

EASTMAN KODAK CO
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2011

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from ___ to ___

Commission File Number 1-87

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEW JERSEY
(State of incorporation)

16-0417150
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

343 STATE STREET, ROCHESTER, NEW
YORK
(Address of principal executive offices)

14650
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 585-724-4000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form 10-Q

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Title of each Class	Number of shares Outstanding at October 28, 2011
Common Stock, \$2.50 par value	269,954,791

Eastman Kodak Company
Form 10-Q
September 30, 2011

Table of Contents

	Page	
<u>Part I.</u> - Financial Information		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited)</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Retained Earnings (Unaudited)</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Financial Position (Unaudited)</u>	5
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u>	6
	<u>Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	31
	<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	45
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	53
<u>Part II.</u> - Other Information		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	53
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	55
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	62
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	62
	<u>Signatures</u>	63
	<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	64

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

(in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net sales				
Products	\$1,253	\$1,332	\$3,648	\$3,847
Services	196	189	581	568
Licensing & royalties	13	235	40	810
Total net sales	\$1,462	\$1,756	\$4,269	\$5,225
Cost of sales				
Products	\$1,108	\$1,132	\$3,278	\$3,217
Services	147	150	448	438
Total cost of sales	\$1,255	\$1,282	\$3,726	\$3,655
Gross profit	\$207	\$474	\$543	\$1,570
Selling, general and administrative expenses	284	313	884	935
Research and development costs	68	82	214	241
Restructuring costs, rationalization and other	17	24	79	48
Other operating expenses (income), net	12	(3)	(59)	(1)
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before interest expense,				
other income (charges), net and income taxes	(174)	58	(575)	347
Interest expense	41	38	117	117
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	-	-	-	102
Other income (charges), net	(7)	8	2	4
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	(222)	28	(690)	132
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	-	71	(40)	223
Loss from continuing operations	(222)	(43)	(650)	(91)
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	-	-	3	(1)
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY	\$(222)	\$(43)	\$(647)	\$(92)
Basic and diluted net (loss) earnings per share attributable to Eastman				
Kodak Company common shareholders:				
Continuing operations	\$(0.83)	\$(0.16)	\$(2.42)	\$(0.34)
Discontinued operations	-	-	0.01	-

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ (0.83)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (2.41)	\$ (0.34)
Number of common shares used in basic and diluted net (loss) earnings per share	268.9	268.5	268.9	268.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF RETAINED EARNINGS (Unaudited)
 (in millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Retained earnings at beginning of period	\$4,536	\$5,620	\$4,969	\$5,676
Net loss	(222)	(43)	(647)	(92)
Loss from issuance of treasury stock	(41)	(12)	(49)	(19)
Retained earnings at end of period	\$4,273	\$5,565	\$4,273	\$5,565

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (Unaudited)

(in millions)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 862	\$ 1,624
Receivables, net	1,052	1,196
Inventories, net	892	746
Deferred income taxes	59	120
Other current assets	85	100
Total current assets	2,950	3,786
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$4,970 and \$4,985, respectively	948	1,037
Goodwill	285	294
Other long-term assets	919	1,109
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 5,102	\$ 6,226
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable, trade	\$ 673	\$ 959
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	210	50
Accrued income and other taxes	37	343
Other current liabilities	1,397	1,468
Total current liabilities	2,317	2,820
Long-term debt, net of current portion	1,356	1,195
Pension and other postretirement liabilities	2,552	2,661
Other long-term liabilities	526	625
Total liabilities	6,751	7,301
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 7)		
Equity (Deficit)		
Common stock, \$2.50 par value	978	978
Additional paid in capital	1,114	1,105
Retained earnings	4,273	4,969
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,079)	(2,135)
	4,286	4,917
Less: Treasury stock, at cost	(5,937)	(5,994)
Total Eastman Kodak Company shareholders' deficit	(1,651)	(1,077)
Noncontrolling interests	2	2
Total deficit	(1,649)	(1,075)

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND DEFICIT	\$ 5,102	\$ 6,226
-------------------------------	----------	----------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(647)	\$(92)
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash used in operating activities:		
(Earnings) loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	(3)	1
Depreciation and amortization	223	289
(Gain) loss on sales of businesses/assets	(72)	2
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	-	102
Non-cash restructuring and rationalization costs, asset impairments and other charges	15	2
Provision for deferred income taxes	112	37
Decrease in receivables	194	104
Increase in inventories	(119)	(209)
Decrease in liabilities excluding borrowings	(735)	(668)
Other items, net	4	(72)
Total adjustments	(381)	(412)
Net cash used in continuing operations	(1,028)	(504)
Net cash used in discontinued operations	(10)	-
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,038)	(504)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Additions to properties	(88)	(87)
Proceeds from sales of businesses/assets	94	17
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(27)	-
Funding of restricted cash and investment accounts	(22)	-
Marketable securities - sales	58	65
Marketable securities - purchases	(55)	(59)
Net cash used in investing activities	(40)	(64)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from borrowings	407	491
Repayment of borrowings	(100)	(542)
Debt issuance costs	(6)	(12)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	301	(63)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	15	4
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(762)	(627)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,624	2,024
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$862	\$1,397

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated interim financial statements are unaudited, and certain information and footnote disclosures related thereto normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) have been omitted in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared following the same policies and procedures used in the preparation of the audited financial statements and reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of Eastman Kodak Company and its subsidiaries (the Company). The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period classification.

The Company has had net losses from 2008 through the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company has managed its liquidity needs during this time with cash on hand, cash received from intellectual property licensing, the sale of assets and businesses, and the issuance of debt. The Company faces a number of substantial challenges, including the level of investment necessary to support growth in its consumer and commercial inkjet businesses, aggressive price competition, secular decline in the Company's traditional film businesses, the cost to restructure the Company to enable sustainable profitability, and short-term uncertainty relating to certain of the Company's intellectual property licensing activities pending the outcome of the infringement litigation against Apple Inc. and Research in Motion Ltd. before the International Trade Commission. In July, 2011, the Company announced that it is exploring strategic alternatives, including a potential sale, related to its digital imaging patent portfolios. If the Company is unable to sell its digital imaging patent portfolio at an appropriate price, it will pursue additional licensing opportunities related to that patent portfolio. Additionally, if liquidity needs require, the Company could slow its rate of investment in its consumer and commercial inkjet businesses and/or pursue the sale of certain of its cash generating businesses that have leading market positions in large markets. The Company's ability to continue its operations, including the Company's ability to fund working capital, capital investments, scheduled interest and debt repayments, restructuring payments, and employee benefit plan payments or required plan contributions, within the next twelve months is dependent upon the ability to monetize its digital imaging patent portfolio through a sale or licensing of the relevant patents and/or the successful execution of the alternative actions, which could also include the issuance of additional debt, listed above. There is uncertainty regarding whether the Company can, and the Company can provide no assurance that it will, successfully execute the actions listed above.

CHANGE IN ESTIMATE

In conjunction with the Company's goodwill impairment analysis in the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company reviewed its estimates of the remaining useful lives of its Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group segment's long-lived assets. This analysis indicated that overall these assets will continue to be used in these businesses for a longer period than anticipated in 2008, the last time that depreciable lives were adjusted for these assets. As a result, the Company revised the useful lives of certain existing production machinery and equipment, and manufacturing-related buildings

effective January 1, 2011. These assets, many of which were previously set to fully depreciate by 2012 to 2013, were changed to depreciate with estimated useful lives ending from 2014 to 2017. This change in useful lives reflects the Company's current estimate of future periods to be benefited from the use of the property, plant, and equipment.

The effect of this change in estimate for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was a reduction in depreciation expense of \$10 million, \$4 million of which has been recognized in Cost of sales and is a benefit to earnings from continuing operations. In addition, \$6 million of the reduction in depreciation expense is capitalized as a reduction of inventories at September 30, 2011. The net impact of the change to earnings from continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 is an increase of \$10 million, or \$.04 on a fully-diluted earnings per share basis. This includes the \$4 million of current quarter depreciation recognized in Cost of sales, plus \$6 million of depreciation from the previous quarter which was capitalized as a reduction of inventories at June 30, 2011, but was recognized in Cost of sales in the current quarter.

The effect of this change in estimate for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was a reduction in depreciation expense of \$28 million, \$22 million of which has been recognized in Cost of sales, and \$6 million of which is capitalized as a reduction of inventories at September 30, 2011. The net impact of this change is an increase in earnings from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 of \$22 million, or \$.08 on a fully-diluted earnings per share basis.

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-28, “When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts,” which amends Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 350, “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other.” ASU No. 2010-28 amends the ASC to require entities that have a reporting unit with a zero or negative carrying value to assess whether qualitative factors indicate that it is more likely than not that an impairment of goodwill exists, and if an entity concludes that it is more likely than not that an impairment exists, the entity must measure the goodwill impairment. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update were effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (January 1, 2011 for the Company). The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, “Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements,” which amends ASC Topic 605, “Revenue Recognition.” ASU No. 2009-13 amends the ASC to eliminate the residual method of allocation for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements, and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of an arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. The ASU also establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, which includes: (1) vendor-specific objective evidence if available, (2) third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, and (3) estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific nor third-party evidence is available. Additionally, ASU No. 2009-13 expands the disclosure requirements related to a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update were effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (January 1, 2011 for the Company). The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-14, “Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements,” which amends ASC Topic 985, “Software.” ASU No. 2009-14 amends the ASC to change the accounting model for revenue arrangements that include both tangible products and software elements, such that tangible products containing both software and non-software components that function together to deliver the tangible product's essential functionality are no longer within the scope of software revenue guidance. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update were effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010 (January 1, 2011 for the Company). The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, “Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350) – Testing Goodwill for Impairment.” ASU No. 2011-08 amends the impairment test for goodwill by allowing companies to first assess qualitative factors to determine if it is more likely than not that goodwill might be impaired and whether it is necessary to perform the current two-step goodwill impairment test. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for the Company). The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, “Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income.” ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments are effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 (January 1,

2012 for the Company). The guidance requires changes in presentation only and will have no significant impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (ASC Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." ASU No. 2011-04 amends current fair value measurement and disclosure guidance to include increased transparency around valuation inputs and investment categorization. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for the Company). The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have a significant impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTE 2: RECEIVABLES, NET

(in millions)	September 30, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Trade receivables	\$ 943	\$ 1,074
Miscellaneous receivables	109	122
Total (net of allowances of \$63 and \$77 as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively)	\$ 1,052	\$ 1,196

Of the total trade receivable amounts of \$943 million and \$1,074 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, approximately \$176 million and \$224 million, respectively, are expected to be settled through customer deductions in lieu of cash payments. Such deductions represent rebates owed to the customer and are included in Other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position at each respective balance sheet date.

NOTE 3: INVENTORIES, NET

(in millions)	September 30, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Finished goods	\$ 581	\$ 471
Work in process	179	154
Raw materials	132	121
Total	\$ 892	\$ 746

NOTE 4: GOODWILL

The carrying value of goodwill by reportable segments is as follows:

(in millions)

	Consumer Digital Imaging Group	Graphic Communications Group	Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group	Consolidated Total
Balance as of December 31, 2010:				
Goodwill	\$ 201	\$ 870	\$ 626	\$ 1,697
Accumulated impairment losses	-	(777)	(626)	(1,403)
	\$ 201	\$ 93	\$ -	\$ 294
Impairment	-	(8)	-	(8)
Divestiture	-	(4)	-	(4)
Currency translation adjustments	3	-	-	3
Balance as of September 30, 2011:				
Goodwill	204	866	626	1,696
Accumulated impairment losses	-	(785)	(626)	(1,411)
	\$ 204	\$ 81	\$ -	\$ 285

The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually on September 30, or whenever events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. The Company tests goodwill for impairment at a level of reporting referred to as a reporting unit, which is an operating segment or one level below an operating segment (referred to as a component). A component of an operating segment is a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of that component. When two or more components of an operating segment have similar economic characteristics, the components are aggregated and deemed a single reporting unit. An operating segment is deemed to be a reporting unit if all of its components are similar, if none of its components is a reporting unit, or if the segment comprises only a single component.

The components of the Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group (FPEG) operating segment are similar and, therefore, the segment meets the definition of a reporting unit. Likewise, the components of the Consumer Digital Imaging Group (CDG) are similar and, therefore, the segment meets the definition of a reporting unit. The Graphic Communications Group (GCG) operating segment has two reporting units: the Business Services and Solutions Group (BSSG) reporting unit and the Commercial Printing reporting unit (consisting of the Prepress Solutions and Digital Printing Solutions strategic product groups). The Commercial Printing reporting unit consists of components that have similar economic characteristics and, therefore, have been aggregated into a single reporting unit.

Goodwill is tested by initially comparing the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units to their related carrying values. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, the Company must determine the implied fair value of the goodwill associated with that reporting unit. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by first allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all of its assets and liabilities and then computing the excess of the reporting unit's fair value over the amounts assigned to the assets and liabilities. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill, such excess represents the amount of goodwill impairment charge that must be recognized.

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. The Company estimates the fair value of its reporting units utilizing an income approach. To estimate fair value utilizing the income approach, the Company established an estimate of future cash flows for each reporting unit and discounted those estimated future cash flows to present value. Key assumptions used in

the income approach were: (a) expected cash flows for the period from October 1, 2011 to December 31, 2018; and (b) discount rates of 19% to 25%, which were based on the Company's best estimates of the after-tax weighted-average cost of capital of each reporting unit.

Based upon the results of the Company's September 30, 2011 goodwill impairment tests, the Company concluded that the carrying value of goodwill for its Commercial Printing reporting unit exceeded the implied fair value of goodwill due to an increase in the reporting unit's carrying values and the impact of continued pricing pressures and higher commodity costs within Prepress Solutions, as well as higher start-up costs associated with the commercialization and placement of Prosper printing systems. The Company recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$8 million during the three month period ended September 30, 2011 that was included in Other operating expenses (income), net in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. For the Company's other reporting units with remaining goodwill balances (CDG and BSSG), no impairment of goodwill was indicated.

A 20 percent change in estimated future cash flows or a 10 percentage point change in discount rate would not have caused a goodwill impairment to be recognized by the Company as of September 30, 2011. Additional impairment of goodwill could occur in the future if market or interest rate environments deteriorate, expected future cash flows decrease, or if reporting unit carrying values change materially compared with changes in respective fair values. In the case of a sale of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolios, licensing revenue related to those portfolios, which are included within the CDG reporting unit, could decline significantly and materially impact the fair value of this reporting unit.

NOTE 5: SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt and related maturities and interest rates were as follows at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

(in millions)	Country	Type	Maturity	Weighted-Average Effective Interest Rate	As of	
					September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
					Carrying Value	Carrying Value
U.S.		Term note	2011-2013	6.16 %	\$ 18	\$ 27
Germany		Term note	2011-2013	6.16 %	74	109
U.S.		Term note	2013	7.25 %	250	300
U.S.		Revolver	2013	4.75 %	160	-
U.S.		Convertible	2017	12.75 %	313	305
U.S.		Secured term note	2018	10.11 %	491	491
U.S.		Term note	2018	9.95 %	3	3
U.S.		Secured term note	2019	10.87 %	247	-
U.S.		Term note	2021	9.20 %	10	10
					1,566	1,245
		Current portion of long-term debt			(210)	(50)
		Long-term debt, net of current portion			\$ 1,356	\$ 1,195

Annual maturities (in millions) of debt outstanding at September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	Carrying Value	Maturity Value
2011	\$-	\$-
2012	50	50
2013	454	460
2014	-	-
2015	-	-
2016 and thereafter	1,062	1,163
Total	\$1,566	\$1,673

Issuance of Senior Secured Notes due 2019

On March 15, 2011, the Company issued \$250 million of aggregate principal amount of 10.625% senior secured notes due March 15, 2019 (2019 Senior Secured Notes). The Company will pay interest at an annual rate of 10.625% of the principal amount at issuance, payable semi-annually in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning on September 15, 2011.

Upon issuance of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes, the Company received proceeds of approximately \$247 million (\$250 million aggregate principal less \$3 million stated discount). The proceeds were used to repurchase \$50 million of the 7.25% Senior Notes due 2013 with the remaining amount being used for other general corporate purposes.

In connection with the issuance of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes, the Company and the subsidiary guarantors (as defined below) entered into an indenture, dated as of March 15, 2011, with Bank of New York Mellon as trustee and second lien collateral agent (Indenture).

At any time prior to March 15, 2015, the Company will be entitled at its option to redeem some or all of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal plus accrued and unpaid interest and a “make-whole” premium (as defined in the Indenture). On and after March 15, 2015, the Company may redeem some or all of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes at certain redemption prices expressed as percentages of the principal plus accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, prior to March 15, 2014, the Company may redeem up to 35% of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price of 110.625% of the principal plus accrued and unpaid interest using proceeds from certain equity offerings, provided the redemption takes place within 120 days after the closing of the related equity offering and not less than 65% of the original aggregate principal remains outstanding immediately thereafter.

Upon the occurrence of a change of control, each holder of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes has the right to require the Company to repurchase some or all of such holder’s 2019 Senior Secured Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the repurchase date.

The Indenture contains covenants limiting, among other things, the Company’s ability and the ability of the Company’s restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to (subject to certain exceptions and qualifications): incur additional debt or issue certain preferred stock; pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock or make other restricted payments; make principal payments on, or purchase or redeem subordinated indebtedness prior to any scheduled principal payment or maturity; make certain investments; sell certain assets; create liens on assets; consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of the Company’s and its subsidiaries’ assets; enter into certain transactions with affiliates; and designate the Company’s subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2011.

The 2019 Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed (Guarantees) on a senior secured basis by each of the Company’s existing and future direct or indirect 100% owned domestic subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions (Subsidiary Guarantors). The 2019 Senior Secured Notes and Guarantees are secured by second-priority liens, subject to permitted liens, on substantially all of the Company’s domestic assets and substantially all of the domestic assets of the Subsidiary Guarantors pursuant to a supplement, dated March 15, 2011, to the security agreement, dated March 5, 2010, entered into with Bank of New York Mellon as second lien collateral agent. The carrying value of the assets pledged as collateral at September 30, 2011 was approximately \$1.4 billion.

The 2019 Senior Secured Notes are the Company’s senior secured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to any future subordinated indebtedness; rank equally in right of payment with all of the Company’s existing and future senior indebtedness; are effectively senior in right of payment to the Company’s existing and future unsecured indebtedness, are effectively subordinated in right of payment to indebtedness under the Company’s Second Amended Credit Agreement (as defined below) to the extent of the collateral securing such indebtedness on a first-priority basis; and effectively are subordinated in right of payment to all existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company’s non-guarantor subsidiaries.

Certain events are considered events of default and may result in the acceleration of the maturity of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes, including, but not limited to (subject to applicable grace and cure periods): default in the payment of principal or interest when it becomes due and payable; failure to purchase Senior Secured Notes tendered when and as required; events of bankruptcy; and non-compliance with other provisions and covenants and the acceleration or default in the payment of principal of certain other forms of debt. If an event of default occurs, the aggregate principal

amount and accrued and unpaid interest may become due and payable immediately.

Repurchase of Senior Notes due 2013

On March 15, 2011, the Company repurchased \$50 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes due 2013 (2013 Notes) at par using proceeds from the issuance of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes. As of September 30, 2011, \$250 million of the 2013 Notes remain outstanding.

Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On April 26, 2011, the Company and its subsidiary, Kodak Canada, Inc. (together the "Borrowers"), together with the Company's U.S. subsidiaries as guarantors (Guarantors), entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (Second Amended Credit Agreement), with the named lenders (Lenders) and Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, in order to amend and extend its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 31, 2009, as amended (Amended Credit Agreement).

The Second Amended Credit Agreement provides for an asset-based Canadian and U.S. revolving credit facility (Credit Facility) of \$400 million (\$370 million in the U.S. and \$30 million in Canada), as further described below, with the ability to increase the aggregate amount. Additionally, up to \$125 million of the Company's and its subsidiaries' obligations to Lenders under treasury management services, hedge or other agreements or arrangements can be secured by the collateral under the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility can be used for ongoing working capital and other general corporate purposes. The termination date of the Credit Facility is the earlier of (a) April 26, 2016 or (b) August 17, 2013, to the extent that the 2013 Notes have not been redeemed, defeased, or otherwise satisfied by that date.

On September 23, 2011, the Company initiated a draw of \$160 million under the Second Amended Credit Agreement for general corporate purposes. The revolving credit advance bears interest at applicable margins over the Base Rate, as defined in the Second Amended Credit Agreement. The borrowing will bear interest initially at 1.5% (the applicable margin) plus the Base Rate, which fluctuates daily based on the highest of the following reference rates: the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%, Bank of America's prime rate, and a one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%. The Company may repay the advances at any time without penalty, subject to certain conditions if the advances have been converted to a Eurodollar rate.

Advances under the Second Amended Credit Agreement are available based on the Borrowers' respective borrowing base from time to time. The borrowing base is calculated based on designated percentages of eligible accounts receivable, inventory, and machinery and equipment, subject to applicable reserves. As of September 30, 2011, based on this borrowing base calculation and after deducting \$160 million of outstanding borrowings under the agreement, the face amount of letters of credit outstanding of \$96 million and \$66 million of collateral to secure other banking arrangements, the Company had \$126 million available to borrow under the Second Amended Credit Agreement.

Under the terms of the Credit Facility, the Company has agreed to certain affirmative and negative covenants customary in similar asset-based lending facilities. In the event the Company's excess availability under the Credit Facility borrowing base formula falls below the greater of (a) \$40 million or (b) 12.5% of the commitments under the Credit Facility at any time (Trigger), among other things, the Company must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.1 to 1.0 until the excess availability is greater than the Trigger for 30 consecutive days. As of September 30, 2011, the Company's fixed charge coverage ratio was less than 1.1 to 1.0; however as of September 30, 2011, excess availability was greater than the Trigger. It is the Company's intent to repay its borrowings as necessary to avoid falling below the required threshold. The \$160 million principal outstanding as of September 30, 2011 is recorded within short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt within the Company's Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. The negative covenants limit, under certain circumstances, among other things, the Company's ability to incur additional debt or liens, make certain investments, make shareholder distributions or prepay debt, except as permitted under the terms of the Second Amended Credit Agreement. The Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011.

In addition to the Second Amended Credit Agreement, the Company has other committed and uncommitted lines of credit as of September 30, 2011 totaling \$14 million and \$79 million, respectively. These lines primarily support operational and borrowing needs of the Company's

subsidiaries, which include term loans, overdraft coverage, revolving credit lines, letters of credit, bank guarantees and vendor financing programs. Interest rates and other terms of borrowing under these lines of credit vary from country to country, depending on local market conditions. As of September 30, 2011, usage under these lines was approximately \$25 million all of which were supporting non-debt related obligations.

The Credit Facility contains events of default customary in similar asset based lending facilities. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, the Lenders may decline to provide additional advances, impose a default rate of interest, declare all amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility immediately due and payable, and require cash collateralization or similar arrangements for outstanding letters of credit.

NOTE 6: INCOME TAXES

The Company's income tax provision (benefit) and effective tax rate were as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ (222)	\$ 28	\$ (690)	\$ 132
Effective tax rate	0.0 %	253.6 %	5.8 %	168.9 %
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$ 0	\$ 71	\$ (40)	\$ 223
(Benefit) provision for income taxes @ 35%	\$ (78)	\$ 10	\$ (242)	\$ 46
Difference between tax at effective vs. statutory rate	\$ 78	\$ 61	\$ 202	\$ 177

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the difference between the Company's recorded benefit and the benefit that would result from applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily attributable to: (1) losses generated within the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (2) a provision associated with legislative tax rate changes in a jurisdiction outside the U.S., (3) a provision related to withholding taxes on undistributed earnings, and (4) a benefit as a result of the Company reaching a settlement with a taxing authority in a location outside the U.S.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company concluded that the undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries would no longer be considered permanently reinvested. After assessing the assets of the subsidiaries relative to the specific opportunities for reinvestment, as well as the forecasted uses of cash for both its domestic and foreign operations, the Company concluded that it was prudent to change its indefinite reinvestment assertion to allow for greater flexibility in its cash management.

As a result of the change in its assertion the Company recorded an estimated deferred tax liability (net of related foreign tax credits) of \$373 million on the foreign subsidiaries' undistributed earnings. This deferred tax liability was fully offset by a corresponding decrease in the Company's U.S. valuation allowance, which resulted in no net impact on the tax provision. The Company also recorded a provision of \$35 million for the potential foreign withholding taxes on the undistributed earnings.

During the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company agreed to terms with an outside U.S. tax authority and settled audits for calendar years 2001 through 2002. For these years, the Company originally recorded liabilities

for uncertain tax positions (UTP) totaling \$56 million (plus interest of approximately \$43 million). The settlement resulted in a net reduction in Accrued income and other taxes and the recognition of a \$94 million tax benefit.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the difference between the Company's recorded benefit and the benefit that would result from applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily attributable to: (1) losses generated within the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (2) a provision associated with the establishment of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance outside the U.S., (3) a provision associated with legislative tax rate changes in a jurisdiction outside the U.S., (4) a provision related to withholding taxes on undistributed earnings, (5) a benefit associated with the release of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance in a certain jurisdiction outside the U.S., (6) tax accounting impacts related to items reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, and (7) changes in audit reserves and settlements.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company agreed to terms with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and settled federal audits for calendar years 2001 through 2005. For these years, the Company originally recorded federal and related state liabilities for UTP totaling \$115 million (plus interest of approximately \$25 million). The settlement resulted in a reduction in Accrued income and other taxes (including the UTP previously noted) of \$296 million, the recognition of a \$50 million tax benefit, and a reduction in net deferred tax assets of \$246 million. The Company will receive a net federal refund of approximately \$2 million and estimates that it will pay \$23 million over the next several months to satisfy state obligations as amended state returns are filed.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that a portion of the deferred tax assets outside the U.S. would not be realized and accordingly, recorded a tax provision of \$22 million associated with the establishment of a valuation allowance on those deferred tax assets.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the difference between the Company's recorded provision and the benefit that would result from applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily attributable to: (1) withholding taxes related to licensing revenue, (2) losses generated within the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (3) the mix of earnings from operations in certain lower-taxed jurisdictions outside the U.S., and (4) changes in audit settlements and reserves.

NOTE 7: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental

The Company's undiscounted accrued liabilities for future environmental investigation, remediation, and monitoring costs are composed of the following items:

(in millions)	September 30, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Eastman Business Park site, Rochester, NY	\$ 50	\$ 53
Other operating sites	11	11
Sites associated with former operations	19	20
Sites associated with the non-imaging health businesses sold in 1994	18	19
Total	\$ 98	\$ 103

These amounts are reported in Other long-term liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

Cash expenditures for the aforementioned investigation, remediation and monitoring activities are expected to be incurred over the next thirty years for most of the sites. For these known environmental liabilities, the accrual reflects the Company's best estimate of the amount it will incur under the agreed-upon or proposed work plans. The Company's cost estimates were determined using the ASTM Standard E 2137-06, "Standard Guide for Estimating Monetary Costs and Liabilities for Environmental Matters," and have not been reduced by possible recoveries from third parties. The overall method includes the use of a probabilistic model which forecasts a range of cost estimates and a single most probable cost estimate for the remediation required at individual sites. For the purposes of establishing Company-level environmental reserves, the single most probable cost estimate for each site is used. All projects are closely monitored and the models are reviewed as significant events occur or at least once per year. The Company's estimate includes investigations, equipment and operating costs for remediation and long-term monitoring of the sites.

The Company is presently designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (Superfund Law), or under similar state laws, for environmental assessment and cleanup costs as the result of the Company's alleged arrangements for disposal of hazardous substances at seven Superfund sites. Numerous other PRPs have also been designated at all of these sites. Although the law imposes joint and several liability on PRPs, the Company's historical experience demonstrates that these costs are shared with other PRPs. Settlements and costs paid by the Company in Superfund matters to date have not been material.

Among these matters is a case in which the Company has been named by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency as a PRP with potential liability for the study and remediation of the Lower Passaic River Study Area portion of the Diamond Alkali Superfund Site. Additionally, the Company has been named as a third-party defendant (along with approximately 300 other entities) in an action initially brought by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection in the Supreme Court of New Jersey, Essex County seeking recovery of all costs associated with the investigation, removal, cleanup and damage to natural resources resulting from the disposal of various forms of chemicals in the Passaic River. The total costs (for all parties involved) to clean up the Passaic River could potentially be as high as several billions of dollars. Based on currently available information, the Company is unable to determine the likelihood or reasonably estimate a range of loss pertaining to this matter at this time.

Estimates of the amount and timing of future costs of environmental remediation requirements are by their nature imprecise because of the continuing evolution of environmental laws and regulatory requirements, the availability and application of technology, the identification of presently unknown remediation sites and the allocation of costs among the potentially responsible parties. Based on information presently available, the Company does not believe it is reasonably possible that losses for known exposures could exceed current accruals by material amounts, although costs could be material to a particular quarter or year, with the possible exception of matters related to the Passaic River which are described above.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding letters of credit of \$96 million issued under the Second Amended Credit Agreement, as well as bank guarantees and letters of credit of \$17 million, surety bonds in the amount of \$14 million, and cash and investments in trust of \$32 million, primarily to ensure the payment of possible casualty and workers' compensation claims, environmental liabilities, legal contingencies, rental payments, and to support various customs, tax and trade activities. The restricted cash and investment amounts are recorded within Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

The Company's Brazilian operations are involved in governmental assessments of indirect and other taxes in various stages of litigation, primarily related to federal and state value-added taxes. The Company is disputing these matters

and intends to vigorously defend its position. Based on the opinion of legal counsel and current reserves already recorded for those matters deemed probable of loss, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will materially impact the Company's results of operations or

financial position. The Company routinely assesses all these matters as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability in its Brazilian operations and records its best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where it assesses the likelihood of loss as probable. As of September 30, 2011, the unreserved portion of these contingencies, inclusive of any related interest and penalties, for which there was at least a reasonable possibility that a loss may be incurred, amounted to approximately \$70 million.

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including commercial, customs, employment, environmental, and health and safety matters, which are being handled and defended in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company is subject to various assertions, claims, proceedings and requests for indemnification concerning intellectual property, including patent infringement suits involving technologies that are incorporated in a broad spectrum of the Company's products. These matters are in various stages of investigation and litigation and are being vigorously defended. Although the Company does not expect that the outcome in any of these matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations, litigation is inherently unpredictable. Therefore, judgments could be rendered or settlements entered, that could adversely affect the Company's operating results or cash flow in a particular period. The Company routinely assesses all its litigation and threatened litigation as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability, and records its best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where it assesses the likelihood of loss as probable.

NOTE 8: GUARANTEES

The Company guarantees debt and other obligations of certain customers. The debt and other obligations are primarily due to banks and leasing companies in connection with financing of customers' purchases of equipment and product from the Company. At September 30, 2011, the maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted) that the Company could be required to make under these customer-related guarantees was \$21 million. At September 30, 2011, the carrying amount of any liability related to these customer guarantees was not material.

The customer financing agreements and related guarantees, which mature between the fourth quarter of 2011 and 2016, typically have a term of 90 days for product and short-term equipment financing arrangements, and up to five years for long-term equipment financing arrangements. These guarantees would require payment from the Company only in the event of default on payment by the respective debtor. In some cases, particularly for guarantees related to equipment financing, the Company has collateral or recourse provisions to recover and sell the equipment to reduce any losses that might be incurred in connection with the guarantees. However, any proceeds received from the liquidation of these assets would not cover the maximum potential loss under these guarantees.

The Company also guarantees potential indebtedness to banks and other third parties for some of its consolidated subsidiaries. The maximum amount guaranteed is \$186 million, and the outstanding amount for those guarantees is \$162 million with \$74 million recorded within the Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt, and Long-term debt, net of current portion and \$12 million recorded within the Other current liabilities and Other long-term liabilities components in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. These guarantees expire in 2011 through 2019. Pursuant to the terms of the Company's Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, obligations of the Borrowers to the Lenders under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, as well as secured agreements in an amount not to exceed \$125 million, are guaranteed by the Company and the Company's U.S. subsidiaries and included in the above amounts. These secured agreements totaled \$66 million as of September 30, 2011.

Warranty Costs

The Company has warranty obligations in connection with the sale of its products and equipment. The original warranty period is generally one year or less. The costs incurred to provide for these warranty obligations are estimated and recorded as an accrued

liability at the time of sale. The Company estimates its warranty cost at the point of sale for a given product based on historical failure rates and related costs to repair. The change in the Company's accrued warranty obligations balance, which is reflected in Other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position, was as follows:

(in millions)

Accrued warranty obligations as of December 31, 2010	\$43
Actual warranty experience during 2011	(65)
2011 warranty provisions	58
Accrued warranty obligations as of September 30, 2011	\$36

The Company also offers its customers extended warranty arrangements that are generally one year, but may range from three months to three years after the original warranty period. The Company provides repair services and routine maintenance under these arrangements. The Company has not separated the extended warranty revenues and costs from the routine maintenance service revenues and costs, as it is not practicable to do so. Therefore, these revenues and costs have been aggregated in the discussion that follows. Costs incurred under these arrangements for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 amounted to \$225 million. The change in the Company's deferred revenue balance in relation to these extended warranty and maintenance arrangements from December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011, which is reflected in Other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position, was as follows:

(in millions)

Deferred revenue on extended warranties as of December 31, 2010	\$130
New extended warranty and maintenance arrangements in 2011	322
Recognition of extended warranty and maintenance arrangement revenue in 2011	(330)
Deferred revenue on extended warranties as of September 30, 2011	\$122

NOTE 9: RESTRUCTURING AND RATIONALIZATION LIABILITIES

The Company recognizes the need to continually rationalize its workforce and streamline its operations in the face of ongoing business and economic changes. Charges for restructuring and ongoing rationalization initiatives are recorded in the period in which the Company commits to a formalized restructuring or ongoing rationalization plan, or executes the specific actions contemplated by the plans and all criteria for liability recognition under the applicable accounting guidance have been met.

Restructuring and Ongoing Rationalization Reserve Activity

The activity in the accrued balances and the non-cash charges and credits incurred in relation to restructuring initiatives and ongoing rationalization activities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows:

(in millions)	Severance Reserve	Exit Costs Reserve	Long-lived Asset Impairments and Inventory Write-downs	Accelerated Depreciation	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$22	\$20	\$ -	\$ -	42
Q1 2011 charges	30	2	1	2	35
Q1 2011 utilization/cash payments	(14)	(3)	(1)	(2)	(20)
Q1 2011 other adjustments & reclasses (1)	(11)	1	-	-	(10)
Balance as of March 31, 2011	27	20	-	-	47
Q2 2011 charges	22	7	1	6	36
Q2 2011 utilization/cash payments	(17)	(2)	(1)	(6)	(26)
Q2 2011 other adjustments & reclasses (2)	(5)	-	-	-	(5)
Balance as of June 30, 2011	27	25	-	-	52
Q3 2011 charges	14	3	-	1	18
Q3 2011 utilization/cash payments	(12)	(4)	-	(1)	(17)
Q3 2011 other adjustments & reclasses (3)	(4)	-	-	-	(4)
Balance as of September 30, 2011	\$25	\$24	\$ -	\$ -	\$49

- (1) The \$(10) million includes \$(12) million for severance-related charges for pension plan curtailments, settlements, and special termination benefits, which are reflected in Pension and other postretirement liabilities and Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. The remaining \$2 million reflects foreign currency translation adjustments.
- (2) The \$(5) million includes \$(6) million for severance-related charges for pension plan curtailments, settlements, and special termination benefits, which are reflected in Pension and other postretirement liabilities and Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. The remaining \$1 million reflects foreign currency translation adjustments.
- (3) The \$(4) million includes \$(3) million for severance-related charges for pension plan curtailments, settlements, and special termination benefits, which are reflected in Pension and other postretirement liabilities and Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. The remaining \$(1) million reflects foreign currency translation adjustments.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the \$18 million of charges include \$1 million for accelerated depreciation which was reported in Cost of sales in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. The remaining costs incurred of \$17 million were reported as Restructuring costs, rationalization and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The severance and exit costs reserves require the outlay of cash, while long-lived asset impairments, accelerated depreciation and inventory write-downs represent non-cash items.

The third quarter 2011 severance costs related to the elimination of approximately 175 positions, including approximately 125 manufacturing/service positions, and 50 administrative positions. The geographic composition of these positions includes approximately 100 in the United States and Canada, and 75 throughout the rest of the world.

The charges of \$18 million recorded in the third quarter of 2011 included \$10 million applicable to FPEG, \$4 million applicable to GCG, \$1 million applicable to CDG, and \$3 million that was applicable to manufacturing, research and development, and administrative functions, which are shared across all segments.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the \$89 million of charges include \$9 million of charges for accelerated depreciation and \$1 million of charges for inventory write-downs which were reported in Cost of sales in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. The remaining costs incurred of \$79 million were reported as Restructuring costs, rationalization and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The severance and exit costs reserves require the outlay of cash, while long-lived asset impairments, accelerated depreciation and inventory write-downs represent non-cash items.

The severance costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to the elimination of approximately 950 positions, including approximately 550 manufacturing/service positions, 325 administrative positions, and 75 research and development positions. The geographic composition of these positions includes approximately 600 in the United States and Canada, and 350 throughout the rest of the world.

The charges of \$89 million recorded in the first three quarters of 2011 included \$39 million applicable to FPEG, \$19 million applicable to GCG, \$5 million applicable to CDG, and \$26 million that was applicable to manufacturing, research and development, and administrative functions, which are shared across all segments.

As a result of these initiatives, the majority of the severance will be paid during periods through the end of 2012 since, in some instances, the employees whose positions were eliminated can elect or are required to receive their payments over an extended period of time. In addition, certain exit costs, such as long-term lease payments, will be paid over periods throughout 2012 and beyond.

NOTE 10: RETIREMENT PLANS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Components of the net periodic benefit cost for all major funded and unfunded U.S. and Non-U.S. defined benefit plans for the three and nine months ended September 30 are as follows:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
	U.S.	Non-U.S.	U.S.	Non-U.S.	U.S.	Non-U.S.	U.S.	Non-U.S.
Major defined benefit plans:								
Service cost	\$13	\$4	\$12	\$4	\$38	\$12	\$36	\$12
Interest cost	63	46	66	43	190	137	198	131
Expected return on plan assets	(109)	(54)	(118)	(52)	(327)	(159)	(356)	(156)
Amortization of:								
Recognized prior service cost	-	1	-	-	1	3	-	-
Recognized net actuarial loss	18	13	1	10	52	39	3	27
Pension (income) expense before special termination benefits, curtailments, and settlements	(15)	10	(39)	5	(46)	32	(119)	14
Special termination benefits	2	-	15	-	19	1	21	1
Curtailment gain	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1)
Settlement loss	-	10	-	-	-	10	-	1
Net pension (income) expense	(13)	20	(24)	5	(27)	43	(98)	15
Other plans including unfunded plans	-	3	-	2	-	9	-	8
Total net pension (income) expense from continuing operations	\$(13)	\$23	\$(24)	\$7	\$(27)	\$52	\$(98)	\$23

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, \$2 million and \$15 million, respectively, of special termination benefits charges were incurred as a result of the Company's restructuring actions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, \$20 million and \$22 million, respectively, of special termination benefits charges were incurred as a result of the Company's restructuring actions. These charges have been included in Restructuring costs, rationalization and other in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. In addition, of the \$10 million in settlement losses for the major funded and unfunded U.S. and Non-U.S. defined benefit plans for the nine

months ended September 30, 2011, \$1 million was incurred as a result of the Company's restructuring actions and is included in Restructuring costs, rationalization and other in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The Company made contributions (funded plans) or paid benefits (unfunded plans) totaling approximately \$94 million relating to its major U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company expects its contribution (funded plans) and benefit payment (unfunded plans) requirements for its major U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans for the balance of 2011 to be approximately \$18 million.

Postretirement benefit costs for the Company's U.S., United Kingdom and Canada postretirement benefit plans, which represent the Company's major postretirement plans, includes:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$-	\$-	\$1	\$-
Interest cost	16	18	49	54
Amortization of:				
Prior service credit	(20)	(19)	(59)	(57)
Recognized net actuarial loss	8	7	24	21
Total net postretirement benefit expense	\$4	\$6	\$15	\$18

The Company paid benefits totaling approximately \$88 million relating to its U.S., United Kingdom and Canada postretirement benefit plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The Company expects to pay benefits of approximately \$32 million for these postretirement plans for the balance of 2011.

Certain of the Company's retirement plans were remeasured during the first three quarters of 2011. The remeasurement of the funded status of those plans increased the Company's recognized defined benefit and other postretirement benefit plan obligation by \$9 million.

NOTE 11: OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (INCOME), NET

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Expenses (income):				
Gain on sale of certain image sensor patents	\$-	\$-	\$(62)	\$-
Goodwill impairment (1)	8	-	8	-
Other	4	(3)	(5)	(1)
Total	\$12	\$(3)	\$(59)	\$(1)

(1) Refer to Note 4, "Goodwill", in the Notes to Financial Statements.

On March 31, 2011, the Company sold patents and patent applications related to CMOS image sensors to OmniVision Technologies Inc. for \$65 million. The Company recognized a gain, net of transaction costs, of \$62 million from this transaction.

NOTE 12: EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share computations are based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. As a result of the net loss from continuing operations presented for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively, the Company calculated diluted earnings per share using weighted-average basic shares outstanding for those periods, as utilizing diluted shares would be anti-dilutive to loss per share. Weighted-average basic shares outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were 268.9 million. Weighted-average basic shares outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were 268.5 million and 268.4 million, respectively.

If the Company had reported earnings from continuing operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, approximately 3.6 million potential shares of the Company's common stock from unvested share-based awards would have been included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. However, potential shares of the Company's common stock related to the assumed conversion of

(1) approximately 17.3 million outstanding employee stock options, (2) approximately 40.0 million outstanding detachable warrants to purchase common shares, and (3) approximately \$313 million of convertible senior notes due 2017 would still have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as these securities were anti-dilutive.

If the Company had reported earnings from continuing operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, approximately 2.0 million potential shares of the Company's common stock from unvested share-based awards would have been included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. However, potential shares of the Company's common stock related to the assumed conversion of (1) approximately 18.7 million outstanding employee stock options, (2) approximately 40.0 million outstanding detachable warrants to purchase common shares, and (3) approximately \$313 million of convertible senior notes due 2017 would still have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as these securities were anti-dilutive.

NOTE 13: SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company has 950 million shares of authorized common stock with a par value of \$2.50 per share, of which 391 million shares had been issued as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Treasury stock at cost consisted of approximately 121 million and 122 million shares as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Net Operating Loss Rights Agreement

On August 1, 2011, the Company entered into a Net Operating Loss (NOL) Rights Agreement (NOL Rights Agreement) designed to preserve stockholder value and tax assets. The Company's ability to use its tax attributes to offset tax on U.S. taxable income would be substantially limited if there were an "ownership change" as defined under Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. In general, an ownership change would occur if "5-percent shareholders," as defined under Section 382, collectively increase their ownership in the Company by more than 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period.

In connection with the adoption of the NOL Rights Agreement, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right for each outstanding share of the Company's common stock. The preferred share purchase rights were distributed to stockholders of record as of August 11, 2011, but would only be activated if triggered by the NOL Rights Agreement.

Under the NOL Rights Agreement, preferred share purchase rights will work to impose significant dilution upon any person or group which acquires beneficial ownership of 4.9% or more of the outstanding common stock, without the approval of the Company's Board of Directors, from and after August 1, 2011. Stockholders that own 4.9% or more of the outstanding common stock as of the opening of business on August 1, 2011, will not trigger the preferred share purchase rights so long as they do not (i) acquire additional shares of common stock representing one one-thousandth of one percent (0.001%) or more of the shares of common stock then outstanding or (ii) fall under 4.9% ownership of common stock and then re-acquire shares that in the aggregate equal 4.9% or more of the common stock.

The NOL Rights Agreement has a three-year term, although the Company's Board of Directors will review the plan periodically.

Comprehensive Loss

(in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net loss	\$(222)	\$(43)	\$(647)	\$(92)
Realized and unrealized loss from hedging activity, net of tax and reclassifications	(8)	(3)	(7)	(5)
Currency translation adjustments	(7)	39	11	55
Pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligation activity, net of tax	1	(3)	52	(154)
Total comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$(236)	\$(10)	\$(591)	\$(196)

NOTE 14: SEGMENT INFORMATION

Current Segment Reporting Structure

The Company has three reportable segments: Consumer Digital Imaging Group (CDG), Graphic Communications Group (GCG), and Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group (FPEG). The balance of the Company's continuing operations, which individually and in the aggregate do not meet the criteria of a reportable segment, are reported in All Other. A description of the segments follows.

Consumer Digital Imaging Group Segment (CDG): CDG encompasses digital still and video cameras, digital devices such as picture frames, kiosks, APEX drylab systems, and related consumables and services, consumer inkjet printing systems, Kodak Gallery products and services, and imaging sensors. CDG also includes the licensing activities related to the Company's intellectual property in digital imaging products.

Graphic Communications Group Segment (GCG): GCG encompasses workflow software and digital controllers; digital printing, which includes commercial inkjet and electrophotographic products, including equipment, consumables and service; prepress consumables; prepress equipment and packaging solutions; business solutions and consulting services; and document scanners.

Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group Segment (FPEG): FPEG encompasses consumer and professional film, one-time-use cameras, aerial and industrial materials, and entertainment imaging products and services. In addition, this segment also includes paper and output systems, and photofinishing services.

Change in Segment Measure of Profit and Loss

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company changed its segment measure of profit and loss to exclude certain components of pension and other postretirement obligations (OPEB). As a result of this change, the operating segment results exclude the interest cost, expected return on plan assets, amortization of actuarial gains and losses, and special termination benefit, curtailment and settlement components of pension and OPEB expense. The service cost and amortization of prior service cost components will continue to be reported as part of operating segment results.

Prior period segment results have been revised to reflect this change.

Segment financial information is shown below:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

Net sales from continuing operations:

Consumer Digital Imaging Group	\$408	\$664	\$1,142	\$1,986
Graphic Communications Group	665	659	1,975	1,916
Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group	389	433	1,152	1,323
Consolidated total	\$1,462	\$1,756	\$4,269	\$5,225

(in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010

(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before interest expense, other income (charges), net and income taxes:

Consumer Digital Imaging Group	\$(90)	\$67	\$(350)	\$345
Graphic Communications Group	(55)	(35)	(171)	(92)
Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group	15	28	2	86
All Other	(1)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Total of reportable segments	(131)	59	(520)	337
Restructuring costs, rationalization and other	(18)	(29)	(89)	(54)
Corporate components of pension and OPEB (expense) income	(13)	25	(25)	73
Other operating (expenses) income, net	(12)	3	59	1
Legal contingencies and settlements	-	-	-	(10)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	-	-	-	(102)
Interest expense	(41)	(38)	(117)	(117)
Other income (charges), net	(7)	8	2	4
Consolidated (loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	\$(222)	\$28	\$(690)	\$132

(in millions)	As of September 30, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Segment total assets:		
Consumer Digital Imaging Group	\$ 1,063	\$ 1,126
Graphic Communications Group	1,625	1,566
Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group	1,070	1,090
Total of reportable segments	3,758	3,782
Cash and marketable securities	865	1,628
Deferred income tax assets	479	815
All Other/corporate items	-	1
Consolidated total assets	\$ 5,102	\$ 6,226

NOTE 15: FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents the carrying amounts, estimated fair values, and location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position for the Company's financial instruments:

(in millions)	Balance Sheet Location	Assets			
		September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
		Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Marketable securities:					
Available-for-sale (1)	Other current assets and Other long-term assets	\$8	\$8	\$10	\$10
Held-to-maturity (2)	Other current assets and Other long-term assets	30	30	8	8
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity contracts (1)	Receivables, net	-	-	2	2
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts (1)	Receivables, net	4	4	11	11
Foreign exchange contracts (1)	Other long-term assets	-	-	1	1
Liabilities					
(in millions)	Balance Sheet Location	Liabilities			
		September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
		Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt (2)	Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt	\$210	\$187	\$50	\$51
Long-term borrowings, net of current portion (2)	Long-term debt, net of current portion	1,356	836	1,195	1,242
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:					
Commodity contracts (1)	Other current liabilities	7	7	-	-
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:					
Foreign exchange contracts (1)	Other current liabilities	10	10	8	8

- (1) Recorded at fair value.
- (2) Recorded at historical cost.

The Company does not utilize financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

Fair value

The fair values of marketable securities are determined using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 fair value measurements). Fair values of the Company's forward contracts are determined using other observable inputs (Level 2 fair value measurements), and are based on the present value of expected future cash flows (an income approach valuation technique) considering the risks involved and using discount rates appropriate for the duration of the contracts. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized based on the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer. There were no transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Fair values of long-term borrowings are determined by reference to quoted market prices, if available, or by pricing models based on the value of related cash flows discounted at current market interest rates. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, and payables (which are not shown in the table above) approximate their fair values.

Foreign exchange

Foreign exchange gains and losses arising from transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity involved are included in Other income (charges), net in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. The net effects of foreign currency transactions, including changes in the fair value of foreign exchange contracts, are shown below:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net (loss) gain	\$ (7) \$ 5	\$ -	\$ (6)

Derivative financial instruments

The Company, as a result of its global operating and financing activities, is exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and interest rates, which may adversely affect its results of operations and financial position. The Company manages such exposures, in part, with derivative financial instruments.

Foreign currency forward contracts are used to mitigate currency risk related to foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, especially those of the Company's International Treasury Center. Silver forward contracts are used to mitigate the Company's risk to fluctuating silver prices. The Company's exposure to changes in interest rates results from its investing and borrowing activities used to meet its liquidity needs.

The Company's financial instrument counterparties are high-quality investment or commercial banks with significant experience with such instruments. The Company manages exposure to counterparty credit risk by requiring specific minimum credit standards and diversification of counterparties. The Company has procedures to monitor the credit exposure amounts. The maximum credit exposure at September 30, 2011 was not significant to the Company.

In the event of a default under the Company's Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, or one of the Company's Indentures, or a default under any derivative contract or similar obligation of the Company, the derivative counterparties would have the right, although not the obligation, to require immediate settlement of some or all open derivative contracts at their then-current fair value, but with liability positions netted against asset positions with the

same counterparty. At September 30, 2011, the Company had open

derivative contracts in liability positions with a total fair value of \$17 million.

The location and amounts of pre-tax gains and losses related to derivatives reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations are shown in the following tables:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships (in millions)	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) For the three months ended September 30,		Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI Into Cost of Sales (Effective Portion) For the three months ended September 30,		Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) For the three months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Commodity contracts	\$ (4)	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -
Foreign exchange contracts	-	(2)	-	-	-	-
	For the nine months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Commodity contracts	\$ 5	\$ 4	\$ 12	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -
Foreign exchange contracts	-	(2)	-	-	-	-

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments (in millions)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative			
		For the three months ended September 30,		For the nine months ended September 30,	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
Foreign exchange contracts	Other income (charges), net	\$ (6)	\$ (7)	\$ 4	\$ 24

Foreign currency forward contracts

Certain of the Company's foreign currency forward contracts used to mitigate currency risk related to existing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities are not designated as hedges, and are marked to market through net (loss) earnings at the same time that the exposed assets and liabilities are remeasured through net (loss) earnings (both in Other income (charges), net). The notional amount of such contracts open at September 30, 2011 was approximately \$1,051 million. The majority of the contracts of this type held by the Company are denominated in euros and British pounds.

Silver forward contracts

The Company enters into silver forward contracts that are designated as cash flow hedges of commodity price risk related to forecasted purchases of silver. The value of the notional amounts of such contracts open at September 30, 2011 was \$38 million. Hedge gains and losses related to these silver forward contracts are reclassified into cost of sales as the related silver-containing products are sold to third parties. These gains or losses transferred to cost of sales are generally offset by increased or decreased costs of silver purchased in the open market. The amount of existing gains and losses at September 30, 2011 to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months is a net loss of \$5 million. At September 30, 2011, the Company had hedges of a portion of its forecasted purchases through May 2012.

NOTE 16: ACQUISITION

On March 1, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of the relief plates business of Tokyo Ohka Kogyo Co., Ltd, for a purchase price of approximately \$27 million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition expands and enhances the Company's capabilities to serve customers, particularly in the packaging industry. The acquired relief plates business is part of the Company's Prepress Solutions strategic product group within the GCG segment. This acquisition was immaterial to the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2011, and its results of operations and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

The Company's estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition exceeded the purchase price by \$5 million. This amount was recorded as a gain from a bargain purchase within Other income (charges), net in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

The Company's digital growth strategy is centered around exploiting its competitive advantage at the intersection of materials science and digital imaging science. The Company has leading market positions in large markets including digital printing plates, scanners, digital still and video cameras, and kiosks. In addition, the Company has been introducing differentiated value propositions in new growth markets that are in need of transformation. These digital growth initiatives are consumer inkjet within the Consumer Digital Imaging Group (CDG) segment and commercial inkjet, workflow software and services, and packaging solutions within the Graphic Communications Group (GCG) segment. While these digital growth initiatives have largely required investment, revenue in these product lines grew 19% in the first three quarters of 2011 versus the comparable period in the prior year. The Company expects to continue to gain scale in these product lines to enable a more significant and profitable contribution from them.

The Company has been using cash received from operations, including intellectual property licensing, and the sale of non-core assets, to fund its investment in the consumer and commercial inkjet businesses and its transformation from a traditional film manufacturing company to a digital technology company. In July 2011, the Company announced that it is exploring strategic alternatives related to its digital imaging patent portfolios. As this process proceeds, the Company will continue to pursue its patent licensing program as well as all litigation related to its digital imaging patents. The Company faces short-term uncertainty relating to certain of the Company's intellectual property licensing activities pending the outcome of the infringement litigation against Apple Inc. and Research in Motion Ltd. before the International Trade Commission.

Revenue and profitability for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 declined primarily due to non-recurring intellectual property licensing arrangements in the prior year period, and were also negatively impacted by

industry-related volume declines and increased commodity costs, particularly silver, in the Film, Photofinishing, and Entertainment Group (FPEG) segment. The Company has been utilizing price increases and silver-indexed pricing models, as well as continuing its silver hedging program to mitigate the impact of historically high

silver prices on FPEG. Given the time necessary to implement these actions, results were affected more positively in the third quarter from the pricing actions than in the first half of 2011. The Company expects to continue to see positive impacts from pricing actions in the fourth quarter of 2011. Revenue declines were also impacted by competitive pricing pressures and participation choices made by the Company in digital cameras within CDG.

While some of the revenue decline was offset by revenue growth in consumer inkjet and GCG, profitability was also negatively impacted by the ongoing investment in the consumer and commercial inkjet businesses, as the Company continues to grow its installed base of printing equipment.

The Company has taken the following actions in 2011 to enhance its cash position:

- Issued \$250 million of Senior Secured Notes due 2019. The proceeds from this issuance were used to repurchase \$50 million of Senior Notes due 2013, with the remaining amount being used for other general corporate purposes.
- Entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with its lenders, which extended the asset-based revolving credit facility and favorably amended certain covenants and subsequently initiated a draw of \$160 million under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.
 - Completed sales of certain non-strategic businesses and assets and received \$94 million of cash.

Approximately 5% of the Company's 2010 annual revenues were generated in Japan. In March 2011, a major earthquake occurred off the coast of Japan, triggering a tsunami that caused widespread damage to the country's infrastructure. The Company's assets in Japan were not materially damaged by these events. The aftermath of this natural disaster impacted the Company's supply chain, customers, and suppliers, primarily within Prepress Solutions. However, the Company believes that the impact on suppliers and components used in products that are sold globally has been largely mitigated.

Kodak Operating Model and Reporting Structure

The Company has three reportable segments: Consumer Digital Imaging Group (CDG), Graphic Communications Group (GCG), and Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group (FPEG). Within each of the Company's reportable segments are various components, or Strategic Product Groups (SPGs). Throughout the remainder of this document, references to the segments' SPGs are indicated in italics. The balance of the Company's continuing operations, which individually and in the aggregate do not meet the criteria of a reportable segment, are reported in All Other. A description of the segments is as follows:

Consumer Digital Imaging Group Segment (CDG): This segment provides a full range of digital imaging products and service offerings to consumers. CDG encompasses the following SPGs. Products and services included within each SPG are identified below.

Digital Capture and Devices includes digital still and pocket video cameras, digital picture frames, accessories, branded licensed products, imaging sensors, and licensing activities related to the Company's intellectual property in digital imaging products.

Consumer Inkjet Systems includes consumer inkjet printers and related ink and media consumables.

Retail Systems Solutions includes kiosks, APEX drylab systems, and related consumables and services.

Consumer Imaging Services includes Kodak Gallery products and photo sharing services.

Graphic Communications Group Segment (GCG): GCG serves a variety of customers in the creative, in-plant, data center, commercial printing, packaging, newspaper and digital service bureau market segments with a range of

software, media and hardware products that provide customers with a variety of solutions for prepress equipment, workflow software, analog and digital printing, and document

scanning. GCG encompasses the following SPGs. Products and services included within each SPG are identified below.

Prepress Solutions includes digital and traditional prepress equipment, consumables, including plates, chemistry and media, related services, and packaging solutions.

Digital Printing Solutions includes high-speed, high-volume commercial inkjet, and color and black-and-white electrophotographic printing equipment and related consumables and services.

Business Services and Solutions includes workflow software and digital controllers, document scanning products and services and related maintenance offerings. Also included in this SPG are the activities related to the Company's business solutions and consulting services.

Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group Segment (FPEG): This segment provides consumers, professionals, cinematographers, and other entertainment imaging customers with film-related products and services. FPEG encompasses the following SPGs. Products and services included within each SPG are identified below.

Entertainment Imaging includes entertainment imaging products and services.

Traditional Photofinishing includes paper and output systems and photofinishing services.

Industrial Materials includes aerial and industrial film products, film for the production of printed circuit boards, and specialty chemicals.

Film Capture includes consumer and professional film and one-time-use cameras.

Change in Segment Measure of Profit and Loss

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company changed its segment measure of profit and loss to exclude certain components of pension and other postretirement obligations (OPEB). As a result of this change, the operating segment results exclude the interest cost, expected return on plan assets, amortization of actuarial gains and losses, and special termination benefit, curtailment and settlement components of pension and OPEB expense. The service cost and amortization of prior service cost components will continue to be reported as part of operating segment results.

Prior period segment results have been revised to reflect this change.

Net Sales from Continuing Operations by Reportable Segment

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	2010	% Change	Foreign Currency Impact*	2011	2010	Change	Foreign Currency Impact*	
Consumer Digital Imaging Group									
Inside the U.S.	\$186	\$430	-57	% 0	% \$523	\$1,369	-62	% 0	%
Outside the U.S.	222	234	-5	+4	619	617	0	+5	
Total Consumer Digital Imaging Group	408	664	-39	+2	1,142	1,986	-42	+1	
Graphic Communications Group									
Inside the U.S.	173	220	-21	0	550	599	-8	0	
Outside the U.S.	492	439	+12	+7	1,425	1,317	+8	+7	
Total Graphic Communications Group	665	659	+1	+5	1,975	1,916	+3	+5	
Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group									
Inside the U.S.	119	129	-8	0	323	413	-22	0	
Outside the U.S.	270	304	-11	+5	829	910	-9	+4	
Total Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group	389	433	-10	+3	1,152	1,323	-13	+3	
Consolidated									
Inside the U.S.	478	779	-39	0	1,396	2,381	-41	0	
Outside the U.S.	984	977	+1	+6	2,873	2,844	+1	+5	
Consolidated Total	\$1,462	\$1,756	-17	% +3	% \$4,269	\$5,225	-18	% +3	%

* Represents the percentage point change in segment net sales for the period that is attributable to foreign currency fluctuations

(Loss) Earnings from Continuing Operations Before Interest Expense, Other Income (Charges), Net and Income Taxes by Reportable Segment and All Other

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Consumer Digital Imaging Group	\$ (90)	\$ 67	-234 %	\$ (350)	\$ 345	-201 %
Graphic Communications Group	(55)	(35)	-57 %	(171)	(92)	-86 %
Film, Photofinishing and Entertainment Group	15	28	-46 %	2	86	-98 %
All Other	(1)	(1)	0 %	(1)	(2)	50 %
Total	\$ (131)	\$ 59	-322 %	\$ (520)	\$ 337	-254 %
Percent of Sales	(9)%	3 %		(12)%	6 %	
Restructuring costs, rationalization and other	(18)	(29)		(89)	(54)	
Corporate components of pension and OPEB (expense) income	(13)	25		(25)	73	
Other operating (expenses) income, net	(12)	3		59	1	
Legal contingencies and settlements	-	-		-	(10)	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	-	-		-	(102)	
Interest expense	(41)	(38)		(117)	(117)	
Other income (charges), net	(7)	8		2	4	
Consolidated (loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ (222)	\$ 28	-892 %	\$ (690)	\$ 132	-623 %

2011 COMPARED WITH 2010

Third Quarter and Year to Date

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – CONTINUING OPERATIONS

CONSOLIDATED

(dollars in millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change
Net sales	\$1,462		\$1,756		-17 %	\$4,269		\$5,225		-18 %
Cost of sales	1,255		1,282		-2 %	3,726		3,655		2 %
Gross profit	207	14 %	474	27 %	-56 %	543	13 %	1,570	30 %	-65 %
Selling, general and administrative expenses	284	19 %	313	18 %	-9 %	884	21 %	935	18 %	-5 %
Research and development costs	68	5 %	82	5 %	-17 %	214	5 %	241	5 %	-11 %
Restructuring costs, rationalization and other	17		24		-29 %	79		48		65 %
Other operating expenses (income), net	12		(3)		-500 %	(59)		(1)		5800 %
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before interest expense, other income (charges), net and income taxes	(174)	-12 %	58	3 %	-400 %	(575)	-13 %	347	7 %	-266 %
Interest expense	41		38		8 %	117		117		0 %
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	-		-			-		102		
Other income (charges), net	(7)		8			2		4		-50 %
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	(222)		28		-893 %	(690)		132		-623 %
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	-		71			(40)		223		
Loss from continuing operations	(222)	-15 %	(43)	-2 %	-416 %	(650)	-15 %	(91)	-2 %	-614 %
Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	-		-			3		(1)		400 %
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY	\$(222)		\$(43)		-416 %	\$(647)		\$(92)		-603 %

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010				Manufacturing and Other Costs
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010	Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange			
Net sales	\$1,462	-17 %	-7 %	-13 %	3 %	n/a		
Gross profit margin	14 %	-13pp	n/a	-10pp	1pp	-4pp		

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Percent Change vs. 2010				Manufacturing and Other Costs
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010	Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange		
Net sales	\$ 4,269	-18 %	-4 %	-18 %	4 %	n/a	
Gross profit margin	13 %	-17pp	n/a	-15pp	1pp	-3pp	

Revenues

Current Quarter

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, net sales decreased approximately 17% compared with the same period in 2010 due to a decline in the CDG segment (-15%) primarily driven by the prior year non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreement as discussed below. Also contributing to the decline were industry-related volume declines within the FPEG segment (-4%), and volume declines within Digital Capture and Devices (-4%) in the CDG segment, due to the continued focus on improved profitability versus revenue growth. Partially offsetting these decreases were volume increases within Consumer Inkjet Systems (+2%) in the CDG segment. The volume increases experienced by the Company outpaced the consumer printing industry, which management believes is reflective of how the Company's value proposition continues to resonate with customers.

Included in revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was a non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreement in the CDG segment. This licensing agreement contributed approximately \$210 million to revenues in the third quarter of 2010. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements in the CDG segment in the current year period. In July 2011, the Company announced that it is exploring strategic alternatives, including a potential sale, related to its digital imaging patent portfolios. As this process proceeds, the Company will continue to pursue its patent licensing program as well as all litigation related to its digital imaging patents.

Year to Date

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net sales decreased approximately 18% compared with the same period in 2010 due to a decline in the CDG segment (-16%) primarily driven by lower revenue from non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements, as discussed below. Also contributing to the decrease in net sales were industry-related volume declines within the FPEG segment (-4%), and volume declines within Digital Capture and Devices (-2%) in the CDG segment, due to the participation choices noted above. Partially offsetting these decreases were volume increases within Consumer Inkjet Systems (+2%) in the CDG segment. The volume increases experienced by the Company outpaced the consumer printing industry, which management believes is reflective of how the Company's value proposition continues to resonate with customers.

Included in revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements in the CDG segment. These licensing agreements contributed approximately \$760 million to revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements in the CDG segment in the current year period.

Gross Profit

Current Quarter

The decrease in gross profit margin for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the prior year quarter was driven by unfavorable price/mix within the CDG segment (-10pp), primarily due to the decrease in revenue from the non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreement as discussed below. Also contributing to the decline in gross profit margin were higher commodity-related costs (-4pp), primarily within the FPEG segment related to silver.

Included in gross profit for the prior year quarter was a non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreement in the CDG segment. This licensing agreement contributed approximately \$210 million to gross profit in the prior year quarter. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements in the CDG segment in the current year period.

Year to Date

The decrease in gross profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the prior year period was driven by unfavorable price/mix within the CDG segment (-14pp), largely due to the decrease in revenue from the non-recurring intellectual property agreements as discussed below. Also contributing to the decline in gross profit margin were higher commodity-related costs (-4pp), primarily within the FPEG segment related to silver. Partially offsetting these declines were ongoing cost improvements within the CDG segment (+2pp).

Included in gross profit in the prior year nine-month period were non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements in the CDG segment. These licensing agreements contributed approximately \$760 million to gross profit in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements in the CDG segment in the current year period.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The decreases in consolidated selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the prior year periods were primarily attributable to decreases in advertising expense for the current quarter and year-to-date periods, particularly in the CDG segment.

Research and Development Costs

The decreases in consolidated research and development (R&D) costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the prior year periods were primarily due to the continued rationalization and refocusing of investments.

Restructuring Costs, Rationalization and Other

These costs, as well as the restructuring and rationalization-related costs reported in Cost of sales, are discussed under the "RESTRUCTURING COSTS, RATIONALIZATION AND OTHER" section.

Other Operating Expenses (Income), Net

Current Quarter

The change in Other operating expenses (income), net for the three months ended September 30, 2011 is primarily due to the goodwill impairment charge of \$8 million recorded during the current quarter.

Year to Date

The change in Other operating expenses (income), net for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily reflects a gain of approximately \$62 million related to the sale of CMOS image sensor patents and patent applications in the first quarter of 2011.

Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt, Net

On March 5, 2010, the Company issued \$500 million of aggregate principal amount of 9.75% senior secured notes due March 1, 2018. The net proceeds of this issuance were used to repurchase all \$300 million of the 10.5% senior secured notes due 2017 and \$200 million of 7.25% senior notes due 2013 (collectively, the "Notes"). The Company recognized a net loss of \$102 million on the early extinguishment of the Notes in the first three quarters of 2010, representing the difference between the carrying values of the Notes and the costs to repurchase.

Income Tax Provision (Benefit)

(dollars in millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	\$(222)	\$28	\$(690)	\$132
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	\$0	\$71	\$(40)	\$223
Effective tax rate	0.0 %	253.6 %	5.8 %	168.9 %

Current Quarter

The change in the Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for the quarter is primarily attributable to: (1) a benefit as a result of the Company reaching a settlement with a taxing authority in a location outside the U.S. in the three months ended September 30, 2011, (2) withholding taxes related to a non-recurring licensing agreement entered into in the three months ended September 30, 2010, (3) losses generated in the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (4) a provision associated with legislative tax rate changes in a jurisdiction outside the U.S. in the three months ended September 30, 2011, (5) a provision related to withholding taxes on undistributed earnings in the three months ended September 30, 2011, and (6) the mix of earnings from operations in certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. in the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Year to Date

The change in the Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is primarily attributable to: (1) a benefit associated with the U.S. Internal Revenue Service federal audit settlement for calendar years 2001 through 2005 in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (2) withholding taxes related to non-recurring licensing agreements entered into in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, (3) a benefit as a result of the Company reaching a settlement with a taxing authority in a location outside the U.S. in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (4) tax accounting impacts related to items reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (5) a benefit associated with the release of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance in a jurisdiction outside of the U.S. in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (6) a provision related to withholding taxes on undistributed earnings in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (7) losses generated in the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (8) a provision associated with the establishment of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance outside the U.S. in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (9) a provision associated with legislative tax rate changes in a jurisdiction outside

the U.S. in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, (10) the mix of earnings from operations in certain

jurisdictions outside the U.S. in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, and (11) other changes in audit reserves and settlements.

CONSUMER DIGITAL IMAGING GROUP

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change
Net sales	\$408		\$664		-39 %	\$1,142		\$1,986		-42 %
Cost of sales	364		419		-13 %	1,061		1,124		-6 %
Gross profit	44	11 %	245	37 %	-82 %	81	7 %	862	43 %	-91 %
Selling, general and administrative expenses	102	25 %	132	20 %	-23 %	327	29 %	384	19 %	-15 %
Research and development costs	32	8 %	46	7 %	-30 %	104	9 %	133	7 %	-22 %
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before interest expense, other income (charges), net and income taxes	\$(90)	-22 %	\$67	10 %	-234 %	\$(350)	-31 %	\$345	17 %	-201 %

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010			
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010		Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange	Manufacturing and Other Costs
Net sales	\$408	-39 %	%	-6 %	-34 %	1 %	n/a
Gross profit margin	11 %	-26pp	n/a		-32pp	2pp	4pp

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010			
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010		Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange	Manufacturing and Other Costs
Net sales	\$1,142	-42 %	%	-2 %	-42 %	2 %	n/a

Gross profit margin	7	%	-36pp	n/a	-45pp	2pp	7pp
---------------------	---	---	-------	-----	-------	-----	-----

Revenues

Current Quarter

CDG's third quarter revenue decline of approximately 39% was primarily attributable to unfavorable price/mix, driven by a decrease in non-recurring intellectual property licensing revenue (-32%). Also contributing to the decline were lower volumes in Digital Capture and Devices (-10%), largely reflective of the focus on improved profitability versus revenue growth. Partially offsetting these declines were volume improvements in Consumer Inkjet Systems (+4%). Total revenues for Consumer Inkjet Systems grew 39% from the prior year quarter. The volume increases experienced by the Company outpaced the consumer printing industry, which management believes

is reflective of how the Company's value proposition continues to resonate with customers.

Included in revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was a non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreement within Digital Capture and Devices. This licensing agreement contributed approximately \$210 million to revenues in the third quarter of 2010. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements within Digital Capture and Devices in the current year period. In July 2011, the Company announced that it is exploring strategic alternatives, including a potential sale, related to its digital imaging patent portfolios. As this process proceeds, the Company will continue to pursue its patent licensing program as well as all litigation related to its digital imaging patents.

Year to Date

CDG's year-to-date revenue decline of approximately 42% was primarily attributable to unfavorable price/mix in Digital Capture and Devices, driven by a decrease in non-recurring intellectual property royalty revenues (-38%) and competitive pricing pressures for digital cameras (-2%). Also contributing to the decline were lower volumes in Digital Capture and Devices (-6%), largely reflective of the focus on improved profitability versus revenue growth. Partially offsetting these declines were higher volumes within Consumer Inkjet Systems (+5%), due to positive customer response as noted above. Total revenues for Consumer Inkjet Systems grew 45% from the prior year period. The volume increases experienced by the Company outpaced the consumer printing industry, which management believes is reflective of how the Company's value proposition continues to resonate with customers.

Included in revenues for the prior year nine-month period were non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements within Digital Capture and Devices. These licensing agreements contributed approximately \$760 million of revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements within Digital Capture and Devices in the current year period.

Gross Profit

Current Quarter

The decrease in gross profit margin for the quarter was primarily the result of unfavorable price/mix within Digital Capture and Devices, driven by lower non-recurring intellectual property royalty revenues (-29pp), as discussed below. These declines were partially offset by ongoing cost improvements within Consumer Inkjet Systems (+5pp) due to improved quality and component cost reductions.

Included in gross profit for the prior year quarter was a non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreement within Digital Capture and Devices. This licensing agreement contributed approximately \$210 million to gross profit in the prior year quarter. There were no non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements within Digital Capture and Devices in the current year period.

Year to Date

The decrease in gross profit margin for the nine-month period was primarily the result of unfavorable price/mix within Digital Capture and Devices, driven by lower non-recurring intellectual property royalty revenues (-35pp). Additionally, unfavorable price/mix due to competitive pricing pressures within Digital Capture and Devices, (-2pp), and for printers within Consumer Inkjet Systems (-2pp), contributed to the decline. These declines were partially offset by ongoing cost improvements within Consumer Inkjet Systems (+6pp) due to improved quality and component cost reductions.

Included in gross profit for the prior year nine-month period were non-recurring intellectual property licensing agreements within Digital Capture and Devices. These licensing agreements contributed approximately \$760 million to gross profit in the nine months ended September 30, 2010. There were no non-recurring intellectual property

licensing agreements within Digital Capture and Devices in the current year period.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The decreases in SG&A for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the prior year periods were primarily attributable to decreases in advertising expense for the current year.

Research and Development Costs

The decreases in R&D costs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the prior year periods were primarily due to the continued rationalization and refocusing of investments.

GRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS GROUP

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change
Net sales	\$665		\$659		1 %	\$1,975		\$1,916		3 %
Cost of sales	547		511		7 %	1,611		1,460		10 %
Gross profit	118	18 %	148	22 %	-20 %	364	18 %	456	24 %	-20 %
Selling, general and administrative expenses	136	20 %	141	21 %	-4 %	421	21 %	428	22 %	-2 %
Research and development costs	37	6 %	42	6 %	-12 %	114	6 %	120	6 %	-5 %
Loss from continuing operations before interest expense, other income (charges), net and income taxes	\$(55)	-8 %	\$(35)	-5 %	-57 %	\$(171)	-9 %	\$(92)	-5 %	-86 %

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010						
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010		Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange	Manufacturing and Other Costs			
Net sales	\$665	1 %	0 %	-4 %	5 %	n/a				
Gross profit margin	18 %	-4pp	n/a	-3pp	0pp	-1pp				

Nine Months Ended

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form 10-Q

	September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010				Manufacturing and Other Costs
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010	Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange			
Net sales	\$1,975	3 %	2 %	-3 %	4 %	n/a		
Gross profit margin	18 %	-6pp	n/a	-1pp	0pp	-5pp		

Revenues

Current Quarter

The increase in GCG net sales of approximately 1% for the quarter was driven by the impact of favorable foreign exchange (+5%), and volume increases in Prepress Solutions (+2%), primarily due to continued growth in Flexcel NX packaging solutions. Partially offsetting these increases was unfavorable price/mix in Prepress Solutions (-3%), largely due to continued pricing pressures within the industry, and Business Services and Solutions (-1%), primarily due to mix related to document scanning equipment and related services. In addition, volume declines in Digital Printing Solutions (-1%) were driven by decreased sales of VL systems in the current year period as compared with the prior year.

Year to Date

The increase in GCG net sales of approximately 3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily driven by the impact of favorable foreign exchange (+4%), and volume increases in Prepress Solutions (+2%). The growth in Prepress Solutions was largely due to increased print demand in emerging markets and continued growth in Flexcel NX packaging solutions. Partially offsetting these increases was unfavorable price/mix in Prepress Solutions (-3%) largely due to pricing pressures within the industry.

Gross Profit

Current Quarter

The decrease in GCG gross profit margin for the quarter was due to increased manufacturing and other costs within Digital Printing Solutions (-2pp), largely attributable to continuing start-up costs associated with the stabilization of the PROSPER printing systems. In addition, higher costs within Prepress Solutions, attributable to increased aluminum costs (-2pp), as well as unfavorable price/mix in Prepress Solutions (-2pp), largely due to pricing pressures within the industry, also contributed to the decline. Partially offsetting these declines were manufacturing cost improvements within Prepress Solutions (+1pp) and Business Services and Solutions (+1pp).

Year to Date

The decrease in GCG gross profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was driven by higher manufacturing and other costs (-5pp), primarily due to increased costs in Digital Printing Solutions (-3pp), attributable to continuing start-up costs associated with the stabilization of the PROSPER printing systems, and higher costs in Prepress Solutions (-1pp), driven by increased distribution and aluminum costs.

FILM, PHOTOFINISHING AND ENTERTAINMENT GROUP

(dollars in millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change	2011	% of Sales	2010	% of Sales	% Change
Net sales	\$389		\$433		-10 %	\$1,152		\$1,323		-13 %
Cost of sales	330		352		-6 %	1,011		1,072		-6 %
Gross profit	59	15 %	81	19 %	-27 %	141	12 %	251	19 %	-44 %
Selling, general and administrative expenses	41	11 %	48	11 %	-15 %	130	11 %	149	11 %	-13 %
	3	1 %	5	1 %	-40 %	9	1 %	16	1 %	-44 %

Research and development costs																
Earnings from continuing operations before interest expense, other income (charges), net and income taxes	\$15	4	%	\$28	6	%	-46	%	\$2	0	%	\$86	7	%	-98	%

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010					
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010	Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange	Manufacturing and Other Costs			
Net sales	\$389	-10 %	-18 %	4 %	4 %	n/a			
Gross profit margin	15 %	-4pp	n/a	5pp	1pp	-10pp			

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			Percent Change vs. 2010					
	2011 Amount	Change vs. 2010	Volume	Price/Mix	Foreign Exchange	Manufacturing and Other Costs			
Net sales	\$1,152	-13 %	-14 %	-1 %	2 %	n/a			
Gross profit margin	12 %	-7pp	n/a	-1pp	1pp	-7pp			

Revenues

Current Quarter

The decrease in net sales for FPEG for the quarter was attributable to industry-related volume declines (-18%). Partially offsetting these declines was favorable price/mix, primarily due to pricing actions (+6%) implemented to mitigate rising commodity costs, primarily related to silver.

Year to Date

The decrease in net sales for FPEG for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily attributable to industry-related volume declines (-14%) and unfavorable price/mix (-1%), which is attributable to favorable pricing actions (+3%) in the current period, largely offset by price/mix declines in the segment in the first half of the year (-4%) due to competitive pricing pressures.

Gross Profit

Current Quarter

The decrease in gross profit margin for FPEG was driven by higher commodity costs (-12pp), due primarily to higher silver costs in the current quarter as compared with the previous year period. Partially offsetting these declines was favorable price/mix (+5pp), due to pricing actions implemented to mitigate rising commodity costs as noted above.

Year to Date

The decrease in gross profit margin for FPEG for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was driven by higher commodity costs (-11pp), due primarily to higher silver costs in the current quarter as compared with the previous

year period. Partially offsetting this decline was a benefit related to the change in useful life (+2pp).

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The declines in SG&A expenses for FPEG for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were primarily attributable to the results of focused cost reduction actions.

RESTRUCTURING COSTS, RATIONALIZATION AND OTHER

The Company recognizes the need to continually rationalize its workforce and streamline its operations in the face of ongoing business and economic changes.

The Company recorded \$18 million of charges, including \$1 million for accelerated depreciation which was reported in Cost of sales in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The Company recorded \$89 million of charges, including \$9 million of charges for accelerated depreciation and \$1 million of charges for inventory write-downs which were reported in Cost of sales in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The remaining costs incurred of \$17 million and \$79 million were reported as Restructuring costs, rationalization and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. The severance and exit costs reserves require the outlay of cash, while long-lived asset impairments, accelerated depreciation and inventory write-downs represent non-cash items.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company made cash payments related to restructuring and rationalization of approximately \$16 million and \$52 million, respectively.

The charges of \$18 million recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2011 included \$10 million applicable to FPEG, \$4 million applicable to GCG, \$1 million applicable to CDG, and \$3 million that was applicable to manufacturing, research and development, and administrative functions, which are shared across all segments. The charges of \$89 million recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 included \$39 million applicable to FPEG, \$19 million applicable to GCG, \$5 million applicable to CDG, and \$26 million that was applicable to manufacturing, research and development, and administrative functions, which are shared across all segments.

The restructuring actions implemented in the third quarter of 2011 are expected to generate future annual cash savings of approximately \$16 million. These savings are expected to reduce future annual Cost of sales, SG&A, and R&D expenses by \$9 million, \$6 million, and \$1 million, respectively. The Company began realizing a portion of these savings in the third quarter of 2011, and expects the majority of the annual savings to be in effect by the end of 2011 as actions are completed.

The restructuring actions implemented in the first three quarters of 2011 are expected to generate future annual cash savings of approximately \$83 million. These savings are expected to reduce future annual Cost of sales, SG&A, and R&D expenses by \$43 million, \$32 million, and \$8 million, respectively. The Company began realizing a portion of these savings in the first half of 2011, and expects the majority of the annual savings to be in effect by the end of 2011 as actions are completed.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

(in millions)	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 862	\$ 1,624

Cash Flow Activity

(in millions)	Nine Months Ended		Change
	2011	September 30, 2010	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net cash used in continuing operations	(1,028)	(504)	\$(524)
Net cash used in discontinued operations	(10)	-	(10)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,038)	(504)	(534)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Net cash used in investing activities	(40)	(64)	24
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	301	(63)	364
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	15	4	11
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$(762)	\$(627)	\$(135)

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities increased \$534 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the corresponding period in 2010. Cash received for non-recurring licensing arrangements, net of applicable withholding taxes, was \$426 million lower in the first three quarters of 2011 as compared with the corresponding period in 2010. Additionally, the annual contribution payment of \$58 million to the U.K. pension plan was made in the second quarter of 2011, but was a fourth quarter payment in 2010.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$24 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2010, due primarily to the increase in proceeds from sales of businesses/assets of \$77 million, partially offset by cash used for a business acquisition of \$27 million and the funding of a restricted cash account of \$22 million with no such cash usage in the prior year.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$364 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared with the corresponding period in 2010 primarily due to the first quarter net borrowing of approximately \$200 million and the third quarter draw on the Company's Credit Facility, as defined below, of \$160 million. Refer to discussion below for more details on current period financing activities.

Sources of Liquidity

The Company has been using cash generated from operations, including intellectual property licensing, and the sale of non-core assets to fund its investment in the consumer and commercial inkjet businesses and its transformation from a traditional film manufacturing company to a digital technology company. The Company faces an uncertain business environment and a number of substantial challenges, including the level of investment necessary to support growth in

its consumer and commercial inkjet businesses, historically high commodity costs, aggressive price competition, secular decline in the Company's traditional film businesses, the cost to restructure the Company to enable sustainable profitability, underfunded and unfunded defined benefit and other postretirement benefit plans, and short-term uncertainty

relating to certain of the Company's intellectual property licensing activities pending the outcome of the infringement litigation against Apple Inc. and Research in Motion Ltd. before the International Trade Commission. The Company is actively addressing these challenges through a variety of means, including hedge strategies and re-pricing and/or indexing of contracts related to commodity pricing, and the introduction of differentiated products. Additionally, in July, 2011, the Company announced that it is exploring strategic alternatives, including a potential sale, related to its digital imaging patent portfolios. As this process proceeds, the Company will continue to pursue its patent licensing program as well as all litigation related to its digital imaging technology. A sale of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolios, which would accelerate the monetization of the digital imaging patent portfolios that has been implemented largely through licensing transactions, could result in the cessation of license revenue related to these patents.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011 the Company has supplemented cash on hand with additional debt to fund operations, while pursuing asset sales, intellectual property licenses, and a sale of, or other strategic alternative with, its digital imaging patent portfolio. On March 15, 2011, the Company issued \$250 million of aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes due March 15, 2019 (2019 Senior Secured Notes), \$50 million of which was used to repurchase debt that was due in 2013. Due to higher than forecasted operating losses, delays in completing planned asset sales and the uncertainty noted above, the Company initiated a draw of \$160 million under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (Second Amended Credit Agreement) on September 23, 2011.

The Company has no financial covenants related to its debt, other than a fixed charge coverage ratio covenant in the Second Amended Credit Agreement. That fixed charge coverage ratio covenant is only applicable if the Company's borrowing base formula, as stipulated by the agreement, falls below a specified threshold. As of September 30, 2011 the borrowing base formula is in excess of the specified threshold, and therefore the covenant is not applicable. Based on the Company's current financial forecasts it is reasonably likely that the Company, in the fourth quarter of 2011, will need to repay approximately \$50 million of its existing borrowings under the Second Amended and Restated Credit agreement to maintain excess availability above this threshold.

Cash and cash equivalents are held in various locations throughout the world. At December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2011, approximately \$580 million and \$230 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents were held within the U.S. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 approximately \$310 million of cash was repatriated, or loaned, from foreign subsidiaries to the U.S., net of loans and repayments of loans to foreign subsidiaries, at an incremental cash tax cost of \$4 million. The Company utilizes a variety of tax planning and financing strategies in an effort to ensure that cash is available in locations where it is needed; however, as of September 30, 2011 cash balances held outside of the U.S. are generally required to support local country operations and are therefore not available for operations in other jurisdictions. Additionally, in China, where approximately \$320 million in cash and cash equivalents was held as of September 30, 2011, there are limitations related to net asset balances that impact the ability to make cash available to other jurisdictions in the world. The Company plans to meet its current U.S. liquidity needs through existing cash balances, operating earnings from foreign subsidiaries, including Chinese subsidiaries, when available, proceeds from planned sales of businesses and assets, and through monetization of its digital imaging patent portfolio.

As opportunities arise the Company may seek to incur new debt. The Company is exploring first lien financing arrangements to supplement or replace its existing first lien facilities that would result in up to approximately \$500 million of incremental liquidity. Under the Company's borrowing arrangements, additional debt can be incurred to support its ongoing operational needs, subject to certain restrictions and limitations. The Company's ability to access debt markets depends on multiple factors, including the economic environment, the Company's operating performance, and the Company's credit ratings. The Company can provide no assurance that it will be able to access debt markets on favorable or acceptable terms and no decision has been reached on whether to pursue any particular financing strategy.

If the Company is unable to sell its digital imaging patent portfolio at an appropriate price, it will pursue additional licensing opportunities related to that patent portfolio. Additionally, if liquidity needs require, the Company could slow its rate of investment in its digital growth initiatives and/or pursue the sale of certain of its cash generating businesses that have leading market positions in large markets. The Company's ability to continue its operations, including the Company's ability to fund working capital, capital investments, scheduled interest

and debt repayments, restructuring payments, and employee benefit plan payments or required plan contributions, within the next twelve months is dependent upon the ability to monetize its digital imaging patent portfolio through a sale or licensing of the relevant patents and/or the successful execution of the alternative actions, which could include the issuance of additional debt, listed above. There is uncertainty regarding whether the Company can, and the Company can provide no assurance that it will, successfully execute the actions listed above.

Liens on assets under the Company's borrowing arrangements are not expected to affect the Company's strategy of divesting non-core assets.

Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On April 26, 2011, the Company and its subsidiary, Kodak Canada, Inc. (together the "Borrowers"), together with the Company's U.S. subsidiaries as guarantors (Guarantors), entered into a Second Amended Credit Agreement, with the named lenders (Lenders) and Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, in order to amend and extend its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 31, 2009, as amended (Amended Credit Agreement).

The Second Amended Credit Agreement provides for an asset-based Canadian and U.S. revolving credit facility (Credit Facility) of \$400 million (\$370 million in the U.S. and \$30 million in Canada). Additionally, up to \$125 million of the Company's and its subsidiaries' obligations to Lenders under treasury management services, hedge or other agreements or arrangements are secured by the collateral under the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility can be used for ongoing working capital and other general corporate purposes. The termination date of the Credit Facility is the earlier of (a) April 26, 2016 or (b) August 17, 2013, to the extent that the 2013 Notes have not been redeemed, defeased or otherwise satisfied by that date.

Advances under the Second Amended Credit Agreement are available based on the Borrowers' respective borrowing base from time to time. The borrowing base is calculated based on designated percentages of eligible accounts receivable, inventory, and machinery and equipment, subject to applicable reserves. As of September 30, 2011, based on this borrowing base calculation and after deducting the face amount of letters of credit outstanding of \$96 million, \$66 million of collateral to secure other banking arrangements and \$160 million of outstanding borrowings under the agreement, the Company had \$126 million available to borrow.

Under the terms of the Credit Facility, the Company has agreed to certain affirmative and negative covenants customary in similar asset-based lending facilities. In the event the Company's excess availability under the Credit Facility borrowing base formula falls below the greater of (a) \$40 million or (b) 12.5% of the commitments under the Credit Facility at any time (Trigger), among other things, the Company must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.1 to 1.0 until the excess availability is greater than the Trigger for 30 consecutive days. As of September 30, 2011, the Company's fixed charge coverage ratio was less than 1.1 to 1.0; however, as of September 30, 2011, excess availability was greater than the Trigger by \$76 million. It is the Company's intent to repay its borrowings, as necessary, under the Credit Facility to avoid falling below the required threshold. It is anticipated that the Company will repay approximately \$50 million during the fourth quarter of 2011, using available cash on hand. The negative covenants limit, under certain circumstances, among other things, the Company's ability to incur additional debt or liens, make certain investments, make shareholder distributions or prepay debt, except as permitted under the terms of the Second Amended Credit Agreement. The Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011.

The Credit Facility contains events of default customary in similar asset based lending facilities. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, the Lenders may decline to provide additional advances, impose a default rate of interest, declare all amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility immediately due and payable, and require cash collateralization or similar arrangements for outstanding letters of credit.

In addition to the Second Amended Credit Agreement, the Company has other committed and uncommitted lines of credit as of September 30, 2011 totaling \$14 million and \$79 million, respectively. These lines primarily support operational and borrowing needs of the Company's subsidiaries, which include term loans, overdraft coverage, revolving credit lines, letters of credit, bank guarantees and vendor financing programs. Interest rates and other terms of borrowing under these lines of credit vary from country to country, depending on local market

conditions. As of September 30, 2011, usage under these lines was approximately \$25 million all of which were supporting non-debt related obligations.

Issuance of Senior Secured Notes due 2019

On March 15, 2011, the Company issued \$250 million of aggregate principal amount of 10.625% senior secured notes due March 15, 2019 (2019 Senior Secured Notes). The Company will pay interest at an annual rate of 10.625% of the principal amount at issuance, payable semi-annually in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning on September 15, 2011.

Upon issuance of the 2019 Senior Secured Notes, the Company received proceeds of \$247 million (\$250 million aggregate principal less \$3 million stated discount). The proceeds were used to repurchase \$50 million of the 7.25% Senior Notes due 2013 with the remaining amount being used for other general corporate purposes.

The Indenture contains covenants limiting, among other things, the Company's ability and the ability of the Company's restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to (subject to certain exceptions and qualifications): incur additional debt or issue certain preferred stock; pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock or make other restricted payments; make principal payments on, or purchase or redeem subordinated indebtedness prior to any scheduled principal payment or maturity; make certain investments; sell certain assets; create liens on assets; consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of the Company's and its subsidiaries' assets; enter into certain transactions with affiliates; and designate the Company's subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2011.

Refer to Note 5, "Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt," in the Notes to Financial Statements for additional information about the Company's credit facilities and other banking arrangements.

Credit Quality

Moody's and Standard & Poor's (S&P) ratings for the Company, including their outlooks, as of the filing date of this Form 10-Q are as follows:

	Corporate Rating	Secured Rating	Senior Unsecured Rating	Outlook	Most Recent Update
Moody's	Caa2	B3	Caa3	Negative	Sept. 27, 2011
S&P	CCC	CCC	CC	Negative	March 25, 2011

On September 27, 2011, Moody's downgraded all of its debt ratings for the Company, citing ongoing weakness in the Company's core business operations. This downgrade was triggered by the Company's decision to draw down \$160 million from its \$400 million secured revolving credit line on September 23, 2011. The ratings firm lowered the Company's Corporate credit rating to Caa2 from Caa1, and its Senior Unsecured rating to Caa3 from Caa2. In addition, Moody's lowered the Company's Secured rating to B3 from B1. Moody's outlook on the Company's ratings remains negative.

On February 28, 2011, S&P lowered the Company's Corporate, Secured, and Senior Unsecured credit rating from B- to CCC, B- to CCC, and CCC to CC, respectively. These ratings were removed from CreditWatch with negative implications, where it was placed on January 26, 2011. S&P's outlook on the Company's credit ratings is negative.

On March 25, 2011, S&P issued a rating of CCC on the Company's 2019 Senior Secured Notes.

On March 3, 2011, Moody's lowered the Company's Corporate, Secured, and Senior Unsecured credit ratings from B3 to Caal, Ba3 to B1, and Caa1 to Caa2, respectively. Moody's outlook on the Company's credit rating was revised from stable to negative.

On March 9, 2011, Moody's issued a B1 rating on the Company's 2019 Senior Secured Notes.

The Company does not have any rating downgrade triggers that would accelerate the maturity dates of its debt. However, the Company could be required to increase the dollar amount of its letters of credit or provide other financial support up to an additional \$4 million at the current credit ratings. As of the filing date of this Form 10-Q, the Company has not materially increased its letters of credit or other financial support. Downgrades in the Company's credit rating or disruptions in the capital markets could impact borrowing costs and the nature of its funding alternatives.

Contractual Obligations

Eastman Kodak Company (EKC) has issued a guarantee to Kodak Limited (Subsidiary) and the Trustees (Trustees) of the Kodak Pension Plan of the United Kingdom (Plan). Under that arrangement, EKC guaranteed to the Subsidiary and the Trustees the ability of the Subsidiary, only to the extent it becomes necessary to do so, to (1) make contributions to the Plan to ensure sufficient assets exist to make plan benefit payments, and (2) make contributions to the Plan such that it will achieve full funded status by the funding valuation for the period ending December 31, 2022.

In connection with the issuance of the guarantee, the Subsidiary agreed to make certain contributions to the Plan as determined by a funding plan agreed to by the Trustees. Under the terms of this agreement, the Subsidiary is obligated to pay a minimum amount of \$50 million to the Plan in each of the years 2011 through 2014, and a minimum amount of \$90 million to the Plan in each of the years 2015 through 2022. Future funding beyond 2022 may be required if the Plan is still not fully funded as determined by the funding valuation for the period ending December 31, 2022. These payment amounts for the years 2015 through 2022 could be lower, and the payment amounts for all years noted could be higher by up to \$5 million, based on the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and British pound. These minimum amounts do not include potential contributions related to tax benefits received by the Subsidiary.

The amount of potential future contributions is dependent on the funding status of the Plan as it fluctuates over the term of the guarantee. The funded status of the Plan may be materially impacted by future changes in the key assumptions used in the valuation of the Plan, particularly the discount rate and expected rate of return on plan assets. A funding valuation and funding plan is required to be submitted to and approved by the United Kingdom Pension Regulator every three years. The 2010 funding valuation is required to be completed by March 31, 2012. The funded status of the Plan (calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP) is included in Pension and other postretirement liabilities presented in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

Other

Refer to Note 7, "Commitments and Contingencies" in the Notes to Financial Statements for discussion regarding the Company's undiscounted liabilities for environmental remediation costs, and other commitments and contingencies including legal matters.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Certain statements in this report may be forward-looking in nature, or "forward-looking statements" as defined in the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. For example, references to the Company's expectations regarding the following are forward-looking statements: revenue; revenue growth; cost of sales; gross margins; savings and expenses from restructuring and rationalization; earnings; cash generation and usage; increased demand and growth of our products, including commercial inkjet,

consumer inkjet, workflow software and packaging printing solutions; potential revenue, cash and earnings from intellectual property licensing; strategic alternatives, including the potential sale of our digital imaging patent portfolios; potential proceeds from asset sales; the impact of the Company's commodity hedging activities and silver price mitigation actions; goodwill and useful lives estimates; environmental contingencies; liquidity and capital resources; debt; net operating loss (NOL) Rights Agreement; and benefit costs.

Future events or results may differ from those anticipated or expressed in these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements include, among others, the following risks, uncertainties, assumptions and factors as described in more detail in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2011, June 30, 2011, and in this report under the headings "Risk Factors," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," and "Cautionary Statement Pursuant to Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Litigation Reform Act of 1995" and in other filings the Company makes with the SEC from time to time:

- Whether we can generate or raise cash and maintain a cash balance sufficient to fund our continued investments, capital needs, restructuring payments and service our debt;
- Whether we can raise sufficient proceeds from the sale of non-core assets and the potential sale of our digital imaging patent portfolios within our plan;
- Whether we are successful in licensing and enforcing our intellectual property rights on which our business depends, or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights which could adversely affect our revenue, earnings, expenses and liquidity;
- The competitive pressures we face which could adversely affect our revenue, gross margins and market share;
- Whether our commercialization and manufacturing processes fail to prevent product reliability and quality issues which could adversely affect our financial results, harm our reputation and delay product launch plans;
- Whether we are successful with the strategic investment decisions we have made which could adversely affect our financial performance;
- Whether we effectively anticipate technology trends and develop and market new products to respond to changing customer preferences which could adversely affect our revenue, earnings and cash flow;
- Continued weakness or worsening of economic conditions which could continue to adversely affect our financial performance and our liquidity;
- Whether we are successful in attracting, retaining and motivating key employees which could adversely affect our revenue and earnings;
 - Whether our future pension and postretirement plan costs and required contribution levels are impacted by changes in actuarial assumptions, future market performance of plan assets or obligations imposed by legislation or pension authorities which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flow;
- Due to the nature of products we sell and our worldwide distribution, we are subject to changes in currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity costs which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial position;
- Whether we are able to provide competitive financing arrangements to our customers or if we extend credit to customers whose creditworthiness deteriorates which could adversely affect our revenue, profitability and financial position;
- Our failure to implement plans to reduce our cost structure in anticipation of declining demand for certain products or delays in implementing such plans which could adversely affect our consolidated results of operations, financial position and liquidity;
- We have outsourced a significant portion of our overall worldwide manufacturing, logistics and back office operations and face the risks associated with reliance on third party suppliers.

The Company cautions readers to carefully consider such factors. Many of these factors are beyond the Company's control. In addition, these forward-looking statements represent the Company's expectations only as of the date they are made, and should not be relied

upon as representing the Company's expectations as of any subsequent date. While the Company may elect to update forward-looking statements at some point in the future, the Company specifically disclaims any obligation to do so, even if its expectations change.

Any forward-looking statements in this report should be evaluated in light of the factors and uncertainties referenced above and should not be unduly relied upon.

Item 3. Quantitative And Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company, as a result of its global operating and financing activities, is exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and interest rates, which may adversely affect its results of operations and financial position. In seeking to minimize the risks associated with such activities, the Company may enter into derivative contracts. The Company does not utilize financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes. Foreign currency forward contracts are used to hedge existing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, especially those of the Company's International Treasury Center, as well as forecasted foreign currency denominated intercompany sales. Silver forward contracts are used to mitigate the Company's risk to fluctuating silver prices. The Company's exposure to changes in interest rates results from its investing and borrowing activities used to meet its liquidity needs. Long-term debt is generally used to finance long-term investments, while short-term debt is used to meet working capital requirements.

Using a sensitivity analysis based on estimated fair value of open foreign currency forward contracts using available forward rates, if the U.S. dollar had been 10% stronger at September 30, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of open forward contracts would have decreased \$12 million and \$24 million, respectively. Such changes in fair value would be substantially offset by the revaluation or settlement of the underlying positions hedged.

Using a sensitivity analysis based on estimated fair value of open silver forward contracts using available forward prices, if available forward silver prices had been 10% lower at September 30, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of open forward contracts would have decreased \$3 million and less than \$1 million, respectively. Such changes in fair value, if realized, would be offset by lower costs of manufacturing silver-containing products.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk primarily through its borrowing activities and, to a lesser extent, through investments in marketable securities. The Company may utilize borrowings to fund its working capital and investment needs. The majority of short-term and long-term borrowings are in fixed-rate instruments. There is inherent roll-over risk for borrowings and marketable securities as they mature and are renewed at current market rates. The extent of this risk is not predictable because of the variability of future interest rates and business financing requirements.

Using a sensitivity analysis based on estimated fair value of short-term and long-term borrowings, if available market interest rates had been 10% (about 229 basis points) lower at September 30, 2011, the fair value of short-term and long-term borrowings would have increased \$3 million and \$68 million, respectively. Using a sensitivity analysis based on estimated fair value of short-term and long-term borrowings, if available market interest rates had been 10% (about 91 basis points) lower at September 30, 2010, the fair value of short-term and long-term borrowings would have increased less than \$1 million and \$54 million, respectively.

The Company's financial instrument counterparties are high-quality investment or commercial banks with significant experience with such instruments. The Company manages exposure to counterparty credit risk by requiring specific minimum credit standards and diversification of counterparties. The Company has procedures to monitor the credit exposure amounts. The maximum credit exposure at September 30, 2011 was not significant to the Company.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's management, with participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company has been named by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) with potential liability for the study and remediation of the Lower Passaic River Study Area (LPRSA) portion of the Diamond Alkali Superfund Site, based on releases from the former Hilton Davis site in Newark and Lehn & Fink operations in Bloomfield, New Jersey. Based on currently available information, the Company is unable to determine the likelihood or reasonably estimate a range of loss pertaining to this matter at this time.

The Company has been named as third-party defendant (along with approximately 300 other entities) in an action initially brought by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) in the Supreme Court of New Jersey, Essex County against Occidental Chemical Corporation and several other companies that are successors in interest to Diamond Shamrock Corporation. The NJDEP seeks recovery of all costs associated with the investigation, removal, cleanup and damage to natural resources occasioned by Diamond Shamrock's disposal of various forms of chemicals in the Passaic River. The damages are alleged to potentially range "from hundreds of millions to several billions of dollars." Pursuant to New Jersey's Court Rules, the defendants were required to identify all other parties which could be subject to permissive joinder in the litigation based on common questions of law or fact. Third-party complaints seeking contribution from more than 300 entities, which have been identified as potentially contributing to the contamination in the Passaic, were filed on February 5, 2009. Based on currently available information, the potential monetary exposure is likely to be in excess of \$100,000. Refer to Note 7, "Commitments and Contingencies," in the Notes to Financial Statements for additional information.

On April 5, 2011, the Company received a complaint and notice of an opportunity for a hearing from the EPA alleging violations of the leak detection and repair (LDAR) and reporting requirements of the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants applicable to Miscellaneous Organic Chemical Manufacturing (MON MACT) and seeking a proposed penalty of \$365,385. The alleged violations related to errors or deviations that the Company had previously identified, corrected and self-reported to the EPA in its semi-annual MON MACT compliance

reports. Kodak and EPA agreed to settle the matter for \$152,000.

On November 20, 2008, Research in Motion Ltd. and Research in Motion Corp. (collectively "RIM") filed a declaratory judgment action against the Company in Federal District Court in the Northern District of Texas. The suit, Research in Motion Limited and Research in Motion Corporation v. Eastman Kodak Company, seeks to invalidate certain Company patents related to digital camera technology and software object linking, and seeks a determination that RIM handheld devices do not infringe such patents. On February 17, 2009, the Company filed its answer and counterclaims for infringement of each of these same patents. A Markman Hearing was held on March 23, 2010. The Court has not yet issued its Markman decision. The Court has rescheduled to March 2012 a trial on merits which was originally scheduled for December 2010.

On January 14, 2010 the Company filed a complaint with the International Trade Commission (ITC) against Apple Inc. and Research in Motion Limited (RIM) for infringement of a patent related to digital camera technology. In the Matter of Certain Mobile Telephones and Wireless Communication Devices Featuring Digital Cameras and Components Thereof, the Company is seeking a limited exclusion order preventing importation of infringing devices including iPHONES and camera enabled BLACKBERRY devices. On February 16, 2010, the ITC ordered that an investigation be instituted to determine whether importation or sale of the accused Apple and RIM devices constitutes violation of the Tariff Act of 1930. A Markman Hearing was held in May 2010. A hearing on the merits occurred in September 2010. In December 2010, as a result of re-examination proceedings initiated by RIM and other parties, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office affirmed the validity of the same patent claim at issue in the ITC investigation. On January 24, 2011, the Company received notice that the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) had issued an initial determination recommending that the Commission find the patent claim at issue invalid and not infringed. The Company petitioned the Commission to review the initial determination of the ALJ. On March 25, 2011, the ITC issued a notice of its decision to review the ALJ's initial determination in its entirety. On June 30, 2011, the Commission issued a decision affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding the case to the ALJ for further proceedings. On October 24, 2011 the investigation was permanently reassigned to a newly appointed ALJ, following the retirement of the ALJ to whom the case was previously assigned. On November 2, 2011, the new ALJ scheduled a teleconference for November 9, 2011 to discuss setting a briefing schedule and a new target date.

On January 14, 2010 the Company filed two suits against Apple Inc. in the Federal District Court in the Western District of New York (Eastman Kodak Company v. Apple Inc.) claiming infringement of patents related to digital cameras and certain computer processes. The Company is seeking unspecified damages and other relief. The case related to digital cameras has been stayed pending the ITC action referenced above. On April 15, 2010, Apple Inc. filed a counterclaim against Kodak claiming infringement of patents related to digital cameras and all-in-one printers.

On April 15, 2010, Apple Inc. filed a complaint in the ITC against Kodak asserting infringement of patents related to digital cameras. In the Matter of Certain Digital Imaging Devices and Related Software, Apple is seeking a limited exclusion order preventing importation of infringing devices. A hearing on the merits before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) was concluded on February 2, 2011. The ALJ issued an initial determination on May 18, 2011, finding that Kodak did not infringe Apple's patents and finding one Apple patent invalid. Apple petitioned to the Internal Trade Commission (ITC) for a review of the ALJ's initial determination. On July 18, 2011, the ITC determined not to review the ALJ's determination. On September 16, 2011, Apple appealed this decision to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

On April 15, 2010 Apple also filed in Federal District Court in the Northern District of California (Apple Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Company) a complaint asserting infringement of the same patents asserted in the ITC. This case has been stayed pending the ITC action appealed to the Federal Circuit referenced above.

On August 26, 2010, Apple filed a claim in California State Court (Santa Clara) claiming ownership of the Kodak patent asserted by Kodak against Apple in the ITC action referenced above. This action was removed to Federal

District Court in the Northern District of California and subsequently dismissed. Apple has amended its Answer in the Western District of New York case pertaining to digital cameras to incorporate its ownership claim.

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including commercial, customs, employment, environmental, and health and safety matters, which are being handled and defended in the ordinary course of business. In

addition, the Company is subject to various assertions, claims, proceedings and requests for indemnification concerning intellectual property, including patent infringement suits involving technologies that are incorporated in a broad spectrum of the Company's products. These matters are in various stages of investigation and litigation, and are being vigorously defended. Although the Company does not expect that the outcome in any of these matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations, litigation is inherently unpredictable. Therefore, judgments could be rendered or settlements entered, that could adversely affect the Company's operating results or cash flows in a particular period. The Company routinely assesses all of its litigation and threatened litigation as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability, and records its best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where it assesses the likelihood of loss as probable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Continued investment, capital needs, restructuring payments and servicing our debt require a significant amount of cash and our ability to generate cash may be affected by factors beyond our control.

Our business may not generate cash flow in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay the principal of, or interest on, our indebtedness, or to fund our other liquidity needs, including working capital, capital expenditures, product development efforts, strategic acquisitions, investments and alliances, and other general corporate requirements.

Our ability to generate cash is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, litigation, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that:

- Our businesses will generate sufficient cash flow from operations;
- our plans to generate cash proceeds through the sale of non-core assets will be successful;
- our ability to generate cash proceeds through the execution of our intellectual property licensing strategies, or the potential sale of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolios will generate sufficient cash proceeds;
 - we will be able to repatriate or move cash to locations where and when it is needed;
- we will realize cost savings, revenue growth and operating improvements resulting from the execution of our long-term strategic plan; or
- future sources of funding will be available to us in amounts sufficient to enable us to fund our liquidity needs.

If we cannot fund our liquidity needs, we will have to take actions such as raising additional capital; reducing or delaying capital expenditures, product development efforts, strategic acquisitions, and investments and alliances; selling additional assets; restructuring or refinancing our debt; or seeking additional equity capital. Such actions could increase our debt, negatively impact our credit ratings and customer confidence in the Company's ability to provide products and services, reduce our ability to raise additional capital, and delay sustained profitability. We cannot assure you that any of these remedies could, if necessary, be affected on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, or that they would permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. Certain of our debt instruments limit the use of the proceeds from any disposition of assets and, as a result, we may not be allowed, under those instruments, to use the proceeds from such dispositions to satisfy all current debt service obligations. In addition, if we incur additional debt, the risks associated with our substantial leverage, including the risk that we will be unable to service our debt or generate enough cash flow to fund our liquidity needs, could intensify.

Our plans to raise cash proceeds from the sale of non-core assets and the potential sale of our digital imaging patent portfolio may be negatively impacted by factors beyond our control.

A number of factors could influence our ability to successfully raise cash through asset sales and the sale of our digital imaging patent portfolio, including the number of potential buyers for these assets, the purchase price such buyers are willing to offer for these assets and their capacity to fund the purchase, the potential impact of an adverse judicial ruling in one of our litigation matters related to one or more of the patents in the digital imaging portfolio, the ability of potential buyers to conclude transactions and potential issues in the closing of transactions due to regulatory or

governmental review processes. One or more of these factors could negatively affect the timing of planned asset sales and the level of cash proceeds derived from the sales which could adversely impact our cash generation and liquidity.

If we cannot continue to license or enforce the intellectual property rights on which our business depends, or if third parties assert that we violate their intellectual property rights, our revenue, earnings, expenses and liquidity may be adversely impacted.

We rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws in the United States and similar laws in other countries, and non-disclosure, confidentiality and other types of agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and other parties, to establish, maintain and enforce our intellectual property rights. Despite these measures, any of our direct or indirect intellectual property rights could, however, be challenged, invalidated, circumvented, infringed or misappropriated, or such intellectual property rights may not be sufficient to permit us to take advantage of current market trends or otherwise to provide competitive advantages, which could result in costly product redesign efforts, discontinuance of certain product offerings or other competitive harm. Further, the laws of certain countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions, we may be unable to protect our proprietary technology adequately against unauthorized third party copying, infringement or use, which could adversely affect our competitive position. Also, because of the rapid pace of technological change in the information technology industry, much of our business and many of our products rely on key technologies developed or licensed by third parties, and we may not be able to obtain or continue to obtain licenses and technologies from these third parties at all or on reasonable terms.

The execution and enforcement of licensing agreements protects our intellectual property rights and provides a revenue stream in the form of up-front payments and royalties that enables us to further innovate and provide the marketplace with new products and services. Our ability to execute our intellectual property licensing strategies, including litigation strategies, such as our legal actions against Apple Inc. and Research in Motion Limited, could affect our revenue, earnings and liquidity. Additionally, the uncertainty around the timing, outcome and magnitude of our intellectual property-related litigation (including our legal action against Apple Inc. and Research in Motion Limited before the International Trade Commission), judgments and settlements could have an adverse effect on our revenues, earnings, and liquidity. A potential sale of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolios could also result in a reduction or the cessation of license revenue related to this patents. Our failure to develop and properly manage new intellectual property could adversely affect our market positions and business opportunities.

We have made substantial investments in new, proprietary technologies and have filed patent applications and obtained patents to protect our intellectual property rights in these technologies as well as the interests of our licensees. There can be no assurance that our patent applications will be approved, that any patents issued will adequately protect our intellectual property or that such patents will not be challenged by third parties.

In addition, third parties may claim that we, our customers, licensees or other parties indemnified by us are infringing upon their intellectual property rights. Such claims may be made by competitors seeking to block or limit our access to digital markets. Additionally, in recent years, individuals and groups have begun purchasing intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from large companies like ours. Even if we believe that the claims are without merit, the claims can be time consuming and costly to defend and distract management's attention and resources. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected products, enter into costly settlement or license agreements or pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our products. Even if we have an agreement to indemnify us against such costs, the indemnifying party may be unable to uphold its contractual obligations. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology at all, license the technology on reasonable terms or substitute similar technology from another source, our revenue and earnings could be adversely impacted. Finally, we use open source software in connection with our products and services. Companies that incorporate open source software into their products have, from time to time, faced claims challenging the ownership of open source software and/or compliance with open source license terms. As a result, we could be subject to suits by parties claiming ownership of what we believe to be open source software or

noncompliance with open source licensing terms. Some open source software licenses require users who distribute open source software as part of their software to publicly disclose all or part of the source code to such software and/or make available any derivative works of the open source code on unfavorable terms or at no cost. Any requirement to disclose our source code or pay damages for breach of contract could be harmful to our business results of operations and financial condition.

The competitive pressures we face could harm our revenue, gross margins and market share.

The markets in which we do business are highly competitive with large, entrenched, and well financed industry participants. In certain markets where Kodak is a relatively new entrant, we have not achieved the scale of distribution that our competitors have. In addition, we encounter aggressive price competition for all our products and services from numerous companies globally. Over the past several years, price competition in the market for digital products, film products and services has been particularly intense as competitors have aggressively cut prices and lowered their profit margins for these products. Our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected by these and other industry wide pricing pressures. If our products, services and pricing are not sufficiently competitive with current and future competitors, we could also lose market share, adversely affecting our revenue and gross margins.

If our commercialization and manufacturing processes fail to prevent product reliability and quality issues, our product launch plans may be delayed, our financial results may be adversely impacted, and our reputation may be harmed.

In developing, commercializing and manufacturing our products and services, we must adequately address reliability and other quality issues, including defects in our engineering, design and manufacturing processes, as well as defects in third-party components included in our products. Because our products are becoming increasingly sophisticated and complicated to develop and commercialize with rapid advances in technologies, the occurrence of defects may increase, particularly with the introduction of new product lines. Unanticipated issues with product performance may delay product launch plans which could result in additional expenses, lost revenue and earnings. Although we have established internal procedures to minimize risks that may arise from product quality issues, there can be no assurance that we will be able to eliminate or mitigate occurrences of these issues and associated liabilities. Product reliability and quality issues can impair our relationships with new or existing customers and adversely affect our brand image, and our reputation as a producer of high quality products could suffer, which could adversely affect our business as well as our financial results. Product quality issues can also result in recalls, warranty, or other service obligations and litigation.

If we are unsuccessful with our strategic investment decisions, our financial performance could be adversely affected. We have made a decision to focus our investments on businesses in large growth markets that are positioned for technology and business model transformation, specifically, consumer inkjet, commercial inkjet (including our Prosper line of products based upon the Company's Stream technology), packaging solutions, and workflow software and services. While we believe each of these businesses has significant growth potential, they also require an initial investment. The introduction of successful innovative products and the achievement of scale are necessary for us to grow these businesses, improve margins and achieve our financial objectives. If we are unsuccessful in growing our investment businesses as planned, our financial performance could be adversely affected.

If we cannot effectively anticipate technology trends and develop and market new products to respond to changing customer preferences, our revenue, earnings and cash flow, could be adversely affected.

We must develop and introduce new products and services in a timely manner to keep pace with technological developments and achieve customer acceptance. If we are unable to anticipate new technology trends, for example in consumer electronics, print advertising, and commercial and consumer printing, and develop improvements to our current technology to address changing customer preferences, this could adversely affect our revenue, earnings and cash flow. Due to changes in technology and customer preferences, the market for traditional film and paper products and services is in decline. Our success depends in part on our ability to manage the decline of the market for these traditional products by continuing to reduce our cost structure to maintain profitability.

Continued weakness or worsening of economic conditions could continue to adversely affect our financial performance and our liquidity.

The global economic recession and declines in consumption in our end markets have adversely affected sales of both commercial and consumer products and profitability for such products. Further, global financial markets have been experiencing volatility. Consumer discretionary spending may not return to pre-recession levels in certain geographies. Continued slower sales of consumer digital products due to the uncertain economic environment could lead to reduced sales and earnings while inventory increases. Economic conditions could

also accelerate the continuing decline in demand for traditional products, which could also place pressure on our results of operations and liquidity. While the Company is seeking to increase sales in markets that have already experienced an economic recovery such as Asia, there is no guarantee that anticipated economic growth levels in those markets will continue in the future, or that the Company will succeed in expanding sales in these markets. In addition, accounts receivable and past due accounts could increase due to a decline in our customers' ability to pay as a result of the economic downturn, and our liquidity, including our ability to use credit lines, could be negatively impacted by failures of financial instrument counterparties, including banks and other financial institutions. If the global economic weakness and tightness in the credit markets continue for a greater period of time than anticipated or worsen, our profitability and related cash generation capability could be adversely affected and, therefore, affect our ability to meet our anticipated cash needs, impair our liquidity or increase our costs of borrowing.

If we cannot attract, retain and motivate key employees, our revenue and earnings could be harmed. In order for us to be successful, we must continue to attract, retain and motivate executives and other key employees, including technical, managerial, marketing, sales, research and support positions. Hiring and retaining qualified executives, research and engineering professionals, and qualified sales representatives, particularly in our targeted growth markets, is critical to our future. The market for experienced employees with digital skills is highly competitive and, therefore, our ability to attract such talent will depend on a number of factors, including compensation and benefits, work location and persuading potential employees that we are well positioned for success in the digital markets in which we are operating. Given our compensation plans are highly performance based and given the potential impact of the global economy on our current and future performance, it may become more challenging to retain key employees. We also must keep employees focused on our strategic initiatives and goals in order to be successful. If we cannot attract properly qualified individuals, retain key executives and employees or motivate our employees, our business could be harmed.

Our future pension and other postretirement benefit plan costs and required level of contributions could be unfavorably impacted by changes in actuarial assumptions, future market performance of plan assets and obligations imposed by legislation or pension authorities which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, and cash flow.

We have significant defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. The funded status of our U.S. and non U.S. defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans, and the related cost reflected in our financial statements, are affected by various factors that are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty, particularly in the current economic environment. Key assumptions used to value these benefit obligations, funded status and expense recognition include the discount rate for future payment obligations, the long term expected rate of return on plan assets, salary growth, healthcare cost trend rates, and other economic and demographic factors. Significant differences in actual experience, or significant changes in future assumptions or obligations imposed by legislation or pension authorities could lead to a potential future need to contribute cash or assets to our plans in excess of currently estimated contributions and benefit payments and could have an adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Due to the nature of the products we sell and our worldwide distribution, we are subject to changes in currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity costs that may adversely impact our results of operations and financial position.

As a result of our global operating and financing activities, we are exposed to changes in currency exchange rates and interest rates, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial position. Exchange rates and interest rates in markets in which we do business tend to be volatile and at times, our sales can be negatively impacted across all of our segments depending upon the value of the U.S. dollar, the Euro and other major currencies. In addition, our products contain silver, aluminum, petroleum based or other commodity-based raw materials, the prices of which have been and may continue to be volatile. If the global economic situation remains uncertain or worsens, there could be further volatility in changes in currency exchange rates, interest rates and commodity prices, which could have

negative effects on our revenue and earnings.

Our substantial leverage could adversely affect our ability to fulfill our debt obligations and may place us at a competitive disadvantage in our industry.

Our significant debt and debt service requirements could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and may limit our ability to take advantage of potential business opportunities. A breach of any of the covenants contained in our Credit Agreement or our other financing arrangements, or our inability to comply with the required financial ratio in our Credit Agreement, when applicable, could result in an event of default under the Credit Agreement or our other financing arrangements, subject to applicable grace and cure periods. If any event of default occurs and we are not able either to cure it or obtain a waiver from the requisite lenders under the Credit Agreement and noteholders under our other financing arrangements, the administrative agent of the Credit Agreement may, and at the request of the requisite lenders shall, and the trustee or the requisite noteholders under our other financing arrangements may, and at the request of the requisite noteholders shall, declare all of our outstanding obligations under the Credit Agreement and our other financing arrangements, respectively, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable, and the agent under the Credit Agreement may, and at the request of the requisite lenders shall, terminate the lenders' commitments under the Credit Agreement and cease making further loans, and if applicable, the agent and/or trustee could institute foreclosure proceedings against our pledged assets.

If we are unable to provide competitive financing arrangements to our customers or if we extend credit to customers whose creditworthiness deteriorates, this could adversely impact our revenues, profitability and financial position. The competitive environment in which we operate may require us to provide financing to our customers in order to win a contract. Customer financing arrangements may include all or a portion of the purchase price for our products and services. We may also assist customers in obtaining financing from banks and other sources and may provide financial guarantees on behalf of our customers. Our success may be dependent, in part, upon our ability to provide customer financing on competitive terms and on our customers' creditworthiness. The tightening of credit in the global financial markets has adversely affected the ability of our customers to obtain financing for significant purchases, which resulted in a decrease in, or cancellation of, orders for our products and services, and we can provide no assurance that this trend will not continue. If we are unable to provide competitive financing arrangements to our customers or if we extend credit to customers whose creditworthiness deteriorates, this could adversely impact our revenues, profitability and financial position.

Our failure to implement plans to reduce our cost structure in anticipation of declining demand for certain products or delays in implementing such plans could negatively affect our consolidated results of operations, financial position and liquidity.

We recognize the need to continually rationalize our workforce and streamline our operations to remain competitive in the face of an ever-changing business and economic climate. If we fail to implement cost rationalization plans such as restructuring of manufacturing, supply chain, marketing sales and administrative resources ahead of declining demand for certain of our products and services, our operations results, financial position and liquidity could be negatively impacted. Additionally, if restructuring plans are not effectively managed, we may experience lost customer sales, product delays and other unanticipated effects, causing harm to our business and customer relationships. Finally, the timing and implementation of these plans require compliance with numerous laws and regulations, including local labor laws, and the failure to comply with such requirements may result in damages, fines and penalties which could adversely affect our business.

We have outsourced a significant portion of our overall worldwide manufacturing, logistics and back office operations and face the risks associated with reliance on third party suppliers.

We have outsourced a significant portion of our overall worldwide manufacturing, logistics, customer support and administrative operations to third parties. To the extent that we rely on third party service providers, we face the risk that those third parties may not be able to:

- develop manufacturing methods appropriate for our products;

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form 10-Q

- maintain an adequate control environment;
- quickly respond to changes in customer demand for our products;
- obtain supplies and materials necessary for the manufacturing process; or
 - mitigate the impact of labor shortages and/or disruptions.

Further, even if the Company honors its payment and other obligations to our key suppliers of products, components and services, such suppliers may choose to unilaterally withhold products, components or services, or demand changes in payment terms. As a result of such risks, we may be unable to meet our customer commitments, our costs could be higher than planned, and our cash flows and the reliability of our products could be negatively impacted. The Company will vigorously enforce its contractual rights under such circumstances, but there is no guarantee we will be successful in preventing or mitigating the effects of unilateral actions by our suppliers. Other supplier problems that we could face include electronic component shortages, excess supply, risks related to favorable terms, the duration of our contracts with suppliers for components and materials and risks related to dependency on single source suppliers on favorable terms or at all. If any of these risks were to be realized, and assuming alternative third party relationships could not be established, we could experience interruptions in supply or increases in costs that might result in our inability to meet customer demand for our products, damage to our relationships with our customers, and reduced market share, all of which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our sales are typically concentrated in the last four months of the fiscal year, therefore, lower than expected demand or increases in costs during that period may have a pronounced negative effect on our results of operations. The demand for our consumer products is largely discretionary in nature, and sales and earnings of our consumer businesses are linked to the timing of holidays, vacations, and other leisure or gifting seasons. Accordingly, we have typically experienced greater net sales in the fourth fiscal quarter as compared with the other three quarters. Developments, such as lower-than-anticipated demand for our products, an internal systems failure, increases in materials costs, or failure of or performance problems with one of our key logistics, components supply, or manufacturing partners, could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and operating results, particularly if such developments occur late in the third quarter or during the fourth fiscal quarter. Further, with respect to the Graphic Communications Group segment, equipment and consumable sales in the commercial marketplace peak in the fourth quarter based on increased commercial print demand. Tight credit markets that limit capital investments or a weak economy that decreases print demand could negatively impact equipment or consumable sales. In addition, our inability to achieve intellectual property licensing revenues in the timeframe and amount we anticipate could adversely affect our revenues, earnings and cash flow. These external developments are often unpredictable and may have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

If we fail to manage distribution of our products and services properly, our revenue, gross margins and earnings could be adversely impacted.

We use a variety of different distribution methods to sell and deliver our products and services, including third party resellers and distributors and direct and indirect sales to both enterprise accounts and customers. Successfully managing the interaction of direct and indirect channels to various potential customer segments for our products and services is a complex process. Moreover, since each distribution method has distinct risks and costs, our failure to implement the most advantageous balance in the delivery model for our products and services could adversely affect our revenue, gross margins and earnings. Due to changes in our go to market models, we are more reliant on fewer distributors than in past periods. This has concentrated our credit and operational risk and could result in an adverse impact on our financial performance.

We may be required to recognize additional impairments in the value of our goodwill and/or other long-lived assets, which would increase expenses and reduce profitability.

Goodwill represents the excess of the amount we paid to acquire businesses over the fair value of their net assets at the date of the acquisition. We test goodwill for impairment annually or whenever events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. In the event of a sale of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolio, licensing revenue related to that portfolio could decline significantly. Additionally, our other long-lived assets are evaluated for impairments whenever events or changes in

circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Either of these situations may occur for various reasons including changes in actual or expected income or cash. We continue to evaluate current conditions to assess whether any impairment exists. Impairments could occur in the future if market or interest rate environments deteriorate, expected future cash flows of our reporting units decline, silver prices increase significantly, or if reporting unit carrying values change materially compared with changes in

respective fair values. In the event of a sale of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolios, licensing revenue related to those portfolios could decline significantly and materially impact the fair value of the CDG segment.

Our future results could be harmed if we are unsuccessful in our efforts to expand sales in emerging markets. Because we are seeking to expand our sales and number of customer relationships outside the United States, and specifically in emerging markets in Asia, Latin America and Eastern Europe, our business is subject to risks associated with doing business internationally, such as:

- supporting multiple languages;
- recruiting sales and technical support personnel with the skills to design, manufacture, sell and supply products;
- complying with governmental regulation of imports and exports, including obtaining required import or export approval for our products;
 - complexity of managing international operations;
 - exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations;
 - commercial laws and business practices that may favor local competition;
- multiple, potentially conflicting, and changing governmental laws, regulations and practices, including differing export, import, tax, anti-corruption, labor, and employment laws;
 - difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;
 - limitations or restrictions on the repatriation of cash;
 - reduced or limited protection of intellectual property rights;
- managing research and development teams in geographically disparate locations, including Canada, Israel, Japan, China, and Singapore;
 - complicated logistics and distribution arrangements; and
 - political or economic instability.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to market and sell our products in all of our targeted international markets. If our international efforts are not successful, our business growth and results of operations could be harmed.

We are subject to environmental laws and regulations and failure to comply with such laws and regulations or liabilities imposed as a result of such laws and regulations could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to environmental laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which we conduct our business, including laws regarding the discharge of pollutants, including greenhouse gases, into the air and water, the need for environmental permits for certain operations, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites, the content of our products and the recycling and treatment and disposal of our products. If we do not comply with applicable laws and regulations in connection with the use and management of hazardous substances, then we could be subject to liability and/or could be prohibited from operating certain facilities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our inability to effectively complete, integrate and manage acquisitions, divestitures and other significant transactions could adversely impact our business performance including our financial results.

As part of our business strategy, we frequently engage in discussions with third parties regarding possible investments, acquisitions, strategic alliances, joint ventures, divestitures, asset sales, and outsourcing transactions and enter into agreements relating to such transactions in order to further our business objectives. In order to pursue this strategy successfully, we must identify suitable candidates and successfully complete transactions, some of which may be large and complex, and manage post closing issues such as the integration of acquired companies or employees and the assessment of such acquired companies' internal controls. Integration and other risks of transactions can be more pronounced for larger and more complicated transactions, or if multiple transactions are pursued simultaneously. If we fail to identify and complete successfully transactions that further our strategic objectives, we may be required to

expend resources to develop products and technology internally, we may be at a competitive disadvantage or we may be adversely affected by negative market perceptions, any of which may have an adverse effect on our revenue, gross margins and profitability. In addition, unpredictability surrounding the timing of such transactions could adversely affect our financial results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Refer to Note 5, "Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt" in the Notes to Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this report for information on working capital restrictions and limitations on the Company's ability to pay dividends under its debt agreements.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits required as part of this report are listed in the index appearing on page 64.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

(Registrant)

Date: November 3, 2011

/s/ Eric Samuels

Eric Samuels

Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller

(Chief Accounting Officer and Authorized Signatory)

Eastman Kodak Company and Subsidiary Companies
Index to Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference			
			Form	Period Ending	Exhibit	Filing Date
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended and restated May 11, 2005.		10-Q	6/30/2005	(3) A.	8/9/2005
3.2	By-laws, as amended and restated October 19,2010.		10-Q	9/30/2010	3.2	10/28/2010
3.3	Certificate of Designations for Eastman Kodak Company Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock.		8-K	8/1/2011	3.1	8/1/2011
4.1	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 26, 2011, among Eastman Kodak Company, Kodak Canada Inc., the lenders party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as agent.		8-K	4/26/2011	4.1	4/27/2011
4.2	Rights Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2011, between Eastman Kodak Company and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., which includes the form of Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock as Exhibit A, the form of Right Certificate as Exhibit B and the Summary of Rights to Purchase Preferred Shares as Exhibit C.		8-K	8/1/2011	4.1	8/1/2011
10.1	Agreement between Eastman Kodak Company and Antonio M. Perez (N280K) effective January 1, 2011.		10-Q	3/31/2011	10.1	4/28/2011
10.2	Agreement between Eastman Kodak Company and Antonio M. Perez (N404VL) effective January 1, 2011.		10-Q	3/31/2011	10.2	4/28/2011
10.3	Administrative Guide for the 2011 Performance Stock Unit Program under Article 7 (Performance Awards) of the 2005 Omnibus Long-Term Compensation Plan, Granted to Antonio M. Perez.		10-Q	3/31/2011	10.3	4/28/2011

10.4	2005 Omnibus Long-Term Compensation Plan of Eastman Kodak Company (As Amended and Restated January 1, 2011)	10-Q	3/31/2011	10.4	4/28/2011
10.5	Second Amended and Restated U.S. Security Agreement, dated as of April 26, 2011, from the grantors party thereto to Bank of America, N.A. as agent.	8-K	4/26/2011	10.1	4/27/2011

Eastman Kodak Company and Subsidiary Companies
Index to Exhibits (cont'd.)

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Filed Herewith	Incorporated by Reference			
			Form	Period Ending	Exhibit	Filing Date
10.6	Second Amended and Restated Canadian Security Agreement, dated as of April 26, 2011, from the grantors party thereto to Bank of America, N.A.		8-K	4/26/2011	10.2	4/27/2011
10.7	Notice, Joinder and Amendment to Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of April 26, 2011, by and among Eastman Kodak Company for itself and the other Grantors, Citicorp USA, Inc., as Initial First Lien Representative, The Bank of New York Mellon, as Second Lien Representative, and Bank of America, N.A., as New First Lien Representative.		8-K	4/26/2011	10.3	4/27/2011
<u>12</u>	Statement Re Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.	X				
<u>31.1</u>	Certification.	X				
<u>31.2</u>	Certification.	X				
<u>32.1</u>	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X				
<u>32.2</u>	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.	X				
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase	X				
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document	X				
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase	X				

101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase	X
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Linkbase	X
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase	X

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement of prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

