

Vishay Precision Group, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 2, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-34679

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

27-0986328
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

3 Great Valley Parkway, Suite 150
Malvern, PA 19355
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

484-321-5300
(Registrant's Area Code and Telephone Number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 10, 2011 the registrant had 12,320,618 shares of its common stock and 1,025,176 shares of its Class B common stock outstanding.

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

FORM 10-Q
JULY 2, 2011

CONTENTS

	Page Number	
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets – July 2, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010	3
	Combined and Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited) – Fiscal Quarters Ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010	5
	Combined and Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited) – Six Fiscal Months Ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010	6
	Combined and Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) – Six Fiscal Months Ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010	7
	Consolidated Condensed Statement of Equity (Unaudited)	8
	Notes to Combined and Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)	9
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	39
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	39
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	40
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	40
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	40
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	40

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Item 4.	[Removed and Reserved]	40
Item 5.	Other Information	40
Item 6.	Exhibits	41
SIGNATURES		42

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets

(In thousands)

	July 2, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 79,943	\$ 82,245
Accounts receivable, net	38,710	33,988
Inventories:		
Raw materials	15,252	14,361
Work in process	15,447	15,360
Finished goods	18,477	18,616
Total inventories	49,176	48,337
Deferred income taxes	4,154	4,022
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	8,657	5,540
Total current assets	180,640	174,132
Property and equipment, at cost		
Land	2,679	1,991
Buildings and improvements	41,634	40,036
Machinery and equipment	70,870	68,566
Software	4,458	4,274
Projects in process	2,919	2,282
Accumulated depreciation	(74,325)	(70,402)
Net property and equipment	48,235	46,747
Intangible assets, net	13,257	14,500
Other assets	13,490	13,334
Total assets	\$ 255,622	\$ 248,713

Continues on following page

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets (continued)

(In thousands)

	July 2, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Liabilities and equity		
Current liabilities:		
Notes payable to banks	\$ 735	\$ 85
Trade accounts payable	12,522	11,537
Payroll and related expenses	11,155	12,554
Other accrued expenses	7,434	8,680
Income taxes	2,601	4,847
Current portion of long-term debt	178	-
Total current liabilities	34,625	37,703
Long-term debt	11,495	11,692
Deferred income taxes	4,210	4,212
Other liabilities	7,780	7,468
Accrued pension and other postretirement costs	10,778	10,708
Total liabilities	68,888	71,783
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Common stock	1,231	1,231
Class B convertible common stock	103	103
Capital in excess of par value	180,585	180,142
Retained earnings	12,170	5,894
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(7,598)	(10,585)
Total Vishay Precision Group, Inc. stockholders' equity	186,491	176,785
Noncontrolling interests	243	145
Total equity	186,734	176,930
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 255,622	\$ 248,713

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

Combined and Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations

(Unaudited - In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Fiscal quarter ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net revenues	\$ 62,133	\$ 52,914
Costs of products sold	40,043	32,932
Gross profit	22,090	19,982
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	17,083	13,831
Operating income	5,007	6,151
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(77)	(109)
Other	(146)	44
Other income (expense) - net	(223)	(65)
Income before taxes	4,784	6,086
Income tax expense	1,759	2,019
Net earnings	3,025	4,067
Less: net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	42	32
Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 2,983	\$ 4,035
Basic earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.30
Diluted earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.30
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	13,341	13,332
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	13,820	13,332

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

Combined and Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations

(Unaudited - In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net revenues	\$ 121,658	\$ 101,089
Costs of products sold	78,558	64,059
Gross profit	43,100	37,030
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	33,416	27,038
Operating income	9,684	9,992
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(157)	(316)
Other	134	40
Other income (expense) - net	(23)	(276)
Income before taxes	9,661	9,716
Income tax expense	3,272	3,846
Net earnings	6,389	5,870
Less: net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	113	59
Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 6,276	\$ 5,811
Basic earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.44
Diluted earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.44
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	13,340	13,332
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	13,815	13,332

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

Combined and Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited - In thousands)

	Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Operating activities		
Net earnings	\$ 6,389	\$ 5,870
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	5,612	5,989
Loss (gain) on disposal of property and equipment	28	(7)
Share based compensation expense	476	72
Inventory write-offs for obsolescence	965	676
Other	(3,181)	3,175
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(3,698)	(9,822)
Inventories	(1,256)	(1,659)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,089)	(1,089)
Trade accounts payable	766	1,488
Other current liabilities	(2,743)	4,698
Net cash provided by operating activities	269	9,391
Investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(5,098)	(3,884)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	134	128
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,964)	(3,756)
Financing activities		
Principal payments on long-term debt and capital leases	(45)	(129)
Net changes in short-term borrowings	652	521
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(15)	(16)
Transactions with Vishay Intertechnology	-	4,695
Net cash provided by financing activities	592	5,071
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,801	(2,919)
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(2,302)	7,787
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	82,245	63,192
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 79,943	\$ 70,979

See accompanying notes.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Condensed Statement of Equity

(Unaudited - In thousands except share amounts)

	Common Stock	Class B Convertible Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total VPG Inc. Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 1,231	\$ 103	\$ 180,142	\$ 5,894	\$ (10,585)	\$ 176,785	\$ 145	\$ 176,930
Net earnings	-	-	-	6,276	-	6,276	113	6,389
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-	-	-	-	2,989	2,989	-	2,989
Pension and other postretirement actuarial items (net of tax)	-	-	-	-	(2)	(2)	-	(2)
Comprehensive income						9,263	113	9,376
Share based compensation expense	-	-	301	-	-	301	-	301
Restricted stock issuances (9,067 shares)	-	-	142	-	-	142	-	142
Conversion from Class B to common stock (20 shares)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Distribution to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	(15)	(15)
Balance at July 2, 2011	\$ 1,231	\$ 103	\$ 180,585	\$ 12,170	\$ (7,598)	\$ 186,491	\$ 243	\$ 186,734

See accompanying notes.

Vishay Precision Group, Inc.
Notes to Combined and Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation

Background

On July 6, 2010, Vishay Intertechnology, Inc. (“Vishay Intertechnology”) completed the spin-off of Vishay Precision Group, Inc. (“VPG” or the “Company”) through a tax-free stock dividend to Vishay Intertechnology’s stockholders. See Note 2 to our combined and consolidated condensed financial statements.

VPG is an internationally recognized designer, manufacturer and marketer of sensors based on resistive foil technology and sensor-based systems specializing in the growing markets of stress, force, weight, pressure, and current measurements. The Company provides vertically integrated products and solutions that are all based upon its proprietary foil technology. These products are marketed under a variety of brand names that are characterized as having a very high level of precision and quality. The global operations enable the Company to produce a wide variety of products in strategically effective geographical locations that also optimize its resources for specific technologies, sensors, assemblies and systems. The Company reports in two segments: the Foil Technology Products segment and the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment. The Foil Technology Products segment is comprised of ultra-high precision foil resistors and foil strain gages. This segment’s products are sold to third-party customers and are utilized in the manufacture of the vertically integrated modules and systems that comprise the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment.

Carve-out Basis of Presentation

Until July 6, 2010, VPG was part of Vishay Intertechnology and its assets and liabilities consisted of those that Vishay Intertechnology attributed to its precision measurement and foil resistor businesses.

Prior to July 6, 2010, the VPG business was conducted by various direct and indirect subsidiaries of Vishay Intertechnology. The accompanying combined and consolidated condensed financial statements for periods prior to July 6, 2010 have been derived from Vishay Intertechnology’s historical accounting records and are presented on a carve-out basis.

Before effecting the spin-off, all assets and liabilities associated with the precision measurement and foil resistor businesses of Vishay Intertechnology were contributed to VPG.

For periods prior to July 6, 2010, the combined and consolidated condensed statements of operations include all revenues and expenses directly attributable to VPG, including costs for facilities, functions, and services used by VPG at shared sites and costs for certain functions and services performed by centralized Vishay Intertechnology organizations outside of the defined scope of VPG and directly charged to VPG based on usage. The results of operations also include allocations of (i) costs for administrative functions and services performed on behalf of VPG by centralized staff groups within Vishay Intertechnology, (ii) Vishay Intertechnology general corporate expenses, (iii) pension and other postemployment benefit costs, (iv) interest expense, and (v) current and deferred income taxes. See Note 2 for a description of the allocation methodologies utilized.

All of the allocations and estimates in the accompanying combined and consolidated condensed financial statements for periods prior to July 6, 2010, are based on assumptions that VPG and Vishay Intertechnology management believe are reasonable, and reasonably approximate the historical costs that VPG would have incurred as a separate entity for the same level of service or support. However, these allocations and estimates are not necessarily indicative of the costs and expenses that would have resulted if VPG had been operated as a separate entity.

Note 1 – Basis of Presentation (continued)

Carve-out Basis of Presentation (continued)

Since the spin-off, VPG is incurring incremental costs both to replace Vishay Intertechnology support and to function as an independent, publicly-traded company.

Actual costs incurred had VPG been a stand-alone company for periods prior to July 6, 2010, would have depended on a number of factors, including the chosen organizational structure, what functions were outsourced or performed by employees, and strategic decisions made in areas such as information technology and infrastructure. Following the spin-off, VPG is performing these functions using its own resources or purchasing these services.

Interim Financial Statements

These unaudited combined and consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for interim financial statements and therefore do not include all information and footnotes necessary for the presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. The information furnished reflects all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair summary of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the interim periods presented. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the combined and consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, included in VPG’s Annual Report Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2011. The results of operations for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

VPG reports interim financial information for 13-week periods beginning on a Sunday and ending on a Saturday, except for the first quarter, which always begins on January 1, and the fourth quarter, which always ends on December 31. The four fiscal quarters in 2011 and 2010 end on the following dates:

	2011	2010
Quarter 1	April 2nd	April 3rd
Quarter 2	July 2nd	July 3rd
Quarter 3	October 1st	October 2nd
Quarter 4	December 31st	December 31st

Earnings Per Share

Until July 6, 2010, the operations comprising VPG’s business were wholly owned by various subsidiaries of Vishay Intertechnology. As of the date of the spin-off, VPG issued 13.3 million shares of capital stock. This share amount is being utilized for the calculation of basic earnings per common share for periods presented prior to July 6, 2010 as no common stock of the Company existed prior to July 6, 2010. For periods prior to July 6, 2010, the same number of shares is being used for diluted earnings per common share as for basic earnings per common share as no common stock of the Company existed prior to July 6, 2010 and no dilutive securities of the Company were outstanding for any prior period.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued ASU No. 2011-5, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220), Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) requires that all non-owner changes in stockholders’ equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The ASU is effective for the Company for interim and annual periods beginning after January 1, 2012. The adoption of the ASU is not expected to have any effect on the Company’s financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Note 2 – Related Party Transactions

Through July 6, 2010, VPG had significant agreements, transactions, and relationships with Vishay Intertechnology operations outside the defined scope of the VPG business. While these transactions are not necessarily indicative of the terms VPG would have achieved had it been a separate entity, management believes they are reasonable.

Historically, VPG used the corporate services of Vishay Intertechnology to fulfill a variety of functions including treasury, tax, legal, internal audit, human resources, and risk management. Subsequent to the spin-off, VPG is an independent, publicly traded company, and is incurring additional costs associated with being an independent, publicly traded company. These additional costs are not reflected in VPG's historical combined and consolidated condensed financial statements for periods prior to July 6, 2010.

Sales Organizations

Prior to the spin-off, a portion of VPG's Foil Technology products were sold by the Vishay Intertechnology worldwide sales organization, which operates through regionally-based legal entities. The third-party sales of these products are presented in the combined and consolidated condensed financial statements as if they were made by VPG, although legal entities outside of the defined scope of VPG actually made these sales. Third-party sales made through the Vishay Intertechnology worldwide sales organization totaled \$3.0 million and \$6.6 million for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010, respectively.

The selling entities received selling commissions on these sales. Commission rates were set at the beginning of each year based on budgeted selling expenses expected to be incurred by the Vishay Intertechnology sales organization. Commission expense charged to VPG by the Vishay Intertechnology worldwide sales organization was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010, respectively.

The net cash generated by these transactions was retained by the Vishay Intertechnology selling entity and is presented in the combined and consolidated condensed statements of cash flows as a financing activity in the caption "Transactions with Vishay Intertechnology."

These sales activities were transitioned to VPG's dedicated sales forces effective June 1, 2010.

Shared Facilities

VPG and Vishay Intertechnology shared certain manufacturing and administrative sites. Costs were allocated based on relative usage of the respective facilities.

Subsequent to the spin-off, VPG and Vishay Intertechnology continue to share certain manufacturing locations. VPG owns one location in Israel and one location in Japan, at which it initially leased space to Vishay Intertechnology. Vishay Intertechnology vacated the Israel facility during the first quarter of 2011 but continues to lease space from VPG in Japan. Vishay Intertechnology owns one location in Israel and one location in the United States, at which it leases space to VPG.

Administrative Service Sharing Agreements

Through July 6, 2010, the combined and consolidated condensed financial statements include transactions with other Vishay Intertechnology operations involving administrative services (including expenses primarily related to personnel, insurance, logistics, other overhead functions, corporate IT support, and network communications support) that were provided to VPG by Vishay Intertechnology operations outside the defined scope of VPG. Amounts charged to the Company for these services were \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010, respectively. VPG assumed the responsibility for these functions, either internally or by purchasing these services from third-party vendors, following the spin-off.

Note 2 – Related Party Transactions (continued)

Allocated Corporate Overhead Costs

Through July 6, 2010, the costs of certain services, including charges for services such as accounting matters for all SEC filings, investor relations, tax services, cash management, legal services, and risk management on a global basis, that were provided by the Vishay Intertechnology corporate office to VPG have been reflected in the combined and consolidated condensed financial statements as selling general and administrative expenses in the accompanying combined and consolidated condensed statements of operations.

The total amount of allocated costs was \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010, respectively. These costs were allocated to VPG on the ratio of revenues attributed to the VPG business to total revenues and represent management’s reasonable allocation of the costs incurred. However, these amounts are not representative of the costs necessary for VPG to operate as an independent, publicly traded company.

Interest Charges

As previously described, through July 6, 2010, VPG had significant agreements, transactions, and relationships with Vishay Intertechnology operations outside the defined scope of the VPG business. Through July 6, 2010, the combined and consolidated condensed financial statements include charges for interest based on the prevailing interest rate of Vishay Intertechnology’s revolving credit facility, or if greater, an interest rate required by local tax authorities. Interest expense on the net amount payable to affiliates was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million during the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010. Of these amounts, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million during the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010, respectively, was not historically charged by Vishay Intertechnology to VPG. The remaining interest expense was charged to VPG and paid in accordance with local statutory requirements.

Commitments, Contingencies, and Concentrations

Relationships with Vishay Intertechnology After Spin-Off

In connection with the spin-off, on July 6, 2010, the Company and its subsidiaries entered into several agreements with Vishay Intertechnology and its subsidiaries that govern the relationship of the parties following the spin-off.

Transition Services Agreement

Under the terms of a transition services agreement dated July 6, 2010, Vishay Intertechnology agreed to provide to VPG, for a fee, specified support services for a period of up to 18 months after the spin-off. Under this agreement, Vishay Intertechnology continues to provide to VPG certain information technology support services for the Company’s foil resistor business. The cost of the services under the transition services agreement is estimated to be less than \$0.5 million in the aggregate. As of July 2, 2011, \$0.4 million has been paid to Vishay Intertechnology for transition services.

Lease Agreements

Subsequent to the spin-off, VPG and Vishay Intertechnology continue to share certain manufacturing locations.

Future minimum lease payments by VPG for these facilities are estimated as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of 2011	\$ 76
2012	152
2013	152
2014	152
2015	76
Thereafter	-

Note 2 – Related Party Transactions (continued)

Commitments, Contingencies, and Concentrations (continued)

Future minimum lease receipts from Vishay Intertechnology for these shared facilities are estimated as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of 2011	\$ 19
2012	39
2013	39
2014	39
2015	19
Thereafter	-

Supply Agreements

After the spin-off, VPG and Vishay Intertechnology each will require certain products manufactured by the other for manufacture and sale of its respective products. VPG and Vishay Intertechnology have entered into multiple supply agreements pursuant to which one party will be obligated to supply to the other certain products described in the supply agreements, up to a maximum aggregate quantity for each product, at pricing set forth in the supply agreements. The term of each supply agreement is perpetual unless sooner terminated. Either party may terminate the supply agreement at any time upon written notice to the other party at least one year prior to the requested date of termination. The parties will negotiate in good faith as to the pricing for each product on an annual basis taking into account ascertainable market inputs. The aggregate purchase price of products purchased from Vishay Intertechnology is not considered material.

Note 3 – Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 was 36.8% versus 33.2 % for the fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2010. The higher effective tax rate in the current quarter compared to prior year quarter is a reflection of the unfavorable impact from the mix of earnings and the inability to record a tax benefit on certain loss making entities. The effective tax rate for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 was 33.9% versus 39.6% for the six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010. The lower effective tax rate in the current year period compared to prior year period is a reflection of the favorable impact from the mix of earnings in low tax jurisdictions versus that of higher tax jurisdictions, slightly offset by the inability to record a deferred tax benefit due to losses in certain foreign jurisdictions.

Income taxes for VPG for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010, as presented in these combined and consolidated condensed financial statements, are calculated on a separate tax return basis. VPG's operations related to the six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010 were included in Vishay Intertechnology's U.S. federal and certain state tax returns and United Kingdom "group relief" available to entities under common control has been claimed. Vishay Intertechnology's global tax model was developed based on its entire portfolio of businesses. Accordingly, tax results as presented for VPG for the six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010, in these financial statements are not necessarily indicative of future performance and do not necessarily reflect the results that VPG would have generated as an independent, publicly traded company for the period presented.

Prior to spin-off, certain dedicated entities had taxes payable to the local taxing authorities, but VPG did not maintain taxes payable to/from parent in those jurisdictions where the taxable incomes are combined or offset. Accordingly, VPG was deemed to settle the annual current tax balances immediately with the legal tax-paying entities in the respective jurisdictions. These settlements were reflected as changes in parent net investment.

Note 3 – Income Taxes (continued)

The provision for income taxes consists of provisions for federal, state, and foreign income taxes. The effective tax rates for the periods ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010 reflect VPG's expected tax rate on reported income before income tax and tax adjustments. VPG operates in an international environment with significant operations in various locations outside the United States. Accordingly, the consolidated income tax rate is a composite rate reflecting VPG's earnings and the applicable tax rates in the various locations in which VPG operates.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in evaluating the Company's tax positions and determining the provision for income taxes. During the ordinary course of business, there are many transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. VPG establishes reserves for tax-related uncertainties based on estimates of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. These reserves are established when VPG believes that certain positions might be challenged despite its belief that the tax return positions are supportable. VPG adjusts these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances and the provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate. The Company has joint and several liability with Vishay Intertechnology to multiple tax authorities up through the spin-off date. However, under the terms of the Tax Matters Agreement, Vishay Intertechnology has agreed to assume this liability and any similar liability for U.S. federal, state or local and foreign income taxes that are determined on a separate company, consolidated, combined, unitary or similar basis for each taxable period in which VPG was a part of Vishay Intertechnology's affiliated group prior to July 6, 2010. Penalties and tax-related interest expense are reported as a component of income tax expense. The Company anticipates \$0.6 million to \$0.8 million of unrecognized tax benefits to be reversed within the next twelve months of the reporting date due to the expiration of statute of limitations in certain jurisdictions. This reversal will not have an impact to tax expense as the unrecognized tax benefits are a part of the indemnification from Vishay Intertechnology.

Note 4 – Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	July 2, 2011	December 31, 2010
Credit facility - revolving debt	\$ -	\$ -
Exchangeable unsecured notes, due 2102	9,958	9,958
Other debt	1,715	1,734
	11,673	11,692
Less current portion	178	-
	\$ 11,495	\$ 11,692

Note 4 – Long-Term Deb(continued)

Credit Facility

On October 14, 2010, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (“Credit Agreement”) among the Company, the lenders, RBS Citizens, National Association as joint book-runner and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association as agent for such lenders (“the Agent”), pursuant to which the lenders have made available to the Company a multi-currency, secured credit facility. The credit facility consists of a secured revolving facility (“Revolving Facility”) in an aggregate principal amount of \$25.0 million with sublimits of (i) \$5.25 million which can be used for letters of credit, and (ii) up to \$5.0 million which can be used for loans outstanding for up to 5 business days (“Swing Loans”). The Revolving Facility terminates on October 14, 2013.

Interest payable on the Revolving Facility is based upon the Agent’s prime rate, the Federal Funds Rate, or LIBOR (“Base Rate”). Depending upon the Company’s leverage ratio or the type of advance, an interest rate margin ranging from 0.00% to 2.75% per annum is added to the applicable Base Rate to determine the interest payable on the Revolving Facility. The Company paid a one-time fee on the commitment and is required to pay a quarterly fee of 0.30% per annum to 0.50% per annum on the unused portion of the Revolving Facility which is determined based on the Company’s leverage ratio each quarter. Additional customary fees apply with respect to letters of credit.

The obligations under the Revolving Facility are secured by pledges of stock in certain domestic and foreign subsidiaries, as well as guarantees by all of the Company’s domestic subsidiaries. The obligations of the Company and the guarantors under the Revolving Facility are secured by substantially all the assets (excluding real estate) of the Company and such guarantors. The Credit Agreement restricts the Company from paying cash dividends and requires the Company to comply with other customary covenants, representations and warranties, including the maintenance of specific financial ratios. There is a provision in the Credit Agreement that if the Company is in default of more than \$1.5 million in debt elsewhere, which is not cured, the credit facility could default.

The financial maintenance covenants include (a) a leverage ratio of not more than 2.5 to 1.0; and (b) a fixed charges coverage ratio of not less than 2.5 to 1.0. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The leverage ratio and fixed charges ratio were 0.4 to 1.0 and 40.2 to 1.0, respectively at July 2, 2011. The leverage ratio and fixed charges ratio were 0.4 to 1.0 and 30.0 to 1.0, respectively at December 31, 2010. The Company expects to continue to be in compliance with these covenants based on current projections. If the Company is not in compliance with all of the required financial covenants, the credit facility could be terminated by the lenders, and all amounts outstanding pursuant to the credit facility could become immediately payable.

The Credit Agreement is structured to permit the Company to enter into a second secured revolving credit facility of up to a maximum principal amount not to exceed \$15.0 million (or the equivalent thereof in Israeli shekels to be determined at the time the facility is entered into), on terms and conditions reasonably satisfactory to the Agent and secured separately by assets of the Company’s subsidiaries based primarily in Israel.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Note 4 – Long-Term Deb(continued)

Exchangeable Unsecured Notes, due 2102

By reason of the spin-off, Vishay Intertechnology was required to take action so that the existing exchangeable notes of Vishay Intertechnology were deemed exchanged as of the date of the spin-off, for a combination of new notes of Vishay Intertechnology and notes issued by VPG.

VPG assumed the liability for an aggregate \$10.0 million principal amount of exchangeable notes effective July 6, 2010. The maturity date of the notes is December 13, 2102.

The notes are subject to a put and call agreement under which the holders may at any time put the notes to the Company in exchange for 441,176 shares of the Company's common stock in the aggregate, and the Company may call the notes in exchange for cash or for shares of its common stock at any time after January 1, 2018. The put/call rate of the VPG notes is \$22.57 per share of common stock.

The notes bear interest at LIBOR. Interest is payable quarterly on March 31, June 30, September 30, and December 31 of each calendar year.

Other Debt

Other debt consists of debt held by VPG's Japanese subsidiary. The subsidiary had a revision in the payment terms on this debt, which is now payable monthly over the next 10 years beginning in April of 2011.

Note 5 – Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Other comprehensive income (loss) includes the following components (in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net earnings	\$ 3,025	\$ 4,067	\$ 6,389	\$ 5,870
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	430	(1,826)	2,989	(4,945)
Pension and other postretirement adjustments (net of tax)	18	54	(2)	110
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	448	(1,772)	2,987	(4,835)
Comprehensive income	3,473	2,295	9,376	1,035
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	42	32	113	59
Comprehensive income attributable to VPG stockholders	\$ 3,431	\$ 2,263	\$ 9,263	\$ 976

Note 6 – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

Defined Benefit Plans

Employees of VPG participate in various defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans.

Prior to July 6, 2010, certain employees of VPG in the United States and the United Kingdom have participated in defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans sponsored by Vishay Intertechnology. The annual cost of the Vishay Intertechnology defined benefit plans was allocated to all of the participating businesses based upon a specific actuarial computation, and accordingly, is reflected in the accompanying combined and consolidated condensed statements of operations.

VPG assumed most of the obligations for employees in the United States and the United Kingdom that were employed by VPG after the spin-off, and accordingly, those obligations are included in VPG's consolidated condensed balance sheets. An allocation of plan assets is also reflected in the disclosures below for the periods prior to July 6, 2010. Plan assets were transferred to VPG as of the spin-off and invested in money market funds and company-owned life insurance policies.

Vishay Intertechnology's principal qualified U.S. pension plan, the Vishay Retirement Plan ("VRP"), was frozen effective January 1, 2009 and participants no longer accrue benefits. Given the frozen nature of the VRP, participants who became employees of VPG at the spin-off became terminated, vested participants of the VRP as of the spin-off date and Vishay Intertechnology retained the related pension obligations.

Employees who participated in the Vishay Nonqualified Retirement Plan who became employees of VPG at the spin-off transferred into the newly created Vishay Precision Group Nonqualified Retirement Plan. The Vishay Nonqualified Retirement Plan was frozen effective January 1, 2009. Accordingly, the Vishay Precision Group Nonqualified Retirement Plan is also frozen and participants do not continue to accrue benefits.

The Vishay Precision Group Nonqualified Retirement Plan, like all nonqualified plans, is considered to be unfunded. VPG maintains a nonqualified trust, referred to as a "rabbi" trust, to fund benefits under this plan. Rabbi trust assets are subject to creditor claims under certain conditions and are not the property of employees. Therefore, they are accounted for as other noncurrent assets. Effective July 6, 2010, Vishay Intertechnology deposited an allocation of assets into a newly created rabbi trust for VPG. The consolidated condensed balance sheets include these rabbi trust assets of \$1.4 million at July 2, 2011 and \$1.4 million at December 31, 2010, which approximate the pension liability at those dates.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Note 6 – Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits (continued)

The following table sets forth the components of net periodic cost of pension and other postretirement benefit plans (in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011		Fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2010	
	Pension Plans	OPEB Plans	Pension Plans	OPEB Plans
Net service cost	\$ 125	\$ 10	\$ 61	\$ 9
Interest cost	227	35	197	34
Expected return on plan assets	(154)	-	(124)	-
Amortization of actuarial losses	-	12	2	8
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 198	\$ 57	\$ 136	\$ 51

	Six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011		Six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010	
	Pension Plans	OPEB Plans	Pension Plans	OPEB Plans
Net service cost	\$ 248	\$ 20	\$ 124	\$ 18
Interest cost	450	70	402	68
Expected return on plan assets	(306)	-	(253)	-
Amortization of actuarial losses	1	24	5	16
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 393	\$ 114	\$ 278	\$ 102

Other Retirement Obligations

Prior to July 6, 2010, certain key employees participated in a nonqualified deferred compensation plan sponsored by Vishay Intertechnology. These employees transferred to a newly created nonqualified deferred compensation plan of VPG. The accompanying consolidated condensed balance sheets include a liability within other noncurrent liabilities related to these deferrals. Vishay Intertechnology maintains a nonqualified trust, referred to as a “rabbi” trust, to fund payments under this plan. Rabbi trust assets are subject to creditor claims under certain conditions and are not the property of employees. Therefore, they are accounted for as other noncurrent assets. Effective July 6, 2010, Vishay Intertechnology deposited an allocation of assets into a newly created rabbi trust for VPG. The consolidated condensed balance sheets include these rabbi trust assets of \$2.6 million at July 2, 2011 and \$2.6 million at December 31, 2010, which amounts approximate VPG’s liability under this plan at those dates.

Note 7 – Share Based Compensation

Effective July 6, 2010, the Company’s Board of Directors and Vishay Intertechnology (as the Company’s sole stockholder prior to the spin-off) approved the adoption of the Vishay Precision Group, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Program (as amended, the “2010 Program”). The 2010 Program permits the grant of up to 500,000 shares of restricted stock, unrestricted stock, restricted stock units (“RSUs”), and stock options to officers, employees and non-employee directors. At July 2, 2011, the Company had reserved 324,361 shares of common stock for future grant of equity awards, pursuant to the 2010 Program. If any outstanding awards are forfeited by the holder or cancelled by the Company, the underlying shares would be available for regrant to others.

Stock Options

In connection with the spin-off, VPG agreed to issue certain replacement awards to VPG employees holding equity-based awards of Vishay Intertechnology based on VPG’s common stock. The vesting schedule, expiration date, and other terms of these awards are generally the same as those of the Vishay Intertechnology equity-based awards they replaced. A large group of options expired approximately three months after the spin-off and were out-of-the- money throughout their respective terms.

The following table summarizes the Company’s stock option activity (number of options in thousands):

	2011		Weighted
	Number	Average	Average
	of	Exercise	Remaining
	Options	Price	Contractual
			Life
Outstanding:			
Balance at January 1, 2011	32	\$ 18.03	
Granted	-	-	
Exercised	-	-	
Cancelled/expired	-	-	
Balance at July 2, 2011	32	\$ 18.03	4.98
Vested and			
expected to vest	32	\$ 18.03	
Exercisable:			
End of period	23	\$ 18.58	

The pretax intrinsic value (the difference between the closing stock price of VPG’s common stock on the last trading day of the fiscal quarter of \$17.05 per share and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on July 2, 2011 is not material. No options were exercised during the fiscal quarter or six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011.

Note 7 – Share Based Compensation (continued)

Restricted Stock Units

The VPG Board of Directors agreed to grant “founders’ equity” awards pursuant to the 2010 Program to directors and executive officers. The fair value of the awards to directors is recognized over a three year vesting schedule. The fair value of the awards to the executive officers is vested on July 6, 2013. Each RSU entitles the recipient to receive a share of common stock when the RSU vests. The amount of compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions is measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity instruments issued. VPG determines compensation cost for RSUs based on the grant-date fair value of the underlying common stock. Compensation cost is recognized over the period that the participant provides service in exchange for the award.

On June 2, 2011, the VPG Board of Directors approved the issuance of 3,036 restricted stock units to the three independent board members and to the non-executive Chairman of the Board. The amount of compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions is measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity instruments issued. The compensation cost with respect to the awards is recognized ratably over the one year vesting period of such awards.

VPG’s three executive officers are entitled to annual performance-based equity awards. Performance criteria include measures of operating margin and EBITDA for the Company. In addition, for 2011, the chief technical officer has a number of personal objectives that must be achieved in order to receive his full bonus. If the performance criteria are met, the RSUs are granted, and thereafter the RSUs vest 25% on the date of grant and the balance in annual installments over the three subsequent years. The awards relating to 2010 performance have an aggregate target grant-date fair value of \$0.6 million. All performance goals were met for the 2010 awards resulting in the granting of 35,949 RSUs on March 15, 2011. One quarter of the awards vested on that date. The remaining portion of the RSUs will vest ratably over the next three years. The awards with respect to 2011 performance have an aggregate target grant-date fair value of \$0.7 million and will be determined and granted during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012. The Company recognizes compensation cost for RSUs that are expected to vest, and expects performance criteria to be met.

VPG’s chief executive officer was granted 3,765 RSUs on March 15, 2011 at a grant-date fair value of \$11.53. These awards vest in equal amounts on May 28, 2011, May 28, 2012, and May 28, 2013. These RSUs were granted in replacement of corresponding restricted stock units of Vishay Intertechnology that were cancelled in connection with the spin-off from Vishay Intertechnology.

RSU activity as of July 2, 2011 is presented below (number of RSUs in thousands):

	Number of RSUs	Weighted Average Grant-date Fair Value
Outstanding:		
Balance at January 1, 2011	101	\$ 15.79
Granted	43	16.40
Vested	(10)	16.12
Cancelled	-	-
Balance at July 2, 2011	134	\$ 15.96

Note 7 – Share Based Compensation (continued)

Share based Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes share based compensation expense recognized (in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Stock options	\$ 5	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$ 20
Restricted stock units	163	4	305	8
Restricted stock units (performance based)	82	-	161	-
Other	-	-	-	44
Total	\$ 250	\$ 14	\$ 476	\$ 72

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Note 8 – Segment Information

VPG reports in two segments: Foil Technology Products segment and Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment. The Foil Technology Products reporting segment is comprised of the foil resistors and strain gage operating segments and the Weighing Modules and Control Systems reporting segment is comprised of the transducers/load cells and weighing systems operating segments.

VPG evaluates reporting segment performance based on operating income, exclusive of certain items. Management believes that evaluating segment performance, excluding items such as restructuring and severance costs, and other items is meaningful because it provides insight with respect to intrinsic operating results of VPG.

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net third-party revenues:				
Foil Technology Products	\$ 29,030	\$ 25,584	\$ 57,208	\$ 49,481
Weighing Modules & Control Systems	33,103	27,330	64,450	51,608
Total	\$ 62,133	\$ 52,914	\$ 121,658	\$ 101,089
Gross profit:				
Foil Technology Products	\$ 13,356	\$ 12,574	\$ 25,828	\$ 24,506
Weighing Modules & Control Systems	8,734	7,408	17,272	12,524
Total	\$ 22,090	\$ 19,982	\$ 43,100	\$ 37,030
Segment operating income (loss):				
Foil Technology Products	\$ 8,784	\$ 8,749	\$ 16,727	\$ 16,985
Weighing Modules & Control Systems	2,920	2,122	5,846	1,964
Unallocated G&A expenses	(6,697)	(4,720)	(12,889)	(8,957)
Combined and consolidated condensed operating income	\$ 5,007	\$ 6,151	\$ 9,684	\$ 9,992

Products are transferred between segments on a basis intended to reflect, as nearly as practicable, the market value of the products. Intersegment sales from the Foil Technology Products segment to the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment were \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million during the fiscal quarters ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010, respectively, and \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million during the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 and July 3, 2010, respectively.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Note 9 – Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders (in thousands, except earnings per share):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Numerator:				
Numerator for basic earnings per share:				
Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 2,983	\$ 4,035	\$ 6,276	\$ 5,811
Adjustment to the numerator for net earnings:				
Interest savings assuming conversion of dilutive exchangeable notes, net of tax	5	-	10	-
Numerator for diluted earnings per share:				
Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	\$ 2,988	\$ 4,035	\$ 6,286	\$ 5,811
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share:				
Weighted average shares	13,341	13,332	13,340	13,332
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Exchangeable notes	441	-	441	-
Employee stock options	1	-	1	-
Restricted stock units	37	-	33	-
Dilutive potential common shares	479	-	475	-
Denominator for diluted earnings per share:				
Adjusted weighted average shares	13,820	13,332	13,815	13,332
Basic earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders/parent				
	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.44
Diluted earnings per share attributable to VPG stockholders/parent				
	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.44

Until July 6, 2010, the operations comprising VPG's business were wholly owned by various subsidiaries of Vishay Intertechnology. As of the date of the spin-off, VPG issued 13.3 million shares of capital stock. This share amount is utilized for the calculation of basic earnings per common share for periods presented prior to July 6, 2010 as no common stock of the Company existed prior to July 6, 2010. For periods prior to July 6, 2010, the same number of shares is being used for diluted earnings per common share as for basic earnings per common share as no common stock of the Company existed prior to July 6, 2010 and no dilutive securities of the Company were outstanding for any prior period.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Note 9 – Earnings Per Share (continued)

Diluted earnings per share for the periods presented do not reflect the following weighted average potential common shares, as the effect would be antidilutive (in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Exchangeable notes:				
Exchangeable Unsecured Notes, due 2102	-	-	-	-
Weighted average employee stock options	-	-	-	-
Weighted average warrants	630	-	630	-
Weighted average other	-	-	-	-

Note 10 – Additional Financial Statement Information

The caption “other” on the combined and consolidated condensed statements of operations consists of the following (in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Foreign exchange (loss) gain	\$ (224)	\$ 69	\$ (83)	\$ 115
Interest income	93	81	171	172
Other	(15)	(106)	46	(247)
	\$ (146)	\$ 44	\$ 134	\$ 40

Other accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

	July 2, 2011	December 31, 2010
Goods received, not yet invoiced	\$ 1,687	\$ 2,861
Other	5,747	5,819
	\$ 7,434	\$ 8,680

Note 11 – Fair Value Measurements

ASC Topic 820 establishes a valuation hierarchy of the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the Company’s own assumptions.

An asset or liability’s classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following tables provide the financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	Total Fair Value	Fair value measurements at reporting date using:		
		Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
July 2, 2011				
Assets held in rabbi trusts	\$ 4,039	\$ 802	\$ 3,237	\$ -
December 31, 2010				
Assets held in rabbi trusts	\$ 3,943	\$ 778	\$ 3,165	\$ -

The Company maintains nonqualified trusts, referred to as “rabbi” trusts, to fund payments under deferred compensation and nonqualified pension plans. Rabbi trust assets consist primarily of marketable securities, classified as available-for-sale money market funds at July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and company-owned life insurance assets. The marketable securities held in the rabbi trusts are valued using quoted market prices on the last business day of the period. The company-owned life insurance assets are valued in consultation with the Company’s insurance brokers using the value of underlying assets of the insurance contracts. The fair value measurement of the marketable securities held in the rabbi trust is considered a Level 1 measurement and the measurement of the company-owned life insurance assets is considered a Level 2 measurement within the fair value hierarchy.

The fair value of the long-term debt at July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010, is approximately \$9.5 million and \$10.4 million, respectively. The Company estimates the fair value of its long-term debt using a combination of quoted market prices for similar financing arrangements and expected future payments discounted at risk-adjusted rates.

The Company’s financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, long-term notes receivable, short-term notes payable, and accounts payable. The carrying amounts for these financial instruments reported in the combined and consolidated balance sheets approximate their fair values.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

VPG is an internationally recognized designer, manufacturer and marketer of sensors based on resistive foil technology and sensor-based systems specializing in the growing markets of stress, force, weight, pressure, and current measurements. We provide vertically integrated products and solutions that are primarily based upon our proprietary foil technology. These products are marketed under a variety of brand names that we believe are characterized as having a very high level of precision and quality. Our global operations enable us to produce a wide variety of products in strategically effective geographical locations that also optimize our resources for specific technologies, sensors, assemblies and systems. We report in two segments: the Foil Technology Products segment and the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment. The Foil Technology Products segment is comprised of ultra-high precision foil resistors and foil strain gages. This segment's products are sold to third-party customers and are utilized in the manufacture of the vertically integrated modules and systems that comprise our Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment.

The Company's products are sense elements, components, and transducers that convert mechanical inputs into an electronic signal for display, processing, interpretation, or control by our instrumentation and systems products. Precision sensors are essential to the accurate measurement, resolution and display of force, weight, pressure, tilt, motion, or acceleration, especially in the legal-for-trade, commercial, and industrial marketplace in a wide variety of applications. Our products are not typically used in the consumer market.

The precision sensor market is being influenced by the significant increase in intelligent products across virtually all end markets, including medical, agricultural, transportation, industrial, avionics, military, and space applications. We believe that as original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") strive to make products "smarter", they are generally integrating more sensors to link the analog/physical world with digital control and/or response.

Until July 6, 2010, our business was part of Vishay Intertechnology, and our assets and liabilities consisted of those that Vishay Intertechnology attributed to its precision measurement and foil resistor businesses. Following the spin-off on July 6, 2010, we are an independent, publicly traded company, and Vishay Intertechnology does not retain any ownership interest in us.

VPG reports in two segments: Foil Technology Products segment and Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment. The Foil Technology Products reporting segment is comprised of the foil resistors and strain gage operating segments, which include strain gages, ultra-precision foil resistors, and current sensors. The Weighing Module and Control Systems reporting segment is comprised of the load cells and weighing systems operating segments, which include transducers/load cells, instruments, weighing modules, and complete systems for process control or on-board weighing applications.

Net revenues for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 were \$62.1 million versus \$52.9 million for the comparable prior year period. Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 were \$3.0 million, or \$0.22 per diluted share, versus \$4.0 million, or \$0.30 per diluted share, for the comparable prior year period. The change in net earnings largely reflects an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses associated with operating as an independent, publicly traded company with global operations.

Net revenues for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 were \$121.7 million versus \$101.1 million for the comparable prior year period. Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 were \$6.3 million, or \$0.46 per diluted share, versus \$5.8 million, or \$0.44 per diluted share, for the comparable prior year period.

We have seen a steady increase in demand for our products over the first six months of 2011. This growth came from both of our reportable segments resulting in higher revenues as compared to the prior year periods.

Financial Metrics

We utilize several financial measures and metrics to evaluate the performance and assess the future direction of our business. These key financial measures and metrics include net revenues, gross profit margin, end-of-period backlog, book-to-bill ratio, and inventory turnover.

Gross profit margin is computed as gross profit as a percentage of net revenues. Gross profit is generally net revenues less costs of products sold, but could also include certain other period costs. Gross profit margin is primarily a function of net revenues, but also reflects our cost-cutting programs and our ability to contain fixed costs.

End-of-period backlog is one indicator of potential future sales. We include in our backlog only open orders that have been released by the customer for shipment in the next twelve months. If demand falls below customers' forecasts, or if customers do not control their inventory effectively, they may cancel or reschedule the shipments that are included in our backlog, in many instances without the payment of any penalty. Therefore, the backlog is not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for future periods.

Another important indicator of demand in our industry is the book-to-bill ratio, which is the ratio of the amount of product ordered during a period compared with the product that we ship during that period. A book-to-bill ratio that is greater than one indicates that demand is higher than current revenues and manufacturing capacities and it indicates that we may generate increasing revenues in future periods. Conversely, a book-to-bill ratio that is less than one is an indicator of lower demand compared to existing revenues and current capacities and may foretell declining sales.

We focus on our inventory turnover as a measure of how well we are managing our inventory. We define inventory turnover for a financial reporting period as our costs of products sold for the four fiscal quarters ending on the last day of the reporting period divided by our average inventory (computed using each quarter-end balance) for this same period. A higher level of inventory turnover reflects more efficient use of our capital.

The quarter-to-quarter trends in these financial metrics can also be an important indicator of the likely direction of our business. The following table shows net revenues, gross profit margin, the end-of-period backlog, the book-to-bill ratio, and the inventory turnover for our business as a whole during the five quarters beginning with the second quarter of 2010 and through the second quarter of 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	2nd Quarter 2010	3rd Quarter 2010	4th Quarter 2010	1st Quarter 2011	2nd Quarter 2011
Net revenues	\$ 52,914	\$ 51,608	\$ 54,827	\$ 59,525	\$ 62,133
Gross profit margin	37.8%	37.1%	38.2%	35.3%	35.6%
End-of-period backlog	\$ 38,400	\$ 42,200	\$ 40,300	\$ 47,100	\$ 48,500
Book-to-bill ratio	1.06	1.04	0.97	1.09	1.02
Inventory turnover	2.97	2.88	2.87	3.17	3.27

See "Financial Metrics by Segment" below for net revenues, gross profit margin, end-of-period backlog, book-to-bill ratio, and inventory turnover broken out by segment.

The recovery from the global economic recession, which began in the second half of 2009, continued during 2010 and into 2011. Net revenues have increased on a sequential basis due to an increase in demand in both segments, with the exception of the third quarter of 2010. The third quarter historically reflects a seasonal slowdown due to the European holidays.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Gross margins in the second quarter of 2011 were relatively unchanged from the first quarter of 2011. The fluctuation sequentially, particularly from the fourth quarter of 2010 to the second quarter of 2011, was due to favorable adjustments booked in the fourth quarter of 2010 as follows: (1) an inventory revaluation adjustment, (2) a warranty reserve adjustment and (3) a physical inventory adjustment. These were one-time adjustments in that quarter, which therefore impact the comparability with the 2011 quarters, as they are not recurring. The gross margins in the second quarter of 2011, when compared to the second quarter of 2010, were also negatively impacted by a decline in average selling prices, higher manufacturing costs and an unfavorable product mix.

Financial Metrics by Segment

The following table shows net revenues, gross profit margin, end-of-period backlog, book-to-bill ratio, and inventory turnover broken out by segment for the five quarters beginning with the second quarter of 2010 and through the second quarter of 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	2nd Quarter 2010	3rd Quarter 2010	4th Quarter 2010	1st Quarter 2011	2nd Quarter 2011
Foil Technology Products					
Net revenues	\$ 25,584	\$ 25,557	\$ 26,519	\$ 28,178	\$ 29,030
Gross profit margin	49.1%	45.2%	46.6%	44.3%	46.0%
End-of-period backlog	\$ 19,400	\$ 21,200	\$ 20,500	\$ 24,800	\$ 25,300
Book-to-bill ratio	1.05	1.04	0.98	1.13	1.01
Inventory turnover	3.73	3.81	3.56	3.71	3.60
Weighing Modules and Control Systems					
Net revenues	\$ 27,330	\$ 26,051	\$ 28,308	\$ 31,347	\$ 33,103
Gross profit margin	27.1%	29.2%	30.4%	27.2%	26.4%
End-of-period backlog	\$ 19,000	\$ 21,000	\$ 19,800	\$ 22,300	\$ 23,200
Book-to-bill ratio	1.07	1.04	0.97	1.06	1.02
Inventory turnover	2.62	2.42	2.53	2.88	3.08

Acquisition Strategy

We have a vertical product integration strategy to grow our weighing modules and control systems business and to promote our sophisticated products that integrate the precision measurement components that we design and produce.

As part of our growth strategy, we seek to expand through acquisition of other manufacturers of products that are similar or complementary to our existing product portfolio, particularly manufacturers who have established positions in major markets, reputations for product quality and reliability, and product lines with which we have substantial marketing and technical expertise. We also explore opportunities to acquire smaller targets to gain market share, effectively penetrate different geographic markets, enhance new product development, or grow our high margin niche market businesses.

We expect to continue our program of strategic acquisitions, particularly where opportunities present themselves to grow our Weighing Modules and Control Systems market segment. Upon completion of acquisitions, we seek to reduce selling, general, and administrative expenses through the integration or elimination of redundant sales offices and administrative functions at acquired companies.

There is no assurance that we will be able to identify and acquire suitable acquisition candidates at price levels and on terms and conditions we consider acceptable.

Research and Development

Research and development will continue to play a key role in our efforts to introduce innovative products for new sales and to improve profitability. To that end, we expect to increase our R&D expenditures to fill our product development pipeline and lay the foundation for future sales growth. We expect to continue to expand our position as a leading supplier of resistive foil technology and sensor-based systems. We believe our R&D efforts should provide us with a variety of opportunities to leverage technology, products, and our manufacturing base and, ultimately, our financial performance.

Cost Management

To be successful, we believe we must seek new strategies for controlling operating costs. Through automation in our plants, we believe we can optimize our capital and labor resources in production, inventory management, quality control, and warehousing. We are in the process of moving some manufacturing from higher-labor-cost countries to lower-labor-cost countries, such as Costa Rica, India, Israel, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of China (Taiwan). This will enable us to become more efficient and cost competitive, while maintaining tighter control over operations.

A primary tenet of our business strategy is expansion through acquisitions. In addition to the primary objective of enhancing our strategy of vertical product integration, our acquisition strategy includes a focus on reducing selling, general, and administrative expenses and achieving significant production cost savings at acquired companies. The plant closure and employee termination costs subsequent to acquisitions are also integral to our cost reduction programs.

We evaluate potential restructuring projects based on an expected payback period. The payback period represents the number of years of annual cost savings necessary to recover the initial cash outlay for severance and other exit costs plus the noncash expenses recognized for asset write-downs. In general, a restructuring project must have a payback of less than 3 years to be considered beneficial.

These production transfers, facility consolidations, and other long-term cost-cutting measures require us to initially incur significant severance and other exit costs. We anticipate that we will realize the benefits of our restructuring through lower labor costs and other operating expenses in future periods. However, these strategies to improve our profitability also involve certain risks which could materially impact our future operating results, as further detailed in Item 1A "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. We did not initiate any new restructuring programs during 2010 or during the fiscal quarter or six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011.

We are presently executing plans to further reduce our costs by consolidating additional manufacturing operations with our expansion into India. The plans will require us to incur restructuring and severance costs in future periods. However, after implementing these plans, we do not anticipate significant restructuring and severance costs for our business except in the context of acquisition integration.

While streamlining and reducing fixed overhead, we are exercising caution so that we will not negatively impact our customer service or our ability to further develop products and processes.

Our operations in Japan were not significantly impacted by the March 2011 Sendai earthquake. Our site in Akita, Japan was shut down for only a few days and then resumed normal business operations. Management will continue to assess and ensure the safety and efficiency of our operations in Japan in an effort to manage costs and minimize disruption to our operations and customers.

Foreign Currency

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks, particularly due to transactions in currencies other than the functional currencies of certain subsidiaries. U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) require that entities identify the “functional currency” of each of their subsidiaries and measure all elements of the financial statements in that functional currency. A subsidiary’s functional currency is the currency of the primary economic environment in which it operates. In cases where a subsidiary is relatively self-contained within a particular country, the local currency is generally deemed to be the functional currency. However, a foreign subsidiary that is a direct and integral component or extension of the parent company’s operations generally would have the parent company’s currency as its functional currency. We have both situations among our subsidiaries.

Foreign Subsidiaries Which Use the Local Currency as the Functional Currency

We finance our operations in Europe and certain locations in Asia in local currencies, and accordingly, these subsidiaries utilize the local currency as their functional currency. For those subsidiaries where the local currency is the functional currency, assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets have been translated at the rate of exchange as of the balance sheet date. Translation adjustments do not impact the results of operations and are reported as a separate component of equity.

For those subsidiaries where the local currency is the functional currency, revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate for the year. While the translation of revenues and expenses into U.S. dollars does not directly impact the consolidated statement of operations, the translation effectively increases or decreases the U.S. dollar equivalent of revenues generated and expenses incurred in those foreign currencies.

Foreign Subsidiaries Which Use the U.S. Dollar as the Functional Currency

Our operations in Israel and certain locations in Asia are largely financed in U.S. dollars, and accordingly, these subsidiaries utilize the U.S. dollar as their functional currency. For those foreign subsidiaries where the U.S. dollar is the functional currency, all foreign currency financial statement amounts are remeasured into U.S. dollars. Exchange gains and losses arising from remeasurement of foreign currency-denominated monetary assets and liabilities are included in the results of operations. While these subsidiaries transact most business in U.S. dollars, they may have significant costs, particularly payroll-related, which are incurred in the local currency. The cost of products sold and selling, general, and administrative expense for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 were unfavorably impacted (compared to the prior year period) by local currency transactions of subsidiaries which use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Results of Operations

Statement of operations' captions as a percentage of net revenues and the effective tax rates were as follows:

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Costs of products sold	64.4%	62.2%	64.6%	63.4%
Gross profit	35.6%	37.8%	35.4%	36.6%
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	27.5%	26.1%	27.5%	26.7%
Operating income	8.1%	11.6%	8.0%	9.9%
Income before taxes	7.7%	11.5%	7.9%	9.6%
Net earnings	4.9%	7.7%	5.3%	5.8%
Net earnings attributable to VPG stockholders/parent	4.8%	7.6%	5.2%	5.7%
Effective tax rate	36.8%	33.2%	33.9%	39.6%

Net Revenues

Net revenues were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net revenues	\$ 62,133	\$ 52,914	\$ 121,658	\$ 101,089
Change versus comparable prior year period	\$ 9,219		\$ 20,569	
Percentage change versus prior year period	17.4%		20.3%	

Changes in net revenues were attributable to the following:

	vs. prior year quarter	vs. prior year- to-date
Change attributable to:		
Change in volume	12.2%	17.4%
Change in average selling prices	-1.9%	-1.3%
Foreign currency effects	6.8%	4.2%
Other	0.3%	0.0%
Net change	17.4%	20.3%

During the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011, our sales volume increased in both of our reportable segments, which we believe reflects improving economic conditions. Our orders and demand across all product lines have continued to increase through the first half of 2011.

Gross Profit and Margins

Gross profit margin for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 was 35.6% as compared to 37.8% for the fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2010. Gross profit margin for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 was 35.4% as compared to 36.6% for the six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010. Volume increases were offset by a decrease in average selling prices and higher manufacturing fixed costs for both periods, respectively.

Segments

Analysis of revenues and gross profit margins for our reportable segments is provided below.

Foil Technology Products

Net revenues of the Foil Technology Products segment were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net revenues	\$ 29,030	\$ 25,584	\$ 57,208	\$ 49,481
Change versus comparable prior year period	\$ 3,446		\$ 7,727	
Percentage change versus prior year period	13.5%		15.6%	

Changes in Foil Technology Products segment net revenues were attributable to the following:

	vs. prior year quarter	vs. prior year- to-date
Change attributable to:		
Change in volume	7.6%	11.8%
Change in average selling prices	-0.4%	-0.2%
Foreign currency effects	5.9%	3.6%
Other	0.4%	0.4%
Net change	13.5%	15.6%

Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues for the Foil Technology Products segment was as follows:

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Gross margin percentage	46.0%	49.1%	45.1%	49.5%

For the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011, gross margin percentage decreased from the comparable prior year periods largely due to increased manufacturing fixed costs and inventory obsolescence. Additionally, the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 were impacted by manufacturing inefficiencies, such as excess material usage and additional labor costs, when compared to the six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010.

Weighing Modules and Control Systems

Net revenues of the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Net revenues	\$ 33,103	\$ 27,330	\$ 64,450	\$ 51,608
Change versus comparable prior year period	\$ 5,773		\$ 12,842	
Percentage change versus prior year period	21.1%		24.9%	

Changes in the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment net revenues were attributable to the following:

	vs. prior year quarter	vs. prior year- to-date
Change attributable to:		
Change in volume	16.5%	23.0%
Change in average selling prices	-3.1%	-2.3%
Foreign currency effects	8.0%	4.5%
Other	-0.3%	-0.3%
Net change	21.1%	24.9%

Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues for the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment were as follows:

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Gross margin percentage	26.4%	27.1%	26.8%	24.3%

The gross margin percentage for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 decreased from the comparable prior year period due to a decline in average selling prices, mainly from unfavorable product mix. The gross margin percentage for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 increased from the comparable prior year period mainly due to increased sales volume.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general, and administrative (“SG&A”) expenses were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		Six fiscal months ended	
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010
Total SG&A expenses	\$ 17,083	\$ 13,831	\$ 33,416	\$ 27,038
as a percentage of net revenues	27.5%	26.1%	27.5%	26.7%

Given the specialized nature of our products and our direct sales approach, we incur significant selling, general, and administrative costs.

SG&A expenses for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 increased from the comparable prior year period, primarily due to increased personnel costs and fees incurred in connection with our transition to being an independent, publicly traded company and our requirement to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. SG&A expenses for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010 included allocations of corporate overhead costs from Vishay Intertechnology of \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, which impacts comparability of the periods presented.

Through the date of the spin-off, we had significant agreements, transactions, and relationships with Vishay Intertechnology operations outside the defined scope of our business. While these transactions are not necessarily indicative of the terms we would have achieved had we been a separate entity, management believes they were reasonable. A description of these transactions and allocations is included in Note 2 to our combined and consolidated condensed financial statements.

Historically, we used the corporate services of Vishay Intertechnology for a variety of functions including treasury, tax, legal, internal audit, human resources, and risk management. As of the spin-off, we are an independent, publicly traded company and we incurred additional SG&A costs associated with being an independent, publicly traded company. These additional costs are not reflected in the historical combined and consolidated condensed financial statements.

Pursuant to the Transition Services Agreement, Vishay Intertechnology provides VPG certain information technology support services for our foil resistor business. The cost of the services under the transition services agreement is estimated to be less than \$0.5 million in the aggregate. As of July 2, 2011, \$0.4 million has been paid to Vishay Intertechnology for transition services.

Other Income (Expense)

Total interest expense for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 decreased by less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, as compared to the prior year periods. Interest for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 consisted of interest on the exchangeable notes issued in connection with the spin-off and the overdraft facility in India. Interest expense in the fiscal quarter and six months ended July 3, 2010 was primarily associated with the net payable to Vishay Intertechnology. Interest expense on the net payable to Vishay Intertechnology through the date of the spin-off is included in the combined and consolidated condensed financial statements based on the prevailing interest rate of Vishay Intertechnology’s revolving credit facility, or if greater, an interest rate required by local tax authorities.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency exchange gains and losses represent the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates with, among other things, the revaluation of balance sheet accounts. The Company recorded foreign exchange losses of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million during the fiscal quarter and fiscal six months ended July 2, 2011, as compared to foreign exchange gains of \$0.1 million for the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010. The foreign exchange gains and losses during the periods presented are largely due to the revaluation of the non-U.S. dollar-denominated assets at the Company's Israeli operations and the movement of the U.S. dollar compared to the Euro.

The following table analyzes the components of the line "Other" on the combined and consolidated condensed statements of operations (in thousands):

	Fiscal quarter ended		
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	Change
Foreign exchange (loss) gain	\$ (224)	\$ 69	\$ (293)
Interest income	93	81	12
Other	(15)	(106)	91
	\$ (146)	\$ 44	\$ (190)
	Six fiscal months ended		
	July 2, 2011	July 3, 2010	Change
Foreign exchange (loss) gain	\$ (83)	\$ 115	\$ (198)
Interest income	171	172	(1)
Other	46	(247)	293
	\$ 134	\$ 40	\$ 94

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the fiscal quarter ended July 2, 2011 was 36.8% versus 33.2% for the fiscal quarter ended July 3, 2010. The higher effective tax rate in the current quarter compared to prior year quarter is a reflection of the unfavorable impact from the mix of earnings and the inability to record a tax benefit on certain loss making entities. The effective tax rate for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 was 33.9% versus 39.6% for the six fiscal months ended July 3, 2010. The lower effective tax rate in the current year period compared to prior year period is a reflection of the favorable impact from the mix of earnings in low tax jurisdictions versus that of higher tax jurisdictions, slightly offset by the inability to record a deferred tax benefit due to losses in certain foreign jurisdictions.

The effective tax rates reflect the fact that we could not recognize for accounting purposes the tax benefit of losses incurred in certain jurisdictions, although these losses may be available to offset future taxable income. We evaluate our deferred income taxes quarterly to determine if valuation allowances are required or should be adjusted. We give consideration to whether valuation allowances should be established against deferred tax assets based on all available evidence, both positive and negative, using a "more likely than not" standard. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with loss carryforwards not expiring and tax planning alternatives, as we operate and derive income across multiple jurisdictions. We may not recognize deferred tax assets for loss carryforwards in jurisdictions where there is a recent history of cumulative losses, where there is no taxable income in the carryback period, where there is insufficient evidence of future earnings to overcome the loss history and where there is no other positive evidence, such as the likely reversal of taxable temporary differences, that would result in the utilization of loss carryforwards for tax purposes.

We operate in an international environment with significant operations in various locations outside the U.S. Accordingly, the consolidated income tax rate is a composite rate reflecting our earnings and the applicable tax rates in the various locations where we operate. Part of our strategy is to achieve cost savings through the transfer and expansion of manufacturing operations to countries where we can benefit from lower labor costs and available tax and other government-sponsored incentives. Changes in the effective tax rate are largely attributable to changes in the mix of pretax income among our various taxing jurisdictions.

Additional information about income taxes is included in Note 3 to our combined and consolidated condensed financial statements.

Financial Condition, Liquidity, and Capital Resources

At July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010 we had significant cash balances and limited third-party debt. Prior to the spin-off, we had significant intercompany balances payable to Vishay Intertechnology and its affiliates.

Effective July 6, 2010, we issued approximately \$10.0 million aggregate principal amount of exchangeable notes in accordance with the terms of Vishay Intertechnology's outstanding exchangeable notes due 2102, which required that the existing exchangeable notes of Vishay Intertechnology be exchanged in connection with the spin-off, for a combination of new notes of Vishay Intertechnology and notes issued by VPG. The maturity date of these notes is December 13, 2102.

Our other current and long-term debt consists of debt held by our Japanese subsidiary of approximately \$1.7 million at July 2, 2011.

In 2010, we entered into a Credit Agreement among the Company, the lenders, RBS Citizens, National Association as joint book-runner and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association as agent for such lenders ("the Agent"), pursuant to which the lenders have made available to the Company a multi-currency, secured credit facility. The Credit Agreement restricts the Company from paying cash dividends and requires the Company to comply with other customary covenants, representations and warranties, including the maintenance of specific financial ratios.

The Credit Agreement is structured to permit the Company to enter into a second secured revolving credit facility of up to a maximum principal amount not to exceed \$15.0 million (or the equivalent thereof in Israeli shekels to be determined at the time the facility is entered into), on terms and conditions reasonably satisfactory to the Agent and secured separately by assets of the Company based primarily in Israel.

The financial maintenance covenants include (a) a leverage ratio of not more than 2.5 to 1.0; and (b) a fixed charges coverage ratio of not less than 2.5 to 1.0. We were in compliance with all covenants at July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Our leverage ratio and fixed charges ratio were 0.4 to 1.0 and 40.2 to 1.0, respectively, at July 2, 2011. Our leverage ratio and fixed charges ratio were 0.4 to 1.0 and 30.0 to 1.0, respectively, at December 31, 2010. We expect to continue to be in compliance with these covenants based on current projections. If we are not in compliance with all of the required financial covenants, the credit facility could be terminated by the lenders, and all amounts outstanding pursuant to the credit facility could become immediately payable.

Due to our strong product portfolio and market position, our business has historically generated significant cash flow. However, our cash provided by operating activities for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 was \$0.3 million as compared to \$9.4 million for the comparable prior year period. This variance primarily resulted from approximately \$5.5 million in tax payments made during 2011, bonus/profit sharing paid in 2011, and other changes to the working capital accounts.

Edgar Filing: Vishay Precision Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

We refer to the amount of cash generated from operations in excess of our capital expenditure needs and net of proceeds from the sale of assets as “free cash,” a measure which management uses to evaluate our ability to fund acquisitions. We historically have generated positive “free cash,” even in the recent recession. However, we did not generate “free cash” in the period presented due to the lower amount of cash provided by operating activities, and the increase in capital spending for planned expansion during 2011. See additional discussion below regarding the planned increase in capital spending for 2011.

Approximately 87% and 86% of our cash and cash equivalents balance at July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, was held by our non-U.S. subsidiaries. If cash is repatriated to the United States, we would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits), state income taxes, incremental foreign income taxes, and withholding taxes payable to various foreign countries.

The following table summarizes the components of net cash (debt) at July 2, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	July 2, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 79,943	\$ 82,245
Third-party debt, including current and long-term:		
Revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -
Third-party debt held by Japanese subsidiary	1,715	1,734
Exchangeable notes due 2102	9,958	9,958
Notes payable to banks	735	85
Total third-party debt	12,408	11,777
Net cash	\$ 67,535	\$ 70,468

Measurements such as “free cash” and “net cash (debt)” do not have uniform definitions and are not recognized in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Such measures should not be viewed as alternatives to GAAP measures of performance or liquidity. However, management believes that “free cash” is a meaningful measure of our ability to fund acquisitions, and that an analysis of “net cash (debt)” assists investors in understanding aspects of our cash and debt management. These measures, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

Our financial condition as of July 2, 2011 is strong, with a current ratio (current assets to current liabilities) of 5.2 to 1.0, as compared to a ratio of 4.6 to 1.0 at December 31, 2010. The increase in the current ratio is primarily due to an increase in accounts receivable, inventory, and prepaid expenses, and decreases in payroll related liabilities, due to the payment of bonuses, other accrued expenses and income taxes payable. These changes were partially offset by increases in accounts payable.

Cash paid for property and equipment for the six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011 was \$5.1 million as compared to \$3.9 million in the comparable prior year period. This reflects our previously announced increase in capital spending for 2011. Capital expenditures for 2011 are primarily required to: (1) construct a new manufacturing facility in India that will consolidate the majority of our existing manufacturing capacity in the Weighing Modules and Control Systems segment into a low-labor-rate facility; (2) facilitate a new manufacturing line that will begin producing a new product offering in the Foil Technology Products segment; and (3) maintain our business systems and facilities.

Contractual Commitments

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2011 includes a table of contractual commitments as of December 31, 2010. There were no material changes to these commitments during the fiscal quarter and six fiscal months ended July 2, 2011, except as follows:

- in connection with the construction of the new manufacturing facility in India, we have entered into construction contract with a general contractor totaling approximately \$7.9 million, which is expected to be paid in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011; and
- we revised the payment terms on our debt in Japan, which is now payable monthly over the next 10 years beginning in April of 2011.

Safe Harbor Statement

From time to time, information provided by us, including but not limited to statements in this report, or other statements made by or on our behalf, may contain “forward-looking” information within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements involve a number of risks, uncertainties, and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause actual results, performance, or achievements to differ materially from those anticipated.

Such statements are based on current expectations only, and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, or projected. Among the factors that could cause actual results to materially differ include: general business and economic conditions, changes in the current pace of economic recovery, including if such recovery stalls or does not continue as expected; difficulties in integrating acquired companies, the inability to realize anticipated synergies and expansion possibilities, unexpected costs or difficulties related to our recent spin-off and other unanticipated conditions adversely affecting the operation of these companies; difficulties in new product development; changes in competition and technology in the markets that we serve and the mix of our products required to address these changes; changes in foreign currency exchange rates; difficulties in implementing our cost reduction strategies such as labor unrest or legal challenges to our lay-off or termination plans, underutilization of production facilities in lower-labor-cost countries, operation of redundant facilities due to difficulties in transferring production to lower-labor-cost countries; and other factors affecting our operations, markets, products, services, and prices that are set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on March 24, 2011. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in the market risks previously disclosed in Part II, Items 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk", of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2011.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are not required to comply with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 until we file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, so long as we continue to meet the definition of a non-accelerated filer. In our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2011, management and our independent registered public accounting firm will be required to provide an assessment as to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act are: (1) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and (2) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Not applicable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on March 24, 2011.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Vishay Precision Group, Inc. [(incorporated by reference to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 6, 2011).]
- 3.2 Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. [(incorporated by reference to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 6, 2011).]
- 10.1 Amended and Restated 2010 Vishay Precision Group, Inc. Stock Incentive Program. [(incorporated by reference to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 6, 2011).]
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – Ziv Shoshani, Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – William M. Clancy, Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – Ziv Shoshani, Chief Executive Officer.
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 – William M. Clancy, Chief Financial Officer.
- 101 Interactive Data File (Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for the quarterly period ended July 2, 2011, furnished in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language)].

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VISHAY PRECISION GROUP, INC.

/s/ William M. Clancy
William M. Clancy
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(as a duly authorized officer and principal financial and accounting officer)

Date: August 10, 2011

-42-
