LISTON THOMAS J

Form 4 July 01, 2010

# FORM 4

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB APPROVAL

Number: 3235-0287

Expires: January 31, 2005

0.5

Estimated average burden hours per response...

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or

SECURITIES

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF

Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

1(b).

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person * LISTON THOMAS J			2. Issuer Name <b>and</b> Ticker or Trading Symbol HUMANA INC [HUM]	5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer  (Check all applicable)		
(Last) (First) (Middle)			3. Date of Earliest Transaction	(eneck an applicatio)		
			(Month/Day/Year)	Director 10% Owner		
HUMANA INC., 500 WEST MAIN STREET			06/29/2010	_X_ Officer (give title Other (specify below)  Sr VP-Senior Products		
(Street)			4. If Amendment, Date Original	6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check		
LOUISVILLE	E, KY 40202	2	Filed(Month/Day/Year)	Applicable Line) _X_ Form filed by One Reporting Person Form filed by More than One Reporting Person		

(City)	(State)	(Zip) Tab	le I - Non-	Derivative	Secur	ities Acqui	red, Disposed of	or Beneficial	ly Owned
1.Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	Code (Instr. 8)	omr Dispos (Instr. 3, 4	ed of (4 and :	5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Beneficial Ownership (Instr. 4)
Humana Common	06/29/2010		Code V M	Amount 25,350	(D)	Price \$ 7.0938	120,918	D	
Humana Common	06/29/2010		S	25,350	D	\$ 46.445	95,568	D	
Humana Common							9,963	I	See Footnote (1)

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form SEC 1474 (9-02)

# displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transacti Code (Instr. 8)	orDeri Secu Acq or D (D)	urities uired (A) risposed of er. 3, 4,	ative Expiration Date ities (Month/Day/Year) ired (A) sposed of  . 3, 4,		7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	
				Code V	(A)	(D)	Date Exercisable	Expiration Date	Title	Amount or Number of Shares
Options (2)	\$ 7.0938	06/29/2010		M		25,350	(3)	07/13/2010	Humana Common	25,350
Options (6)	\$ 32.7						<u>(8)</u>	02/24/2012	Humana Common	17,900
Options (2)	\$ 12.995						<u>(4)</u>	03/13/2012	Humana Common	10,000
Options (2)	\$ 9.26						(5)	03/13/2013	Humana Common	10,000
Options (6)	\$ 21.275						<u>(7)</u>	02/24/2014	Humana Common	10,000
Options (6)	\$ 53.96						<u>(9)</u>	02/23/2013	Humana Common	8,564
Options (6)	\$ 62.1						(10)	02/22/2014	Humana Common	4,866
Options (6)	\$ 69.475						(11)	02/21/2015	Humana Common	6,269
Options (6)	\$ 45.975						(12)	04/01/2015	Humana Common	17,993
Options (6)	\$ 41.83						(13)	02/19/2016	Humana Common	8,063
Restricted Stock Units (14)	<u>(15)</u>						<u>(16)</u>	<u>(16)</u>	Humana Common	4,095

# **Reporting Owners**

Reporting Owner Name / Address	elationships
--------------------------------	--------------

Director 10% Owner Officer Other

Reporting Owners 2

LISTON THOMAS J HUMANA INC. 500 WEST MAIN STREET LOUISVILLE, KY 40202

Sr VP-Senior Products

### **Signatures**

Thomas J. 06/30/2010 Liston

\*\*Signature of Reporting Person Date

## **Explanation of Responses:**

- \* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- \*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Shares held for the benefit of reporting person as of May 31, 2010 under the Humana Retirement & Savings Plan including a routine disposition of shares to fund an administrative fee assessment under a Tax-Conditioned Plan, both exempt under Rule 16b-3(c).
- (2) Right to buy pursuant to the Company's 1996 Stock Incentive Plan for Employees.
- (3) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 7/13/00 vesting in three increments each from 7/13/01 to 7/13/03.
- (4) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 3/13/02, NQ options vesting on 3/13/03, and ISO's vesting in two increments on 3/13/04 and 3/13/05.
- (5) Incentive stock options granted to reporting person on 3/13/03 vesting in three increments from 3/13/04 to 3/13/06.
- (6) Right to buy pursuant to the Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.
- (7) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 2/24/04, NQ vesting in two increments on 2/24/05 and 2/24/06, and ISO's vesting in three increments from 2/24/05 to 2/24/07.
- (8) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 2/24/05, NQ options vesting in three increments from 2/24/06 to 2/24/08, and ISO's vesting in two increments on 2/24/07 and 2/24/08.
- (9) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 2/23/06, NQ options vesting in three increments from 2/23/07 to 2/23/09, and ISO's vesting on 2/23/09.
- (10) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 2/22/07, NQ options vesting in three increments from 2/22/08 to 2/22/10, and ISO's vesting on 2/22/10.
- (11) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 2/21/08, NQ options vesting in three increments from 2/21/09 to 2/21/11, and ISO's vesting on 2/21/11.
- (12) Incentive and Non-Qualified stock options granted to reporting person on 4/1/08, NQ options vesting in three increments from 4/1/11 to 4/1/13, and ISO's vesting in two increments on 4/1/12 and 4/1/13.
- (13) Reporting person was granted the maximum number of Incentive stock options allowed and remaining options were granted as Non-Qualified stock options. The option grant vests in three increments from 2/19/10 to 2/19/12.
- (14) Right to receive one share per restricted stock unit pursuant to the Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.
- (15) Each restricted stock unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of Humana Inc. common stock, exempt under Rule 16b-3(d)(1) & (3).
- (16) Restricted stock units granted to reporting person on 2/18/10, 100% of the award is vesting on 2/18/13.

23(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, that the Dividend & Income Trust may, from time to time, purchase its shares in the open market when the Dividend & Income Trust shares are trading at a discount of 10% or more from the net asset value of the shares.

Signatures 3

THE GABELLI DIVIDEND & INCOME TRUST ONE CORPORATE CENTER, RYE, NY 10580-1422 PHONE: 800-GABELLI (800-422-3554) FAX: 914-921-5118 INTERNET: WWW.GABELLI.COM E-MAIL: CLOSEDEND@GABELLI.COM GBFDV-AR-12/03 ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS. (a) The registrant, as of the end of the period covered by this report, has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party. (b) No response required. (c) There have been no amendments, during the period covered by this report, to a provision of the code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party, and that relates to any element of the code of ethics description. (d) The registrant has not granted any waivers, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of the code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party, that relates to one or more of the items set forth in paragraph (b) of this item's instructions. ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT. As of the end of the period covered by the report, the registrant's board of directors has determined that Salvatore J. Zizza is qualified to serve as an audit committee financial expert serving on its audit committee and that he is "independent," as defined by this Item 3. ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES. (a) AUDIT FEES: The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years are \$63,666 in 2003 and \$0 in 2002. (b) AUDIT-RELATED FEES: The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under paragraph (a) of this Item are \$0 in 2003 and \$0 in 2002. (c) TAX FEES: The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning are \$2,350 in 2003 and \$0 in 2002. Tax fees represent tax compliance services provided in connection with the review of the Registrant's tax returns. (d) ALL OTHER FEES: The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for products and services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this Item are \$0 for 2003 and \$0 for 2002. (e)(1) Disclose the audit committee's pre-approval policies and procedures described in paragraph (c)(7) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X. Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures. The Audit Committee ("Committee") of the registrant is responsible for pre-approving (i) all audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditors to the registrant and (ii) all permissible non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditors to Gabelli and any affiliate of Gabelli that provides services to the registrant (a "Covered Services Provider") if the independent auditors' engagement relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the registrant. The Committee may delegate its responsibility to pre-approve any such audit and permissible non-audit services to the Chairperson of the Committee, and the Chairperson must report to the Committee, at its next regularly scheduled meeting after the Chairperson's pre-approval of such services, his or her decision(s). The Committee may also establish detailed pre-approval policies and procedures for pre-approval of such services in accordance with applicable laws, including the delegation of some or all of the Committee's pre-approval responsibilities to other persons (other than Gabelli or the registrant's officers). Pre-approval by the Committee of any permissible non-audit services is not required so long as: (i) the aggregate amount of all such permissible non-audit services provided to the registrant, Gabelli and any Covered Services Provider constitutes not more than 5% of the total amount of revenues paid by the registrant to its independent auditors during the fiscal year in which the permissible non-audit services are provided; (ii) the permissible non-audit services were not recognized by the registrant at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services; and (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Committee and approved by the Committee or the Chairperson prior to the completion of the audit. (e)(2) The percentage of services described in each of paragraphs (b) through (d) of this Item that were approved by the audit committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X are as follows: (b) N/A (c) 100% (d) N/A (f) The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was zero percent (0%). (g) The aggregate non-audit fees billed

by the registrant's accountant for services rendered to the registrant, and rendered to the registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant for each of the last two fiscal years of the registrant was \$0 in 2003 and \$0 in 2002. (h) The registrant's audit committee of the board of directors HAS considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence. ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS. Not applicable. ITEM 6. [RESERVED] ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES. The Proxy Voting Policies are attached herewith. GABELLI ASSET MANAGEMENT INC. AND AFFILIATES

------ THE VOTING OF PROXIES ON BEHALF OF CLIENTS ----- Rules 204(4)-2 and 204-2 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and Rule 30b1-4 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 require investment advisers to adopt written policies and procedures governing the voting of proxies on behalf of their clients. These procedures will be used by GAMCO Investors, Inc., Gabelli Funds, LLC and Gabelli Advisers, Inc. (collectively, the "Advisers") to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by their clients, including the procedures that the Advisers use when a vote presents a conflict between the interests of the shareholders of an investment company managed by one of the Advisers, on the one hand, and those of the Advisers; the principal underwriter; or any affiliated person of the investment company, the Advisers, or the principal underwriter. These procedures will not apply where the Advisers do not have voting discretion or where the Advisers have agreed to with a client to vote the client's proxies in accordance with specific guidelines or procedures supplied by the client (to the extent permitted by ERISA). I. PROXY VOTING COMMITTEE The Proxy Voting Committee was originally formed in April 1989 for the purpose of formulating guidelines and reviewing proxy statements within the parameters set by the substantive proxy voting guidelines originally published by GAMCO Investors, Inc. in 1988 and updated periodically, a copy of which are appended as Exhibit A. The Committee will include representatives of Research, Administration, Legal, and the Advisers. Additional or replacement members of the Committee will be nominated by the Chairman and voted upon by the entire Committee. As of June 30, 2003, the members are: Bruce N. Alpert, Chief Operating Officer of Gabelli Funds, LLC Ivan Arteaga, Research Analyst Caesar M. P. Bryan, Portfolio Manager Stephen DeTore, Deputy General Counsel Joshua Fenton, Director of Research Douglas R. Jamieson, Chief Operating Officer of GAMCO James E. McKee, General Counsel Karyn M. Nappi, Director of Proxy Voting Services William S. Selby, Managing Director of GAMCO Howard F. Ward, Portfolio Manager Peter D. Zaglio, Senior Vice President Peter D. Zaglio currently chairs the Committee. In his absence, the Director of Research will chair the Committee. Meetings are held as needed basis to form views on the manner in which the Advisers should vote proxies on behalf of their clients. In general, the Director of Proxy Voting Services, using the Proxy Guidelines, recommendations of Institutional Shareholder Corporate Governance Service ("ISS"), other third-party services and the analysts of Gabelli & Company, Inc., will determine how to vote on each issue. For non-controversial matters, the Director of Proxy Voting Services may vote the proxy if the vote is (1) consistent with the recommendations of the issuer's Board of Directors and not contrary to the Proxy Guidelines; (2) consistent with the recommendations of the issuer's Board of Directors and is a non-controversial issue not covered by the Proxy Guidelines; or (3) the vote is contrary to the recommendations of the Board of Directors but is consistent with the Proxy Guidelines. In those instances, the Director of Proxy Voting Services or the Chairman of the Committee may sign and date the proxy statement indicating how each issue will be voted. All matters identified by the Chairman of the Committee, the Director of Proxy Voting Services or the Legal Department as controversial, taking into account the recommendations of ISS or other third party services and the analysts of Gabelli & Company, Inc., will be presented to the Proxy Voting Committee. If the Chairman of the Committee, the Director of Proxy Voting Services or the Legal Department has identified the matter as one that (1) is controversial; (2) would benefit from deliberation by the Proxy Voting Committee; or (3) may give rise to a conflict of interest between the Advisers and their clients, the Chairman of the Committee will initially determine what vote to recommend that the Advisers should cast and the matter will go

before the Committee. For matters submitted to the Committee, each member of the Committee will receive, prior to the meeting, a copy of the proxy statement, any relevant third party research, a summary of any views provided by the Chief Investment Officer and any recommendations by Gabelli & Company, Inc. analysts. The Chief Investment Officer or the Gabelli & Company, Inc. analysts may be invited to present their viewpoints. If the Legal Department believes that the matter before the committee is one with respect to which a conflict of interest may exist between the Advisers and their clients, counsel will provide an opinion to the Committee concerning the conflict. If the matter is one in which the interests of the clients of one or more of Advisers may diverge, counsel will so advise and the Committee may make different recommendations as to different clients. For any matters where the recommendation may trigger appraisal rights, counsel will provide an opinion concerning the likely risks and merits of such an appraisal action. Each matter submitted to the Committee will be determined by the vote of a majority of the members present at the meeting. Should the vote concerning one or more recommendations be tied in a vote of the Committee, the Chairman of the Committee will cast the deciding vote. The Committee will notify the proxy department of its decisions and the proxies will be voted accordingly. Although the Proxy Guidelines express the normal preferences for the voting of any shares not covered by a contrary investment guideline provided by the client, the Committee is not bound by the preferences set forth in the Proxy Guidelines and will review each matter on its own merits. Written minutes of all Proxy Voting Committee meetings will be maintained. The Advisers subscribe to ISS, which supplies current information on companies, matters being voted on, regulations, trends in proxy voting and information on corporate governance issues. If the vote cast either by the analyst or as a result of the deliberations of the Proxy Voting Committee runs contrary to the recommendation of the Board of Directors of the issuer, the matter will be referred to legal counsel to determine whether an amendment to the most recently filed Schedule 13D is appropriate. II. SOCIAL ISSUES AND OTHER CLIENT GUIDELINES If a client has provided special instructions relating to the voting of proxies, they should be noted in the client's account file and forwarded to the proxy department. This is the responsibility of the investment professional or sales assistant for the client. In accordance with Department of Labor guidelines, the Advisers' policy is to vote on behalf of ERISA accounts in the best interest of the plan participants with regard to social issues that carry an economic impact. Where an account is not governed by ERISA, the Advisers will vote shares held on behalf of the client in a manner consistent with any individual investment/voting guidelines provided by the client, Otherwise the Advisers will abstain with respect to those shares. III, CLIENT RETENTION OF VOTING RIGHTS If a client chooses to retain the right to vote proxies or if there is any change in voting authority, the following should be notified by the investment professional or sales assistant for the client. -Operations - Legal Department - Proxy Department - Investment professional assigned to the account In the event that the Board of Directors (or a Committee thereof) of one or more of the investment companies managed by one of the Advisers has retained direct voting control over any security, the Proxy Voting Department will provide each Board Member (or Committee member) with a copy of the proxy statement together with any other relevant information including recommendations of ISS or other third-party services. IV. VOTING RECORDS The Proxy Voting Department will retain a record of matters voted upon by the Advisers for their clients. The Advisers' staff may request proxy-voting records for use in presentations to current or prospective clients. Requests for proxy voting records should be made at least ten days prior to client meetings. If a client wishes to receive a proxy voting record on a quarterly, semi-annual or annual basis, please notify the Proxy Voting Department. The reports will be available for mailing approximately ten days after the quarter end of the period. First quarter reports may be delayed since the end of the quarter falls during the height of the proxy season. A letter is sent to the custodians for all clients for which the Advisers have voting responsibility instructing them to forward all proxy materials to: [Adviser name] Attn: Proxy Voting Department One Corporate Center Rye, New York 10580-1433 The sales assistant sends the letters to the custodians along with the trading/DTC instructions. Proxy voting records will be retained in compliance with Rule 204-2 under the Investment Advisers Act. V. VOTING PROCEDURES 1. Custodian banks, outside brokerage firms and Wexford Clearing Services Corporation are responsible for forwarding proxies directly to GAMCO. Proxies are received in one of two forms: o Shareholder Vote Authorization Forms (VAFs) - Issued by ADP. VAFs must be voted through the issuing institution causing a time lag. ADP is an outside service contracted by the various institutions to issue proxy materials. o Proxy cards which may be voted directly. 2. Upon receipt of the proxy, the number of shares each form represents is logged into the proxy system according to security. 3. In the case of a discrepancy such as an incorrect number of shares, an improperly signed or dated card, wrong class of security, etc., the issuing custodian is notified by phone. A corrected proxy is requested. Any arrangements are made to insure that a proper proxy is

received in time to be voted (overnight delivery, fax, etc.). When securities are out on loan on record date, the custodian is requested to supply written verification. 4. Upon receipt of instructions from the proxy committee (see Administrative), the votes are cast and recorded for each account on an individual basis. Since January 1, 1992, records have been maintained on the Proxy Edge system. The system is backed up regularly. From 1990 through 1991, records were maintained on the PROXY VOTER system and in hardcopy format. Prior to 1990, records were maintained on diskette and in hardcopy format. PROXY EDGE records include: Security Name and Cusip Number Date and Type of Meeting (Annual, Special, Contest) Client Name Adviser or Fund Account Number Directors' Recommendation How GAMCO voted for the client on each issue The rationale for the vote when it appropriate Records prior to the institution of the PROXY EDGE system include: Security name Type of Meeting (Annual, Special, Contest) Date of Meeting Name of Custodian Name of Client Custodian Account Number Adviser or Fund Account Number Directors' recommendation How the Adviser voted for the client on each issue Date the proxy statement was received and by whom Name of person posting the vote Date and method by which the vote was cast o From these records individual client proxy voting records are compiled. It is our policy to provide institutional clients with a proxy voting record during client reviews. In addition, we will supply a proxy voting record at the request of the client on a quarterly, semi-annual or annual basis. 5. VAFs are kept alphabetically by security. Records for the current proxy season are located in the Proxy Voting Department office. In preparation for the upcoming season, files are transferred to an offsite storage facility during January/February. 6. Shareholder Vote Authorization Forms issued by ADP are always sent directly to a specific individual at ADP. 7. If a proxy card or VAF is received too late to be voted in the conventional matter, every attempt is made to vote on one of the following manners: o VAFs can be faxed to ADP up until the time of the meeting. This is followed up by mailing the original form. o When a solicitor has been retained, the solicitor is called. At the solicitor's direction, the proxy is faxed. 8. In the case of a proxy contest, records are maintained for each opposing entity. 9. Voting in Person a) At times it may be necessary to vote the shares in person. In this case, a "legal proxy" is obtained in the following manner: o Banks and brokerage firms using the services at ADP: A call is placed to ADP requesting legal proxies. The VAFs are then sent overnight to ADP. ADP issues individual legal proxies and sends them back via overnight. A lead-time of at least two weeks prior to the meeting is needed to do this. Alternatively, the procedures detailed below for banks not using ADP may be implemented. o Banks and brokerage firms issuing proxies directly: The bank is called and/or faxed and a legal proxy is requested. All legal proxies should appoint: "REPRESENTATIVE OF [ADVISER NAME] WITH FULL POWER OF SUBSTITUTION." b) The legal proxies are given to the person attending the meeting along with the following supplemental material: o A limited Power of Attorney appointing the attendee an Adviser representative. o A list of all shares being voted by custodian only. Client names and account numbers are not included. This list must be presented, along with the proxies, to the Inspectors of Elections and/or tabulator at least one-half hour prior to the scheduled start of the meeting. The tabulator must "qualify" the votes (i.e. determine if the vote have previously been cast, if the votes have been rescinded, etc. vote have previously been cast, etc.). o A sample ERISA and Individual contract. o A sample of the annual authorization to vote proxies form. o A copy of our most recent Schedule 13D filing (if applicable). APPENDIX A PROXY GUIDELINES ------ PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES ----- GENERAL POLICY STATEMENT It is the policy of GABELLI ASSET MANAGEMENT INC. to vote in the best economic interests of our clients. As we state in our Magna Carta of Shareholders Rights, established in May 1988, we are neither FOR nor AGAINST management. We are for shareholders. At our first proxy committee meeting in 1989, it was decided that each proxy statement should be evaluated on its own merits within the framework first established by our Magna Carta of Shareholders Rights. The attached guidelines serve to enhance that broad framework. We do not consider any issue routine. We take into consideration all of our research on the company, its directors, and their short and long-term goals for the company. In cases where issues that we generally do not approve of are combined with other issues, the negative aspects of the issues will be factored into the evaluation of the overall proposals but will not necessitate a vote in opposition to the overall proposals. BOARD OF DIRECTORS The advisers do not consider the election of the Board of Directors a routine issue. Each slate of directors is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Factors taken into consideration include: o Historical responsiveness to shareholders This may include such areas as: -Paying greenmail -Failure to adopt shareholder resolutions receiving a majority of shareholder votes o Qualifications o Nominating committee in place o Number of outside directors on the board o Attendance at meetings o Overall performance SELECTION OF AUDITORS In general, we support the Board of Directors' recommendation for auditors. BLANK CHECK

PREFERRED STOCK We oppose the issuance of blank check preferred stock. Blank check preferred stock allows the company to issue stock and establish dividends, voting rights, etc. without further shareholder approval, CLASSIFIED BOARD A classified board is one where the directors are divided into classes with overlapping terms. A different class is elected at each annual meeting. While a classified board promotes continuity of directors facilitating long range planning, we feel directors should be accountable to shareholders on an annual basis. We will look at this proposal on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the board's historical responsiveness to the rights of shareholders. Where a classified board is in place we will generally not support attempts to change to an annually elected board. When an annually elected board is in place, we generally will not support attempts to classify the board. INCREASE AUTHORIZED COMMON STOCK The request to increase the amount of outstanding shares is considered on a case-by-case basis. Factors taken into consideration include: o Future use of additional shares -Stock split -Stock option or other executive compensation plan -Finance growth of company/strengthen balance sheet -Aid in restructuring -Improve credit rating -Implement a poison pill or other takeover defense o Amount of stock currently authorized but not yet issued or reserved for stock option plans o Amount of additional stock to be authorized and its dilutive effect We will support this proposal if a detailed and verifiable plan for the use of the additional shares is contained in the proxy statement. CONFIDENTIAL BALLOT We support the idea that a shareholder's identity and vote should be treated with confidentiality. However, we look at this issue on a case-by-case basis. In order to promote confidentiality in the voting process, we endorse the use of independent Inspectors of Election. CUMULATIVE VOTING In general, we support cumulative voting. Cumulative voting is a process by which a shareholder may multiply the number of directors being elected by the number of shares held on record date and cast the total number for one candidate or allocate the voting among two or more candidates. Where cumulative voting is in place, we will vote against any proposal to rescind this shareholder right. Cumulative voting may result in a minority block of stock gaining representation on the board. When a proposal is made to institute cumulative voting, the proposal will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. While we feel that each board member should represent all shareholders, cumulative voting provides minority shareholders an opportunity to have their views represented. DIRECTOR LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION We support efforts to attract the best possible directors by limiting the liability and increasing the indemnification of directors, except in the case of insider dealing. EQUAL ACCESS TO THE PROXY The SEC's rules provide for shareholder resolutions. However, the resolutions are limited in scope and there is a 500 word limit on proponents' written arguments. Management has no such limitations. While we support equal access to the proxy, we would look at such variables as length of time required to respond, percentage of ownership, etc. FAIR PRICE PROVISIONS Charter provisions requiring a bidder to pay all shareholders a fair price are intended to prevent two-tier tender offers that may be abusive. Typically, these provisions do not apply to board-approved transactions. We support fair price provisions because we feel all shareholders should be entitled to receive the same benefits. Reviewed on a case-by-case basis. GOLDEN PARACHUTES Golden parachutes are severance payments to top executives who are terminated or demoted after a takeover. We support any proposal that would assure management of its own welfare so that they may continue to make decisions in the best interest of the company and shareholders even if the decision results in them losing their job. We do not, however, support excessive golden parachutes. Therefore, each proposal will be decided on a case-by- case basis, NOTE: CONGRESS HAS IMPOSED A TAX ON ANY PARACHUTE THAT IS MORE THAN THREE TIMES THE EXECUTIVE'S AVERAGE ANNUAL COMPENSATION. ANTI-GREENMAIL PROPOSALS We do not support greenmail. An offer extended to one shareholder should be extended to all shareholders equally across the board. LIMIT SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS TO CALL SPECIAL MEETINGS We support the right of shareholders to call a special meeting, CONSIDERATION OF NONFINANCIAL EFFECTS OF A MERGER This proposal releases the directors from only looking at the financial effects of a merger and allows them the opportunity to consider the merger's effects on employees, the community, and consumers. As a fiduciary, we are obligated to vote in the best economic interests of our clients. In general, this proposal does not allow us to do that. Therefore, we generally cannot support this proposal. Reviewed on a case-by-case basis. MERGERS, BUYOUTS, SPIN-OFFS, RESTRUCTURINGS Each of the above is considered on a case-by-case basis. According to the Department of Labor, we are not required to vote for a proposal simply because the offering price is at a premium to the current market price. We may take into consideration the long term interests of the shareholders. MILITARY ISSUES Shareholder proposals regarding military production must be evaluated on a purely economic set of criteria for our ERISA clients. As such, decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis. In voting on this proposal for our non-ERISA clients, we will

vote according to the client's direction when applicable. Where no direction has been given, we will vote in the best economic interests of our clients. It is not our duty to impose our social judgment on others, NORTHERN IRELAND Shareholder proposals requesting the signing of the MacBride principles for the purpose of countering the discrimination of Catholics in hiring practices must be evaluated on a purely economic set of criteria for our ERISA clients. As such, decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis. In voting on this proposal for our non-ERISA clients, we will vote according to client direction when applicable. Where no direction has been given, we will vote in the best economic interests of our clients. It is not our duty to impose our social judgment on others. OPT OUT OF STATE ANTI-TAKEOVER LAW This shareholder proposal requests that a company opt out of the coverage of the state's takeover statutes. Example: Delaware law requires that a buyer must acquire at least 85% of the company's stock before the buyer can exercise control unless the board approves. We consider this on a case-by-case basis. Our decision will be based on the following: o State of Incorporation o Management history of responsiveness to shareholders o Other mitigating factors POISON PILL In general, we do not endorse poison pills. In certain cases where management has a history of being responsive to the needs of shareholders and the stock is very liquid, we will reconsider this position. REINCORPORATION Generally, we support reincorporation for well-defined business reasons. We oppose reincorporation if proposed solely for the purpose of reincorporating in a state with more stringent anti-takeover statutes that may negatively impact the value of the stock. STOCK OPTION PLANS Stock option plans are an excellent way to attract, hold and motivate directors and employees. However, each stock option plan must be evaluated on its own merits, taking into consideration the following: o Dilution of voting power or earnings per share by more than 10% o Kind of stock to be awarded, to whom, when and how much o Method of payment o Amount of stock already authorized but not yet issued under existing stock option plans SUPERMAJORITY VOTE REQUIREMENTS Supermajority vote requirements in a company's charter or bylaws require a level of voting approval in excess of a simple majority of the outstanding shares. In general, we oppose supermajority-voting requirements. Supermajority requirements often exceed the average level of shareholder participation. We support proposals' approvals by a simple majority of the shares voting. LIMIT SHAREHOLDERS RIGHT TO ACT BY WRITTEN CONSENT Written consent allows shareholders to initiate and carry on a shareholder action without having to wait until the next annual meeting or to call a special meeting. It permits action to be taken by the written consent of the same percentage of the shares that would be required to effect proposed action at a shareholder meeting. Reviewed on a case-by-case basis. ITEM 8. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS. Not applicable. ITEM 9. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS. Not yet applicable. ITEM 10. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES. (a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of the report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)). (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the registrant's last fiscal half-year (the registrant's second fiscal half-year in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting. ITEM 11. EXHIBITS. (a)(1) Code of ethics, or any amendment thereto, that is the subject of disclosure required by Item 2 is attached hereto. (a)(2) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, are attached hereto. (a)(3) Not applicable. (b) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, are attached hereto. SIGNATURES Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. (registrant) The Gabelli Dividend & Income Trust ------ By (Signature and Title)\* /s/ Bruce N. Alpert ------ Bruce N. Alpert, Principal Executive Officer Date March 9, 2004 ------ Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. By (Signature and Title)\* /s/ Bruce

N. Alpert	Bruce N. Alpert, Principal Executive Officer Date March 9,
2004	By (Signature and Title)* /s/ Richard C. Sell, Jr.
	Richard C. Sell, Jr., Principal Financial Officer Date March 9, 2004
	* Print the name and title of each signing officer under
his or her signature.	