

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP
Form 10-Q
May 09, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20552

FORM 10 - Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

Commission File Number 000-32561

Middlefield Banc Corp.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio 34 - 1585111
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation (IRS Employer Identification No.)
or organization)

15985 East High Street, Middlefield, Ohio 44062-9263
(Address of principal executive offices)

(440) 632-1666
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES [] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES [] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [] Small reporting company []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
YES [] NO []

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity as of the latest practicable date:

Class: Common Stock, without par value
Outstanding at May 9, 2013: 2,016,496

1

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

INDEX

| | Page Number |
|--|----------------|
| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited) | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 | 3 |
| Consolidated Statement of Income for the Three Months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 | 4 |
| Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 | 5 |
| Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Three Months ended March 31, 2013 | 6 |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Three Months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 | 7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 26 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 35 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 36 |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 37 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 37 |
| Item 2. Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds | 37 |
| Item 3. Default Upon Senior Securities | 37 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 37 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 37 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8 - K | 37 |
| SIGNATURES | 44 |
| Exhibit 31.1 | 45 |
| Exhibit 31.2 | 46 |
| Exhibit 32 | 47 |

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(Dollar amounts in thousands, except share data)
(Unaudited)

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$32,426 | \$33,568 |
| Federal funds sold | 13,204 | 11,778 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 45,630 | 45,346 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 190,687 | 194,472 |
| Loans | 407,054 | 408,433 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | 7,732 | 7,779 |
| Net loans | 399,322 | 400,654 |
| Premises and equipment | 8,694 | 8,670 |
| Goodwill | 4,559 | 4,559 |
| Core deposit intangible | 184 | 195 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 8,604 | 8,536 |
| Accrued interest and other assets | 9,294 | 7,856 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$666,974 | \$670,288 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$73,354 | \$75,912 |
| Interest-bearing demand | 68,060 | 63,915 |
| Money market | 80,051 | 81,349 |
| Savings | 181,872 | 175,406 |
| Time | 188,160 | 196,753 |
| Total deposits | 591,497 | 593,335 |
| Short-term borrowings | 5,240 | 6,538 |
| Other borrowings | 12,779 | 12,970 |
| Accrued interest and other liabilities | 1,608 | 2,008 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 611,124 | 614,851 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, no par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized, 2,205,814 and 2,181,763 shares issued | 34,697 | 34,295 |
| Retained earnings | 23,622 | 22,485 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4,265 | 5,391 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 189,530 shares | (6,734) | (6,734) |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | 55,850 | 55,437 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$666,974 | \$670,288 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| INTEREST INCOME | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$5,572 | \$5,537 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other institutions | 8 | 4 |
| Federal funds sold | 4 | 3 |
| Investment securities: | | |
| Taxable interest | 674 | 915 |
| Tax-exempt interest | 733 | 747 |
| Dividends on stock | 23 | 26 |
| Total interest income | 7,014 | 7,232 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | |
| Deposits | 1,297 | 1,497 |
| Short term borrowings | 52 | 59 |
| Other borrowings | 46 | 84 |
| Trust preferred debt | 34 | 46 |
| Total interest expense | 1,429 | 1,686 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 5,585 | 5,546 |
| Provision for loan losses | 313 | 600 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES | 5,272 | 4,946 |
| NONINTEREST INCOME | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 447 | 431 |
| Investment securities gains, net | 185 | - |
| Earnings on bank-owned life insurance | 68 | 68 |
| Gain on sale of loans | - | 85 |
| Other income | 168 | 210 |
| Total noninterest income | 868 | 794 |
| NONINTEREST EXPENSE | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,871 | 1,750 |
| Occupancy expense | 274 | 248 |
| Equipment expense | 189 | 170 |
| Data processing costs | 213 | 199 |
| Ohio state franchise tax | 154 | 129 |
| Federal deposit insurance expense | 154 | 243 |
| Professional fees | 276 | 214 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 8 | 18 |
| Advertising expense | 112 | 20 |

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Other real estate expense | 106 | 10 |
| Other expense | 644 | 781 |
| Total noninterest expense | 4,001 | 3,782 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Income before income taxes | 2,139 | 1,958 |
| Income taxes | 482 | 435 |
| NET INCOME | \$1,657 | \$1,523 |
| <hr/> | | |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE | | |
| Basic | \$0.83 | \$0.86 |
| Diluted | 0.82 | 0.86 |
| <hr/> | | |
| DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE | \$0.26 | \$0.26 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Dollar amounts in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$1,657 | \$1,523 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | |
| Net unrealized holding loss on available for sale securities | (1,521) | (60) |
| Tax effect | 517 | 20 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income | (185) | - |
| Tax effect | 63 | - |
| Total other comprehensive loss | (1,126) | (40) |
| Comprehensive income | \$531 | \$1,483 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(Dollar amounts in thousands, except shares and dividend per share amount)
(Unaudited)

| | Common Stock | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | \$ 34,295 | \$ 22,485 | \$ 5,391 | \$ (6,734) | \$ 55,437 |
| Net income | | 1,657 | | | 1,657 |
| Comprehensive loss | | | (1,126) | | (1,126) |
| Common stock issuance (13,320 shares) | 213 | | | | 213 |
| Dividend reinvestment and purchase plan (10,731 shares) | 300 | | | | 300 |
| Stock options exercised | (111) | | | | (111) |
| Cash dividends (\$0.26 per share) | | (520) | | | (520) |
| Balance, March 31, 2013 | \$ 34,697 | \$ 23,622 | \$ 4,265 | \$ (6,734) | \$ 55,850 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(Dollar amounts in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$1,657 | \$1,523 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 313 | 600 |
| Investment securities gains, net | 185 | - |
| Depreciation and amortization | 222 | 221 |
| Amortization of premium and discount on investment securities | 183 | 224 |
| Accretion of deferred loan fees, net | (33) | (55) |
| Origination of loans held for sale | - | (1,084) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | - | 1,169 |
| Gain on sale of loans | - | (85) |
| Earnings on bank-owned life insurance | (68) | (68) |
| Deferred income taxes | 58 | (28) |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 8 | 18 |
| Increase in accrued interest receivable | (529) | (442) |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable | (24) | (104) |
| Decrease in prepaid federal deposit insurance | 9 | 211 |
| Other, net | (507) | (523) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,474 | 1,577 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | |
| Proceeds from repayments and maturities | 6,773 | 18,533 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities | 7,438 | - |
| Purchases | (12,500) | (8,611) |
| Increase (decrease) in loans, net | 598 | (2,643) |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned | 137 | 210 |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (191) | (253) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 2,255 | 7,236 |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits | (1,838) | 2,980 |
| Decrease in short-term borrowings, net | (1,298) | (27) |
| Repayment of other borrowings | (191) | (270) |
| Common stock issuance | 213 | - |
| Stock options exercised | (111) | - |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment & purchase plan | 300 | 180 |
| Cash dividends | (520) | (457) |
| Net cash (used for) provided by financing activities | (3,445) | 2,406 |

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 284 | 11,219 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 45,346 | 34,390 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$45,630 | \$45,609 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION | | |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | |
| Interest on deposits and borrowings | \$1,453 | \$1,790 |
| Income taxes | 555 | 200 |
| Non-cash investing transactions: | | |
| Transfers from loans to other real estate owned | \$454 | \$157 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements of Middlefield Banc Corp. ("Company") include its two bank subsidiaries The Middlefield Banking Company ("MB") and Emerald Bank ("EB") and a non-bank asset resolution subsidiary EMORECO, Inc. All significant inter-company items have been eliminated.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In management's opinion, the financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, that the Company considers necessary to fairly state the Company's financial position and the results of operations and cash flows. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the necessary informational disclosures and footnotes as required by U. S. generally accepted accounting principles. The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (File No. 000-32561). The results of the Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of the Company's operations for any other interim period or for a full fiscal year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The amendments in this Update affect all entities that have financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with either Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The requirements amend the disclosure requirements on offsetting in Section 210-20-50. This information will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments in the scope of this Update. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. This ASU did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The amendments clarify that the scope of Update 2011-11 applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. An entity is required to apply the amendments for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the required disclosures retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The effective date is the same as the effective date of Update 2011-11. This ASU did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The amendments in this Update require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. For public entities, the amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company has provided the necessary disclosures in Note 5.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04, Liabilities (Topic 405): Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation Is Fixed at the Reporting Date. The objective of the amendments in this Update is to provide guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this guidance is fixed at the reporting date, except for obligations addressed within existing guidance in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Examples of obligations within the scope of this Update include debt arrangements, other contractual obligations, and settled litigation and judicial rulings. U.S. GAAP does not include specific guidance on accounting for such obligations with joint and several liability, which has resulted in diversity in practice. Some entities record the entire amount under the joint and several liability arrangement on the basis of the concept of a liability and the guidance that must be met to extinguish a liability. Other entities record less than the total amount of the obligation, such as an amount allocated, an amount corresponding to the proceeds received, or the portion of the amount the entity agreed to pay among its co-obligors, on the basis of the guidance for contingent liabilities. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2014, and interim periods and annual periods thereafter. This ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 2 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has no unvested stock options outstanding or unrecognized stock-based compensation costs outstanding as of March 31, 2013. The company had 9,000 unvested stock options outstanding but no unrecognized stock-based compensation costs outstanding as of March 31, 2012. Those options vested on May 9, 2012.

Stock option activity during the three months ended March 31 as follows:

| | 2013 | Weighted- average Exercise Price | 2012 | Weighted- average Exercise Price |
|------------------------|--------|---|--------|---|
| Outstanding, January 1 | 79,693 | \$ 27.25 | 88,774 | \$ 26.81 |
| Exercised | 18,561 | 24.08 | - | - |
| Outstanding, March 31 | 61,132 | \$ 28.21 | 88,774 | \$ 26.81 |
| Exercisable, March 31 | 61,132 | \$ 28.21 | 88,774 | \$ 26.81 |

NOTE 3 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company provides dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the average shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share adds the dilutive effects of options, warrants, and convertible securities to average shares outstanding.

The following table sets forth the composition of the weighted-average common shares (denominator) used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computation.

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|--|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 2,189,175 | 1,953,512 |
| Average treasury stock shares | (189,530) | (189,530) |
| Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate basic earnings per share | 1,999,645 | 1,763,982 |
| Additional common stock equivalents (stock options) used to calculate diluted earnings per share | 10,647 | 603 |
| Weighted average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate diluted earnings per share | 2,010,292 | 1,764,585 |

Options to purchase 61,132 shares of common stock, at prices ranging from \$17.55 to \$40.24, were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2013. Of those options, 59,617 were considered dilutive based on the market price exceeding the strike price. The remaining 1,515 options had no dilutive effect on earnings per share.

Options to purchase 88,774 shares of common stock, at prices ranging from \$17.55 to \$40.24, were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2012. Of those options, 9,000 were considered dilutive based on the market price exceeding the strike price. The remaining 79,774 options had no dilutive effect on earnings per share.

NOTE 4 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for an asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP established a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the use of inputs used in valuation methodologies into the following three levels:

Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level II: Pricing inputs are other than the quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities includes items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently and items that are fair-valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level III: Assets and liabilities that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These items do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

The following tables present the assets measured on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at their fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

March 31, 2013

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|---------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Assets measured on a recurring basis: | | | | |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ - | \$ 25,752 | \$ - | \$ 25,752 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | - | 94,462 | - | 94,462 |
| Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities | - | 65,026 | - | 65,026 |
| Private-label mortgage-backed securities | - | 4,697 | - | 4,697 |
| Total debt securities | - | 189,937 | - | 189,937 |
| Equity securities in financial institutions | 5 | 745 | - | 750 |
| Total | \$ 5 | \$ 190,682 | \$ - | \$ 190,687 |

December 31, 2012

| | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|---------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Assets measured on a recurring basis: | | | | |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ - | \$ 24,960 | \$ - | \$ 24,960 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | - | 92,596 | - | 92,596 |
| Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities | - | 71,102 | - | 71,102 |
| Private-label mortgage-backed securities | - | 5,064 | - | 5,064 |
| Total debt securities | - | 193,722 | - | 193,722 |
| Equity securities in financial institutions | 5 | 745 | - | 750 |
| Total | \$ 5 | \$ 194,467 | \$ - | \$ 194,472 |

The Company obtains fair values from an independent pricing service which represent either quoted market prices for the identical securities (Level 1 inputs) or fair values determined by pricing models using a market approach that considers observable market data, such as interest rate volatilities, LIBOR yield curve, credit spreads and prices from market makers and live trading systems (Level 2).

Financial instruments are considered Level III when their values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. In addition to these unobservable inputs, the valuation models for Level III financial instruments typically also rely on a number of inputs that are readily observable either directly or indirectly. Level III financial instruments also include those for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. The Company has no securities considered to be Level III as of March 31, 2013.

The Company uses prices compiled by third party vendors due to the recent stabilization in the markets along with improvements in third party pricing methodology that have narrowed the variances between third party vendor prices and actual market prices.

The following tables present the assets measured on a nonrecurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at their fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy. Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are written down to fair value through the establishment of specific reserves. Techniques used to value the collateral that secure the impaired loan include: quoted market prices for identical assets classified as Level I inputs; observable inputs, employed by certified appraisers, for similar assets classified as Level II inputs. In cases where valuation techniques included inputs that are unobservable and are based on estimates and assumptions developed by management based on the best information available under each circumstance, the asset valuation is classified as Level III inputs.

The Company values other real estate owned at the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less expected selling costs. Such values are estimated primarily using appraisals and reflect a market value approach. Due to the significance of the Level 3 inputs, other real estate owned has been classified as Level III.

March 31, 2013

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Assets measured on a non-recurring basis: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 16,363 | \$ 16,363 |
| Other real estate owned | - | - | 2,155 | 2,155 |

December 31, 2012

| | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Assets measured on a non-recurring basis: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 17,600 | \$ 17,600 |
| Other real estate owned | - | - | 1,846 | 1,846 |

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and for which the Company uses Level III inputs to determine fair value:

Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements

| (unaudited, in thousands) | Estimate | | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | | |
| Impaired loans | \$ 16,363 | 17,600 | Appraisal of collateral (1) | Appraisal adjustments (2) Liquidation expenses (2) |
| Other real estate owned | \$ 2,155 | 1,846 | Appraisal of collateral (1), (3) | |

(1) Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally include various level 3 inputs which are not identifiable.

(2) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses. The range and weighted average of liquidation expenses and other appraisal adjustments are presented as a percent of the appraisal.

(3) Includes qualitative adjustments by management and estimated liquidation expenses.

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments is as follows:

| | Carrying Value | March 31, 2013 | | | Total Fair Value |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | Level I | Level II (in thousands) | Level III | |
| Financial assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$45,630 | \$45,630 | \$- | \$- | \$45,630 |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | 190,687 | 5 | 190,682 | - | 190,687 |
| Net loans | 399,322 | - | - | 402,219 | 402,219 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 8,604 | 8,604 | - | - | 8,604 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 1,627 | 1,627 | - | - | 1,627 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,692 | 2,692 | - | - | 2,692 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits | \$591,497 | \$403,337 | \$- | \$190,881 | \$594,218 |
| Short-term borrowings | 5,240 | 5,240 | - | - | 5,240 |
| Other borrowings | 12,779 | - | - | 13,092 | 13,092 |
| Accrued interest payable | 468 | 468 | - | - | 468 |

| | Carrying Value | December 31, 2012 | | | Total Fair Value |
|---|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | Level I | Level II (in thousands) | Level III | |
| Financial assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$45,346 | \$45,346 | \$- | \$- | \$45,346 |
| Investment securities available for sale | | | | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | 194,472 | 5 | 194,467 | - | 194,472 |
| Net loans | 400,654 | - | - | 390,206 | 390,206 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 8,536 | 8,536 | - | - | 8,536 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 1,887 | 1,887 | - | - | 1,887 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,163 | 2,163 | - | - | 2,163 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits | \$593,335 | \$396,582 | \$- | \$196,122 | \$592,704 |
| Short-term borrowings | 6,538 | 6,538 | - | - | 6,538 |
| Other borrowings | 12,970 | - | - | 13,337 | 13,337 |
| Accrued interest payable | 492 | 492 | - | - | 492 |

Financial instruments are defined as cash, evidence of ownership interest in an entity, or a contract which creates an obligation or right to receive or deliver cash or another financial instrument from/to a second entity on potentially favorable or unfavorable terms.

Fair value is defined as the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced liquidation sale. If a quoted market price is available for a financial instrument, the estimated fair value would be calculated based upon the market price per trading unit of the

instrument.

If no readily available market exists, the fair value estimates for financial instruments should be based upon management's judgment regarding current economic conditions, interest rate risk, expected cash flows, future estimated losses, and other factors as determined through various option pricing formulas or simulation modeling. Since many of these assumptions result from judgments made by management based upon estimates which are inherently uncertain, the resulting estimated fair values may not be indicative of the amount realizable in the sale of a particular financial instrument. In addition, changes in assumptions on which the estimated fair values are based may have a significant impact on the resulting estimated fair values.

As certain assets such as deferred tax assets and premises and equipment are not considered financial instruments, the estimated fair value of financial instruments would not represent the full value of the Company.

The Company employed simulation modeling in determining the estimated fair value of financial instruments for which quoted market prices were not available based upon the following assumptions:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Federal Home Loan Bank Stock, Accrued Interest Receivable, Accrued Interest Payable, and Short-Term Borrowings

The fair value is equal to the current carrying value.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance

The fair value is equal to the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

The fair value of investment securities is equal to the available quoted market price. If no quoted market price is available, fair value is estimated using the quoted market price for similar securities.

Loans

The fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows using current market inputs at which loans with similar terms and qualities would be made to borrowers of similar credit quality. Where quoted market prices were available, primarily for certain residential mortgage loans, such market rates were used as estimates for fair value.

Deposits and Other Borrowed Funds

The fair values of certificates of deposit and other borrowed funds are based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rates are estimated using rates currently offered for similar instruments with similar remaining maturities. Demand, savings, and money market deposits are valued at the amount payable on demand as of year-end.

Commitments to Extend Credit

These financial instruments are generally not subject to sale, and estimated fair values are not readily available. The carrying value, represented by the net deferred fee arising from the unrecognized commitment or letter of credit, and the fair value, determined by discounting the remaining contractual fee over the term of the commitment using fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements with similar credit risk, are not considered material for disclosure.

NOTE 5 – ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table presents the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component net of tax for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

| | Unrealized gains on available for sale securities (a) |
|---|---|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$5,391 |
| Other comprehensive loss before reclassification | (1,004) |
| Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (122) |
| Total other comprehensive loss | (1,126) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2013 | \$4,265 |

(a) All amounts are net of tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.

15

The following table presents significant amounts reclassified out of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

| Details about other comprehensive income | Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (a) | Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented |
|---|---|---|
| Unrealized gains on available for sale securities | | Investment securities gains, net |
| | \$ 185 |) Income taxes |
| | (63 | Net of tax |
| | \$ 122 | |

NOTE 6 - INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The amortized cost and fair values of securities available for sale are as follows:

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Amortized Cost | March 31, 2013 | | Fair Value |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ 25,277 | \$ 556 | \$ (81) | \$ 25,752 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions: | | | | |
| Taxable | 5,892 | 597 | - | 6,489 |
| Tax-exempt | 84,379 | 3,985 | (391) | 87,973 |
| Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities | 63,710 | 1,481 | (165) | 65,026 |
| Private-label mortgage-backed securities | 4,216 | 481 | - | 4,697 |
| Total debt securities | 183,474 | 7,100 | (637) | 189,937 |
| Equity securities in financial institutions | 750 | - | - | 750 |
| Total | \$ 184,224 | \$ 7,100 | \$ (637) | \$ 190,687 |

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ 24,485 | \$ 566 | \$ (91) | \$ 24,960 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions: | | | | |
| Taxable | 6,888 | 738 | - | 7,626 |
| Tax-exempt | 80,391 | 4,683 | (104) | 84,970 |
| Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities | 69,238 | 1,929 | (65) | 71,102 |
| Private-label mortgage-backed securities | 4,553 | 511 | - | 5,064 |
| Total debt securities | 185,555 | 8,427 | (260) | 193,722 |
| Equity securities in financial institutions | 750 | - | - | 750 |
| Total | \$ 186,305 | \$ 8,427 | \$ (260) | \$ 194,472 |

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at March 31, 2013, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 2,915 | \$ 2,985 |
| Due after one year through five years | 4,543 | 4,811 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 21,842 | 22,805 |
| Due after ten years | 154,174 | 159,336 |
| Total | \$ 183,474 | \$ 189,937 |

Proceeds from the sales of securities available-for-sale and the gross realized gains and losses for the three months ended March 31 are as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------|----------|------|
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 7,438 | - |
| Gross realized gains | 204 | - |
| Gross realized losses | (19) | - |

Investment securities with an approximate carrying value of \$66,318,142 and \$52,126,000 at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were pledged to secure deposits and other purposes as required by law.

The following tables show the Company's gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | March 31, 2013 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months | | Twelve Months or Greater | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ 11,925 | \$ (81) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,925 | \$ (81) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 14,834 | (391) | - | - | 14,834 | (391) |
| Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities | 15,768 | (165) | - | - | 15,768 | (165) |
| Total | \$ 42,527 | \$ (637) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 42,527 | \$ (637) |

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | Less than Twelve Months | | Twelve Months or Greater | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| U.S. government agency securities | \$ 9,938 | \$ (91) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9,938 | \$ (91) |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 9,240 | (104) | - | - | 9,240 | (104) |
| Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities | 12,353 | (65) | - | - | 12,353 | (65) |
| Total | \$ 31,531 | \$ (260) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 31,531 | \$ (260) |

There were 49 securities considered temporarily impaired at March 31, 2013.

On a quarterly basis, the Company performs an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances indicating that a security with an unrealized loss has suffered other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI"). A debt security is considered impaired if the fair value is less than its amortized cost basis at the reporting date. The Company assesses whether the unrealized loss is other-than-temporary.

OTTI losses are recognized in earnings when the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. However, even if the Company does not expect to sell a debt security, it must evaluate expected cash flows to be received and determine if a credit loss has occurred.

An unrealized loss is generally deemed to be other than temporary and a credit loss is deemed to exist if the present value of the expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security. As a result the credit loss component of an OTTI is recorded as a component of investment securities gains (losses) in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Income, while the remaining portion of the impairment loss is recognized in other comprehensive income, provided the Company does not intend to sell the underlying debt security and it is “more likely than not” that the Company will not have to sell the debt security prior to recovery.

Debt securities issued by U.S. government agencies, U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, and state and political subdivisions accounted for more than 97% of the total available-for-sale portfolio as of March 31, 2013 and no credit losses are expected, given the explicit and implicit guarantees provided by the U.S. federal government and the lack of significant unrealized loss positions within the obligations of state and political subdivisions security portfolio. The Company’s assessment was concentrated mainly on private-label collateralized mortgage obligations of approximately \$4.2 million for which the Company evaluates credit losses on a quarterly basis. The gross unrealized gain position related to these private-label collateralized mortgage obligations amounted to \$481,000 on March 31, 2013. The Company considered the following factors in determining whether a credit loss exists and the period over which the debt security is expected to recover:

- The length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis.
- Changes in the near term prospects of the underlying collateral of a security such as changes in default rates, loss severity given default and significant changes in prepayment assumptions;

- The level of cash flows generated from the underlying collateral supporting the principal and interest payments of the debt securities; and
- Any adverse change to the credit conditions and liquidity of the issuer, taking into consideration the latest information available about the overall financial condition of the issuer, credit ratings, recent legislation and government actions affecting the issuer's industry and actions taken by the issuer to deal with the present economic climate.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, there were no available-for-sale debt securities with an unrealized loss that suffered OTTI.

NOTE 7 - LOANS AND RELATED ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Major classifications of loans are summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 55,401 | \$ 62,188 |
| Real estate - construction | 22,817 | 22,522 |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | |
| Residential | 199,063 | 203,872 |
| Commercial | 125,799 | 115,734 |
| Consumer installment | 3,974 | 4,117 |
| | 407,054 | 408,433 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | 7,732 | 7,779 |
| Net loans | \$ 399,322 | \$ 400,654 |

The Company's primary business activity is with customers located within its local trade area, eastern Geauga County, and contiguous counties to the north, east, and south. The Company also serves the central Ohio market with offices in Dublin and Westerville, Ohio. Commercial, residential, consumer, and agricultural loans are granted. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, loans outstanding to individuals and businesses are dependent upon the local economic conditions in the Company's immediate trade area.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff generally are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances net of the allowance for loan losses. Interest income is recognized as income when earned on the accrual method. The accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of interest is doubtful. Interest received on nonaccrual loans is recorded as income or applied against principal according to management's judgment as to the collectability of such principal.

Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are being deferred and the net amount amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield. Management is amortizing these amounts over the contractual life of the related loans.

The following tables summarize the primary segments of the loan portfolio and allowance for loan losses (in thousands):

| March 31, 2013 | Real Estate- Mortgage | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Commercial and industrial | Real estate- construction | Residential | Commercial | Consumer installment | |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,975 | \$ 3,772 | \$ 5,794 | \$ 6,832 | \$ 18 | \$ 19,391 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 52,426 | 19,045 | 193,269 | 118,967 | 3,956 | 387,663 |
| Total loans | \$ 55,401 | \$ 22,817 | \$ 199,063 | \$ 125,799 | \$ 3,974 | \$ 407,054 |

| December 31, 2012 | Real estate- Mortgage | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|------------|
| | Commercial and industrial | Real estate- construction | Residential | Commercial | Consumer installment | |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 4,592 | \$ 3,993 | \$ 5,761 | \$ 6,914 | \$ 28 | \$ 21,288 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 57,596 | 18,529 | 198,111 | 108,820 | 4,089 | 387,145 |
| Total loans | \$ 62,188 | \$ 22,522 | \$ 203,872 | \$ 115,734 | \$ 4,117 | \$ 408,433 |

| March 31, 2013 | Real Estate- Mortgage | | | | | Total |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|----------|
| | Commercial and industrial | Real estate- construction | Residential | Commercial | Consumer installment | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 700 | \$ 790 | \$ 703 | \$ 682 | \$ 1 | \$ 2,876 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 530 | 258 | 2,503 | 1,519 | 46 | 4,856 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 1,230 | \$ 1,048 | \$ 3,206 | \$ 2,201 | \$ 47 | \$ 7,732 |

| December 31, 2012 | Real Estate- Mortgage | | | | | Total |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|-------|
| | Commercial and industrial | Real estate- construction | Residential | Commercial | Consumer installment | |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | | |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to | | | | | | |

loans:

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|----------|
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,189 | \$ 933 | \$ 600 | \$ 960 | \$ 6 | \$ 3,688 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 543 | 190 | 2,272 | 1,031 | 55 | 4,091 |
| Total ending allowance balance | \$ 1,732 | \$ 1,123 | \$ 2,872 | \$ 1,991 | \$ 61 | \$ 7,779 |

The Company's loan portfolio is segmented to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The portfolio is segmented into Commercial and Industrial ("C&I"), Real Estate Construction, Real Estate - Mortgage which is further segmented into Residential and Commercial real estate, and Consumer Installment Loans. The C&I loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial customers. The residential mortgage loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of residential homeowners. The commercial mortgage loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial real estate owners and operators. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment if the loan is greater than \$150,000 and if the loan either is in nonaccrual status, or is risk rated Special Mention or Substandard and is greater than 90 days past due. Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. The Company does not separately evaluate individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment, unless such loans are part of a larger relationship that is impaired.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance is necessary is measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan using one of three methods: (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (b) the loan's observable market price; or (c) the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. The method is selected on a loan-by-loan basis, with management primarily utilizing the fair value of collateral method. The evaluation of the need and amount of a specific allocation of the allowance and whether a loan can be removed from impairment status is made on a quarterly basis. The Company's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

The following table presents impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary (in thousands):

March 31, 2013

| Impaired Loans | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,271 | \$ 1,271 | \$ - | |
| Real estate - construction | 322 | 322 | - | |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 2,617 | 2,730 | - | |
| Commercial | 3,772 | 3,772 | - | |
| Consumer installment | 10 | 10 | - | |
| Total | \$ 7,992 | \$ 8,105 | \$ - | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,704 | \$ 1,704 | \$ 700 | |
| Real estate - construction | 3,450 | 3,450 | 790 | |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 3,025 | 3,064 | 703 | |
| Commercial | 3,060 | 3,060 | 682 | |
| Consumer installment | 8 | 8 | 1 | |
| Total | \$ 11,247 | \$ 11,286 | \$ 2,876 | |
| Total: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 2,975 | \$ 2,975 | \$ 700 | |
| Real estate - construction | 3,772 | 3,772 | 790 | |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 5,642 | 5,794 | 703 | |
| Commercial | 6,832 | 6,832 | 682 | |
| Consumer installment | 18 | 18 | 1 | |
| Total | \$ 19,239 | \$ 19,391 | \$ 2,876 | |

December 31, 2012

| Impaired Loans | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 1,230 | \$ 1,229 | \$ - | |
| Real estate - construction | 308 | 308 | - | |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 2,716 | 2,729 | - | |
| Commercial | 4,143 | 4,164 | - | |
| Consumer installment | 11 | 11 | - | |
| Total | \$ 8,408 | \$ 8,441 | \$ - | |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 3,362 | \$ 3,367 | \$ 1,189 | |
| Real estate - construction | 3,685 | 3,685 | 933 | |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 3,045 | 3,054 | 600 | |
| Commercial | 2,771 | 2,776 | 960 | |
| Consumer installment | 17 | 17 | 6 | |
| Total | \$ 12,880 | \$ 12,899 | \$ 3,688 | |
| Total: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 4,592 | \$ 4,596 | \$ 1,189 | |
| Real estate - construction | 3,993 | 3,993 | 933 | |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 5,761 | 5,783 | 600 | |
| Commercial | 6,914 | 6,940 | 960 | |
| Consumer installment | 28 | 28 | 6 | |
| Total | \$ 21,288 | \$ 21,340 | \$ 3,688 | |

The following table presents interest income by class, recognized on impaired loans:

| | As of March 31, 2013 | | As of March 31, 2012 | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| Total: | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$2,975 | \$55 | \$1,781 | \$12 |
| Real estate - construction | 3,772 | 37 | 471 | 1 |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 5,642 | 74 | 2,809 | 27 |
| Commercial | 6,832 | 110 | 1,884 | 26 |
| Consumer installment | 18 | - | 28 | 1 |

Management uses a nine point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first five categories are considered not criticized, and are aggregated as “Pass” rated. The criticized rating categories used by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. Assets classified as “doubtful” have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection of principal in full — on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values — highly questionable and improbable. Any portion of a loan that has been charged off is placed in the Loss category.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Company has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Company’s Commercial Loan Officers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in their portfolios at origination and on an ongoing basis. The Credit Department performs an annual review of all commercial relationships \$200,000 or greater. Confirmation of the appropriate risk grade is included in the review on an ongoing basis. The Company has an experienced Loan Review Department that continually reviews and assesses loans within the portfolio. The Company engages an external consultant to conduct loan reviews on a semi-annual basis. Generally, the external consultant reviews commercial relationships greater than \$250,000 and/or criticized relationships greater than \$125,000. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard on a quarterly basis. Loans in the Special Mention and Substandard categories that are collectively evaluated for impairment are given separate consideration in the determination of the allowance.

The primary risk of commercial and industrial loans is the current economic uncertainties. C & I loans are, by nature, secured by less substantial collateral than real estate secured loans. The primary risk of real estate construction loans is potential delays and /or disputes during the completion process. The primary risk of residential real estate loans is current economic uncertainties along with the slow recovery in the housing market. The primary risk of commercial real estate loans is loss of income of the owner or occupier of the property and the inability of the market to sustain rent levels. Consumer installment loans historically have experienced higher delinquency rates. Consumer installments are typically secured by less substantial collateral than other types of credits.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful within the internal risk rating system (in thousands):

| | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total Loans |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 51,789 | \$ 929 | \$ 2,640 | \$ 43 | \$ 55,401 |
| Real estate - construction | 18,105 | 923 | 3,790 | - | 22,817 |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | | |
| Residential | 185,856 | 967 | 12,240 | - | 199,063 |
| Commercial | 117,462 | 3,149 | 5,189 | - | 125,799 |
| | 3,953 | - | 21 | - | 3,974 |

Consumer
installment

| | | | | | |
|-------|------------|----------|-----------|-------|------------|
| Total | \$ 377,165 | \$ 5,967 | \$ 23,880 | \$ 43 | \$ 407,054 |
|-------|------------|----------|-----------|-------|------------|

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

| December 31, 2012 | Pass | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total Loans |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 59,390 | \$ 678 | \$ 2,061 | \$ 59 | \$ 62,188 |
| Real estate - construction | 17,601 | - | 4,921 | - | 22,522 |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | | |
| Residential | 190,967 | 758 | 12,147 | - | 203,872 |
| Commercial | 106,509 | 1,928 | 7,297 | - | 115,734 |
| Consumer installment | 4,084 | - | 33 | - | 4,117 |
| Total | \$ 378,551 | \$ 3,364 | \$ 26,459 | \$ 59 | \$ 408,433 |

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due.

Non-performing assets includes non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), loans 90 days or more past due, assets purchased by EMORECO from EB, OREO, and repossessed assets. A loan is classified as non-accrual when, in the opinion of management, there are serious doubts about collectability of interest and principal. Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied against principal according to management's shadow accounting system.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans and nonaccrual loans (in thousands):

| | Current | 30-59 Days Past Due | Still Accruing | | Total Past Due | Non- Accrual | Total Loans |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days+ | | | |
| March 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 53,319 | \$ 1,545 | \$ 156 | \$ - | \$ 1,701 | \$ 381 | \$ 55,401 |
| Real estate - construction | 22,669 | - | - | - | - | 148 | 22,817 |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | | | | |
| Residential | 185,879 | 3,976 | 613 | 361 | 4,950 | 8,234 | 199,063 |
| Commercial | 123,720 | 650 | 115 | - | 765 | 1,314 | 125,799 |
| Consumer installment | 3,890 | 66 | 4 | - | 70 | 14 | 3,974 |
| Total | \$ 389,477 | \$ 6,237 | \$ 888 | \$ 361 | \$ 7,486 | \$ 10,091 | \$ 407,054 |

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|--|----------------|------|-------|
| | | | Still Accruing | | |
| | Current | | Total | Non- | Total |

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days+ Past Due | Past Due | Accrual | Loans | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 60,428 | \$ 441 | \$ 63 | \$ 348 | \$ 852 | \$ 908 | \$ 62,188 |
| Real estate - construction | 22,158 | - | - | - | - | 364 | 22,522 |
| Real estate - mortgage: | | | | | | | |
| Residential | 191,349 | 2,614 | 1,401 | 90 | 4,105 | 8,418 | 203,872 |
| Commercial | 113,023 | 509 | 97 | - | 606 | 2,105 | 115,734 |
| Consumer installment | 4,074 | 25 | - | - | 25 | 18 | 4,117 |
| Total | \$ 391,032 | \$ 3,589 | \$ 1,561 | \$ 438 | \$ 5,588 | \$ 11,813 | \$ 408,433 |

An allowance for loan losses (“ALLL”) is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALLL is based on management’s continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of nonperforming loans.

The Company's methodology for determining the ALLL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually evaluated for impairment (discussed above) and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Company's ALLL. Management also performs impairment analyses on TDRs, which may result in specific reserve.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors.

The classes described above, which are based on the purpose code assigned to each loan, provide the starting point for the ALLL analysis. Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity at the purpose code level. A historical charge-off factor is calculated using the last four consecutive historical quarters.

Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: national and local economic trends and conditions; levels of and trends in delinquency rates and nonaccrual loans; trends in volumes and terms of loans; effects of changes in lending policies; experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; value of underlying collateral; and concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALLL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALLL.

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the loan portfolio (in thousands):

| | Commercial and industrial | Real estate- construction | Real estate- residential mortgage | Real estate- commercial mortgage | Consumer installment | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|----------|
| ALL balance at December 31, 2012 | \$ 1,732 | \$ 1,123 | \$ 2,872 | \$ 1,991 | \$ 61 | \$ 7,779 |
| Charge-offs | (325) | (61) | (67) | - | (17) | (470) |
| Recoveries | 1 | 33 | 24 | 46 | 6 | 110 |
| Provision | (178) | (47) | 377 | 164 | (3) | 313 |
| ALL balance at March 31, 2013 | \$ 1,230 | \$ 1,048 | \$ 3,206 | \$ 2,201 | \$ 47 | \$ 7,732 |
| | Commercial and industrial | Real estate- construction | Real estate- residential mortgage | Real estate- commercial mortgage | Consumer installment | Total |
| ALL balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 1,296 | \$ 438 | \$ 3,731 | \$ 1,306 | \$ 48 | \$ 6,819 |
| Charge-offs | (1) | - | (98) | (53) | (14) | (166) |
| Recoveries | 3 | - | 3 | - | 8 | 14 |
| Provision | 114 | 39 | 328 | 115 | 4 | 600 |
| ALL balance at March 31, 2012 | \$ 1,412 | \$ 477 | \$ 3,964 | \$ 1,368 | \$ 46 | \$ 7,267 |

A provision in any loan portfolio is not necessarily related to current charge-offs, but is a result of the evaluation of the loans in that category.

The following tables summarize troubled debt restructurings and subsequent defaults (in thousands):

| Modifications As of March 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------|---|
| Troubled Debt Restructurings | Term Modification | Number of Contracts | | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| | | Other | Total | |
| Commercial and industrial | 4 | - | 4 | \$ 735 |
| Real estate- mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 2 | 1 | 3 | 383 |
| Commercial | 1 | - | 1 | 644 |

| Troubled Debt Restructurings subsequently defaulted | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | 6 | \$ 248 |
| Real estate- mortgage: | | |
| Residential | 1 | 68 |
| Consumer Installment | 1 | 5 |

| Modifications As of March 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------|---|
| Troubled Debt Restructurings | Term Modification | Number of Contracts | | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| | | Other | Total | |
| Commercial and industrial | 3 | 3 | 6 | \$ 178 |
| Real estate- mortgage: | | | | |
| Residential | 2 | 2 | 4 | 94 |
| Consumer Installment | 1 | - | 1 | 5 |

| Troubled Debt Restructurings subsequently defaulted | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | 2 | \$ 90 |
| Consumer Installment | 2 | 28 |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis provides further detail to the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. The MD&A should be read in conjunction with the notes and financial statements presented in this report.

CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION

General. The Company's total assets ended the March 31, 2013 quarter at \$667.0 million, a decrease of \$3.3 million or 0.5% from December 31, 2012. Investment securities available for sale decreased \$3.8 million and net loans

decreased \$1.3 million. The decrease in total assets reflected a corresponding decrease in total liabilities of \$3.8 million or 0.6% and an increase in stockholders' equity of \$413,000 or 0.7%. The decrease in total liabilities was the result of decreases in deposits, borrowings, and accrued interest and other liabilities of \$1.8 million, or 0.3%, \$1.5 million, or 7.6%, and \$400,000, or 19.9% respectively, for the quarter. The increase in stockholders' equity resulted mostly from an increase in retained earnings and common stock of \$1.1 million and \$402,000, respectively. A partial offset resulted from a decrease in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$1.1 million, or 20.9%.

Cash on hand and due from banks. Cash on hand and due from banks and Federal funds sold represent cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$284,000 or 0.6% to \$45.6 million at March 31, 2013 from \$45.3 million at December 31, 2012. Deposits from customers into savings and checking accounts, loan and security repayments and proceeds from borrowed funds typically increase these accounts. Decreases result from customer withdrawals, new loan originations, security purchases and repayments of borrowed funds.

Investment securities. Investment securities available for sale on March 31, 2013 totaled \$190.7 million, a decrease of \$3.8 million or 1.9% from \$194.5 million at December 31, 2012. During this period the Company recorded purchases of available for sale securities of \$12.5 million, consisting of mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds. Offsetting the purchases of securities were repayments, calls, and maturities of \$6.8 million. Sales of securities were \$7.4 million with gross realized gains of \$204,000 being partially offset by gross realized losses of \$19,000.

Loans receivable. The loans receivable category consists primarily of single-family mortgage loans used to purchase or refinance personal residences located within the Company's market area and commercial real estate loans used to finance properties that are used in the borrowers' businesses or to finance investor-owned rental properties, and to a lesser extent, construction and consumer loans. Net loans receivable decreased \$1.3 million or 0.3% to \$399.0 million as of March 31, 2013 from \$400.7 million at December 31, 2012. Included in this amount was a decrease in the commercial and industrial loan portfolio of \$6.8 million, or 10.9%, and the residential real estate mortgage segment of \$4.8 million or 2.4%. These amounts were partially offset by an increase in the commercial real estate portfolio of \$10.1 million, or 8.7%. A reclassification was responsible for \$6.5 million of the changes between the two commercial categories. The Company's lending philosophy centers around the growth of the commercial loan portfolio. The Company has taken a proactive approach in servicing the needs of both new and current clients. These relationships generally offer more attractive returns than residential loans and also offer opportunities for attracting larger balance deposit relationships. However, the shift in loan portfolio mix from residential real estate to commercial-oriented loans may increase credit risk.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Asset Quality. The Company decreased the allowance for loan losses to \$7.7 million, or 1.9% of total loans, at March 31, 2013, compared to \$7.8 million, or 1.9%, at December 31, 2012. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses is a result of the Company's approach to accurately measure credits identified as troubled in quarters past. First quarter 2013 net loan charge-offs totaled \$360,000, or 0.09% of average loans, compared to \$152,000, or 0.04%, for the first quarter of 2012. To maintain the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, the Company recorded a first quarter provision for loan losses of \$313,000, versus \$600,000 for the first quarter of 2012.

Management analyzes the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses regularly through reviews of the performance of the loan portfolio considering economic conditions, changes in interest rates and the effect of such changes on real estate values and changes in the amount and composition of the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is a material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant changes in the near term. Such evaluation, which includes a review of all loans for which full collectability may not be reasonably assured, considers among other matters, historical loan loss experience, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, current interest rates, trends in the borrower's industry and other factors that management believes warrant recognition in providing for an appropriate allowance for loan losses. Future additions to the allowance for loan losses will be dependent on these factors. Additionally, the Company uses an outside party to conduct an independent review of commercial and commercial real estate loans. The Company uses the results of this review to help determine the effectiveness of the existing policies and procedures, and to provide an independent assessment of the allowance for loan losses allocated to these types of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is appropriately stated at March 31, 2013. Based on the variables involved and management's judgments about uncertain outcomes, the determination of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting policy.

Nonperforming assets. Nonperforming assets includes nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), loans 90 days or more past due, assets purchased by EMORECO from EB, other real estate, and repossessed assets. Real estate owned is written down to fair value at its initial recording and continually monitored for changes in fair value.

A loan is classified as nonaccrual when, in the opinion of management, there are serious doubts about collectability of interest and principal. Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied against principal according to management's shadow accounting system. The shadow accounting system tracks interest on nonaccrual loan payments as though current. The shadow account splits principal and interest on payments while the actual account undergoes only a principal reduction. TDRs are those loans which the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise consider. The Company has 53 TDRs with a total balance of \$6.2 million as of March 31, 2013. Nonperforming loans amounted to \$13.9 million, or 3.4% of total loans, and \$14.2 million, or 3.5% of total loans, at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. A TDR that yields market interest rate at the time of restructuring and is in compliance with its modified terms is no longer reported as TDR in calendar years after the year in which the restructuring took place. To be in compliance with its modified terms, a loan that is a TDR must not be in nonaccrual status and must be current or less than 30 days past due on its contractual principal and interest payments under the modified repayment terms. Nonperforming loans secured by real estate totaled \$13.1 million as of March 31, 2013, up \$300,000 from \$12.8 million at December 31, 2012.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | 3/31/2013 | 12/31/2012 | 9/30/2012 | 6/30/2012 | 3/31/2012 |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Nonperforming loans | \$ 13,899 | \$ 14,194 | \$ 15,404 | \$ 17,177 | \$ 17,677 |
| Real estate owned | 2,155 | 1,846 | 2,332 | 1,986 | 2,125 |
| Nonperforming assets | 16,054 | 16,040 | 17,736 | 19,163 | 19,802 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 7,732 | 7,779 | 7,173 | 7,752 | 7,267 |
| Ratios | | | | | |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 3.41 % | 3.48 % | 3.76 % | 4.18 % | 4.37 % |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 2.41 % | 2.39 % | 2.67 % | 2.95 % | 3.01 % |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.90 % | 1.90 % | 1.75 % | 1.89 % | 1.80 % |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans | 55.63 % | 54.80 % | 46.57 % | 45.13 % | 41.11 % |

A major factor in determining the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses is the type of collateral which secures the loans. Of the total nonperforming loans at March 31, 2013, 94.2% were secured by real estate. Although this does not insure against all losses, the real estate typically provides for at least partial recovery, even in a

distressed-sale and declining-value environment. In response to the poor economic conditions which have eroded the performance of the Company's loan portfolio, additional resources have been allocated to the loan workout process. The Company's objective is to minimize the future loss exposure to the Company.

Deposits. The Company considers various sources when evaluating funding needs, including but not limited to deposits, which are a significant source of funds totaling \$591.5 million or 97.0% of the Company's total funding sources at March 31, 2013. Total deposits decreased \$1.8 million or 0.3% to \$591.5 million at March 31, 2013 from \$593.3 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in deposits is primarily related to the reduction of time deposits, noninterest-bearing demand deposits, and money market accounts of \$8.6 million or 4.4%, \$2.6 million or 3.4%, and \$1.3 million or 1.6%, respectively, at March 31, 2013. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in savings and interest-bearing demand deposits of \$6.5 million, or 3.7%, and \$4.1 million, or 6.5%, respectively, during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Borrowed funds. The Company uses short and long-term borrowings as another source of funding used for asset growth and liquidity needs. These borrowings primarily include FHLB advances, junior subordinated debt, short-term borrowings from other banks and repurchase agreements. Short-term borrowings decreased \$1.3 million, or 19.9%, to \$5.2 million as of March 31, 2013. Other borrowings, representing advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati, declined \$191,000 for the quarter as a result of scheduled principal payments.

Stockholders' equity. Stockholders' equity increased \$413,000, or 0.7%, to \$55.9 million at March 31, 2013 from \$55.4 million at December 31, 2012. This increase was the result of increases in retained earnings and common stock of \$1.1 million, or 5.1%, and \$402,000, or 1.2%, respectively. The increase in common stock was the result of issuing 23,051 shares at a weighted average price of \$22.25 since December 31, 2012. A partial offset resulted from a reduction in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$1.1 million, or 20.9%, as a result of available for sale securities market valuation adjustments.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

General. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was \$1.7 million, a \$134,000, or 8.8% increase from the \$1.5 million earned during the same period in 2012. Diluted earnings per share for the first quarter of 2013 was \$0.82 compared to \$0.86 for the same period in 2012.

The Company's annualized return on average assets (ROA) and return on average equity (ROE) for the first quarter were 1.01% and 12.18%, respectively, compared with 0.94% and 12.81% for the first quarter of 2012.

The Company's year-to-date earnings were positively impacted by decreases in the provision for loan losses and deposit interest expense along with an increase in noninterest income. This was partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense coupled with a decrease in investment interest income.

Net interest income. Net interest income, the primary source of revenue for the Company, is determined by the Company's interest rate spread, which is defined as the difference between income on earning assets and the cost of funds supporting those assets, and the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Management periodically adjusts the mix of assets and liabilities, as well as the rates earned or paid on those assets and liabilities in order to manage and improve net interest income. The level of interest rates and changes in the amount and composition of interest-earning assets and liabilities affect the Company's net interest income. Historically from an interest rate risk perspective, it has been management's goal to maintain a balance between steady net interest income growth and the risks associated with interest rate fluctuations.

Net interest income for the three-months ended March 31, 2013 totaled \$5.6 million, an increase of 0.7% from the \$5.5 million reported for the comparable period of 2012. The net interest margin was 3.92% for the first quarter of 2013, up from the 3.89% reported for the same quarter of 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to lower interest-bearing liability costs, which decreased 17 basis points to 1.09%. Deposit growth at the Banks has primarily been non-maturing products which generally carry lower interest costs than other deposit alternatives.

Interest income. Interest income decreased \$218,000, or 3.0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. This can be attributed to a decrease in interest earned on taxable investment securities of \$241,000.

Interest earned on loans receivable increased \$35,000, or 0.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was attributable to a \$6.2 million, or 1.54%, increase in the average balance of loans receivable from March 31, 2012.

Interest earned on securities decreased \$255,000, or 15.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. This was the result of an increase in the average balance of the securities portfolio of \$1.2 million, or 0.6%, to \$190.5 million at March 31, 2013 from \$189.3 million for the same period in the prior year. Interest income on investment securities was adversely affected by a decrease in the portfolio yield. The total investment securities portfolio yield of 3.80% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased by 55 basis points from 4.35% for the same period in the prior year.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased \$257,000, or 15.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. This can be mostly attributed to a decrease in interest incurred on deposits of \$200,000. The reduction was exacerbated by the reduction of the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities of 17 basis points when compared to the three-months ended March 31, 2012.

Interest incurred on deposits, the largest component of the Company's interest-bearing liabilities, declined \$200,000, or 13.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease was attributed to a decline in average rate paid on deposits of 1.03% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 from 1.17% for the same period in the prior year. This improvement was exacerbated by a decrease in the average balance of interest-bearing deposits of \$2.0 million, or 0.4%, to \$513.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, when compared to \$515.4 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is reflected in the quarterly rate volume report presented below depicting the cost decrease associated with interest-bearing liabilities. The Company diligently monitors the interest rates on its products as well as the rates being offered by its competition and utilizing rate surveys to minimize total interest expense.

Interest incurred on borrowing declined \$57,000, or 30.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is attributed to declines in FHLB loan expense and trust preferred expense of \$38,000, or 45.5%, and \$12,000, or 25.7%, respectively.

Provision for loan losses. The provision for loan losses represents the charge to income necessary to adjust the allowance for loan losses to an amount that represents management's assessment of the estimated probable incurred credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Each quarter management performs a review of estimated probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. Based on this review, a provision for loan losses of \$313,000 was recorded for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$600,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The provision for loan losses was lower for the current quarter due to decreases in nonperforming loans. Nonperforming loans were \$13.9 million, or 3.41% of total loans at March 31, 2013 compared with \$17.7 million, or 4.37% at March 31, 2012. Net charge-offs were \$360,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 compared with \$152,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. Total loans were \$407.0 million at March 31, 2013 compared with \$401.9 million at March 31, 2012.

Noninterest income. Noninterest income increased \$74,000 for the year-ended March 31, 2013 over the comparable 2012 period. This increase was largely the result of net investment security gains of \$185,000, partially offset by decreases in gain on sale of loans and other income of \$85,000 and \$42,000, respectively.

Noninterest expense. Noninterest expense of \$4.0 million for the first quarter of 2013 was 5.8%, or \$219,000 higher than the first quarter of 2012. Salaries and employee benefits, other real estate expense, and advertising expense increased \$121,000, \$96,000, and \$92,000, respectively, year over year. The growth in salary-related expenses is commensurate with the continued growth of the Company. This growth was partially offset by a reduction in other expense of \$107,000, or 13.7%, as well as a decline in FDIC assessments of 36.6%, from \$243,000 to \$154,000 at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Provision for income taxes. The Company recognized \$482,000 in income tax expense, which reflected an effective tax rate of 22.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2013, as compared to \$435,000 with an effective tax rate of 22.2% for the respective 2012 period. The increase in the tax provision can be attributed to an increase in income before taxes of \$181,000 or 9.2% when compared to the same quarter in the prior year.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The Company's critical accounting estimates involving the more significant judgments and assumptions used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2013, have remained unchanged from December

31, 2012.

30

Average Balance Sheet and Yield/Rate Analysis. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, information concerning the total dollar amounts of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yields, the total dollar amounts of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average costs, net interest income, interest rate spread and the net interest margin earned on average interest-earning assets. For purposes of this table, average balances are calculated using monthly averages and the average loan balances include nonaccrual loans and exclude the allowance for loan losses, and interest income includes accretion of net deferred loan fees. Interest and yields on tax-exempt securities (tax-exempt for federal income tax purposes) are shown on a fully tax-equivalent basis utilizing a federal tax rate of 34%. Yields and rates have been calculated on an annualized basis utilizing monthly interest amounts.

| (Dollars in thousands) | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | 2013 Average Balance | Interest | Average Yield/Cost | | 2012 Average Balance | Interest | Average Yield/Cost | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Loans receivable | \$ 407,236 | \$ 5,572 | 5.55 | % | \$ 401,047 | \$ 5,537 | 5.55 | % |
| Investment securities (3) | 190,457 | 1,407 | 3.80 | % | 189,280 | 1,662 | 4.35 | % |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks | 18,642 | 35 | 0.76 | % | 23,049 | 33 | 0.58 | % |
| Total interest-earning assets | 616,335 | 7,014 | 4.86 | % | 613,376 | 7,232 | 4.99 | % |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 47,713 | | | | 39,916 | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 664,048 | | | | \$ 653,292 | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest - bearing demand deposits | \$ 63,647 | \$ 58 | 0.37 | % | \$ 57,976 | \$ 60 | 0.42 | % |
| Money market deposits | 78,659 | 81 | 0.42 | % | 72,390 | 74 | 0.41 | % |
| Savings deposits | 177,649 | 158 | 0.36 | % | 168,575 | 166 | 0.40 | % |
| Certificates of deposit | 193,476 | 1,000 | 2.10 | % | 216,503 | 1,197 | 2.22 | % |
| Borrowings | 18,839 | 132 | 2.84 | % | 24,107 | 189 | 3.15 | % |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 532,270 | 1,429 | 1.09 | % | 539,551 | 1,686 | 1.26 | % |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Other liabilities | 76,600 | | 0.23 | % | 65,926 | | 0.28 | % |
| Stockholders' equity | 55,178 | | | | 47,815 | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 664,048 | | | | \$ 653,292 | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 5,585 | | | | \$ 5,546 | | |
| Interest rate spread (1) | | | 3.78 | % | | | 3.74 | % |
| Net yield on interest-earning assets (2) | | | 3.92 | % | | | 3.89 | % |

Ratio of average
interest-earning assets
to average
interest-bearing
liabilities

115.79 %

113.68 %

(1) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities

(2) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

(3) Tax equivalent adjustments to interest income for tax-exempt securities was \$378 and \$385 for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income. The following table analyzes the changes in interest income and interest expense, between the three month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, in terms of: (1) changes in volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and (2) changes in yields and rates. The table reflects the extent to which changes in the Company's interest income and interest expense are attributable to changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior period volume), changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior period rate) and changes attributable to the combined impact of volume/rate (change in rate multiplied by change in volume). The changes attributable to the combined impact of volume/rate are allocated on a consistent basis between the volume and rate variances. Changes in interest income on securities reflects the changes in interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis

For the Three Months ended March 31,
2013 versus 2012

| (Dollars in thousands) | Volume | Increase (decrease) due to Rate | Total |
|--|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Interest-earning assets: | | | |
| Loans receivable | \$ 85 | \$ (50) | \$ 35 |
| Investment securities | 13 | (268) | (255) |
| Interest-bearing deposits with other banks | (6) | 8 | 2 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 91 | (309) | (218) |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | |
| Interest - bearing demand deposits | 6 | (8) | (2) |
| Money market deposits | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Savings deposits | 9 | (17) | (8) |
| Certificates of deposit | (126) | (71) | (197) |
| Borrowings | (41) | (16) | (57) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | (146) | (111) | (257) |
| Net interest income | \$ 237 | \$ (198) | \$ 39 |

LIQUIDITY

Management's objective in managing liquidity is maintaining the ability to continue meeting the cash flow needs of its customers, such as borrowings or deposit withdrawals, as well as its own financial commitments. The principal sources of liquidity are net income, loan payments, maturing and principal reductions on securities and sales of securities available for sale, federal funds sold and cash and deposits with banks. Along with its liquid assets, the Company has additional sources of liquidity available to ensure that adequate funds are available as needed. These include, but are not limited to, the purchase of federal funds, and the ability to borrow funds under line of credit agreements with correspondent banks and a borrowing agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati, Ohio and the adjustment of interest rates to obtain depositors. Management believes the Company has the capital adequacy, profitability and reputation to meet the current and projected needs of its customers.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities consisted mainly of depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment, the provision for loan losses, net amortization of securities and net changes in other assets and liabilities. For a more detailed illustration of sources and uses of cash, refer to the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

INFLATION

Substantially all of the Company's assets and liabilities relate to banking activities and are monetary in nature. The consolidated financial statements and related financial data are presented in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). GAAP currently requires the Company to measure the financial position and results of operations in terms of historical dollars, with the exception of securities available for sale, impaired loans and other real estate loans that are measured at fair value. Changes in the value of money due to rising inflation can cause purchasing power loss.

Management's opinion is that movements in interest rates affect the financial condition and results of operations to a greater degree than changes in the rate of inflation. It should be noted that interest rates and inflation do affect each other, but do not always move in correlation with each other. The Company's ability to match the interest sensitivity of its financial assets to the interest sensitivity of its liabilities in its asset/liability management may tend to minimize the effect of changes in interest rates on the Company's performance.

REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company is subject to the regulatory requirements of The Federal Reserve System as a multi-bank holding company. The affiliate banks are subject to regulations of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the State of Ohio, Division of Financial Institutions.

The Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC have extensive authority to prevent and to remedy unsafe and unsound practices and violations of applicable laws and regulations by institutions and holding companies. The agencies may assess civil money penalties, issue cease-and-desist or removal orders, seek injunctions, and publicly disclose those actions. In addition, the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions possesses enforcement powers to address violations of Ohio banking law by Ohio-chartered banks.

In February of 2011, Emerald Bank agreed with the FDIC and the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions that Emerald Bank will take specified actions to correct weaknesses in the bank's condition and operations. The actions that Emerald Bank agreed to take include reducing the bank's concentration of credit in non-owner occupied 1 - 4 family residential mortgage loans, reducing delinquent and classified loans, enhancing credit administration for non-owner occupied residential real estate, developing plans for the reduction of borrower indebtedness on classified and delinquent credits, implementing an earnings improvement plan, maintaining leverage capital of at least 9%, revising the bank's methodology for calculating and determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, and providing to the FDIC and the ODFI notice of proposed dividend payments at least 30 days in advance.

The following table sets forth the capital requirements for EB under the FDIC regulations and EB's capital ratios:

FDIC Regulations

| Capital Ratio | Adequately Capitalized | Well Capitalized | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | March 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Tier I Leverage Capital | 4.00 % | 5.00 % (1) | 10.86 % | 10.61 % | 9.77 % |
| Risk-Based Capital: | | | | | |
| Tier I | 4.00 | 6.00 | 14.51 | 14.16 | 13.57 % |
| Total | 8.00 | 10.00 | 15.80 | 15.45 | 14.85 % |

(1) EB has agreed to maintain leverage capital of at least 9%

REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company is subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors and the

regulators can lower classifications in certain cases. Failure to meet various capital requirements can initiate regulatory action that could have a direct material effect on the company's operations.

The prompt corrective action regulations provide five classifications, including well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized, although these terms are not used to represent overall financial condition. If adequately capitalized, regulatory approval is required to accept brokered deposits. If undercapitalized, capital distributions are limited, as is asset growth and expansion and plans for capital restoration are required.

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

The following tables illustrates the Company's and Banks' capital ratios:

| | Middlefield Banc Corp. March 31, 2013 | | The Middlefield Banking Co. March 31, 2013 | | Emerald Bank March 31, 2013 | |
|--|--|---------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Total Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 59,454 | 14.11 % | \$ 49,162 | 13.47 % | \$ 8,620 | 15.80 % |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 33,703 | 8.00 | 29,187 | 8.00 | 4,365 | 8.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 42,129 | 10.00 | 36,484 | 10.00 | 5,457 | 10.00 |
| Tier I Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 54,157 | 12.86 % | \$ 44,590 | 12.22 % | \$ 7,919 | 14.51 % |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 16,852 | 4.00 | 14,594 | 4.00 | 2,183 | 4.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 25,277 | 6.00 | 21,891 | 6.00 | 3,274 | 6.00 |
| Tier I Capital (to Average Assets) | | | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 54,157 | 8.22 % | \$ 44,590 | 7.64 % | \$ 7,919 | 10.86 % |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 26,345 | 4.00 | 23,331 | 4.00 | 2,917 | 4.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 32,931 | 5.00 | 29,163 | 5.00 | 3,646 | 5.00 |
| | Middlefield Banc Corp. December 31, 2012 | | The Middlefield Banking Co. December 31, 2012 | | Emerald Bank December 31, 2012 | |
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Total Capital (to Risk-weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Actual | \$ 57,784 | 13.86 % | \$ 47,887 | 13.29 % | \$ 8,440 | 15.45 % |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 33,344 | 8.00 | 28,822 | 8.00 | 4,370 | 8.00 |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 41,680 | 10.00 | 36,027 | 10.00 | 5,463 | 10.00 |

Tier I Capital
(to Risk-weighted
Assets)

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------|---|-----------|-------|---|----------|-------|---|
| Actual | \$ 52,543 | 12.61 | % | \$ 43,371 | 12.04 | % | \$ 7,737 | 14.16 | % |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 16,672 | 4.00 | | 14,411 | 4.00 | | 2,185 | 4.00 | |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 25,008 | 6.00 | | 21,616 | 6.00 | | 3,278 | 6.00 | |

Tier I Capital
(to Average Assets)

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------|---|-----------|------|---|----------|-------|---|
| Actual | \$ 52,543 | 7.88 | % | \$ 43,371 | 7.32 | % | \$ 7,737 | 10.61 | % |
| For Capital Adequacy Purposes | 26,675 | 4.00 | | 23,684 | 4.00 | | 2,916 | 4.00 | |
| To Be Well Capitalized | 33,344 | 5.00 | | 29,605 | 5.00 | | 3,646 | 5.00 | |

Supplementing these capital requirements of applicable banking regulations, Emerald Bank has agreed with the FDIC and the Ohio Division of Financial Institutions to maintain tier 1 leverage capital of at least 9%, The Middlefield Banking Company committed to the FDIC that The Middlefield Banking Company will maintain capital ratios at levels no lower than its June 30, 2010 ratios (i.e., no lower than 6.25% tier 1 leverage capital and 11.29% total risk-based capital), and Middlefield Banc Corp. committed to the Federal Reserve that Middlefield Banc Corp. will maintain tier 1 leverage capital of at least 7.25% and total risk-based capital of at least 12%, both at the level of the holding company and at the level of The Middlefield Banking Company, the lead bank. We expect that these elevated minimum capital levels will apply for the foreseeable future, while the banks and the holding company continue their efforts to manage more serious asset quality challenges than they have been accustomed to, while also managing the impact of those challenges on earnings and the strains that general economic downturns in the banks' markets and across the region and nation are placing not only on Emerald Bank and The Middlefield Banking Company but on all local banks.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

ASSET AND LIABILITY MANAGEMENT

The primary objective of the Company's asset and liability management function is to maximize the Company's net interest income while simultaneously maintaining an acceptable level of interest rate risk given the Company's operating environment, capital and liquidity requirements, performance objectives and overall business focus. The principal determinant of the exposure of the Company's earnings to interest rate risk is the timing difference between the repricing and maturity of interest-earning assets and the repricing or maturity of its interest-bearing liabilities. The Company's asset and liability management policies are designed to decrease interest rate sensitivity primarily by shortening the maturities of interest-earning assets while at the same time extending the maturities of interest-bearing liabilities. The Board of Directors of the Company continues to believe in strong asset/liability management in order to insulate the Company from material losses as a result of prolonged increases in interest rates. As a result of this policy, the Company emphasizes a larger, more diversified portfolio of residential mortgage loans in the form of mortgage-backed securities. Mortgage-backed securities generally increase the quality of the Company's assets by virtue of the insurance or guarantees that back them, are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may be used to collateralize borrowings or other obligations of the Company.

MB's Board of Directors have established an Asset and Liability Management Committee consisting of four outside directors, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Executive/Vice President/ Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President/Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President/Commercial Lending. This committee, which meets quarterly, generally monitors various asset and liability management policies and strategies, which were implemented by the Company over the past few years. These strategies have included: (i) an emphasis on the investment in adjustable-rate and shorter duration mortgage-backed securities; (ii) an emphasis on the origination of single-family residential adjustable-rate mortgages (ARMs), residential construction loans and commercial real estate loans, which generally have adjustable or floating interest rates and/or shorter maturities than traditional single-family residential loans, and consumer loans, which generally have shorter terms and higher interest rates than mortgage loans; (iii) increase the duration of the liability base of the Company by extending the maturities of savings deposits, borrowed funds and repurchase agreements.

MB and EB have established the following guidelines for assessing interest rate risk:

Net interest income simulation. Given a 200 basis point parallel and gradual increase or decrease in market interest rates, net interest income may not change by more than 10% for a one-year period.

Portfolio equity simulation. Portfolio equity is the net present value of the Company's existing assets and liabilities. Given a 200 basis point immediate and permanent increase or decrease in market interest rates, portfolio equity may not correspondingly decrease or increase by more than 20% of stockholders' equity.

The following table presents the simulated impact of a 200 basis point upward and a 200 basis point downward shift of market interest rates on net interest income and the change in portfolio equity. This analysis was done assuming that the interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability levels at March 31, 2013 remained constant. The impact of the market rate movements was developed by simulating the effects of rates changing gradually over a one-year period from the March 31, 2012 levels for net interest income. The impact of market rate movements was developed by simulating the effects of an immediate and permanent change in rates at March 31, 2013 for portfolio equity:

| | Increase 200 Basis Points | | Decrease 200 Basis Points | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
| Net interest income - increase (decrease) | 0.23 | % | 0.68 | % |
| Portfolio equity - increase (decrease) | (16.18 |) % | (7.62 |) % |

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Controls and Procedures Disclosure

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation's reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(e) and 15d-14(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are, to the best of their knowledge, effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. Subsequent to the date of their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that there were no significant changes in internal control or in other factors that could significantly affect the Company's internal controls, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency (as defined in Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 2), or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in there being more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

None

Item 1a. There are no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Please refer to that section for disclosures regarding the risks and uncertainties related to the Company's business.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults by the Company on its senior securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Item 5. Other information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

Exhibit list for Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 10-Q Quarterly Report for the Period Ended March 31, 2013

| | | |
|---------|--|--|
| 3.1 | Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Middlefield Banc Corp., as amended | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005, filed on March 29, 2006 |
| 3.2 | Regulations of Middlefield Banc Corp. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s registration statement on Form 10 filed on April 17, 2001 |
| 4.0 | Specimen stock certificate | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s registration statement on Form 10 filed on April 17, 2001 |
| 4.1 | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2006, between Middlefield Banc Corp., as Depositor, Wilmington Trust Company, as Property trustee, Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware Trustee, and Administrative Trustees | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on December 27, 2006 |
| 4.2 | Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of December 21, 2006, between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Wilmington Trust Company | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on December 27, 2006 |
| 4.3 | Guarantee Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2006, between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Wilmington Trust Company | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on December 27, 2006 |
| 10.1.0* | 1999 Stock Option Plan of Middlefield Banc Corp. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s registration statement on Form 10 filed on April 17, 2001 |
| 10.1.1* | 2007 Omnibus Equity Plan | Incorporated by reference to Middlefield Banc Corp.'s definitive proxy statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, Appendix A, filed on April 7, 2008 |
| 10.2* | Severance Agreement between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Thomas G. Caldwell, dated January 7, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.3* | Severance Agreement between Middlefield Banc Corp. and James R. Heslop, II, dated January 7, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| 10.4.0* | Severance Agreement between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Jay P. Giles, dated January 7, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.4.1* | Severance Agreement between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Teresa M. Hetrick, dated January 7, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.4.2 | [reserved] | |
| 10.4.3* | Severance Agreement between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Donald L. Stacy, dated January 7, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4.3 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.4.4* | Severance Agreement between Middlefield Banc Corp. and Alfred F. Thompson Jr., dated January 7, 2008 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4.4 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.5 | Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati Agreement for Advances and Security Agreement dated September 14, 2000 | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s registration statement on Form 10 filed on April 17, 2001 |
| 10.6* | Amended Director Retirement Agreement with Richard T. Coyne | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.7* | Amended Director Retirement Agreement with Frances H. Frank | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.8* | Amended Director Retirement Agreement with Thomas C. Halstead | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.9* | Director Retirement Agreement with George F. Hasman | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on March 28, 2002 |
| 10.10* | Director Retirement Agreement with Donald D. Hunter | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on March 28, 2002 |

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| 10.11* | Director Retirement Agreement with Martin S. Paul | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2001, filed on March 28, 2002 |
| 10.12* | Amended Director Retirement Agreement with Donald E. Villers | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on January 9, 2008 |
| 10.13* | Executive Survivor Income Agreement (aka DBO agreement [death benefit only]) with Donald L. Stacy | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004 |
| 10.14* | DBO Agreement with Jay P. Giles | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004 |
| 10.15* | DBO Agreement with Alfred F. Thompson Jr. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004 |
| 10.16 | [reserved] | |
| 10.17* | DBO Agreement with Theresa M. Hetrick | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004 |
| 10.18 * | Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement with Jay P. Giles | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 20, 2012 |
| 10.19* | DBO Agreement with James R. Heslop, II | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004 |
| 10.20* | DBO Agreement with Thomas G. Caldwell | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2003, filed on March 30, 2004 |

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------|--|---|
| 10.21* | Form of Indemnification Agreement with directors of Middlefield Banc Corp. and with executive officers of Middlefield Banc Corp. and The Middlefield Banking Company | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s registration statement on Form 10, Amendment No. 1, filed on June 14, 2001 |
| 10.22* | Annual Incentive Plan Summary | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on June 12, 2012 |
| 10.23* | Amended Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement with Thomas G. Caldwell | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 9, 2008 |
| 10.24* | Amended Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement with James R. Heslop, II | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 9, 2008 |
| 10.25* | Amended Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement with Donald L. Stacy | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on May 9, 2008 |
| 10.26* | Stock Purchase Agreement dated August 15, 2011 between Bank Opportunity Fund LLC and Middlefield Banc Corp. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on August 18, 2011 |
| 10.26.1 | Amendment 1 of the Stock Purchase Agreement with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC (amendment dated September 29, 2011) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 20, 2012 |
| 10.26.2 | Amendment 2 of the Stock Purchase Agreement with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC (amendment dated October 20, 2011) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.2 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 20, 2012 |
| 10.26.3 | Amendment 3 of the Stock Purchase Agreement with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC (amendment dated November 28, 2011) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.3 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 20, 2012 |
| 10.26.4 | Amendment 4 of the Stock Purchase Agreement with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC (amendment dated December 21, 2011) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.4 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2011, filed on March 20, 2012 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|---|
| 10.26.5 | March 21, 2012 letter agreement between Bank Opportunity Fund LLC and Middlefield Banc Corp. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.5 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on March 27, 2012 |
| 10.26.6 | Amendment 5 of the Stock Purchase Agreement with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC (amendment dated April 17, 2012) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.6 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on April 23, 2012 |
| 10.26.7 | Amendment 6 of the Stock Purchase Agreement with Bank Opportunity Fund LLC (amendment dated August 23, 2012) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26.7 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on August 24, 2012 |
| 10.27 | [reserved] | |
| 10.28 | Amended and Restated Purchaser's Rights and Voting Agreement, dated April 17, 2012, among Bank Opportunity Fund LLC, Middlefield Banc Corp., and directors and officers of Middlefield Banc Corp.. | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on April 23, 2012 |
| 10.28.1 | Amendment of the Amended and Restated Purchaser's Rights and Voting Agreement (amendment dated August 23, 2012) | Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28.1 of Middlefield Banc Corp.'s Form 8-K Current Report filed on August 24, 2012 |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a) certification of Chief Executive Officer | filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a) certification of Chief Financial Officer | filed herewith |
| 32 | Rule 13a-14(b) certification | filed herewith |
| 101.INS** | XBRL Instance | furnished herewith |
| 101.SCH** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema | furnished herewith |
| 101.CAL** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation | furnished herewith |

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 101.DEF** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition | furnished herewith |
| 101.LAB** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels | furnished herewith |
| 101.PRE** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation | furnished herewith |

* management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

** XBRL information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned and hereunto duly authorized.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

Date: May 9, 2013

By: /s/ Thomas G. Caldwell
Thomas G. Caldwell
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 9, 2013

By: /s/ Donald L. Stacy
Donald L. Stacy
Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer