

ICF International, Inc.
Form DEF 14A
April 25, 2014

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

ICF INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: ICF International, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

ICF INTERNATIONAL, INC.

**9300 Lee Highway
Fairfax, Virginia 22031**

**Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders
to be held on June 6, 2014**

Notice is hereby given that the annual meeting of stockholders of ICF International, Inc. ("**ICF International**") will be held at ICF International, 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, on June 6, 2014, at 10:30 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

1. To elect two (2) directors for a term expiring in 2017 (Proposal 1);
2. To ratify the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014 (Proposal 2);
3. To provide an advisory vote regarding ICF International's overall pay-for-performance executive compensation program (Proposal 3); and
4. To transact any other business that is properly brought before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement.

Pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law and ICF International's Bylaws, stockholders of record at the close of business on April 7, 2014 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting. This notice of annual meeting, proxy statement, and form of proxy are being distributed and made available on or about April 25, 2014.

We are pleased to utilize the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rule that allows companies to furnish their proxy materials to stockholders over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to many of our stockholders a notice instead of a paper copy of this proxy statement and our 2013 Annual Report. This notice contains instructions on how to access those documents over the Internet. We direct your attention to the attached proxy statement for more information, including instructions on how stockholders can receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, including this proxy statement, our 2013 Annual Report and a form of proxy or voting instruction form. All stockholders who do not receive a notice, including stockholders who have previously requested to receive paper copies of proxy materials, will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail unless they have previously requested delivery of proxy

materials electronically. Employing an electronic distribution process will conserve natural resources and reduce the costs of printing and distributing our proxy materials.

We cordially invite you to attend this year's meeting. It is important that your shares of ICF International's common stock be represented at this meeting in order to help ensure the presence of a quorum. Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting of stockholders in person, please vote your shares of ICF International common stock by mailing your completed proxy or voting instruction form, or voting electronically or telephonically, as doing so will ensure your representation at the annual meeting regardless of whether you attend in person. Thank you for your cooperation and continued support of ICF International.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Sudhakar Kesavan
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Fairfax, Virginia
April 25, 2014

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Your vote is important. To ensure your representation at the meeting, please vote by using the Internet or by telephone or, if you received a paper copy of the proxy form by mail, by signing and returning the enclosed proxy form. Instructions for your voting options are described on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or proxy form.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholders Meeting to be held on June 6, 2014: A copy of the proxy statement and 2013 Annual Report are available at www.proxyvote.com.

PROXY STATEMENT
for the
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
of
ICF INTERNATIONAL, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Voting and Meeting Information	1
Proposal 1 – Election of Directors	4
Proposal 2 – Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accountant	8
Proposal 3 – Advisory Vote Regarding ICF International’s Overall Pay-For-Performance Executive Compensation Program	9
Corporate Governance and Board Matters	9
The Board of Directors	9
Corporate Governance Guidelines	10
Director Independence	10
Board Leadership Structure; Lead Independent Director	10
Risk Oversight	11
Board Committees	11
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	12
Process for Selecting and Nominating Directors	12
Board Stock Ownership Guidelines	13
Director Continuing Education	13
Derivatives Trading and Hedging Policy	13
Stockholder Communications with the Board	13
Director Compensation	14
Code of Ethics	15
Certain Relationships and Transactions with Related Persons	15
Other Transactions Considered for Independence Purposes	16
Executive Officers of the Company During 2013	16
Security Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers and Certain Beneficial Owners	17
Executive Compensation	19
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	19
Fiscal 2013—Financial Highlights	19
Shareholder-Aligned Executive Compensation Practices	19
Compensation Philosophy and Objectives	20
Implementing Our Objectives	21
Effect of 2013 Say on Pay Vote	23
Executive Compensation Components	23
Compensation Practices and Risk	27

Summary Compensation Table	27
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013	29
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End	30
Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2013	31
Deferred Compensation Plan	31
Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control	32
Payments Pursuant to Employment Agreement	32
Payments Pursuant to Severance Letter Agreements	33
Payments in the Event of Death or Disability	34
Payments in the Event of a Change in Control	34
Compensation Committee Report	35
Audit Committee Report	36
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	37
Stockholders' Proposals for the 2015 Annual Meeting	37
Solicitation by Board; Expenses of Solicitation	37

ICF INTERNATIONAL, INC.

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of ICF International, Inc. (“*ICF International*,” the “*Company*,” “*we*,” or “*us*”) to be used at the annual meeting of stockholders of the Company. The annual meeting will be held at our principal executive office, 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, on June 6, 2014, at 10:30 a.m., local time. This proxy statement and enclosed proxy form are being made available over the Internet or delivered by mail on or about April 25, 2014, to stockholders of record.

Voting And Meeting Information

What is the purpose of the annual meeting?

At our annual meeting, you will be asked to:

elect two (2) directors to serve for a term expiring at our annual meeting in 2017 (Proposal 1);
ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2);
provide an advisory vote regarding ICF International’s overall pay-for-performance executive compensation program (the “*say on pay vote*”) (Proposal 3); and
transact any other business that properly comes before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement.

How does the Board recommend that I vote?

Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote your shares **FOR**: (i) the nominees for election to the Board; (ii) the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year; and (iii) the approval of the say on pay vote.

Who is entitled to vote?

Holders of record of our common stock as of the close of business on April 7, 2014, are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. At that time, we had 19,972,725 outstanding shares of common stock. We have no other outstanding classes of stock that are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Voting stockholders are entitled to one vote per share.

Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials?

We are pleased to utilize the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “**SEC**”) rule that allows companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to many of our stockholders a notice about the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials. All stockholders receiving the notice will have the ability to access the proxy materials over the Internet and to request a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail.

To reduce the expenses of delivering duplicate notices to stockholders, we are relying upon SEC rules that permit us to deliver only one notice about the Internet availability of the proxy materials to multiple stockholders who share an address, unless we receive contrary instructions from any stockholder at that address. Upon request, whether oral or written, we will deliver a separate copy of the notice about the Internet availability of the proxy materials to any stockholder at a shared address who requests his or her own copy. Requests should be made to MBS Value Partners, LLC, at 424 Madison Avenue, Suite 400, New York, New York 10017, Attention: Lynn Morgen, ICF Investor Relations, or by telephoning Ms. Morgen at: (212) 750-5800.

How can I access the proxy materials over the Internet?

Your notice about the Internet availability of the proxy materials, proxy form, or voting instruction form will contain instructions on how to view our proxy materials for the annual meeting on the Internet. **Our proxy materials are also publicly available, free of charge, at www.proxyvote.com.** Our proxy materials will be available at this website through the conclusion of the annual meeting.

Your notice of Internet availability of proxy materials, proxy form, or voting instruction form will contain instructions on how you may request access to proxy materials electronically on an ongoing basis. Choosing to access your proxy materials electronically will help us conserve natural resources and reduce the costs of printing and distributing our proxy materials.

How may I obtain a paper copy of the Company’s proxy materials, 2013 Annual Report, and/or other financial information?

Stockholders receiving a notice about the Internet availability of the proxy materials will find instructions regarding how to obtain a paper copy of the proxy materials on their notice. Stockholders also may request a free copy of our proxy statement and/or 2013 Annual Report, which includes our Form 10-K, by writing to: MBS Value Partners, LLC, 424 Madison Avenue, Suite 400, New York, New York 10017, Attention: Lynn Morgen, ICF Investor Relations. Alternatively, stockholders can access the 2013 Annual Report, which includes our Form 10-K, on our Investor Relations website at: <http://investor.icfi.com>. We will also furnish any exhibit to the 2013 Form 10-K if specifically requested.

How do I vote?

You may vote in person at the meeting, on the Internet, by telephone, or through a proxy or voting instruction form. Stockholders who have received a notice of the availability of the proxy materials by mail may submit proxies over the Internet by following the instructions on the notice. Stockholders who have received a paper copy of a proxy form or a voting instruction form by mail may either:

- (i) submit their proxy over the Internet or by telephone by following the instructions on the proxy form or voting instruction form; or
- (ii) submit their proxy by mail by signing and dating the proxy form or voting instruction form received and returning it in the prepaid envelope.

What if I hold shares indirectly?

If you hold shares in a stock brokerage account, or through a bank or other nominee, you are considered to be the beneficial owner of shares held in “street name” and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker how to vote. If you do not direct your broker how to vote, your broker is permitted to vote your shares on the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, even if you do not furnish voting instructions. However, your broker will not be able to vote on other matters.

If your shares are held in “street name,” your broker or other nominee may have procedures that will permit you to vote by telephone or electronically through the Internet.

Can I change my vote?

You have the right to revoke your proxy at any time before votes are counted at the meeting by:

notifying us in writing at our corporate offices by writing to ICF International, Inc., 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, Attention: Mollie Roy, Corporate Secretary;

entering a new vote by using the Internet or the telephone, or by mailing a new proxy form or new voting instruction form bearing a later date, which will automatically revoke your earlier voting instructions; or voting in person at the meeting.

Attendance at the meeting will not in itself constitute revocation of your proxy.

Attending the Meeting

Attendance at the meeting is limited to stockholders who are:

stockholders of record;
beneficial holders of ICF International common stock held by a broker, bank, or other nominee (*i.e.*, in “street name”);
or
authorized representatives of entities who are record or beneficial holders.

A stockholder of a class noted above must present, in addition to a valid photo identification or other satisfactory proof of identification, the following materials in order to be admitted to the meeting:

stockholders of record must present the top portion of their proxy card, which will serve as an admission ticket; beneficial holders will need proof of stock ownership. A recent brokerage statement or letter from a bank or broker is an example of proof of stock ownership. If you want to vote your shares of ICF International common stock held in street name in person at the meeting, you must obtain a written proxy in your name from the broker, bank or other nominee who is the record holder of your shares; and
in addition to any evidence required above for record or beneficial holders, authorized representatives must present a letter from the entity certifying as to their status as an authorized representative.

Cameras (including cellular phones, tablets or personal digital assistants (“*PDA*s”) with photographic capabilities), recording devices and other electronic devices, and the use of cellular phones, tablets or *PDA*s will not be permitted at the meeting. Representatives will be at the entrance to the meeting and these representatives will have the authority, on the Company’s behalf, to determine whether the admission policies and procedures are being followed and whether you will be granted admission to the meeting.

What are the requirements and procedures for a quorum, abstentions, and broker non-votes?

Your shares are counted as present at the meeting if you attend the meeting, if you properly return a proxy by mail, or you vote by telephone or electronically. In order for us to vote on matters at the meeting, a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock as of April 7, 2014 must be present in person or by proxy at the meeting. This is referred to as a quorum. Abstentions will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum at the meeting and will be counted as voting (but not for or against) on the affected proposal. Broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of establishing a quorum but will not be counted as voting. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker, bank, or other nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to that item and/or has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Broker non-votes may arise with respect to the proposal for the election of directors and the say on pay vote, because such proposals are considered non-routine matters under applicable rules, and brokers are not allowed to vote your shares on such proposals if you do not furnish voting instructions. If a quorum is not present, the meeting will be adjourned until a quorum is present.

How many votes are needed to approve each item?

At this year's annual meeting, stockholders will elect two (2) directors to serve a term of three (3) years. In voting on the election of directors, you may vote in favor of the nominees or withhold votes as to the nominees. There is no cumulative voting for the election of directors. Directors must be elected by a plurality of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors. This generally means that the nominee(s) receiving the greatest number of votes cast at the meeting will be elected. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the election.

In voting on the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm, you may vote in favor of the proposal, against the proposal, or abstain from voting. The ratification of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm will be decided by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares entitled to vote thereon present in person or by proxy at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on determining whether the affirmative vote constitutes a majority of the shares entitled to vote thereon present or represented by proxy, although no broker non-votes are expected to exist in connection with this vote as ratification of the independent registered public accounting firm is considered a routine matter under applicable rules.

The say on pay vote is only an advisory vote to the Board regarding the compensation of the Company's top executives. You may vote in favor of or against the Company's compensation system, or you may abstain from voting. Approval of the Company's compensation program requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares entitled to vote thereon present in person or by proxy at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on determining whether the affirmative vote constitutes a majority of the shares entitled to vote thereon present or represented by proxy. The Board will strongly consider the outcome of this vote in determining the compensation

of top executives.

In order to minimize the number of broker non-votes, the Company encourages you to provide voting instructions to the organization that holds your shares by carefully following the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

How will voting on any other business be conducted?

We currently do not know of any business to be considered at the annual meeting other than the three proposals described in this proxy statement. If any other business is properly presented at the meeting, your signed proxy form gives authority to the named proxies to vote your shares on such matters, including any adjournment or postponement of the meeting, in their discretion.

Who will count the vote?

Representatives of Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will tabulate the votes and act as inspectors of election.

Where can I find the voting results of the annual meeting?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the annual meeting. The final voting results will be tallied by the inspector of election and published within four (4) business days via a Form 8-K current event filing following the conclusion of the annual meeting.

Proposal 1 – Election of Directors

Our authorized number of directors is presently fixed at seven (7), divided into three classes, two of which contain two members and one of which contains three members. Effective May 16, 2013, Dr. Ernest J. Moniz resigned from our Board due to his confirmation as the Secretary of Energy of the United States, and we reduced the size of our Board by one member accordingly. In order to keep our director class distribution as nearly equal in number as possible, we moved Sudhakar Kesavan from Class III to Class II. Our directors are elected to serve three-year terms, so that the term of office of one class of directors expires at each annual meeting.

The Board of Directors has nominated the following individuals, each of whom is currently a director, for election as directors for terms expiring at our annual meeting in 2017 or until their respective successors have been elected and qualified.

Edward H. Bersoff (current lead independent director)

Sudhakar Kesavan

If either of these nominees becomes unavailable for election, the accompanying proxy may be voted for a substitute, or in favor of holding a vacancy to be filled by the directors. We have no reason to believe that either nominee will be unavailable. The director nominees will be elected by a plurality of the votes entitled to be cast at the annual meeting. A plurality is generally defined as the excess of the votes cast in favor of a director nominee over those cast in favor of any other nominee. You may vote for up to the number of nominees named, and the nominees receiving the largest number of “FOR” votes will be elected to the director positions to be filled.

The nominees and each of our continuing directors is a seasoned business leader who contributes an array of experience, qualifications, attributes, and skills to the Board. The following information regarding each nominee and each continuing director provides background information and a summary of some of each person’s key qualifications to serve as a director. Please also see the chart below summarizing how each nominee and each continuing director reflects Board selection criteria adopted by our Governance and Nominating Committee. The age indicated for each individual is as of December 31, 2013.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE NOMINEES.

Nominees for Election as Director for a Term Expiring in 2017—Class II Directors

Dr. Edward H. Bersoff, age 71, has served as a director of ICF International since October 2003. Dr. Bersoff served as the Chairman of ATS Corporation (“**ATS**”), a former publicly traded information technology services firm located in Northern Virginia serving U.S. federal, state, and local government agencies until its merger with Salient Federal Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation, effective March 30, 2012. Dr. Bersoff previously served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of ATS from January 2007 until January 2011, during which time ATS completed three acquisitions in the federal services industry. Previously, he was Chairman and founder of Greenwich Associates, a business advisory firm located in Northern Virginia that was formed in 2003. From November 2002 to June 2003, he was managing director of Quarterdeck Investment Partners, LLC, an investment banking firm, and Chairman of Re-route Corporation, a company that offered email forwarding and address correction services. From February 1982 until November 2001, Dr. Bersoff was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of BTG, Inc. (“**BTG**”), a publicly traded information technology firm he founded in 1982. Under Dr. Bersoff’s leadership, BTG completed six acquisitions in the federal services industry. In November 2001, BTG was acquired by The Titan Corporation (“**Titan**”), a NYSE listed company. Dr. Bersoff served as a director of Titan from February 2002 until August 2005 when Titan was sold. He also serves on the boards of a number of private companies. Dr. Bersoff holds A.B., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in Mathematics from New York University and is a graduate of the Harvard Business School’s Owner/President Management Program. Dr. Bersoff is the former Rector of the Board of Visitors of Virginia Commonwealth University; a former Trustee of the VCU Medical Center; and a former Trustee of New York University. He currently serves as a Trustee and Chairman of Holy Cross Hospital in Silver Spring, Maryland.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- ◆ Chief executive officer position with several publicly held companies
- ◆ Very substantial experience in the government and commercial services sector
- ◆ Experience on boards of other publicly held companies
- ◆ Experience in leading acquisitions in the government services sector

Sudhakar Kesavan, age 59, has served as a director of ICF International since June 1999 and serves as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ICF International and its wholly owned subsidiary, ICF Consulting Group, Inc. (“**ICF Consulting**”). In 1997, Mr. Kesavan was named President of ICF Consulting when it was a subsidiary of ICF Kaiser (“**Kaiser**”). In 1999, ICF Consulting was divested from Kaiser and became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company through a joint effort of the management of ICF Consulting and CM Equity Partners. Mr. Kesavan also currently serves on the Board of Directors of ABM Industries, Inc., a NYSE listed company. Mr. Kesavan received his Master of Science degree from the Technology and Policy Program at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, his postgraduate diploma in management from the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, and his Bachelor of Technology degree (chemical engineering) from the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur. Mr. Kesavan is the Chair of the Northern Virginia Technology Council. He also serves as Board Member Emeritus on the Board of the Rainforest Alliance, a New York-based non-profit environmental organization and on the Board of Trustees of the Inova Health System, a not-for-profit healthcare system based in northern Virginia.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- ◆ Chief Executive Officer since the Company was purchased in 1999
- ◆ Chief Executive Officer who has overseen the Company’s very substantial growth while maintaining a stable, professional workforce
- ◆ Experience leading both organic growth and acquisition activities

Directors Whose Term of Office Expires in 2015—Class III Directors

Cheryl W. Gris , age 61, has served as a director of ICF International since September 2012. Prior to joining the Board, Ms. Gris  was Executive Vice President of Northeast Utilities, a public utility holding company, from December 2005 until her retirement in July 2007. Ms. Gris  served in various senior management positions at Northeast Utilities, after her employment in 1980, including President-Utility Group and Chief Executive Officer of all Northeast Utilities operating subsidiaries. Ms. Gris  was a director of Dana Corporation (now known as Dana Holding Corporation) from December 2002 until January 2008 and she currently serves on the boards of MetLife, Inc., a major multi-line insurance carrier (where she is lead director), PulteGroup, Inc. (formerly known as Pulte Homes, Inc.), a large commercial home builder, and Pall Corporation, a manufacturer of fluid purification devices. She is also a member of the board of Kingswood-Oxford School and a trustee emeritus of the University of Connecticut Foundation. Ms. Gris  received her B.A. in Education from the University of North Carolina and her J.D. from Thomas Jefferson School of Law and completed the Executive Management Program at Yale University School of Organization and Management.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- ◆ Director experience serving on boards of other public companies
- ◆ Demonstrated business and financial acumen and experience
- ◆ Extensive management experience in the electric and natural gas utility industry

S. Lawrence Kocot, age 53, is currently a Visiting Fellow in the Economic Studies Program at the Brookings Institution where he previously served as the Deputy Director of the Engelberg Center for Health Care Reform from 2007 to 2013. Mr. Kocot is also a Member of Epstein, Becker & Green, P.C. and an Advisor with EBG Advisors, Inc. From 2007 to 2013, Mr. Kocot served as Senior Counsel at Dentons US, LLP. From 2004 to 2007, he served as a Senior Advisor to the Administrator at the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. In this capacity, Mr. Kocot was involved in a wide range of health care policy issues and operations related to Medicare and Medicaid. Notably, he was a key member of the management and operations team responsible for the national launch and operation of the Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit (Part D). Prior to his federal government service, Mr. Kocot served for nearly a decade as Senior Vice President and General Counsel at the National Association of Chain Drug Stores (“**NACDS**”). Before joining NACDS, Mr. Kocot was active in the management of small businesses, including a startup pharmacy benefits management company. Additionally, Mr. Kocot was a Fellow in International Security Studies at the Center for Strategic and International Studies (“**CSIS**”), and an adjunct fellow at CSIS for several years thereafter. From 2002 to 2012, Mr. Kocot served as a Member of Virginia’s Commonwealth Health Research Board (Chairman, 2005 to 2008; Vice Chairman, 2003 to 2005). Mr. Kocot was interim President and CEO and is currently a member of the Board of Directors of the Partnership for a Healthier America. Mr. Kocot received his Bachelor’s and M.P.A. degrees from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst and his J.D. and LL.M. degrees from the Georgetown University Law Center.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- ◆ Substantial experience in advising and representing both private and governmental entities
- ◆ Significant expertise in the healthcare sector
- ◆ Current and prior experience as a Board member

Directors Whose Term of Office Expires in 2016—Class I Directors

Dr. Srikant M. Datar, age 60, has served as a director of ICF International since July 2006. Dr. Datar is the Arthur Lowes Dickinson Professor at Harvard Business School. Dr. Datar is a Chartered Accountant and has been a professor of Accounting and Business Administration at Harvard since July 1, 1996, previously serving as a professor at Stanford University and Carnegie Mellon University. Dr. Datar is a member of the board of directors of Novartis AG, a holding company organized under Swiss law and publicly traded on the SWX Swiss Stock Exchange and the NYSE, in the form of American Depositary Shares; of HCL Technologies Limited, a public company under Indian Law whose shares are publicly traded on the Mumbai Stock Exchange; of Stryker Corporation, the shares of which are publicly traded on the NYSE; and of T-Mobile US, Inc., the shares of which are publicly traded on the NYSE. He has published papers in several leading academic journals and is the co-author of “Rethinking the MBA: Business Education at a Crossroads.” He has consulted with, and done field-based research with, many corporations and has presented his research to managers and executives in North and South America, Europe, Asia, Australia and Africa. Dr. Datar received gold medals upon his graduation from the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, and the Institute of Cost and Works Accountants of India. Dr. Datar received a Masters in Statistics and Economics and a Ph.D. in Business from Stanford University.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- Service on boards of other international businesses, including as director of a leading global pharmaceutical company, director of a leading global medical technology company, director of a leading Indian information technology company, and director of a leading U.S. telecommunications company
- Substantial teaching and practical experience in strategy, implementation, accounting and related issues, having been a senior associate dean and a current professor of a leading U.S. university
- Both academic and broad-based experience in strategy, finance, management, and accounting

Eileen O’Shea Auen, age 51, has served as a director of ICF International since March 2008. Ms. Auen served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of PMSI, Inc. (“**PMSI**”) from December 2008 until October 22, 2013, when PMSI merged with Progressive Medical, Inc. Ms. Auen currently serves as the Executive Chairman of the merged firm Progressive/PMSI. In 2007, she was the head of Healthcare Management for Aetna. From 2005 to 2007, Ms. Auen was the Chief Executive Officer of APS Healthcare, Inc. (“**APS Healthcare**”). Prior to her role at APS Healthcare, Ms. Auen was a managing partner of Chapterhouse, LLC from 2004 to 2005; the President of Health Net of the Northeast from 2003 to 2004; and the President of the Southeast Region of Cigna Healthcare from 2000 to 2003. Ms. Auen received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Towson University and a Masters in Business Administration from the University of Virginia.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- Current and prior experience as a chief executive officer
- Substantial expertise in healthcare
- Meaningful experience in the services sector

Peter M. Schulte, age 56, has served as a director of ICF International since June 1999. Mr. Schulte is a Managing Partner, and was a founder in 1995, of the private equity firm CM Equity Partners, which invests in established middle market companies and manages private equity funds and investments through its management company, CM Equity Management, L.P. In addition, Mr. Schulte served as the President, Secretary, Chief Financial Officer and as a director of Federal Services Acquisition Corporation, a public special purpose acquisition company, and predecessor of ATS Corporation (“**ATS**”), which was a publicly traded information technology services firm serving U.S. federal, state, and local government agencies, from April 2005 until January 2007. Mr. Schulte continued to serve as a director of ATS until its merger with Salient Federal Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation, effective March 30, 2012. Related to his private equity investing activities, Mr. Schulte is a director of several private companies, including: Grantham Education Corporation; RGS Associates, Inc.; Preferred Systems Solutions, Inc.; Citizant, Inc.; and Xebec Global Corporation. Mr. Schulte received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Government from Harvard College and a Masters in Public and Private Management from the Yale School of Management.

Selected Director Qualifications:

- Managing partner of the private equity firm that joined with management to purchase the Company in 1999
- Significant participation in the oversight of the Company’s growth and development since 1999
- Substantial experience in leading and financing acquisitions in the government services sector
- Experience on boards of other publicly held companies within the government services sector

The Governance and Nominating Committee maintains, and periodically updates, non-exclusive “Board selection guidelines” to assist the committee in evaluating candidates for the Board. These guidelines, and an indication of which of the guideline criteria are particularly satisfied by each nominee and continuing director, are summarized below:

Guideline Criteria	Cheryl						
	Eileen O'Shea	Edward H. Bersoff	Srikant M. Datar	W. Grisé	Sudhakar S. Kesavan	Lawrence M. Kocot	Peter M. Schulte
Reputation for integrity, honesty and adherence to high ethical standards	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Demonstrated business and financial acumen and experience	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Willingness and ability to contribute positively to the collegial decision-making process of the Board	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Prominence within professional discipline and/or industry	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Commitment to attend and participate in Board and Board Committee meetings regularly	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
No conflict of interest that would impair ability to represent the interests of all Company stockholders and fulfill responsibilities of a director	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Contribute to Board diversity (in terms of race, gender, national origin, etc.)	X		X	X	X		
Strengths and experience that contribute to ability to serve effectively on one or more Board Committees (audit, compensation, governance and nominating)	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Experience in leading mergers and acquisitions	X	X		X	X		X
Experience in integrating acquired businesses after mergers and acquisitions	X	X		X	X		
Familiarity with capital markets, financing transaction strategy, and investor relations	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Experience identifying, evaluating and managing corporate risk	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Current or past board member of another mid-cap or large public company		X	X	X	X		X

Proposal 2 – Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accountant

The Audit Committee has appointed Grant Thornton LLP (“*Grant Thornton*”) to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014 and requests that stockholders confirm such appointment. Grant Thornton audited our consolidated financial statements for 2013. Representatives of Grant Thornton will be present at the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions by stockholders. Ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm requires a majority of the votes entitled to vote thereon present in person or by proxy at the annual meeting. If our stockholders do not ratify Grant Thornton as our independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee will reconsider its decision. The Audit Committee may, in its discretion, retain Grant Thornton or another independent registered public accounting firm without re-submitting the matter to the Company’s stockholders. Even if stockholders vote in favor of the appointment, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, direct the appointment of different independent auditors at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and stockholders.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE **FOR** THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF GRANT THORNTON LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2014.

DESCRIPTION OF PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The following table sets forth the fees incurred for services provided by Grant Thornton for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
Audit Fees	\$979,125	\$959,700
Audit-Related Fees	2,500	—
Tax Fees	—	8,677
All Other Fees	—	—
Total	\$981,625	\$968,377

Audit Fees

These are fees for professional services rendered by Grant Thornton for the audits of our annual consolidated financial statements, the audit of internal controls over financial reporting, the review of consolidated financial statements

included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and the audit of our compliance with OMB Circular A-133.

Audit-Related Fees

Audit-related fees comprise fees for professional services rendered by Grant Thornton that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting that are not reported in "Audit Fees." There were no audit-related fees rendered by Grant Thornton in 2012 that meet the above category description.

Tax Fees

These are fees for professional services rendered by Grant Thornton with respect to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. Additional professional services with respect to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning were performed by other tax services providers. There were no tax services rendered by Grant Thornton in 2013 that meet the above category description.

All Other Fees

These are fees for professional services rendered by Grant Thornton for transaction-related issues. There were no fees rendered by Grant Thornton in 2012 or 2013 that meet the above category description.

Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee is authorized by its charter to pre-approve all audit and permitted non-audit services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee reviews and approves the independent registered public accounting firm's retention to perform audit services, including the associated fees. The Audit Committee also evaluates other known potential engagements of the independent registered public accounting firm, including the scope of the proposed work and the proposed fees, and approves or rejects each service, taking into account whether the services are permissible under applicable law and the possible impact of each non-audit service on the independent registered public accounting firm's independence from management. At subsequent meetings, the Audit Committee will receive updates on the services actually provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, and management may present additional services for approval. The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chair of the Audit Committee the authority to evaluate and approve engagements on behalf of the Audit Committee in the event that a need arises for pre-approval between Audit Committee meetings. If the Chair so approves any such engagements, he will report that approval to the full Audit Committee at its next meeting.

Our Audit Committee has reviewed all of the fees described above, and believes that such fees are compatible with maintaining the independence of Grant Thornton.

Proposal 3 – Advisory Vote Regarding ICF International’s Overall Pay-For-Performance Executive Compensation Program

In June of 2011, the Board of Directors approved a resolution providing that the Company would hold an annual stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation, as advised by the Company’s stockholders at the 2011 annual meeting. Pursuant to that resolution, this proposal, commonly known as a “Say on Pay” proposal, which is provided as required pursuant to Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, gives you as a stockholder the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the Company’s executive compensation program through the following resolution:

“Resolved, that the stockholders approve ICF International’s overall pay-for-performance executive compensation program, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the related narratives and other materials in this Proxy Statement.”

Approval of the Say on Pay proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes entitled to vote thereon present in person or by proxy at the annual meeting.

The Compensation Committee and the Board believe that the Company’s executive compensation program, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and other sections noted in the resolution, reflects a pay-for-performance culture at the Company that is rooted in our values. The Compensation Committee and the Board believe that the executive compensation program is rational and effective in that it aligns the interests of the executives with both the short-term and long-term interests of stockholders, while reducing incentives for unnecessary and excessive risk taking.

In making a decision, the Board asks that stockholders consider the following:

ICF International’s executive compensation program is incentive based and reflects a pay-for-performance culture. ICF International’s executive compensation program relies heavily on stock-based awards vesting over a period of time-restricted stock units vesting over a period of four years and non-qualified stock options vesting over a period of three years.

ICF International offers only limited perquisites.

In addition, at the Company’s 2013 annual meeting, 95% of the votes cast on the Say on Pay proposal were voted in favor of the Company’s executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee and the Board believe this affirms the stockholders’ support of the Company’s approach to executive compensation.

In accordance with applicable law, this vote is “advisory,” meaning it will serve as a recommendation to the Board, but will not be binding. The Compensation Committee of the Board will seriously consider the outcome of this vote when determining future executive compensation arrangements.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE **FOR THE ADVISORY VOTE REGARDING ICF INTERNATIONAL’S OVERALL PAY-FOR-PERFORMANCE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM.**

Corporate Governance And Board Matters

The Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has six (6) regularly scheduled meetings per year and special meetings are called as the need arises. These meetings are usually held at our headquarters in Fairfax, Virginia. The Board met eight (8) times during 2013. Directors are expected to attend Board meetings, our annual stockholders’ meeting, and the meetings of the committees on which they serve. During 2013, each director attended at least 75% of the total meetings of the Board and those committees on which he or she served. Each director attended our annual meeting of stockholders held in 2013.

Due to his confirmation as the Secretary of Energy of the United States on May 16, 2013, Dr. Ernest J. Moniz resigned from our Board effective May 18, 2013 and we reduced the size of our Board by one member accordingly. In order to keep our director class distribution as nearly equal in number as possible, we moved Sudhakar Kesavan from Class III to Class II.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Our Board of Directors has established a set of Corporate Governance Guidelines that addresses such matters as director qualifications, director nominations, Board composition, director meetings, Board committees, and other matters. The Board believes such guidelines, which are reviewed from time to time, are appropriate for the Company in its effort to maintain “best practices” as to corporate governance.

Director Independence

The Board has affirmatively determined that Mses. Eileen O’Shea Auen and Cheryl W. Gris , Drs. Edward H. Bersoff and Srikant M. Datar, and Messrs. S. Lawrence Kocot and Peter M. Schulte, are independent directors in accordance with the requirements of Nasdaq and the rules of the SEC. We believe we comply with all applicable requirements of the SEC and Nasdaq relating to director independence and the composition of the committees of our Board of Directors.

Board Leadership Structure; Lead Independent Director

The Board believes that having a unified Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The Board believes that combining the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles provides the following advantages:

the Chief Executive Officer is the director most familiar with the Company’s business and industry and is best situated to lead Board discussions on important matters affecting ICF International;
combining the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer positions creates a firm link between management and the Board and promotes the development and implementation of corporate strategy; and
combining the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer contributes to a more efficient and effective Board without affecting the independence of the Board.

The Board of Directors believes, when there is a combined Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, that it is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to designate a lead director who is an independent director and, among other duties: presides over executive sessions of the independent directors; consults with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer regarding scheduling and agendas for Board meetings; chairs Board meetings in the Chairman’s absence; acts as a liaison between the independent directors and management; meets with any director whom the lead director deems is not adequately performing his or her duties as a member of the Board or any committee; consults with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer on matters relating to corporate governance and Board performance;

and leads the deliberation and action by the Board or a Board committee regarding any offer, proposal, or other solicitation or opportunity involving a possible acquisition or other change in control of the Company. Dr. Bersoff has served as our lead director from June 5, 2008 to the present.

Each of our directors other than Mr. Kesavan is independent, and the Board believes that the independent directors provide effective oversight of management. Moreover, in addition to feedback provided during the course of Board meetings, the independent directors have regular executive sessions over which the lead director presides. The Company believes that this approach effectively encourages full engagement of all directors in executive sessions. Following an executive session of the independent directors, the lead director acts as a liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman concerning any specific feedback or issues, provides the Chairman with input regarding agenda items for Board and committee meetings, and coordinates with the Chairman regarding information to be provided to the independent directors in performing their duties. The Board has complete access to the Company's management team, and the Board and its committees regularly receive reports from management on the Company's business affairs and the issues it faces.

The charter of the Governance and Nominating Committee calls for the annual review of the lead director position. At the Board meeting on June 7, 2013, the Company's Governance and Nominating Committee considered whether the Board's leadership structure, which includes a lead director and Mr. Kesavan serving as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, should be changed. Based on the Company's favorable experience with this Board leadership structure and the factors outlined above, the Committee concluded that the current leadership structure serves the Company well and there is no need to alter that structure at the present time. Both the Governance and Nominating Committee and the full Board re-affirmed the appointment of Dr. Bersoff as the Board's lead director.

The Board believes that its programs for overseeing risk, as described under "Risk Oversight" below, would be effective under a variety of leadership frameworks and, therefore, do not materially affect its choice of structure.

Risk Oversight

Our business is subject to various types of risk. Some of the Company's most significant risks are outlined in our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 1A, "Risk Factors." Our Board oversees our risk management processes that are implemented by management, including ensuring that necessary steps are taken to foster a culture of risk-adjusted decision-making throughout our organization. Each of our directors other than Mr. Kesavan is independent, and the Board believes that this independence provides effective oversight of management. The Board as a whole regularly reviews information and reports from members of senior management on areas of material risk, including risks related to the markets served by the Company and contract execution risks. The full Board also considers the risks associated with potential acquisitions. The Audit Committee reviews and evaluates the Company's overall risk profile, and the procedures and policies implemented by management to identify and manage such risks. The Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing the management of risks relating to our compensation plans and arrangements. The Governance and Nominating Committee manages risks associated with the independence of the Board of Directors and potential conflicts of interest.

Board Committees

The Board has three committees: the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Governance and Nominating Committee, each composed entirely of independent directors as defined by Nasdaq. Each committee has a charter and a current copy of each charter can be found in the "Investor Relations – Corporate Governance" portion of our website (www.icfi.com).

Audit Committee. The Board has a separately designated standing Audit Committee as defined in Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. It is currently composed of Dr. Bersoff and Messrs. Kocot and Schulte, with Dr. Bersoff serving as the Committee Chair. Dr. Bersoff joined the Audit Committee effective April 1, 2013, replacing Dr. Datar in both membership and as the Committee Chair, upon Dr. Datar's rotation off of the Audit Committee on the same date. Until he resigned from our Board effective May 18, 2013 due to his confirmation as the Secretary of Energy of the United States on May 16, 2013, Dr. Ernest J. Moniz was a member of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met seven (7) times during 2013. The Board has determined that each Audit Committee member is financially literate and has determined that Dr. Bersoff is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined under SEC rules and regulations by virtue of his background and experience. Dr. Bersoff also qualifies as a financial expert in accordance with the listing standards of Nasdaq applicable to Audit Committee members. Each member of the Audit Committee is "independent" as defined by Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act and in accordance with the listing standards of Nasdaq. We expect the Audit Committee to meet at least four (4) times per year.

The Audit Committee: appoints our independent registered public accounting firm; reviews the financial reports and related financial information provided by the Company to governmental agencies and the general public; monitors compliance with the Company's Code of Business Ethics and Conduct (the "*Code of Ethics*"); reviews the Company's

system of internal and disclosure controls and the effectiveness of its control structure; and reviews the Company's accounting, internal and external auditing, and financial reporting processes. The Audit Committee also reviews other matters with respect to our accounting, auditing, and financial reporting practices and procedures as it may find appropriate or may be brought to its attention. As a matter of practice, the Audit Committee also approves the engagement of other firms engaging in audit services for the Company, such as in an acquisition capacity. All of the non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with its pre-approval procedures. The Audit Committee's report can be found under "*Audit Committee Report*" in this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is currently composed of Mses. Auen and Gris  and Mr. Schulte, with Ms. Gris  serving as the Committee Chair. Ms. Gris  became Chair of the Compensation Committee effective April 1, 2013, replacing Dr. Bersoff who rotated off of the Compensation Committee on the same date. The Compensation Committee met four (4) times during 2013. The Compensation Committee provides assistance to the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities relating to management, organization, performance, and compensation. In discharging its responsibilities, the Compensation Committee considers and authorizes our compensation philosophy, evaluates our senior management's performance, and approves all material elements of the compensation of our executive officers. The Compensation Committee also reviews the administration of our incentive compensation, retirement, and equity-based plans. See "*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" for more information regarding the role of the Compensation Committee, management, and compensation consultants in determining and/or recommending the amount and form of executive compensation. The report of the Compensation Committee required by the rules of the SEC is included in this proxy statement under "*Compensation Committee Report*."

We expect the Compensation Committee to meet at least twice per year. Each member of the Compensation Committee qualifies as a "non-employee director" under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, as an "outside director" under Section 162(m) of the Code and meets the requirements of Nasdaq Rule 5605(d)(2)(A).

Governance and Nominating Committee. The Governance and Nominating Committee is currently composed of Ms. Auen and Drs. Bersoff and Datar. The Governance and Nominating Committee met six (6) times during 2013. Ms. Auen is the Committee Chair.

The Governance and Nominating Committee: identifies and recommends candidates to be nominated for election as directors at our annual meeting, consistent with criteria approved by the full Board; annually evaluates and reports to the Board on its performance and effectiveness; annually reviews the composition of each Board committee and presents recommendations for committee membership to the full Board as needed; researches, evaluates, and recommends director compensation; considers and advises the Board on matters relating to the affairs or governance of the Board; considers matters relating to senior management succession; and reviews and approves all potential “related person transactions” as defined under SEC rules. We expect the Governance and Nominating Committee to meet at least three (3) times per year.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Mses. Auen and Gris , Mr. Schulte and Dr. Bersoff were all members of the Compensation Committee at some point during the year ended December 31, 2013. Ms. Gris  joined the Compensation Committee on April 1, 2013, and Dr. Bersoff rotated off the Committee on the same date. None of them is or was an officer or employee of the Company. None of our executive officers served as a member of the board of directors or the compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

Process for Selecting and Nominating Directors

The Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for nominating director candidates and considering director nominees. The Governance and Nominating Committee uses a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating nominees for director and regularly assesses the appropriate size of the Board and whether any vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated or otherwise arise, the Governance and Nominating Committee considers various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Governance and Nominating Committee through current Board members, professional search firms, stockholders, or other persons. It is expected that the Governance and Nominating Committee will have direct input from the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the lead director, as appropriate.

Identified candidates are evaluated at regular or special meetings of the Governance and Nominating Committee and may be considered at any point during the year. As described below, the Governance and Nominating Committee considers properly submitted stockholder recommendations for Board candidates to be included in the Company’s

proxy statement. Following verification of the stockholder status of any person proposing a candidate, recommendations are considered by the Governance and Nominating Committee at a regularly scheduled meeting. If any materials are provided by a stockholder in connection with the nomination of a director candidate, such materials are forwarded to the Governance and Nominating Committee. The Governance and Nominating Committee also reviews materials provided by professional search firms and other parties in connection with a nominee who is not proposed by a stockholder.

The Governance and Nominating Committee maintains and periodically updates its non-exclusive “Board selection guidelines” to assist the committee in evaluating candidates for the Board, which are summarized above under “*Proposal 1: Election of Directors.*”

As suggested by our Board selection criteria summarized above, the Governance and Nominating Committee and Board believe that diversity should play a role in the selection of directors, although neither has developed a formal policy regarding the consideration of diversity. Accordingly, the Governance and Nominating Committee takes into account factors such as race, gender, and national origin in evaluating nominees for Board membership. A diverse Board, made up of directors with a mix of opinions, perspectives, professional and personal experiences, race, gender and age will allow the Board to make effective decisions for the Company and our clients.

There are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, and the Board may also consider such factors as it deems appropriate and in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders.

As mentioned above, we will consider candidates for director who are recommended by stockholders. Stockholder recommendations should be submitted in writing to: ICF International, Inc., 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, Attention: Mollie Roy, Corporate Secretary. Such stockholder's notice shall set forth, for each nominee, all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person's written consent to being named as a nominee and to serving as a director if elected). Among other information, the notice shall also include, as to the stockholder giving notice: (i) the name and address of the stockholder; (ii) the class or series and number of shares of the Company which are, directly or indirectly, owned by such stockholder, as well as options, warrants, convertible securities, stock appreciation rights, and similar instruments of the Company ("*Derivative Instruments*") that are held by the stockholder; (iii) any proxy, contract, arrangement, understanding, or relationship pursuant to which such stockholder has a right, directly or indirectly, to vote any shares of any security of the Company; (iv) any short interest in any security of the Company directly or indirectly owned by such stockholder; (v) any rights to dividends on the shares of the Company owned beneficially by such stockholder that are separated or separable from the underlying shares of the Company; (vi) any proportionate interest in shares of the Company or Derivative Instruments held, directly or indirectly, by a general or limited partnership in which such stockholder is a general partner or, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns an interest in a general partner; and (vii) any performance-related fees (other than an asset-based fee) to which such stockholder is entitled based on any increase or decrease in the value of shares of the Company or Derivative Instruments.

To be eligible to be a nominee for election or reelection as a director of the Company, a person must submit to the Corporate Secretary (in accordance with the time periods prescribed for delivery of notice under the Company's Bylaws) at the above address a written response to a questionnaire with respect to the background and qualification of such person (which questionnaire shall be provided by the Corporate Secretary upon written request) and a written representation and agreement (in the form provided by the Corporate Secretary upon written request) that such person: (i) is not and will not become a party to (x) any agreement, arrangement, or understanding with, and has not given any commitment or assurance to, any person or entity as to how such person, if elected as a director of the Company, will act or vote on any issue or question (a "*Voting Commitment*") that has not been disclosed to the Company or (y) any Voting Commitment that could limit or interfere with such person's ability to comply, if elected as a director of the Company, with such person's fiduciary duties under applicable law; (ii) is not and will not become a party to any agreement, arrangement, or understanding with any person or entity other than the Company with respect to any direct or indirect compensation, reimbursement, or indemnification in connection with service or action as a director that has not been disclosed therein; and (iii) would be in compliance, if elected as a director of the Company, and will comply with all applicable publicly disclosed corporate governance, conflict of interest, confidentiality, and stock ownership and trading policies and guidelines of the Company.

Board Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board of Directors believes that its members should be incentivized to focus on the Company's long-term stockholder value. In March 2011, upon the recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors adopted a revised Board member stock ownership policy establishing, as a guideline (but not an absolute requirement), that non-employee members of the Board of Directors of the Company be expected to own shares of Company common stock valued at five (5) times such director's annual cash retainer, which may include

shares of unvested restricted stock (*i.e.*, directors are strongly encouraged to hold common stock valued at \$300,000 (or five (5) times \$60,000)). Such ownership level is to be achieved over a period of four (4) years after becoming a member of the Board. As of April 7, 2014, each of our non-employee directors either met these stock ownership guidelines or are expected to meet the applicable ownership guidelines within the specified time period.

Director Continuing Education

The Board of Directors believes that director continuing education is important for maintaining a current and effective Board, and adopted a Director Continuing Education Policy on March 1, 2013. The Company's policy encourages directors to participate in continuing education and accredited director education programs, with the intent of becoming and remaining well informed about the Company, its industry and business, its relative performance to its competitors and regulatory issues and economic trends affecting the Company.

Derivatives Trading and Hedging Policy

Pursuant to the Company's "Policy on Insider Information and Securities Trading," the Company considers it improper and inappropriate for any employee, officer or director of the Company to engage in short-term or speculative transactions in the Company's securities. The policy specifically prohibits directors, officers and other employees from engaging in short sales of the Company's securities and transactions in puts, calls or other derivative securities (sometimes referred to as "hedging"). Each of the named executive officers and directors complied with this policy during fiscal year 2013 and none of them have Company securities pledged or in margin accounts.

Stockholder Communications with the Board

You may contact the Board of Directors by sending a letter marked "Confidential" and addressed to the Board of Directors, ICF International, Inc., c/o Corporate Secretary, 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031. In accordance with instructions from the Board, the Corporate Secretary reviews all correspondence, organizes the communications for review by the Board, and posts communications to the full Board, specific committees or individual directors, as appropriate. Communications that are intended specifically for the lead director, the independent directors, or non-management directors should be marked as such.

Director Compensation

The following discussion outlines the compensation that was earned by non-employee directors during 2013, as well as our anticipated director compensation structure for 2014. The compensation of our Board of Directors is evaluated from time to time by our Governance and Nominating Committee. Upon the recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board adjusted outside director compensation at its June 7, 2013 meeting, such that each director has the option to choose to receive his or her \$120,000 annual payment (historically granted in the form of an equity grant of restricted stock) in the form of cash, unregistered stock or a combination of the two, issued on a pro rata basis as of the last business day of each calendar quarter. As a result of this adjustment, shares issued pursuant to this annual payment are issued from treasury stock and not from the Company's Omnibus Plan.

Directors who are employed by us do not receive additional compensation for their service on the Board. All directors are entitled to reimbursement of expenses for attending each meeting of the Board and each committee meeting.

Director Compensation Table for 2013

The following table provides the compensation earned by individuals who served as non-employee directors of the Company during 2013.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash or Stock		All Other Compensation ⁽³⁾		Total ⁽⁵⁾
	(\$)		(\$)		(\$)
(a)	(b)		(g)		(h)
Eileen O'Shea Auen	\$86,000	(2)	\$60,000		\$146,000
Dr. Edward H. Bersoff	\$116,500		\$60,000		\$176,500
Dr. Srikant M. Datar	\$76,000		\$60,000		\$136,000
Cheryl W. Gris�	\$75,500		\$60,000	(4)	\$135,500
S. Lawrence Kocot	\$72,000		\$60,000	(4)	\$132,000
Dr. Ernest J. Moniz ⁽⁶⁾	\$36,000		—		\$36,000
Peter M. Schulte	\$80,000	(2)	\$60,000	(4)	\$140,000

Sudhakar Kesavan is not included in this table because during 2013 he was an employee of the Company and (1) therefore received no compensation for his director service. The compensation received by Mr. Kesavan as an employee of the Company is shown in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table below.

Includes quarterly payments made in the form of restricted common stock in lieu of cash at the election of the (2) director, representing the grant date fair value for restricted stock awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

Beginning in the third quarter 2013, the \$120,000 annual payment historically paid to our directors in an equity grant of restricted stock vesting one year later at the Company's annual meeting was changed to a choice of cash, (3) unregistered stock or a combination of the two issued on a pro rata basis as of the last business day of each calendar quarter. Due to this transition mid-year 2013, this column only reflects half of each director's aggregate \$120,000 annual payment; in the 2015 proxy statement, this column should reflect the full \$120,000 as all four quarterly payments will be earned during the reporting period (i.e., 2014).

Represents the quarterly pro rata issuance of the \$120,000 annual payment, issued in the form of restricted (4) common stock in lieu of cash at the election of the director, representing the grant date fair value for restricted stock awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(5) Total director compensation for 2013 is lower than reported for 2012 and lower than will be reported for 2014 due to the transition mid-year 2013 to the \$120,000 annual payment approach as outlined in footnote 3 above.

(6) Dr. Moniz resigned from the Company's Board on May 18, 2013; accordingly, his compensation reflects only a partial year.

2013 Board Compensation

Beginning in the third quarter 2013, each director has the option to choose to receive his or her \$120,000 annual payment (historically granted in the form of an equity grant of restricted stock) in the form of cash, unregistered stock or a combination of the two, issued on a pro rata basis as of the last business day of each calendar quarter.

For 2013, the annual cash meeting retainer was \$60,000, covering the five regular Board meetings during a year, one annual meeting, and a reasonable number of special Board meetings. The chair of the Audit Committee received a retainer of \$32,000 (including member fee) and each other Audit Committee member received a retainer of \$12,000. The chair of the Compensation Committee received a retainer of \$18,000 (including member fee) and each other Compensation Committee member received \$8,000. The chair of the Governance and Nominating Committee received a retainer of \$18,000 (including member fee) and each other Governance and Nominating Committee member received \$8,000. Compensation for the lead director is an annual fee of \$20,000.

Our non-employee directors receive compensation quarterly, based upon a quarterly amount of the aggregate annual payment they each are entitled to receive depending upon each director's committee membership. Board members may elect to convert their quarterly cash compensation into our common stock at the fair value of our common stock on the quarterly payment date.

2014 Board Compensation

The Board currently intends to utilize the same compensation structure for its non-employee directors in 2014 as it utilized in 2013.

Code of Ethics

On May 4, 2010, the Board of Directors adopted an updated Code of Ethics that is designed to promote the highest standards of ethical conduct by the Company's directors, executive officers and employees. The Code of Ethics requires that the Company's directors, executive officers and employees avoid conflicts of interest, comply with all laws and other legal requirements, conduct business in an honest and ethical manner, and otherwise act with integrity and in the Company's best interest. Under the terms of the Code of Ethics, directors, executive officers and employees are required to report any conduct that they believe in good faith to be an actual or apparent violation of the Code of Ethics.

The Code of Ethics and all Board committee charters are posted in the “Investor Relations – Corporate Governance” portion of our website (www.icfi.com). A copy of any of these documents is available in print (free of charge to any stockholder) who requests a copy by writing to: ICF International, Inc., 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, Attention: Mollie Roy, Corporate Secretary. The Company will disclose on its website at www.icfi.com, to the extent and in the manner permitted by Item 5.05 of Form 8-K, the nature of any amendment to the Code of Ethics (other than technical, administrative, or other non-substantive amendments) and our approval of any material departure from a provision of the Code of Ethics that has been made known to any of our executive officers.

Certain Relationships and Transactions with Related Persons

Our Code of Ethics, which applies to all directors, executive officers and employees, emphasizes the importance of avoiding situations or transactions in which personal interests interfere with the best interests of us and/or our stockholders. In addition, the Board of Directors has adopted a written policy on interested director transaction reporting and approval designed to alert the Board, and in particular the Governance and Nominating Committee, of material transactions involving the Company and directors and their affiliates so that the Board may be aware of and consider such transactions in advance, on a case-by-case basis. As to matters coming before the Board in which individual directors may have a personal interest, the Board has adopted procedures to ensure that all directors voting on such a matter disclose the personal interest, abstain from voting on the matter, and discuss the transaction with counsel if necessary. The Board has delegated the task of discussing, reviewing, and approving transactions between the Company and any of our executive officers or Board members to the Governance and Nominating Committee.

There have not been any transactions during the last fiscal year to which we have been a party, in which the amount involved in the transaction exceeded \$120,000, and in which any of our directors, executive officers or holders of more than 5% of our capital stock had or will have a direct or indirect material interest other than: (i) equity and other compensation, termination, change-in-control and other arrangements, which are described in the section captioned “*Executive Compensation — Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control*,” and (ii) the Company’s involvement in the MIT Energy Initiative (“*MITEI*”), an interdisciplinary program to address short-term and long-term global energy issues, problems and needs that encompass scientific, technological, environmental, economic, political, managerial and security concerns. Dr. Ernest J. Moniz, who was a member of our Board of Directors from June 2011 until his resignation in May 2013, was the director of MITEI until his resignation in May 2013. Although Dr. Moniz was director of, and compensated by, MITEI, the organization administering the particular project contract in which the Company participates, Dr. Moniz did not receive any salary, benefits or other payments specific to the Company’s project. The Company negotiated the terms of its participation in, and became a member of, this program in late April/early May 2011, which predated Dr. Moniz’s appointment to our Board of Directors. In connection with its involvement in MITEI, the Company has pledged to commit \$125,000 a year for its five-year membership term. The Company made its scheduled \$125,000 contribution in 2013 and intends to make its scheduled \$125,000 contribution in 2014. Each of these items was approved in accordance with the procedures outlined above.

Other Transactions Considered for Independence Purposes

For each director and nominee for director who is identified as independent, the SEC rules require the description of transactions, relationships or arrangements that are not required to be disclosed as related person transactions, but that were considered by the Board of Directors in determining that the director is independent. Each transaction that the Company believes is a related person transaction, if any, is described immediately above under the caption “*Certain Relationships and Transactions with Related Persons.*” There were, however, transactions with independent directors that did not rise to the level of a related person transaction, but that were considered for independence purposes. The Board of Directors affirmatively determined that each of such transactions did not impair the applicable director’s independence.

Executive Officers Of The Company During 2013

The following table includes information with respect to the individuals who served as our executive officers during 2013. The age indicated for each individual is as of December 31, 2013. The biographical information for Mr. Kesavan is found under “*Directors Whose Term of Office Expires in 2015—Class III Directors.*”

Name	Age	Title
Sudhakar Kesavan	59	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
John Wasson	52	President and Chief Operating Officer
James C. Morgan	48	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Ellen Glover	58	Executive Vice President - Technology & Management Solutions
Isabel S. Reiff	64	Executive Vice President - Corporate Growth and Strategic Accounts

John Wasson serves as President and Chief Operating Officer of ICF International and has been with the Company since 1987. On June 7, 2010, Mr. Wasson was named President of the Company, replacing his former title of Executive Vice President. Mr. Wasson has served the Company in various capacities over the last 26 years, joining the Company as an associate in 1987, becoming a senior associate in 1989, a project manager in 1991, vice president in 1994, senior vice president in 1998, executive vice president in 2001 and Chief Operating Officer in 2003. Mr. Wasson previously worked as a staff scientist at the Conservation Law Foundation of New England and as a researcher at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Center for Technology, Policy and Industrial Development. Mr. Wasson holds a Master of Science degree in Technology and Policy from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and a Bachelor of Science in Chemical Engineering from the University of California, Davis.

James C. Morgan serves as the Company’s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He joined the Company in 2012. From 2011 until his employment by the Company, Mr. Morgan served as a member of the Board and as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Serco, Inc., a division of Serco Group PLC. From

1993 until 2011, Mr. Morgan held a number of positions at Science Applications International Corporation (“**SAIC**”), in particular, Senior Vice President and Senior Financial Officer, Strategic and Operational Finance from 2005 until 2011 and Senior Vice President, Business Transformation Officer from 2008 until 2011. Previously, Mr. Morgan was an Experienced Senior Consultant in the Special Services and Contracting Group at Arthur Andersen & Company. Mr. Morgan received his Bachelor of Science in Accounting from North Carolina State University and his Masters in Business Administration from George Washington University. Mr. Morgan has been a Certified Public Accountant; his license is currently inactive.

Ellen Glover joined the Company as an Executive Vice President in 2005. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Glover served as the Vice President and General Manager of Dynamics Research Corporation, a former publicly traded professional and technical services contractor to government agencies. Dynamics Research Corporation had previously acquired Impact Innovations Group, a provider of information technology services to federal and commercial markets, where Ms. Glover served as President from 2002 to 2004. From 1983 to 2002, Ms. Glover was an officer of Advanced Technology Systems, a provider of information technology services to the U.S. Department of Defense and civilian agencies, including serving as President and Chief Operating Officer from 1994 to 2002. In December 2013, Ms. Glover was elected as the Chair of the Board of the Professional Services Council (“**PSC**”), where she has served on the Board since 2010, and been a member of the Executive Committee since 2011. Ms. Glover was the Chair of the Industry Advisory Council from 2005 to 2006, and Executive Vice Chair from 2004 to 2005. Ms. Glover won the 2007 Janice K. Mendenhall Spirit of Leadership Award, as well as the 2001 Federal Computer Week Federal 100 Eagle Award. Ms. Glover holds a Master of Science in Urban Planning and a Bachelor of Arts in History and Political Science from the University of Pittsburgh.

Isabel S. Reiff serves as an Executive Vice President for Corporate Growth and Strategic Accounts for the Company. She joined ICF International in 1989 and has more than 30 years of experience in public policy and program consulting. She became an Executive Vice President on February 27, 2010 and she leads the Company's business development, marketing and communications. At ICF International she has managed client engagements in housing and community development, environmental, and transportation sectors. She has also held positions with federal and local governments. She holds a Bachelor of Arts from Mount Holyoke College and an M.A. in Architecture and Urban Planning from the University of California, Los Angeles.

Security Ownership Of Directors, Executive Officers And Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth certain information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock as of April 7, 2014, by:

- each person, or group of affiliated persons, known to us to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock;
- each of our directors and nominees for director;
- each person who was a named executive officer; and
- all of our directors and named executive officers as a group.

The percentages shown in the following table are based on 19,972,725 shares of common stock outstanding as of April 7, 2014. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and includes voting and investment power with respect to shares. The number of shares beneficially owned by a person includes shares subject to options and restricted stock units ("**RSUs**") held by that person that were exercisable as of April 7, 2014, or within 60 days of that date. The shares issuable under those options and RSUs are treated as if they were outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of the person holding those options or RSUs, but are not treated as if they were outstanding for the purposes of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Unless otherwise indicated below, to our knowledge, all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to their shares of common stock, except to the extent authority is shared by spouses under applicable law.

The following table sets forth the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by the indicated parties. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person is: c/o ICF International, Inc., 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares beneficially owned		
	Number	Percentage	
<i>Directors & Executive Officers</i>			
Sudhakar Kesavan ⁽¹⁾	310,433	1.5	%
John Wasson ⁽²⁾	149,920	*	
James C. Morgan ⁽³⁾	11,738	*	
Ellen Glover ⁽⁴⁾	83,178	*	
Isabel S. Reiff ⁽⁵⁾	504	*	
Eileen O'Shea Auen	31,277	*	
Dr. Edward H. Bersoff	42,352	*	
Dr. Srikant M. Datar ⁽⁶⁾	28,893	*	
Cheryl W. Grisé	6,719	*	
S. Lawrence Kocot	8,719	*	
Peter M. Schulte	231,589	1.2	%
Directors, Director Nominees and Named Executive Officers as a group (11 persons)	905,322	4.5	%
<i>Beneficial Owners Holding More Than 5%</i>			
BlackRock, Inc. and affiliates as a group ⁽⁷⁾			
40 East 52nd Street	1,168,027	5.8	%
New York, NY 10022			
FMR LLC ⁽⁸⁾			
245 Summer Street	2,098,995	10.5	%
Boston, MA 02210			
Guggenheim Capital, LLC and affiliates as a group ⁽⁹⁾			
227 West Monroe Street	1,053,047	5.3	%
Chicago, IL 60606			
Sagard Capital Partners, L.P. and affiliates as a group ⁽¹⁰⁾			
325 Greenwich Avenue	1,531,488	7.7	%
Greenwich, CT 06830			

Vaughan Nelson Investment Management, L.P.⁽¹¹⁾

600 Travis Street, Suite 6300	1,515,881	7.6	%
Houston, TX 77002			

William Blair & Company, L.L.C.⁽¹²⁾

222 W. Adams	2,592,796	13.0	%
Chicago, IL 60606			

*Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1%.

- (1) The total number of shares listed as beneficially owned by Sudhakar Kesavan includes options to purchase 177,842 shares of common stock.
- (2) The total number of shares listed as beneficially owned by John Wasson includes options to purchase 88,521 shares of common stock.
- (3) The total number of shares listed as beneficially owned by James C. Morgan includes options to purchase 9,934 shares of common stock.
- (4) The total number of shares listed as beneficially owned by Ellen Glover includes options to purchase 60,522 shares of common stock.
- (5) The total number of shares listed as beneficially owned by Isabel S. Reiff includes an option to purchase 1 share of common stock.
- (6) The total number of shares listed as beneficially owned by Dr. Srikant M. Datar includes 18,627 shares of common stock held in an estate planning trust of which Dr. Datar is a co-trustee.

Based upon information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by BlackRock, Inc. ("**BlackRock**") with the SEC on January 29, 2014, BlackRock beneficially owned 1,168,027 shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013, with sole voting power over 1,079,212 shares, shared voting power over no shares, sole dispositive power over 1,168,027 shares and shared dispositive power over no shares.

Based upon information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by FMR LLC ("**FMR**") with the SEC on February 14, 2014 and as amended on March 10, 2014, FMR beneficially owned 2,098,995 shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013, with sole voting power over no shares, shared voting power over no shares, sole dispositive power over 2,098,995 shares and shared dispositive power over no shares.

Based upon information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by Guggenheim Capital, LLC (“*Guggenheim*”) with the SEC on February 13, 2014, Guggenheim beneficially owned 1,053,047 shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013, with sole voting power over no shares, shared voting power over 1,053,047 shares, sole (9)dispositive power over no shares and shared dispositive power over 1,053,047 shares. These shares include 1,053,047 shares beneficially owned directly by Security Investors, LLC and indirectly by Rydex Holdings, LLC, Guggenheim Partners Investment Management Holdings, LLC, GI Holdco, LLC, GI Holdco II, LLC, and Guggenheim Partners, LLC. Guggenheim is the majority owner of each of these entities.

Based upon information contained in the Schedule 13D/A filed by Sagard Capital Partners, L.P. (“*Sagard*”) with the SEC on October 11, 2013, Sagard beneficially owned 1,531,488 shares of common stock as of December 31, (10) 2013, with sole voting power over no shares, shared voting power over 1,531,488 shares, sole dispositive power over no shares and shared dispositive power over 1,531,488 shares.

Based upon information contained in the Schedule 13G filed by Vaughan Nelson Investment Management, L.P. (“*Vaughan*”) with the SEC on February 13, 2014, in its capacity as an investment adviser, Vaughan may be (11)deemed to beneficially own 1,515,881 shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013, with sole voting power over 1,143,873 shares, shared voting power over no shares, sole dispositive power over 1,384,873 shares and shared dispositive power over 131,008 shares.

Based upon information contained in the Schedule 13G/A filed by William Blair & Company, L.L.C. (“*William Blair*”) with the SEC on February 6, 2014, William Blair beneficially owned 2,592,796 shares of common stock (12) as of December 31, 2013, with sole voting power over 2,592,796 shares, shared voting power over no shares, sole dispositive power over 2,592,796 shares and shared dispositive power over no shares.

Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Compensation Committee (for purposes of this discussion and analysis, the “*Committee*”) has responsibility for establishing, implementing, and monitoring adherence to the Company’s compensation philosophy. The Committee strives to ensure that the total compensation paid to the Company’s executives is fair, reasonable, and competitive. Generally, the types of compensation and benefits provided to the Company’s executive officers are similar to those provided to other Company key employees. The five executives included in the Summary Compensation Table on pages 27 to 28 are referred to as our named executive officers.

Fiscal 2013 – Financial Highlights

Fiscal year 2013 was a noteworthy year in which the Company demonstrated excellent performance in operational, financial and strategic dimensions. As part of its growth strategy, the Company succeeded in diversifying its revenue sources and was able to leverage its extensive domain expertise to win larger implementation contracts and achieve record-breaking sales. The Company's increased spending on business development over the last two years yielded excellent returns on investments demonstrating the ability to integrate acquisitions to expand the Company's pipeline and improve win rates. Financial highlights for 2013, which were consistent with external guidance despite the U.S. government shutdown of sixteen (16) days, included the following:

Total revenue was \$949 million, an increase of 1% over 2012.

Revenues from commercial clients increased 7% in 2013 to \$268 million and represented 28% of total revenue, up from 27% in 2012, consistent with our strategy of moving to a more balanced mix of government and commercial businesses.

Net income increased 3% to \$39 million.

Earnings per diluted share were \$1.95, up from \$1.91.

Operating activities provided cash of \$81 million.

Contract awards were \$1.2 billion, an increase of 21% over 2012.

Book-to-bill ratio, a key metric to ascertain growth in new contracts, was 1.23, representing contract awards divided by total revenue for the period.

Price per share for the Company's stock increased 48%.

Shareholder-Aligned Executive Compensation Practices

The Company implements and maintains leading practices in its executive compensation programs. These practices include the following:

Target compensation is benchmarked against peers at the median level while actual compensation may increase or decrease depending on performance.

Our selection of peer companies is balanced such that the Company's revenue is at the median of the peer group.

The Committee has engaged an independent compensation consultant.

On average, 60% of each named executive officer's total compensation varies with performance based on the Company's key financials or stock price and is therefore at risk.

We prohibit our executive officers and directors from hedging or pledging Company shares.

All named executive officers are subject to stock ownership guidelines, which further aligns their interests with shareholders.

Our equity incentive plan prohibits the repricing of equity awards or cash-buyout of underwater stock options.

Our equity incentive plan does not allow share recycling where tendered shares for option exercises and tax withholding are not reused.

Our annual equity award grants provide for vesting over four (4) years for RSUs and over three (3) years for stock options.

“Double trigger” accelerated vesting for equity awards in the event of a change in control of the Company plus termination within 24 months following the change in control for both our chief executive officer and president and chief operating officer.

We have limited perquisites and we do not provide tax gross-ups on such limited perquisites to our executive officers.

We do not provide excise tax gross-ups in agreements.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Company’s fundamental compensation philosophy, which has the objectives set forth below, remains:

Reward performance and contribution to our business. Our compensation programs should be designed to reward extraordinary performance with commensurate compensation; likewise, where individual performance falls short of expectations and/or Company performance lags behind the peer group performance, the programs should deliver lower payouts.

Pay-for-performance and retention must be balanced. Although performance is a key element of the Company’s compensation philosophy, in order to attract and retain a highly skilled work force, we must remain competitive with the pay of our peer companies that compete with us for talent. In general terms, the objective is to target Company executives’ total compensation at the 50th percentile of the Company’s approved peer group for “on plan” performance.

Compensation should be aligned with stockholder interests. Key employees should have a substantial proportion of their compensation in the form of equity participation to align their individual financial interests with those of our stockholders.

The relationship between overall Company goals and each individual’s personal goals should be clear. Employees should be able to understand easily how their efforts can affect their pay, both directly through individual performance and indirectly through contributing to the business unit’s and Company’s achievement of its strategic and operational goals.

Provide only necessary perquisites for named executives. Our compensation programs should include only those perquisites necessary to attract and retain named executives and/or improve the named executive officer’s ability to carry out his or her responsibilities safely and effectively.

The Committee maintained the following guidelines for the Company’s 2014 executive officer compensation program:

Development of Performance Goals. Each year, Company management presents its budget, revenue forecast, and strategy to the Board in the November/December timeframe, allowing the Board and management to develop a consensus on financial and strategic goals for the following year. These goals are reflected in the compensation program for the following year and the metrics that will drive individual performance goals, total compensation targets and actual compensation levels.

Elements of Compensation Program. The actual awards are based on the performance of the Company and the executive. This means that the mix of pay may be different each year based on the identified targets for each pay component, providing the Committee with the greatest amount of flexibility in awarding incentive compensation and pay adjustments each year. In general, the mix of compensation consists of base salary and both short-term incentives (which will normally take the form of cash) and long-term equity incentives. During 2013, the long-term equity incentives for named executive officers was based on an equity distribution as follows:

50% options with a three (3)-year vesting schedule of one-third each year following the grant; and

50% RSUs with a four (4)-year vesting schedule of one-quarter each year following the grant.

Target Payout for On Plan Performance. Compensation is targeted at the 50th percentile of the 2014 Peer Group (as defined below) to establish a baseline for compensation. During 2013, “on plan” performance was defined as achieving 85% to 115% of performance goals. If the Company fails to achieve “on plan” performance, such failure would affect both overall and individual incentive awards, although the effects of shortfalls on cash bonuses would likely be more pronounced than on long-term incentives. The Company’s actual performance as compared to the goals would be assessed and applied to ranges within “on plan” target compensation in establishing the appropriate payout levels.

Potential Payout for Above Plan Performance. The potential to earn more compensation is at the discretion of the Committee, subject to any restrictions imposed by Section 162(m) of the Code and the terms of the Company's 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as amended (the "**Omnibus Plan**"). Potential payouts are established for performance that exceeded 115% of the annual performance goals. The maximum total direct compensation that may be earned for superior performance is 160% of total targeted compensation, unless the Committee determines otherwise.

Performance-Based and Discretionary Incentive Compensation. The named executive officers' annual incentive compensation is weighted as follows:

80% in the form of a potential "performance-based bonus" tied to one or more financial goals set forth in the Omnibus Plan, that is designed to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code; and

20% in the form of a separate "discretionary bonus," payable at the discretion of the Committee based on specific business challenges the executives face during the fiscal year, with the bonus contingent on achievement of non-financial goals identified as to each executive but not contingent on the achievement of, or failure to achieve, any of the financial goals established for such executive with respect to the "performance-based bonus" discussed above. In extraordinary situations, the Committee retains the right to increase the discretionary portion of the bonus.

Threshold. The minimal level of performance that must be achieved before bonus and equity distributions can be earned and/or distributed is 85%. If the Company achieves less than 85% of its aggregate annual performance goals, then no cash bonuses will be distributed.

CEO and COO Total Compensation Relationship. The Committee has determined that generally the president and chief operating officer's total targeted annual compensation should be approximately 60% of the chief executive officer's total targeted annual compensation.

Impact of Acquisitions. Because merger and acquisition transactions are an integral element of our growth strategy, the executives' compensation structure reflects the time and effort to successfully identify, negotiate and integrate acquisitions. Typically, our executives are rewarded for this activity through share price appreciation in the long-term incentive component, rather than through an explicit cash award tied to merger and acquisition transactions. However, assuming a transaction exceeds 10% of the Company's prior year's gross revenue, then based on the timing and size of the acquisition, executives may be given an opportunity to earn an extra incentive, with no change in previously established performance targets.

Assessment of Annual Performance. For purposes of compensation awards:

The chief executive officer's performance is assessed by the Committee.

The president and chief operating officer's and chief financial officer's performance is assessed by the Committee and the chief executive officer.

The remaining executive officers' performance is assessed by the chief executive officer, president and chief operating officer, and chief financial officer.

Implementing Our Objectives

Use of Market Data

The peer group, selected by the Committee, is comprised of publicly traded U.S.-based professional services companies. The companies comprising the 2014 compensation peer group (the "**2014 Peer Group**"), which is the same as the 2013 peer group, were the following:

Booz Allen Hamilton Corp.	CACI International Inc.	CBIZ, Inc.
CRA International, Inc.	Dynamics Research Corp.	Exponent, Inc.
FTI Consulting, Inc.	Huron Consulting Group Inc.	IHS Inc.
ManTech International Corp.	Maximus, Inc.	Navigant Consulting, Inc.
NCI, Inc.	Resources Connection, Inc.	Sapient Corp.
Tetra Tech, Inc.		

In the fall of 2012, the Company's management retained Semler Brossy Consulting Group LLC ("**Semler Brossy**") to advise as to compensation measures used by peer companies and the Company's position as compared to the median incentive package of such peers for use in making recommendations to the Committee for 2013 compensation decisions. Because the 2014 Peer Group remained the same as the prior year, rather than engaging Semler Brossy to conduct another assessment in 2013, Company management examined the executive compensation from the 2014 Peer Group from 2013 proxy statements and provided an updated regression analysis based on certain projected revenue metrics. However, due to insufficient peer group matches for other named executive officers of similar role and responsibility to Isabel Reiff, management supplemented the peer group analysis in relation to her with data from Radford Global Executive and Towers Watson. The Company's management then used this competitive assessment to make recommendations to the Committee with regards to the compensation of the named executive officers other than the chief executive officer.

In the fall of 2013, the Committee continued its engagement of AonHewitt, which has served as the Committee's consultant since 2009, to assist the Committee in reviewing the Company's compensation policies and practices for 2014. In particular, AonHewitt was asked to discuss with the Committee the current trends in executive compensation by peer companies and provide feedback regarding management's competitive assessment for executive positions. For additional information regarding Aon Hewitt and its relationship with the Committee, see "*Role of Compensation Consultants in Compensation Decisions*" below.

In making its compensation determinations, the Committee reviewed and assessed the analysis and recommendations of Company management. The Committee also requested the views of AonHewitt, as well as obtained Semler Brossy's assessment of management's analysis. The Committee determined that, based on a combination of management's assessment, discussions with AonHewitt and Semler Brossy (with respect to management's analysis), the Company's executive compensation opportunities are generally aligned with the market. This conclusion was reflected in the Committee's compensation decisions. In connection with its evaluation of management's recommendations, as well as its discussions with AonHewitt and Semler Brossy (with respect to management's analysis), the Committee determined that while the peer group compensation data was the appropriate primary focus, the Company does compete with many larger companies for top executive-level talent. Thus, the peer group assessment is just one of many inputs into the Committee's decisions.

Role of Management in Compensation Decisions

During late 2013 and early 2014, the Committee made compensation determinations for all named executive officers. In the case of executives other than the chief executive officer, the chief executive officer annually reviews the performance of the executive team, provides a summary of the fiscal year accomplishments by the executive team and Company as a whole to the Committee, and then makes recommendations to the Committee based on these reviews and an analysis of competitive market data. The Committee considers these chief executive officer recommendations when making its determinations as to the president and chief operating officer and chief financial officer, and takes into account input from the chief executive officer, president and chief operating officer, and chief financial officer with respect to other named executives.

In developing its recommendations, management retained Semler Brossy as outlined above. In its assessment, management found that, like the Company, most members of the 2014 Peer Group use a combination of measures to evaluate performance for the calculation of incentive awards, such as earnings per share and revenue, as well as including a discretionary component. In addition, management determined that incentive compensation for Mr. Kesavan, Mr. Wasson, Ms. Glover and Ms. Reiff was near the peer group median, and for Mr. Morgan was below median due to his relative newness (less than two (2) years) in the chief financial officer position. These findings were taken into account by management in making its recommendations to the Committee.

Role of Compensation Consultants in Compensation Decisions

The Committee continued its historic engagement of AonHewitt in 2013, which has served as the Committee's consultant since 2009, to assist in its analysis of the Company's compensation practices. In retaining AonHewitt, the Committee determined, and periodically re-assesses such determination, that AonHewitt's engagement does not present any conflicts of interest. In making this determination, the Committee considered (i) the provision of other services to the Company by AonHewitt (including without limitation, the engagement of AonHewitt by the Governance and Nominating Committee); (ii) the fees to be paid to AonHewitt by the Committee and by the Governance and Nominating Committee; (iii) the policies and procedures of AonHewitt that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest; (iv) any business or personal relationship between AonHewitt and a member of the Committee; (v) any stock of the Company owned by AonHewitt or the AonHewitt personnel providing services to the Committee; and (vi) any business or personal relationships between the executive officers of the Company and AonHewitt or the AonHewitt personnel providing services to the Committee. The Committee's charter provides that the Committee has the sole authority to retain, terminate and approve fees of a compensation consultant to the Committee and that all such fees, as determined by the Committee, shall be paid by the Company.

This year, AonHewitt was not asked to prepare a competitive assessment of the Company's compensation structure for each named executive officer. Rather, AonHewitt served in an advisory capacity to review and discuss with the Committee the competitive assessment performed by the Company's management, offer suggestions and provide insight into market compensation trends.

In addition, as noted above, management retained Semler Brossy to assist in developing its recommendations to the Committee with regard to the compensation of the named executive officers other than the chief executive officer. Like AonHewitt, Semler Brossy was not asked to prepare a competitive assessment of the Company's compensation structure for each named executive officer this year.

The Committee considered management's assessment and recommendations, as well as the information provided by both AonHewitt and Semler Brossy (with respect to management's assessment), in making its compensation determinations. Pursuant to its charter, however, the Committee retains final approval of all material elements of executive compensation.

Effect of 2013 Say on Pay Vote

At the Company's 2013 annual meeting, stockholders cast an advisory vote regarding the Company's executive compensation (a "**Say on Pay**" proposal). Ninety-five percent (95%) of the votes cast on the Say on Pay proposal were voted in favor of the Company's executive compensation program. Given this significant level of support from the Company's stockholders, the Committee and the Board believe that the Company is taking a measured, informed and responsible approach to executive compensation which incorporates all of the Company's objectives and policies set forth above, including, but not limited to, a pay for performance culture that retains executives who perform strongly. For 2014 and as set forth below, the Board and the Committee considered this substantial affirmation as one of many factors in crafting an executive compensation program that largely mirrors the stockholder-approved approach.

Executive Compensation Components

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the principal components of compensation for our named executive officers included: (i) base salary; and (ii) incentive compensation in the form of cash bonuses and equity awards.

For the named executive officers, the Committee has discretion with respect to the size, types, amounts, and principal components of compensation, and, in the case of cash bonuses and equity awards, whether to make any available. For incentive compensation, the Committee establishes pre-determined percentage weights for each component of cash bonus, but does not have pre-determined percentage weights for equity awards. The following section summarizes the role of each compensation component and how decisions are made for the named executive officers.

2013 Base Compensation

Taking into account AonHewitt's assessment last year that the base compensation paid to our named executives for 2012 was generally consistent with the 2013 Peer Group, and consistent with management's recommendation, the Committee decided to increase the base compensation levels of the Company's executive officers by 2%. Therefore, the base compensation for Mr. Kesavan was increased to \$792,563; Mr. Wasson's to \$583,877; Mr. Morgan's to \$459,014; Ms. Glover's to \$351,853; and Ms. Reiff's to \$320,819. Such increases were effective as of March 9, 2013.

In an effort to reduce Company expenses during the 2013 U.S. federal government shutdown (from October 1 to October 16, 2013), effective October 5, 2013, the Company's named executive officers agreed to reduce their annual base salaries by twenty percent (20%) which was to remain in effect until the Company had clarity regarding the impact of the government shutdown on federal markets and its corresponding impact on the Company (given that

approximately fifty-eight percent (58%) of the Company's revenue is derived from U.S. federal government contracts). Upon the conclusion of the shutdown, on October 19, 2013, after assessing its impact on the Company as well as on the federal government contract markets, the Company reinstated the annual base salaries of the named executive officers. The salary reductions were treated as a temporary pay cut, and the lost wages from the period between October 5, 2013 and October 19, 2013 were not repaid.

2014 Base Compensation

Taking into account management's assessment that the base compensation paid to our named executives for 2013 is generally consistent with the 2014 Peer Group, and consistent with management's recommendation and the Committee's discussions with AonHewitt, the Committee decided to increase the base compensation levels of the Company's executive officers by 3%, except for Isabel Reiff, who received a 7.7% increase to better align her base compensation with her role at the Company resulting from a recent organizational change which increased her scope of responsibilities. Therefore, the base compensation for Mr. Kesavan was increased to \$816,358; Mr. Wasson's to \$601,411; Mr. Morgan's to \$472,805; Ms. Glover's to \$362,419; and Ms. Reiff's to \$345,530. Such increases were effective as of March 8, 2014.

Incentive Compensation

Both our cash bonuses and equity awards are made pursuant to our Omnibus Plan. The Omnibus Plan is designed to: (i) optimize the profitability and growth of the Company through incentives consistent with the Company's goals; (ii) link and align the personal interests of participants with an incentive for excellence in individual performance; and (iii) promote teamwork.

Tax Implications. Section 162(m) of the Code generally disallows a tax deduction to publicly held companies for compensation in excess of \$1.0 million in any taxable year paid to the chief executive officer or the three next most highly compensated executive officers, other than the chief financial officer. The Company's Omnibus Plan provides for ongoing compliance with Section 162(m) of the Code. Awards relating to targeted cash bonus opportunities (including equity awards that may be made in lieu of such cash bonuses) payable under the annual incentive program pursuant to the Omnibus Plan are intended to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code.

Annual Incentive Program for 2013. As discussed above, each executive who receives an award under the incentive program for 2013 will receive an award of which 80% is in the form of a “performance-based” bonus opportunity tied to financial goals and 20% of which is in the form of a “discretionary” bonus opportunity based on specific business challenges facing the executives during each fiscal year. The discretionary bonus will be contingent on achievement of non-financial goals identified for each executive, but will not be contingent on the achievement of, or failure to achieve, any of the financial goals established for such executive with respect to the performance-based bonus discussed above. The Committee set each of the goals for the executives at levels that it believes are attainable, but still require consistent performance at a high level by each executive.

With respect to the targeted level of incentive compensation, the Committee decided to define “target” performance as being overall Company performance between 85% and 115% of target. Based on the same factors, the Committee concluded that target cash incentive awards as a percentage of base compensation would be as follows: Mr. Kesavan, 100%; Mr. Wasson, 80%; Mr. Morgan, 60%; Ms. Glover, 50%; and Ms. Reiff, 70%.

The performance factors to be taken into account in defining each named executive officer’s eligibility for an incentive award remained largely consistent with 2012, with adjustments made to the contract backlog items to more accurately reflect the Company’s current balance between U.S. federal and commercial contracts. For Messrs. Kesavan, Wasson and Morgan, the performance-based bonus was based on the following factors (percentage references are to percentages of aggregate bonus opportunities): gross revenue (35%), earnings per share (30%), U.S. federal contract backlog growth (7.5%) and commercial contract backlog growth (7.5%); and the discretionary bonus will continue to be based on contributions to the Company’s strategic goals, acquisitions, collaboration, and people development (20%). The performance goals for Ms. Glover’s performance-based bonus was based on the following factors: gross revenue (15%), earnings per share (25%), group gross revenue (15%), group operating margin (15%), group U.S. federal contract backlog growth (5%) and group commercial contract backlog growth (5%); with her discretionary bonus (20%) based on contributions to the Company’s strategic goals, collaboration, people development and successful integration of acquisitions. Ms. Reiff’s performance-based bonus was based on the following factors: gross revenue (25%), earnings per share (10%), U.S. federal contract backlog growth (11.25%), commercial contract backlog growth (11.25%) and total pipeline growth (22.5%); with her discretionary bonus (20%) based on contributions to the Company’s strategic goals, collaboration and people development. The underlying financial targets that make up these performance factors are meant to be motivational to our named executive officers. For this reason, the target amounts used for calculating incentive awards may be higher than our publicly disclosed financial targets.

Following the conclusion of 2013, the Committee reviewed the Company’s performance against the previously-identified 2013 performance metrics, including gross revenue, earnings per share, U.S. federal contract backlog growth and commercial contract backlog growth. These metrics were reviewed in the context of both the Company’s core operations and overall performance. The “core” Company performance as a percentage of target in the applicable categories ranged from 86% to 117%, with all “core” performance percentages exceeding the 85% threshold. Thus, the Company’s aggregate performance was in the range of “on-plan” performance as defined by the 2013 incentive program. Based on these factors, and upon the recommendation of management, the Committee decided that the range of payouts for the named executive officer’s discretionary portion of compensation would be allocated in a range of 5% to 18% of the targeted 20%. Further, while the Company fared better than many of its federal contracting competitors during 2013, the impact of the government shutdown in October 2013 reduced the available bonus pool allocation the

Company had available to give its staff. To help mitigate a significant decrease in available bonus awards, at the recommendation of management, the Committee applied a “government shutdown factor” of 85% to the named executive officers’ bonus amounts, which further reduced the bonus amounts to be paid to the named executive officers such that a larger bonus pool would be available for the remaining staff.

Overall, the Committee concluded that the 2013 aggregate Company annual short-term incentive compensation pool should be funded at \$11 million and be distributed entirely in cash. With respect to individual named executives, the Committee’s determinations took into account each person’s relevant performance factors; the Committee also took into account the Company’s performance in 2013 as evidenced by the aggregate performance-based targets meeting the threshold. The Committee then accounted for the 85% “government shutdown factor” at management’s recommendation, which further reduced the bonus amounts. Taking into account the total targeted compensation for 2013 for the named executive officers, the Committee elected to fund the cash portion of each executive’s targeted compensation at 80% of the bonus target for Mr. Kesavan, Wasson and Morgan (it was 94% prior to the government shutdown factor application); 70% for Ms. Glover (it was 83% prior to the government shutdown factor application); and 86% for Ms. Reiff (it was 102% prior to the government shutdown factor application). This funding level equated to a cash bonus of \$634,264 for Mr. Kesavan, \$371,822 for Mr. Wasson, \$219,231 for Mr. Morgan, \$123,484 for Ms. Glover, and \$194,162 for Ms. Reiff.

Annual Incentive Program for 2014. The 2014 incentive program largely mirrors the program used in 2013. In particular, as discussed above, each executive who receives an award under the incentive program for 2014 will receive an award of which 80% is in the form of a “performance-based” bonus opportunity tied to financial goals and 20% of which is in the form of a discretionary bonus opportunity based on specific business challenges facing the executives during each fiscal year. The discretionary bonus will be contingent on achievement of non-financial goals identified for each executive, but will not be contingent on the achievement of, or failure to achieve, any of the financial goals identified for such executive with respect to the performance-based bonus discussed above. The Committee set each of the goals for the executives at levels that it believes are attainable, but still require consistent performance at a high level by each executive.

With respect to the targeted level of incentive compensation, the Committee decided to define “target” performance as being overall Company performance between 85% and 115% of target, consistent with 2013. Based on the same factors, the Committee concluded that target cash incentive awards as a percentage of base compensation would be as follows: Mr. Kesavan, 100%; Mr. Wasson, 80%; Mr. Morgan, 70%; Ms. Glover 50%; and Ms. Reiff, 60%. Mr. Morgan’s target cash incentive award as a percentage of base compensation adjusted in 2014 from 60% to 70%, to better align his incentive award with market. Ms. Reiff’s target cash incentive award as a percentage of base compensation adjusted in 2014 from 70% to 60%, which better aligns her incentive award with market and her new position responsibilities. The other named executive officers’ target cash incentive awards as a percentage of base compensation remain the same as the prior year.

The performance factors to be taken into account in defining each named executive officer’s eligibility for an incentive award remain fairly consistent with 2013, with certain adjustments. For Messrs. Kesavan, Wasson and Morgan, the performance-based bonus will be based on the following factors (percentage references are to percentages of aggregate bonus opportunities): gross revenue (35%), earnings per share (35%) and total contract backlog (10%); and the discretionary bonus will continue to be based on contributions to the Company’s strategic goals, known acquisitions, collaboration and people development (20%). The performance goals for Ms. Glover’s performance-based bonus will be based on the following factors: gross revenue (15%); earnings per share (25%); group gross revenue (15%); group operating margin (15%); group contract backlog (10%); with her discretionary bonus (20%) based on contributions to the Company’s strategic goals, known acquisitions, collaboration and people development. Ms. Reiff’s performance-based bonus will be based on the following factors: gross revenue (25%); earnings per share (10%); total contract backlog (22.5%); and total pipeline (22.5%); with her discretionary bonus (20%) based on contributions to the Company’s strategic goals, known acquisitions, collaboration and people development. The underlying financial targets that make up these performance factors are meant to be motivational to our named executive officers. For this reason, the target amounts used for calculating incentive awards may be higher than our publicly disclosed financial targets.

Equity Awards. Apart from utilizing equity grants to pay all or a portion of certain 2013 annual incentive awards referred to above, the equity component of the Company’s compensation program has historically consisted of grants of stock options and/or RSUs. Such awards are designed to:

- enhance the link between the creation of stockholder value and long-term executive incentive compensation;
- encourage participants to focus on long-term Company performance;
- provide an opportunity for increased equity ownership by executives;
- provide a retention tool for key talent; and
- maintain competitive levels of total compensation.

Equity awards vary among participants based on their positions within the Company, their individual contributions, and the value they have added to the organization. In determining awards, the Committee considers current value, expected value at grant, and the ownership percentage associated with the award to draw a comparison among the recipients and make market comparisons to similarly situated executives in peer group companies. In establishing award levels, the Committee considers existing equity ownership levels of the participants.

The Company's Executive Officer Stock Ownership Policy recommends that the Company's chief executive officer own Company common stock with a value equal to or greater than three (3) times the value of his base salary, and the other named executive officers of the Company own Company common stock with a value equal to or greater than the value of his/her base salary. Such recommendations would ideally be achieved by the later of (i) a four (4)-year period after becoming a "named executive officer" and/or chief executive officer, as applicable, or (ii) December 31, 2014. As of April 7, 2014, four of our named executive officers either met these recommended stock ownership guidelines or are expected to meet these guidelines within the specified time period. Our primary focus is to retain executives in light of prevailing competitive conditions and to motivate executives in ways that support stockholder value.

The Committee makes annual awards at a pre-determined quarterly meeting of the Committee. In most cases, newly hired named executives whose compensation offer included an equity award are granted such awards during the first open trading window following their hire date.

2013 Equity Awards. During 2013, Mr. Kesavan's target equity grant was 175% of his base compensation, Mr. Wasson's was 125% of his base compensation, and for each of Mr. Morgan, Ms. Glover and Ms. Reiff, 60% of base 2013 compensation.

Based on these targets, the Committee approved the following equity awards to our named executives in 2013, such grants taking the form of 50% RSUs vesting over a period of four (4) years, and 50% nonqualified stock options vesting over a period of three (3) years:

Name	Equity Incentive Awards Stock Options		Restricted Stock Units	
	Value of Stock Options (\$)	Shares Underlying Stock Options	Value of Restricted Stock Units (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Shares Underlying Restricted Stock Units (#)
Sudhakar Kesavan , Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	\$693,492	74,012	\$693,482	25,656
John Wasson , President and Chief Operating Officer	364,924	38,946	364,905	13,500
James C. Morgan , Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	137,702	14,696	137,691	5,094
Ellen Glover , Executive Vice President	105,553	11,265	105,552	3,905
Isabel S. Reiff , Executive Vice President	96,239	10,271	96,227	3,560

(1) Calculations are based on the closing stock price of \$27.03 on the date of grant, March 18, 2013.

With respect to these grants, the dollar amount recognized for financial statement purposes for 2013, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, is reflected as 2013 compensation in the Summary Compensation Table below.

The senior leadership team reporting to the chief executive officer and the president and chief operating officer received their 2013 incentive awards in the form of 50% nonqualified stock options, 25% cash-settled RSUs and 25% stock-settled RSUs.

2014 Equity Awards. The target equity grants remained the same from 2013 to 2014, with the exception of Mr. Morgan's target equity grant which increased from 60% to 80% to more closely align his compensation with market. Mr. Kesavan's target equity grant remained at 175% of his 2014 base compensation; Mr. Wasson's remained at 125% of his 2014 base compensation; and each of Ms. Glover's and Ms. Reiff's target equity grants remained at 60% of their 2014 base compensation.

As a result, the Committee approved the following equity awards for our 2014 named executives, such grants taking the form of 50% RSUs vesting over a period of four (4) years, and 50% nonqualified stock options vesting over a period of three (3) years.

Name	Equity Incentive Awards Stock Options		Restricted Stock Units	
	Value of Stock Options	Shares Underlying Stock Options	Value of Restricted Stock Units (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Shares Underlying Restricted Stock Units (#)
Sudhakar Kesavan , Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	\$714,314	54,989	\$714,314	17,559
John Wasson , President and Chief Operating Officer	375,882	28,936	375,882	9,239
James C. Morgan , Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	189,122	14,559	189,122	4,649
Ellen Glover , Executive Vice President	108,726	8,369	108,726	2,672
Isabel S. Reiff , Executive Vice President	103,659	7,979	103,659	2,548

(1) Calculations are based on the closing stock price of \$40.68 on the date of grant, March 17, 2014.

The senior leadership team reporting to the chief executive officer and the president and chief operating officer received their 2014 incentive awards in the form of 50% nonqualified stock options, 25% cash-settled RSUs and 25% stock-settled RSUs.

Retirement and Other Benefits

Savings Plan. Our named executives are eligible to participate in our tax-qualified defined contribution profit-sharing plan, which has a Section 401(k) feature. Under the terms of this plan, eligible employees may elect to contribute up to 70% of their eligible compensation as salary deferral contributions to the plan, subject to statutory limits. We make matching contributions each pay period equal to 100% of an employee's 401(k) contributions up to the first 3% of the employee's compensation, and we also make matching contributions equal to 50% of the employee's 401(k) contributions up to the next 2% of the employee's compensation. We do not make matching contributions for employee 401(k) contributions in excess of 5% of the employee's compensation. Our contributions to this plan for our named executives are included under the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table below.

Perquisites. The Company does not provide any material perquisites or personal benefits to its named executives.

Severance Benefits. As of December 31, 2013, we were a party to severance protection agreements with Messrs. Kesavan and Wasson. We also have an employment agreement with Mr. Kesavan and severance letter agreements with each of Mr. Wasson, Mr. Morgan, Ms. Glover and Ms. Reiff that provide severance benefits both in the event of a termination in conjunction with a change of control and in certain other situations. We believe these agreements, which provide severance in certain situations, serve to promote stability and continuity among our named executives. The terms of these agreements and information regarding applicable payments under such agreements are provided under “*Payments Pursuant to Employment Agreement*,” “*Payments Pursuant to Severance Letter Agreements*,” and “*Payments in the Event of a Change in Control*” below.

Compensation Practices and Risk

We do not believe the Company’s compensation policies and practices for its employees are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company in connection with risk management practices and risk-taking incentives. Our business is by no means free from risk, but the operations of the Company’s business units generating most of our revenues do not involve significantly different levels of execution or legal risk. In addition, the Company has a diverse revenue base. The Company’s revenues are derived from a variety of contract types, under which revenue is determined on a “time-and-materials,” “cost-based” or “fixed-price” basis. Further, the Company has increased its commercial and non-U.S. government revenue as a percent of total revenue, primarily attributable to its acquisition activity over the last few years. Although 58% of our revenue is still derived from the U.S. federal government, our revenue base continues to diversify. Our operations are also subject to both routine and non-routine federal and state government audits and reviews. These audits and reviews lessen the likelihood of risk-taking behavior by our employees. The performance factors that serve as the basis for the incentive compensation of the Company’s executive officers, described above, are adjusted each year to account for changes in our business and related risks. Those performance factors have a healthy diversity in the context of risk management. The incentive compensation of our chief executive officer, president and chief operating officer and chief financial officer for 2013 was based in part (35%) on revenue and was balanced by the focus on earnings per share (30%) and U.S. federal contract backlog (7.5%) and commercial contract backlog (7.5%). For 2014, we have kept the incentive compensation percentage the same for gross revenue, while increasing earnings per share (35%). Moreover, given the fact that contract backlog is not used for commercially-oriented services firms, we have included only public sector businesses in total contract backlog (10%). We believe that our emphasis on continuing to have a significant component of compensation in the form of equity aligns management with the interests of stockholders in terms of risk management.

Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes the actual compensation earned by persons who were our named executives during 2013.

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$)⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$)⁽²⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(i)	(j)
Sudhakar Kesavan Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2013	\$782,877	\$693,482	\$693,492	\$ 634,264	\$ 19,173	\$2,823,288
	2012	759,235	679,862	679,878	233,102	19,173	2,371,250
	2011	682,699	559,990	560,053	700,003	21,973	2,524,718
John Wasson President and Chief Operating Officer	2013	\$576,741	\$364,905	\$364,924	\$ 371,822	\$ 10,828	\$1,689,220
	2012	564,938	357,752	357,751	137,380	10,828	1,428,649
	2011	525,010	269,992	270,029	432,008	10,628	1,507,667
James C. Morgan Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	\$453,406	\$137,691	\$137,702	\$ 219,231	\$ 10,540	\$958,570
	2012	190,388	124,978	125,061	270,000	100,228	810,655
Ellen Glover Executive Vice President	2013	\$347,553	\$105,552	\$105,553	\$ 123,484	\$ 11,548	\$693,690
	2012	341,885	103,461	103,479	51,742	11,548	612,115
	2011	329,445	99,489	99,512	165,838	11,348	705,632
Isabel S. Reiff Executive Vice President	2013	\$316,898	\$96,227	\$96,239	\$ 194,162	\$ 12,376	\$715,902
	2012	311,723	94,352	94,351	88,065	12,376	600,867
	2011	297,242	90,716	90,726	211,688	11,392	701,764

- (1) The annual base compensation adjustments for our executive officers are made in March of each evaluation year and effective immediately.

- (2) The amounts reported in the “Stock Awards” (column (e)) and “Option Awards” (column (f)) columns of the table above reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards and option awards. These values have been determined under the principles used to calculate the grant date fair value of equity awards for purposes of the Company’s financial statements. For a discussion of the assumptions and methodologies used to value the awards reported in the “Stock Awards” (column (e)) and “Option Awards” (column (f)) columns, please see the discussion of stock awards and stock option awards contained in Note K to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Additionally, the 2013 closing per share prices used to calculate the value of restricted stock awards, as well as the grant date fair value amounts of each individual 2013 stock award and option award are found below in the table “*Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013.*”

- (3) Amounts shown consist of payouts under the Annual Incentive Program for 2013 (amounts earned during the applicable fiscal year but paid after the end of the fiscal year). For additional information on these payouts, see “*Annual Incentive Program for 2013*” under “*Executive Compensation — Executive Compensation Components*” above.

- (4) Details of the amounts reported in the “All Other Compensation” column for 2013 are provided in the table below.

	Sudhakar Kesavan	John Wasson	James C. Morgan	Ellen Glover	Isabel S. Reiff
Imputed Income	\$ 3,103	\$ 828	\$ 540	\$ 1,548	\$ 2,376
Employer Contributions to 401(k) Plan	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Life Insurance Premiums	\$ 6,070	—	—	—	—

- (5) Mr. Morgan’s 2012 compensation is from July 16, 2012 (his date of hire) through December 31, 2012.

Employment Agreement

We entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Sudhakar Kesavan as of the effective date of our initial public offering on September 27, 2006, which we later restated on December 29, 2008, for the purpose of bringing it into compliance with Section 409A of the Code. The agreement provides that Mr. Kesavan will serve as our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors and he will receive annual increases to his base salary at least equal to the increase in the consumer price index. The Committee may further increase Mr. Kesavan’s base salary under that agreement based on the performance of the Company and other factors deemed relevant by the Committee. Mr. Kesavan will also be eligible to receive annual incentive bonuses equal to up to 100% of his base salary in the discretion of the Committee. We are also required to maintain a life insurance policy in an amount of at least \$1 million, payable to Mr. Kesavan’s immediate family. Mr. Kesavan may terminate this agreement by giving 45 days’ notice to the Company, and the Company may terminate this agreement either without cause or for cause upon

written notice. The benefits that Mr. Kesavan and other executive officers will receive upon termination of their employment or change in control are described under “*Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.*”

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013

The following table provides information concerning all award grants made to the Company's named executives during 2013.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) ⁽²⁾	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) ⁽³⁾	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
Sudhakar Kesavan , Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	1/1/2013	\$ 792,563	—	—	—	—
	3/18/2013	—	25,656	—	—	\$693,482
	3/18/2013	—	—	74,012	\$ 27.03	\$693,492
John Wasson , President and Chief Operating Officer	1/1/2013	\$ 467,101	—	—	—	—
	3/18/2013	—	13,500	—	—	\$364,905
	3/18/2013	—	—	38,946	\$ 27.03	\$364,924
James C. Morgan , Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1/1/2013	\$ 275,409	—	—	—	—
	3/18/2013	—	5,094	—	—	\$137,691
	3/18/2013	—	—	14,696	\$ 27.03	\$137,702
Ellen Glover , Executive Vice President	1/1/2013	\$ 175,926	—	—	—	—
	3/18/2013	—	3,905	—	—	\$105,552
	3/18/2013	—	—	11,265	\$ 27.03	\$105,553
Isabel S. Reiff , Executive Vice President	1/1/2013	\$ 224,573	—	—	—	—
	3/18/2013	—	3,560	—	—	\$96,227
	3/18/2013	—	—	10,271	\$ 27.03	\$96,239

Amounts represent the target cash bonus payouts for fiscal 2013 awards under the Annual Incentive Plan. The actual payout amounts under the Annual Incentive Program for 2013 are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above. There are no threshold or maximum payments for these awards.

(2)

These RSU awards vest in four (4) equal installments on each March 18, beginning March 18, 2014. The closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant was \$27.03.

(3) These options vest in three (3) equal installments on each March 18, beginning March 18, 2014. The closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant was \$27.03.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information concerning unexercised options and stock awards that have not vested for each named executive outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2013 FISCAL YEAR-END

Name	OPTION AWARDS				STOCK AWARDS	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Exercisable Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽¹⁾
Sudhakar Kesavan	16,666	—	\$ 18.31	3/23/2017	7,986 ⁽²⁾	\$ 277,194
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	46,339	—	24.84	3/31/2020	5,833 ⁽³⁾	202,463
	40,668	20,334	21.77	4/1/2021	5,032 ⁽⁴⁾	174,661
	22,915	45,829	25.66	3/19/2022	12,861 ⁽⁵⁾	446,405
	—	74,012	27.03	3/18/2023	19,871 ⁽⁶⁾	689,722
					25,656 ⁽⁷⁾	890,520
John Wasson	22,011	—	24.84	3/31/2020	4,367 ⁽²⁾	151,579
President and Chief Operating Officer	19,608	9,804	21.77	4/1/2021	3,889 ⁽³⁾	134,987
	12,058	24,115	25.66	3/19/2022	2,390 ⁽⁴⁾	82,957
	—	38,946	27.03	3/18/2023	6,200 ⁽⁵⁾	215,202
					10,456 ⁽⁶⁾	362,928
					13,500 ⁽⁷⁾	468,585
James C. Morgan	5,035	10,069	21.98	8/7/2022	4,264 ⁽⁸⁾	148,003
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	—	14,696	27.03	3/18/2023	5,094 ⁽⁷⁾	176,813
Ellen Glover	30,000	—	18.31	3/23/2017	1,164 ⁽²⁾	40,402
Executive Vice President	8,952	—	24.84	3/31/2020	749 ⁽³⁾	25,998
	7,226	3,613	21.77	4/1/2021	972 ⁽⁴⁾	33,738

Edgar Filing: ICF International, Inc. - Form DEF 14A

	3,488	6,975	25.66	3/19/2022	2,284	(5)	79,278
	—	11,265	27.03	3/18/2023	3,024	(6)	104,963
					3,905	(7)	135,543
Isabel S. Reiff	6,487	—	24.84	3/31/2020	764	(9)	26,518
Executive Vice President	6,588	3,294	21.77	4/1/2021	820	(3)	28,462
	3,180	6,360	25.66	3/19/2022	704	(4)	24,436
	—	10,271	27.03	3/18/2023	2,083	(5)	72,301
					2,757	(6)	95,695
					3,560	(7)	123,568

(1) Based upon the closing market price of our common stock on the Nasdaq on December 31, 2013, which was \$34.71.

(2) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in five (5) equal installments on each April 8, beginning April 8, 2010.

(3) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in four (4) equal installments on each March 12, beginning March 12, 2011.

(4) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in four (4) equal installments on each March 31, beginning March 31, 2011.

(5) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in four (4) equal installments on each April 1, beginning April 1, 2012.

(6) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in four (4) equal installments on each March 19, beginning March 19, 2013.

(7) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in four (4) equal installments on each March 18, beginning March 18, 2014.

(8) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in four (4) equal installments on each August 7, beginning on August 7, 2013.

(9) These unvested shares are time-based RSUs that vest in five (5) equal installments on each May 8, beginning May 8, 2010.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During 2013

The following table provides information concerning the vesting of stock awards and exercise of options for each named executive officer, on an aggregate basis, during 2013.

Name (a)	Option Awards	Value Realized on Exercising (\$) ⁽¹⁾ (c)	Stock Awards	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽²⁾ (e)
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercising (#) (b)		Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) (d)	
Sudhakar Kesavan , Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	33,334	\$ 556,342	31,907	\$ 850,502
John Wasson , President and Chief Operating Officer	45,000	716,250	17,233	459,052
James C. Morgan , Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	—	—	1,422	48,348
Ellen Glover , Executive Vice President	—	—	5,036	134,375
Isabel S. Reiff , Executive Vice President	—	—	4,250	114,048

(1) The value of options realized on exercising shown equals the value of the shares on the date of exercise minus option price.

(2) The value of RSUs realized on vesting shown equals the value of the shares underlying the RSUs on the date of vesting.

Deferred Compensation Plan

We maintain a nonqualified deferred compensation plan (the “*Deferred Compensation Plan*”) for a select group of key management and highly compensated employees who have been designated to be eligible to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan by the Board of Directors or the Committee.

The Deferred Compensation Plan became effective on May 1, 2008, and allows participants to defer up to 80% of base salary and/or up to 100% of bonus and commissions. All amounts deferred are 100% vested. In addition, the Company may credit a participant’s Deferred Compensation Plan account with Company contributions required under

an employment agreement or any other agreement and/or with discretionary Company contributions; however, the Company does not make such contributions currently. Company contributions are vested pursuant to the terms of any relevant agreement or, if none, on the anniversary of the date on which such Company contribution was credited to the participant's account balance, in accordance with the following schedule; provided, however, that the participant must be in the service of the Company as an employee on such anniversary to receive vesting credit:

Less than 1 year—0%
1 year but less than 2 years—33%
2 years but less than 3 years—67%
3 or more years—100%

Only two (2) of the named executives designated to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan elected to do so in 2013. No Company contributions were credited under the Deferred Compensation Plan for 2013. Deferred Compensation Plan accounts are deemed to be invested in one or more investment options selected by each participant from investment options offered under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Deferred Compensation Plan participants are entitled to receive distributions from their Deferred Compensation Plan accounts upon separation from service, death, disability, and/or upon a specified date. Subject to the provisions of Section 409A of the Code, Deferred Compensation Plan participants may elect to receive distributions from the Deferred Compensation Plan in single lump-sum payments or installment payments over a period of two (2) to five (5) years for deferrals to specified dates or two (2) to fifteen (15) years for deferrals until after death, disability or other separation from service. If no such election is made, a participant shall be deemed to have elected a lump-sum form of payment.

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2013, regarding contributions and balances of named executive officers under the Deferred Compensation Plan:

	Executive Contributions in 2013 FY	Company Contributions for 2013 FY	Aggregate Earnings in 2013 FY	Aggregate Distributions in 2013 FY	Aggregate Balance at 12/31/13
Sudhakar Kesavan	\$ 156,576	—	\$ 16,965	—	\$ 173,541
John Wasson	120,856	—	196,946	—	904,214
James C. Morgan	—	—	—	—	—
Ellen Glover	—	—	—	—	—
Isabel S. Reiff	—	—	—	—	—

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

We maintain employment, severance, and change in control agreements with certain of our named executives who also participate in our long-term incentive plans. The following summaries describe and quantify the payments that each named executive would receive if his or her employment with us were terminated or if we had a change in control and such named executive's employment were terminated following the change in control. The summaries assume that the termination and/or change in control occurred on December 31, 2013, and that the relevant stock price is the closing market price for our common stock on Nasdaq on December 31, 2013, which was \$34.71 per share.

Payments Pursuant to Employment Agreement

We entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Kesavan on September 27, 2006, which was again amended and restated on December 29, 2008, for compliance with Section 409A of the Code, under which he serves as our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors. If we terminate Mr. Kesavan's employment for cause, we will only be obligated to him for his base salary and bonus accrued but not yet paid as of the termination date, continuance of his healthcare coverage as required by law, vesting of outstanding options and other equity awards through the date of termination with payment in compliance with Section 409A, and reimbursement for any outstanding reasonable business expenses incurred in the performance of his duties. As defined in the employment agreement, "cause" means the following: (i) material violation of our written policies; (ii) willful conduct materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company; (iii) being charged with certain criminal conduct; or (iv) abuse of drugs or alcohol in the workplace.

If we terminate Mr. Kesavan's employment without cause or Mr. Kesavan terminates his employment for "good reason," Mr. Kesavan will receive the following: (i) the amounts he would have received if terminated for cause; (ii) two times

his annual base salary (which will be paid in two tranches: (y) the first tranche will be paid monthly in approximately equal installments over the 24-month period following the termination date, with the aggregate amount not to exceed certain limitations set forth in Section 409A; and (z) the second tranche will be comprised of the difference of the total of two times his annual base salary minus the aggregate amount paid in the first tranche, and will be paid in a single lump sum payment on the first business day after six months from the termination date); (iii) accelerated vesting of all outstanding options and other equity awards; (iv) a pro rata share of his annual incentive compensation award for the fiscal year in which he was terminated payable in a lump sum on the later of the (a) date that is 90 days following the end of that fiscal year or (b) date that is six months after the date of such termination; and (v) continuation of Mr. Kesavan's family health and dental insurance policy in effect as of the date of termination for 24 months following termination. Also, while not specifically stated in his employment agreement, Mr. Kesavan is entitled to outplacement services for a period of 12 months, or his first acceptance of an employment offer, if shorter. Termination by the Company without cause includes: (i) any reason other than cause (as defined in the employment agreement and summarized above); (ii) death of Mr. Kesavan; or (iii) in the Company's sole discretion, if Mr. Kesavan becomes disabled (as defined in the employment agreement). Termination by Mr. Kesavan for good reason is any voluntary termination by Mr. Kesavan upon 45 days' written notice to the Company.

All amounts and benefits under the employment agreement shall be paid in a manner or form that complies with Section 409A or an exception thereunder.

Mr. Kesavan's employment agreement requires that he comply with certain covenants and requirements upon termination. Mr. Kesavan must maintain the confidentiality of all of our information and must not solicit present or prospective clients or employees for a period of two (2) years following termination.

The payments that would have been made to Mr. Kesavan, pursuant to Mr. Kesavan's employment agreement, if he had incurred a termination of his employment as of December 31, 2013, are as follows:

Name	Pro Rata Bonus Share	Severance	Welfare Benefits	Outplacement Services	Unvested and Accelerated Awards
S. Kesavan					
With Cause	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,927,252
Without Cause for any Reason	634,264	1,585,126	9,061	8,500	3,927,252
Death or Disability	634,264	1,585,126	9,061	8,500	3,927,252

Payments Pursuant to Severance Letter Agreements

We entered into a severance letter agreement with Mr. Wasson, which was amended and restated on December 12, 2008, for compliance with Section 409A of the Code and for extension of the term from December 20, 2008 to December 31, 2011, with automatic one-year extensions thereafter unless: (i) notice by either party is given no later than the prior October 1; or (ii) a change in control of the Company, as more fully described in "*Payments in the Event of a Change in Control.*" No such notice was given by either party in 2013 and no change in control occurred. Mr. Wasson is entitled to certain payments in the event of termination of employment by the Company other than for cause (as defined in the severance protection agreement discussed below) or a voluntary resignation after a material adverse change in job responsibilities, compensation, or work location. In the event of a termination of employment under such an agreement, Mr. Wasson is entitled to (i) continuation of his base salary for 12 months or, if greater, the period during which he is subject to non-compete obligations, and (ii) an amount equal to the average annual bonus paid to the executive over the three years prior to his termination. The base salary severance benefits will be paid on the 26 biweekly pay dates following separation from service pursuant to the Company's normal payroll practices or, if longer, on the biweekly pay dates during the time period the executive is subject to any non-competition restrictions with the Company. The bonus severance benefit will be paid within the 15-day period following the last payment of such biweekly base salary severance benefits. In addition, Mr. Wasson is entitled to accelerated vesting of all stock options, restricted stock, and other equity awards, and continuation of health and dental insurance for as long as salary continues to be paid under the agreement. Finally, while not specifically stated in his employment agreement, Mr. Wasson is entitled to outplacement services for a period of 12 months, or his first acceptance of an employment offer, if shorter. Payment of these severance benefits is subject to Mr. Wasson's compliance with certain covenants and requirements upon termination.

On February 21, 2012, we entered into severance letter agreements with Ms. Glover and Ms. Reiff. On July 16, 2012, we entered into a severance letter agreement with Mr. Morgan. Subject to certain restrictions and terms, each

severance letter agreement provides that, in the event of an involuntary termination of employment without cause (as defined in the Omnibus Plan), then Ms. Glover, Ms. Reiff and Mr. Morgan are each entitled to nine (9) months' severance based on such executive's: (i) then-current base salary; and (ii) the bonus payment in the prior 12-month period. Such severance will be paid in bi-weekly installments in accordance with the Company's scheduled pay dates. Mses. Glover and Reiff and Mr. Morgan are further entitled to continue their health coverage under COBRA and, for the duration of the severance payments, the Company will continue to pay the employer portion of the applicable premiums. Each of them is also eligible for six (6) months of executive career transition assistance. Such agreements also contain change in control provisions as discussed below under "*Payments in the Event of a Change in Control.*"

All amounts and benefits under these agreements shall be paid in a manner and form that complies with Section 409A or an exception thereunder.

The payments that would have been made to such named executives if termination of employment without cause or, in the case of Mr. Wasson, a voluntary resignation after a material adverse change in job responsibilities, compensation or work location, had occurred as of December 31, 2013, and all severance letter agreements had been in effect on such date, are presented in the following table.

Name	Salary Continuation	Bonus Payment	Welfare Benefits	Outplacement Services	Unvested Awards
J. Wasson	\$ 583,877	\$307,597	\$11,823	\$ 8,500	\$2,060,447
J. Morgan	344,261	202,504	8,868	4,600	—
E. Glover	263,890	38,807	6,937	4,600	—
I. Reiff	240,614	66,049	2,473	4,600	—

Payments in the Event of Death or Disability

If any named executive officer dies or becomes disabled while employed by us, any unvested options or RSUs held by that named executive will vest and become exercisable immediately.

Payments in the Event of a Change in Control

We have entered into severance protection agreements with Messrs. Kesavan and Wasson that provide for payments in the event of termination of employment resulting from a change in control. We amended and restated the severance protection agreement of: (i) Mr. Wasson on December 12, 2008; and (ii) Mr. Kesavan on December 29, 2008, for the purpose of bringing each such severance protection agreement into compliance with Section 409A of the Code. In the event payments are made pursuant to these agreements, those payments supersede any other agreement between us and the named executive.

Under the severance protection agreements, Messrs. Kesavan and Wasson will receive certain payments and benefits if they are terminated without cause or resign for good reason within 24 months following a change in control. A change in control is defined as follows: (i) the acquisition by an individual, group, or entity of beneficial ownership of 35% or more of our outstanding voting shares, subject to certain exceptions; (ii) a change in our board of directors under certain circumstances such as an actual or threatened (x) election contest, (y) solicitation of proxies, or (z) tender offer; (iii) the consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation, or sale of all or substantially all of our assets, subject to certain limitations and conditions set forth in the agreement; and/or (iv) approval by our stockholders of a liquidation or dissolution of the Company.

Termination without cause means any termination other than one for: (i) material violation of our material written policies; (ii) willful conduct materially and demonstrably injurious to the Company; (iii) being charged with certain criminal conduct; and/or (iv) drug or alcohol abuse in the workplace. Termination for “good reason” includes: (i) material adverse change in the executive’s job duties or responsibilities, compensation, or location of employment; (ii) bankruptcy of the Company; (iii) material breach by the Company of the severance protection agreement; and/or (iv) failure to require any successor of the Company to assume the severance protection agreement.

In the event of a change of control and a termination of the employment of Messrs. Kesavan and Wasson without cause or their resignation for good reason within 24 months of the change in control, these named executives will be entitled to the following payments:

base salary earned through date of termination and pro rata bonus for the year of termination;
lump-sum payment equal to three times the named executive's average annual taxable W-2 compensation during the three years prior to the calendar year in which the termination occurs;
continued coverage for 36 months after termination for the named executive and the named executive's dependents and beneficiaries under life and medical insurance programs provided by the Company to similarly situated executives during the continuation period of such coverage (any such coverage and benefits thereunder shall comply with Section 409A);
outplacement services for a period of 12 months, or the named executive's first acceptance of an employment offer, if shorter; and
100% vesting of all equity awards granted under the 2006 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan or Omnibus Plan with payment or distribution of any such awards subject to Section 409A being made in a manner that complies with Section 409A.

Further, adjustment shall be made to minimize the amount of compensation payable in the event of a change in control that is subject to Section 280G of the Code.

Messrs. Kesavan's and Wasson's agreements provide for an expiration date of December 31, 2011; *however*, on December 31, 2011, and each anniversary thereafter, the term is extended for one additional year unless, not later than October 1 of such year, the Company or executive shall give notice not to extend the term. No such notice was given by the Company or Messrs. Kesavan and Wasson by October 1, 2013, so the agreements were automatically renewed until December 31, 2014.

All amounts and benefits under these agreements shall be paid in a manner and form that complies with Section 409A or an exception thereunder. The payments that would have been made to Messrs. Kesavan and Wasson, if termination of employment related to a change in control had occurred as of December 31, 2013, are as follows:

Name	Pro Rata Salary and Bonus	Lump-Sum Payment	Welfare Benefits	Outplacement Services	Unvested Awards
S. Kesavan	\$1,417,141	\$4,827,423	\$13,592	\$ 8,500	\$3,927,252
J. Wasson	948,563	2,647,641	35,470	8,500	2,060,447

As mentioned above, we entered into severance letter agreements with Ms. Glover and Ms. Reiff on February 21, 2012 and with Mr. Morgan on July 16, 2012. Such agreements also contain change of control provisions, which are triggered if, within twelve (12) months of a change of control (as defined in the Omnibus Plan) there is: (i) a material reduction of the nature and scope of any such executive's authorities, powers, functions or duties, (ii) a material reduction in their compensation or (iii) a relocation of their primary work location by more than 50 miles from their work location immediately prior to such change of control. In the event of such a change of control, each of Ms. Glover, Ms. Reiff and Mr. Morgan will be paid (a) a lump sum based on a pro-rated share of their then-current year's bonus target, and (b) twelve (12) months of severance based on their then-current base salary, plus the bonus payment each received in the prior twelve (12) months. The change of control payment will be paid in bi-weekly installments in accordance with the Company's scheduled pay dates.

In addition, any RSUs or non-qualified stock options held by each of Ms. Glover, Ms. Reiff and Mr. Morgan will immediately vest upon a change of control. The fair market value (as defined in the Omnibus Plan) of any RSUs will be paid to Ms. Glover, Ms. Reiff and Mr. Morgan in a lump sum within three days of the change of control and non-qualified stock options will either be cancelled and replaced with a replacement award (as defined in the Omnibus Plan) or cancelled in exchange for a lump sum payment of the fair market value of such non-qualified stock options.

Ms. Glover, Ms. Reiff and Mr. Morgan are further entitled to continue their health coverage under COBRA and, for the duration of the severance payments, the Company will continue to pay the employer portion of the applicable premiums. Each of them is also eligible for six (6) months of executive career transition assistance.

The payments that would have been made to each of Ms. Glover, Ms. Reiff and Mr. Morgan, if termination of employment related to a change in control had occurred as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Name	Pro Rata Bonus	Severance Payment	Welfare Benefits	Outplacement	Unvested Awards
-------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------	------------------------

	Target		Services		
J. Morgan	\$275,409	\$ 729,014	\$ 11,823	\$ 4,600	\$565,860
E. Glover	175,926	403,595	9,250	4,600	616,313
I. Reiff	224,573	408,884	3,297	4,600	550,044

During the time a named executive receives payment under an agreement, the executive agrees not to solicit employees from our successor and to maintain the confidentiality of our and our successor's information. The named executive also must provide a general release of all claims and causes of action against us and our successors arising from, or relating to, the named executive's employment with us.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that such Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and was appropriate for incorporation by reference into the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC.

Compensation Committee

/s/ Cheryl W. Grisé
Cheryl W. Grisé

Compensation Committee Chairperson

/s/ Eileen O'Shea Auen
Eileen O'Shea Auen

/s/ Peter M. Schulte
Peter M. Schulte

Audit Committee RePORT

The Company's management is responsible for the Company's internal controls and financial reporting process. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements and issuing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("**US GAAP**") and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee oversees the Company's internal controls and financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with our management and with our independent registered public accounting firm, Grant Thornton LLP ("**Grant Thornton**"), the consolidated financial statements of ICF International and its subsidiaries as set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The Audit Committee has: (a) discussed with Grant Thornton those matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees), as issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; (b) received from Grant Thornton the written communications required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3600T; and (c) discussed with Grant Thornton its independence from us and our management. Grant Thornton has confirmed to us that it is in compliance with all rules, standards, and policies of the Independence Standards Board and the SEC governing auditor independence.

In performing all of these functions, the Audit Committee acts only in an oversight capacity. In its oversight role, the Audit Committee relies on the work and assurances of the Company's management, which has the primary responsibility for financial statements and reports, and of the independent registered public accounting firm that, in its report, expresses an opinion on the conformity of the Company's financial statements to US GAAP. The Audit Committee's oversight does not provide it with an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or policies, or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with US GAAP and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the Audit Committee's considerations and discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm do not assure that the Company's financial statements are presented in accordance with US GAAP, that the audit of the Company's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States, or that the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is in fact "independent."

Based on these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee has also approved the selection of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

Audit Committee

/s/ Dr. Edward H. Bersoff
Dr. Edward H. Bersoff,
Audit Committee Chairperson

/s/ S. Lawrence Kocot
S. Lawrence Kocot

/s/ Peter M. Schulte
Peter M. Schulte

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's executive officers, directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Officers, directors, and greater than 10% stockholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely upon our review of copies of the reports we received and written representations provided to us from the individuals required to file such reports, we believe that each of our executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% stockholders has complied with applicable reporting requirements for transactions in our common stock during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Stockholders' Proposals For The 2015 Annual Meeting

Under applicable SEC rules, any stockholder who intends to present a proposal at the 2015 annual meeting and who wishes to have the proposal included in our proxy statement and form of proxy for that meeting must deliver the proposal to us at our executive offices no later than December 26, 2014, or, if next year's annual meeting is held on a date more than 30 calendar days from June 6, 2015, a stockholder proposal must be received a reasonable time before the Company begins to post, print, and mail its proxy materials for such annual meeting. All stockholder proposals must comply with all applicable rules and regulations adopted by the SEC.

The Company's Bylaws provide that, in order for a stockholder to make nominations for the election of directors or proposals for business to be brought before the annual meeting, a stockholder must deliver notice of such nominations and/or proposals to the Corporate Secretary no earlier than the close of business on the 120th day, and not later than the close of business on the 90th day, prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting (for our 2015 annual meeting, this would be February 6, 2015 and March 8, 2015, respectively). However, if the annual meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after such anniversary date, the notice must be received no earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the date of such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to the date of such annual meeting. Further, if the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after such anniversary date, and the first public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is less than 100 days prior to the annual meeting date, notice must be delivered no later than the close of business on the 10th day following the public announcement date. A copy of the Bylaws may be obtained from the Company by writing to ICF International, Inc., 9300 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia 22031, Attention: Mollie Roy, Corporate Secretary. In addition to the timing requirements set forth above, any stockholder nominations for the election of directors or proposals for business must comply with all other requirements set forth in the Company's Bylaws.

Solicitation By Board; Expenses Of Solicitation

We will pay all expenses in connection with the solicitation of the enclosed proxy. In addition to solicitation by mail, our directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies by telephone, electronically, in writing or in person, without receiving any extra compensation for such activities. We have retained The Proxy Advisory Group, LLC, a proxy soliciting firm, to provide proxy related advice and informational support, and may seek additional assistance with the solicitation of proxies from stockholders for a services fee, plus customary disbursements. The fees to The Proxy Advisory Group, LLC are not expected to exceed \$12,000 in the aggregate. We also will reimburse brokers and nominees who hold shares in their names for expenses they incur to furnish proxy materials to the beneficial owners of such shares.

Any stockholder who has not received a copy of the Annual Report may obtain a copy by writing to the Corporate Secretary of the Company. The Annual Report is not to be treated as part of the proxy solicitation material or as having been incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.

ICF INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Mollie Roy
Corporate Secretary

