

PURE BIOSCIENCE, INC.
Form 10-Q
March 02, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JANUARY 31, 2017

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 001-14468

PURE Bioscience, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **33-0530289**
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

1725 Gillespie Way
92020
El Cajon, California
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (619) 596-8600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE, INC. - Form 10-Q

As of March 2, 2017, there were 62,601,037 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, outstanding.

Table of Contents

PURE Bioscience, Inc.

Form 10-Q

for the Quarterly Period Ended January 31, 2017

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	26
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	26
PART II <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	27
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	27
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	27
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	27
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	27
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	27
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	28
<u>Signatures</u>	30

Table of Contents**PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****PURE Bioscience, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	January 31, 2017 (Unaudited)	July 31, 2016
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,893,000	\$5,194,000
Accounts receivable	142,000	263,000
Inventories, net	340,000	350,000
Restricted cash	75,000	75,000
Prepaid expenses	189,000	260,000
Total current assets	4,639,000	6,142,000
Property, plant and equipment, net	568,000	440,000
Patents, net	901,000	980,000
Total assets	\$6,108,000	\$7,562,000
Liabilities and stockholders' equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$424,000	\$479,000
Restructuring liability	29,000	39,000
Accrued liabilities	245,000	216,000
Derivative liabilities	1,494,000	1,802,000
Total current liabilities	2,192,000	2,536,000
Deferred rent	13,000	3,000
Total liabilities	2,205,000	2,539,000
Commitments and contingencies (See Note 6)		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value: 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value: 100,000,000 shares authorized, 62,601,037 shares issued and outstanding at January 31, 2017, and 64,823,917 shares issued and outstanding at July 31, 2016	627,000	649,000
Additional paid-in capital	109,174,000	107,593,000
Accumulated deficit	(105,898,000)	(103,219,000)
Total stockholders' equity	3,903,000	5,023,000
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$6,108,000	\$7,562,000

See accompanying notes.

3

Table of Contents**PURE Bioscience, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations****(Unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended		Three months ended	
	January 31,		January 31,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net product sales	\$978,000	\$362,000	\$447,000	\$176,000
Operating costs and expenses				
Cost of goods sold	399,000	102,000	134,000	48,000
Selling, general and administrative	2,670,000	2,472,000	1,333,000	1,386,000
Research and development	462,000	474,000	214,000	238,000
Share-based compensation	448,000	1,435,000	170,000	763,000
Total operating costs and expenses	3,979,000	4,483,000	1,851,000	2,435,000
Loss from operations	(3,001,000)	(4,121,000)	(1,404,000)	(2,259,000)
Other income (expense)				
Fair value of derivative liabilities in excess of proceeds	—	(1,867,000)	—	(859,000)
Change in derivative liabilities	300,000	(7,747,000)	459,000	(7,790,000)
Interest expense, net	(3,000)	(5,000)	(2,000)	(3,000)
Other income (expense), net	25,000	18,000	11,000	9,000
Total other income (expense)	322,000	(9,601,000)	468,000	(8,643,000)
Net loss	\$(2,679,000)	\$(13,722,000)	\$(936,000)	\$(10,902,000)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.04)	\$(0.27)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.19)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	64,220,473	50,848,785	63,617,030	58,678,242

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**PURE Bioscience, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(Unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended	
	January 31,	
	2017	2016
Operating activities		
Net loss	\$(2,679,000)	\$(13,722,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Share-based compensation	448,000	1,435,000
Amortization of stock issued for services	72,000	106,000
Fair value of derivative liabilities in excess of proceeds	—	1,867,000
Depreciation and amortization	134,000	103,000
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(300,000)	7,747,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	121,000	83,000
Inventories	10,000	(30,000)
Prepaid expenses	(2,000)	(7,000)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(36,000)	(33,000)
Deferred rent	10,000	(2,000)
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,222,000)	(2,453,000)
Investing activities		
Investment in patents	(10,000)	(8,000)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(173,000)	(154,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(183,000)	(162,000)
Financing activities		
Net proceeds from the sale of common stock	1,104,000	8,000,000
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,104,000	8,000,000
Net decrease and increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,301,000)	5,385,000
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,194,000	1,321,000
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$3,893,000	\$6,706,000
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Cash paid for taxes	\$2,000	\$2,000
Warrant liabilities removed due to settlements	\$8,000	\$—
Restricted stock unit cancelation	\$38,000	\$—

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

PURE Bioscience, Inc.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the consolidated accounts of PURE Bioscience, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, ETI H2O Inc., a Nevada corporation. ETI H2O, Inc. currently has no business operations and no material assets or liabilities and there have been no significant transactions related to ETI H2O, Inc. during the periods presented in the condensed consolidated financial statements. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated. All references to “PURE,” “we,” “our,” “us” and the “Company” refer to PURE Bioscience, Inc. and our wholly owned subsidiary.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, for interim financial information pursuant to the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10/Rule 8-03 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended January 31, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for other quarters or the year ending July 31, 2017. The July 31, 2016 balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP and included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. For more complete information, these unaudited financial statements and the notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended July 31, 2016 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K covering such period filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, on October 27, 2016.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. Liquidity

Since our inception, we have financed our operations primarily through public and private offerings of securities, debt financing, and revenue from product sales and license agreements. We have a history of recurring losses, and as of

January 31, 2017, we have incurred a cumulative net loss of \$105,898,000.

As of January 31, 2017, we had \$3,893,000 in cash and cash equivalents, and \$424,000 of accounts payable. As of January 31, 2017, we have no long-term debt.

Our future capital requirements depend on numerous forward-looking factors. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the following: the acceptance of, and demand for, our products; our success and the success of our partners in selling our products; our success and the success of our partners in obtaining regulatory approvals to sell our products; the costs of further developing our existing products and technologies; the extent to which we invest in new product and technology development; and the costs associated with the continued operation, and any future growth, of our business. The outcome of these and other forward-looking factors will substantially affect our liquidity and capital resources.

We expect that we will need to increase our liquidity and capital resources by one or more measures. These measures may include, but are not limited to, the following: reducing operating expenses; obtaining financing through the issuance of equity, debt, or convertible securities; entering into partnerships, licenses, or other arrangements with third parties; and reducing the exercise price of outstanding warrants. Any one of these measures could substantially reduce the value to us of our technology and its commercial potential. If we issue equity, debt or convertible securities to raise additional funds, our existing stockholders may experience dilution, and the new equity, debt or convertible securities may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of our existing stockholders. There is no guarantee that we would be able to obtain capital on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to obtain sufficient capital, it would have a material adverse effect on our business and operations. It could cause us to fail to execute our business plan, fail to take advantage of future opportunities, or fail to respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements. It also may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, to license to third parties the right to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise commercialize ourselves, or to reduce or cease operations. If adequate funds are not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model and operations to reduce spending to a sustainable level.

We believe our available cash on-hand, our current efforts to market and sell our products, and our ability to significantly reduce expenses, will provide sufficient cash resources to satisfy our needs over the next 12 months. However, we do not yet have, and we may never have, significant cash inflows from product sales or from other sources of revenue to offset our ongoing and planned investments in research and development projects, regulatory submissions, business development activities, and sales and marketing, among other investments. Some or all of our ongoing or planned investments may not be successful. In addition, irrespective of our cash resources, we may be contractually or legally obligated to make certain investments which cannot be postponed.

3. Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per common share is computed as net loss divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Our diluted net loss per common share is the same as our basic net loss per common share because we incurred a net loss during each period presented, and the potentially dilutive securities from the assumed exercise of all outstanding stock options, restricted stock units, and warrants would have an anti-dilutive effect. As of January 31, 2017 and 2016, the number of shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, the vesting of restricted stock units, and the exercise of warrants, none of which are included in the computation of basic net loss per common share, was 11,980,795 and 28,609,468, respectively.

4. Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is defined as the change in equity during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources, including unrealized gains and losses on marketable securities and foreign currency translation adjustments. For the three and six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, our comprehensive loss consisted only of net loss.

5. Inventory

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value, and net of a valuation allowance for potential excess or obsolete material. Cost is determined using the average cost method. Depreciation related to manufacturing is systematically allocated to inventory produced, and expensed through cost of goods sold at the time inventory is sold.

Inventories consist of the following:

	January 31, 2017	July 31, 2016
Raw materials	\$98,000	\$120,000
Finished goods	242,000	230,000
	\$340,000	\$350,000

6. Commitments and Contingencies

Severance Agreement

On August 13, 2013, the Company entered into a Severance and Release Agreement with Dennis Brovarone, a former Board member. Mr. Brovarone will receive \$91,000, payable in 60 monthly installments of approximately \$1,600, commencing December 11, 2013 for amounts previously accrued as of July 31, 2013. Approximately \$29,000 remains payable under the agreement and is included in the accrued restructuring liability section of the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of January 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

7. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with GAAP, if indicators of impairment exist, we assess the recoverability of the affected long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets can be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows. If impairment is indicated, we measure the amount of such impairment by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the fair value of the asset and we record the impairment as a reduction in the carrying value of the related asset and a charge to operating results. Estimating the undiscounted future cash flows associated with long-lived assets requires judgment, and assumptions could differ materially from actual results. During the three and six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, no impairment of long-lived assets was indicated or recorded.

8. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the authoritative guidance establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

In connection with the October and November 2015 Private Placement and a prior Bridge Loan, we issued warrants with derivative features. These instruments are accounted for as derivative liabilities (See Note 9 to these condensed consolidated financial statements).

We used Level 3 inputs for the valuation methodology of the derivative liabilities. The estimated fair values were computed using a Monte Carlo option pricing model based on various assumptions. Our derivative liabilities are adjusted to reflect estimated fair value at each period end, with any decrease or increase in the estimated fair value being recorded in other income or expense accordingly, as adjustments to the fair value of the derivative liabilities. Various factors are considered in the pricing models we use to value the warrants, including the Company's current stock price, the remaining life of the warrants, the volatility of the Company's stock price, and the risk free interest

rate. Future changes in these factors will have a significant impact on the computed fair value of the warrant liabilities. As such, we expect future changes in the fair value of the warrants to vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the derivative liabilities for the six months ended January 31, 2017:

Fair Value of Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

	Warrant Liabilities
Balance at July 31, 2015	\$4,000
Issuances	9,867,000
Settlement of warrant liabilities	(13,550,000)
Adjustments to estimated fair value	5,481,000
Balance at July 31, 2016	\$1,802,000
Issuances	—
Settlement of warrant liabilities	(8,000)
Adjustments to estimated fair value	(300,000)
Balance at January 31, 2017	\$1,494,000

Table of Contents

9. Derivative Liabilities

On October 23, 2015 (the “October Closing Date”), we completed a first closing of a private placement financing (the “2015 Private Placement Financing”), where we issued, among other securities, a warrant to purchase up to an aggregate of 6,666,666 shares of common stock with a term of five years and a warrant to purchase up to an aggregate of 8,666,666 shares of common stock with a term of six months (See Note 10 to these condensed consolidated financial statements).

On November 23, 2015 (the “November Closing Date”), we completed a second and final closing of the 2015 Private Placement Financing, where we issued, among other securities a warrant to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,222,217 shares of common stock with a term of five years and a warrant to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,820,670 shares of common stock with a term of six months (See Note 10 to these condensed consolidated financial statements).

We accounted for the combined 20,376,219 warrants issued in connection with the 2015 Private Placement Financing in accordance with the accounting guidance for derivatives. The applicable accounting guidance sets forth a two-step model to be applied in determining whether a financial instrument is indexed to an entity’s own stock, which would qualify such financial instruments for a scope exception. This scope exception specifies that a contract that would otherwise meet the definition of a derivative financial instrument would not be considered as such if the contract is both (i) indexed to the entity’s own stock and (ii) classified in the stockholders’ equity section of the entity’s balance sheet. We determined the warrants were ineligible for equity classification due to anti-dilution provisions set forth therein.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2016, (i) all 2,820,670 of the six-month warrants issued in the second and final closing were exercised, (ii) the six-month warrants issued in the first closing expired and (iii) the five-year warrants issued in the first closing were cancelled.

On the October Closing Date, the derivative liabilities were recorded at an estimated fair value of \$7,008,000. Given that the fair value of the derivative liabilities exceeded the total proceeds of the private placement of \$6,000,000, no net amounts were allocated to the common stock. The \$1,008,000 amount by which the recorded liabilities exceeded the proceeds was charged to other expense at the October Closing Date. Given that the fair value of the derivative liabilities issued on the November Closing Date exceeded the total proceeds of the private placement of \$2,000,000, as of the November Closing Date, no net amounts were allocated to the common stock. The \$859,000 amount by which the recorded liabilities exceeded the proceeds was charged to other expense at the November Closing Date.

As of January 31, 2017, we had a warrant liability of \$1,494,000 related to the 2,222,217 warrants outstanding issued in connection with the November closing of the 2015 Private Placement Financing. The following assumptions were

used as inputs to the model at January 31, 2017: stock price of \$0.88 per share and a warrant exercise price of \$0.45 per share as of the valuation date; our historical stock price volatility of 85.00%; risk free interest rate on U.S. treasury notes of 1.7%; warrant expiration of 3.8 years.

During the fourth quarter of 2012 we issued 132,420 warrants with derivative features pursuant to a Bridge Loan financing. During the six months ended January 31, 2017, of the current 9,709 warrants outstanding, there was a net exercise on 5,335 warrants which resulted in the issuance of 4,179 shares of our common stock. As these warrants were net exercised, as permitted under the respective warrant agreement, we did not receive any cash proceeds. The remaining 4,374 warrants issued in connection with the Bridge Loan expired during the six months ended January 31, 2017. The fair value on the exercise date and the date of expiration was returned to additional paid in capital and is reflected in the Settlement of warrant liability section on the table above.

Table of Contents

On January 31, 2017, the total value of the derivative liabilities was \$1,494,000. The change in fair value of the warrant liabilities for the three and six months ended January 31, 2017, was a decrease of \$300,000 and \$459,000, respectively, which was recorded as a change in derivative liabilities in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. The change in fair value of the warrant liability for the three and six months ended January 31, 2016, was an increase of \$7,790,000 and \$7,747,000, respectively, which was recorded as a change in derivative liability in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. We have revalued the derivative liabilities as of January 31, 2017, and will continue to do so on each subsequent balance sheet date until the securities to which the derivative liabilities relate are exercised or expire, with any changes in the fair value between reporting periods recorded as other income or expense.

10. Stockholders' Equity

Private Placements

On December 1, 2016, we completed an initial closing (the "Initial Closing") of a private placement financing (the "Private Placement Offering") to accredited investors. We raised aggregate gross proceeds of \$1,000,000 from the sale of (i) an aggregate of 1,176,472 shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$0.85 per share and (ii) warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 1,176,472 shares of common stock with a term of five years at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share.

On January 23, 2017, we closed on a second and final closing (the "Final Closing") of the Private Placement Offering. In the Final Closing we raised aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$337,000 from the sale of (i) an aggregate of 396,469 shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$0.85 per share and (ii) warrants to purchase up to an aggregate of 396,469 shares of common stock with a term of five years at an exercise price of \$1.25 per share. The securities issued in the Private Placement Offering were issued pursuant to a securities purchase agreement entered into with the accredited investors.

We utilized the services of a placement agent for the Private Placement Offering. In connection with the Private Placement Offering, we paid such placement agent an aggregate cash fee of \$128,600 and issued to such placement agent or its designees warrants to purchase 151,294 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.275 per share. The terms of the placement agent warrants are substantially identical to the investor warrants, other than the exercise price and the holders' ability to exercise the placement agent warrants on a cashless basis at its discretion. Additionally, we agreed to pay the placement agent a \$12,000 due diligence fee and to reimburse the placement agent for fees of counsel up to \$35,000.

The net proceeds from the Private Placement Offering were approximately \$1,104,000 and we expect to use the net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including our research and development efforts, and for general administrative expenses and working capital.

We also entered into a registration rights agreement with the Investors (the "Registration Rights Agreement"), pursuant to which we were obligated to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") as soon as practicable, but in any event, by February 6, 2017, this registration statement on Form S-1 to register 1,572,941 shares of common stock issued to the selling security holders in the Private Placement Offering and up to 1,572,941 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of warrants issued to the selling security holders in the Private Placement Offering. We were obligated to use our commercially reasonable best efforts to cause this registration statement to be declared effective by the SEC within 45 days after the filing of this registration statement (or within 75 days if this registration statement is subject to a full review by the SEC). Additionally, the Registration Rights Agreement provides for certain monetary penalties if the registration statement is not filed or declared effective prior to certain dates, or it is not maintained effective, as set forth in the Registration Rights Agreement.

The Private Placement Offering described above was made pursuant to the exemption provided by Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act, and Regulation D promulgated thereunder.

Table of Contents

On February 6, 2017, we filed a resale registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC, which was declared effective on February 15, 2017, registering the 1,572,941 shares of common stock issued to the selling security holders in the Private Placement Offering and up to 1,572,941 shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of warrants issued to the selling security holders in the Private Placement Offering.

In the October closing of the 2015 Private Placement Financing we received aggregate gross proceeds to us of \$6.0 million. We did not engage a placement agent or investment banker to facilitate the Private Placement Financing.

In the November closing of the 2015 Private Placement Financing we received aggregate gross proceeds to us of \$2.0 million. We did not engage a placement agent or investment banker to facilitate the Private Placement Financing.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2016, (i) all 2,820,670 of the six-month warrants issued in the second and final closing of the 2015 Private Placement were exercised, (ii) the six-month warrants issued in the first closing of the 2015 Private Placement expired and (iii) the five-year warrants issued in the first closing of the 2015 Private Placement were cancelled.

We also entered into a registration rights agreement with the Investors in the 2015 Private Placement Financing (the "Registration Rights Agreement"), pursuant to which we are obligated, upon request of the Investor in the October closing of the 2015 Private Placement Financing and subject to certain conditions, to file with the SEC as soon as practicable, but in any event within 60 days after receiving such applicable request, a registration statement on Form S-1 (the "2015 Resale Registration Statement") to register the Purchase Shares and the Warrant Shares for resale under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and other securities issued or issuable with respect to or in exchange for the Purchase Shares or Warrant Shares. We are obligated to use our commercially reasonable efforts to cause the 2015 Resale Registration Statement to be declared effective by the SEC as promptly as reasonably practicable after the filing of the Resale Registration Statement, but no monetary penalty or liquidated damages will be imposed upon the Company if the Registration Statement is not declared effective by the SEC.

Other Activity

On April 13, 2016, we entered into a two-year service agreement for general financial advisory services. In accordance with the agreement we issued 250,000 shares of common stock, with a value of \$290,000. The value was capitalized to prepaid expense and is being amortized over the term of the agreement. During the three and six months ended January 31, 2017, we recognized \$36,000 and \$72,000 of expense related to these services, respectively.

11. Share-Based Compensation

Restricted Stock Units

For the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, share-based compensation expense for outstanding restricted stock units (“RSUs”) was \$24,000 and \$700,000, respectively. For the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, share-based compensation expense for outstanding RSUs was \$75,000 and \$1,357,000, respectively.

Of the 1,110,000 RSUs outstanding, we currently expect 150,000 to vest based on service conditions. As of January 31, 2017, there was \$47,000 of unrecognized non-cash compensation cost related to RSUs we expect to vest, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.50 years.

Table of Contents

RSU Termination

On December 13, 2016, we entered into an RSU Cancellation Agreement with our officers and directors who received RSUs in October 2013 as compensation for their continued services to us over a required vesting period. Under this Agreement, our officers and directors agreed to cancel RSUs representing the right to receive an aggregate of 3.9 million vested shares of our common stock. Pursuant to the terms of the cancelled RSUs, we would have been required to settle and deliver these vested shares to the individual officers and directors prior to January 1, 2017, which would have triggered a taxable event. Our officers and directors, in their individual capacities, voluntarily agreed to cancel their respective RSUs based on their determination that cancelling the RSUs would be in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders. The individual officers and directors reached this conclusion for the following reasons:

Conserves our Available Cash Resources. The RSUs held by our officers provide these individuals with the right to require us to pay the applicable state and federal taxes due upon the settlement and delivery of their vested RSU shares in exchange for the individual cancelling and returning to us that number of shares of common stock equal
1. in value to the our contractual tax payment obligation. By agreeing to cancel the RSUs, we will not be required to utilize our available cash resources to pay the tax payments on behalf of our officers, and as a result, we can conserve our available cash resources to support the continued implementation of our business plan.

Reduces Pressure on Our Stock Price. The RSUs held by our non-employee directors provide these individuals with the right to immediately sell into the public market that number of shares of common stock sufficient to cover the applicable state and federal taxes payable as a result of the settlement and delivery of their vested RSU shares.
2. Our common stock currently has a limited daily trading volume, and the sale or the potential sale of a substantial number of shares of common stock by our officers and directors to cover their federal and state tax obligations would adversely affect the market price of our common stock, which in turn, could harm our ability to raise funds to support our operations or require us to raise funds at terms and valuations that would be more dilutive to our existing stockholders.

Each of our officers and directors who are parties to the RSU Cancellation Agreement agreed to cancel their RSUs and the shares of common stock underlying the RSUs in their individual capacities as stockholders and equity award holders, and without any agreement or promise from us or our officers or directors to issue them equity, equity-based awards or cash compensation in the future in exchange for entering into the Agreement.

As a result of the RSU cancellation, \$87,000 of pre-vest expense was reversed.

Stock Option Plans

In February 2016, we amended and restated our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, or the Plan, to, among other changes, increase the number of shares of common stock issuable under the Plan by 4,000,000 shares and extend the term of the Plan until February 4, 2026. The Plan provides for the grant of incentive and non-qualified stock options, as well as other share-based payment awards, to our employees, directors, consultants and advisors. These awards have up to a 10-year contractual life and are subject to various vesting periods, as determined by the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. Our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan is the only active plan pursuant to which options to acquire common stock or restricted stock awards can be granted and are currently outstanding. As of January 31, 2017, there were approximately 2.2 million shares available for issuance under the Plan.

During the six months ended January 31, 2017, we issued 100,000 options to purchase common stock to a member of our Scientific Advisory Board. The options vest quarterly over one year and carry a five-year term. No stock options were granted during the three months ended January 31, 2017.

During the three and six months ended January 31, 2016, we issued 110,000 options to purchase common stock to key employees. The options vested on the date of grant and carry a five-year term.

Table of Contents

A summary of our stock option activity is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at July 31, 2015	434,218	\$ 4.07	\$ —
Granted	1,850,000	\$ 1.07	
Exercised	—	\$ —	
Cancelled	(6,250)	\$ 14.72	
Outstanding at July 31, 2016	2,277,968	\$ 1.60	\$ 48,000
Granted	100,000	\$ 1.02	
Exercised	—	\$ —	
Cancelled	(253,125)	\$ 1.42	
Outstanding at January 31, 2017	2,124,843	\$ 1.60	\$ 22,000

At January 31, 2017, options to purchase 1,652,343 shares of common stock were exercisable. These options had a weighted-average exercise price of \$1.74, an aggregate intrinsic value of \$22,000, and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 3.32 years. The weighted average grant date fair value for options granted during the six months ended January 31, 2017 was \$0.49.

We use the Black-Scholes valuation model to calculate the fair value of stock options. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period using the straight-line method. The fair value of options granted is estimated at the date of grant using the following weighted average assumptions for the three and six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016:

	Three Months Ended January 31, 2017	Three Months Ended January 31, 2016	Six Months Ended January 31, 2017	Six Months Ended January 31, 2016
Volatility	—% 96.60	% 74.71	% 96.60	%
Risk-free interest rate	—% 0.92	% 0.93	% 0.92	%
Dividend yield	—% 0	% 0.0	% 0.0	%
Expected life	— 2.50 years	2.81 years	2.50 years	

Volatility is the measure by which our stock price is expected to fluctuate during the expected term of an option. Volatility is derived from the historical daily change in the market price of our common stock, as we believe that historical volatility is the best indicator of future volatility.

The risk-free interest rates used in the Black-Scholes calculations are based on the prevailing U.S. Treasury yield as determined by the U.S. Federal Reserve.

We have never paid dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Accordingly, we have assumed no dividend yield for purposes of estimating the fair value of our share-based compensation.

The weighted average expected life of options was estimated using the average of the contractual term and the weighted average vesting term of the options. Certain options granted to consultants are subject to variable accounting treatment and are required to be revalued until vested.

Stock-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, and therefore is reduced by expected forfeitures. We have not had significant forfeitures of stock options granted to employees and directors as a significant number of our historical stock option grants were fully vested at issuance or were issued with short vesting provisions. Therefore, we have estimated the forfeiture rate of our outstanding stock options as zero.

The total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option grants as of January 31, 2017 was approximately \$82,000 and the weighted average period over which these grants are expected to vest is 0.40 years.

Table of Contents

For the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, share-based compensation expense for stock options was \$234,000 and \$62,000 respectively. For the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, share-based compensation expense for stock options was \$460,000 and \$78,000 respectively.

12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements—Going Concern: Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern*, which requires management to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and, if so, provide certain footnote disclosures. This ASU is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. We expect to adopt ASU 2014-15 in the third quarter of 2017 and the impact of adoption will not be material to our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)* which amended the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 establishes principles for recognizing revenue upon the transfer of promised goods or services to customers, in an amount that reflects the expected consideration received in exchange for those goods or services. In July 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. We expect to adopt ASU 2014-09 in the third quarter of 2018 and the impact of adoption will not be material to our consolidated financial statements.

13. Subsequent Events

Subsequent to January 31, 2017, we received \$79,500 from the exercise of warrants to purchase 106,000 shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

All references in this Item 2 and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report to “PURE,” “we”, “our,” “us” and the “Company” refer to PURE Bioscience, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and our wholly owned subsidiary, ETI H2O, Inc., a Nevada corporation. ETI H2O, Inc. currently has no business operations and no material assets or liabilities and there have been no significant transactions related to ETI H2O, Inc. during the periods presented in the condensed consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

The discussion in this section contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. We have attempted to identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “can,” “continue,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “potential,” “predict,” “show” or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology, but their absence does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which could cause our actual results to differ from those projected in any forward-looking statements we make. Several risks and uncertainties we face are discussed in more detail under “Risk Factors” in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report or in the discussion and analysis below. You should, however, understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risks and uncertainties and you should not consider the risks and uncertainties identified by us to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties that could materially affect us. You should not place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements we make herein because some or all of them may turn out to be wrong. We undertake no obligation to update any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect future events and developments, except as required by law. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to those financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

Company Overview

We are focused on developing and commercializing proprietary antimicrobial products that provide safe and cost-effective solutions to the health and environmental challenges of pathogen and hygienic control. Our technology platform is based on patented stabilized ionic silver, and our initial products contain silver dihydrogen citrate, or SDC. SDC is a broad-spectrum, non-toxic antimicrobial agent, which offers 24-hour residual protection and formulates well with other compounds. As a platform technology, we believe SDC is distinguished from existing products in the marketplace because of its superior efficacy, reduced toxicity and the inability of bacteria to form a resistance to it.

Our SDC-based technology platform has potential application in a number of industries. Our near-term focus is on offering products that address food safety risks across the food industry supply chain. In 2011, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported that foodborne illnesses affect more than 48 million people annually in the U.S., causing 128,000 hospitalizations and 3,000 fatalities. The CDC estimated that more than 9 million of these foodborne illnesses were attributed to major pathogens. The CDC reported that contaminated produce was responsible for approximately 46% of the foodborne illnesses caused by pathogens and 23% of the foodborne illness-related deaths in the US between 1998 and 2008. Among the top pathogens contributing to foodborne illness in the U.S. are Norovirus, *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*, *Staphylococcus*, Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Listeria*. *Salmonella* is the leading cause of hospitalization, followed by Norovirus, and is the leading cause of deaths related to foodborne illness.

Based on these statistics, we believe there is a significant market opportunity for our safe, non-toxic and effective SDC-based solutions. We currently offer PURE[®] Hard Surface as a food contact surface sanitizer and disinfectant to restaurant chains and food processors. We also offer PURE Control[®] as a direct food contact processing aid. We received the required FDA approvals to market PURE Control[®] as a direct food contact processing aid for raw poultry and fresh produce in December 2015 and January 2016, respectively. In July 2016, we received a “No Objection Letter” from the USDA’s Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) granting approval for SDC-based PURE Control to be used as a spray or dip applied to poultry carcasses, parts and organs in pre-OLR (on-line reprocessing) and post chill processing of fresh poultry. We have not, however, received the required approval from the USDA to utilize PURE Control in OLR poultry processing, which effectively restricts our ability to commercialize PURE Control for poultry processing until we receive such additional approval. We continue our on-going plant trials to optimize the application of PURE Control, including with higher concentrations of SDC, in OLR to gain USDA approval for use in that stage of poultry processing. Based on these on-going plant trials, we have submitted an additional FCN to the FDA to allow us to use higher concentrations of SDC in poultry processing to have the flexibility to adjust to varying plant and processing conditions. We are continuing to work with the FDA to obtain approval for the higher concentrations of SDC in poultry processing and expect to receive FDA approval in the second calendar quarter of 2017. Additionally, we are currently testing and continuing development of PURE Control to allow us to seek regulatory approval to also utilize PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for raw meats, including beef and pork. In addition to our direct sales efforts with PURE Hard Surface and PURE Control, we market and sell our SDC-based products indirectly through third-party distributors.

Table of Contents

Business Strategy

Our goal is to become a sustainable company by commercializing the SDC-based products we have developed with our proprietary technology platform. We are focused on delivering leading antimicrobial products that address food safety risks across the food industry supply chain. Key aspects of our business strategy include:

Expanding sales and distribution for our products into the food industry with a focus on a dual track of food safety market opportunities:

Hard Surface Disinfectant - commercializing our current EPA registered PURE Hard Surface disinfectant and sanitizer for use in foodservice operations and food manufacturing.

Direct Food Contact - commercializing FDA approved PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for fresh produce; commercializing FDA approved PURE Control as a food processing and intervention aid for food processors treating raw poultry subject to further USDA approval for OLR poultry processing and FDA approval for higher concentrations of SDC; expecting to commercialize, subject to both FDA and USDA approval, the use of SDC as a food processing and intervention aid for food processors treating raw beef and pork.

Establishing strategic alliances to maximize the commercial potential of our technology platform;

Developing additional proprietary products and applications; and

Protecting and enhancing our intellectual property.

In addition to our current products addressing food safety, we intend to leverage our technology platform through licensing and distribution collaborations in order to develop new products and enter into new markets that could potentially generate multiple sources of revenue.

Our Products

Our near-term focus is on delivering leading antimicrobial products that address food safety risks across the food industry supply chain. We currently offer PURE Hard Surface as a food contact surface sanitizer and disinfectant to restaurant chains and food processors. We also offer PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid. We received the required FDA approvals to market PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for raw poultry and fresh produce in December 2015 and January 2016, respectively. In July 2016, we received a “No Objection Letter” from the USDA’s Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) granting approval for SDC-based PURE Control to be used as a spray or dip applied to poultry carcasses, parts and organs in pre-OLR (on-line reprocessing) and post chill

processing of fresh poultry. We have not, however, received the required approval from the USDA to utilize PURE Control in OLR poultry processing, which effectively restricts our ability to commercialize PURE Control for poultry processing until we receive such additional approval. We continue our on-going plant trials to optimize the application of PURE Control, including with higher concentrations of SDC, in OLR to gain USDA approval for use in that stage of poultry processing. Based on these on-going plant trials, we have submitted an additional FCN to the FDA to allow us to use higher concentrations of SDC in poultry processing to have the flexibility to adjust to varying plant and processing conditions. We are continuing to work with the FDA to obtain approval for the higher concentrations of SDC in poultry processing and expect to receive FDA approval in the second calendar quarter of 2017. Additionally, we are currently testing and continuing development of PURE Control to allow us to seek regulatory approval to also utilize PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for raw meats, including beef and pork.

Table of Contents

In addition to our direct sales efforts with PURE Hard Surface and PURE Control, we market and sell our SDC-based products indirectly through third-party distributors. In addition to PURE Hard Surface and PURE Control, we manufacture and sell (i) SDC-based products for end use, (ii) products preserved with SDC and (iii) SDC as a raw material ingredient for manufacturing use.

PURE® Hard Surface Disinfectant and Sanitizer (Ready to Use)

PURE Hard Surface is our SDC-based, patented and EPA-registered, ready-to-use hard surface disinfectant and food contact surface sanitizer. PURE Hard Surface combines high efficacy and low toxicity with bacterial and viral kill times as few as 30-seconds and 24-hour residual protection. The product completely kills resistant pathogens such as MRSA and Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (NDM-1), and effectively eliminates dangerous fungi and viruses including HIV, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, Norovirus, Influenza A, Avian Influenza and H1N1. It also eradicates hazardous food pathogens such as *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter* and *Listeria*. PURE Hard Surface delivers broad-spectrum efficacy yet remains classified as least-toxic by the EPA. The active ingredient, SDC, has been designated as “Generally Recognized as Safe”, or GRAS, for use on food processing equipment, machinery and utensils.

PURE Control®

We have the necessary regulatory approvals from the FDA to offer PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for fresh produce and raw poultry. We also have regulatory approvals from the USDA for certain methods of application of PURE Control on poultry and we are also performing additional trials to gain further USDA approvals for additional food contact applications for poultry. Additionally, we are currently testing and continuing development of PURE Control to allow us to seek regulatory approval to also utilize PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for raw meats, including beef and pork.

Poultry Processing Aid. In December 2015, we received the required approvals from the FDA stating that our FCN (food contact notification) for SDC as a raw poultry processing aid is complete. We have received a “No Objection Letter” from the USDA’s Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) granting approval for SDC-based PURE Control to be used as a spray or dip applied to poultry carcasses, parts and organs in pre-OLR (on-line reprocessing) and post chill processing of fresh poultry. We have not, however, received the required approval from the USDA to utilize PURE Control in OLR poultry processing, which effectively restricts our ability to commercialize PURE Control for poultry processing until we receive such additional approval. We continue our on-going plant trials to optimize the application of PURE Control, including with higher concentrations of SDC, in OLR to gain USDA approval for use in that stage of poultry processing. Based on these on-going plant trials, we have submitted an additional FCN to the FDA to allow us to use higher concentrations of SDC in poultry processing to have the flexibility to adjust to varying plant and processing conditions. We are continuing to work with the FDA to obtain approval for the higher concentrations of SDC in poultry processing and expect to receive FDA approval in the second calendar quarter of 2017.

Testing data conducted by Dr. James Marsden at Kansas State University and submitted in support of our FCN showed that, SDC achieved an average reduction in *Salmonella* of 2.75 log₁₀ CFU/cm₂ when applied as an OLR (online reprocessing) spray and 6.28 log₁₀ CFU/cm₂ when combined with an immersion chilling process simulating current U.S. industry practices. We believe that testing by Dr. Marsden provides support to the following benefits of SDC for poultry processing:

The use of SDC antimicrobial solution in poultry processing has the potential to enable plants to achieve non-detectable *Salmonella* levels post-chill process.

A sensory evaluation of SDC showed no difference in color, appearance or odor in treated poultry.

SDC has a neutral to positive impact on yield.

SDC offers a highly effective alternative to hazardous and difficult to blend chemicals currently used as treatments in raw poultry processing.

Table of Contents

SDC is a significant improvement over current processing practices. The product is:

Easier to handle and dilute;

Non-corrosive to processing equipment;

Does not create noxious fumes; and

Poultry processors will also benefit from the highly stable solution, ease of use and improved worker safety.

Produce Processing Aid. In January 2016, we received the required approvals from the FDA stating that our FCN for SDC as a spray or dip on processed fruits and vegetables is complete. We were not required to obtain any approvals from the USDA to use PURE Control as a produce processing aid.

Data from testing conducted by Dr. James Marsden at Kansas State University and submitted in support of our FCN for produce showed that SDC achieved average reductions up to 2.36 log₁₀ CFU/cm² when applied alone as a spray and up to 3.10 log₁₀ CFU/cm² when combined with chlorine wash, simulating current processing practices. Sensory evaluations of produce treated with SDC indicated no difference in color, appearance or odor to untreated controls; and SDC had no effect on the nutritional composition of the produce.

Currently, produce processors target achieving only a 1 log₁₀ CFU/cm² reduction per intervention treatment. Data suggests that by incorporating SDC, processors can improve their results 100-fold with only one step. This represents a significant advantage to produce processors as well as improvement to the safety of processed produce going to the consumer.

Other Processing Aids under Development. We are currently testing and continuing development of PURE Control to allow us to seek regulatory approval to also utilize PURE Control as a direct food contact processing aid for raw meats, including beef and pork. Subject to successful pilot testing results and development, we intend to submit for both FDA and USDA approval during calendar 2017. In addition, we may identify other food processing opportunities for SDC.

Additional SDC-Based Products

In addition to PURE Hard Surface and PURE Control, we manufacture and sell (i) SDC-based products for end use, (ii) products preserved with SDC and (iii) SDC as a raw material ingredient for manufacturing use. These products include:

Product Name	Product Use	EPA Registration
PURE Complete Solution:		
PURE® Multi-Purpose and Floor Cleaner Concentrate	Cleaner	Not applicable
PURE® Multi-Purpose Hi-Foam Cleaner Concentrate	Cleaner	Not applicable
Axen®30	Disinfectant	Axen30
Axenohl®	Raw material ingredient	Axenohl
SILVÉRIION®	Raw material ingredient	Not applicable

PURE Complete Solution

Our PURE Complete Solution is comprised of PURE Hard Surface and concentrated cleaning products that were launched as companion products to PURE Hard Surface. The PURE Complete Solution offers a comprehensive, cost-effective and user-friendly cleaning, disinfecting and sanitizing product line to end-users including our targeted foodservice, food manufacturing and food processing customers. We can also target this product line to hospital and medical care facilities; janitorial service providers and the distributors that supply them.

Table of Contents

PURE® Multi-Purpose and Floor Cleaner Concentrate (End-User Dilutable)

PURE Multi-Purpose and Floor Cleaner, is an environmentally responsible cleaning product that is protected by SDC. SDC ensures the quality and safety of PURE Multi-Purpose and Floor Cleaner without human or environmental exposure to toxic chemical preservatives. PURE Multi-Purpose and Floor Cleaner is non-toxic and non-flammable and contains no EDTA, phosphates, ammonia or bleach as well as no VOCs or NPEs. This efficient cleaner provides professional strength cleaning in a concentrate formula that yields a 1:96 – 1:256 use dilution that is safe for use on all resilient surfaces, including floors, glass and food contact surfaces.

PURE® Multi-Purpose Hi-Foam Cleaner Concentrate (End-User Dilutable)

PURE Multi-Purpose Hi-Foam Cleaner is an environmentally responsible, professional strength high foam forming cleaning product that is protected by SDC. SDC ensures the quality and safety of PURE Multi-Purpose Hi-Foam Cleaner without human or environmental exposure to toxic chemical preservatives. PURE Multi-Purpose Hi-Foam Cleaner is non-toxic and non-flammable and contains no EDTA, phosphates, ammonia or bleach as well as no VOCs or NPEs. PURE Multi-Purpose Hi-Foam Cleaner provides high foam cleaning in a concentrate formula that yields a 1:50 use dilution that is safe for use on stainless steel equipment, resilient floors, walls and painted surfaces.

Axen® 30 (Ready-to-Use)

Axen30 is our patented and EPA-registered hard surface disinfectant and is a predecessor ready-to-use product to PURE Hard Surface. Axen30 is currently sold on a limited basis by distributors under their respective private labels.

Axenohl® (Raw Material Ingredient)

Axenohl is our patented and EPA-registered SDC-based antimicrobial formulation for use as a raw material ingredient in the manufacturing of EPA-registered products. Axenohl is a colorless, odorless and stable solution that provides fast acting efficacy against bacteria, viruses and fungi when manufactured into consumer and commercial disinfecting and sanitizing products.

SILVÉRION® (Raw Material Ingredient)

SILVÉRION is our patented SDC-based antimicrobial formulation for use as a raw material ingredient in the manufacturing of personal care products. It can be used as either an active ingredient or a preservative. SILVÉRION is a colorless, odorless and stable solution that provides ionic silver in a water-soluble form. It provides fast acting efficacy at low concentrations against a broad-spectrum of bacteria, viruses, yeast and molds. SILVÉRION is currently sold domestically and outside of the United States in various personal care products.

Financial Overview

This financial overview provides a general description of our revenue and expenses.

Revenue

We contract manufacture and sell SDC-based products for end use, and as a raw material for manufacturing use. We also license our products and technology to development and commercialization partners. Revenue is recognized when realized or realizable and earned. Any amounts received prior to satisfying revenue recognition criteria are recorded as deferred revenue.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold for product sales includes direct and indirect costs to manufacture products, including materials consumed, manufacturing overhead, shipping costs, salaries, benefits, reserved inventory, and related expenses of operations. Depreciation related to manufacturing is systematically allocated to inventory produced, and expensed through cost of goods sold at the time inventory is sold.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expense consists primarily of salaries and other related costs for personnel in business development, sales, finance, accounting, information technology, and executive functions. Other selling, general and administrative costs include product marketing, advertising, and trade show costs, as well as public relations and investor relations, facility costs, and legal, accounting and other professional fees.

Research and Development

Our research and development activities are focused on leveraging our technology platform to develop additional proprietary products and applications. Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel and related costs, product registration expenses, and third-party testing. We expense research and development costs as incurred.

Other Income (Expense)

We record interest income, interest expense, change in derivative liabilities, as well as other non-operating transactions, as other income (expense) in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Results of Operations

Fluctuations in Operating Results

Our results of operations have fluctuated significantly from period to period in the past and are likely to continue to do so in the future. We anticipate that our results of operations will be affected for the foreseeable future by several factors that may contribute to these periodic fluctuations, including the demand for our products, the timing and amount of our product sales, and the progress and timing of expenditures related to sales and marketing, as well as product development. Due to these fluctuations, we believe that the period-to-period comparisons of our operating results are not a reliable indication of our future performance.

Comparison of the Three Months Ended January 31, 2017 and 2016

Net Product Sales

Net product sales were \$447,000 and \$176,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The increase of \$271,000 was primarily attributable to new customer sales in the food safety industry, as well as sales fluctuations within our existing legacy customer base.

For the three months ended January 31, 2017, one individual customer accounted for 56% of our net product sales. No other individual customer accounted for 10% or more of our net product sales. The geographic breakdown of net product sales was as follows: 100% U.S.

For the three months ended January 31, 2016, two individual customers accounted for 43% and 15%, of our net product sales, respectively. No other individual customer accounted for 10% or more of our net product sales. The geographic breakdown of net product sales was as follows: 100% U.S.

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold was \$134,000 and \$48,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The increase of \$86,000 was attributable to increased net product sales.

Gross margin as a percentage of net product sales, or gross margin percentage, was 70% and 73% for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease in gross margin percentage was primarily attributable to the sale of lower margin formulations and packaging configurations of our products during the quarter ended January 31, 2017 as compared with the prior period.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense was \$1,333,000 and \$1,386,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease of \$53,000 was primarily attributable to decreased marketing costs and legal fees offset by increased personnel costs.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense was \$214,000 and \$238,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease of \$24,000 was primarily attributable to reductions in third-party testing and research supporting our FDA approval efforts.

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense was \$170,000 and \$763,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease of \$593,000 is primarily due to the vesting of restricted stock units granted to employees and directors supporting our selling, general and administrative, and research and development functions during the prior fiscal year.

Fair Value of Derivative Liabilities in Excess of Proceeds.

The fair value of derivative liabilities in excess of proceeds was zero and \$859,000 for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively (See Note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

Change in Derivative Liabilities

Change in derivative liabilities for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 was a decrease of \$459,000 and an increase of \$7,790,000, respectively. The current period decrease is primarily due the assumptions used in the fair value pricing model for warrants at the end of the reporting period. The prior period increase is due to the 5,042,887

warrants issued in connection with the November 2015 Private Placement Financing, as well as, updates to the assumptions used in the fair value pricing model for warrants at the end of the reporting period (See Notes 8 and 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$2,000 and \$3,000, respectively.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income for the three months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$11,000 and \$9,000, respectively.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended January 31, 2017 and 2016

Net Product Sales

Net product sales were \$978,000 and \$362,000 for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The increase of \$616,000 was primarily attributable to new customer sales in the food safety industry, as well as sales fluctuations within our existing legacy customer base.

For the six months ended January 31, 2017, two individual customers accounted for 35% and 26%, of our net product sales, respectively. No other individual customer accounted for 10% or more of our net product sales. The geographic breakdown of net product sales was as follows: 100% U.S.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended January 31, 2016, two individual customers accounted for 42% and 15%, of our net product sales, respectively. No other individual customer accounted for 10% or more of our net product sales. The geographic breakdown of net product sales was as follows: 100% U.S

Cost of Goods Sold

Cost of goods sold was \$399,000 and \$102,000 for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The increase of \$297,000 was attributable to increased net product sales.

Gross margin as a percentage of net product sales, or gross margin percentage, was 59% and 72% for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease in gross margin percentage was primarily attributable to the sale of lower margin formulations and packaging configurations of our products during the six months ended January 31, 2017 as compared with the prior period.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense was \$2,670,000 and \$2,472,000 for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The increase of \$198,000 was primarily attributable to increased personnel costs offset by decreased marketing costs and legal fees.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense was \$462,000 and \$474,000 for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease of \$12,000 was primarily attributable to reductions in third-party testing and research supporting our FDA approval efforts.

Share-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense was \$448,000 and \$1,435,000 for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The decrease of \$987,000 is primarily due to the vesting of restricted stock units granted to

employees and directors supporting our selling, general and administrative, and research and development functions during the prior fiscal year.

Fair Value of Derivative Liabilities in Excess of Proceeds.

The fair value of derivative liabilities in excess of proceeds was zero and \$1,867,000 for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively (See Note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

Change in Derivative Liability

Change in derivative liabilities for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 was a decrease of \$300,000 and an increase of \$7,747,000, respectively. The current period decrease is primarily due the assumptions used in the fair value pricing model for warrants at the end of the reporting period. The prior period increase is due to the 20,376,219 warrants issued in connection with the October and November 2015 Private Placement Financing, as well as, updates to the assumptions used in the fair value pricing model for warrants at the end of the reporting period (See Notes 8 and 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$3,000 and \$5,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense)

Other income for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$25,000 and \$18,000, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, we have financed our operations primarily through public and private offerings of securities, debt financing, and revenue from product sales and license agreements. We have a history of recurring losses, and as of January 31, 2017 we have incurred a cumulative net loss of \$105,898,000.

During the six months ended January 31, 2017, we completed a private placement offering pursuant to which we sold 1,572,941 shares of our common stock and warrants to purchase 1,572,941 shares of our common stock. The shares were sold at a per share purchase price of \$0.85 per share, resulting in \$1,337,000 in aggregate gross proceeds. After deducting fees of approximately \$233,000, the net proceeds to us were \$1,104,000 (See Note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

As of January 31, 2017, we had \$3,893,000 in cash and cash equivalents compared with \$5,194,000 in cash and cash equivalents as of July 31, 2016. The net decrease in cash and cash equivalents was primarily attributable to the use of cash to fund our operations. Additionally, as of January 31, 2017, we had \$2,192,000 of current liabilities, including \$424,000 in accounts payable, compared with \$2,536,000 of current liabilities, including \$479,000 in accounts payable as of July 31, 2016. The net decrease in current liabilities was primarily due to the timing of accounts payable and the derivative liability incurred from the issuance of warrants associated with our November 2015 financing.

In addition, from time to time we have entered into employment agreements with our executives that, under certain cases, provide for the continuation of salary and certain other benefits if these executives are terminated under specified circumstances. These agreements generally expire upon termination for cause or when we have met our obligations under these agreements. As of January 31, 2017, no events have occurred resulting in the obligation of any such payments.

Our future capital requirements depend on numerous forward-looking factors. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the following: the acceptance of, and demand for, our products; our success and the success of our partners in selling our products; our success and the success of our partners in obtaining regulatory approvals to sell our products; the costs of further developing our existing products and technologies; the extent to which we invest in new product and technology development; and the costs associated with the continued operation, and any future growth, of

our business. The outcome of these and other forward-looking factors will substantially affect our liquidity and capital resources.

We expect that we will need to increase our liquidity and capital resources by one or more measures. These measures may include, but are not limited to, the following: reducing operating expenses; entering into partnerships, licenses, or other arrangements with third parties; and reducing the exercise price of outstanding warrants. These measures could substantially reduce the value to us of our technology and its commercial potential as it may be necessary to enter into arrangements with less favorable terms than otherwise possible. Additionally, we may issue equity, debt or convertible securities to obtain financing, which may cause dilution to our existing stockholders, and the new equity, debt or convertible securities may have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of our existing stockholders. Further, a reduction in operating expenses will require a reduction in the sales, marketing, and other commercialization activities required to bring our products to market. There is no guarantee that we would be able to obtain capital on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

If we are unable to obtain sufficient capital, it would have a material adverse effect on our business and operations. It could cause us to fail to execute our business plan, fail to take advantage of future opportunities, or fail to respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements. It also may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, to license to third parties the right to commercialize products or technologies that we would otherwise commercialize ourselves, or to reduce or cease operations altogether. If adequate funds are not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model and operations to reduce spending to a sustainable level.

Table of Contents

We believe our available cash on-hand, our current efforts to market and sell our products, and our ability to significantly reduce expenses, will provide sufficient cash resources to satisfy our needs over the next 12 months. However, we do not yet have, and we may never have, significant cash inflows from product sales or from other sources of revenue to offset our ongoing and planned investments in corporate infrastructure, research and development projects, regulatory submissions, business development initiatives, and sales and marketing activities, among other investments. Some or all of our ongoing or planned investments may not be successful and could result in further losses. In addition, irrespective of our cash resources, we may be contractually or legally obligated to make certain investments which cannot be postponed.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related disclosures. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

In addition, the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report have been prepared and presented on a basis assuming we will continue as a going concern. Until we can generate significant cash from operations, we expect to continue to fund our operations with the proceeds of offerings of our equity and debt securities. However, we cannot assure you that additional financing will be available when needed or that, if available, financing will be obtained on terms favorable to us or to our stockholders. If we raise additional funds from the issuance of equity securities, substantial dilution to our existing stockholders would likely result. If we raise additional funds by incurring debt financing, the terms of the debt may involve significant cash payment obligations as well as covenants and specific financial ratios that may restrict our ability to operate our business. Further, any contracts or license arrangements we enter into to raise funds may require us to relinquish our rights to our products or technology, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to enter into any such contracts or license arrangements on acceptable terms, or at all. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay or scale back our marketing, distribution and other commercialization activities or cease our operations altogether. Our financial statements do not include any adjustment relating to recoverability or classification of recorded assets and classification of recorded liabilities.

We believe the following accounting policies and estimates are critical to aid you in understanding and evaluating our reported financial results.

Revenue Recognition

We sell our products to distributors and end users. We record revenue when we sell products to our customers, rather than when our customers resell products to third parties. When we sell products to our customers, we reduce the balance of our inventory with a corresponding charge to cost of goods sold. We do not currently have any consignment sales.

Terms of our product sales are generally FOB shipping point. Product sales are recognized when delivery of the products has occurred (which is generally at the time of shipment), title has passed to the customer, the selling price is fixed or determinable, collectability is reasonably assured and we have no further obligations. Any amounts received prior to satisfying these revenue recognition criteria are recorded as deferred revenue. We record product sales net of discounts at the time of sale and report product sales net of such discounts.

We also license our products and technology to development and commercialization partners. License fee revenue consists of product and technology license fees earned. If multiple-element arrangements require on-going services or performance, then upfront product and technology license fees under such arrangements are deferred and recognized over the period of such services or performance. Non-refundable amounts received for substantive milestones are recognized upon achievement of the milestone. Any amounts received prior to satisfying these revenue recognition criteria are recorded as deferred revenue.

Table of Contents

Share-Based Compensation

We grant equity-based awards under share-based compensation plans or stand-alone contracts. We estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. This fair value is then amortized over the requisite service periods of the awards. The Black-Scholes option valuation model requires the input of subjective assumptions, including price volatility of the underlying stock, risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, and expected life of the option. Share-based compensation expense is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, and therefore is reduced by expected forfeitures. Changes in assumptions used under the Black-Scholes option valuation model could materially affect our net loss and net loss per share.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

In accordance with GAAP, if indicators of impairment exist, we assess the recoverability of the affected long-lived assets by determining whether the carrying value of such assets can be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows. If impairment is indicated, we measure the amount of such impairment by comparing the carrying value of the asset to the fair value of the asset and we record the impairment as a reduction in the carrying value of the related asset and a charge to operating results. Estimating the undiscounted future cash flows associated with long-lived assets requires judgment, and assumptions could differ materially from actual results.

For purposes of testing impairment, we group our long-lived assets at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows independent of other asset groups. Currently, there is only one level of aggregation for our intangible assets. We assess the impairment of long-lived assets, consisting of property, plant, and equipment and our patent portfolio, whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Examples of such events or circumstances include:

- an asset group's ability to continue to generate income from operations and positive cash flow in future periods;
- loss of legal ownership or title to the asset(s);
- significant changes in our strategic business objectives and utilization of the asset(s); and
- the impact of significant negative industry or economic trends.

Additionally, on a quarterly basis we review the significant assumptions underlying our impairment assessment to determine whether our previous conclusions remain valid.

Recoverability of assets to be held and used in operations is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the future net cash flows expected to be generated by the assets. The factors used to evaluate the future net cash flows, while reasonable, require a high degree of judgment and the results could vary if the actual results are materially different than the forecasts. In addition, we base useful lives and amortization or depreciation expense on our subjective estimate of the period that the assets will generate revenue or otherwise be used by us. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less selling costs.

We also periodically review the lives assigned to our intangible assets to ensure that our initial estimates do not exceed any revised estimated periods from which we expect to realize cash flows from the assets. If a change were to occur in any of the above-mentioned factors or estimates, the likelihood of a material change in our reported results would increase.

Table of Contents

Derivative Financial Instruments

We do not use derivative instruments to hedge exposures to cash flow or market or foreign currency risks.

We review the terms of the common stock, warrants and convertible debt we issue to determine whether there are derivative instruments, including embedded conversion options that are required to be bifurcated and accounted for separately as derivative financial instruments. In circumstances where the host instrument contains more than one embedded derivative instrument, including a conversion option, that is required to be bifurcated, the bifurcated derivative instruments are accounted for as a single, compound derivative instrument.

Derivatives are initially recorded at fair value and are then revalued at each reporting date with changes in the fair value reported as non-operating income or expense. When the equity or convertible debt instruments contain embedded derivative instruments that are to be bifurcated and accounted for as liabilities, the total proceeds received are first allocated to the fair value of all the bifurcated derivative instruments. The remaining proceeds, if any, are then allocated to the host instruments themselves, usually resulting in those instruments being recorded at a discount from their face value.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, and as provided in Item 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K, we are electing scaled disclosure reporting obligations and

therefore are not required to provide the information requested by this Item.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, our management conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report. Based on the foregoing evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In connection with the evaluation required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d), our management, under the supervision and with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and our Principal Financial Officer, concluded that there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the three months ended January 31, 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II – Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business. The impact and outcome of litigation, if any, is subject to inherent uncertainties, and any adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that could harm our business. We are not currently aware of any such legal proceedings or claims to which we or our wholly owned subsidiary is a party or of which any of our property is subject that we believe will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In evaluating us and our common stock, we urge you to carefully consider the risks and other information in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2016, which we filed with the SEC on October 27, 2016 (the “Form 10-K”) and Item 1A. to Part II of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 2016, which we filed with the SEC on December 14, 2016 (the “First Quarter 10-Q”). The risks and uncertainties described in “Item 1A — Risk Factors” of our Form 10-K and of our First Quarter 10-Q have not materially changed. Any of the risks discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or any of the risks disclosed in “Item 1A — Risk Factors” of our Form 10-K and First Quarter 10-Q, as well as additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial, could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or prospects.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

27

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

The following Exhibits are filed as part of this report pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of PURE Bioscience, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on October 29, 2012)
- 3.1.1 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of PURE Bioscience, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.1 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on October 29, 2012)
- 3.2 Bylaws of PURE Bioscience, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on October 29, 2012)
- 3.2.1 Amendment to the Bylaws of PURE Bioscience, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2.1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on October 29, 2012)
- 4.1 Form of Investor Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 2, 2009)
- 4.2 Wharton Capital Markets LLC Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 16, 2012)
- 4.3 Form of Underwriter's Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 13, 2012)
- 4.4 Morrison & Foerster LLP Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 31, 2013)
- 4.5 Form of Investor Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC April 23, 2013)
- 4.6 Form of Investor Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC August 27, 2014)
- 4.7 Form of Five-Year Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on October 28, 2015)
- 4.8 Form of Six-Month Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the SEC on October 28, 2015)
- 4.9 Form of Investor Warrant in 2016 Private Placement Financing (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC December 7, 2016)
- 4.10

Edgar Filing: PURE BIOSCIENCE, INC. - Form 10-Q

Form of Placement Agent Warrant in 2016 Private Placement Financing (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC December 7, 2016)

- 10.1 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement in 2016 Private Placement Financing (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC December 7, 2016)
- 10.2 Form of Registration Rights Agreement in 2016 Private Placement Financing (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC December 7, 2016)
- 10.3# RSU Cancellation Agreement, dated as of December 13, 2016, by and among the Company and the officers and directors party thereto.

28

Table of Contents

- 10.4# Amendment to Chairman Agreement, dated January 19, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 20, 2017)
- 10.5# Amendment to Executive Employment Agreement, dated January 19, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 20, 2017)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to
* Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to
* Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section
* 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section
* 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 * The following materials from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended January 31, 2017, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at January 31, 2017 and July 31, 2016; (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016; (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended January 31, 2017 and 2016; and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Filed herewith.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PURE BIOSCIENCE, INC.

Date: March 2, 2017 By: /s/ *HENRY R. LAMBERT*

Henry R. Lambert, Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 2, 2017 By: /s/ *MARK S. ELLIOTT*

Mark S. Elliott, Vice President, Finance
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

