate
14	subsidiary of AT&T, that's just
15	that's how we'll structure it, and
16	we'll have the experts that know
17	how to run these businesses
18	running these businesses.
19	We'll have to figure out the
20	management art and even the
21	management science on how to
22 Page 39	affect these issues that we're

1	taiking about, now do we anow our
2	viewership data to begin to
3	influence content creation,
4	Richard? And how do we begin to
5	use that data on content that has
6	been created? How do we direct it
7	and promote it to the right
8	audiences and so forth? How do we
9	use that data to begin to inform
10	and actually affect the
11	advertising avails that are within
12	the Turner Network?
13	I mean, there's so many
14	advertising avails in there that
15	as we get really good and targeted
16	at addressable advertising we
17	think we can change the yield on
18	advertising within Turner
19	Networks.
20	And so there's going to be a
21	management challenge on how do you
22 Page 40	affect that, right? And how do

1	you put mechanisms in place to
2	cause that to happen.
3	I feel really confident
4	that's a logistical we've done
5	harder logistical issues than
6	that, before, with difficult
7	organizational challenges.
8	So I look, I know there
9	are different cultures and we'll
10	be protective of the cultures to
11	ensure we don't destroy the
12	business. But I am not that
13	concerned that we can't manage
14	through it.
15	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: And I
16	think you're heading over to the
17	movie studio, after this, I
18	understand?
19	MR. STEPHENSON: That's
20	right. I'm going to unbutton my
21	shirt, like Richards, over there.
22 Page 41	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: I would

Page 42	•
22	we are in an all-out push of
21	three, five years downstream, but
20	enthusiastic about it, this is
19	deal, the reasons I can get so
18	fundamental underpinnings of this
17	But look, one of the
16	take that, Jeff. You can add on.
15	MR. STEPHENSON: So I'll
14	homes more places?
13	infrastructure or to get to more
12	going to do to amplify their
11	What is Time Warner and AT&T
10	mobile, excellent.
9	very smart, premium content for
8	strategy is sound, very simple,
7	of Sky Catch. I think the
6	Christian Sands, I'm the strategy
5	MR. SANDS: Hi, my name is
4	Please identify yourself.
3	here in the front. There's a mic.
2	if there are any. I see one right
1	like to open it up to questions,

1	getting the standard set around
2	5G, around getting the vendor
3	community going on 5G.
4	Where our software defined
5	networking technology is ramping
6	and is scaling, which is critical
7	for scaling content delivery at
8	the level we're talking about
9	doing here, when 5G is up and
10	deployed it becomes a nationwide
11	platform of video delivery,
12	period. Hear me on this. This is
13	a one gig network capability
14	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: When is
15	that?
16	MR. STEPHENSON: that is
17	wireless and mobile.
18	What is that?
19	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: When is 5G
20	up?
21	MR. STEPHENSON: We'll be
22 Page 43	deploying in 2018. And they'll

1	scale, probably, in the 2019, 2020
2	time horizon. But this is
3	exciting, all right? And it's
4	exciting for content, it's
5	exciting for video delivery, but
6	it's exciting for autonomous
7	cards.
8	The guys at Zooks need 5G to
9	get autonomous cars on the roads
10	in San Francisco by 2020. It's
11	really important. Virtual
12	reality, you guys working on
13	virtual reality and augmented
14	reality, you need this for that,
15	you guys working on health care
16	applications, and so forth, you
17	need 5G, low latency, really fast
18	networks with high capacity,
19	that's I got to tell you,
20	that's one of the reasons I get
21	most enthusiastic about what we're
22 Page 44	trying to put together here.

1	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Any other
2	questions? Right in the back,
3	there.
4	>> (Inaudible) Now, would
5	there be an alternative to see how
6	direct TV, you wouldn't
7	necessarily need a satellite dish,
8	you would actually get the
9	content. And now you, Sterling,
10	spoke about offering \$35 over 100
11	channels, it's really exciting to
12	see the portfolio of apps that you
13	have, now, bringing in HBO Go, HBO
14	Now, how do you actually look at
15	the portfolio of apps, because you
16	have so many ways to distribute
17	the content, and how will that
18	affect, certainly, pricing
19	packages as well as the
20	distribution across cities and the
21	way consumers connect as to
22 Page 45	content?

1	MR. STEPHENSON: Okay, it's
2	a good question, because look,
3	I for a long time there are
4	going to be households, like many
5	of you in this room, where you
6	need three 80-inch screens in your
7	house, streaming 4K, you know,
8	into your home. You're a heavy
9	video centric, sport centric
10	content consumer, and multiple
11	streams, satellite delivery is
12	going to be the vehicle for that,
13	for a long, long time, all right?
14	I just for that kind of
15	distribution it's going to be hard
16	the amounts of bandwidth, okay?
17	You start working down,
18	there's going to be different
19	segments of the market you deliver
20	that content differently, okay? I
21	do believe, you know, in the
22 Page 46	future, that one of the

Page 47	
22	That's a radical concept,
21	provide.
20	all of the content that these guys
19	you're streaming Direct TV Now and
18	download an app, you subscribe and
17	You go to the web, you
16	6, 7 hundred dollar set top box.
15	to install it, you don't have a 5,
14	going out and spending four hours
13	roof, you don't have a technician
12	have a satellite dish on a hooked
11	The way you get there is you don't
10	guys charge for content, right?
9	price point with the prices these
8	How do you get to a \$35
7	extreme, Direct TV Now, \$35.
6	exciting, because take it to its
5	go down, that starts to get really
4	web continues to get integrations
3	boxes, be web, all right? As the
2	content will be with out set top
1	pre-premium means for derivering

1	right? That's how costs come down
2	dramatically and that's how you
3	hit a \$35 price point in the
4	marketplace.
5	So it's going to be a wide
6	range of offerings, some wireless
7	only, some satellite, some in
8	between.
9	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Jeff, how
10	do you feel about getting back
11	into the cable business?
12	MR. BEWKES: It's great.
13	We've been working with and trying
14	to evolve the cable and all the
15	other distribution businesses for
16	30 years. So we are always on
17	that game. We're always trying to
18	do that.
19	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: So you're
20	serious about forming a competitor
21	to cable, a nationwide competitor
22 Page 48	to cable?

1	MR. STEPHENSON: I can't
2	tell you I border on
3	evangelical about it, all right?
4	MR. BEWKES: You can hear
5	that.
6	MR. STEPHENSON: This is the
7	most exciting thing I've been a
8	part of in a long time and I can't
9	wait.
10	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: More
11	questions? This is my friend,
12	Oren. Here comes the mic.
13	OREN: Thank you, Oren
14	Michaels, from Cloudery.
15	Twenty years ago next year
16	David Eisenberg wrote The Rise of
17	the Stupid Network. He was an
18	employee of AT&T and was fired for
19	writing it, very impressions, and
20	Warren begins content company,
21	essentially, at the time, twisting
22 Page 49	themselves into pretzels to

1	optimize for the parent company's
2	network quality independence, were
3	more nimble and able to take
4	whatever network they wanted.
5	Most of the people in this
6	room are now moving to the cloud,
7	where we're getting out of the
8	business of owning our own atoms
9	and dealing with our bits.
10	Why are you guys, through
11	going in the exact opposite
12	direction when everybody seems to
13	want to not own the hardware if
14	they're if they're creating the
15	the value on top?
16	MR. STEPHENSON: You go
17	ahead.
18	MR. BEWKES: So your premise
19	or his seems to be that at that
20	time the content companies he was
21	looking at were changing either
22 Page 50	what they did or where they put it

1	in order to serve whoever their
2	vertical, that was it.
3	OREN: The network area has
4	limitations federally in
5	distribution.
6	MR. BEWKES: Yeah, well, I
7	think the difference with that,
8	because that would be bad for the
9	content, whether it was the Fox
10	content or ours, what what
11	you know, one owned or one not.
12	The problem now, and if you
13	go and look at and I'm sure
14	many of you in internet-based
15	companies tried to do this, when
16	you innovate with media rights you
17	encounter the fact that media
18	rights are pretty complicated in
19	video because there are Windows
20	and there's all these things that
21	you've all seen, that's why it's
22 Page 51	been hard to innovate in media

1	because it's kind of difficult to
2	get an innovation in and then have
3	it get applied essentially
4	universally, across an entire
5	suite of networks, or to break up
6	network groupings and so forth.
7	I don't think that is what
8	we're looking at, here. We're
9	certainly not, in our case, and
10	you've said it and I've said it,
11	we both know this, we would never,
12	in our media business at Time
13	Warner, and we have never did,
14	including in the AOL days,
15	restrict or change what we're
16	doing in either the innovation of
17	our distribution for our content,
18	how our content works, the form of
19	the content, we always wanted to
20	be maximally delivered by every
21	kind of distribution.
22 Page 52	What this is about is

1	catalyzing that, not restricting
2	it, it would be idiotic to
3	restrict it.
4	MR. STEPHENSON: And to
5	perhaps challenge the fundamental
6	premise of your statement, that as
7	you begin to push more and more
8	content to the cloud that it
9	requires less and less in terms of
10	smartness, if you will, out of the
11	network, it's the opposite, in
12	fact, we're experiencing just the
13	opposite.
14	The more you guys push more
15	and more into the cloud the more
16	it's requiring greater and greater
17	intelligence out of the network.
18	And one could argue, wow,
19	shouldn't you be commoditizing the
20	network the more you get into the
21	cloud? No. The more companies
22 Page 53	that are putting mission critical

1	capabilities into the cloud the
2	more it scares the hell out of
3	them on security, the more it
4	worries them about quality of
5	service, all right?
6	You just run some of these
7	mission critical applications over
8	the open internet you're not going
9	to like it.
10	We have a product, and
11	you'll see it as it relates to
12	what we're going to be doing here
13	together, called Net Bond. It was
14	created and invented and patented
15	just for this purpose, because
16	people want to put stuff in the
17	Amazon cloud, mission critical
18	information, applications, compute
19	and so forth, they say, but I'm
20	scared to death of it, I need
21	security.
22 Page 54	And so we have created Net

1	Bond and we have bonded every
2	major cloud provider, Amazon,
3	Microsoft, Azure, salesforce.com,
4	I can go on and on and on, to
5	ensure that our customers, when
6	they take a smart phone out of
7	their pocket and go to access an
8	application or data in the cloud
9	it never touches the public
10	internet, that is their number one
11	requirement.
12	That's what this product is,
13	it is exploding. Connections of
14	Net Bond are up 4X this year. The
15	traffic over Net Bond, connections
16	are up 4X, the traffic is up 8X,
17	that tells you how much people are
18	concentrating mission critical
19	applications.
20	We go to 5G and we that
21	our Direct TV Now is a
22 Page 55	cloud-based platform for

1	delivering content, all right?
2	You can't just do that over the
3	open internet. There have to be
4	elements of it that you control
5	end-to-end, the CEN has to be on
6	your control.
7	There's a sophistication in
8	delivering this, and a true what I
9	would call cable-like experience.
10	And so I would suggest to you the
11	more you push elements into the
12	cloud the more sophisticated and
13	smart the networking elements have
14	to become.
15	MS. BLUMENSTEIN: Well,
16	unfortunately we're out of time.
17	Thank you both so much for coming,
18	it's a fascinating topic.
19	MR. STEPHENSON: Thank you
20	very much.
21	MR. BEWKES: Thank you.
22 Page 56	Appreciate it.

#### 1 (Concluded at 2:27 p.m.)

#### Cautionary Language Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

Information set forth in this communication, including financial estimates and statements as to the expected timing, completion and effects of the proposed merger between AT&T and Time Warner, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and the rules, regulations and releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, and actual results might differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about the benefits of the merger, including future financial and operating results, the combined company's plans, objectives, expectations and intentions, and other statements that are not historical facts. Such statements are based upon the current beliefs and expectations of the management of AT&T and Time Warner and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties outside of our control.

Among the risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from those described in the forward-looking statements are the following: (1) the occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the merger agreement, (2) the risk that Time Warner stockholders may not adopt the merger agreement, (3) the risk that the necessary regulatory approvals may not be obtained or may be obtained subject to conditions that are not anticipated, (4) risks that any of the closing conditions to the proposed merger may not be satisfied in a timely manner, (5) risks related to disruption of management time from ongoing business operations due to the proposed merger, (6) failure to realize the benefits expected from the proposed merger and (7) the effect of the announcement of the proposed merger on the ability of Time Warner and AT&T to retain customers and retain and hire key personnel and maintain relationships with their suppliers, and on their operating results and businesses generally. Discussions of additional risks and uncertainties are and will be contained in AT&T's and Time Warner's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Neither AT&T nor Time Warner is under any obligation, and each expressly disclaim any obligation, to update, alter, or otherwise revise any forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. Persons reading this communication are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements which speak only as of the date hereof.

#### No Offer or Solicitation

This communication does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities or a solicitation of any vote or approval, nor shall there be any sale of securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction. No offer of securities shall be made except by means of a prospectus meeting the requirements of Section 10 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

#### Additional Information and Where to Find It

In connection with the proposed merger, AT&T intends to file a registration statement on Form S-4, containing a proxy statement/prospectus with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). AT&T and Time Warner will make the proxy statement/prospectus available to their respective stockholders and AT&T and Time Warner will file other documents regarding the proposed merger with the SEC. This communication is not intended to be, and is not, a substitute for such filings or for any other document that AT&T or Time Warner may file with the SEC in connection with the proposed merger. STOCKHOLDERS OF TIME WARNER ARE URGED TO READ ALL RELEVANT DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC, INCLUDING THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT AND THE PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS CAREFULLY WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE, BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT AT&T, TIME WARNER AND THE PROPOSED MERGER. Investors and security holders will be able to obtain copies of the proxy statement/prospectus as well as other filings containing information about AT&T and Time Warner once they become available, without charge, at the SEC's website, http://www.sec.gov. Copies of documents filed with the SEC by AT&T will be made available free of charge on AT&T's investor relations website at http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=113088&p=irol-sec. Copies of documents filed with the SEC by Time Warner will be made available free of charge on Time Warner's

investor relations website at http://ir.timewarner.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=70972&p=irol-sec.

#### Participants in Solicitation

AT&T, Time Warner and certain of their respective directors and executive officers and other members of management and employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from the holders of Time Warner common stock in respect to the proposed merger. Information about the directors and executive officers of AT&T is set forth in the proxy statement for AT&T's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which was filed with the SEC on March 11, 2016. Information about the directors and executive officers of Time Warner is set forth in the proxy statement for Time Warner's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which was filed with the SEC on May 19, 2016. Investors may obtain additional information regarding the interest of such participants by reading the proxy statement/prospectus regarding the proposed merger when it becomes available and other relevant materials filed with the SEC. These documents will be available free of charge from the sources indicated above. Page 57