

ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC

Form DEF 14A

April 01, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
 - Definitive Proxy Statement
 - Definitive Additional Materials
 - Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

Essex Property Trust, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

Form or Schedule and the date of its filing:

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC.
1100 Park Place, Suite 200
San Mateo, California 94403

April 4, 2016

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders of Essex Property Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Company), to be held at the Garden Court Hotel, 520 Cowper Street, Palo Alto, California, 94301, on May 17, 2016, at 1:00 p.m., Pacific Daylight Time.

The attached notice of annual meeting and proxy statement describe the matters expected to be acted upon at the meeting. We urge you to review these materials carefully.

This year we are again furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders over the Internet. On or about April 4, 2016, we are mailing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our notice of meeting, proxy statement, and 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders and how to vote. Some stockholders may, if they have so previously requested, receive paper copies of these materials by mail or by email. If you only received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials by mail, the Notice also contains instructions on how you can receive a paper copy of the proxy materials and 2015 Annual Report.

Please use this opportunity to take part in the Company's affairs by voting on the business to be presented at the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please complete, sign, date and return the accompanying proxy card as promptly as possible. If you attend the meeting, you may vote in person, even if you have previously mailed your proxy card.

We look forward to seeing you at the annual meeting.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Schall
Chief Executive Officer and President

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC.

**Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders
To Be Held May 17, 2016**

The 2016 annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Essex Property Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the Company), will be held at the Garden Court Hotel, 520 Cowper Street, Palo Alto, California, 94301, on May 17, 2016 at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time, to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

1. Election of the following nominees to serve as directors until the 2017 annual meeting and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualify: Keith R. Guericke, Irving F. Lyons, III, George M. Marcus, Gary P. Martin, Issie N. Rabinovitch, Thomas E. Robinson, Michael J. Schall, Byron A. Scordelis, and Janice L. Sears.
2. Amendment of our charter to change the current super-majority vote requirement to amend certain sections of the charter to a majority vote standard.
3. Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for the year ending December 31, 2016.
4. Advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation.
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any postponement or adjournment thereof.

The foregoing items of business, including the nominees for directors, are more fully described in the proxy statement which is attached and made a part of this notice.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on February 29, 2016, as the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting in person, we urge you to submit your proxy and vote as promptly as possible to ensure your representation and the presence of a quorum at the Annual Meeting. If you attend the Annual Meeting in person, you may continue to have your shares voted as instructed on your proxy or you may withdraw your proxy at our Annual Meeting and vote your shares in person. Your proxy is revocable in accordance with the procedures set forth in the proxy statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors and on behalf of the Secretary of the Company,

Michael J. Schall
Chief Executive Officer and President
San Mateo, California
April 4, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS – PROXY STATEMENT

<u>INFORMATION CONCERNING SOLICITATION AND VOTING</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>BOARD AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Meetings of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Presiding Independent Director; Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Management</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Director Independence</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Access to Corporate Governance Policies</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Communication with Directors</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Relationships Among Directors or Executive Officers</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Director Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Executive Officer Ownership Guidelines</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Risk Assessment in Compensation Programs</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2015</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Minimum Vesting Period</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Executive Severance Plan</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2015</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2015</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSONS TRANSACTIONS</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Policies and Procedures with Respect to Related Person Transactions</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Agreements between Mr. Marcus and the Company</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Other Transactions</u>	<u>41</u>
	<u>42</u>

<u>PROPOSAL NO. 2 AMENDMENT OF OUR CHARTER TO CHANGE THE CURRENT SUPER-MAJORITY VOTE REQUIREMENT TO AMEND CERTAIN SECTIONS OF THE CHARTER TO A MAJORITY VOTE STANDARD</u>	
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>FEES PAID TO KPMG LLP</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Audit and Non-Audit Fees</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>PROPOSAL NO. 4 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT</u>	<u>46</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROXY STATEMENT

**2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
Tuesday, May 17, 2016**

**ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC.
1100 Park Place, Suite 200
San Mateo, California 94403**

INFORMATION CONCERNING SOLICITATION AND VOTING

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the holders (the **Stockholders**) of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value (the **Common Stock**) of Essex Property Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the **Company** or **Essex**), in connection with the solicitation by the Company's Board of Directors (the **Board** or the **Essex Board**) of proxies in the accompanying form for use in voting at the 2016 annual meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the **Annual Meeting**) to be held on May 17, 2016 at 1:00 p.m., Pacific Daylight Time, at the Garden Court Hotel, 520 Cowper Street, Palo Alto, California, 94301, and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying notice of meeting and proxy card and 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders are first being made available to Stockholders on or about April 4, 2016.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 17, 2016.

The proxy statement, notice of meeting, form of proxy, and 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders are available electronically at <http://materials.proxyvote.com/297178>. Directions to the meeting location can be found at <http://www.essex.com> under **Investors; Shareholder Services and Information-Annual Shareholders Meeting**.

We are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders over the Internet, instead of mailing paper copies to each stockholder. On or about April 4, 2016, we are mailing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (**Notice**) containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials and 2015 Annual Report to Stockholders and how to vote. This Notice is not itself a proxy and cannot itself be used to vote your shares. If you received only a Notice this year, you will not receive paper copies of the proxy materials unless you request the materials by following the instructions on the Notice or on the website referred to in the Notice. Some stockholders may, if they have so requested previously, receive these materials via email or receive paper copies by mail.

Form of Proxy Card

A proxy card for use by Stockholders accompanies this Proxy Statement.

Revocability of Proxies

Any proxy given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person giving it at any time before it is exercised by delivering to the Company, to the attention of Mr. Jordan E. Ritter, a written notice of revocation or a properly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Solicitation and Voting Procedures

Stockholders of record may vote via the Internet until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time, May 16, 2016.

The Company will bear all costs of soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting. These costs will include the expense of preparing and furnishing proxy materials for the Annual Meeting and reimbursements paid to brokerage firms and others for their expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation material regarding the Annual Meeting to the Stockholders. The Company may conduct solicitation of proxies personally, telephonically or by facsimile through its officers, directors and regular employees, none of whom will receive additional compensation for assisting with the solicitation.

The presence at the Annual Meeting, either in person or by proxy, of Stockholders holding a majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding on the Record Date (as defined below) will constitute a quorum for purposes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

of the Annual Meeting. The close of business on February 29, 2016 has been fixed as the record date (the Record Date) for determining the Stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each share of Common Stock outstanding on the Record Date is entitled to one vote on each proposal. As of the Record Date, there were 65,414,991 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

Shares of Common Stock represented by proxies that reflect abstentions or broker non-votes (i.e., shares held by a broker or nominee which are represented at the Annual Meeting but with respect to which such broker or nominee is not empowered to vote on a particular proposal) will be counted as shares that are present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

With respect to Proposal No. 1, the election of directors, our Bylaws include a majority voting standard for the election of directors in uncontested elections, which are generally defined as elections in which the number of nominees does not exceed the number of directors to be elected at the meeting. In the election of directors, you may either vote FOR, or WITHHOLD as to each nominee. Cumulative voting is not permitted. Under the majority voting standard, in uncontested elections of directors, such as this election, each director must be elected by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast FOR a candidate for director exceeds the number of votes WITHHELD as to that candidate for director. Brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote for directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will not count as a vote cast FOR or WITHHELD as to a nominee's election and thus will have no effect in determining whether a director nominee has received a majority of the votes cast.

In accordance with our Bylaws, in this election, an incumbent candidate for director who does not receive the required votes for re-election is expected to offer his or her resignation to the Board of Directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors will then make a determination as to whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation and will submit such recommendation for consideration by the Board. Generally within 90 days after certification of the election results of the stockholder vote, we will publicly disclose the decision regarding any tendered resignation in a filing of a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) or by other public announcement. If a director's offer to resign is not accepted by the Board of Directors, such director will continue to serve until his or her successor is duly elected, or his or her earlier death, resignation, retirement or removal.

With respect to Proposal No. 2, the amendment of our charter to change the current super-majority vote requirement to amend certain sections of the charter to a majority vote standard, the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter shall be required to effect the amendment. For purposes of the votes on Proposal No. 2, abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as votes against such proposal. Please note that to accomplish the charter amendment, we need for record owners to return their proxy cards promptly, and beneficial owners to return voting instructions to their nominees promptly, so that at least two-thirds of the shares of Common Stock outstanding as of the Record Date are voted FOR Proposal No. 2.

Approval of each of Proposals No. 3 (ratification of KPMG LLP) and No. 4 (advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation) requires the affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes cast on the matter at the Annual Meeting. For purposes of the votes on Proposals No. 3 and No. 4, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the result of the vote on such Proposals.

If your shares are held in the name of a broker, you will likely receive a voting instruction form from your broker. Your broker will vote your shares in the manner you timely indicate pursuant to the voting instruction form. If you do not timely indicate your voting instructions to your broker, the broker will not be permitted to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting on Proposal No. 1 (the election of directors), Proposal No. 2 (amendment of our charter), or Proposal

No. 4 (advisory approval of the Company's executive compensation). However, your broker may in its discretion vote your shares on Proposal No. 3 (the ratification of KPMG LLP) if you do not timely indicate voting instructions on that proposal.

Stockholder votes will be tabulated by the persons appointed by the Board to act as inspectors of election for the Annual Meeting. The shares of Common Stock represented by properly executed proxy cards will be voted at the Annual Meeting as indicated or, if no instruction is given on a properly executed proxy card, dated and returned, in favor of Proposals No. 1, 2, 3, and 4.

The Company does not presently know of any other business which may come before the Annual Meeting.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Email Access to Proxy Materials

Stockholders who previously elected to receive the Proxy Statement and the annual report to stockholders (the Annual Report) over the Internet will be receiving an email on or about April 4, 2016, with information on how to access stockholder information and instructions for authorizing a proxy over the Internet.

Stockholders of record wishing to receive future stockholder materials via email may elect this option by following the instructions provided when voting over the Internet at www.ProxyVote.com. Upon electing to view future proxy statements and annual reports over the Internet, stockholders will receive an email notification next year with instructions containing the Internet address of those materials. The choice to view future proxy statements and annual reports over the Internet will remain in effect until the stockholder contacts their broker or the Company to rescind the instructions. Internet access does not have to be elected each year. Stockholders who elected to receive the Proxy Statement electronically over the Internet and who would now like to receive a paper copy of the Proxy Statement so that they may submit a paper proxy in lieu of an electronic proxy, should contact either their broker or the Company.

Householding of Annual Meeting Materials

Some brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of householding Notices of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy statements and annual reports, as applicable. This means that only one copy of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy statement and annual report may have been sent to multiple Stockholders in a Stockholder's household. The Company will promptly deliver a separate copy of each applicable document to any Stockholder who contacts the Company's investor relations department by written or oral request at our address on page 1 of this proxy statement or by telephone at (650) 655-7800 requesting such copies. If a Stockholder is receiving multiple copies at the Stockholder's household and would like to receive a single copy for a Stockholder's household in the future, Stockholders should contact their broker, other nominee record holder, or the Company's investor relations department to request mailing of a single copy of the applicable document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN
BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of shares of Common Stock as of the Record Date for (i) each person known by the Company to hold more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock, (ii) each director, each director nominee, and each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table below and employed by the Company on the Record Date, and (iii) all directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership in the following table is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC. In computing the number of shares beneficially owned by a person and the percentage ownership of that person, shares of Common Stock subject to options held by that person that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date are deemed outstanding and shares underlying Series Z-1 incentive units and long term incentive plan units (LTIP Units), which are currently non-forfeitable or are non-forfeitable within 60 days of the Record Date, are also deemed outstanding. Such shares, however, are not deemed outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of each other person. To the Company's knowledge, except as set forth in the footnotes to this table and subject to applicable community property laws, each person named in the table below has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares set forth opposite such person's name. Unless otherwise stated, the address of all directors and executive officers is c/o Essex Property Trust, Inc., 1100 Park Place, Suite 200, San Mateo, California 94403.

Name	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percentage of Common Stock Outstanding (2)
Incumbent Directors and Executive Officers		
George M. Marcus (3)	1,609,066	2.4 %
Keith R. Guericke (4)	201,789	*
Michael J. Schall (5)	174,019	*
Angela L. Kleiman (6)	16,520	*
John D. Eudy (7)	51,307	*
Craig K. Zimmerman (8)	88,434	*
John F. Burkart (9)	38,298	*
David W. Brady (10)	9,416	*
Irving F. Lyons, III (11)	17,693	*
Gary P. Martin (12)	24,017	*
Issie N. Rabinovitch (13)	40,672	*
Thomas E. Robinson (14)	11,937	*
Byron A. Scordelis (15)	18,603	*
Janice L. Sears (16)	13,036	*
Thomas P. Sullivan (17)	7,838	*
Claude J. Zinngrabe, Jr. (18)	18,824	*
All incumbent directors and executive officers as a group (16 persons) (19)	2,341,469	3.5 %

5% or greater Stockholders

The Vanguard Group, Inc. (20) 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355	10,532,992	16.1	%
BlackRock, Inc. (21) 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	6,151,624	9.4	%
State Street Corporation (22) One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111	4,465,437	6.8	%

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Name	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percentage of Common Stock Outstanding (2)
Cohen & Steers, Inc. (23) 280 Park Avenue, 10 th Floor New York, NY 10017	3,825,010	5.8 %
FMR LLC (24) 245 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210	3,326,271	5.1 %

* Less than 1%

- Mr. Marcus, certain officers and directors of the Company and certain other entities and investors own limited partnership interests in Essex Portfolio, L.P., a California limited partnership (the operating partnership or EPLP), which as of February 29, 2016 aggregated to approximately a 3% limited partnership interest. As of February 29, 2016, the Company had an approximately 97% general partnership interest in the operating partnership. The limited partners of the operating partnership share with the Company, as general partner, in the net income or loss and any distributions of the operating partnership. Pursuant to the partnership agreement of the operating partnership, limited partnership interests can be exchanged into shares of the Company's Common Stock. With respect to shares of Common Stock, assumes the exchange of the limited partnership interests (including non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units) in the operating partnership and in other partnerships (such as DownREITs) held by such person, if any, into shares of the Company's Common Stock. The total number of shares outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes that none of the limited partnership interests or vested options held by other persons are exchanged or converted into shares of the Company's Common Stock and is based on 65,414,991 shares of the Company's Common Stock outstanding as of the Record Date. If all outstanding limited partnership interests (including non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units) in the operating partnership were exchanged for shares of the Company's Common Stock that would result in an additional 1,849,918 outstanding shares of Common Stock.
- Includes 960,154 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of Mr. Marcus' limited partnership interests in the operating partnership and in certain other partnerships and 301,597 shares and 15,941 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all the limited partnership interests in the operating partnership held by the Marcus & Millichap Company (MMC) and Essex Portfolio Management Company (EPMC), respectively. Also includes 137,000 shares of Common Stock held by MMC, 26,676 shares of Common Stock held in the Marcus & Millichap Company 401(k) Plan (the MMC 401(k) Plan), 18,000 shares held by the MMC Foundation, and 4,000 shares of Common Stock held by Mr. Marcus' children. Mr. Marcus is a principal stockholder of each of MMC and EPMC and may be deemed to own beneficially, and to share the voting and dispositive power of 472,538 shares of Common Stock (including shares issuable upon exchange of limited partnership interests). Mr. Marcus disclaims beneficial ownership of (i) all shares and limited partnership interests held by MMC and the MMC Foundation, and (ii) 6,376 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon conversion of limited partnership interests held by EPMC. In connection with a loan facility lead by Comerica Bank, MMC has pledged to Comerica 438,597 shares of Common Stock, of which 137,000 shares are held directly by MMC and 301,597 shares are issuable upon the exchange of limited partnership interests held by MMC.
- Includes 133,039 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of Mr. Guericke's limited partnership interests in the operating partnership. Also includes 7,684 shares of Common Stock held in the Essex Property Trust, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the Essex 401(k) Plan). Includes 10,000 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of Record Date.

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form DEF 14A

(5) Includes 80,079 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of Mr. Schall's limited partnership interests in the operating partnership. Also includes 3,560 shares of Common Stock held in the Essex 401(k) Plan, 28,333 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date, and 24,653 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units

5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

and LTIP Units. The aforementioned shares and limited partnership interests in the operating partnership, except for the shares held for his benefit in the Essex 401(k) plan, are held in a revocable trust in which Mr. Schall and Ann Schall act as co-trustees. Mr. Schall disclaims beneficial ownership of 40,039 shares that may be issued upon the exchange of limited partnership interests in the operating partnership; 12,327 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units; and 20,477 shares of Common Stock.

- (6) Includes 5,179 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date and 5,843 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units. Includes 26,158 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of Mr. Eudy's limited partnership interests in the operating partnership. Also includes 1,585 shares of Common Stock held in the Essex
- (7) 401(k) Plan, 2,134 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date, and 16,248 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units. Includes 47,277 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of Mr. Zimmerman's limited partnership interests in the operating partnership and certain other partnerships. Also includes 2,780
- (8) shares of Common Stock held in the Essex 401(k) Plan, 16,289 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date, and 16,248 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units. Includes 12,412 shares of Common Stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of Mr. Burkart's limited
- (9) partnership interests in the operating partnership. Also includes 4,667 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date and 18,397 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units.
- (10) Includes 4,676 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (11) Includes 11,398 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (12) Includes 19,017 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (13) Includes 14,017 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date. Includes 7,192 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (14) Of these shares, 9,745 shares are held in family trusts as to which Mr. Robinson has the power to dispose and vote the shares.
- (15) Includes 18,103 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (16) Includes 12,338 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (17) Includes 4,692 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date. Mr. Sullivan holds 3,146 shares of Common Stock in a brokerage account that secures a margin loan.
- (18) Includes 18,103 shares of Common Stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date.
- (19) Includes 1,576,554 shares of common stock that may be issued upon the exchange of all of the executive officers' and directors' limited partnership interests in the operating partnership and certain other partnerships and 191,874 shares of common stock subject to options that are exercisable within 60 days of the Record Date. Also, includes 81,388 shares that may be issued in exchange for non-forfeitable Series Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units.
- (20) As reported on a Schedule 13G/A filed February 10, 2016, The Vanguard Group, Inc. stated that it has sole voting power over 218,510 shares, shared voting power over 56,575 shares, sole dispositive power over

TABLE OF CONTENTS

10,348,087 shares and shared dispositive power over 184,905 shares. We believe that these shares include the shares separately reported on a Schedule 13G/A filed February 9, 2016, by Vanguard Specialized Fund – Vanguard REIT Index Fund, stating that it has sole voting power over 4,736,064 shares.

- (21) As reported on a Schedule 13G/A filed February 10, 2016, BlackRock, Inc. stated that it has sole voting power over 5,568,225 shares and sole dispositive power over 6,151,624 shares.
- (22) As reported on a Schedule 13G filed February 12, 2016, State Street Corporation stated that it has shared voting power over 4,465,437 shares and shared dispositive power over 4,465,437 shares.
- (23) As reported on a Schedule 13G filed February 16, 2016, Cohen & Steers, Inc. stated that it has sole voting power over 2,304,759 shares and sole dispositive power over 3,825,010 shares.
- (24) As reported on a Schedule 13G/A filed February 12, 2016, FMR LLC stated that it has sole voting power over 1,397,090 shares and sole dispositive power over 3,326,271 shares.

* * *

PROPOSAL NO. 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the 2016 annual meeting of Stockholders, the following individuals are each nominated for election as directors to serve until the annual meeting of stockholders in 2017 and until their successors are duly elected and qualify: Keith R. Guericke, Irving F. Lyons, III, George M. Marcus, Gary P. Martin, Issie N. Rabinovitch, Thomas E. Robinson, Michael J. Schall, Byron A. Scordelis, and Janice L. Sears. Each of the nominees is currently a director of the Company. Each of the nominees has consented, if elected as a director of the Company, to serve until his or her term expires. As previously announced, Messrs. Brady, Sullivan, and Zinngrabe, who are currently directors, have decided not to stand for re-election at the annual meeting.

The Board believes that each such nominee will stand for election and will serve if elected as a director. However, in the event that any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve as a director at the time of the Annual Meeting, the discretionary authority provided in the proxy will be exercised by the proxy holders to vote for a substitute or substitutes nominated by the Board of Directors, or the Board of Directors, on the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, may reduce the size of the board and the number of nominees.

Certain information about the director nominees is furnished below.

Keith R. Guericke, Director and Vice Chairman of the Essex Board, held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Essex from 1988 through 2010. Effective January 1, 2011, Mr. Guericke retired from his position as an executive officer, and he remains a director of Essex and will continue to provide additional services as a part-time employee. Mr. Guericke joined Essex's predecessor, Essex Property Corporation, in 1977 to focus on investment strategies and portfolio expansion. Mr. Guericke prepared Essex for its IPO in 1994, and thereafter significantly increased Essex's multifamily portfolio in supply-constrained markets along the West Coast. Mr. Guericke serves on the board of directors of Century Communities, and is a member of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) and several local apartment industry groups. Prior to joining Essex, Mr. Guericke began his career with Kenneth Leventhal & Company, a CPA firm noted for its real estate expertise. Mr. Guericke received his Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Southern Oregon College in 1971. The Board selected Mr. Guericke to serve as a director because he served Essex for over 33 years and was its principal executive officer from 1988 to 2010, and he has expansive knowledge of the real estate industry and strong relationships with many executives and other senior management at real estate companies throughout the United States.

Irving F. Lyons, III, Director, joined the Board in April 2014 pursuant to the Company's merger agreement with BRE Properties, Inc. He previously served as a director of BRE since 2006 and was appointed Chairman of the BRE Board

in May 2009. Mr. Lyons currently serves on the Board of Directors of Equinix, Inc. and is Lead Director of ProLogis Inc. He served as Vice Chairman of ProLogis, a global provider of distribution facilities and services, from 2001 through May 2006. He was Chief Investment Officer from March 1997 to December 2004, and held several other executive positions since joining ProLogis in 1993. Prior to joining ProLogis, he was a Managing Partner of King & Lyons, a San Francisco Bay Area industrial real estate development and management company, since its inception in 1979. The Board selected Mr. Lyons as a director due to his management and investment experience with publicly traded real estate companies and his involvement in Bay Area real estate development and management.

7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

George M. Marcus is the founder and has been the Chairman of Essex Property Corporation (the predecessor to Essex) and the Marcus & Millichap Company since their inception in 1971. The Marcus & Millichap Company is the parent company of a diversified group of real estate service, investment and development firms. Mr. Marcus is also the Co-Chairman of Marcus & Millichap, Inc., referred to as MMI, and Mr. Marcus owns a controlling interest in MMI. MMI is a national brokerage firm listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) that underwent its initial public offering in 2013. Mr. Marcus was one of the original founders of Greater Bay Bancorp, a publicly held financial institution, which was acquired by Wells Fargo & Company in 2007. Included among Mr. Marcus professional memberships are the Regent Emeritus of the University of California, the Real Estate Roundtable, the Policy Advisory Board of the University of California at Berkeley—Center for Real Estate and Urban Economics, as well as numerous other professional and community organizations. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from San Francisco State University, he was honored as Alumnus of the Millennium in 1999 and received his honorary doctorate in 2011. Mr. Marcus is also a graduate of the Harvard Business School of Owners / Presidents Management Program and the Georgetown University Leadership Program. The Board selected Mr. Marcus to serve as a director because he is the founder of Essex, he brings outstanding leadership and vision to Essex, he has extensive knowledge of Essex, and over 40 years of experience working in the real estate industry.

Gary P. Martin, Director, is a private investor. He was the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mobile Smart, a semiconductor company serving the automotive industry for the period from September 2000 to July 2002. From April 1998 to August 2000, he served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Halo Data Devices, a supplier of data storage products for the disk drive market. Mr. Martin served from August 1995 to January 1998 as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of 3Dfx Interactive, Inc. From September 1993 to July 1995, he served as Vice President of Finance and the Chief Financial Officer for MiniStor Peripherals Corporation, a supplier of data storage products for the mobile computer market. From 1985 to 1993, he was Senior Vice President of Finance and Administration for Chips and Technologies, Inc., where he also developed joint business ventures within the Soviet Union. From 1983 to 1984, Mr. Martin was Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer for Starstruck, Inc., a company involved in space development through private enterprise. Mr. Martin was one of the earliest employees at Apple Computer, Inc., where he held both corporate and European controller positions during the period from 1977 to 1983. From 1971 to 1977, he worked for Aero Air Freight and National Semiconductor. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from San Jose State University in 1971. The Board selected Mr. Martin to serve as a director because he has years of experience serving on both public and private boards and committees, he has served as a Chief Financial Officer for a variety of companies, and he has an extensive understanding of internal and external financial reporting of public companies.

Issie N. Rabinovitch, Director, is a partner at Cheyenne Capital, a venture capital firm. He was the Chief Executive Officer of Mainsail Networks, a telecommunications company in 2000 and 2001. Prior to joining Cheyenne Capital, Mr. Rabinovitch served from 1991 to 1994 as President and Chief Executive Officer of Micro Power Systems, Inc., a company engaged in the designing, manufacturing and marketing of multiple semiconductor products. From 1985 to 1991, Mr. Rabinovitch was President of Berkeley International Capital Corporation, a venture capital firm. From 1983 to 1985, Mr. Rabinovitch was President of Crowntek Software International, a software development and distribution company. Before joining Crowntek, he was employed by the Xerox Corporation in various management roles. Mr. Rabinovitch received a Bachelor of Science degree from McGill University in 1967 and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard University in 1970. The Board selected Mr. Rabinovitch to serve as a director because he brings valuable financial expertise, including extensive knowledge of capital markets transactions and investments in both public and private companies.

Thomas E. Robinson, Director, joined the Board in April 2014 pursuant to the Company's merger agreement with BRE Properties, Inc. He previously served as a director of BRE since 2007. Currently, Mr. Robinson is Senior Advisor to the real estate investment banking group at Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Inc., St. Louis, MO and its prior affiliate Legg Mason, where he was previously a managing director. Prior to that position he served as President and

Chief Financial Officer of Storage USA, Inc., from 1994 to 1997. Mr. Robinson currently serves on the Board of Directors of First Potomac Realty Trust and Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc., is a former trustee/director of Centerpoint Properties Trust and Legg Mason Real Estate Investors, Inc., and a past member of the board of governors of NAREIT. The Board selected Mr. Robinson as a director due to his financial, accounting and real estate experience.

Michael J. Schall, Director, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, having been promoted to that position by the Board of Directors effective January 1, 2011. He previously served as the Senior

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer for the Company from 2005 to 2010, during which years he was responsible for the strategic planning and management of the Company's property operations, redevelopment and co-investment programs. From 1993 to 2005, Mr. Schall was the Company's Chief Financial Officer, responsible for the organization's financial and administrative matters. He joined The Marcus & Millichap Company in 1986. He was also the Chief Financial Officer of the Company's predecessor, Essex Property Corporation. From 1982 to 1986, Mr. Schall was the Director of Finance for Churchill International, a technology-oriented venture capital company. From 1979 to 1982, Mr. Schall was employed in the audit department of Ernst & Young (then known as Ernst & Whinney), where he specialized in the real estate and financial services industries. Mr. Schall received a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of San Francisco. Mr. Schall currently serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Pebblebrook Hotel Trust, Inc. Mr. Schall is a Certified Public Accountant (inactive) and is a member the National Multi Housing Council, the AICPA, and the Board of Governors of NAREIT. The Board selected Mr. Schall to serve as a director because he was a principal officer of the Company when initially selected as a director, he has extensive knowledge of the financial and operating matters of the Company, and he has strong relationships with many executives and senior management at real estate companies throughout the United States.

Byron A. Scordelis, Director, served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Greater Bay Bancorp and as a member of the Board of Directors of Greater Bay Bancorp and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Greater Bay Bank N.A. from January 1, 2004 until its sale in October 1, 2007. Mr. Scordelis served as the Chief Operating Officer and President of the Greater Bay Banking Group which was comprised of the company's banking subsidiaries as well as its business and technology operations, trust services and human resources activities. Mr. Scordelis has previously served as an Executive Vice President with Wells Fargo Bank where he was named President of the San Francisco Bay Area Region and was responsible for the management and performance of 235 financial service offices in the San Francisco Bay area. He joined Wells Fargo in 1998 as an Executive Vice President responsible for its retail banking activities in seven western states, and was appointed as a co-chair of its integration task force following the bank's merger with Norwest. From 1974 to 1998, Mr. Scordelis was President and Chief Executive Officer of EurekaBank and also served as Senior Vice President and head of Bank of America's San Francisco Bay Area region, and was responsible for corporate finance, corporate strategic planning, merger integration, and other staff and managerial areas. Mr. Scordelis is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate of the University of California at Berkeley where he earned bachelor's degrees in economics and natural resource studies in 1972. He received a Master of Business Administration from Stanford University in 1974. Mr. Scordelis currently serves on the Board of Regents at Santa Clara University where he is also a member of its Audit Committee as well as on the Advisory Board of the Markkula Center for Applied Ethics. He is also a former member of the Board of EHC Lifebuilders, a non-profit organization, and served on the Advisory Board of the Palo Alto Medical Foundation. The Board selected Mr. Scordelis to serve as a director because of his many years of experience as a Chief Executive Officer and a board member of a publicly-traded financial service company.

Janice L. Sears, Director, served as a Director and as Past Audit Committee Chair of Biomed Realty Trust, Inc. (acquired by Blackstone on January 27, 2016), and serves as Board Chair of The Swig Company, a corporate owner of office properties nationwide. Previously, Ms. Sears held the position of Managing Director, Western Region Head in the Real Estate, Gaming & Lodging Investment Banking Group at Banc of America Securities. She was concurrently the San Francisco Market President for Bank of America. Prior to 1999, Ms. Sears was Head of Client Management for Bank of America's Commercial Real Estate Group in California, where she oversaw client relationships with REITs, homebuilders and opportunity funds. Prior to 1988, Ms. Sears was a Real Estate Economist at both Chemical Bank and Citicorp in New York. Ms. Sears earned Bachelor of Science degrees in both Economics and Marketing from the University of Delaware. Her professional activities have included NAREIT, Urban Land Institute (ULI), and the National Association of Corporate Directors. Ms. Sears is the Past President and Past Treasurer of the San Francisco Chapter of the National Charity League and most recently sat on the boards of the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the San Francisco Economic Development Council and Leadership San Francisco. She acts as an advisor to the Audit Committee of the San Francisco Art Institute. The Board selected Ms. Sears to serve as a director because of her knowledge of capital markets and extensive experience working in the commercial real estate and

REIT industry.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS
THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR
THE ELECTION OF ALL NOMINEES NAMED ABOVE**

* * *

9

TABLE OF CONTENTS**DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following table sets forth information as of the Record Date with respect to the incumbent directors (other than Messers. Brady, Sullivan, and Zinngrabe, who are not standing for re-election) and executive officers, including their ages.

Name and Position	Age	First Elected
George M. Marcus Chairman of the Board	74	1994
Keith R. Guericke Vice Chairman of the Board	67	1994
Michael J. Schall Director, Chief Executive Officer and President	58	1994
Angela L. Kleiman Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	45	—
John D. Eudy Executive Vice President-Development	61	—
Craig K. Zimmerman Executive Vice President-Acquisitions	65	—
John F. Burkart Senior Executive Vice President-Asset Management	52	—
Irving F. Lyons, III Director	66	2014
Gary P. Martin Director	68	1994
Issie N. Rabinovitch Director	70	1994
Thomas E. Robinson Director	68	2014
Byron A. Scordelis Director	66	2011
Janice L. Sears Director	55	2011

Biographical information concerning the director nominees (including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Michael J. Schall) is set forth above under the caption Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors. Biographical information concerning the other executive officers of the Company is set forth below.

John F. Burkart is responsible for leading the Asset Management, Property Operations, IT and Business Intelligence departments. His responsibilities include overseeing overall Operations and Portfolio Management including the redevelopment program, resource management, due diligence, facilities, the condominium portfolio, dispositions, and the commercial division. During his tenure at Essex, Mr. Burkart lead the integration of the BRE Properties and Essex Merger and has had a variety of responsibilities including oversight of the Research Department, the Operations Portfolio in the Northern Division, the Private Equity business, debt financing and obtaining the corporate credit rating. Prior to joining in Essex in 1996, Mr. Burkart was a real estate consultant for various companies including Essex during the period of the IPO. Mr. Burkart began his real estate career in property management at Pacific States

Management where he became the Vice President responsible for the management of a portfolio including office, multi-family and security storage assets as well as oversight of the accounting department. Mr. Burkart received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from San Jose State University in 1987 and a Master of Business Administration degree in Real Estate from Golden Gate University in 1993.

John D. Eudy is responsible for development activities, from the point of acquisition through construction and stabilization. Mr. Eudy joined the Company's predecessor, Essex Property Corporation, in 1985. While at the Company, Mr. Eudy has been responsible for numerous activities including arranging of financing, due diligence, asset management and asset disposition. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Eudy was a Vice President in the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Commercial Real Estate Investment Group of Crocker National Bank from 1980 to 1985 and Home Federal Savings from 1977 to 1980. He received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from San Diego State University in 1977 and is a graduate of the University of Southern California's Management Leadership School. Mr. Eudy is a member of ULI and NAREIT.

Angela L. Kleiman is responsible for the Private Equity, Capital Markets, Economic Research, Accounting, Tax, Financial Planning and Investor Relations departments. Ms. Kleiman was appointed as Chief Financial Officer on October 1, 2015. Ms. Kleiman was responsible for the overall transaction management for the acquisition of BRE Properties which successfully completed in April 2014. She joined Essex in 2009 to manage the Private Equity platform and grew the business from \$750 million to \$3 billion in gross assets. Prior to joining Essex, Ms. Kleiman's real estate career focused primarily on institutional investment management and investment banking. As a Senior Equity Analyst and Vice President of Investor Relations at Security Capital, she was responsible for over \$2 billion of the firm's REIT investments and all client communications. As a Vice President with J.P. Morgan Real Estate & Lodging Investment Banking Group, she advised senior management and boards in strategic business platforms and capital markets transactions. Ms. Kleiman began her career in real estate development management in 1991. She holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Northwestern University, and a Master of Business Administration from the Kellogg School of Management of Northwestern University. She is a member of NAREIT and the National Multifamily Housing Council.

Craig K. Zimmerman is responsible for acquisition activities. Mr. Zimmerman joined the Company's predecessor, Essex Property Corporation, in 1984 and was primarily responsible for the acquisition of multifamily residential properties. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Zimmerman was the Vice President of Acquisitions with Prometheus Development Company, a national real estate developer and a principal in Zimmerman Properties. From 1975 through 1978, Mr. Zimmerman worked as a real estate acquisitions specialist for American Equities Corporation. He received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Rhetoric from the University of California at Berkeley in 1974.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**BOARD AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS****Meetings of the Board of Directors**

During 2015, the Board held six meetings. Each director attended (whether in person, telephonically or by written consent) at least 75% of the total number of the meetings of the Board and meetings of each committee of the Board on which he or she served. In 2015, the Board had five committees: the Executive Committee, the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Pricing Committee.

The current members of the committees are identified in the following table:

Director	Executive	Audit	Compensation	Nominating/ Corporate Governance	Pricing
David W. Brady		X	X		
Keith R. Guericke	X				Chair
Irving F. Lyons, III	X				X
George M. Marcus	Chair				
Gary P. Martin			Chair		
Issie N. Rabinovitch				Chair	
Thomas E. Robinson		X			
Michael J. Schall	X				X
Byron A. Scordelis			X	X	
Janice L. Sears		Chair			X
Thomas P. Sullivan		X			
Claude J. Zinngrabe, Jr.				X	

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The Company encourages, but does not require, its Board members to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. All of the Company's incumbent directors in 2015 attended the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, with the exception of Thomas P. Sullivan.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The Executive Committee has such authority as is delegated by the Board, including the authority to execute certain contracts and agreements with unaffiliated parties, except that the Executive Committee does not have the power to declare dividends or other distributions on stock, elect directors, issue stock other than in certain limited circumstances, recommend to the stockholders any action which requires stockholder approval, amend the Bylaws, or approve any merger or share exchange which does not require stockholder approval. The Executive Committee met once during 2015.

The Audit Committee recommends the appointment of an independent registered public accounting firm to audit the financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year for which they are appointed, reviews audit reports and takes such action as may be deemed appropriate with respect to such audit reports. The Audit Committee also monitors the effectiveness of the audit effort, the Company's financial and accounting organization and its system of internal controls over financial reporting, and it reviews any allegations of wrongdoing that involve Company personnel. The

Audit Committee operates under a written charter, which can be viewed at the Company's website on <http://www.essex.com>. The Board of Directors has determined that all Audit Committee members have no financial or personal ties to the Company (other than the director compensation and equity ownership as described in this proxy statement) and meet the New York Stock Exchange standard for independence. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are financially literate. The Board of Directors has limited the number of audit committees of public companies on which a current member of the Company's Audit Committee can simultaneously serve to three committees. The Audit Committee met six times during 2015.

The Board of Directors has also determined that Janice L. Sears and Thomas E. Robinson are audit committee financial experts as defined by the SEC's Regulation S-K Item 407(d).

The Compensation Committee establishes and reviews annually the Company's general compensation policies applicable to the Company's executive officers, reviews and approves the level of compensation of the Chief

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Officer and other executive officers of the Company, reviews and advises the Board concerning the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other employees whose compensation is within the review jurisdiction of the Compensation Committee, reviews and advises the Board concerning regional and industry-wide compensation practices and trends, and recommends benefit plans from time to time. The Compensation Committee also administers the 2013 Stock Award and Incentive Compensation Plan.

All members of the Compensation Committee are independent directors within the meaning of the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter which can be viewed at <http://www.essex.com>. The Compensation Committee met three times during 2015.

The Board has delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer (the CEO) to grant stock options and restricted stock under the 2013 Stock Award and Incentive Compensation Plan to Company employees (other than executive officers) in accordance with guidelines as to the number of options and or restricted stock to be granted to particular categories of employees. The CEO is to report all stock options and restricted stock, made pursuant to this delegation, to the Board's Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee has retained Mercer, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., to assist the Committee with its responsibilities related to the Company's executive compensation programs beginning in 2012. Additional information concerning Mercer and its services is set forth under Executive Compensation – Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee) assists the Board of Directors in selecting nominees for election to the Board and monitors the composition of the Board. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the Nominating Committee meet the independence requirements of the rules and regulations of the New York Stock Exchange. The Nominating Committee met six times during 2015.

The Nominating Committee will consider and make recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding any stockholder recommendations for candidates to serve on the Board of Directors. However, it has not adopted a formal process for that consideration because it believes that the informal consideration process has been adequate, given the historical absence of stockholder proposals, among other considerations. The Nominating Committee will review periodically whether a more formal policy should be adopted. Stockholders wishing to recommend candidates for consideration by the Nominating Committee may do so by writing to Jordan E. Ritter, Corporate Secretary, Essex Property Trust, Inc., 1100 Park Place, Suite 200, San Mateo, California 94403, providing the candidate's name, biographical data and qualifications, a document indicating the candidate's willingness to act if elected, and evidence of the nominating stockholder's ownership of the Company's stock at least 120 days prior to the next annual meeting to assure time for meaningful consideration by the Nominating Committee. The Nominating Committee evaluates nominees for directors using the criteria described below and it will use the same criteria when evaluating a nominee recommended by a Stockholder.

The Nominating Committee does not have a formal policy regarding considering diversity in identifying nominees for directors. In the past, when new directors have been added to the Board, the Board or the Nominating Committee has generally sought to select nominees with business specializations, technical skills or other qualities that differed from that of the existing directors and, as a result, the current directors on the Board have a diverse range of backgrounds and occupations, including real estate, financial services, accounting and finance, venture capital, investment banking and academia.

The Nominating Committee operates under a written charter setting forth the functions and responsibilities of the committee. A copy of the charter can be viewed at the Company's website on <http://www.essex.com>.

In reviewing potential candidates for the Board, the Nominating Committee considers the individual's real estate experience, the general business or other experience of the candidate, the needs of the Company for an additional or replacement director, the personality of the candidate, the candidate's interest in the business of the Company, as well as numerous other subjective criteria. Of greatest importance is the individual's integrity, willingness to get involved and ability to bring to the Company experience and knowledge in areas that are most beneficial to the Company. The Board intends to continue to evaluate candidates for election to the Board on the basis of the foregoing criteria.

The Nominating Committee further reviews current trends and practices in corporate governance and recommends to the Board of Directors the adoption of programs pertinent to the Company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Pricing Committee reviews and approves the terms at which the Company's securities will be offered to the public in public offerings of the Company's securities. The Pricing Committee met once during 2015.

Presiding Independent Director; Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Management

The Board has designated, in accordance with New York Stock Exchange corporate governance listing standards, Irving F. Lyons, III as the presiding independent director. The Company's non-management directors meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions, without management, at which Mr. Lyons presides.

The offices of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company are held by different individuals. The Chairman is Mr. Marcus, who is a founder of the Company and has a significant ownership interest. The principal executive officer is Mr. Schall, who is the Chief Executive Officer and a director. The Company has maintained a leadership structure of different individuals serving as Chairman and principal executive officer since its initial public offering in 1994. Mr. Marcus has extensive knowledge of the Company and the real estate industry, and the Company believes that because of his background and experience he is able to effectively lead the Board in providing oversight and direction to the Company's management. Mr. Marcus is involved in many other business and philanthropic activities. Mr. Schall's responsibility as the Chief Executive Officer is to oversee the day to day execution of the Company's business strategy.

With respect to the Board's role in the risk oversight of the Company, the Board has promulgated internal Company policies that set forth which transactions may require the prior approval of the Board or a committee of the Board and which transactions may proceed with management authorization and without any such Board prior approval. These Board policies cover transactions in the following areas: financings, property acquisition, property development, property redevelopment, property dispositions, other investments and general corporate activities. Generally, these policies set forth a specified dollar threshold and if a transaction exceeds that threshold, the prior approval of the Board or a committee of the Board is required. By requiring the prior approval of larger transactions, which generally may involve more risk to the Company simply due to the transaction size, the Board seeks to provide risk oversight of the Company. The Board has also set forth a policy that limits the aggregate indebtedness of the Company. The Board has promulgated a corporate investment policy that establishes guidelines with respect to investment of the Company's funds; such guidelines cover the required qualifications of outside investment managers and the types and concentration limits of investment securities that are authorized for investment. The Board's Compensation Committee has determined that the pay policies and practices of the Company are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Also, related party transactions are generally reviewed by the Audit Committee of the Board. See Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions – Policies and Procedures with Respect to Related Person Transactions.

Director Independence

Under independence standards established by the Board, a director does not qualify as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company. The Board considers such facts and circumstances as it deems relevant to the determination of director independence. To assist in making its determination regarding independence, the Board considers, at a minimum, the following categorical standards:

- A director is not independent if the director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of the Company, or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of the Company.
- A director is not independent if the director has received, or has an immediate family member that is an executive officer of the Company and who has received, during any twelve-month period with the last three

years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company (other than director and committee fees and compensation or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service, which compensation is not contingent upon continued service). Consistent with the commentary of the applicable NYSE listing standards, compensation received by a director for former service as an interim Chairman or CEO or other executive officer need not be considered in determining independence under this test, and compensation received by an immediate family member for service as an employee of the listed company (other than an executive officer) need not be considered in determining independence under this test.

- A director is not independent if (i) the director or an immediate family member is a current partner of a firm that is the Company's internal or external auditor; (ii) the director is a current employee of such a firm,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(iii) the director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and who participates in the firm's audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice; or (iv) the director or an immediate family member was within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time.

- A director is not independent if the director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of any other company where any of the Company's present executive officers concurrently serves or served on that company's compensation committee.
- A director is not independent if the director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues.
- A director is not independent if the director serves an executive officer of any tax exempt organization to which the Company has made, within the last three years, contributions in any single fiscal year that exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such tax exempt organization's consolidated gross revenues.

The Board has determined that the following directors and nominees for director have no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company), and each is independent within the meaning of independence as set forth in the rules of the New York Stock Exchange: David W. Brady, Irving F. Lyons, III, George M. Marcus, Gary P. Martin, Issie N. Rabinovitch, Thomas E. Robinson, Byron A. Scordelis, Janice L. Sears, Jr., Thomas P. Sullivan, and Claude J. Zinngrabe, Jr. Messrs. Brady, Sullivan, and Zinngrabe are not standing for re-election at the Annual Meeting. Since they are not standing for re-election, the Company expects that following the election at the Annual Meeting, our Board of Directors will consist of nine directors, seven of whom are independent.

In determining the independence of Mr. Marcus, the Board considered the matters that refer to Mr. Marcus set forth under "Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions" below. In determining the independence of Mr. Martin, the Board considered that his adult son is employed by Essex at a junior level position and is not an executive officer. The Board also considered the ownership of Essex equity securities by the directors and determined, in accordance with principles of the NYSE listing standards, that such ownership is not inconsistent with a determination of independence.

Access to Corporate Governance Policies

Stockholders may access the Company's committee charters, the code of business conduct and ethics, stock ownership guidelines, policy on hedging and pledging Essex equity securities, and corporate governance guidelines at the Company's Internet website at <http://www.essex.com>. Copies of these documents will be provided to any Stockholder upon written request to Jordan E. Ritter, Corporate Secretary, Essex Property Trust, Inc., 1100 Park Place, Suite 200, San Mateo, California 94403.

Communication with Directors

The Company endeavors to ensure that the views of stockholders and other interested parties are heard by the Board or individual directors, as applicable. Our corporate governance guidelines (which may be accessed at <http://www.essex.com>) provide that the identity of the presiding director will be set forth in the annual meeting proxy statement, together with a method for interested parties to communicate directly with the presiding director or with the non-management directors as a group. Stockholders or any other interested parties wishing to formally communicate with the Board of Directors, non-management directors, or any individual directors may send communications directly to the presiding director of the Board: Irving F. Lyons, III, Presiding Independent Director, c/o Essex Property Trust, Inc., 1100 Park Place, Suite 200, San Mateo, California 94403.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Company's Compensation Committee was formed in June 1994. No interlocking relationship existed in 2015 or presently exists between any member of the Company's Compensation Committee or Board of Directors on the one hand and another company's compensation committee or Board of Directors on the other hand. Certain transactions and relationships between the Company and certain of its officers and directors are set forth below in the section titled Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions.

15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Relationships Among Directors or Executive Officers

There are no family relationships among any of the directors or executive officers of the Company.

Director Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Company encourages its independent directors to own shares of the Company's stock. In furtherance of this goal, the Company adopted a guideline as of January 1, 2010 for independent directors to own shares that are equal in value to approximately five times the director's annual cash retainer. The value of shares as of January 1, 2010 will be used for this guideline, except with respect to directors who join the board after 2010, and then the value of the Essex shares on the date that they join the board will be used. Directors are expected to achieve this goal within 4 years of its effective date or, with respect to new directors, within 4 years of joining the Board. The Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may waive this requirement or modify this guideline under certain circumstances. As of December 31, 2015, all independent directors were in compliance with the share ownership guideline.

Executive Officer Ownership Guidelines

The Company encourages its executive officers to own shares of the Company's stock. In furtherance of this goal, the Company adopted a guideline as of March 1, 2011 for the Chief Executive Officer to own shares that are equal in value to approximately five times annual base salary, and four times annual base salary for the Company's executive vice presidents. The value of shares as of February 10, 2011 will be used for this guideline, except with respect to new executive vice presidents who are promoted or hired after 2011, and then the value of the Essex shares on the date of attaining their position will be used. The executive officers are expected to achieve this goal within 5 years of its effective date or, with respect to new executive officers, within 5 years of attaining their position. The Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may waive this requirement or modify this guideline under certain circumstances. As of December 31, 2015, all executive officers were in compliance with the share ownership guideline.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Each director of Essex, who is not an executive officer, receives, or has received, the following compensation under Essex's current director compensation program:

- An annual equity grant as determined by having the grant have a value of \$50,000 for directors (other than the chairman) and \$140,000 for the chairman of the board, as based on using the Black-Scholes pricing methodology. Directors and the chairman elect whether to receive such grant in the form of options or a restricted stock award, or a combination of these types of awards. The directors and the chairman must make this election at the time of Essex's annual meeting, at which time such grant of options and/or restricted stock will be made. Such annual grants of options and/or restricted stock are fully vested on the grant date, but are subject to a one-year transfer restriction.
- An annual cash retainer, paid quarterly, in the amount of \$30,000 per year.
- A board attendance fee of \$1,000 per meeting attended.
- A committee attendance fee up to \$750 per meeting, except as to regularly scheduled Audit Committee meetings, for which a \$2,000 attendance fee is paid, pricing committee meetings for which a \$500 attendance fee is paid, and unanimous written consents, in lieu of committee meetings, for which a \$500 participation fee is paid.
- A committee chairman fee of \$4,000 per year. The chairman of the Audit Committee receives \$15,000 per year, payable quarterly, in addition to the other compensation indicated above.

Each non-employee director, upon joining the Essex Board, receives an automatic grant of options for that number of shares as determined by having the grant have a value of \$80,000 based on the Black-Scholes option pricing methodology. Such options become exercisable as to one-third of the shares of common stock subject to the option on each yearly anniversary of the grant date, such that the options granted will be fully exercisable three years after the grant date.

Director Compensation Table. The table below summarizes the compensation the Company paid to directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015. Mr. Schall, who served in 2015 as the Company's Chief Executive Officer, is not included in the table below because he did not receive for 2015 any additional compensation for services provided as a director. Mr. Guericke, who served as a part-time employee in 2015, received a salary, bonus and perquisites shown below under All Other Compensation, but he did not receive any additional compensation for services provided as a director.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
D. Brady (2)	48,500	50,000	—	—	98,500
K. Guericke	—	—	—	359,794 (3)	359,794 (3)
I. Lyons, III	37,750	—	50,000	—	87,750
G. Marcus	40,750	—	140,000	—	180,750
G. Martin	43,250	—	50,000	—	93,250
I. Rabinovitch	45,000	50,000	—	—	95,000
T. Randlett (4)	45,500	—	50,000	—	95,500
T. Robinson	45,500	—	50,000	—	95,500
B. Scordelis	43,750	—	50,000	—	93,750
J. Sears	51,500	—	50,000	—	101,500

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form DEF 14A

T. Sullivan (2)	45,500	50,000	—	—	95,500
C. Zinngrabe, Jr. (2)	41,000	—	50,000	—	91,000

(1) The assumptions used to calculate the value of the restricted stock and options awards are set forth in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2015, each director had the following number of stock options (vested and unvested) then outstanding: David W. Brady: 4,676 options; Keith R. Guericke: 10,000 options; Irving F. Lyons: 12,325 options; George M. Marcus: 15,736 options; Gary P. Martin: 19,017 options; Issie N. Rabinovitch: 14,017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

options; Thomas E. Robinson: 8,119 options; Byron A. Scordelis: 18,103 options; Janice L. Sears: 12,338 options; Thomas P. Sullivan: 5,619 options; and Claude J. Zinngrabe, Jr.: 18,103 options, respectively.

(2) This director is not standing for re-election.

(3) This amount represents salary and bonus for Mr. Guericke's role as a part time employee and includes insurance premiums of \$2,110 paid by, or on behalf of, the Company.

(4) Mr. Randlett passed away in August 2015.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary and 2015 Accomplishments

In 2015, Essex delivered yet another year of sector-leading results. Extraordinary job growth and vibrant economic conditions in coastal California and Seattle, coupled with limited new housing supply, fueled robust apartment operating fundamentals. Under these favorable conditions, we surpassed our goals in each major segment of the Company.

Operating and Core FFO growth: In 2015, Essex had same-property revenue growth of 8.0%, the highest level reached in the last 15 years, and Net Operating Income (NOI) growth of 10.7%. For the year, Core Funds from Operations (Core FFO) per diluted share, grew 15.0%, the fifth consecutive year of double digit growth.

Acquisition and Development: Acquired ownership interests in seven apartment communities, located in urban and suburban neighborhoods in proximity to public transit systems, for \$638 million. In development, we completed the lease-up of seven communities comprising 1,617 units.

Redevelopment: Expanded our redevelopment and resource management platforms. Through these programs, we seek to improve the quality of our communities based on consumer needs and expectations, while earning an attractive return on investment. Furthermore, our resource management efforts implement sustainable practices to conserve water and reduce energy consumption at our existing communities, decreasing our impact on the environment.

Portfolio Management: Opportunistically sold \$308 million of apartment communities at attractive pricing, including Sharon Green Apartments, built in 1970 and located in Menlo Park, CA, for \$245.0 million, or \$828,000 per unit.

Balance Sheet Management: Achieved a Debt, net of deferred financing costs, premiums and discounts, to Total Market Capitalization (defined as total debt, net of deferred financing costs, premiums and discounts plus total equity capitalization) ratio of 24.6% at year-end, the lowest level for this metric since 2007.

Dividend Growth: In February 2016, we announced a dividend increase of 11.1%, continuing a long history of strong dividend growth during our 20+ year life as a public company.

For a discussion of the calculation of Core FFO and NOI, see Item 6. Selected Financial Data and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations, respectively, in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Objectives of Executive Compensation

The primary objectives of Essex's executive compensation program are to (i) attract, motivate and retain experienced, effective executives, (ii) direct the performance of those executives with clearly defined goals and measures of achievement, and (iii) align the interests of management with the interests of Essex's stockholders. With regard to absolute levels of executive compensation and the compensation programs, the Compensation Committee of the Essex Board periodically reviews relevant information about competitive pay levels and structures but also considers a number of other factors, as described below.

Each year, the Essex Board sets annual corporate goals that are generally designed to promote stockholder value creation over a multiple year period. These corporate goals are used as the basis for measuring management performance, a key consideration in granting both cash bonuses and long-term equity. These goals, which include measures of performance on both an absolute basis as well as relative to peers, are described in more detail in the discussion below. Goals for 2015 included:

- specific company performance metrics such as per share growth in Funds from Operations (FFO),
- yields from recent investment transactions relative to the pro-forma underwriting, increasing same property NOI, and,
- dispositions of underperforming assets and discretionary objectives, including progress on specific corporate initiatives such as the successful integration of the BRE merger.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Compensation Committee also considered and acknowledged that Essex performed in the top quartile of its REIT peers in terms of increasing its Core FFO per share and net asset value per share. In 2015, Essex granted long-term equity incentive compensation awards focusing on Essex's relative total stockholder return. The compensation of Essex's executive officers also reflects performance against individual and (where appropriate) business unit goals, as described in the discussion below.

Stockholder advisory approval of Essex's executive compensation. In 2015, Essex held a say-on-pay vote on the executive compensation program as set forth in the proxy statement and approximately 98.2% of the votes cast voted for the proposal. The Compensation Committee considered the results of the stockholder vote in continuing to apply the same principles in determining the amounts and types of executive compensation and did not implement substantial changes as a result of the stockholder advisory vote. An advisory vote to approve the compensation of Essex's named executive officers will be held on an annual basis unless modified.

Role and Procedures of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee, composed of independent, non-employee directors, determines and approves the compensation arrangements for the named executive officers. The Committee has the authority to select, retain and terminate special counsel and other experts (including compensation consultants) as the Committee deems appropriate.

Mercer has not provided any services to Essex other than to the Compensation Committee with respect to executive and board compensation matters, reports directly to the Compensation Committee and not to management, and is independent from Essex. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Mercer pursuant to, and taking into account factors listed in, applicable SEC and stock exchange rules and concluded that the work of Mercer has not raised any conflict of interest.

While the Compensation Committee determines Essex's overall compensation philosophy and sets the compensation for Essex's CEO and other executive officers, it looks to the CEO to make recommendations with respect to both overall compensation policies and specific compensation decisions. For the upcoming fiscal year, Essex's CEO recommends to the Compensation Committee the levels of base salary, targeted annual bonus and long-term equity for the named executive officers other than himself, within the elements of compensation otherwise established by the Compensation Committee. The sum of such base salaries and targeted bonuses and long term equity compensation, if any, is included in the Essex annual business plan. Also, at that time, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves goals for the upcoming year for specific executive officers. Such goals may include company-wide, business unit and individual goals.

At the end of a fiscal year, the Compensation Committee reviews actual performance against goals and, in consultation with the CEO and as discussed further below, sets the actual bonuses to be paid to the executive officers. The CEO also provides the Compensation Committee with his perspective on the performance of Essex's executive officers as well as a self-assessment of his own performance. The Compensation Committee establishes the compensation package for the CEO. Essex's Chief Financial Officer also attends certain of the Compensation Committee's meetings to provide perspective on the competitive landscape and the needs of the business and to discuss potentially new elements for the executive officer's compensation packages.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Peer Group In 2015, the Compensation Committee retained Mercer to select a peer group of REITs and complete a review of the executive compensation levels and practices relative to performance and to the peer group. The following 17 REITs (all are equity REITs, eight are headquartered in California, seven are reasonably similar to Essex in revenue and market capitalization and seven invest primarily in apartments) are considered in an annual peer comparison prepared by Mercer based on publicly filed proxy materials.

Company

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (AVB)
 Apartment Investment and Management Company (AIV)
 Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc. (ARE)
 BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. (BMR)
 Brixmor Property Group (BRX)
 Camden Property Trust (CPT)
 Digital Realty Trust (DLR)
 Douglas Emmett, Inc. (DEI)
 Duke Realty Corporation (DRE)
 Equity Residential (EQR)
 HCP, Inc. (HCP)
 Home Properties, Inc. (HME)
 Macerich Company (MAC)
 Mid-America Apartment Communities, Inc. (MAA)
 Public Storage (PSA)
 Realty Income Corporation (O)
 United Dominion Realty Trust, Inc. (UDR)

Essex compares to the peer group in both revenue and assets as follows:

	Revenue (\$ in millions)(1)	Assets (\$ in millions)(1)
25TH percentile	823	6,171
50TH percentile	996	8,136
75TH percentile	1,632	11,013
ESSEX	1,021	11,563
Percentile rank	53 %	76 %

(1) Assets are as of the end of the most recent fiscal year and revenue reflects the quarter ended June 30, 2015, as annualized for 12 months.

The Compensation Committee considered the peer group information in determining overall compensation levels in light of the Compensation Committee's view of appropriate, market-based compensation levels and pay for performance. However, the Compensation Committee did not use any specific or numeric percentile or other benchmark within the peer group companies for this purpose.

Compensation Program Objectives. The objectives of our compensation program for named executive officers are to:

•

Attract, retain, and motivate executive officers through the overall design and mix of cash, equity, and short- and long-term compensation elements;

- Reward individual performance by tying significant portions of short-term compensation in the form of salary and annual bonus opportunity to achievement of individual performance; and
- Align the interests of executive officers with the interests of Essex's stockholders by tying significant portions of short- and long-term compensation, in the form of annual bonus and long-term equity based awards, to increasing distributable cash flow to stockholders, and increasing the value of Essex's common stock based on the acquisition, development, redevelopment and onsite property management of apartment communities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Within these objectives, the Compensation Committee believes that the primary goal of Essex's executive compensation program should be related to creating stockholder value. The Compensation Committee seeks to offer the named executive officers competitive compensation opportunities based upon their personal performance, the financial performance of Essex as compared to other REITs, and their contribution to that performance.

Key elements. The key elements of Essex's current compensation program for the named executive officers are summarized in the table below:

Compensation element:	Why this element is included:	How the amount of the element is determined:	How the element fits in the overall program:
Base salary	Customary element necessary to hire and retain executives.	Base salary and any changes in salary are based on views of individual retention or performance factors and market data at peer companies (but without specific benchmarking).	Short-term cash compensation that is fixed and paid during the year.
Annual bonus	Customary element appropriate to motivate executives and tie a significant compensation opportunity to achieving individual and corporate performance goals.	Annual bonus is based on both discretionary and non-discretionary performance criteria.	Short-term cash compensation that is contingent on Essex Compensation Committee discretion and review of non-discretionary criteria.
Equity incentive—stock options, restricted stock units (RSUs) and LTIP Units	Equity compensation for long-term retention of management and alignment of stockholder interest that complements cash compensation and provides performance incentives. RSUs may have both performance-based and service-based vesting. LTIP Units have both performance-based and service-based vesting and are nontransferable for 10 years.	Stock option awards, RSUs and LTIP Unit awards are determined primarily based on how the award's grant date value relates to the officer's total cash compensation and how the vesting and other aspects of the awards might incentivize performance.	Long-term compensation is primarily contingent on meeting performance goals and continued employment which are expected to be consistent with an increase in the long-term value of Essex's common stock into which the LTIP Units and RSUs are ultimately convertible.
Deferred compensation plan	Supplemental element to assist in retaining executives.	Executive officers may defer up to 100% of their base salary and bonus.	A tax planning benefit for executives.
Severance plan	For hiring and retaining executives by, providing a reasonable level of continued economic benefit if a change of control and related termination occurs, as stated	In the event of a change of control and related involuntary termination within the period commencing 2 months preceding a change of control	A supplement to the base salary and annual bonus arrangements, which addresses possible change of control situations.

below under the caption and ending 24 months after
Severance and Other Benefits the change of control,
Upon Termination of executives receive two times
Employment or Change of their current annual salary
Control. and three-year average
 annual bonus, vesting
 acceleration of equity
 awards, continued insurance
 benefits and out placement
 services.

Perquisites

Customary element necessary Generally based on A supplement to the base
to hire and retain executives. perquisites being offered by salary.
 comparable companies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Base Salaries. None of Essex's executive officers have an employment agreement. Base salaries are viewed as a customary element necessary to hire and retain executive officers. Base salary and any changes in base salary are based on views of individual retention and/or performance factors and market data at peer companies, without benchmarking. For 2015, the Compensation Committee established base salaries in light of these considerations as well as subjective assessments of individual performance, scope of responsibilities, expertise and experience, and Essex's financial performance and condition.

Michael Dance retired from his position as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective as of September 30, 2015, and Angela Kleiman was appointed to succeed Mr. Dance as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective as of October 1, 2015.

Executive	Salary 2014 (\$)	Salary 2015 (\$)	Percentage Change
Michael Schall, CEO	550,000	550,000	0%
Michael Dance, former CFO and EVP (through 9/30/2015)	350,000	350,000	0%
Angela Kleiman, CFO and EVP (effective 10/1/2015)	N/A	325,000	N/A
Craig Zimmerman, Executive Vice President (EVP)	350,000	350,000	0%
John Eudy, EVP	350,000	350,000	0%
John Burkart, Senior Executive Vice President (SEVP)	350,000	350,000	0%

Annual Bonuses. Each named executive officer is eligible to earn an annual cash bonus based on the achievement of the operating performance budget approved by our Board and the meeting of performance goals during the year. The performance goals used for determining an officer's annual bonus include individual performance, business unit and corporate performance as determined by the Compensation Committee and by the CEO in his recommendations to the Compensation Committee.

Each year, a target bonus amount is established for each named executive officer, and the sum of all target bonuses are included in the Essex annual business plan, which is reviewed by the Essex Board. To the extent that Essex does not meet its annual business plan targets and its results are less than the plan targets, the annual target bonus amounts can be reduced to a threshold amount. In years that Essex exceeds its financial targets, the Compensation Committee has awarded the named executive officers annual bonuses that are as much as twice the individual's target bonus amount.

The Targeted Non-Discretionary Incentive Bonus is tied to achieving corporate performance goals. Each executive is paid based on meeting objective corporate performance goals with a maximum opportunity of 200% of the targeted non-discretionary bonus if specific performance levels exceeded the objective corporate performance goals at levels consistent with the high end of Essex's 2015 guidance.

Essex's primary corporate performance measures are Core FFO per share and the growth in same property NOI relative to its peers that have portfolios in the same markets. The Essex Board reviews the operating plans that include annual Core FFO per share targets and expected NOI results. The Compensation Committee monitors management's achievement of the targets and where it ranks compared to the top quartile of the multifamily REITs with respect to Core FFO per share growth. The target levels for the increase in Core FFO per share from year to year are dependent on a number of factors, including expectations surrounding internal and external growth opportunities, general economic conditions, real estate fundamentals and other specific circumstances facing Essex in the coming year. The Compensation Committee also establishes Core FFO goals that are consistent with the operating plan. For 2015, specific goals for corporate performance and achievements were as follows:

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form DEF 14A

- 1) Goal: Same-property NOI growth of 8.0% Achieved: Same-property NOI growth of 10.7%, thereby achieving 134% of the performance target;
- 2) Goal: Core FFO per diluted share of \$9.40 Achieved: Core FFO per diluted share of \$9.82, thereby achieving 104% of the performance target;
- 3) Acquire and develop new investment opportunities consistent with the 2015 operating plan; and
- 4) Achieve the underwritten yields from 2013 and 2014 acquisitions and developments.

For a discussion of the calculations of Core FFO and NOI, see Item 6. Selected Financial Data and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, respectively, in our

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Compensation Committee considered the quantitative criteria above for the non-discretionary incentive bonus and based on the Company's results, the Compensation Committee concluded that 100% of the maximum non-discretionary incentive bonus was earned in 2015 as the Company generally exceeded all its corporate performance goals.

A portion of each executive's bonus for 2015 also related to achievement of both objective and subjective individual factors, including the evaluation of the officer's handling of his day-to-day responsibilities, and individual performance goals and, in some cases, business unit goals. For 2015, the primary individual-based bonus criteria were as follows:

- **Mr. Schall's** goals included achieving Essex's financial and operating objectives, including ranking in the top quartile of multifamily REITs with respect to Core FFO results, overseeing the Company's transformation process in connection with the merger with BRE, and ensuring strategic objectives as to property locations, accretion, etc. are satisfied in connection with acquisition and development goals.
- The goals of **Mr. Dance**, who was CFO through September 30, 2015, and **Ms. Kleiman**, who became CFO effective as of October 1, 2015 included achieving Essex's financial and operating objectives, including ranking in the top quartile of multifamily REITs with respect to Core FFO results, overseeing the integration of the accounting systems, and identifying process improvements.
- **Mr. Eudy's** goals included delivering ongoing development projects on time and on budget, and initiation of three new development projects.
- **Mr. Zimmerman's** goals included allocating capital via acquisitions to the supply constrained markets with the best return potential resulting in over \$600 million in acquisitions and other investments at returns that exceed the cost of capital.
- **Mr. Burkart's** goals included identifying assets for renovations to improve their long-term performance to achieve targeted returns, disposition of assets to achieve the desired long term portfolio returns, and creating implementation plans for various departments in connection with the Company's transformation strategy.

The 2015 discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses for the named executive officers ranged from approximately 126% to 171% of their annual base salary amounts. Payments for bonuses compared to targets in 2015 were as follows:

Executive	Actual Non-Discretionary Incentive Bonus (\$)	Actual Discretionary Incentive Bonus (\$)	Total Actual Incentive Bonuses (\$)	Targeted Incentive Bonuses (\$)	Maximum Aggregate Bonuses (\$)
Michael Schall, CEO	500,000	300,000	800,000	700,000	800,000
Michael Dance, former CFO and EVP	300,000	200,000	500,000	350,000	500,000
Angela Kleiman, CFO and EVP (effective 10/1/2015)	N/A	410,000	410,000	N/A	410,000
Craig Zimmerman, EVP	400,000	200,000	600,000	400,000	600,000
John Eudy, EVP	400,000	200,000	600,000	400,000	600,000
John Burkart, SEVP	400,000	200,000	600,000	400,000	600,000

Retention Bonus Program. On January 27, 2014, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee of the Essex Board and in light of the additional management time and effort necessary to close the BRE merger and integrate the two companies' operations, the Essex Board approved a merger bonus program for key Essex personnel (the "Retention Bonus Program"). Pursuant to the Retention Bonus Program, certain Essex personnel, including named executive officers, were eligible to receive a cash bonus if both the merger closed and the eligible executive remained employed at the applicable payment date. Essex was authorized to pay up to \$8,000,000 in aggregate cash bonuses pursuant to

the Retention Bonus Program. Employees at the senior vice president level or higher received two-thirds of their bonus at the 12 month anniversary of the closing of the merger and the remaining one-third at the 18 month anniversary of the closing of the merger.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The table below sets forth the amount that Essex paid to each of its named executive officers pursuant to the Retention Bonus Program in 2015.

Executive	Retention Bonus (\$)
Michael Schall, CEO	550,000
Michael Dance, former CFO and EVP	500,000
Angela Kleiman, CFO and EVP	300,000
Craig Zimmerman, EVP	500,000
John Eudy, EVP	500,000
John Burkart, SEVP	550,000

Long-Term Equity Incentives. The Essex long-term equity incentive program is designed to provide an opportunity for management to share in the value creation to stockholders. The Compensation Committee approves the granting of new equity based compensation awards and determines the performance criteria for the annual increases in the conversion ratio (equivalent to vesting) for Z-1 incentive unit awards and the terms of performance criteria for LTIP Units and restricted stock units (RSUs).

Prior to 2014, awards under the Essex long-term incentive program included restricted operating partnership units, referred to as Z-1 incentive units , which were granted to designated executive officers in 2010 and 2011. These incentive units are convertible into limited partnership units of EPLP, which are exchangeable for shares of Essex common stock. The conversion ratio generally starts at zero upon issuance of the awards and may increase up to 14% each year and up to 20% in certain circumstances in the year following their initial issuance, in which Essex meets the performance criteria set forth in the plan. The Compensation Committee may revise the criteria for increases in the conversion ratio to reflect different or additional parameters, objectives or performance measures if it determines that the Core FFO per share performance measure is no longer appropriate for establishing management objectives or that the target levels are no longer feasible in light of factors or circumstances outside of Essex s control (such as general economic conditions, legal/regulatory changes, war or similar events). To change such criteria, the Compensation Committee must further determine that the revised criteria are, as a whole, comparable or more effective for analyzing the performance of Essex and incentivizing the executives and that the amended or revised criterion will not be more difficult to achieve than the FFO target measure set forth in the plan. The sale of these incentive units is contractually prohibited; Z-1 incentive units are convertible into operating partnership common units which are exchangeable for shares of Essex common stock that may have marketability restrictions.

Beginning in 2013, the Compensation Committee has approved and granted LTIP Unit awards to the named executive officers. The vesting of these awards is generally based on Essex s total stockholder return. The LTIP Units are a class of partnership interests in Essex Portfolio, L.P. in which Essex is the general partner, and are intended to be utilized by Essex and Essex Portfolio, L.P. in its equity compensation program. The Compensation Committee determined the relative amounts of these awards based primarily on consideration of how long the grant date value of each award related to the total compensation of each officer and how the long-term vesting of each award would incentivize Essex s executive team to focus on longer term performance. For additional information regarding LTIP Unit awards, see the section entitled Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards below.

On December 10, 2013, the Compensation Committee approved and granted LTIP Units to each of our named executive officers under the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Pursuant to the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan, each recipient was initially granted a number of LTIP Units, 90% of which are subject to performance-based and service-based vesting, and 10% of which are subject only to service-based vesting based on continued employment. One-third of the performance-based vesting of the LTIP Units initially granted were eligible to be earned by recipients

based on Essex's absolute total stockholder return and two-thirds were eligible to be earned based on Essex's relative total stockholder return, in each case, during a one-year performance period beginning on the initial grant date of the awards.

25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Compensation Committee granted the following amounts of LTIP Units, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting, to Essex's named executive officers as of 2013:

Name	Grant Date	Number of Units Granted (#)
Schall	12/10/2013	9,000
Dance	12/10/2013	5,000
Eudy	12/10/2013	5,000
Zimmerman	12/10/2013	5,000
Burkart	12/10/2013	5,000

On December 10, 2014, each recipient earned 100.0% of the units awarded. The LTIP Units earned in 2014 remain subject to service-based vesting, with 25% of the LTIP Units earned vesting on each of the first four anniversaries of the initial grant date, subject to the recipient's continued employment through such dates.

On December 9, 2014, the Compensation Committee approved and granted LTIP Units to each of our named executive officers under the 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Pursuant to the 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan, each recipient was initially granted a number of LTIP Units, 75% of which are subject to performance-based and service-based vesting, and 25% of which are subject only to service-based vesting based on continued employment. Half of the performance-based vesting LTIP Units initially granted were eligible to be earned by recipients based on Essex's absolute total stockholder return and half were eligible to be earned based on Essex's relative total stockholder return, in each case, during a one-year performance period beginning on the initial grant date of the awards.

The Compensation Committee granted the following amounts of LTIP Units, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting, to Essex's named executive officers as of 2014:

Name	Grant Date	Number of Units Granted (#)
Schall	12/09/2014	10,000
Dance	12/09/2014	3,000
Eudy	12/09/2014	3,000
Zimmerman	12/09/2014	3,000
Burkart	12/09/2014	5,000

On December 9, 2015, each recipient earned 95.75% of the units awarded. The LTIP Units earned in 2015 remain subject to service-based vesting, with 20% of the LTIP Units earned vesting on each of the first five anniversaries of the initial grant date, subject to the recipient's continued employment through such dates.

On December 10, 2015, the Compensation Committee approved and granted RSU awards (the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards) to each of our named executive officers (other than Mr. Dance, who was then no longer an executive officer), which will be eligible to be earned based on Essex's percentile rank of total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of a specified list of peer companies determined by the Compensation Committee during a three-year performance period beginning on the initial grant date of the awards and ending on the third anniversary of such grant date (or earlier in connection with a change of control). The number of RSUs earned will be based on the performance criteria set forth in the table below:

Percentile Rank	Percentage Earned
50 th percentile or below	50 %

75th percentile or higher

100 %

In the event that the percentile rank of Essex's total stockholder return is between the ~~50~~ and 75th percentile, the percentage of RSUs earned will be based on linear interpolation between the amounts set forth above. Fifty percent of the RSUs initially granted will effectively only be subject to service-based vesting, as they will be earned regardless of performance. The RSUs and any shares of common stock issued upon settlement of RSUs earned based on the performance set forth above will vest on the third anniversary of the initial grant date, subject to continued employment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Compensation Committee granted 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards for the following amounts of RSUs, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting, to Essex's named executive officers:

Name	Grant Date	Number of RSUs Granted (#)
Schall	12/10/2015	7,500
Kleiman	12/10/2015	3,438
Eudy	12/10/2015	3,750
Zimmerman	12/10/2015	3,750
Burkart	12/10/2015	3,750

In addition, on December 10, 2015, the Compensation Committee also approved and granted fully vested RSUs (the 2015 Long-Term Bonus Awards) to each of our named executive officers. These RSUs are subject to the same performance criteria as set forth above for the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards and will be eligible to be earned based on Essex's percentile rank of total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of a specified list of peer companies determined by the Compensation Committee during a three-year performance period beginning on the initial grant date of the awards and ending on the third anniversary of such grant date (or earlier in connection with a change of control). Fifty percent of the RSUs initially granted will be earned regardless of performance.

The Compensation Committee granted 2015 Long-Term Bonus Awards for the following amounts of RSUs to Essex's named executive officers:

Name	Grant Date	Number of RSUs Granted (#)
Schall	12/10/2015	3,125
Kleiman	12/10/2015	1,250
Eudy	12/10/2015	1,875
Zimmerman	12/10/2015	1,875
Burkart	12/10/2015	1,875

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation. Named executive officers are currently permitted to make elections to defer up to 100% of their base salaries and bonuses under Essex's Deferred Compensation Plan. Essex believes that providing the named executive officers and other eligible employees with nonqualified deferred compensation opportunities is a cost-effective supplemental benefit that enables named executive officers to defer income tax on deferred salary and bonus payments, even though Essex also defers the related deduction. Essex makes no matching contributions to the plan. Additional information concerning this deferred compensation plan is set forth in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and related text below.

Retirement Benefit. Named executive officers are eligible to participate in the Essex tax-qualified 401(k) plan. Essex does not maintain any defined benefit, pension, or supplemental or excess retirement plans for the named executive officers.

Severance and Other Benefits Upon Termination of Employment or Change of Control. Under the Essex Property Trust, Inc. Executive Severance Plan, which was amended and restated March 12, 2013, each of Essex's named executive officers would be entitled to benefits defined under the plan if, within the period commencing two months prior to a change in control (as defined in the section titled Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control) of Essex (if the termination were in connection with a change of control) and ending 24 months after a change of control, the individual's employment is terminated by the employer for any reason other than cause (as defined in the plan), death or disability, or if the individual resigns for good reason (as defined in the plan).

The benefits in such event generally consist of:

- severance payments of two times current annual base salary and two times the individual's average annual bonus for the three calendar years preceding the change in control;
- continuation of health, dental and life insurance for up to 24 months to be paid by Essex;

27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- accelerated vesting of all outstanding, unvested equity-based compensation awards, option awards, and Series Z-1 incentive units that are not assumed or substituted in connection with a change in control (the vesting will accelerate at the time of a change in control if such awards or units are not assumed or substituted in connection with a change in control of Essex); and
- outplacement services provided at the cost of Essex.

Severance payments and benefits are subject to withholding and other potential requirements of applicable income tax law. Individuals participating in the plan are not entitled to any tax gross up in respect of excise taxes, if any, that might arise under the golden parachute sections of the federal income tax law (Section 280G of the Code), and may be subject to a reduction in benefits if any such excise tax were applicable and the reduced benefit would maximize the after-tax payment to the participant.

With respect to LTIP Units and RSUs subject to performance-based vesting conditions granted in 2013 through 2015, in the event of a change in control (as defined in the award agreements) during the performance period, the LTIP Units and RSUs will be earned based on Essex's performance from the beginning of the performance period through the date of the change in control, on an annualized basis. With respect to these awards, if a recipient's employment is terminated in circumstances constituting a terminating event (as defined in the award agreements), then all LTIP Units and RSUs will fully vest upon such termination; provided that such LTIP Units and RSUs will remain subject to performance-based vesting if such termination occurs before performance-based vesting has been determined. A terminating event, generally, includes termination of employment by Essex other than for cause (as defined in the award agreements) or upon death or disability or by the recipient for good reason (as defined in the award agreements) that occurs, in either event, in connection with or within 24 months after a change in control, as all such terms are defined with respect to these awards.

The Compensation Committee believes that these provisions in the Executive Severance Plan and the LTIP and RSU awards provide a reasonable level of continued economic benefit to the named executive officer if a change of control and related termination event were to occur, are a reasonable balance to the at will nature (and lack of fixed terms) of employment for the officers, and provide a reasonable level of incentive for the covered individuals to remain with Essex prior to any proposal or contemplation of, and during any negotiations for, a change of control. The Compensation Committee also believes that the 2 years cash severance payment, the accelerated vesting of equity awards and other reasonable severance benefits, together with the absence of a tax gross up provision, is in line with or provides lesser benefits than the scope of change of control benefits offered by many companies the Compensation Committee considers to be comparable. Generally, the existence of this plan, and the potential benefits to executive officers under it, does not affect the annual determination of an executive officer's base salary, cash bonus or long-term incentive unit grants.

Life insurance and perquisites. Named executive officers receive automobile allowances or leased automobiles, automobile insurance, annual DMV renewals, health and dental insurance and payment of life insurance premiums. The Compensation Committee believes that the perquisites are comparable to, or less than, those provided by comparable companies.

Tax and Accounting Considerations. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, prohibits Essex from deducting compensation in excess of \$1 million for certain executive officers unless certain performance, disclosure, and stockholder approval requirements are met. Option grants under the Essex 2013 Stock Award and Incentive Compensation Plan are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation not subject to the Section 162(m) deduction limitation. The Compensation Committee's present intention is to qualify, to the extent reasonable, a substantial portion of the executive officers' compensation for deductibility under applicable tax laws. However, the Compensation Committee reserves the right to design programs that incorporate a full range of performance criteria important to Essex's success, even where compensation payable under such programs may not be deductible.

Under the incentive program involving the issuance of LTIP Units, vesting in the units is based on performance criteria established in the plan. The estimated fair value of a unit is determined on the grant date using Monte Carlo simulations under a risk-neutral premise and considers Essex's current stock price, the unpaid dividends on unvested units and the discount factor for 10 years of illiquidity.

Under the incentive program involving the issuance of RSUs, vesting in the units is based on performance criteria and service criteria.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Stock ownership guidelines. The company has stock ownership guidelines that require executives to acquire and hold a certain amount of company shares. All named executive officers were in compliance with the guidelines as of December 31, 2015.

The table below sets forth the minimum amount of stock, including indirectly held shares, vested and unvested LTIPs and RSUs, and vested stock options, assuming net settlement, that each named executive officers (other than Michael Dance who is no longer an executive officer) is required to hold pursuant to the Executive Stock Ownership guidelines. The executive officers are expected to achieve this goal within 5 years of its effective date or, with respect to new executive officers, within 5 years of attaining their position.

Executive(1)	Stock Ownership Target as a Multiple of Salary (#)	Stock Ownership Target (\$)	In Compliance(2)
Michael Schall, CEO	5x	1,750,000	Yes
Angela Kleiman, CFO and EVP (3)	4x	1,300,000	Yes
Craig Zimmerman, EVP	4x	1,200,000	Yes
John Eudy, EVP	4x	1,200,000	Yes
John Burkart, SEVP	4x	1,000,000	Yes

(1) No named executive officer has hedged or pledged Essex equity securities.

(2) Executive stock ownership includes all Z-1 incentive units and LTIP Units.

(3) Mr. Dance retired from his position, effective as of September 30, 2015. Ms. Kleiman was appointed to succeed Mr. Dance as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective as of October 1, 2015.

Policy on Hedging and Pledging Essex Equity Securities The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted a policy concerning hedging and pledging of Essex equity securities. A copy of the policy may be obtained as indicated under [Access to Corporate Governance Policies](#) above.

Directors and executive officers are not permitted to own financial instruments or participate in investment strategies that represent a direct hedge of the economic risk of owning our common stock or voting preferred stock, equity interests issued by our operating partnership, or securities that give the holder any rights to acquire any such stock or equity interests (collectively, [Essex equity securities](#)).

Directors and executive officers are not permitted to pledge or otherwise use any Essex equity securities as collateral to secure any loan (collectively, a [pledge](#)) unless: (1) that transaction is first approved by the Board of Directors (not counting the vote of any director with a personal interest in the transaction) based on the committee's determination that the pledge is not significant from a corporate governance standpoint, or (2) that transaction involves a pledge of Essex equity securities that results in such individual having pledged (counting pledged securities that are not common stock on an as exercised or converted basis, as the case may be) an amount of Essex equity securities not exceeding the greater of (x) 0.002 times the number of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock, or (y) 20% of such individual's ownership of Essex equity securities.

However, notwithstanding the provisions of the prior paragraph, as to any individual who has pledged Essex equity securities prior to the effective date of the policy, the individual is required within seven years after the effective date to reduce the pledged securities to an amount that would not require Board approval described above, or obtain Board approval of the pledge.

As more fully set forth in the policy, the directors and executive officers are not permitted to pledge any equity compensation awards prior to the awards' respective exercise, delivery or conversion into equity securities free of restriction under the applicable equity compensation plan.

Compensation Recovery Policy. The Company's Board of Directors will, to the extent permitted by applicable law, have the authority to make retroactive adjustments to any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation paid to an executive officer of the Company, where the payment was predicated upon the achievement of certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of a restatement, where such restatement was due to

TABLE OF CONTENTS

the material non-compliance by the Company, as a result of misconduct, with any financial reporting requirement. Where applicable, an officer will be required to reimburse the Company for any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation received during the 12-month period following the filing with the SEC of the financial statement that was later restated.

Compensation Committee Report

This report is not deemed to be soliciting material, filed with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) except to the extent that Essex specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed with the SEC. The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) with the Company s management. Based on the review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Company s Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement.

Members of the Compensation Committee

David W. Brady
Byron A. Scordelis
Gary P. Martin

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes compensation information for our named executive officers for our year ended December 31, 2015, which we refer to as 2015 , our year ended December 31, 2014, which we refer to as 2014 , and our year December 31, 2013, which we refer to as 2013 .

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan	Stock	Option	All Other	Total (\$)
				Compensation (\$)(1)	Awards (\$)(2)	Awards (\$)(2)	Compensation (\$)(3)	
Michael J. Schall Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	2015	550,000	300,000	1,050,000	1,610,219	—	11,945	3,522,164
	2014	550,000	200,000	500,000	1,501,500	—	13,073	2,764,573
	2013	550,000	240,000	460,526	583,290	319,200	13,609	2,166,625
Michael T. Dance (4) Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2015	311,250	200,000	800,000	—	—	12,353	1,323,603
	2014	350,000	150,000	300,000	600,600	—	14,832	1,415,432
	2013	325,000	140,000	276,316	324,050	159,600	14,130	1,239,096

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form DEF 14A

Angela L. Kleiman (4) Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2015	268,750	410,000	300,000	710,466	—	12,227	1,701,443
John D. Eudy Executive Vice President, Development	2015	350,000	200,000	900,000	852,469	—	14,962	2,317,431
	2014	350,000	200,000	300,000	600,600	—	13,444	1,464,044
	2013	325,000	150,000	276,316	324,050	159,600	13,439	1,248,405
Craig K. Zimmerman Executive Vice President, Acquisitions	2015	350,000	200,000	900,000	852,469	—	13,103	2,315,572
	2014	350,000	170,000	300,000	600,600	—	13,724	1,434,324
	2013	325,000	150,000	276,316	324,050	159,600	13,377	1,248,343
John F. Burkart Senior Executive Vice President, Asset Management	2015	350,000	200,000	950,000	852,469	—	12,520	2,364,989
	2014	350,000	200,000	300,000	750,750	—	11,940	1,612,690
	2013	275,000	150,000	276,316	324,050	159,600	13,351	1,198,317

(1) For 2015, these dollar amounts include the amounts paid pursuant to the Retention Bonus Program as described in Retention Bonus Program in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

These dollar amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for the awards granted for the year indicated. The 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards (granted December 10, 2013), 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards (granted December 9, 2014), 2015 Long-Term Bonus Awards (granted December 10, 2015) and 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards (granted December 10, 2015) are subject to performance conditions, and the grant date fair value of these awards is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 718. These dollar amounts do not represent payments actually received by the officers.

- These awards consist of (i) for 2015, 2015 Long-Term Bonus Awards and 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards (described in the Grant of Plan-Based Awards table below) (ii) for 2014, 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards, and (iii) for 2013, 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards and stock option awards. The grant date fair value of the 2015 Long-Term Bonus Award Plan and 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards based on the maximum level of performance is as follows: \$1,610,219 for Mr. Schall, \$710,466 for Ms. Kleiman, \$852,469 for Mr. Eudy, \$852,469 for Mr. Zimmerman, and \$852,469 for Mr. Burkart.
 - The grant date fair value of the 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards based on the maximum level of performance is as follows: \$1,501,500 for Mr. Schall, \$600,600 for Mr. Dance, \$600,600 for Mr. Eudy, \$600,600 for Mr. Zimmerman, and \$750,750 for Mr. Burkart.
 - The grant date fair value of the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards based on the maximum level of performance is as follows: \$583,290 for Mr. Schall, \$324,050 for Mr. Dance, \$324,050 for Mr. Eudy, \$324,050 for Mr. Zimmerman, and \$324,050 for Mr. Burkart.
 - The methodology used to calculate the values of the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards, 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards, 2015 Long-Term Bonus Awards and 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards is consistent with the methodology set forth in the Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Essex’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.
- For 2015, these amounts include the named executive officers’ respective perquisites limited to Company
- (3) provided leased automobiles or automobile allowances, and payments of life insurance premiums, for Mr. Schall, Mr. Dance, Ms. Kleiman, Mr. Eudy, Mr. Zimmerman, and Mr. Burkart, respectively.
 - (4) Mr. Dance retired from his position, effective as of September 30, 2015. Ms. Kleiman was appointed to succeed Mr. Dance as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective as of October 1, 2015.

Risk Assessment in Compensation Programs

The Compensation Committee regularly reviews Essex’s compensation programs, policies and practices to assess whether they create excessive or unintended significant risk to the Company. Although the Committee reviews all executive compensation programs, it focuses on those programs with variability of payout, and reviews the ability of a participant to directly affect payout, the controls on participant action and actual payouts. Essex supports the use of base salary and performance-based compensation in its executive compensation programs.

The Committee does not believe Essex’s compensation programs encourage employees to take unnecessary or excessive risks. The following features of the compensation programs help to mitigate risk taking:

- A mix of short- and long-term compensation, particularly incentive compensation, to encourage employees to focus on goals consistent with the long-term interests of Essex stockholders.
- Short-term incentives in the form of an annual cash bonus based on FFO and NOI.
- Stock ownership guidelines that encourage executives to retain significant amounts of Essex common stock, thereby aligning the long-term interests of management with those of the stockholders.
- Long-term incentives including LTIP Units earned based on Essex’s total stockholder return, and stock options with appreciation caps that reward longer-term financial performance consistent with the interests of Essex’s stockholders.
- A policy that prohibits executives from participating in investment strategies that represents a direct hedge of the economic risk of owning Essex’s stock. The policy also restricts to a certain degree executives and

directors from pledging stock of the Company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Compensation Committee also believes that Essex's incentive compensation arrangements provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage significant risks, are addressed by effective internal controls and the risk management practices of Essex, and are supported by the oversight and administration of the Compensation Committee with regard to executive compensation programs.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2015

The following table shows all plan-based awards which Essex granted to the named executive officers during 2015. The equity awards are also reported in the Outstanding Equity Awards table on page 34.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards Maximum (#)(1)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(2)	All Other Option Awards; Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(3)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Options Awards (\$)(4)
Schall	12/10/2015	7,500	3,125	—	—	1,610,219
Kleiman	12/10/2015	3,438	1,250	—	—	710,466
Eudy	12/10/2015	3,750	1,875	—	—	852,469
Zimmerman	12/10/2015	3,750	1,875	—	—	852,469
Burkart	12/10/2015	3,750	1,875	—	—	852,469

Represents RSUs granted pursuant to the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards that are subject to both performance-based vesting and service-based vesting based on continued employment. The number of RSUs in this column will be eligible to be earned by recipients based on Essex's percentile rank of relative total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of a specified list of peer companies determined by the

- (1) Compensation Committee, during a three-year performance period beginning on the initial grant date of the awards. RSUs earned based on the performance criteria set forth above will remain subject to service-based vesting, with 100% of the RSUs earned vesting on the third anniversary of the initial grant date, subject to the executive's continued employment through such dates. For a description of the RSUs and the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards, see "Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards" below.

- (2) Represents RSUs granted as 2015 Long-Term Bonus Awards, 50% of which are to be earned based on meeting the same performance criteria as set forth in footnote one for the RSUs granted pursuant to the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards.

(3) There were no option awards granted in 2015.

- (4) These dollar amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 as described in footnote 2 to the Summary Compensation Table above.

Minimum Vesting Period

The 2015 and future grants of equity awards to executive officers are expected to have a minimum vesting period of one year.

Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards

On December 10, 2013, Essex, as general partner of Essex Portfolio, L.P., which we refer to EPLP, executed the Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of EPLP, which we refer to, as amended, as the Partnership Agreement, which established the terms of a new class of partnership interests designated as LTIP Units, which are intended to be utilized by Essex and EPLP in its equity compensation programs in lieu of the Z-1 incentive units.

LTIP Units are structured to qualify as profits interests for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As profits interests, LTIP Units initially will not have full parity, on a per-unit basis, with EPLP common units with respect to liquidating distributions. Upon the occurrence of specified events, LTIP Units can over time achieve full parity with EPLP common units and therefore accrete to an economic value for the participant equivalent to EPLP common units.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

This accretion to parity is driven, in part, by partnership tax rules and is based on the book capital account associated with LTIP Units for tax purposes. Generally, the book capital account associated with LTIP Units when they are initially issued is zero. Economic parity is reached when the book capital account of the LTIP Units has grown, through special allocations of unrealized or realized gain, to be equal to that of an equal number of common units. Events that allow such special allocations under the partnership agreement and applicable federal tax regulations include the issuance or redemption by EPLP of more than a de minimis amount of partnership units. If such parity is achieved, LTIP Units may be converted, subject to the satisfaction of applicable vesting conditions, on a one-for-one basis into EPLP common units, which in turn may be converted by the holder for shares of Essex common stock on a one-for-one basis. Whether or not such parity has been achieved, LTIP Units will entitle the holder to receive regular or other non-liquidating distributions per unit from EPLP that are equivalent to the dividends and distributions per share that would be made with respect to the number of shares of common stock of Essex underlying such LTIP Units multiplied by the sharing percentage specified in the award agreement for such LTIP Units.

Before LTIP Units are earned, recipients are only entitled to receive distributions on 10% of the LTIP Units awarded. After the LTIP Units performance period has ended, recipients will be entitled to receive distributions on the LTIP Units that are earned equal to the dividends and distributions per share that would be made with respect to the number of shares of common stock of Essex underlying such earned LTIP Units. LTIP Units are nontransferable by the grantee for 10 years after the grant date with the exception of certain hardship events as defined.

Executive Severance Plan

We discuss our executive severance plan and related quantitative disclosure based on assumed triggering events under the heading Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control below.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2015**

The following table shows all outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers at the end of 2015:

	Grant Date	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Un-exercisable	Exercisable Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(1)
Schall	12/10/2013	8,000	12,000 (2)	152.63	12/10/2023				
	12/11/2012	11,000	16,500 (3)	143.03	12/11/2019				
	12/6/2011	8,000	2,000 (4)	132.03	12/6/2021				
	12/10/2015					3,750 (5)	897,788	3,750 (6)	897,788
	12/9/2014					7,660 (7)	1,833,881		
	12/10/2013					4,500 (8)	1,077,345		
Various							6,500 (9)	1,549,665	
Dance	12/10/2013	4,000	6,000 (2)	152.63	12/10/2023				
	12/11/2012	—	5,100 (3)	143.03	12/11/2019				
	12/6/2011	785	1,250 (4)	132.03	12/6/2021				
	12/9/2014					2,298 (7)	574,584		
	12/10/2013					2,500 (8)	598,525		

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form DEF 14A

								4,520	
	Various							(9)	1,077,613
			3,000						
Kleiman	12/10/2013	2,000	(2)	152.63	12/10/2023				
			1,800						
	12/11/2012	—	(3)	143.03	12/11/2019				
	12/6/2011	—	300 (4)	132.03	12/6/2021				
	12/15/2009	2,846	—	84.87	12/15/2019				
						1,719		1,719	
	12/10/2015		(5)	411,546		(6)		411,546	
						2,298			
	12/9/2014		(7)	550,260					
						1,750			
	12/10/2013		(8)	418,968					
								2,000	
	12/6/2011		(9)					(9)	476,820
			6,000						
Eudy	12/10/2013	1,467	(2)	152.63	12/10/2023				
			5,100						
	12/11/2012	—	(3)	143.03	12/11/2019				
			1,250						
	12/6/2011	—	(4)	132.03	12/6/2021				
						1,875		1,875	
	12/10/2015		(5)	448,894		(6)		448,894	
						2,298			
	12/9/2014		(7)	550,260					
						2,500			
	12/10/2013		(8)	598,525					
								4,520	
	Various		(9)					(9)	1,077,613
			6,000						
Zimmerman	12/10/2013	4,000	(2)	152.63	12/10/2023				
			5,100						
	12/11/2012	3,400	(3)	143.03	12/11/2019				
			1,250						
	12/6/2011	8,222	(4)	132.03	12/6/2021				
						1,875		1,875	
	12/10/2015		(5)	448,894		(6)		448,894	
						2,298			
	12/9/2014		(7)	550,260					
						2,500			
	12/10/2013		(8)	598,525					
								4,520	
	Various							(9)	1,077,613
Burkart	12/10/2013	4,000)	152.63	12/10/2023				

Edgar Filing: ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST INC - Form DEF 14A

		6,000				
		(2)				
		4,500				
12/11/2012	—	(3)	143.03	12/11/2019		
12/6/2011	—	500	(4)	132.03	12/6/2021	
					1,875	1,875
12/10/2015					(5)	448,894
						(6)
						448,894
					1,915	
12/9/2014					(7)	458,518
					2,500	
12/10/2013					(8)	598,525
						5,900
Various						(9)
						1,406,619

As it relates to the 2013, 2014 and 2015 grants, amount based on the closing price of Essex common stock on the (1) NYSE on December 31, 2015, of \$239.41. For awards granted prior to 2013, the value is based on \$239.41 multiplied by the number of units acquired on vesting, less \$1.00 per unit capital contributions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 20% of these options vested on December 10, 2014, and 1/60th of these options will vest on each monthly anniversary thereafter, subject to continued employment through each such vesting date. These options are subject to a cap on appreciation of \$100 per share.
- 10% of these options vested on December 11, 2012, the date of the grant, and 20% of these options will vest each year through 2016 with the remaining 10% vesting in 2017. These options are subject to a cap on appreciation of \$75 per share.
- 10% of these options vested on December 6, 2011, the date of the grant, and 20% of these will vest each year through 2015 with the remaining 10% vesting in 2016. These options are subject to a cap on appreciation of \$100 per share.
- Represents RSUs granted pursuant to the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards that are subject to service-based vesting only. These RSUs vest 100% on the third anniversary of the grant date, subject to the executive's continued employment through December 10, 2018.
- Represents a number of RSUs, based on achieving the maximum levels of performance, granted pursuant to the 2018 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards that are subject to both performance-based vesting and service-based vesting based on continued employment. The number of RSUs will be eligible to be earned by recipients based on the Company's percentile rank of relative total stockholder return compared to the total stockholder return of a specified list of peer companies determined by the Compensation Committee, during a three-year performance period beginning on the initial grant date of the awards. RSUs earned based on the performance criteria set forth above will remain subject to service-based vesting, with 100% of the RSUs earned vesting on the third anniversary of the initial grant date, subject to the executive's continued employment through such dates.
- Represents LTIP Units granted pursuant to the 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards that are subject to service-based vesting only. These LTIP Units vest 20% on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the executive's continued employment through each such vesting date.
- Represents LTIP Units granted pursuant to the 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan Awards that are subject to service-based vesting only. These LTIP Units vest 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the executive's continued employment through each such vesting date.
- (9) Represents Z-1 incentive units, approximately 14% of which vested in 2015.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2015

The following table shows for 2015 the number of shares acquired upon exercise of option awards and the vesting of stock awards and the value realized upon such exercise and vesting.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(2)
Schall	29,500	2,448,230	9,453	2,260,861
Dance	17,365	1,470,507	3,465	827,941
Kleiman	9,954	1,005,280	3,180	760,748
Eudy	14,683	1,218,381	5,315	1,270,753
Zimmerman	11,528	928,067	5,315	1,270,753
Burkart	15,000	1,237,500	5,381	1,286,560

- Stock awards consist of Z-1 incentive units, LTIP Units and RSUs. With respect to Z-1 incentive units, the amounts reflect the increase in conversion ratio during 2015, and with respect to LTIP Units and RSUs, the amounts reflect both performance-based and service-based vesting achieved during 2015.
- (2) As it relates to the 2013, 2014 and 2015 grants, amount based on the closing price of Essex common stock on the NYSE on December 31, 2015, of \$239.41. For awards granted prior to 2013, the value is based on \$239.41

multiplied by the number of units acquired on vesting, less \$1.00 per unit capital contributions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

The named executive officers are currently eligible to participate in the Essex Portfolio, L.P. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan, which is referred to herein as the 2005 deferred compensation plan. The 2005 deferred compensation plan, which was adopted on December 2, 2008, replaced an older plan to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the deferred compensation plan, eligible employees, which include the named executive officers of Essex, may elect in accordance with plan procedures to defer up to 100% of their base salary and up to 100% of their cash bonus (and other cash compensation) in any year, in each case, after taking into effect reductions due to income and payroll tax withholding and contributions to benefits plans. Essex does not currently make company matching contributions, although the plan allows Essex to make a discretionary contribution. Deferral elections under the 2005 deferred compensation plan must generally be made by December 15th of the calendar year proceeding the calendar year in which the compensation that is to be deferred is scheduled to be earned.

Distributions of the deferred accounts under the 2005 deferred compensation plan are made on the earliest of (1) the participant's separation from service, as defined in the plan, (2) a change in control, as defined in the plan or (3) a date specified by the participant at the time the deferral election was made. The distributions are payable in a lump sum, except that a participant may elect a payout of amounts exceeding \$150,000 as of the distribution date over a period of 5, 10 or 15 annual installments. Distributions under the 2005 deferred compensation plan payable to a key employee (as defined in the plan) in connection with a separation from service will be delayed for six months (to the extent required to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code).

Under the plans, the earnings in an officer's account are based on investment earnings (or losses) equal to the actual net investment earning or losses experienced by the investment selected by the participant. Accordingly, any earnings are based solely upon the investment allocations directed by the officer. Essex does not make these investment decisions or guarantee any particular rate of return or other benefit under the plan. Under the investment policies of the plans, and subject to administrative approval, investments may be directed by the officer in any securities generally available and traded on US public markets. However, the plan prohibits investments such as derivative securities, securities issued by Essex, tax-exempt securities, foreign securities not listed on the NYSE, securities determined by the administrator to be illiquid, securities purchased on margin, and a number of other categories intended to limit the permitted investments to securities regularly and publicly traded in the US market. The plans do not impose specific limitations on the frequency of investment selections or changes in investments.

Although each participant's account is wholly unfunded, the investments selected by the officer are purchased by Essex in and for its own account, which account is maintained by Essex with a brokerage firm, and the return on the deferral account is derived solely from these purchased investments directed by the officer. The plan administrator will not monitor a participant's investment instructions, but it may require the participant to liquidate an investment that is determined to be inconsistent with the plan's investment policy, other plan provisions, or Essex's brokerage account agreement. The following table provides information concerning compensation deferred under the prior deferred compensation plan and the 2005 deferred compensation plan by the named executive officers as of the end of 2015.

Name	Executive Contributions in 2015 (\$)(1)	Registrant Contributions in 2015 (\$)	Aggregate Earnings/(Losses) in 2015 (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance as of December 31, 2015 (\$)
Schall	—	—	(251,567)	—	2,618,626
Kleiman	—	—	3	—	22,826
Eudy	204,362	—	15,512	—	610,807

Zimmerman	—	—	—	—	—
Burkart	—	—	10,310	—	463,609

(1) All contributions in this column are also included as compensation to the named executive officers in the Salary column of the Summary Compensation Table.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change of Control

Essex maintains an executive severance plan, which was amended and restated effective March 12, 2013, that covers the officers and members of senior management of Essex who are selected by the Essex Board and employees who were participating in the plan as of March 12, 2013, which includes, among others, Messrs. Schall, Eudy, Zimmerman, Burkart and Ms. Kleiman.

The plan provides that if within the period commencing 2 months prior to a change of control of Essex and ending 24 months thereafter, Essex terminates without cause any officer covered by the plan or the officer terminates his or her employment for good reason, (as these terms are defined in the plan), Essex will pay the officer an amount equal to twice such officer's current annual base salary, twice such officer's three-year average annual bonus, pay for up to 24 months of health, dental and life insurance premium benefits and outplacement services, and the equity awards to purchase common stock and Series Z-1 incentive unit awards held by the officer will fully vest and become exercisable. The severance amounts are payable in one lump sum within 31 days following the termination date, except that payments to officer who are specified employees at the time of payment will be subject to a 6-month delay. Good reason includes a number of circumstances including a substantial adverse change in the officer's authority, duty or power, a reduction in annual base salary, annual bonus opportunity or certain employee benefits, certain relocations, failure to pay amounts owed to the officer, or a material breach by Essex under the plan. Severance payments and benefits are subject to withholding and other potential requirements of applicable income tax law. Individuals participating in the plan are not entitled to any tax gross up in respect of excise taxes, if any, that might arise under the golden parachute sections of the federal income tax law (Section 280G of the Code), and may be subject to a reduction in benefits if any such excise tax were applicable and the reduced benefit would maximize the after-tax payment to the participant.

A change of control under the executive severance plan is generally defined as: (a) the acquisition by any person or entity, together with all of their respective affiliates or associates, of securities representing 30 percent or more of the combined voting power of Essex's then outstanding securities having the right to vote, (b) the persons who, as of March 12, 2013, constituted Essex Board (or the incumbent directors) cease to constitute a majority of such directors, provided that a person becoming a director subsequent to March 12, 2013 shall be considered an incumbent director if the person's election was approved by a vote of a majority of the incumbent directors, or (c) the consummation of any consolidation or merger of Essex where the stockholders of Essex, immediately prior to the consolidation or merger, would not, immediately after the consolidation or merger, beneficially own shares representing in the aggregate 50 percent or more of the voting shares of the corporation issuing cash or securities in the consolidation or merger.

With respect to LTIP Units and RSUs subject to performance-based vesting conditions, in the event of a change in control during the performance period, the LTIP Units and RSUs will be earned based on Essex's performance from the beginning of the performance period through the date of the change in control, on an annualized basis. Under the award agreements, if a recipient's employment is terminated in circumstances constituting a terminating event (as defined in the award agreements), then all LTIP Units and RSUs will fully vest upon such termination; provided that such LTIP Units and RSUs will remain subject to performance-based vesting if such termination occurs before performance-based vesting has been determined. A terminating event, generally, includes termination of employment by Essex other than for cause or upon death or disability or by the recipient for good reason that occurs, in either event, in connection with or within 24 months after a change in control. The award agreements define a termination for cause, a termination by the recipient for good reason, and a change in control with generally the same meanings as such terms are defined under the executive severance plan, as described above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The table below illustrates hypothetical payments under the executive severance plan as if a change of control had occurred on December 31, 2015 and a defined termination occurred on such date:

Name	Payment for 2X		Value of	Total (2)(\$)
	Annual Salary/Bonus (\$)	24 months of benefits (1)(\$)	Accelerated Equity Awards (including LTIP Units) (\$)	
Schall	2,567,017	19,000	8,735,326	11,321,343
Kleiman	1,350,000	19,000	3,036,979	4,405,979
Eudy	1,717,544	19,000	4,152,366	5,888,910
Zimmerman	1,697,544	19,000	4,152,366	5,868,910
Burkart	1,717,544	19,000	4,269,630	6,006,174

(1) These amounts are based on the estimated average value of the benefits for all named executive officers. Actual amounts for individual officers may differ from this average amount.

The total does not include: (i) available balances under the nonqualified deferred compensation plan table preceding this table, (ii) any amounts due for accrued but unpaid wages under applicable law or under generally available benefit plans such as our 401(k) plan, at the time of any employment termination, or (iii) the proceeds of insurance policies paid by insurance companies in the event of death or disability.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table summarizes share and exercise price information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015.

Plan Category	Number of Securities To Be Issued Upon Exercise Of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price For Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (\$)	Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Plans (#)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:			
Stock Incentive Plans	640,169 (1)	154.98 (2)	684,643
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders:			
Series Z-1 incentive units (3)	135,267	N/A	74,572
Total	775,436	—	759,215

(1) Stock Incentive Plans includes 115,075 LTIP Units granted in 2013 and 2014 but excludes 54,676 shares of unvested restricted stock.

(2) This weighted average price amount applies only to options granted under the Company's 1994, 2004 and 2013 plans.

(3) Includes convertible Series Z-1 incentive units, as described above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

This report is not deemed to be soliciting material, filed with the SEC, or subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that Essex specifically incorporates it by reference into a document filed with the SEC.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors consists of Mr. Brady, Mr. Robinson, Ms. Sears, and Mr. Sullivan. Ms. Sears serves as Chairman of the Committee. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee meets the independence and experience requirements of the rules and regulations of the New York Stock Exchange and the Securities and Exchange Commission, as currently applicable to the Company.

The Audit Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors. Stockholders may access this charter at the Company's Internet website at <http://www.essex.com>.

The primary function of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities by reviewing financial reports and other financial information provided by the Company to any governmental body or the public, the Company's systems of internal control regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance and ethics that management and the Board of Directors have established, and the processes relating to the Company's auditing, accounting and financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. The Audit Committee annually approves the appointment of an independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting of the Company and meets with such personnel of the Company to review the scope and the results of the annual audits, the amount of audit fees, the Company's internal control over financial reporting, the Company's consolidated financial statements and schedule contained in the Company's Annual Report included in the Form 10-K and other related matters.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2015 and effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 audited by KPMG LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has discussed with KPMG LLP various matters related to the financial statements, including those matters required to be discussed by rules adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the communications of KPMG LLP with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with KPMG LLP its independence. Based upon such review and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee also has recommended the approval, subject to stockholder ratification, of the selection of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2016.

Members of the Audit Committee

David W. Brady
Thomas E. Robinson
Janice L. Sears, Chairman
Thomas P. Sullivan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSONS TRANSACTIONS

Policies and Procedures with Respect to Related Person Transactions

The Company has adopted written related party transaction guidelines that are intended to cover transactions in which the Company (including entities it controls) is a party and in which any related person has a direct or indirect interest. A related person means any Essex director, director nominee, or executive officer, any beneficial owner of more than 5% of Essex outstanding common stock, and any immediate family member of any of the foregoing persons. A related person may be considered to have an indirect interest in a transaction if he or she (i) is an owner, director, officer or employee of or otherwise associated with another company that is engaging in a transaction with Essex, or (ii) otherwise, through one or more entities or arrangements, has an indirect financial interest in or personal benefit from the transaction.

The related person transaction review and approval process is intended to determine, among any other relevant issues, the dollar amount involved in the transaction; the nature and value of any related person's direct or indirect interest (if any) in the transaction; and whether or not (i) a related person's interest is material, (ii) the transaction is fair, reasonable, and serves the best interest of Essex and its shareholders, and (iii) whether the transaction or relationship should be entered into, continued or ended.

Generally, prior to entering into a related party transaction, various information about the proposed transaction is to be submitted to the Audit Committee, or subcommittee thereof, which will then review the proposed transaction for compliance with the related party approval guidelines and make a determination as to whether or not to approve the transaction.

The guidelines also list types of related person transactions that are governed by specific approval procedures:

- Routine Transactions up to \$1,000,000 that might involve a related person: generally transactions with a related person for ordinary course goods or services with established pricing practices, such as broker commissions for listing or buying properties, do not require prior committee approval but are to be reported to the Audit Committee for ratification.
- Property Transactions: as to the acquisition or disposition of properties that may involve a related person, the guidelines list specified information to be provided to the Audit Committee, including a description of the related person's direct or indirect interest in the transaction, the underwriting process, risk and mitigation information, the property marketing process, and analysis of comparable transactions. For two years after an acquisition involving a related person, the Audit Committee will receive reports concerning actual versus underwritten performance.
- Preferred Equity/Subordinate Debt Transactions: As to these transactions, the Audit Committee, must be provided information concerning the proposed transaction that is comparable to that set forth above for property transactions, and reports must be made to the Audit Committee quarterly as to the status of the transaction and promptly as to any default or similar event. Unless otherwise approved by the Board of Directors, the amount outstanding under, or invested pursuant to, all preferred equity/subordinate debt transactions involving the same related person may not exceed \$50 million.

The guidelines also state that the Board is to be annually provided a report of the related person transactions that have been entered into since the date of the last such report to the Board.

These guidelines were revised May 2015. Previously, the guidelines provided that certain related party transactions had to be approved by other Committees of the Board in addition to the Audit Committee.

Agreements between Mr. Marcus and the Company

George Marcus, the Company's Chairman, is also involved in other real estate businesses. Mr. Marcus has entered into a written agreement with the Company pursuant to which Mr. Marcus has agreed (1) that he will not divert any multifamily property acquisition and/or development opportunities, which involve properties in the Company's geographic areas and with more than one hundred rental units, that are presented to him in his capacity as Chairman of the Company to any of his affiliated companies, (2) that he will not divulge any confidential or proprietary information regarding property acquisition and/or development opportunities that may be received by him in his capacity as Chairman of the Company to any of his affiliated companies and (3) that he will absent himself from any and all discussions by the Company's Board of Directors regarding any proposed acquisition and/or

TABLE OF CONTENTS

development of a multifamily property where it appears that there may be an actual conflict of interest with any of his affiliated companies. This agreement was approved by the independent directors (other than Mr. Marcus) of the Company.

Other Transactions

The Company's Chairman and founder, Mr. George Marcus, is the Chairman of the Marcus & Millichap Company (MMC), which is a parent company of a diversified group of real estate service, investment, and development firms. Mr. Marcus is also the Co-Chairman of Marcus & Millichap, Inc. (MMI), and Mr. Marcus owns a controlling interest in MMI. MMI is a national brokerage firm listed on the NYSE that underwent its initial public offering in 2013. Essex Apartment Value Fund II, L.P. (Fund II), in which the Company had an investment, paid brokerage commissions totaling \$0.6 million, to an affiliate of MMI related to the sales of properties in 2013. There were no brokerage commissions paid by the Company to MMI or its affiliates during 2015, 2014, and 2013.

In March 2015, a multi-family property, located in Anaheim, California that was owned by an entity affiliated with MMC, in which the Company held a \$13.7 million preferred equity investment, was sold. That investment of \$13.7 million plus an additional \$1.3 million in cash was invested as outlined in the next two paragraphs. Prior to the property sale, the \$13.7 million preferred equity investment earned a 9.0% preferred return and was scheduled to mature in September 2020. Independent members of the Company's Board of Directors that serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees approved the sale of this investment.

In June 2015, the Company made a \$10.0 million preferred equity investment in an entity affiliated with MMC that owns Greentree Apartments, a 220 apartment community located in San Jose, California. This investment will earn a 9.5% preferred return and is scheduled to mature in June 2022. Independent members of the Company's Board of Directors that serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees approved the investment in this entity.

In June 2015, the Company made a \$5.0 million preferred equity investment in an entity affiliated with MMC that owns Sterling Cove Apartments, a 218 apartment community located in Concord, California. This investment will earn a 9.5% preferred return and is scheduled to mature in June 2022. Independent members of the Company's Board of Directors that serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees approved the investment in this entity.

In August 2015, the Company made a \$5.0 million preferred equity investment in an entity affiliated with MMC that owns Alta Vista Apartments, a 92 apartment community located in Los Angeles, California. This investment will earn a 9.5% preferred return and is scheduled to mature in August 2022. Independent members of the Company's Board of Directors that serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees approved the investment in this entity.

In July 2014, the Company acquired Paragon Apartments, a 301 unit apartment community located in Fremont, California for \$111.0 million from an entity that was partially owned by an affiliate of MMC. Independent members of the Company's Board of Directors that serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees approved the acquisition of Paragon Apartments.

In January 2013, the Company invested \$8.6 million as a preferred equity interest investment in an entity affiliated with MMC that owns an apartment development in Redwood City, California. In March 2015 the Company's preferred interest investment was prepaid and the Company recognized a gain of \$0.5 million as a result of the prepayment. Independent directors on the Essex Board that serve on the Nominating and Corporate Governance and Audit Committees approved the investment in this entity.

In 2010, an Executive Vice President of the Company invested \$4.0 million for a 3% limited partnership interest in a partnership with the Company that owns Essex Skyline at MacArthur Place. The Executive Vice President's investment is equal to a pro-rata share of the contributions to the limited partnership. The Executive Vice President's investment also receives pro-rata distributions resulting from distributable cash generated by the property if and when distributions are made.

* * *

41

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROPOSAL NO. 2

Amendment of our charter to change the current super-majority vote requirement to amend certain sections of the charter to a majority vote standard.

The Essex Board is recommending that our stockholders approve an amendment to our charter, the effect of which would be to allow the holders of shares entitled to cast a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter to approve future amendments to our charter that require stockholder approval.

The provision of our charter proposed to be amended is set forth in Appendix A, with deletions indicated by strikeouts as shown on page 47.

The Essex charter currently requires a two-thirds vote to amend any of the following provisions in our charter:

- the provision requiring a two-thirds vote of the stockholders to remove directors without cause (paragraph (b) of Article Sixth of the charter);
- the provision requiring a two-thirds vote of the stockholders to dissolve the Company (Article Ninth of the charter); and
- the amendment provision itself (Article Seventh, paragraph (b)(4) of the charter), which imposes the foregoing two-thirds super-majority vote requirement to make such amendments.

After evaluation, the Board has determined that while the current voting requirements imposed by the charter are designed to ensure that interests of all stockholders are fully protected by requiring that the amendment threshold tracks the current approval threshold for the removal of directors without cause and dissolution, the Board recognizes that there are different perspectives on this matter and compelling arguments for the elimination of super-majority voting requirements to amend a company's charter, including growing sentiment that the elimination of such a provision provides stockholders greater ability to participate in the corporate governance of a company. The Board has also determined that an increasing number of companies are beginning to view such a voting requirement as overly burdensome.

For these reasons, the Board has determined that it is in the best interests of the Company to allow all provisions of the charter to be amended by a majority vote.

Approval of this Proposal No. 2 will result in Article Seventh of the charter being amended to eliminate requirement of super-majority votes by stockholders to approve certain amendments to the charter.

The summary of the proposed amendment to Article Seventh of our charter set forth above is qualified in its entirety by the text of the proposed amendment, which is attached as Appendix A.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of our outstanding common stock is required to approve the amendment to our charter proposed by this Proposal No. 2. If this proposal is approved by stockholders, we will file articles of amendment and restatement with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland as soon as practicable after the 2016 annual meeting. If this proposal is not approved by stockholders, the proposed amendment to the charter will not become effective and the current two-thirds super-majority amendment thresholds will remain in effect.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL NO. 2, AMENDMENT OF OUR CHARTER TO CHANGE THE CURRENT SUPER-MAJORITY VOTE REQUIREMENT TO AMEND

CERTAIN SECTIONS OF THE CHARTER TO A MAJORITY VOTE STANDARD.

42

TABLE OF CONTENTS**PROPOSAL NO. 3
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

KPMG LLP served as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, and has been appointed by the Audit Committee and the Board to continue as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016. A representative of KPMG LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. The representative will have an opportunity to make a statement if he or she so desires and will be able to respond to appropriate questions.

Stockholder ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise. However, the Board is submitting the selection of KPMG LLP to our stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee and the Board will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee and the Board in their discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interests of our stockholders.

Unless marked to the contrary, proxies received will be voted FOR ratification of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016.

FEES PAID TO KPMG LLP**Audit and Non-Audit Fees**

The following table presents fees billed for professional audit services rendered by KPMG LLP for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and fees billed for other services rendered by KPMG LLP during those periods:

	2015	2014
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 1,559,720	\$ 1,659,500
Audit-Related Fees (2)	472,150	453,600
Tax Fees (3)	—	—
All Other Fees (4)	25,000	220,000
Total	\$ 2,056,870	\$ 2,333,100

Audit Fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's consolidated annual financial statements, the audit of internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the year, reviews (1) of the interim consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, comfort letters to underwriters, and services that are normally provided by KPMG LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

(2) Audit-Related Fees consist of audit fees paid by unconsolidated joint ventures of the Company.

(3) Tax Fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for both federal and state income taxes. There were no fees in this category incurred in 2015 or 2014.

(4) All Other Fees consist of fees for products and services other than the services reported above. In 2015 these fees were related to a process review benchmarking project. In 2014 these fees were related to integration assistance services

The Audit Committee considers as necessary whether services other than audit and audit-related services provided by KPMG LLP are compatible with maintaining the independence of KPMG LLP.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Under the policy, pre-approval is generally provided for up to one year and any pre-approval is detailed as to the particular service or category of services and is subject to a specific budget. In addition, the Audit Committee may also pre-approve particular services on a case-by-case basis. For each proposed service, the independent registered public accounting firm may be required to provide detailed back-up documentation at the time of approval. The status of any pre-approved service is reported at subsequent audit committee meetings.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT
THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE
APPOINTMENT OF KPMG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER
31, 2016.**

44

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROPOSAL NO. 4 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this proxy statement describes the Company's executive compensation program and the compensation decisions made by the Compensation Committee for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, with respect to the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table above. The Board of Directors is asking our stockholders to cast a non-binding advisory vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Essex Property Trust, Inc. approve the compensation of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, as disclosed in its proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders in 2016 pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (which disclosure includes the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the executive compensation tables and the related footnotes and narrative accompanying the tables).

The Board is asking our stockholders to vote FOR this proposal. Although your vote on this proposal is advisory and non-binding, the Compensation Committee values the views of our stockholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future compensation decisions for our named executive officers. Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires that we solicit your advisory vote on this proposal.

Our stockholders approved our say on pay proposal at our 2015 annual meeting. The results of the 2015 vote are discussed at the beginning of CD&A above.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR PROPOSAL NO. 4.

CODE OF BUSINESS CONDUCT AND ETHICS

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all directors, officers, and employees of the Company as required by applicable securities laws, rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. A copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted on the Company's Internet website <http://www.essex.com>. The Company will post on its website any amendments to, or waivers from, any provision of its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. A copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics will be provided to any Stockholder upon written request to Jordan E. Ritter, Corporate Secretary, Essex Property Trust, Inc., 1100 Park Place, Suite 200, San Mateo, California 94403.

DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Requirements for Stockholder Proposals to be Brought Before an Annual Meeting. For stockholder proposals to be considered properly brought before an annual meeting by a Stockholder, the Stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to Jordan E. Ritter, the Secretary of the Company. To be timely for the Company's 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, a Stockholder's notice must be received by the Secretary at the principal executive offices of the Company, no earlier than November 5, 2016 and no later than December 5, 2016. A Stockholder's notice shall set forth (i) as to each person whom the Stockholder proposes to nominate for election or reelection as a director all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors, or is otherwise required, in each case pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act; (ii) as to any other business that the Stockholder proposes to bring before the meeting, a description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting, the reasons for proposing such business at the meeting and any material interest in such business of such Stockholder or any stockholder associated person (as defined below), including any anticipated

benefit to the Stockholder or stockholder associated person; (iii) as to the Stockholder giving the notice, any proposed nominee and any stockholder associated person, (a) the class, series and number of shares of stock of the Company that each of them or any of their affiliates own, the date the shares were acquired and the investment intent of such acquisition and any short interest in Company shares by any such person, (b) the nominee holder for, and number of, Company shares owned beneficially but not of record by such person, (c) whether such person has engaged in any hedging, derivative or other transaction with respect to Company shares or any shares of any entity listed in the peer group in the stock performance graph in the Company's most recent annual report, and (d) any substantial interest of such person in the Company, other than an interest arising from the ownership of Company shares; (iv) as to the Stockholder giving the notice, any stockholder associated person and any proposed nominee, (a) the person's

TABLE OF CONTENTS

name and address, (b) the person's investment strategy or objective and a copy of the prospectus, offering memorandum or similar document provided to investors in such person; (v) the name and address of any person who contacted or was contacted by the Stockholder giving the notice or any stockholder associated person about the proposed nominee or other proposed business; and (vi) the name and address of any stockholder supporting the proposed nominee or the proposed business. A stockholder associated person of any Stockholder means (i) any person acting in concert with such Stockholder, (ii) any beneficial owner of Company shares owned of record or beneficially by such Stockholder, and (iii) any person that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with such Stockholder.

The foregoing is a summary of the applicable provisions of the Company's bylaws and is qualified by reference to the Company's bylaws, which were last filed as an exhibit to the Company's current report on Form 8-K, filed March 2, 2015.

Requirements for Stockholder Proposals to be Considered for Inclusion in the Company's Proxy Materials.

Stockholder proposals submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act and intended to be presented at the Company's 2017 annual meeting of stockholders must be received by the Company not later than December 5, 2016 in order to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy materials for that meeting.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's directors, executive officers and persons who own more than 10% of the Company's common stock (collectively, Reporting Persons) to file with the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange initial reports of ownership and changes in ownership of the Company's common stock. Reporting Persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. To the Company's knowledge, based solely on its review of the copies of such reports received, the Company believes that for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, all Reporting Persons complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable except for one Form 4 filed in May 2015 on behalf of each of Mr. Eudy and Dr. Brady reporting the exercise and sale of stock options and one Form 4 filed in March 2016 on behalf of Dr. Brady reporting the purchase of common stock in his self-directed brokerage account.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board is not aware of any other matter to be presented to the Annual Meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy will act thereon according to their best judgment.

It is important that the proxies be returned promptly and that your shares be represented. Stockholders are urged to mark, date, execute and promptly return the accompanying proxy card in the enclosed envelope.

FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

UPON WRITTEN REQUEST TO THE INVESTOR RELATIONS DEPARTMENT, ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC., 1100 PARK PLACE, SUITE 200, SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA 94403, THE COMPANY WILL PROVIDE WITHOUT CHARGE TO EACH PERSON SOLICITED A COPY OF THE ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K, INCLUDING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES FILED THEREWITH. A COPY OF THE ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K IS ALSO AVAILABLE, FREE OF CHARGE, ON OUR WEBSITE, WHICH IS [HTTP://WWW.ESSEX.COM](http://www.essex.com).

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Michael J. Schall
Chief Executive Officer and President
April 4, 2016
San Mateo, California

46

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT

ARTICLE SEVENTH, Paragraph (b)(4):

Notwithstanding any provision of law permitting or requiring any action to be taken or authorized by the affirmative vote of the holders of shares entitled to cast a greater number of votes, any such action shall be valid and effective if taken or authorized by the affirmative vote of holders of shares entitled to cast a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, except as otherwise specifically provided in the Charter. ~~The preceding sentence shall not apply to any amendment of paragraph (b) of Article SIXTH or to Article NINTH or this sentence.~~

47

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS