

NXP Semiconductors N.V.
Form 20-F
February 28, 2014
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

.. **REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report

Commission file number 001-34841

NXP Semiconductors N.V.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

The Netherlands

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

High Tech Campus 60, Eindhoven 5656 AG, the Netherlands

(Address of principal executive offices)

Jean Schreurs, SVP and Senior Corporate Counsel, High Tech Campus 60, 5656 AG, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

Telephone: +31 40 2728686 / E-mail: jean.schreurs@nxp.com

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common shares par value euro (EUR) 0.20 per share	The NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

Common shares par value EUR 0.20 per share

(Title of class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the Annual Report.

Class	Outstanding at December 31, 2013
Ordinary shares, par value EUR 0.20 per share	251,751,500 shares

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Note: Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer
 Accelerated filer
 Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP
 International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board
 Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 Item 18

If this is an Annual Report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Introduction	1
Part I	
Item 1. <u>Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u>	2
Item 2. <u>Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	2
Item 3. <u>Key Information</u>	2
<u>A. Selected Financial Data</u>	2
<u>B. Capitalization and Indebtedness</u>	5
<u>C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds</u>	5
<u>D. Risk Factors</u>	5
Item 4. <u>Information on the Company</u>	24
<u>A. History and Development of the Company</u>	24
<u>B. Business Overview</u>	25
<u>C. Organizational Structure</u>	34
<u>D. Property, Plant and Equipment</u>	36
Item 4A. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	36
Item 5. <u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	36
<u>A. Operating Results</u>	36
<u>B. Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	47
<u>C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.</u>	53
<u>D. Trend Information</u>	55
<u>E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	55
<u>F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations</u>	55
<u>G. Safe Harbor</u>	56
Item 6. <u>Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	57
<u>A. Directors and Senior Management</u>	57
<u>B. Compensation</u>	62
<u>C. Board Practices</u>	68
<u>D. Employees</u>	70
<u>E. Share Ownership</u>	71
Item 7. <u>Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	71
<u>A. Major Shareholders</u>	71
<u>B. Related Party Transactions</u>	74
<u>C. Interests of Experts and Counsel</u>	75
Item 8. <u>Financial Information</u>	75
<u>A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	75

	<u>B. Significant Changes</u>	76
Item 9.	<u>The Offer and Listing</u>	76
	<u>A. Offer and Listing Details</u>	76
	<u>B. Plan of Distribution</u>	76
	<u>C. Markets</u>	76
	<u>D. Selling Shareholders</u>	76
	<u>E. Dilution</u>	76
	<u>F. Expenses of the Issue</u>	76

Table of Contents

	Page
Item 10.	76
<u>Additional Information</u>	76
<u>A. Share Capital</u>	76
<u>B. Memorandum and Articles of Association</u>	76
<u>C. Material Contracts</u>	76
<u>D. Exchange Controls</u>	77
<u>E. Taxation</u>	77
<u>F. Dividends and Paying Agents</u>	84
<u>G. Statement by Experts</u>	84
<u>H. Documents on Display</u>	84
<u>I. Subsidiary Information</u>	84
Item 11.	84
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	84
Item 12.	85
<u>Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u>	85
Part II	
Item 13.	86
<u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	86
Item 14.	86
<u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	86
Item 15.	86
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	86
Item 16.	87
<u>A. Audit Committee Financial Expert</u>	87
<u>B. Code of Ethics</u>	87
<u>C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	87
<u>D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u>	88
<u>E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u>	88
<u>F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant</u>	89
<u>G. Corporate Governance</u>	89
Part III	
Item 17.	92
<u>Financial Statements</u>	92
Item 18.	92
<u>Financial Statements</u>	92
Item 19.	92
<u>Exhibits</u>	92
<u>GLOSSARY</u>	96
<u>Financial Statements</u>	F-1

Table of Contents

Introduction

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements that contain risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ significantly from future results as a result of factors such as those set forth in Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors* and Part I, Item 5G. *Safe Harbor*.

The financial information included in this Annual Report is based on United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (U.S. GAAP), unless otherwise indicated.

In presenting and discussing our financial position, operating results and cash flows, management uses certain non-U.S. GAAP financial measures. These non-U.S. GAAP financial measures should not be viewed in isolation or as alternatives to the equivalent U.S. GAAP measures and should be used in conjunction with the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measures. A discussion of non-U.S. GAAP measures included in this Annual Report and a reconciliation of such measures to the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measures are set forth under *Use of Certain Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures* contained in this report under Part I, Item 5A. *Operating Results*.

Unless otherwise required, all references herein to *we*, *our*, *us*, *NXP* and the *Company* are to NXP Semiconductors N.V. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

A glossary of abbreviations and technical terms used in this Annual Report is set forth on page 96.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

A. Selected Financial Data

The following table presents a summary of our selected historical consolidated financial data. We prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

The results of operations for prior years are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any future period.

Table of Contents

The selected historical consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the discussion under Part I, Item 5A. *Operating Results* and the Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report.

(\$ in millions unless otherwise stated)	As of and for the years ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009 ⁽¹⁾
Consolidated Statements of Operations:					
Revenue	4,815	4,358	4,194	4,402	3,519
Operating income (loss)	651	412	357	273	(931)
Financial income (expense)-net	(274)	(437)	(257)	(628)	682
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to stockholders	348	(116)	(44)	(515)	(199)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to stockholders		1	434	59	32
Net income (loss) attributable to stockholders	348	(115)	390	(456)	(167)
Per share data⁽²⁾⁽³⁾:					
<i>Basic earnings per common share attributable to stockholders in \$</i>					
- Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.40	(0.46)	(0.17)	(2.25)	(0.93)
- Income (loss) from discontinued operations			1.74	0.26	0.15
- Net income (loss)	1.40	(0.46)	1.57	(1.99)	(0.78)
<i>Diluted earnings per common share attributable to stockholders in \$</i>					
- Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.36	(0.46)	(0.17)	(2.25)	(0.93)
- Income (loss) from discontinued operations			1.74	0.26	0.15
- Net income (loss)	1.36	(0.46)	1.57	(1.99)	(0.78)
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year (in thousands)					
- Basic	248,526	248,064	248,812	229,280	215,252
- Diluted	255,050	248,064 ⁽⁴⁾	248,812 ⁽⁴⁾	229,280 ⁽⁴⁾	215,252 ⁽⁴⁾
Consolidated balance sheet data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	670	617	743	898	1,026
Total assets	6,449	6,439	6,612	7,637	8,579
Net assets	1,546	1,284	1,357	1,219	1,041
Working capital ⁽⁵⁾	939	765	969	811	870
Total debt ⁽⁶⁾	3,321	3,492	3,799	4,551	5,283
Total stockholders' equity	1,301	1,049	1,145	986	843
Common stock	51	51	51	51	42
Other operating data:					
Capital expenditures	(215)	(251)	(221)	(258)	(92)
Depreciation and amortization ⁽⁷⁾	514	533	591	684	887
Consolidated statements of cash flows data:					
Net cash provided by (used for):					
Operating activities	891	722	175	361	(701)

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Investing activities	(240)	(243)	(202)	(269)	63
Financing activities	(598)	(574)	(926)	(157)	(109)
Net cash provided by (used for) continuing operations	53	(95)	(953)	(65)	(747)
Net cash provided by (used for) discontinued operations		(45)	809	(5)	

- (1) The financial data for 2009 have been restated to reflect the effect of the sale of the Sound Solutions business in 2011 as discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

- (2) On August 2, 2010, we amended our articles of association in order to effect a 1-for-20 reverse stock split, decreasing the number of shares of common stock outstanding from approximately 4.3 billion to approximately 215 million and increasing the par value of the shares of common stock from 0.01 to 0.20. In all periods presented, basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding and earnings per share have been calculated to reflect the 1-for-20 reverse stock split.
- (3) The Company has not paid any dividends during the periods presented.
- (4) Due to our net losses from continuing operations attributable to stockholders in the periods from 2009 to 2012, all potentially dilutive securities have been excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share because their effect would be anti-dilutive.
- (5) Working capital is calculated as current assets less current liabilities (excluding short-term debt).
- (6) As adjusted for our cash and cash equivalents our net debt was calculated as follows:

(\$ in millions)	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Long-term debt	3,281	3,185	3,747	4,128	4,673
Short-term debt	40	307	52	423	610
Total debt	3,321	3,492	3,799	4,551	5,283
Less: cash and cash equivalents	(670)	(617)	(743)	(898)	(1,026)
Net debt	2,651	2,875	3,056	3,653	4,257

Net debt is a non-GAAP financial measure. See *Use of Certain Non-GAAP Financial Measures* under Part I, 5A. *Operating Results*.

- (7) Depreciation and amortization includes the cumulative net effect of purchase price adjustments related to a number of acquisitions and divestments, including the purchase by a consortium of private equity investors of an 80.1% interest in our business, described elsewhere in this Annual Report as our Formation. The cumulative net effects of purchase price adjustments in depreciation and amortization aggregated to \$246 million in 2013, \$273 million in 2012, \$301 million in 2011, \$302 million in 2010 and \$371 million in 2009. In 2013, depreciation and amortization included \$9 million (2012: \$2 million; 2011: \$5 million) related to disposals that occurred in connection with our restructuring activities and \$3 million (2012: \$2 million; 2011: \$1 million) relating to other incidental items. For a detailed list of the acquisitions and a discussion of the effect of acquisition accounting, see the *Effect of Acquisition Accounting* section in Part I, Item 5 A. *Operating Results*. Depreciation and amortization also includes impairments to goodwill and other intangibles, as well as write-offs in connection with acquired in-process research and development, if any.

As used in this Annual Report, euro , or means the single unified currency of the European Monetary Union.

U.S. dollar , USD , U.S. \$ or \$ means the lawful currency of the United States of America. As used in this Annual Report, the term noon buying rate refers to the exchange rate for euro, expressed in U.S. dollars per euro, as announced by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for customs purposes as the rate in the city of New York for cable transfers in foreign currencies.

The table below shows the average noon buying rates for U.S. dollars per euro for the five years ended December 31, 2013. The averages set forth in the table below have been computed using the noon buying rate on the last business day of each month during the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Average \$ per	1.3281	1.2859	1.3931	1.3261	1.3935

Table of Contents

The following table shows the high and low noon buying rates for U.S. dollars per euro for each of the six months in the six-month period ended February 21, 2014:

Month	High	Low
	(\$ per)	
2013		
August	1.3426	1.3196
September	1.3537	1.3120
October	1.3810	1.3490
November	1.3606	1.3357
December	1.3816	1.3552
2014		
January	1.3682	1.3500

On February 21, 2014, the noon buying rate was \$1.3722 per 1.00.

Fluctuations in the value of the euro relative to the U.S. dollar have had a significant effect on the translation into U.S. dollar of our euro denominated assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and may continue to do so in the future. For further information on the impact of fluctuations in exchange rates on our operations, see the *Fluctuations in Foreign Rates May Have An Adverse Effect On Our Financial Results* section in Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors* and the *Foreign Currency Risks* section in Part I, Item 11. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*.

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors

The following section provides an overview of the risks to which our business is exposed. You should carefully consider the risk factors described below and all other information contained in this Annual Report, including the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes. The occurrence of the risks described below could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Various statements in this Annual Report, including the following risk factors, contain forward-looking statements. Please also refer to Part I, Item 5G. Safe Harbor, contained elsewhere in this Annual Report.

The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical.

Historically, the relationship between supply and demand in the semiconductor industry has caused a high degree of cyclicity in the semiconductor market. Semiconductor supply is partly driven by manufacturing capacity, which in the past has demonstrated alternating periods of substantial capacity additions and periods in which no or limited capacity was added. As a general matter, semiconductor companies are more likely to add capacity in periods when current or expected future demand is strong and margins are, or are expected to be, high. Investments in new capacity

can result in overcapacity, which can lead to a reduction in prices and margins. In response, companies typically limit further capacity additions, eventually causing the market to be relatively undersupplied. In addition, demand for semiconductors varies, which can exacerbate the effect of supply fluctuations. As a result of this cyclical nature, the semiconductor industry has in the past experienced significant downturns, such as in 1997/1998, 2001/2002 and in 2008/2009, often in connection with, or in anticipation of, maturing life cycles of semiconductor companies' products and declines in general economic conditions. These

Table of Contents

downturns have been characterized by diminishing demand for end-user products, high inventory levels, under-utilization of manufacturing capacity and accelerated erosion of average selling prices. The foregoing risks have historically had, and may continue to have, a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Significantly increased volatility and instability and unfavorable economic conditions may adversely affect our business.

In 2008 and 2009, Europe, the United States and international markets experienced increased volatility and instability. Since the second half of 2011, this volatility and instability has resumed periodically because of the sovereign debt crisis in Europe and the debt-ceiling crisis in the United States and the related financial restructuring efforts, continued hostilities in the Middle East and tensions in North Africa and other world events. These, or other events, could further adversely affect the economies of the European Union, the United States and those of other countries and may exacerbate the cyclicity of our business. Among other factors, we face risks attendant to declines in general economic conditions, changes in demand for end-user products and changes in interest rates.

Despite indications of recovery and aggressive measures taken by governments and central banks, there is a significant risk that the global economy could fall into recession again. If economic conditions remain uncertain or deteriorate, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

As a consequence of the significantly increased volatility and instability, it is difficult for us, our customers and suppliers to forecast demand trends. We may be unable to accurately predict the extent or duration of cycles or their effect on our financial condition or result of operations and can give no assurance as to the timing, extent or duration of the current or future business cycles. A recurrent decline in demand or the failure of demand to return to prior levels could place pressure on our results of operations. The timing and extent of any changes to currently prevailing market conditions is uncertain and supply and demand may be unbalanced at any time.

The semiconductor industry is highly competitive. If we fail to introduce new technologies and products in a timely manner, this could adversely affect our business.

The semiconductor industry is highly competitive and characterized by constant and rapid technological change, short product lifecycles, significant price erosion and evolving standards. Accordingly, the success of our business depends to a significant extent on our ability to develop new technologies and products that are ultimately successful in the market. The costs related to the research and development necessary to develop new technologies and products are significant and any reduction of our research and development budget could harm our competitiveness. Meeting evolving industry requirements and introducing new products to the market in a timely manner and at prices that are acceptable to our customers are significant factors in determining our competitiveness and success. Commitments to develop new products must be made well in advance of any resulting sales, and technologies and standards may change during development, potentially rendering our products outdated or uncompetitive before their introduction. If we are unable to successfully develop new products, our revenue may decline substantially. Moreover, some of our competitors are well-established entities, are larger than us and have greater resources than we do. If these competitors increase the resources they devote to developing and marketing their products, we may not be able to compete effectively. Any consolidation among our competitors could enhance their product offerings and financial resources, further strengthening their competitive position. In addition, some of our competitors operate in narrow business areas relative to us, allowing them to concentrate their research and development efforts directly on products and services for those areas, which may give them a competitive advantage. As a result of these competitive pressures, we may face declining sales volumes or lower prevailing prices for our products, and we may not be able to reduce our total costs in line with this declining revenue. If any of these risks materialize, they could have a material adverse effect on

our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In many of the market segments in which we compete, we depend on winning selection processes, and failure to be selected could adversely affect our business in those market segments.

One of our business strategies is to participate in and win competitive bid selection processes to develop products for use in our customers' equipment and products. These selection processes can be lengthy and require us to incur significant design and development expenditures, with no guarantee of winning a contract or generating revenue. Failure to win new design projects and delays in developing new products with anticipated technological advances or in commencing volume shipments of these products may have an adverse effect on our business. This risk is particularly pronounced in markets where there are only a few potential customers and in the automotive market, where, due to the longer design cycles involved, failure to win a design-in could prevent access to a customer for several years. Our failure to win a sufficient number of these bids could result in reduced revenue and hurt our competitive position in future selection processes because we may not be perceived as being a technology or industry leader, each of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The demand for our products depends to a significant degree on the demand for our customers' end products.

The vast majority of our revenue is derived from sales to manufacturers in the automotive, identification, wireless infrastructure, lighting, industrial, mobile, consumer and computing markets. Demand in these markets fluctuates significantly, driven by consumer spending, consumer preferences, the development of new technologies and prevailing economic conditions. In addition, the specific products in which our semiconductors are incorporated may not be successful, or may experience price erosion or other competitive factors that affect the price manufacturers are willing to pay us. Such customers have in the past, and may in the future, vary order levels significantly from period to period, request postponements to scheduled delivery dates, modify their orders or reduce lead times. This is particularly common during periods of low demand. This can make managing our business difficult, as it limits the predictability of future revenue. It can also affect the accuracy of our financial forecasts. Furthermore, developing industry trends, including customers' use of outsourcing and new and revised supply chain models, may affect our revenue, costs and working capital requirements. Additionally, a significant portion of our products is made to order.

If customers do not purchase products made specifically for them, we may not be able to resell such products to other customers or may not be able to require the customers who have ordered these products to pay a cancellation fee. The foregoing risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by significant price erosion, especially after a product has been on the market for a significant period of time.

One of the results of the rapid innovation in the semiconductor industry is that pricing pressure, especially on products containing older technology, can be intense. Product life cycles are relatively short, and as a result, products tend to be replaced by more technologically advanced substitutes on a regular basis.

In turn, demand for older technology falls, causing the price at which such products can be sold to drop, in some cases precipitously. In order to continue profitably supplying these products, we must reduce our production costs in line with the lower revenue we can expect to generate per unit. Usually, this must be accomplished through improvements in process technology and production efficiencies. If we cannot advance our process technologies or improve our efficiencies to a degree sufficient to maintain required margins, we will no longer be able to make a profit from the sale of these products. Moreover, we may not be able to cease production of such products, either due to contractual obligations or for customer relationship reasons, and as a result may be required to bear a loss on such products. We cannot guarantee that competition in our core product markets will not lead to price erosion, lower revenue or lower

margins in the future. Should reductions in our manufacturing costs fail to keep pace with reductions in market prices for the products we sell, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents***Our substantial amount of debt could adversely affect our financial condition, which could adversely affect our results of operations.***

Our substantial indebtedness could have a material adverse effect on our business by: limiting our ability to borrow money for working capital, restructurings, capital expenditures, research and development, investments, acquisitions or other purposes, if needed, and increasing the cost of any of these borrowings; reducing the portion of our funds available for operations and future business opportunities; limiting our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions, including increased competition and demand for new services; placing us at a disadvantage when compared to those of our competitors that have less debt, by making us more vulnerable to a downturn in our business, industry or the economy in general than our competitors who have less debt and making it more difficult for us to satisfy our payment obligations under our senior secured revolving credit facility that we entered into on April 27, 2012 (the Secured Revolving Credit Facility) under the secured term credit agreement that we entered into on March 4, 2011 (the 2017 Term Loan) and the joinder and amendment to the secured term credit agreement that we entered into on December 10, 2012 (the 2020 Term Loan and, together with the 2017 Term Loan, the Term Loans) or under the indentures (collectively, the Indentures) governing the terms of our U.S. dollar-denominated 5.75% senior notes due February 15, 2021 (the 2021 Unsecured Notes), our U.S. dollar-denominated 5.75% senior notes due March 15, 2023 (our 2023 Unsecured Notes), our U.S. dollar-denominated 3.5% senior notes due September 15, 2016 (the 2016 Unsecured Notes) and our U.S. dollar-denominated 3.75% senior notes due June 1, 2018 (our 2018 Unsecured Notes,) and together with 2016 Unsecured Notes, the 2021 Unsecured Notes and the 2023 Unsecured Notes, the Notes). Despite our substantial indebtedness, we may still incur significantly more debt, which could further exacerbate the risks described above.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service and repay all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions. In the future, we may not be able to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness. We have seen substantial negative cash flows from operations in periods of adverse economic developments. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations and future borrowings under our Secured Revolving Credit Facility or from other sources may not be available to us, in an amount sufficient to enable us to repay our indebtedness, including the Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans, or the Notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs, and working capital and capital expenditure requirements, and we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or operations, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and, as a result:

holders of our debt securities could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable;

the lenders under our Secured Revolving Credit Facility could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable, terminate their commitments to lend us money and/or foreclose against the assets securing any outstanding borrowings; and

we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets represent a significant portion of our total assets, and we may never realize the full value of our intangible assets.

Goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets are recorded at fair value on the date of acquisition. We review our goodwill and other intangible assets balance for impairment upon any indication of a potential impairment, and in the case of goodwill, at a minimum of once a year. Impairment may result from, among other things, deterioration in performance, adverse market conditions, adverse changes in applicable laws or regulations, including changes that restrict the activities of or affect the products and services we sell, challenges

Table of Contents

to the validity of certain registered intellectual property, reduced sales of certain products incorporating registered intellectual property and a variety of other factors. The amount of any quantified impairment must be expensed immediately as a charge to results of operations. Depending on future circumstances, it is possible that we may never realize the full value of our intangible assets. Any future determination of impairment of goodwill or other identifiable intangible assets could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations and stockholders equity.

As our business is global, we need to comply with laws and regulations in countries across the world and are exposed to international business risks that could adversely affect our business.

We operate globally, with manufacturing, assembly and testing facilities in several continents, and we market our products globally.

As a result, we are subject to environmental, labor and health and safety laws and regulations in each jurisdiction in which we operate. We are also required to obtain environmental permits and other authorizations or licenses from governmental authorities for certain of our operations and have to protect our intellectual property worldwide. In the jurisdictions where we operate, we need to comply with differing standards and varying practices of regulatory, tax, judicial and administrative bodies.

The SEC has adopted disclosure requirements under Section 1502 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, regarding the source of certain conflict minerals for reporting companies for which such conflict minerals are necessary to the functionality or production of a product manufactured, or contracted to be manufactured which are mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and adjoining countries, including: Sudan, Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia, Angola and Central African Republic. These rules require reporting companies to file a Conflict Minerals Report as an exhibit to a Form SD report with the SEC. The Conflict Minerals Report is required to set out the due diligence efforts and procedures exercised on the source and chain of custody of such conflict minerals, in accordance with a nationally or internationally recognized due diligence framework, and a description of the company's products containing such conflict minerals. Although we expect that we and our suppliers will be able to comply with the requirements of any rules promulgated by the SEC and file our first report by May 31, 2014, as required, we may not be able to gather all the information required. Furthermore, the implementation of these requirements could increase our legal compliance costs. It may also affect the sourcing and availability of minerals used in the manufacture of our products. As a result, there may only be a limited pool of certified suppliers who provide conflict free minerals, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain products in sufficient quantities or at competitive prices. Also, since our supply chain is complex, we may face reputational challenges with our customers and other stakeholders if we are unable to sufficiently verify the origins of all minerals used in our products.

In addition, the business environment is also subject to many economic and political uncertainties, including the following international business risks:

negative economic developments in economies around the world and the instability of governments, such as the sovereign debt crisis in certain European countries;

social and political instability in a number of countries around the world, including continued hostilities and civil unrest in the Middle East. Although we have no direct investments in North Africa and the Middle East,

the ongoing changes may have, for instance via our customers, the energy prices and the financial markets, a negative effect on our business, financial condition and operations;

potential terrorist attacks;

epidemics and pandemics, which may adversely affect our workforce, as well as our local suppliers and customers in particular in Asia;

adverse changes in governmental policies, especially those affecting trade and investment;

Table of Contents

our customers or other groups of stakeholders might impose requirements that are more stringent than the laws in the countries in which we are active;

volatility in foreign currency exchange rates, in particular with respect to the U.S. dollar, and transfer restrictions, in particular in Greater China; and

threats that our operations or property could be subject to nationalization and expropriation.

No assurance can be given that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with the laws and regulations to which we are subject or that we have obtained or will obtain the permits and other authorizations or licenses that we need. If we violate or fail to comply with laws, regulations, permits and other authorizations or licenses, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators. In this case, or if any of the international business risks were to materialize or become worse, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure are creating uncertainty for public companies, further increasing legal and financial compliance costs. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure.

Interruptions in our information technology systems could adversely affect our business.

We rely on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of complex information technology applications, systems and networks to operate our business. Any significant interruption in our business applications, systems or networks, including but not limited to new system implementations, computer viruses, cyber attacks, security breaches, facility issues or energy blackouts could have a material adverse impact on our operations, sales and operating results. In recent years, the risks that we and other companies face from cyber attacks have increased significantly. Given the nature of some of our products these attacks may originate from well organized, highly skilled and highly funded organizations. Any such attack or system or network disruption could result in a loss of our intellectual property, the release of commercially sensitive information or partner, customer or employee personal data, disruption of the supply chain towards our customers, or the loss of production capabilities at one of our manufacturing sites. Therefore, any such severe incident could harm our competitive position, result in a loss of customer confidence, and cause us to incur significant costs to remedy the damages caused by the system or network disruptions, whether caused by cyber attacks, security breaches or otherwise. The protective measures that we are adopting to avoid system or network disruptions may, especially given the potential professionalism of the intruders, be insufficient to prevent or limit the damage from any future disruptions and any disruption could have a material adverse impact on our business, operations and financial results. Although we have experienced cyber attacks, to date we have not incurred any significant damage as a result. There can be no assurance that in the future we will be as successful in avoiding damages from cyber attacks.

In difficult market conditions, our high fixed costs combined with low revenue negatively affect our results of operations.

The semiconductor industry is characterized by high fixed costs and, notwithstanding our significant utilization of third-party manufacturing capacity, most of our production requirements are met by our own manufacturing facilities.

In less favorable industry environments, like we faced in the second half in 2011, we are generally faced with a decline in the utilization rates of our manufacturing facilities due to decreases in demand for our products. During such periods, our fabrication plants operate at lower loading level, while the fixed costs associated with the full capacity continue to be incurred, resulting in lower gross profit.

Table of Contents

The semiconductor industry is capital intensive and if we are unable to invest the necessary capital to operate and grow our business, we may not remain competitive.

To remain competitive, we must constantly improve our facilities and process technologies and carry out extensive research and development, each of which requires investment of significant amounts of capital. This risk is magnified by the substantial indebtedness we currently have, since we are required to use a portion of our cash flow to service that debt. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow or raise sufficient capital to meet both our debt service and capital investment requirements, or if we are unable to raise required capital on favorable terms when needed, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are bound by the restrictions contained in the Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans and the Indentures, which may restrict our ability to pursue our business strategies.

Restrictive covenants in our Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans and the Indentures limit our ability, among other things, to:

incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock;

pay dividends or make distributions in respect of our capital stock or make certain other restricted payments or investments;

repurchase or redeem capital stock;

sell assets, including capital stock of restricted subsidiaries;

agree to limitations on the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to make distributions;

enter into transactions with our affiliates;

incur liens;

guarantee indebtedness; and

engage in consolidations, mergers or sales of substantially all of our assets.

These restrictions could restrict our ability to pursue our business strategies. We are currently in compliance with all of our restrictive covenants.

Our failure to comply with the covenants contained in our Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans or the Indentures or our other debt agreements, including as a result of events beyond our control, could result in an event of default which could materially and adversely affect our operating results and our financial condition.

Our Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans and the Indentures require us to comply with various covenants. Even though we are currently in compliance with all of our covenants, if there were an event of default under any of our debt instruments that was not cured or waived, the holders of the defaulted debt could terminate commitments to lend and cause all amounts outstanding with respect to the debt to be declared due and payable immediately, which in turn could result in cross defaults under our other debt instruments. Our assets and cash flow may not be sufficient to fully repay borrowings under all of our outstanding debt instruments if some or all of these instruments are accelerated upon an event of default.

If, when required, we are unable to repay, refinance or restructure our indebtedness under, or amend the covenants contained in, our Secured Revolving Credit Facility or if a default otherwise occurs, the lenders under our Secured Revolving Credit Facility could elect to terminate their commitments thereunder, cease making further loans and issuing or renewing letters of credit, declare all outstanding borrowings and other amounts, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and payable, institute enforcement

Table of Contents

proceedings against those assets that secure the extensions of credit under our Secured Revolving Credit Facility and thereby prevent us from making payments on our debt. Any such actions could force us into bankruptcy or liquidation.

We rely to a significant extent on proprietary intellectual property. We may not be able to protect this intellectual property against improper use by our competitors or others.

We depend significantly on patents and other intellectual property rights to protect our products and proprietary design and fabrication processes against misappropriation by others. We may in the future have difficulty obtaining patents and other intellectual property rights, and the patents we receive may be insufficient to provide us with meaningful protection or commercial advantage. We may not be able to obtain patent protection or secure other intellectual property rights in all the countries in which we operate, and under the laws of such countries, patents and other intellectual property rights may be or become unavailable or limited in scope. The protection offered by intellectual property rights may be inadequate or weakened for reasons or circumstances that are out of our control. Further, our trade secrets may be vulnerable to disclosure or misappropriation by employees, contractors and other persons. In particular, intellectual property rights are difficult to enforce in the People's Republic of China (PRC) and certain other countries, since the application and enforcement of the laws governing such rights may not have reached the same level as compared to other jurisdictions where we operate, such as the United States, Germany and the Netherlands. Consequently, operating in some of these countries may subject us to an increased risk that unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise use our intellectual property or the intellectual property of our suppliers or other parties with whom we engage. There is no assurance that we will be able to protect our intellectual property rights or have adequate legal recourse in the event that we seek legal or judicial enforcement of our intellectual property rights under the laws of such countries. Any inability on our part to adequately protect our intellectual property may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The intellectual property that was transferred or licensed to us from Philips may not be sufficient to protect our position in the industry.

In connection with our separation from Philips in 2006, Philips transferred approximately 5,300 patent families to us subject to certain limitations, including (1) any prior commitments to and undertakings with third parties entered into prior to the separation and (2) certain licenses retained by Philips. The licenses retained by Philips give Philips the right to sublicense to third parties in certain circumstances, which may divert revenue opportunities from us. Approximately 800 of the patent families transferred from Philips were transferred to ST-NXP Wireless (and subsequently to ST-Ericsson, its successor) in connection with the contribution of our wireless operations to ST-NXP Wireless in 2008. Approximately 400 of the patent families transferred from Philips were transferred to Trident Microsystems, Inc. (Trident) in connection with the divestment of our television systems and set-top box business lines to Trident in 2010. Further, a number of other patent families have been transferred in the context of other transactions. In addition, the sale of our Sound Solutions business to Knowles Electronics in 2011 has led to the transfer of certain patent families to them.

Philips granted us a non-exclusive license to: (1) all patents Philips holds but has not assigned to us, to the extent that they are entitled to the benefit of a filing date prior to the separation and for which Philips is free to grant licenses without the consent of or accounting to any third party and (2) certain know-how that is available to us, where such patents and know-how relate to: (i) our current products and technologies, as well as successor products and technologies, (ii) technology that was developed for us prior to the separation and (iii) technology developed pursuant to contract research co-funded by us. Philips has also granted us a non-exclusive royalty-free and irrevocable license under: (1) certain patents for use in giant magneto-resistive devices outside the field of healthcare and bio applications, and (2) certain patents relevant to polymer electronics resulting from contract research work co-funded by us in the field of radio frequency identification tags. Such licenses are subject to certain prior commitments and

undertakings. However, Philips retained ownership of certain intellectual property related to our business, as well as certain rights with respect to intellectual property transferred to us in connection with the separation. There can be no guarantee that the patents transferred to us will be sufficient to

Table of Contents

assert offensively against our competitors, to be used as leverage to negotiate future cross-licenses or to give us freedom to operate and innovate in the industry. The strength and value of our intellectual property may be diluted if Philips licenses or otherwise transfers such intellectual property or such rights to third parties, especially if those third parties compete with us. The foregoing risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may become party to intellectual property claims or litigation that could cause us to incur substantial costs, pay substantial damages or prohibit us from selling our products.

We have from time to time received, and may in the future receive, communications alleging possible infringement of patents and other intellectual property rights of others. Further, we may become involved in costly litigation brought against us regarding patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or other intellectual property rights. If any such claims are asserted against us, we may seek to obtain a license under the third party's intellectual property rights. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain any or all of the necessary licenses on satisfactory terms, if at all. In the event that we cannot obtain or take the view that we don't need a license, these parties may file lawsuits against us seeking damages (and potentially treble damages in the United States) or an injunction against the sale of our products that incorporate allegedly infringed intellectual property or against the operation of our business as presently conducted. Such lawsuits, if successful, could result in an increase in the costs of selling certain of our products, our having to partially or completely redesign our products or stop the sale of some of our products and could cause damage to our reputation. Any litigation could require significant financial and management resources regardless of the merits or outcome, and we cannot assure you that we would prevail in any litigation or that our intellectual property rights can be successfully asserted in the future or will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged. The award of damages, including material royalty payments, or the entry of an injunction against the manufacture and sale of some or all of our products, could affect our ability to compete or have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on strategic partnerships, joint ventures and alliances for manufacturing and research and development. However, we often do not control these partnerships and joint ventures, and actions taken by any of our partners or the termination of these partnerships or joint ventures could adversely affect our business.

As part of our strategy, we have entered into a number of long-term strategic partnerships with other leading industry participants. For example, we have entered into a joint venture with Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited (TSMC) called Systems on Silicon Manufacturing Company Pte. Ltd. (SSMC). We established Advanced Semiconductor Manufacturing Corporation Limited (ASMC) together with a number of Chinese partners, and together with Advanced Semiconductor Engineering Inc. (ASE), we established the assembly and test joint venture ASEN Semiconductors Co. Ltd. (ASEN).

If any of our strategic partners in industry groups or in any of the other alliances we engage with were to encounter financial difficulties or change their business strategies, they may no longer be able or willing to participate in these groups or alliances, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We do not control some of these strategic partnerships, joint ventures and alliances in which we participate. We may also have certain obligations, including some limited funding obligations or take or pay obligations, with regard to some of our strategic partnerships, joint ventures and alliances. For example, we have made certain commitments to SSMC, in which we have a 61.2% ownership share, whereby we are obligated to make cash payments to SSMC should we fail to utilize, and TSMC does not utilize, an agreed upon percentage of the total available capacity at SSMC's fabrication facilities if overall SSMC utilization levels drop below a fixed proportion of the total available capacity.

Table of Contents

We have made and may continue to make acquisitions and engage in other transactions to complement or expand our existing businesses. However, we may not be successful in acquiring suitable targets at acceptable prices and integrating them into our operations, and any acquisitions we make may lead to a diversion of management resources.

Our future success may depend on acquiring businesses and technologies, making investments or forming joint ventures that complement, enhance or expand our current portfolio or otherwise offer us growth opportunities. If we are unable to identify suitable targets, our growth prospects may suffer, and we may not be able to realize sufficient scale advantages to compete effectively in all markets. In addition, in pursuing acquisitions, we may face competition from other companies in the semiconductor industry. Our ability to acquire targets may also be limited by applicable antitrust laws and other regulations in the United States, the European Union and other jurisdictions in which we do business. To the extent that we are successful in making acquisitions, we may have to expend substantial amounts of cash, incur debt, assume loss-making divisions and incur other types of expenses. We may also face challenges in successfully integrating acquired companies into our existing organization. Each of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may from time to time desire to exit certain product lines or businesses, or to restructure our operations, but may not be successful in doing so.

From time to time, we may decide to divest certain product lines and businesses or restructure our operations, including through the contribution of assets to joint ventures. We have, in recent years, exited several of our product lines and businesses, and we have closed several of our manufacturing and research facilities. We may continue to do so in the future. However, our ability to successfully exit product lines and businesses, or to close or consolidate operations, depends on a number of factors, many of which are outside of our control. For example, if we are seeking a buyer for a particular business line, none may be available, or we may not be successful in negotiating satisfactory terms with prospective buyers. In addition, we may face internal obstacles to our efforts. In particular, several of our operations and facilities are subject to collective bargaining agreements and social plans or require us to consult with our employee representatives, such as work councils which may prevent or complicate our efforts to sell or restructure our businesses. In some cases, particularly with respect to our European operations, there may be laws or other legal impediments affecting our ability to carry out such sales or restructuring.

If we are unable to exit a product line or business in a timely manner, or to restructure our operations in a manner we deem to be advantageous, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Even if a divestment is successful, we may face indemnity and other liability claims by the acquirer or other parties.

We may from time to time restructure parts of our processes. Any such restructuring may impact customer satisfaction and the costs of implementation may be difficult to predict.

Between 2008 and 2011, we executed our redesign program (the Redesign Program) and in 2013 we executed a restructuring initiative designed to improve operational efficiency and to competitively position the company for sustainable growth (the OPEX Reduction Program). We plan to continue to restructure and make changes to parts of the processes in our organization. Furthermore, if the global economy remains as volatile or unstable or if the global economy reenters into a deeper and longer lasting recession, our revenues could decline, and we may be forced to take additional cost savings steps that could result in additional charges and materially affect our business. The costs of implementing any restructurings, changes or cost savings steps may differ from our estimates and any negative impacts on our revenues or otherwise of such restructurings, changes or steps, such as situations in which customer satisfaction is negatively impacted, may be larger than originally estimated.

Table of Contents

If we fail to extend or renegotiate our collective bargaining agreements and social plans with our labor unions as they expire from time to time, if regular or statutory consultation processes with employee representatives such as works councils fail or are delayed, or if our unionized employees were to engage in a strike or other work stoppage, our business and operating results could be materially harmed.

We are a party to collective bargaining agreements and social plans with our labor unions. We are also required to consult with our employee representatives, such as works councils, on items such as restructurings, acquisitions and divestitures. Although we believe that our relations with our employees, employee representatives and unions are satisfactory, no assurance can be given that we will be able to successfully extend or renegotiate these agreements as they expire from time to time or to conclude the consultation processes in a timely and favorable way. The impact of future negotiations and consultation processes with employee representatives could have a material impact on our financial results. Also, if we fail to extend or renegotiate our labor agreements and social plans, if significant disputes with our unions arise, or if our unionized workers engage in a strike or other work stoppage, we could incur higher ongoing labor costs or experience a significant disruption of operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our working capital needs are difficult to predict.

Our working capital needs are difficult to predict and may fluctuate. The comparatively long period between the time at which we commence development of a product and the time at which it may be delivered to a customer leads to high inventory and work-in-progress levels. The volatility of our customers' own businesses and the time required to manufacture products also makes it difficult to manage inventory levels and requires us to stockpile products across many different specifications.

Our business may be adversely affected by costs relating to product defects, and we could be faced with product liability and warranty claims.

We make highly complex electronic components and, accordingly, there is a risk that defects may occur in any of our products. Such defects can give rise to significant costs, including expenses relating to recalling products, replacing defective items, writing down defective inventory and loss of potential sales. In addition, the occurrence of such defects may give rise to product liability and warranty claims, including liability for damages caused by such defects. If we release defective products into the market, our reputation could suffer and we may lose sales opportunities and incur liability for damages. Moreover, since the cost of replacing defective semiconductor devices is often much higher than the value of the devices themselves, we may at times face damage claims from customers in excess of the amounts they pay us for our products, including consequential damages. We also face exposure to potential liability resulting from the fact that our customers typically integrate the semiconductors we sell into numerous consumer products, which are then sold into the marketplace. We are exposed to product liability claims if our semiconductors or the consumer products based on them malfunction and result in personal injury or death. We may be named in product liability claims even if there is no evidence that our products caused the damage in question, and such claims could result in significant costs and expenses relating to attorneys' fees and damages. In addition, our customers may recall their products if they prove to be defective or make compensatory payments in accordance with industry or business practice or in order to maintain good customer relationships. If such a recall or payment is caused by a defect in one of our products, our customers may seek to recover all or a portion of their losses from us. If any of these risks materialize, our reputation would be harmed and there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business has suffered, and could in the future suffer, from manufacturing problems.

We manufacture, in our own factories as well as subcontracted, our products using processes that are highly complex, require advanced and costly equipment and must continuously be modified to improve yields and performance. Difficulties in the production process can reduce yields or interrupt production, and, as a result of such problems, we may on occasion not be able to deliver products or do so in a timely or cost-effective or

Table of Contents

competitive manner. As the complexity of both our products and our fabrication processes has become more advanced, manufacturing tolerances have been reduced and requirements for precision have become more demanding. As is common in the semiconductor industry, we have in the past experienced manufacturing difficulties that have given rise to delays in delivery and quality control problems. There can be no assurance that any such occurrence in the future would not materially harm our results of operations. Further, we may suffer disruptions in our manufacturing operations, either due to production difficulties such as those described above or as a result of external factors beyond our control. We may, in the future, experience manufacturing difficulties or permanent or temporary loss of manufacturing capacity due to the preceding or other risks. Any such event could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on the timely supply of equipment and materials and could suffer if suppliers fail to meet their delivery obligations or raise prices. Certain equipment and materials needed in our manufacturing operations are only available from a limited number of suppliers.

Our manufacturing operations depend on deliveries of equipment and materials in a timely manner and, in some cases, on a just-in-time basis. From time to time, suppliers may extend lead times, limit the amounts supplied to us or increase prices due to capacity constraints or other factors. Supply disruptions may also occur due to shortages in critical materials, such as silicon wafers or specialized chemicals. Because the equipment that we purchase is complex, it is frequently difficult or impossible for us to substitute one piece of equipment for another or replace one type of material with another. A failure by our suppliers to deliver our requirements could result in disruptions to our manufacturing operations. Our business, financial condition and results of operations could be harmed if we are unable to obtain adequate supplies of quality equipment or materials in a timely manner or if there are significant increases in the costs of equipment or materials.

Failure of our outside foundry suppliers to perform could adversely affect our ability to exploit growth opportunities.

We currently use outside suppliers or foundries for a portion of our manufacturing capacity. Outsourcing our production presents a number of risks. If our outside suppliers are unable to satisfy our demand, or experience manufacturing difficulties, delays or reduced yields, our results of operations and ability to satisfy customer demand could suffer. In addition, purchasing rather than manufacturing these products may adversely affect our gross profit margin if the purchase costs of these products are higher than our own manufacturing costs would have been. Our internal manufacturing costs include depreciation and other fixed costs, while costs for products outsourced are based on market conditions. Prices for foundry products also vary depending on capacity utilization rates at our suppliers, quantities demanded, product technology and geometry. Furthermore, these outsourcing costs can vary materially from quarter to quarter and, in cases of industry shortages, they can increase significantly, negatively affecting our gross profit.

Loss of our key management and other personnel, or an inability to attract such management and other personnel, could affect our business.

We depend on our key management to run our business and on our senior engineers to develop new products and technologies. Our success will depend on the continued service of these individuals. Although we have several share based compensation plans in place, we cannot be sure that these plans will help us in our ability to retain key personnel, especially considering the fact that the stock options under some of our plans become exercisable upon a change of control (in particular, when a third party, or third parties acting in concert, other than the Private Equity Consortium, obtains, whether directly or indirectly, control of us). The loss of any of our key personnel, whether due to departures, death, ill health or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business. The market for

qualified employees, including skilled engineers and other individuals with the required technical expertise to succeed in our business, is highly competitive and the loss of qualified employees or an inability to attract, retain and motivate the additional highly skilled employees required for the operation and expansion of our business could hinder our ability to successfully conduct research activities or develop marketable products. The foregoing risks could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Table of Contents

Disruptions in our relationships with any one of our key customers could adversely affect our business.

A substantial portion of our revenue is derived from our top customers, including our distributors. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to generate similar levels of revenue from our largest customers in the future. If one or more of these customers substantially reduce their purchases from us, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We receive subsidies and grants in certain countries, and a reduction in the amount of governmental funding available to us or demands for repayment could increase our costs and affect our results of operations.

As is the case with other large semiconductor companies, we receive subsidies and grants from governments in some countries. These programs are subject to periodic review by the relevant governments, and if any of these programs are curtailed or discontinued, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. As the availability of government funding is outside our control, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to benefit from government support or that sufficient alternative funding will be available if we lose such support. Moreover, if we terminate any activities or operations, including strategic alliances or joint ventures, we may face adverse actions from the local governmental agencies providing such subsidies to us. In particular, such government agencies could seek to recover such subsidies from us and they could cancel or reduce other subsidies we receive from them. This could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Legal proceedings covering a range of matters are pending in various jurisdictions. Due to the uncertainty inherent in litigation, it is difficult to predict the final outcome. An adverse outcome might affect our results of operations.

We and certain of our businesses are involved as plaintiffs or defendants in legal proceedings in various matters. Although the ultimate disposition of asserted claims and proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, our financial position and results of operations could be affected by an adverse outcome.

Fluctuations in foreign exchange rates may have an adverse effect on our financial results.

A higher proportion of our revenue is in U.S. dollar or U.S. dollar-related currencies, compared to our costs and expenses resulting in a structural currency mismatch. Accordingly, our results of operations may be affected by changes in foreign exchange rates, particularly between the euro and the U.S. dollar. In addition, we have euro denominated assets and liabilities and, since our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, the impact of currency translation adjustments to such assets and liabilities may have a negative effect on our stockholders' equity. In addition, the U.S. dollar-denominated debt issued by our subsidiary, NXP B.V., with functional currency euro may generate adverse currency results in our financial income and expenses. Part of this effect is mitigated due to the application of net investment hedge accounting, since May 2011, pursuant to which the currency results on (part of) the U.S. dollar denominated debt is reported as part of other comprehensive income within equity instead of financial income and expense in the income statement. Absent the application of net investment hedge accounting, we would have recorded an additional benefit of \$68 million, before tax, within financial income and expense in the 2013 statement of operations. We continue to hold or convert a part of our cash in euros as a hedge for euro expenses and euro interest payments. We are exposed to fluctuations in exchange rates when we convert U.S. dollars to euro. The ongoing economic weakness in the European Union may intensify these currency exchange risks.

We are exposed to a variety of financial risks, including currency risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, commodity price risk, credit risk and other non-insured risks, which may have an adverse effect on our financial results.

We are a global company and, as a direct consequence, movements in the financial markets may impact our financial results. We are exposed to a variety of financial risks, including currency fluctuations, interest rate risk,

Table of Contents

liquidity risk, commodity price risk and credit risk and other non-insured risks. We enter into diverse financial transactions with several counterparties to mitigate our currency risk. We only use derivative instruments for hedging purposes. The rating of our debt by major rating agencies may further improve or deteriorate, which could affect our additional borrowing capacity and financing costs.

We are also a purchaser of certain base metals, precious metals and energy used in the manufacturing process of our products, the prices of which can be volatile. Credit risk represents the loss that would be recognized at the reporting date if counterparties failed to perform upon their agreed payment obligations. Credit risk is present within our trade receivables. Such exposure is reduced through ongoing credit evaluations of the financial conditions of our customers and by adjusting payment terms and credit limits when appropriate. We invest available cash and cash equivalents with various financial institutions and are in that respect exposed to credit risk with these counterparties. We actively manage concentration risk on a daily basis adhering to a treasury management policy. We seek to limit the financial institutions with which we enter into financial transactions, such as depositing cash, to those with a strong credit rating wherever possible. If we are unable to successfully manage these risks, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The impact of a negative performance of financial markets and demographic trends on our defined benefit pension liabilities and costs cannot be predicted and may be severe.

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans in a number of countries and a significant number of our employees are covered by our defined benefit pension plans. As of December 31, 2013, we had recognized a net accrued benefit liability of \$236 million, representing the unfunded benefit obligations of our defined pension plans. The funding status and the liabilities and costs of maintaining these defined benefit pension plans may be impacted by financial market developments. For example, the accounting for such plans requires determining discount rates, expected rates of compensation and expected returns on plan assets, and any changes in these variables can have a significant impact on the projected benefit obligations and net periodic pension costs. Negative performance of the financial markets could also have a material impact on funding requirements and net periodic pension costs. Our defined benefit pension plans may also be subject to demographic trends. Accordingly, our costs to meet pension liabilities going forward may be significantly higher than they are today, which could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

Changes in the tax deductibility of interest may adversely affect our financial position and our ability to service the obligations under our indebtedness.

Effective January 1, 2013 certain new limitations apply to the tax deductibility of interest expense in the Netherlands. A Dutch company that is considered to be financed with excessive debt, may not be entitled to deduct interest expense on such excessive debt. Existing debt is not grandfathered under these rules. The measurement of whether there is excessive debt is based on arithmetic tests based on the amount of equity of the company in relation to the acquisition cost of and capital invested in Netherlands and foreign subsidiaries of the Netherlands consolidated group. When the equity of the company is below a certain minimum threshold, the company may be considered to have excessive debt. Certain safe harbor rules apply when new operational businesses are acquired by the company. Despite this was not the case in 2013 and is not expected for 2014, the application of this limitation on tax deductibility of interest expense may adversely affect our financial position and our ability to service the obligations under our indebtedness.

We are exposed to a number of different tax uncertainties, which could have an impact on tax results.

We are required to pay taxes in multiple jurisdictions. We determine the taxation we are required to pay based on our interpretation of the applicable tax laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate. We may be subject to unfavorable changes in the respective tax laws and regulations to which we are subject. Tax controls, audits, change in

controls and changes in tax laws or regulations or the interpretation given to them may expose us to negative tax consequences, including interest payments and potentially penalties. We have

Table of Contents

issued transfer-pricing directives in the areas of goods, services and financing, which are in accordance with the Guidelines of the Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). As transfer pricing has a cross border effect, the focus of local tax authorities on implemented transfer pricing procedures in a country may have an impact on results in another country.

Transfer pricing uncertainties can also result from disputes with local tax authorities about transfer pricing of internal deliveries of goods and services or related to financing, acquisitions and divestments, the use of tax credits and permanent establishments, and tax losses carried forward. These uncertainties may have a significant impact on local tax results. We also have various tax assets resulting from acquisitions. Tax assets can also result from the generation of tax losses in certain legal entities. Tax authorities may challenge these tax assets. In addition, the value of the tax assets resulting from tax losses carried forward depends on having sufficient taxable profits in the future.

There may from time to time exist deficiencies in our internal control systems that could adversely affect the accuracy and reliability of our periodic reporting.

We are required to establish and periodically assess the design and operating effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Despite the compliance procedures that we have adopted to ensure internal control over financial controls, there may from time to time exist deficiencies in our internal control systems that could adversely affect the accuracy and reliability of our periodic reporting. Our periodic reporting is the basis of investors' and other market professionals' understanding of our businesses. Imperfections in our periodic reporting could create uncertainty regarding the reliability of our results of operations and financial results, which in turn could have a material adverse impact on our reputation or share price.

Environmental laws and regulations expose us to liability and compliance with these laws and regulations, and any such liability may adversely affect our business.

We are subject to many environmental, health and safety laws and regulations in each jurisdiction in which we operate, which govern, among other things, emissions of pollutants into the air, wastewater discharges, the use and handling of hazardous substances, waste disposal, the investigation and remediation of soil and ground water contamination and the health and safety of our employees. We are also required to obtain environmental permits from governmental authorities for certain of our operations. We cannot assure you that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with such laws, regulations and permits. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators.

As with other companies engaged in similar activities or that own or operate real property, we face inherent risks of environmental liability at our current and historical manufacturing facilities. Certain environmental laws impose strict, and in certain circumstances, joint and several liability on current or previous owners or operators of real property for the cost of investigation, removal or remediation of hazardous substances as well as liability for related damages to natural resources. Certain of these laws also assess liability on persons who arrange for hazardous substances to be sent to disposal or treatment facilities when such facilities are found to be contaminated. Soil and groundwater contamination has been identified at some of our current and former properties resulting from historical, ongoing or third-party activities. We are in the process of investigating and remediating contamination at some of these sites. While we do not expect that any contamination currently known to us will have a material adverse effect on our business, we cannot assure you that this is the case or that we will not discover new facts or conditions or that environmental laws or the enforcement of such laws will not change such that our liabilities would be increased significantly. In addition, we could also be held liable for consequences arising out of human exposure to hazardous substances or other environmental damage. In summary, we cannot assure you that our costs of complying with current and future environmental and health and safety laws, or our liabilities arising from past or future releases of, or

exposures to, regulated materials, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

Scientific examination of, political attention to and rules and regulations on issues surrounding the existence and extent of climate change may result in an increase in the cost of production due to increase in the prices of

Table of Contents

energy and introduction of energy or carbon tax. A variety of regulatory developments have been introduced that focus on restricting or managing the emission of carbon dioxide, methane and other greenhouse gasses. Enterprises may need to purchase at higher costs new equipment or raw materials with lower carbon footprints. Environmental laws and regulations could also require us to acquire pollution abatement or remediation equipment, modify product designs, or incur expenses. New materials that we are evaluating for use in our operations may become subject to regulation. These developments and further legislation that is likely to be enacted could affect our operations negatively. Changes in environmental regulations could increase our production and operational costs, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Certain natural disasters, such as flooding, large earthquakes, volcanic eruptions or nuclear or other disasters, may negatively impact our business. There is increasing concern that climate change is occurring and may cause a rising number of natural disasters.

Environmental and other disasters, such as flooding, large earthquakes, volcanic eruptions or nuclear or other disasters, or a combination thereof may negatively impact our business. If flooding, a large earthquake, volcanic eruption or other natural disaster were to directly damage, destroy or disrupt our manufacturing facilities, it could disrupt our operations, delay new production and shipments of existing inventory or result in costly repairs, replacements or other costs, all of which would negatively impact our business. Even if our manufacturing facilities are not directly damaged, a large natural disaster may result in disruptions in distribution channels or supply chains and significant increases in the prices of raw materials used for our manufacturing process. For instance, the nuclear incident following the tsunami in Japan in 2011 impacted the supply chains of our customers and suppliers. Furthermore, any disaster affecting our customers (or their respective customers) may significantly negatively impact the demand for our products and our revenues.

The impact of any such natural disasters depends on the specific geographic circumstances but could be significant, as some of our factories are located in areas with known earthquake fault zones, flood or storm risks, including but not limited to the Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan, Malaysia or Thailand. There is increasing concern that climate change is occurring that may cause a rising number of natural disasters with potentially dramatic effects on human activity. We cannot predict the economic impact, if any, of natural disasters or climate change.

U.S. civil liabilities may not be enforceable against us.

We are incorporated under the laws of the Netherlands and substantial portions of our assets are located outside of the United States. In addition, certain members of our board, our officers and certain experts named herein reside outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon us or such other persons residing outside the United States, or to enforce outside the United States judgments obtained against such persons in U.S. courts in any action. In addition, it may be difficult for investors to enforce, in original actions brought in courts in jurisdictions located outside the United States, rights predicated upon the U.S. laws.

There is no treaty between the United States and the Netherlands for the mutual recognition and enforcement of judgments (other than arbitration awards) in civil and commercial matters. Therefore, a final judgment for the payment of money rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not predicated solely upon the U.S. federal securities laws, would not be enforceable in the Netherlands unless the underlying claim is re-litigated before a Dutch court. Under current practice however, a Dutch court will generally grant the same judgment without a review of the merits of the underlying claim if (i) that judgment resulted from legal proceedings compatible with Dutch notions of due process, (ii) that judgment does not contravene public policy of the Netherlands and (iii) the jurisdiction of the United States federal or state court has been based on internationally

accepted principles of private international law. Based on the foregoing, there can be no assurance that U.S. investors will be able to enforce against us or members of our board of directors, officers or certain experts named herein who are residents of the Netherlands or countries other than the United States any judgments obtained in U.S. courts in civil and commercial matters.

Table of Contents

In addition, there is doubt as to whether a Dutch court would impose civil liability on us, the members of our board of directors, our officers or certain experts named herein in an original action predicated solely upon the U.S. laws brought in a court of competent jurisdiction in the Netherlands against us or such members, officers or experts, respectively.

We are a Dutch public company with limited liability. The rights of our stockholders may be different from the rights of stockholders governed by the laws of U.S. jurisdictions.

We are a Dutch public company with limited liability (*naamloze vennootschap*). Our corporate affairs are governed by our articles of association and by the laws governing companies incorporated in the Netherlands. The rights of stockholders and the responsibilities of members of our board of directors may be different from the rights and obligations of stockholders in companies governed by the laws of U.S. jurisdictions. In the performance of its duties, our board of directors is required by Dutch law to consider the interests of our company, its stockholders, its employees and other stakeholders, in all cases with due observation of the principles of reasonableness and fairness. It is possible that some of these parties will have interests that are different from, or in addition to, your interests as a stockholder. See Part II, Item 16G. *Corporate Governance*.

Our articles of association, Dutch corporate law and our current and future debt instruments contain provisions that may discourage a takeover attempt.

Provisions contained in our articles of association and the laws of the Netherlands, the country in which we are incorporated, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so might be beneficial to our stockholders. Provisions of our articles of association impose various procedural and other requirements, which could make it more difficult for stockholders to effect certain corporate actions.

Our general meeting of stockholders has empowered our board of directors to issue additional shares or to restrict or exclude pre-emptive rights on existing shares for a period of five years from August 2, 2010 until August 2, 2015. An issue of new shares may make it more difficult for a stockholder to obtain control over our general meeting.

In addition, our debt instruments contain, and future debt instruments may also contain, provisions that require prepayment or offers to prepay upon a change of control. These clauses may also discourage takeover attempts.

We are a foreign private issuer and, as a result, are not subject to U.S. proxy rules but are subject to Exchange Act reporting obligations that, to some extent, are more lenient and less frequent than those of a U.S. issuer.

We report under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as a non-U.S. company with foreign private issuer status. Because we qualify as a foreign private issuer under the Exchange Act and although we follow Dutch laws and regulations with regard to such matters, we are exempt from certain provisions of the Exchange Act that are applicable to U.S. public companies, including: (i) the sections of the Exchange Act regulating the solicitation of proxies, consents or authorizations in respect of a security registered under the Exchange Act (ii) the sections of the Exchange Act requiring insiders to file public reports of their stock ownership and trading activities and liability for insiders who profit from trades made in a short period of time and (iii) the rules under the Exchange Act requiring the filing with the Commission of quarterly reports on Form 10-Q containing unaudited financial and other specified information, or current reports on Form 8-K, upon the occurrence of specified significant events. In addition, foreign private issuers are required to file their Annual Report on Form 20-F by 120 days after the end of each fiscal year while U.S. domestic issuers that are large accelerated filers are required to file their Annual Report on Form 10-K within 60 days after the end of each fiscal year. Foreign private issuers are also exempt from the Regulation Fair Disclosure, aimed at preventing issuers from making selective disclosures of material information. As

a result of the above, even though we are contractually obligated and intend to make interim reports available to our stockholders, copies of which we are

Table of Contents

required to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on a Form 6-K, and even though we are required to furnish reports on Form 6-K disclosing whatever information we have made or are required to make public pursuant to Dutch law or distribute to our stockholders and that is material to our company, you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are not foreign private issuers.

We are a foreign private issuer and, as a result, in accordance with the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market we rely on certain home country governance practices rather than the corporate governance requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

We are a foreign private issuer. As a result, in accordance with the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market we rely on home country governance requirements and certain exemptions there under rather than relying on the corporate governance requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. For an overview of our corporate governance principles, see Part II, Item 16G. *Corporate Governance*, including the section describing the differences between the corporate governance requirements applicable to common stock listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market and the Dutch corporate governance requirements. Accordingly, you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are not foreign private issuers.

As per January 1, 2013, the new Management and Supervision Act (the Supervision Act) has come into effect in the Netherlands. The Supervision Act provides for certain changes in Dutch company law. As a result of the Supervision Act, Dutch company law no longer contains restrictions on the powers of directors to represent the company in case of a conflict of interest, but provides that a member of the board of directors may not participate in the discussion and decision-making of the board about the conflicted subject. If all members of the board of directors have a conflict of interest, the resolution concerned will be adopted by the general meeting of shareholders, unless the articles of association provide otherwise. Our articles of association do not contain such alternative arrangements. If an executive director or a non-executive director does not comply with the provisions on conflicts of interest, the resolution concerned is subject to nullification (*vernietigbaar*) and the director concerned may be held liable towards us.

In addition, the Supervision Act introduces a limitation on the number of supervisory positions that managing/executive and supervisory/non-executive directors of so called large entities may hold. We qualify as a large entity. Aside from the new restrictions on the number of supervisory positions, the Supervision Act also contains a required gender balance. This means that for large entities the seats in a managing, supervisory or one tier board are to be divided among individuals, and the balanced participation is deemed to exist if at least 30% of the seats are taken by men and at least 30% by women. Pursuant to the Supervision Act, if we do not comply with the gender diversity rules, we will be required to explain this in our annual report. As we have currently 2 women on our board, we do not meet aforementioned gender balance. Although the board of directors gives appropriate weight to the diversity requirements in the nomination and selection process in future vacancies, it is noted that various pragmatic reasons such as the other relevant selection criteria play a complicating role in achieving the set targets in the short term. In order to strive for the required gender balance as envisaged by this new legislation, we intend to pursue a policy to appoint a well-balanced mix of women and men to our board of directors, while taking into account the overall profile and selection criteria for appointments of suitable candidates to our board.

Finally, the statutory provisions concerning the suspension of executive directors have been altered. The Supervision Act provides that, in one-tier board structures, executive directors can be suspended by the board of directors.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile.

Securities markets worldwide experience significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility, as well as general economic, market or political conditions, could reduce the market price of our common stock in spite of our

operation performance. In addition, our operating results could be below the expectations of public market analysts and investors, and in response, the market price of our common stock could decrease significantly.

Table of Contents

Dividend payment

We never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and may not pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We currently retain all of our earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business, to repurchase or redeem capital stock, and in the repayment of our debt. Accordingly, investors must rely on sales of their shares of common stock after price appreciation, which may never occur, as the only way to realize any future gains on their investments.

Future sales of our shares of common stock could depress the market price of our outstanding shares of common stock.

The market price of our shares of common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of our common stock in the market, or the perception that these sales could occur. These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, also might make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate.

As of February 21, 2014, there were 251,751,500 shares of our common stock outstanding, of which approximately 88% were freely tradable on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. After giving effect to the sales of shares of our common stock by members of the Private Equity Consortium announced on February 19, 2014, approximately 92% of our shares of common stock will be freely tradable on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

In the future, we may issue additional shares of common stock in connection with acquisitions and other investments, as well as in connection with our current or any revised or new equity plans for management and other employees. The amount of our common stock issued in connection with any such transaction could constitute a material portion of our then outstanding common stock.

Our actual operating results may differ significantly from our guidance.

From time to time, we release guidance regarding our future performance that represents our management's estimates as of the date of release. This guidance, which consists of forward-looking statements, is prepared by our management and is qualified by, and subject to, the assumptions and the other information contained or referred to in such release and the factors described under "Forward-Looking Statements". Our guidance is not prepared with a view toward compliance with published guidelines of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and neither our independent registered public accounting firm nor any other independent expert or outside party compiles or examines the guidance and, accordingly, no such person expresses any opinion or any other form of assurance with respect thereto.

Guidance is based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that, while presented with numerical specificity, is inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control and are based upon specific assumptions with respect to future business decisions, some of which will change. We generally state possible outcomes as high and low ranges which are intended to provide a sensitivity analysis as variables are changed but are not intended to represent that actual results could not fall outside of the suggested ranges. The principal reason that we release this data is to provide a basis for our management to discuss our business outlook with analysts and investors. We do not accept any responsibility for any projections or reports published by any such persons.

Guidance is necessarily speculative in nature, and it can be expected that some or all of the assumptions of the guidance furnished by us will not materialize or will vary significantly from actual results. Accordingly, our guidance

is only an estimate of what management believes is realizable as of the date of release. Actual results will vary from the guidance and the variations may be material. Investors should also recognize that the reliability of any forecasted financial data diminishes the farther in the future that the data is forecast. In light of the foregoing, investors are urged to put the guidance in context and not to place undue reliance on it.

Any failure to successfully implement our operating strategy or the occurrence of any of the events or circumstances set forth in, or incorporated by reference into, this annual report could result in the actual operating results being different than the guidance, and such differences may be adverse and material.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Information on the Company

A. History and Development of the Company

Name and History

Our legal name is NXP Semiconductors N.V. and our commercial name is NXP or NXP Semiconductors .

We were incorporated in the Netherlands as a Dutch private company with limited liability (*besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid*) under the name KASLION Acquisition B.V. on August 2, 2006, in connection with the sale by Philips of 80.1% of its semiconductor business to the Private Equity Consortium . For a list of the specific funds that hold our common stock and their respective share ownership, see Part I, Item 7A. *Major Shareholders* elsewhere in this document. Initially, the Private Equity Consortium invested in our Company through KASLION Holding B.V., a Dutch private company with limited liability.

On May 21, 2010, we converted into a Dutch public company with limited liability (*naamloze vennootschap*) and changed our name to NXP Semiconductors N.V. Concurrently, we amended our articles of association in order to effect a 1-for-20 reverse stock split of our shares of common stock.

In August 2010, we made an initial public offering of 34 million shares of our common stock and listed our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

On March 31, 2011, certain of our stockholders offered 30 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$30.00 per share. The underwriters of the offering exercised in full their option to purchase from the selling stockholders 4,431,000 additional shares of common stock at the secondary offering price. We did not receive any proceeds from this secondary offering. The settlement date for the offering was April 5, 2011. On February 4, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 30 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$30.35 per share. The settlement date for the offering was February 7, 2013. On March 8, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 25 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$31.40 per share. The settlement date for the offering was March 13, 2013. On September 13, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 25 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$37.65 per share. The settlement date for the offering was September 18, 2013. On December 11, 2013 certain of our stockholders offered 25 million shares of our common stock priced at \$42.50 per share. The settlement date for the offering was December 13, 2013. We did not receive any proceeds from these secondary offerings either.

We are a holding company whose only material assets are the direct ownership of 100% of the shares of NXP B.V., a Dutch private company with limited liability (*besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid*).

Our corporate seat is in Eindhoven, the Netherlands. Our principal executive office is at High Tech Campus 60, 5656 AG Eindhoven, the Netherlands, and our telephone number is +31 40 2729233. Our registered agent in the United States is NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc., 411 East Plumeria Drive, San Jose, CA 95134, United States of America, phone number +1 408 5185400.

OPEX Reduction Program

During the fourth quarter of 2012 NXP adopted a cost savings and restructuring initiative designed to improve operational efficiency and to competitively position the Company for sustainable growth. This initiative primarily relates to a worldwide workforce reduction of approximately 650 employees, with the majority of the headcount reductions in Europe and the United States. For additional information regarding our restructuring initiatives please refer to Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18 of this Report.

Table of Contents**Reporting Segments**

NXP is organized into two market oriented reportable segments, High Performance Mixed Signal (HPMS) and Standard Products (SP). Corporate and Other represents the remaining portion to reconcile to the Consolidated Financial Statements. You can find a description of each of our reportable segments below. We also have a manufacturing group that manages our manufacturing and supply chain activities. Prior to January 1, 2013 we had three reportable segments: HPMS, SP and Manufacturing Operations. During the first quarter of 2013 we moved our General Purpose Logic Product Line from our HPMS segment (Portable & Computing) to our SP segment; and our NXP software product line to our HPMS Segment (Industrial & Infrastructure) from Corporate and Other. We also determined in the fourth quarter of 2013 that Manufacturing Operations no longer meets the criteria for separate reporting as a reportable segment and consequently, its results are now reflected within Corporate and Other. As a result of the above changes to the composition of our operating and reportable segments, corresponding information for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

B.Business Overview**Our Company**

We are a global semiconductor company and a long-standing supplier in the industry, with over 50 years of innovation and operating history. We provide leading High Performance Mixed Signal and Standard Product solutions that leverage our deep application insight and our technology and manufacturing expertise in security, interface, RF, analog, power management and digital processing products. Our product solutions are used in a wide range of applications such as: automotive, identification, wireless infrastructure, lighting, industrial, mobile, consumer and computing. We engage with leading original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) worldwide and 64% of our revenue in 2013 was derived from Asia Pacific (excluding Japan).

Since our separation from Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. (Philips) in 2006, we have significantly repositioned our business to focus on High Performance Mixed Signal solutions and have implemented a restructuring program and taken other restructuring initiatives aimed at achieving a world-class cost structure and streamlined processes. As of December 31, 2013, we had 25,691 full-time equivalent employees located in over 25 countries, with research and development activities in Asia, Europe and the United States, and manufacturing facilities in Asia and Europe. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we generated revenue of \$4,815 million.

Markets, applications and products

We sell two categories of products, High Performance Mixed Signal product solutions and Standard Products. The first category, which consists of highly differentiated application-specific High Performance Mixed Signal semiconductors and system solutions, accounted for 76% of our total product revenue in 2013. We believe that High Performance Mixed Signal is an attractive market in terms of growth, barriers to entry, relative business and pricing stability and capital intensity. The second of our product categories, Standard Products, accounted for 24% of our total product revenue in 2013, and consists of devices that can be incorporated in many different types of electronics equipment and that are typically sold to a wide variety of customers, both directly and through distributors. Manufacturing cost, supply chain efficiency and continuous improvement of manufacturing processes drive the profitability of our Standard Products.

High Performance Mixed Signal

We focus on developing products and system and sub-system solutions that are innovative and allow our customers to bring their end products to market more quickly. Our products, particularly our application system and sub-system solutions, help our customers design critical parts of their end products and thus help many of them to differentiate themselves based on feature performance, advanced functionality, cost or time-to-market.

Table of Contents

We leverage our technical expertise in the areas of RF, analog, power management, interface, security technologies and digital processing across our priority applications markets. Our strong RF capabilities are utilized in our high performance RF for wireless infrastructure and industrial applications, television tuners, car security and car radio products and contactless identification products. Our power technologies and capabilities are applied in our lighting products, AC-DC power conversion and audio power products, while our ability to design ultra-low power semiconductors is used in a wide range of our products including our consumer, mobile, identification, healthcare products and our microcontrollers. Our high-speed interface design skills are applied in our interface products business, and our security solutions are used in our identification, microcontroller, telematics and smart metering products and solutions. Finally, our digital processing capabilities are used in our Auto DSPs, the products leveraging our Coolflux ultra-low power DSPs, such as in our hearing aid business, and our microcontroller based products. In addition, our digital processing knowledge is used to design High Performance Mixed Signal solutions that leverage other suppliers' digital processing products.

In 2012, we organized the High Performance Mixed Signal segment into four Business Units named: Automotive, Identification, Infrastructure & Industrial and Portable & Computing. The below table provides an overview of the key applications per Business Unit, the leading market positions and our key customers.

	Automotive	Identification	Infrastructure & Industrial	Portable & Computing
Key applications	Car access & immobilizers	Secure identity	Wireless base stations	Mobile handset
	In vehicle networking	Secure transactions	Satellite & CATV infra	Tablet
	Car entertainment	Tagging & authentication	Radar	Monitor
	Telematics		Power supplies	Personal computer
	ABS		Lighting	Smart metering
	Transmission/throttle control		Personal Healthcare	White goods & home appliances
	Automotive Lighting		TV & Set-top boxes	Pachinko machines
			Mobile Handsets	Medical
				Industrial
				Consumer
Selected market leading positions	#1 Can/LIN/ Flex Ray in-vehicle networking	#1 e-Government	#2 in RF Power	# 3 in interface
	#1 passive keyless entry/ immobilizers	#1 Transport & Access management	#1 in silicon tuners	
		#1 Banking		

**Key OEM
customers**

#1 car radio	#1 NFC		
Becker	Austria Card	Alcatel	Apple
Bosch	Avery Dennison	Changhong	Cisco
Clarion	Gemalto	Ericsson	Continental
Continental	Giesecke	HTC	Flextronics
Delphi	HTC	Huawei	Foxconn
Fujitsu Ten	Kona	Lite-on	Huawei
Hyundai	Oberthur	NSN	Samsung
Mitsubishi	Samsung	Philips	Wistron
Panasonic	Smartrac	Samsung	ZLG Electronics
Visteon	Sony	ZTE	ZTE

The customers listed above represent in alphabetical order our key OEM, ODM and electronic manufacturing services customers based on 2013 revenue. Key distributors across these applications are Arrow, Avnet, Edom, Vitec and WPG. These distributors represent our top five distributors in terms of revenue in 2013 in the High Performance Mixed Signal segment.

Table of Contents

Automotive. In the automotive market we are a leader in in-vehicle networking, car passive keyless entry and immobilization, and car radio and car audio amplifiers. We also have an emerging business in car solid state lighting drivers and are investing in growth areas, such as Car2X communication products which relate to autonomous driving.

In the can/LIN/FlexRay in-vehicle networking market, we are the market leader, having played a defining role in setting the can/LIN and more recently FlexRay standards. We are a leading supplier to major OEMs and continue to drive new system concepts, such as partial networking for enhanced energy efficiency. In the car access and immobilizers market, we lead the development of new passive keyless entry/start and two-way key concepts with our customers and, as a result, we are a key supplier to almost all car OEMs for those products. We are the market leader in AM/FM car radio chip sets.

Our leadership in mid- and high-end car radio is driven by excellent reception performance, whereas in the low-end and after-market car radio, our leadership is driven by our one-chip radio solutions that offer ease of implementation and low cost of ownership. In digital reception, we have developed multi-standard radios based on our software-defined radio implementation. Based on these software-defined radio technology, we are developing Car2X communication products. In addition, we provide class-AB and class-D audio amplifiers and power analog products for car entertainment. We leverage our proprietary processes for automotive, high-voltage, RF and non-volatile processes as well as our technology standards and leading edge security IP developed by our identification business, to deliver our automotive solutions. We are compliant with all globally relevant automotive quality standards (such as ISO/TS16949 and VDA6.3).

In 2013, we made (together with Cisco), a strategic investment in Cohda Wireless, an equipment vendor in the Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) market with whom we co-operate for Car2X solutions. In December 2013, we announced a joint venture with Datang Telecom, targeting the China domestic hybrid and electrical car market. In December 2013, we also announced the intended sale of our Telematics Module business to Telit communications.

For the full year 2013, we had High Performance Mixed Signal revenue of \$1,019 million in automotive applications, compared to \$939 million in 2012, which represents a 8.5% year over year increase. According to Strategy Analytics, the total market for automotive semiconductors was \$25.7 billion in 2012, and projects it will grow at a compounded annual growth rate of 7.1% between 2012 and 2016. According to Strategy Analytics estimates we were the fifth largest supplier of automotive semiconductors worldwide in 2012.

Identification. Based on revenue in 2013, we have the leading position in the overall identification chip market segment, and are especially well positioned in the faster growing contactless identification IC market.

We address all segments of the market, except for the commodity SIM market. We are the largest supplier world-wide of ICs for e-government, transportation and access management and NFC. For example, we supply to approximately 85% of worldwide e-passport projects, and our MIFARE product is used in approximately 70% of the public transport systems that have adopted electronic ticketing. We have led the development and standard setting of near field communications (NFC), which is a rapidly growing standard for secure short-range connectivity that has been established to enable secure transactions between mobile devices and point-of-sale terminals or other devices. Our leadership in the identification market is based on the strength of our security, end-to-end system contactless read speed performance, our ability to drive new standard settings and the breadth of our product portfolio. Key growth drivers will be further adoption of security ICs in governmental documents and payment cards, adoption of new security standards and the need for multi-application solutions in existing smart card markets.

For the full year 2013, we had High Performance Mixed Signal revenue of \$1,297 million in identification applications, compared to \$986 million in 2012, which represents a 31.5% year over year growth. According to ABI

Research, the market size for identification ICs was \$2.7 billion in 2012, and is expected to grow at a compounded annual rate of 15% to \$4.8 billion in 2016.

Table of Contents

Infrastructure and Industrial. We have a leading market position in high-performance radio frequency solutions, are the market leader in silicon tuners, have a broad portfolio in lighting drivers and strong positions in selected niche segments of the AC-DC power conversion and personal healthcare markets. In addition, we have an emerging business in low power connectivity and mobile audio. Our overall revenue in these businesses was \$729 million in 2013 versus \$634 million in 2012, which represents an increase of 15.0% year over year.

Our leading high-performance radio frequency business mainly provides RF front-end solutions for markets, such as mobile base stations, wireless connectivity, satellite and CATV infrastructure and receivers, industrial applications, and to a lesser extent addresses the military and aerospace markets. We have a leading position in RF Power Amplifiers and significant sales in Small Signal RF discretely and RF ICs for mobile, consumer electronics and cable television infrastructure, while we have emerging businesses in RF ICs for mobile base stations and monolithic microwave ICs (MMICs). Our leadership is based on our world-class proprietary RF process technologies and technology advancements that drive overall system performance, such as power scaling in mobile base stations. We are engaged with the majority of the largest customers in mobile base stations and in several other application areas. Key growth drivers for our high-performance RF business include infrastructure build-outs driven by the substantial growth in mobile data use and digital broadcast adoption, infrastructure development of developing countries, including China, 4G roll out, new radar implementations, and our expansion into new product markets such as wireless communications LNAs and infrastructure MMICs. We estimate that the market for RF Power Amplifiers is \$1 billion in 2012 and is expected to grow with a compounded annual growth rate of 5.7% till 2016.

We are the leader in silicon tuners for televisions and set-top boxes. Our market strengths are our specialty RF process technology, decades of experience in designing tuners that work under all broadcasting standards and conditions across the world, and our innovations in new broadcasting standards. Key growth drivers for our products in these markets include the adoption of silicon tuners by TV manufacturers, penetration of new broadcast standards such as DVB-T2, DVB-C2 and DOCSIS 3.0, and the adoption of multi-tuner applications. The market for silicon tuners was \$0.3 billion in 2012, and is expected to stay at this level in the period between 2012 and 2016.

In lighting, we have a broad driver portfolio serving all main segments in general lighting applications. Our strength in lighting ICs is based on our leading-edge high-voltage power analog process technologies and system optimization concepts, such as our patented technology to develop sensors-less temperature-controlled LED drivers. The lighting IC market is a high growth market, partly driven by government regulations around the world that ban or discourage the use of incandescent light bulbs and encourage or mandate CFL and LED lighting solutions and by energy-savings conscious customers.

We are a key player in high efficiency AC-DC power conversion ICs for notebook personal computers (our green chip solutions), and are expanding our offering into mobile device chargers. Our strength in AC-DC power conversion is based on our leading edge high-voltage power analog process technologies and engineering capabilities in designing high efficiency power conversion products. Due to worldwide conservation efforts, many countries, states and local governments have adopted regulations that increase the demand for higher power efficiency solutions in computing and consumer applications, especially in power conversion.

Our personal healthcare revenue is generated by our hearing aid products, which leverage our proprietary ultra low power Coolflux DSP, our low power audio IC design capabilities and our magnetic induction radio technology. We design customer-specific ICs for major hearing aid OEMs, and many of these customers fund our product development efforts.

In addition, we have two emerging product areas, one focused on the mobile audio market and the other on the low power connectivity market. Our mobile audio business focuses on smart speaker drivers and leverages many of the

same core technologies and competencies as our personal healthcare business. In 2012 we started shipping to a key smart phone OEMs, followed by many other design wins. Our low power connectivity business started in 2010 through the acquisition of Jennic, which brought us a portfolio of 802.15.4-based low power

Table of Contents

connectivity ICs with a broad range of both the Zigbee standard-based as well as proprietary protocol stacks. With this portfolio we target the emerging Internet of Things market, starting with application solutions for smart lighting, smart energy, wireless remote controls & switches and healthcare monitoring.

We also sell software solutions for mobile phones through our NXP Software business.

The NXP Software solutions business develops audio solutions that enable mobile device manufacturers to produce differentiated hand held products that enhance the end-user experience. Our software has been incorporated into over 1 billion mobile devices produced by many of the world's leading mobile device manufacturers.

Portable and Computing. We are a top three supplier in the fragmented interface market and have a strong portfolio in 32-bit ARM microcontrollers. Our overall High Performance Mixed Signal revenue in these businesses was \$488 million in 2013, compared to \$417 million in 2012, which represents a 17.0% year over year growth.

In microcontrollers, we have a strong position in multi-purpose 32-bit ARM microcontrollers serving a broad array of applications. ARM processor cores have been gaining momentum in the general purpose MCU market during the past few years. Our competitive advantage is based on having been a launching partner for several low power ARM microcontroller cores, our rich portfolio of analog and security IP, which we integrate with the ARM core into a family of microcontroller products, and our distribution leverage based on our ability to offer a full microcontroller software development kit. Our ARM Cortex M0-based products achieve pricing levels that places it squarely in competition with 8-bit microcontrollers, while offering better performance in terms of processing speed and system power consumption, expanding the addressable market for 32-bit ARM microcontrollers at the expense of 8-bit ARM microcontrollers. In 2013 we ramped up a major design win with one of the leading smart phone OEMs. Gartner³ estimates the worldwide market for Microcontrollers 32-bit to be \$5.2 billion in 2012, and expects a compounded annual growth rate of 6.1% between 2012 and 2016¹.

The interface products market is highly fragmented with niche markets around each of the established interface standards, where overall we were the 3rd ranked player² in 2012 for worldwide shipments of Interface ICs. Our products address many interface standards and we serve various applications across the mobile, computing, industrial, consumer and automotive markets. We have broad product portfolios in five interface segments, being UARTs and bridges, I²C and SPI LED controllers, low power real-time clocks and watch ICs, HDMI switches and transceivers, and display port multiplexers. Our core competencies are the design of high speed interfaces, high voltage design needed for LED and LCD drivers, ultra low power design for real-time clocks and watch ICs, and our ability to engage with leading OEMs in defining new interface standards and product designs. While we successfully engage with leading OEMs to drive our innovation roadmaps, we generate a large part of our revenue by subsequently selling these products to a very broad customer base, which we serve through our distribution channel. We are engaged in development activities and standard setting initiatives with many of the innovation leaders in each of these markets. Key growth drivers will be the adoption rate of new high-speed interface standards such as display port and USB, and application specific interface products for the mobile market. According to Gartner, the worldwide Interface IC market is projected to grow at a 2.6% compounded annual rate between 2012 and 2016, from a revenue base of \$1.4 billion in 2012³.

Standard Products

Our Standard Products business supplies a broad range of standard semiconductor components, such as small signal discretes, power discretes, protection and signal conditioning devices and standard logic devices, which we largely produce in dedicated in-house high-volume manufacturing operations. Our portfolio consists of a large variety of catalog products, using widely-known production techniques, with characteristics that are largely standardized

throughout the industry as well as leading discrete solutions especially in the field of ESD protection / EMI filtering and low loss rectification and power switching. Our Standard Products are often sold as separate components, but in many cases, are used in conjunction with our High Performance Mixed Signal

Table of Contents

solutions, often within the same subsystems. Further, we are able to leverage customer engagements where we provide standard products devices, as discrete components, within a system to identify and pursue potential High Performance Mixed Signal opportunities.

Our products are sold both directly to OEMs as well as through distribution, and are primarily differentiated on cost, packaging type and miniaturization, and supply chain performance. Alternatively, our innovative products include design-in products, which require significant engineering effort to be designed into an application solution. For these products, our efforts make it more difficult for a competitor to easily replace our product, which makes these businesses more predictable in terms of revenue and pricing than is typical for standard products.

Our key product applications, markets and customers are described in the table below.

Key Products	SS Transistors and Diodes
	SS MOS
	Power MOS
	Bipolar Power Transistors
	Thyristors
	Rectifiers
	Interface protection devices
	General Purpose Logic
Key OEM and electronic manufacturing services (EMS) customers	Apple
	Asustek
	Bosch
	Continental
	Delphi
	Delta Electronics
	Flextronics
	LGE
Philips	

Samsung

The customers listed above in alphabetical order represent our key OEM and electronic manufacturing services customers based on 2013 revenue. Key distributors across these applications are Arrow, Avnet, Future and WPG. These distributors represent our top four distributors in terms of revenue in 2013 in the Standard Products segment.

In 2013, our Standard Products business generated net revenue of \$1,145 million, compared to \$1,168 million in 2012, which represents a 2.0% year over year decline.

We are the number two global supplier of small-signal discretes with one of the broadest product portfolios in the industry. We have a strong position due to our strong cost competitiveness, supply chain performance, leverage of our OEM relationships and a broadening portfolio. We are focusing on expanding our share of higher margin products in this business. In addition, we are also building a small signal MOSFET product line, which leverages our small signal transistors and diodes packaging operations and strong customer relationships. In addition to our small signal discretes products, we have a Power MOSFET product line, which is focused on the low-voltage segment of the market. The majority of our revenue in Power MOSFETs is to automotive customers.

¹ Source: Gartner, Inc., Semiconductor Forecast Database, 4Q13 Update, December, 2013.

² Source: Gartner, Inc., Market Share, Semiconductor Applications, Worldwide, 2012, April 2013.

³ The Gartner Report(s) described herein, (the Gartner Report(s)) represent(s) data, research opinion or viewpoints published, as part of a syndicated subscription service, by Gartner, Inc. (Gartner), and are not representations of fact. Each Gartner Report speaks as of its original publication date (and not as of the date of this Filing) and the opinions expressed in the Gartner Report(s) are subject to change without notice.

Table of Contents

We have recently introduced a new range of Automotive Power MOSFET products in our Trench 6 manufacturing process. Finally, we have small bipolar power, thyristor and rectifier product lines, which are focused on specific applications, such as white goods and power supplies, and are sold as part of our overall High Performance Mixed Signal application solutions. We estimate that the market for discretes, excluding RF & Microwave, was \$18 billion in 2012 and is expected to grow at a compounded annual rate of 3%, between 2012 and 2016.

Next to discrete semiconductors, the Standard Products segment also includes Standard Logic ICs. We have a number 2 position in Standard Logic IC markets based on worldwide revenue for 2012¹, which we leverage in a large number of our High Performance Mixed Signal solutions. We offer several product families for low-voltage applications in communication equipment, personal computers, personal computer peripherals and consumer and portable electronics. Our 3V and 5V families hold a leading share of the logic market. We are currently expanding the higher margin product range in this business by expanding, among others, our switches and translators (or custom logic) portfolio and optimizing our manufacturing. Gartner sizes the worldwide Standard Logic market at \$1.5 billion in 2012, and is estimated to grow with compound annual rate of 3.3% between 2012 and 2016³.

Corporate and Other

We manufacture integrated circuits and discrete semiconductors through a combination of wholly owned manufacturing facilities, manufacturing facilities operated jointly with other semiconductor companies and third-party foundries and assembly and test subcontractors. Our manufacturing operations primarily focus on manufacturing and supplying products to our High Performance Mixed Signal and Standard Products businesses. We manage our manufacturing assets together through one centralized organization to ensure we realize scale benefits in asset utilization, purchasing volumes and overhead leverage across businesses.

In the future, we expect to outsource an increased part of our internal demand for wafer foundry and packaging services to third-party manufacturing sources in order to increase our flexibility to accommodate increased demand mainly in our High Performance Mixed Signal and to a lesser extent in Standard Products businesses.

The manufacturing of a semiconductor involves several phases of production, which can be broadly divided into front-end and back-end processes. Front-end processes take place at highly complex wafer manufacturing facilities (called fabrication plants or wafer fabs), and involve the imprinting of substrate silicon wafers with the precise circuitry required for semiconductors to function. The front-end production cycle requires high levels of precision and involves as many as 300 process steps. Back-end processes involve the assembly, test and packaging of semiconductors in a form suitable for distribution. In contrast to the highly complex front-end process, back-end processing is generally less complicated, and as a result we tend to determine the location of our back-end facilities based more on cost factors than on technical considerations.

We primarily focus our internal and joint venture wafer manufacturing operations on running proprietary specialty process technologies that enable us to differentiate our products on key performance features, and we generally outsource wafer manufacturing in process technologies that are available at third-party wafer foundries when it is economical to do so. In addition, we increasingly focus our in-house manufacturing on our competitive 8-inch facilities, which predominantly run manufacturing processes in the 140 nanometer, 180 nanometer and 250 nanometer process nodes, and have concentrated the majority of our manufacturing base in Asia. This focus increases our return on invested capital and reduces capital expenditures.

Our front-end manufacturing facilities use a broad range of production processes and proprietary design methods, including CMOS, bipolar, bipolar CMOS (BiCMOS) and double-diffused metal on silicon oxide

¹ Source: Gartner, Inc., Market Share, Semiconductor Applications, Worldwide, 2012, April 2013

Table of Contents

semiconductor (DMOS) technologies. Our wafer fabs produce semiconductors with line widths ranging from 140 nanometers to 3 microns for integrated circuits and 0.5 microns to greater than 4 microns for discretes. This broad technology portfolio enables us to meet increasing demand from customers for system solutions, which require a variety of technologies.

Our back-end manufacturing facilities test and package many different types of products using a wide variety of processes. To optimize flexibility, we use shared technology platforms for our back-end assembly operations. Most of our assembly and test activities are maintained in-house, as internal benchmarks indicate that we achieve a significant cost advantage over outsourcing options due to our scale and operational performance. In addition, control over these processes enables us to deliver better supply chain performance to our customers. Finally, a number of our High Performance Mixed Signal products enjoy significant packaging cost and innovation benefits due to the scale of our Standard Products business, which manufactures tens of billions of units per year.

The following table shows selected key information with respect to our major front-end and back-end facilities:

Site	Ownership	Wafer sizes used	Line widths used (vm) (Microns)	Technology
Front-end				
Singapore ⁽¹⁾	61.2%	8	0.14-0.25	CMOS
Jilin, China ⁽²⁾	100%	5	>4	Bipolar
Nijmegen, the Netherlands	100%	8	0.14-0.80	CMOS, BiCMOS, LDMOS
Nijmegen, the Netherlands ⁽³⁾	100%	6	0.50-3.0	CMOS
Hamburg, Germany	100%	6 /8	0.5-3.0	Discretes, Bipolar
Manchester, United Kingdom	100%	6	0.5	Power discretes
Back-end⁽⁴⁾				
Kaohsiung, Taiwan	100%			Leadframe-based packages and ball grid arrays
Bangkok, Thailand	100%			Low-pin count leadframes
Guangdong, China	100%			Discrete devices
Seremban, Malaysia	100%			Discrete devices
Cabuyao, Philippines	100%			Power discretes, sensors and RF modules processes

(1) Joint venture with TSMC; we are entitled to 60% of the joint venture's annual capacity.

(2) On December 19, 2013, we acquired the remaining 40% non-controlling interest share from Jilin Sino-Microelectronics Co. Ltd.

(3) Closure foreseen for 2014 Q1.

(4)

In back-end manufacturing we entered into a joint venture with ASE in Suzhou (ASEN), in which we currently hold a 40% interest.

We use a large number of raw materials in our front- and back-end manufacturing processes, including silicon wafers, chemicals, gases, lead frames, substrates, molding compounds and various types of precious and other metals. Our most important raw materials are the raw, or substrate, silicon wafers we use to make our semiconductors. We purchase these wafers, which must meet exacting specifications, from a limited number of suppliers in the geographic region in which our fabrication facilities are located. At our wholly owned fabrication plants, we use raw wafers ranging from 5 inches to 8 inches in size. Our SSMC wafer fab facility, which produces 8 inch wafers, is jointly owned by TSMC and ourselves. We are leveraging our experience in that fab facility in optimizing our remaining wholly owned Nijmegen and Hamburg wafer fabs. Our other remaining fabs are small and are focused exclusively on manufacturing power discretetes. Emerging fabrication technologies employ larger wafer sizes and, accordingly, we expect that our production requirements will in the future shift towards larger substrate wafers.

Table of Contents

We typically source our other raw materials in a similar fashion as our wafers, although our portfolio of suppliers is more diverse. Some of our suppliers provide us with materials on a just-in-time basis, which permits us to reduce our procurement costs and the negative cash flow consequences of maintaining inventories, but exposes us to potential supply chain interruptions. We purchase most of our raw materials on the basis of fixed price contracts, but generally do not commit ourselves to long-term purchase obligations, which permits us to renegotiate prices periodically.

In addition, on a limited basis, we also produce and sell wafers and packaging services to our divested businesses (currently Entropic Communications, Sigma Designs, IDT and DSPG) in order to support their separation and, on a limited basis, their ongoing operations. As these divested businesses develop or acquire their own foundry and packaging capabilities, our revenue from these sources is declining. Our agreement with DSPG expired in December 2010 (although we have an ongoing obligation to supply services relating to certain specialty processes until December 2014), the supplies to ST-Ericsson have effectively been terminated except for a small number of identified products. The former agreement with Trident Microsystems was converted into two separate agreements with Entropic and Sigma after Trident's bankruptcy. The agreement with IDT is the result of the sale of our high-speed dataconverter activity to IDT.

Sales, Marketing and Customers

We market our products worldwide to a variety of OEMs, ODMs, contract manufacturers and distributors. We generate demand for our products by delivering High Performance Mixed Signal solutions to our customers, and supporting their system design-in activities by providing application architecture expertise and local field application engineering support. We have 36 sales offices worldwide.

Our sales and marketing teams are organized into six regions, which are EMEA (Europe, the Middle East and Africa), the Americas, Japan, South Korea, Greater China and Asia Pacific. These sales regions are responsible for managing the customer relationships, design-in and promotion of new products. We seek to further expand the presence of application engineers closely supporting our customers and to increase the amount of product development work that we can conduct jointly with our leading customers. Our web-based marketing tool is complementary to our direct customer technical support.

Our sales and marketing strategy focuses on deepening our relationship with our top OEMs and electronic manufacturing service customers and distribution partners and becoming their preferred supplier, which we believe assists us in reducing sales volatility in challenging markets. We have long-standing customer relationships with most of our customers. Our 10 largest OEM customers in alphabetical order are Apple, Bosch, Continental, Delphi, Gemalto, Giesecke/Devrient, Huawei, Kona, Panasonic and Samsung. When we target new customers, we generally focus on companies that are leaders in their markets either in terms of market share or leadership in driving innovation. We also have a strong position with our distribution partners, being the number two semiconductor supplier (other than microprocessors) through distribution worldwide. Our 3 largest distribution partners are Arrow, Avnet and WPG.

Based on total revenue during 2013, our top 40 OEM customers, of which some are supplied via distributors, accounted for 52% of our total revenue, our ten largest OEM customers accounted for approximately 29% of our total revenue and no customer represented more than 10% of our total revenue. We generated approximately 27% of our total revenue through our 3 largest distribution partners, of which WPG was the only larger than 10% distributor with 11% of total revenue.

Our sales and marketing activities are regulated by certain laws and government regulations, including antitrust laws, legislation governing our customers' privacy and regulations prohibiting or restricting the transfer of technology to

foreign nationals and the export of certain electronic components that may have a military application. For example, we are required to obtain licenses and authorizations under the U.S. Export Administration Regulations and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, in order to export some of our products and technology. Further, some of our products that contain encrypted information are required to

Table of Contents

undergo a review by the Bureau of Industry and Security of the U.S. Department of Commerce prior to export. While we believe that we have been and continue to be in compliance with these laws and regulations, if we fail to comply with their requirements, we could face fines or other sanctions. For further information see the *As our business is global, we need to comply with laws and regulations in countries across the world and are exposed to international business risks that could adversely affect our business* section in Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors*.

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

See the discussion set forth under Part I, Item 5C. *Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.*

Competition

We compete with many different semiconductor companies, ranging from multinational companies with integrated research and development, manufacturing, sales and marketing organizations across a broad spectrum of product lines, to fabless semiconductor companies, to companies that are focused on a single application market segment or standard product. Most of these competitors compete with us with respect to some, but not all, of our businesses. Few of our competitors have operations across our business lines.

Our key competitors in alphabetical order include Analog Devices Inc., Atmel Corporation, Entropic Communications Inc., Fairchild Semiconductors International Inc., Freescale, Infineon, International Rectifier Corporation, Linear Technology Corporation, Maxim Integrated Products, Inc., MaxLinear, Renesas, ON Semiconductor Corporation, Power Integrations Inc., ROHM Co., Ltd., Silicon Laboratories Inc., STMicroelectronics and Texas Instruments Incorporated.

The basis on which we compete varies across market segments and geographic regions. Our High Performance Mixed Signal businesses compete primarily on the basis of our ability to timely develop new products and the underlying intellectual property and on meeting customer requirements in terms of cost, product features, quality, warranty and availability. In addition, our High Performance Mixed Signal system solutions businesses require in-depth knowledge of a given application market in order to develop robust system solutions and qualified customer support resources. In contrast, our Standard Products business competes primarily on the basis of manufacturing and supply chain excellence and breadth of product portfolio.

Legal Proceedings

The information set forth under the *Litigation* caption of Note 10 of our Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18 of this Report is incorporated herein by reference. For additional discussion of certain risks associated with legal proceedings, see Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors* above.

Environmental Regulation

The information set forth under the *Environmental remediation* caption of Note 10 of our Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18 of this Report is incorporated herein by reference. For additional discussion of certain risks associated with environmental regulation, see Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors* above.

C. Organizational Structure

A list of our significant subsidiaries, including name, country of incorporation or residence and proportion of ownership interest and voting power is provided as *Exhibit 21.1* under Part III, Item 19. *Exhibits* and is incorporated

herein by reference.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE STRUCTURE

The following chart reflects our corporate structure as of December 31, 2013.

- (1) Includes the Private Equity Consortium.
- (2) For a more detailed description of our management equity stock option plan (Management Equity Stock Option Plan) and our Long-Term Incentive Plans 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, see the discussion set forth under *Share Based Compensation Plans* contained in this Report in Part I, Item 6B. *Compensation*.
- (3) As of December 31, 2013, we had \$150 million of borrowings outstanding under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

- (4) As of December 31, 2013, we had \$885 million aggregate principal amount outstanding under the Term Loans.
 (5) As of December 31, 2013, we had \$2,250 million aggregate principal amount of Notes outstanding.

D. Property, Plant and Equipment

NXP uses 59 sites in over 25 countries with approximately 25,700 full-time employees, 8.3 million square feet of total owned and leased building space of which 5.7 million square feet is owned property.

The following table sets out our principal real property holdings as of December 31, 2013:

Location	Use	Owned/leased	Building space (square feet)
Eindhoven, the Netherlands	Headquarters	Leased	230,039
Hamburg, Germany	Manufacturing	Owned	798,336
Nijmegen, the Netherlands	Manufacturing	Owned	2,031,242
Singapore	Manufacturing	Owned	739,502
Bangkok, Thailand	Manufacturing	Owned	560,493
Cabuyao, Philippines	Manufacturing	Owned	444,059
Kaohsiung, Taiwan	Manufacturing	Owned	342,971
Manchester, United Kingdom	Manufacturing	Owned	273,266
Jilin, China	Manufacturing	Leased	138,850
Guangdong, China	Manufacturing	Leased	889,374
Seremban, Malaysia	Manufacturing	Owned	316,471

The following is a summary of the terms of our material lease agreements:

Manufacturing in Singapore (SSMC) leases the land at 70 Pasir Ris Drive 1 in Singapore from Jurong Town Corporation for use as a manufacturing facility. The lease commenced on June 1, 1999 for a term of 30 years at an annual rental rate of 1,484,584 Singapore Dollars (\$1,174,141), which amount is subject to revision up to, but not exceeding, 5% of the yearly rent for the immediately preceding year, on the anniversary of the lease commencement date. The building has 739,502 square feet of floor space and is owned by us.

We lease 889,374 square feet of manufacturing space through our subsidiary, NXP Semiconductors Guangdong Ltd., at Tian Mei High Tech, Industrial Park, Huang Jiang Town, Dongguan City, Guangdong, China, from Huangjiang Investment Development Company (Huangjiang). The lease commenced on October 1, 2003 for a term of 13 years at an annual rental rate calculated to be the greater of: (a) a yearly rental rate of RMB96 (\$16) per square meter or (b) a yearly rent equal to 13% of the actual construction cost of the leased facility. The rental amount is subject to revision on an annual basis, subject to the interest rate Huangjiang must pay for loans used in the construction of the facilities agreed upon in the lease.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

A. Operating Results

Effect of Acquisition Accounting

Our Formation

On September 29, 2006, Philips sold 80.1% of its semiconductor business to the Private Equity Consortium in a multi-step transaction. We refer to this acquisition as our Formation .

Table of Contents

The Formation has been accounted for using the acquisition method. Accordingly, the \$10,601 million purchase price has been pushed down within the NXP group and allocated to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

The carrying value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as of the Formation date on September 29, 2006, amounted to \$3,302 million. This resulted in an excess of the purchase price over the carrying value of \$7,299 million. The excess of the purchase price was allocated to intangible assets, step-up on tangible assets and liabilities assumed, using the estimated fair value of these assets and liabilities.

An amount of \$3,096 million, being the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired, was allocated to goodwill. This goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment at least annually.

Other Significant Acquisitions

Since its Formation, NXP has acquired various companies and businesses. These acquisitions have been accounted for using the acquisition method, and the respective purchase prices have been pushed down within the NXP group and allocated to the fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. This has also resulted in an allocation to goodwill for the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. The related goodwill is not amortized but included in the annual impairment test.

Adjusting the carrying value of the assets acquired in the Formation and subsequent acquisitions to their fair value has had an adverse effect on our operating income for various reporting periods, stemming from amortization charges on intangible assets and higher depreciation charges on tangible fixed assets that are the result of acquisition accounting effects.

The cumulative net effect resulting from the application of acquisition accounting is recorded in the financial statements with the term PPA effect. This effect is calculated taking into account the fact that any divestments and impairments in any particular reporting period reduce the amortization and depreciation charges going forward. Impairment losses are not part of the PPA effect.

Use of Certain Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Net debt is a non-GAAP financial measure and represents total debt (short-term and long-term debt) after deduction of cash and cash equivalents. Management believes this measure is a good reflection of our net leverage.

We understand that, although net debt is used by investors and securities analysts in their evaluation of companies, this concept has limitations as an analytical tool, and it should not be used as an alternative to any other measure in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Results of Operations

The following table presents the composition of operating income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)

2013 2012

Revenue	4,815	4,358
% nominal growth	10.5	3.9
Gross profit	2,177	1,988
Research and development	(639)	(628)
Selling, general and administrative (SG&A)	(896)	(977)
Other income (expense)	9	29
Operating income (loss)	651	412

Table of Contents**Revenue**

The following table presents revenue by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2013		2012	
	Revenue	% nominal growth	Revenue	% nominal growth
High Performance Mixed Signal	3,533	18.7	2,976	12.2
Standard Products	1,145	(2.0)	1,168	(3.9)
Corporate and Other	137	(36.0)	214	(34.2)
Total	4,815	10.5	4,358	3.9

Revenue increased by \$457 million to \$4,815 million in 2013 compared to \$4,358 million in 2012, a nominal increase of 10.5%. The increase was driven by strong growth in HPMS which was partly offset by a decline in revenue from our SP segment and from Corporate and Other.

Our HPMS segment saw an increase in revenue of \$557 million to \$3,533 million in 2013 compared to \$2,976 million in 2012. The increase was primarily driven by increased volumes in all four Business Units (Automotive, Identification, Infrastructure & Industrial and Portable & Computing). The highest volume increase was associated with our banking business within our Identification portfolio and within our Portable & Computing portfolio.

Revenue for our SP segment declined by \$23 million to \$1,145 million in 2013, compared to \$1,168 million in 2012. The decline was primarily due to competitive pricing pressure in our protection and signal conditioning devices business.

Revenue from Corporate and Other amounted to \$137 million in 2013, compared to \$214 million in 2012 and mainly related to our manufacturing operations. The decline in revenue was primarily due to the expiration of contractual obligations to provide manufacturing services for previously divested businesses. As these businesses develop or acquire their own foundry and packaging capabilities, our revenue from these sources will further decline.

Gross Profit

The following table presents gross profit by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

	2013		2012	
	Gross Profit	% of segment revenue	Gross Profit	% of segment revenue
(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)				
HPMS	1,905	53.9	1,656	55.6
SP	285	24.9	346	29.6
Corporate and Other	(13)	(9.5)	(14)	(6.5)
Total	2,177	45.2	1,988	45.6

Gross profit in 2013 was \$2,177 million, or 45.2% of revenue compared to \$1,988 million, or 45.6% of revenue in 2012, an increase of \$189 million. The increase in gross profit was mainly driven by higher revenue in the HPMS

segment, partly offset by lower revenue due to competitive pricing pressure in our SP segment and the absence of the favorable legal award recorded in the second quarter of 2012 that increased our margin percentage. We were awarded a favorable judgment involving a dispute over the collection of a receivable that we recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts that impacted costs of revenue in a prior year. The arbitration tribunal arrived at an award on April 5, 2012, in favor of NXP. No appeal was possible against the arbitration award. Following this award, we reversed the allowance for doubtful accounts previously established, again impacting our gross margin, and the invoices underlying the outstanding accounts received were collected in May 2012.

Table of Contents

Our HPMS segment had a gross profit of \$1,905 million, or 53.9% of revenue in 2013, compared to \$1,656 million, or 55.6% of revenue in 2012. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to increased revenue. This was partly offset by the absence of the favorable legal award recorded in the second quarter of 2012 and lower margins within our Identification portfolio.

Gross profit in our SP segment was \$285 million, or 24.9% of revenue in 2013, compared to \$346 million, or 29.6% of revenue in 2012. The decrease in gross profit was mainly attributed to lower revenue due to competitive price pressure.

Operating Expenses

The following table presents operating expenses by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2013		2012	
	Operating expenses	% of segment revenue	Operating expenses	% of segment revenue
HPMS	1,193	33.8	1,197	40.2
SP	247	21.6	260	22.3
Corporate and Other	95		148	
Total	1,535	31.9	1,605	36.8

The following table below presents the composition of operating expenses by line item in the statement of operations.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2013	2012
Research and development	639	628
Selling, general and administrative	896	977
Operating expenses	1,535	1,605

Operating expenses were \$1,535 million, or 31.9% of revenue in 2013, compared to \$1,605 million, or 36.8% of revenue in 2012, a decrease of \$70 million. The decrease in operating expenses was primarily due to \$73 million lower restructuring and restructuring related costs, \$15 million lower IT systems reorganization costs, the absence of operating expenses associated with the divestment of our high speed data converter business that was sold in 2012 and cost savings associated with the restructuring activities. Also the PPA expenses decreased by \$21 million mainly due to part of the intangible assets being fully amortized in the course of 2013. These decreases were partly offset by an increase of \$30 million in share-based compensation costs and increased investments in research and development costs within our Identification business.

In our HPMS segment, operating expenses amounted to \$1,193 million, or 33.8% of revenue in 2013, compared to \$1,197 million, or 40.2% of revenue in 2012. The slight decrease was primarily driven by \$24 million lower restructuring and restructuring related costs, the absence of operating expense associated with the divestment of our data converter business in 2012 and the current period cost savings associated with the restructuring activities. These decreases were partly offset by \$26 million higher share-based compensation costs and increased investments in research and development costs within our Identification business.

Operating expenses in our SP segment decreased by \$13 million to \$247 million, or 21.6% of revenue in 2013 compared to \$260 million or 22.3% of revenue in 2012. The decrease in operating expenses was mainly driven by the current period cost savings associated with the restructuring activities, partly offset by \$7 million higher share-based compensation costs.

Operating expenses in Corporate and Other decreased by \$53 million to \$95 million in 2013 compared to \$148 million in 2012. The strong decrease compared to the prior year period was primarily due to \$45 million lower restructuring and restructuring related costs and \$15 million lower IT systems reorganization costs.

Table of Contents**Other Income (Expense)**

The following table presents other income (expense) for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2013	2012
Other income (expense)	9	29

Other income (expense) reflects income of \$9 million for 2013 compared to \$29 million of income in 2012. The decrease is primarily due to a gain of \$19 million on the sale of our high speed data converter business during the third quarter of 2012.

Restructuring Charges

Net restructuring and restructuring related costs that affected our operating income amounted to \$40 million in 2013 compared to \$111 million in 2012.

In 2013, we had net restructuring charges of \$6 million, recorded in the liabilities, which related mainly to a charge of \$16 million associated with onerous contracts relating to leased office buildings in the Netherlands and France, as part of the OPEX Reduction Program, partly offset by some releases related to previous restructuring programs. Furthermore \$34 million of restructuring costs were directly charged to our operating income.

In 2012, we had net restructuring charges of \$99 million, recorded in the liabilities, which were mainly associated with a restructuring initiative during the fourth quarter of 2012, designed to improve operational efficiency and to competitively position the company for sustainable growth. In addition, we incurred \$12 million of restructuring related costs in 2012 which were directly charged to our operating income. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18.

Operating Income (Loss)

The following table presents operating income (loss) by segment for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2013		2012	
	Operating income (loss)	% of segment revenue	Operating income (loss)	% of segment revenue
HPMS	712	20.2	479	16.1
SP	39	3.4	89	7.6
Corporate and Other	(100)		(156)	
Total	651	13.5	412	9.5

The table below summarizes the PPA effects for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 on operating income (loss) by segment.

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2013	2012
HPMS	(163)	(190)
SP	(59)	(60)
Corporate and Other	(24)	(23)
Total	(246)	(273)

Table of Contents

The table below depicts the PPA effects within the Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

(\$ in millions)	For the years ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Gross profit	(14)	(20)
Selling, general and administrative	(232)	(253)
Operating income (loss)	(246)	(273)

PPA effects reflect the amortization in the period related to fair value adjustments resulting from acquisition accounting and other acquisition adjustments charged to the income statement applied to the formation of NXP on September 29, 2006 and all subsequent acquisitions. The PPA effect on the Company's gross profit refers to additional depreciation charges on tangible fixed assets, resulting from the step-up in fair values. The amortization charges related to long-lived intangible assets are reflected in general and administrative expenses.

The decrease in PPA effects results in part from the PPA being fully amortized in the course of 2013.

Financial Income (Expense)

(\$ in millions)	For the years ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Interest income	3	4
Interest expense	(182)	(270)
Foreign exchange rate results	62	28
Net gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	(114)	(161)
Other	(43)	(38)
Total	(274)	(437)

Financial income (expense) (including the extinguishment of debt) was an expense of \$274 million in 2013, compared to an expense of \$437 million in 2012. Extinguishment of debt in 2013 amounted to a loss of \$114 million compared to a loss of \$161 million in 2012. In 2013, financial income (expense) included a gain of \$62 million as a result of changes in foreign exchange rates mainly applicable to re-measurement of our U.S. dollar-denominated notes and short term loans, which reside in a euro functional currency entity, compared to a gain of \$28 million in 2012. The net interest expense amounted to \$179 million in 2013 compared to \$266 million in 2012. This mainly related to a lower average interest percentage of 5.2% and 7.2%, respectively and lower average debt outstanding of \$3.4 billion and \$3.7 billion, respectively in 2013 compared to 2012.

Benefit (Provision) for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$20 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the effective income tax rates were positive 5.3% and negative 4.0%, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the same period in the previous year was primarily due to the mix of income and losses in the various tax jurisdictions in which the Company operates including jurisdictions where a full valuation allowance was recognized and in addition the year

2013 included more benefits for the effect of tax incentives in certain jurisdictions.

Results Relating to Equity-accounted Investees

Results relating to the equity-accounted investees amounted to a gain of \$58 million in 2013, compared to a loss of \$27 million in 2012. The gain in 2013 primarily reflects a \$46 million release of the contingent liability

Table of Contents

related to an arbitration commenced by ST. By ruling of April 2, 2013, the ICC arbitration tribunal dismissed all claims made by ST in this arbitration. No appeal is available to ST. Based on this award, the provision amounting to \$46 million, established in 2012 was released. In 2012, a loss of \$46 million related to extra provisions for the above mentioned legal claim of ST and a gain of \$12 million related to a partial recovery of our equity investment in Trident are included.

Non-controlling Interests

Non-controlling interests are related to the third party share in the results of consolidated companies, predominantly SSMC. Their share of non-controlling interests amounted to a profit of \$67 million in 2013, compared to a profit of \$63 million in 2012.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011**Results of Operations**

The following table presents the composition of operating income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012	2011
Revenue	4,358	4,194
% nominal growth	3.9	(4.7)
Gross profit	1,988	1,906
Research and development	(628)	(635)
Selling, general and administrative (SG&A)	(977)	(918)
Other income (expense)	29	4
Operating income (loss)	412	357

Revenue

The following table presents revenue by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	For the year ended December 31,			
	2012		2011	
	Revenue	% nominal growth	Revenue	% nominal growth
High Performance Mixed Signal	2,976	12.2	2,653	2.7
Standard Products	1,168	(3.9)	1,216	6.2
Corporate and Other	214	(34.2)	325	(48.1)
Total	4,358	3.9	4,194	(4.7)

Revenue was \$4,358 million in the full year of 2012 compared to \$4,194 million for the full year of 2011. Revenue of our two market oriented segments, HPMS and SP, increased \$275 million or 7.1% compared to the full year of 2011 despite an uncertain economic and demand environment. This increase was partly offset by a decline in revenue from Corporate and Other.

Our HPMS segment revenue grew 12% in 2012 to \$2,976 million compared to \$2,653 million in 2011. Within HPMS we saw robust growth within our Identification business, which was up 41% to \$986 million, primarily driven by the accelerated ramp of our mobile transactions solutions and volume increases associated with our security identity product line. Our Portable & Computing business grew 13% year-on-year to \$417 million as a result of increased volumes associated with specific design wins in the mobility market. Revenue from our Automotive business grew 1% versus 2011 to \$939 million with weakness in the European area offsetting very favorable results in North America and Asia. Our Industrial & Infrastructure business

Table of Contents

revenue declined 3% to \$634 million versus the prior year primarily as a result of weak demand throughout most of the year for high-performance RF devices, even though design win momentum continues to be strong. We also faced price declines across most of our product lines and unfavorable foreign currency effects.

Revenue for our SP segment decreased \$48 million to \$1,168 million in 2012, compared to \$1,216 million in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales volumes in our small signal diode, integrated discrete and power business resulting from weakening demand with our distribution partners and the overall automotive market. Our SP segment was also impacted by competitive pricing pressure in 2012 compared to 2011.

Revenue in Corporate and Other during 2012 was \$214 million compared to \$325 million in 2011 and mainly related to Manufacturing Operations. The decline in revenue was primarily due to the expiration of contractual obligations to provide manufacturing services for previously divested businesses. As these divested businesses develop or acquire their own foundry and packaging capabilities, our revenue from these sources is further declining.

Gross Profit

The following table presents gross profit by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012		2011	
	Gross Profit	% of segment revenue	Gross Profit	% of segment revenue
HPMS	1,656	55.6	1,486	56.0
SP	346	29.6	449	36.9
Corporate and Other	(14)	(6.5)	(29)	(8.9)
Total	1,988	45.6	1,906	45.4

Gross profit in 2012 was \$1,988 million, or 45.6% of revenue, compared to \$1,906 million or 45.4% of revenue in 2011, an increase of \$82 million. The increase in gross profit was mainly attributable to volume increases, the reversal of partial accounts receivable valuation allowance of \$51 million as a result of collection of accounts receivable amounts following a legal award, lower restructuring and related costs of \$14 million and lower PPA effects of \$7 million. This was partially offset by price declines and unfavorable foreign currency effects. Our gross profit as a percentage of our revenue was also impacted by the dilutive effect of product sales at cost to divested businesses by our Manufacturing Operations as reported under Corporate and Other.

Our HPMS segment had a gross profit of \$1,656 million or 55.6% of revenue in 2012, compared to \$1,486 million or 56.0% in 2011. The improvement in gross profit was primarily attributed to higher revenue in the segment and to the reversal of partial accounts receivable valuation allowance of \$51 million as a result of collection of accounts receivable amounts following a legal award, partially offset by price declines, and unfavorable foreign currency. Also included in our 2012 gross profit were restructuring and related costs of \$1 million compared to 2011, where gross profit was reduced by \$17 million due to actions taken to reduce headcount.

Our SP segment gross profit in 2012 was \$346 million or 29.6% of revenue compared to \$449 million or 36.9% of revenue in 2011. The decrease in gross profit was primarily due to lower revenue, higher product cost due to underutilized capacity capitalized in prior periods and price reductions. Restructuring and related costs were \$15 million in 2012 mainly related to headcount restructuring, compared to \$8 million in 2011.

Table of Contents**Operating Expenses**

The following table presents operating expenses by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012		2011	
	Operating expenses	% of segment revenue	Operating expenses	% of segment revenue
HPMS	1,197	40.2	1,198	45.2
SP	260	22.3	252	20.7
Corporate and Other	148		103	
Total	1,605	36.8	1,553	37.0

The following table below presents the composition of operating expenses by line item in the statement of operations.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012	2011
Research and development	628	635
Selling, general and administrative	977	918
Operating expenses	1,605	1,553

Operating expenses amounted to \$1,605 million, or 36.8% of revenue in 2012 compared to \$1,553 million or 37.0% of revenue in 2011. The increase in operating expenses in 2012 was primarily related to increased restructuring and related costs of \$43 million, bonus of \$30 million, and share-based compensation of \$20 million. This was partially offset by lower PPA effects of \$21 million, reduced research and development expenses due to our ongoing efforts to focus our resources towards our most profitable and growing businesses, the absence of \$11 million of operating expenses after selling our high speed data converter business during 2012 and favorable foreign currency effects.

In our HPMS segment operating expenses amounted to \$1,197 million, or 40.2% of revenue in 2012 compared to \$1,198 million or 45.2% of revenue in 2011. The increase was driven by higher salary and benefit cost including bonus of \$27 million and share-based compensation of \$20 million. This was partially offset by reduced research and development expenses due to our ongoing efforts to focus our resources towards our most profitable and growing businesses, the absence of \$11 million of operating expenses after selling our high speed data converter business during 2012 and favorable foreign currency.

Operating expenses in our SP segment were \$260 million, or 22.3% of revenue in 2012 compared to \$252 million or 20.7% of revenue in 2011. The increase in operating expenses was mainly driven by increased headcount and benefit related expenses including bonus of \$3 million and share-based compensation of \$4 million, investments in product and process innovation, and higher restructuring and other items of \$2 million. This was partially offset by lower consultancy cost and PPA effects.

Operating expenses in Corporate and Other were \$148 million in 2012 compared to \$103 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a restructuring charge of \$62 million recognized during 2012 primarily to support the announced cost savings and restructuring initiative compared to \$22 million recognized for restructuring initiatives in 2011.

Other Income (Expense)

The following table presents other income (expense) for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012	2011
Other income (expense)	29	4

Table of Contents

Other income (expense) reflects income of \$29 million for 2012 compared to \$4 million of income in 2011, primarily due to a gain of \$19 million on the sale of our high speed data converter business during the third quarter of 2012 and the absence of \$10 million loss on the sale of assets in 2011.

Restructuring Charges

Net restructuring and restructuring related costs that affected our operating income in 2012 were \$111 million compared to \$81 million in 2011.

In 2012, we had net restructuring charges of \$99 million, recorded in the liabilities, which were mainly associated with a restructuring initiative during the fourth quarter of 2012, designed to improve operational efficiency and to competitively position the company for sustainable growth. In addition, we incurred \$12 million of restructuring related costs in 2012 which were directly charged to our operating income. In 2011, we had restructuring charges of \$66 million which were mainly related to the future closure of our ICN 4 wafer fabrication facility in Nijmegen, the Netherlands and actions to reduce headcount. These charges were partially offset by a release of restructuring liabilities of \$8 million related to previous restructuring programs. Furthermore, we incurred \$23 million of restructuring related costs in 2011 which were directly charged to our operating income. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18.

Operating Income (Loss)

The following table presents operating income (loss) by segment for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012		2011	
	Operating income (loss)	% of segment revenue	Operating income (loss)	% of segment revenue
HPMS	479	16.1	288	10.9
SP	89	7.6	200	16.4
Corporate and Other	(156)		(131)	
Total	412	9.5	357	8.5

The table below summarizes the PPA effects for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 on operating income (loss) by segment.

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	2012	2011
HPMS	(190)	(206)
SP	(60)	(69)
Corporate and Other	(23)	(26)
Total	(273)	(301)

The table below depicts the PPA effects within the Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

(\$ in millions)	For the years ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Gross profit	(20)	(27)
Selling, general and administrative	(253)	(274)
Operating income (loss)	(273)	(301)

Table of Contents

PPA effects reflect the amortization in the period related to fair value adjustments resulting from acquisition accounting and other acquisition adjustments charged to the income statement applied to the Formation and all subsequent acquisitions. The PPA effect on the Company's gross profit refers to additional depreciation charges on tangible fixed assets, resulting from the step-up in fair values. The amortization charges related to long-lived intangible assets are reflected in general and administrative expenses.

Financial Income (Expense)

(\$ in millions)	For the years ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Interest income	4	5
Interest expense	(270)	(312)
Foreign exchange rate results	28	128
Net gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	(161)	(32)
Other	(38)	(46)
Total	(437)	(257)

Financial income (expense) (including the extinguishment of debt) was an expense of \$437 million in 2012, compared to an expense of \$257 million in 2011. Extinguishment of debt in 2012 amounted to a loss of \$161 million compared to a loss of \$32 million in 2011. In 2012, financial income (expense) included a gain of \$28 million as a result of changes in foreign exchange rates mainly applicable to re-measurement of our U.S. dollar-denominated notes and short term loans, which reside in a euro functional currency entity, compared to a gain of \$128 million in 2011. The net interest expense amounted to \$266 million in 2012 compared to \$307 million in 2011. This mainly related to lower average debt outstanding in 2012, compared to 2011.

Benefit (Provision) for Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes was \$1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to \$21 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and the effective income tax rates were negative 4.0% and positive 21%, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year was primarily due to an increase in losses recorded in jurisdictions where a full valuation allowance was recognized and in addition the year 2012 included more benefits for the effect of tax incentives in certain jurisdictions.

Results Relating to Equity-accounted Investees

Results relating to the equity-accounted investees amounted to a loss of \$27 million, compared to a loss of \$77 million in 2011. The loss in 2012 primarily reflects extra provisions to the amount of \$46 million for litigations, claims and proceedings which were offset by gains related to our investments in Trident, ASMC and ASEN. In 2011 the loss was mainly related to our investment in Trident.

Income (Loss) on Discontinued Operations

The income of discontinued operations, net of taxes was \$1 million in 2012, compared to a gain of \$434 million in 2011. This related entirely to the results of our Sound Solutions business, which was sold during 2011.

Non-controlling Interests

Non-controlling interests are related to the third party share in the results of consolidated companies, predominantly, SSMC. The share of non-controlling interests amounted to a profit of \$63 million in 2012, compared to a profit of \$46 million in 2011.

Table of Contents

B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At the end of 2013 our cash balance was \$670 million, an increase of \$53 million compared to prior year. Taking into account the available undrawn amount of the Secured Revolving Credit Facility, we had access to \$1,371 million of liquidity as of December 31, 2013.

Our capital expenditures were \$215 million in 2013, compared to \$251 million in 2012.

Since December 31, 2012, the liability in respect of our total debt has declined from \$3,492 million to \$3,321 million as of December 31, 2013.

This decline of \$171 million was the result of several cash buybacks and debt redemptions in 2013, which were partially offset by the issuance of new bonds and entry into term loans.

The total amount of cash used for financing activities amounted to \$598 million.

At the end of 2013, we had an undrawn availability of \$701 million remaining under our Secured Revolving Credit Facility, net of outstanding bank guarantees, based on the end of year exchange rate. However, the amount of this availability varies with fluctuations between the euro and the U.S. dollar as the total amount of the facility, 620 million, is denominated in euro and the amounts drawn are denominated in U.S. dollars.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, we incurred total net interest expense of \$179 million compared to \$266 million during 2012. The weighted average interest rates on our debt instruments as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were 4.3% and 5.4%, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, our cash balance was \$670 million, of which \$353 million was held by SSMC, our consolidated joint venture company with TSMC. Under the terms of our joint venture agreement with TSMC, a portion of this cash can be distributed by way of a dividend to us, but 38.8% of the dividend will be paid to our joint venture partner. In 2013, a dividend of \$120 million was distributed, of which \$47 million was distributed to the joint venture partner.

Our cash balance is subject to certain restrictions in select countries that cannot be repatriated. The amount of cash that cannot be repatriated is inconsequential to our total liquidity.

We repurchased \$405 million of our common stock pursuant to our share buyback program during 2013 at a weighted average price of \$36.60 per share.

Our sources of liquidity include cash on hand, cash flow from operations and amounts available under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility. We believe that, based on our current level of operations as reflected in our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, these sources of liquidity will be sufficient to fund our operations, capital expenditures, and debt service for at least the next twelve months.

Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions. In the future, we may not be able to maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness. Our business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations, or we may not have

enough capacity under our Secured Revolving Credit Facility, or from other sources in an amount sufficient to enable us to repay our indebtedness, including the Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans and the Unsecured Notes or to fund our other liquidity needs, including working capital and capital expenditure requirements. In any such case, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or operations, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. See Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors*.

Table of Contents***Cash Flow from Operating Activities***

In 2013 our operating activities provided \$891 million in cash. This was primarily the result of net income of \$415 million and changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$120 million. The net income includes non-cash items, such as depreciation and amortization, of \$596 million.

Operating activities provided \$722 million in cash in 2012. This was primarily the result of a net loss of \$52 million and changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$28 million. The net income includes non-cash items, such as depreciation and amortization, of \$747 million.

In 2011 we generated cash from operating activities of \$175 million. This was mainly driven by net income of \$436 million and changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$458 million. The net income includes non-cash items, such as depreciation and amortization, of \$631 million.

Cash Flow from Investing Activities

Net cash used for investing activities amounted to \$240 million in 2013 and principally consisted of cash outflows for capital expenditures of \$215 million and \$35 million for the purchase of identified intangible assets, mainly related to the purchase of software. Investing activities in 2012 used \$243 million of net cash in 2012. This net use of cash primarily included \$251 million for capital expenditures, and \$29 million for the purchase of identified intangible assets, mainly related to the purchase of software, partially offset by proceeds from sale of interests in our data converters business of \$26 million and \$12 million of proceeds related to the partial recovery of our equity investments in Trident.

Net cash used for investing activities in 2011 was \$202 million and included \$221 million used for capital expenditures and \$10 million for the purchase of identified intangible assets offset in part by \$11 million of proceeds from the disposal of assets held for sale, related to the sale of our Southampton assets, and proceeds from the disposal of property, plant and equipment of \$15 million, mainly related to the sale of our San José buildings.

Cash Flow from Financing Activities

Net cash used for financing activities was \$598 million in 2013, \$574 million in 2012 and \$926 million in 2011. The cash flows related to financing transactions in 2013, 2012 and 2011 are primarily related to the financing activities described below under the captions *2013 Financing Activities*, *2012 Financing Activities* and *2011 Financing Activities*, respectively.

In addition to the financing activities described below, net cash used for financing activities by year included:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests	(48)	(40)	(67)
Purchase of non-controlling interest shares	(12)		
Cash proceeds from exercise of stock options	177	14	10
Purchase of treasury shares	(405)	(40)	(57)
2013 Financing Activities			

2021 Senior Unsecured Notes

On February 14, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million, which mature February 15, 2021. The Notes were

Table of Contents

issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$500 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On March 4, 2013, we used the net proceeds of \$495 million together with approximately \$14 million of cash on hand to fully repay \$494 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due April 3, 2017, as well as to pay related call premiums of \$10 million and accrued interest of \$5 million.

2023 Senior Unsecured Notes

On March 12, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million, which mature March 15, 2023. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$500 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On March 12, 2013, we used the net proceeds of \$495 million to fully repay the \$471 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due March 19, 2019, to pay related call premiums of \$5 million and accrued interest of \$5 million. We used the balance of \$14 million for general corporate purposes.

2018 Senior Unsecured Notes

On May 20, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$750 million, which mature June 1, 2018. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$750 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On May 21, 2013, we used the net proceeds of \$743 million together with cash on hand to redeem 142 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due October 2013 for \$184 million, to repurchase \$58 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due October 2013, and to redeem \$615 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due November 2016, as well as to pay related call premiums of \$16 million and accrued interest of \$2 million.

2016 Senior Unsecured Notes

On September 24, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million, which mature September 15, 2016. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$500 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On October 15, 2013, we used the net proceeds of \$495 million to redeem \$422 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Secured Notes due August 2018, as well as to pay related call premiums of \$51 million and accrued interest of \$8 million. We used the balance of \$14 million for general corporate purposes.

2020 Term Loan

On December 11, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC entered into a new \$400 million aggregate principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due January 11, 2020. Concurrently, NXP called the \$496 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due January 11, 2020. A \$100 million draw-down under our existing Revolving Credit Facility and approximately \$6 million of cash on hand were used to settle the combined transactions, as well as pay the related call premium of \$5 million and accrued interest of \$5 million. The exchange of Term Loan C for Term Loan D was a non-cash financing transaction.

2012 Financing Activities

2019 Term Loan

On February 16, 2012, our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC entered into a new \$475 million aggregate principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due March 19, 2019. The Term Loan was issued with an original issue discount at 98.5% of par and was recorded at its fair value of \$468 million on the accompanying Interim Consolidated Balance Sheet. The net proceeds of this issuance, together with a \$330 million draw-down under our existing Secured Revolving Credit Facility and approximately \$52 million of cash on hand, were used to redeem \$510 million aggregate principal amount of the U.S. dollar-denominated 9.5%

Table of Contents

Senior Notes due October 2015, 203 million aggregate principal amount of the euro-denominated 8 5/8% Senior Notes due October 2015, and pay related call premiums of \$36 million and accrued interest of \$31 million. The 2019 Term Loan was fully repaid on March 12, 2013.

Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On April 27, 2012, NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC concluded the Secured Revolving Credit Facility under which it borrowed \$330 million to settle and close its existing revolving credit facility. It subsequently reduced its outstanding drawings to \$230 million as of December 31, 2012.

On October 24, 2012, NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC agreed with certain participating banks to increase the borrowing capacity under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility subject to an effective date of October 29, 2012. The borrowing capacity under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility was increased by 120 million (approximately \$155 million) up to a total amount of 620 million (\$818 million). The Secured Revolving Credit Facility will expire on March 1, 2017 and will be used for general corporate purposes.

2013 Super Priority Notes

During 2012, NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC redeemed all Euro denominated Super Priority Notes 2013, which had an aggregate principal amount of 29 million and all U.S. dollar denominated Super Priority Notes 2013, which had an aggregate principal amount of \$221 million.

2020 Term Loan

On December 10, 2012, our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC entered into a new \$500 million aggregate principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due January 11, 2020. The Term Loan was issued with an original issue discount at 99.5% of par and was recorded at its fair value of \$498 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. The net proceeds of this issuance, together with a \$100 million draw-down under our existing revolving credit facility and approximately \$12 million of cash on hand, were used to settle our tender offer for \$500 million of the U.S. dollar-denominated 9.75% Senior Notes due 2018, and pay related call premiums of \$86 million, accrued interest of \$18 million and debt issuance costs of \$6 million.

2011 Financing Activities

2016 Floating Rate Notes

During the fourth quarter 2011, NXP, in a two-step private exchange transaction, issued an additional \$615 million principal amount of U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured floating rate notes due 2016. These notes were exchanged for \$333 million principal amount of existing U.S. dollar-denominated floating rate notes due 2013 and 202 million principal amount of existing euro-denominated floating rate notes due 2013. On May 21, 2013, all the U.S. dollar-denominated senior secured floating rate notes due 2016 were redeemed.

2017 Term Loans

On March 4, 2011, our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC entered into a \$500 million aggregate principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due April 3, 2017, which was drawn in the second quarter of 2011, on April 5, 2011. The First 2017 Term Loan was issued with an original issue discount at 99.5% of par and was recorded at its fair value of \$497 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. The net proceeds of this

issuance, together with available borrowing capacity under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility of \$200 million, were used to redeem all \$362 million of outstanding 2014 Dollar Fixed Rate Notes, \$100 million of 2013 Dollar Floating Rate Secured Notes and 143 million of 2013 Euro Floating Rate Secured Notes as well as pay related call premiums of \$14 million and accrued interest of \$16 million.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter 2011, NXP entered into a second \$500 million Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due 2017. The Second 2017 Term Loan was issued with an original issue discount at 96% of par and was recorded at its fair value of \$480 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance sheet. NXP redeemed \$275 million of its U.S. dollar-denominated Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due 2013 and 150 million of its Euro-denominated Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due 2013. The second 2017 Term Loan was fully repaid on March 4, 2013.

2012 Revolving Credit Facility

In the third quarter of 2011, the utilized borrow capacity of \$600 million under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility was repaid, mainly from the proceeds of \$855 million related to the divestment of NXP's Sound Solutions business.

Senior Notes 2015/2018

In the third quarter of 2011, as a result of various open market transactions we repurchased 32 million aggregate principal amount of Euro denominated Senior Notes due in 2015, \$96 million aggregate principal amount of U.S. dollar denominated Senior Notes due in 2015 and \$78 million aggregate principal amount of U.S. dollar denominated Senior Secured Notes due in 2018.

Cash Flow from Discontinued Operations

Net cash used for discontinued operations in 2012 was \$45 million reflecting a payment of \$45 million to Dover Corporation related to outstanding commitments on the sale of the Sound Solution business.

On July 4, 2011, we executed an agreement with Dover Corporation pursuant to which Dover Corporation's Knowles Electronics business acquired our Sound Solutions business. The divestiture of our Sound Solutions business resulted in net cash provided by investing activities from discontinued operations of \$791 million in 2011.

Debt Position

Short-term Debt

In 2013, our short-term debt of \$40 million included other short-term bank borrowings of \$24 million, related to a local bank loan in China

In 2012, our short-term debt of \$307 million included other short-term bank borrowings of \$36 million, related to a local bank loan in China, and the current portion of the Senior Secured notes due 2013 of \$245 million which was due within one year and is classified within short-term debt.

Table of Contents**Long-term Debt**

As of December 31, 2013, \$2,250 million aggregate principal amount of Notes were outstanding and \$885 million of borrowings under the Term Loans. We had borrowings of \$150 million under the Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

(\$ in millions)	December 31, 2012	Accrual of Debt Discount	Debt Exchanges/ Repurchases/ New Borrowings	Other ⁽¹¹⁾	December 31, 2013
U.S. dollar-denominated floating senior secured notes due November 2016 ⁽¹⁾	608	1	(609)		
U.S. dollar-denominated 9.75% senior secured notes due August 2018	422		(422)		
U.S. dollar-denominated secured term credit agreement due April 2017 ⁽²⁾	485		(5)		480
U.S. dollar-denominated secured term credit agreement due April 2017 ⁽³⁾	472	1	(478)	5	
U.S. dollar-denominated secured term credit agreement due March 2019 ⁽⁴⁾	460		(465)	5	
U.S. dollar-denominated secured term credit agreement due April 2020 ⁽⁵⁾	493		(498)	5	
U.S. dollar-denominated secured term credit agreement due April 2020 ⁽⁶⁾			398	(4)	394
U.S. dollar-denominated 3.5% senior unsecured notes due September 2016 ⁽⁷⁾			500		500
U.S. dollar-denominated 3.75% senior unsecured notes due June 2018 ⁽⁸⁾			750		750
U.S. dollar-denominated 5.75% senior unsecured notes due February 2021 ⁽⁹⁾			500		500
U.S. dollar-denominated 5.75% senior unsecured notes due March 2023 ⁽¹⁰⁾			500		500
	2,940	2	171	11	3,124
Revolving Credit Facility	230		(80)		150
Other long-term debt	15		(7)	(1)	7
Total long-term debt	3,185	2	84	10	3,281

(1) Interest accrues at a rate of LIBOR plus 5.50%.

(2) On March 4, 2011, we entered into the First 2017 Term Loan for an initial principal amount of \$500 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 3.25% with a floor of 1.25%.

(3) On November 18, 2011, we entered into the Second 2017 Term Loan for a second tranche of principal amount of \$500 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 4.25% with a floor of 1.25%.

(4)

- On February 16, 2012 we entered into the 2019 Term Loan for an initial principal amount of \$475 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 4% with a floor of 1.25%.
- (5) On December 10, 2012, we entered into the 2020 Term Loan for an initial principal amount of \$500 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 3.5% with a floor of 1.25%.
 - (6) On December 11, 2013, we entered into the 2020 Term Loan for an initial principal amount of \$400 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 2.5% with a floor of 0.75%.
 - (7) On September 24, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 3.5% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016.
 - (8) On May 20, 2013, we issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2018.

Table of Contents

(9) On February 14, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2021.

(10) On March 15, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2023.

(11) Other mainly concerns the reclassification of the current portion of long-term debt.

We may from time to time continue to seek to retire or purchase our outstanding debt through cash purchases and/or exchanges, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. See the discussion in the *Recent Developments* section in Part I, Item 5A. *Operating Results* and Part II, Item 10C. *Material Contracts*.

Certain Terms and Covenants of the Notes

We are not required to make mandatory redemption payments or sinking fund payments with respect to the Secured Notes.

The Indentures governing the Existing Secured Notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and that of our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, create liens, pay dividends, redeem capital stock, make certain other restricted payments or investments, enter into agreements that restrict dividends from restricted subsidiaries, sell assets, including capital stock of restricted subsidiaries, engage in transactions with affiliates, and effect a consolidation or merger. As of December 31, 2013, and as of the date of filing of this Annual Report on Form 20-F, we are in compliance with our restrictive covenants contained in the Indentures.

The Term Loans and the Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed jointly and severally, on a senior basis by certain of our current and future material wholly owned subsidiaries.

Pursuant to various security documents related to the Term Loans, the Secured Notes and the Secured Revolving Credit Facility, we have granted first priority liens and security interests over substantially all of our assets, including the assets of our material wholly owned subsidiaries.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires our management to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes. Our management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience, current economic and industry conditions and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The accounting policies where management believes the nature of the estimates or assumptions involved is material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change are as follows: Inventories, Goodwill, Impairment or disposal of identified intangible assets and tangible fixed assets, Revenue recognition, Income taxes, Postretirement benefits, Share-based compensation and Litigation and claims.

If actual results differ significantly from management's estimates, there could be a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.

Research and Development

We believe that our future success depends on our ability to both improve our existing products and to develop new products for both existing and new markets. We direct our research and development efforts largely

Table of Contents

to the development of new High Performance Mixed Signal semiconductor solutions where we see significant opportunities for growth. We target applications that require stringent overall system and subsystem performance. As new and challenging applications proliferate, we believe that many of these applications will benefit from our solutions. We have assembled a team of highly skilled semiconductor and embedded software design engineers with expertise in RF, analog, power management, interface, security and digital processing. As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately 3,272 employees in research and development, of which approximately 2,974 support our High Performance Mixed Signal businesses and approximately 298 support our Standard Products businesses. Our engineering design teams are located in India (Bangalore), China (Shanghai, Beijing), the United States (San Jose, Tempe), France (Caen, Suresnes), Germany (Hamburg, Dresden), Austria (Gratkorn), the Netherlands (Nijmegen, Eindhoven), Hong Kong, Singapore, the United Kingdom (Manchester, Sheffield), Switzerland (Zurich) and Belgium (Leuven). Our research and development expenses were \$639 million in 2013 (of which 85% related to our High Performance Mixed Signal businesses) and \$628 million in 2012.

To outpace market growth we invest in research and development to extend or create leading market positions, with an emphasis on fast growing sizable market segments, such as identification and smart mobile, and emerging segments, such as the Internet of Things and automotive solid state lighting. Finally, we invest around 4% of our total research and development expenditures in research activities that develop fundamental new technologies or product categories that could contribute significantly to our company growth in the future.

We annually perform a fundamental review of our business portfolio and our related new product and technology development opportunities in order to decide on changes in the allocation of our research and development resources. For products targeting established markets, we evaluate our research and development expenditures based on clear business need and risk assessments. For break-through technologies and new market opportunities, we look at the strategic fit and synergies with the rest of our portfolio and the size of the potential addressable market. Overall, we allocate our research and development to maintain a healthy mix of emerging growth and mature businesses.

Intellectual Property

The creation and use of intellectual property is a key aspect of our strategy to differentiate ourselves in the marketplace. We seek to protect our proprietary technologies by obtaining patents, retaining trade secrets and defending, enforcing and utilizing our intellectual property rights, where appropriate. We believe this strategy allows us to preserve the advantages of our products and technologies, and helps us to improve the return on our investment in research and development. Our portfolio of approximately 9,300 patents and patent applications, as well as our royalty-free licenses to patents held by Philips, give us the benefit of one of the largest patent portfolio positions in the High Performance Mixed Signal and Standard Products markets. To protect confidential technical information that is not subject to patent protection, we rely on trade secret law and frequently enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, customers, suppliers and partners. In situations where we believe that a third party has infringed on our intellectual property, we enforce our rights through all available legal means to the extent that we determine the benefits of such actions to outweigh any costs involved.

We have engaged in licensing, selling and other activities aimed at generating income and other benefits from our intellectual property assets. We believe that there is an opportunity to generate additional income and other benefits from our intellectual property assets. This is a process that will take time before meaningful benefits can be reaped but the program has been further developed and is well underway.

While our patents and trade secrets constitute valuable assets, we do not view any one of them as being material to our operations as a whole. Instead, we believe it is the combination of our patents and trade secrets that creates an advantage for our business.

In addition to our own patents and trade secrets, we have entered into licensing, broad-scope cross licensing and other agreements authorizing us to use patents, trade secrets, confidential technical information, software and

Table of Contents

related technology owned by third parties and/or operate within the scope of patents owned by third parties. We are party to process technology partnerships, such as our collaboration with the Interuniversitair Microelektronica Centrum VZW, through which we jointly develop complex semiconductor-related process technology. We also maintain research partnerships with universities across the world, particularly in Europe, China, Singapore and India.

We own a number of trademarks and, where we consider it desirable, we develop names for our new products and secure trademark protection for them.

D. Trend Information

We focus our business development efforts on what we believe to be the fastest-growing product opportunities and geographic markets.

We address four key macro growth trends in electronics: security, mobility and connected mobile devices, energy efficiency and healthcare. Many new forms of mobile electronic payment, authentication and cyber security are enabled by our secure microcontrollers. Growth of smart phones and tablets drive demand for interface solutions. Our new high-performance RF power amplifier products allow wireless network operators to expand network capacity with fewer base stations. Our innovative magnetic induction radio enables wireless connectivity with implantable medical devices such as hearing aids. Recent development activities targeting the need for greater energy efficiency include our LED lighting products and green chip high-efficiency AC-DC power conversion ICs for notebook adaptors.

We believe that we are strategically positioned to capture rapid growth in emerging markets through our strong position in Asia Pacific (excluding Japan), which represented 64% of our revenue in 2013, compared to 62% of our revenue in 2012. In particular, Greater China represented 45% of our revenue in 2013, compared to 41% of our revenue in 2012.

E. Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2013, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

Presented below is a summary of our contractual obligations as at December 31, 2013

(\$ in millions)	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 and thereafter
Long-term debt	3,287	10	12	510 ⁽¹⁾	623 ⁽²⁾	754 ⁽³⁾	1,378 ⁽⁴⁾
Capital lease obligations	11	6	2	2	1		
Short-term debt	24	24					
Operating leases	107	24	22	16	12	9	24
Interest on the notes ⁽⁵⁾	799	142	142	135	103	84	193
Long-term purchase contracts	166	78	50	16	10	6	6
Total contractual cash obligations ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	4,394	284	228	679	749	853	1,601

- (1) On September 24, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 3.5% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016.
- (2) On March 4, 2011, we entered into the First 2017 Term Loan with an initial principal amount of \$500 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 3.25% with a floor of 1.25%.
- (3) On May 20, 2013, we issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 3.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2018.

Table of Contents

- (4) On December 10, 2012, we entered into the 2020 Term Loan for an initial principal amount of \$500 million at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 3.5% with a floor of 1.25%. On December 11, 2013, NXP entered into a new \$400 million aggregate principal amount senior secured term loan facility due January 11, 2020 at a rate of interest of LIBOR plus 2.5% with a floor of 0.75%. Concurrently, NXP called the \$496 million principal amount senior secured term loan facility due January 11, 2020. On February 14, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2021 and on March 15, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 5.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2023.
- (5) The interest on the notes was determined on the basis of LIBOR interest rates for floating rate instruments and on the basis of contractual agreed interest rates for other debt instruments.
- (6) Certain of these obligations are denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, and have been translated from foreign currencies into U.S. dollars based on an aggregate average rate of \$1.3285 per 1.00, in effect at December 31, 2013. As a result, the actual payments will vary based on any change in exchange rate. Our debt instruments had accrued interest of \$27 million as of December 31, 2013 (December 31, 2012: \$25 million).

Certain contingent contractual obligations, which are not reflected in the table above, include contractual agreements, such as supply agreements, containing provisions that certain penalties may be charged if we do not fulfill our commitments.

We sponsor pension plans in many countries in accordance with legal requirements, customs and the local situation in the countries involved. These are defined-benefit pension plans, defined contribution pension plans and multi-employer plans. Contributions to funded pension plans are made as necessary, to provide sufficient assets to meet future benefits payable to plan participants. These contributions are determined by various factors, including funded status, legal and tax considerations and local customs. We currently estimate contributions to funded pension plans will be \$67 million in 2014, consisting of \$4 million in employer contributions to defined-benefit pension plans and \$63 million in employer contributions to defined-contribution pension plans and multi-employer plans. The expected cash outflows in 2014 and subsequent years are uncertain and may change as a consequence of statutory funding requirements as well as changes in actual versus currently assumed discount rates, estimations of compensation increases and returns on pension plan assets.

G. Safe Harbor

This Annual Report includes forward-looking statements. When used in this Annual Report, the words *anticipate*, *believe*, *estimate*, *forecast*, *expect*, *intend*, *plan* and *project* and similar expressions, as they relate to us, our management or third parties, identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our business strategy, financial condition, results of operations and market data, as well as any other statements that are not historical facts. These statements reflect beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by our management and information currently available to us. Although we believe that these beliefs and assumptions are reasonable, these statements are subject to numerous factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual outcomes and results to be materially different from those projected. These factors, risks and uncertainties expressly qualify all subsequent oral and written forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf and include, in addition to those listed under Part I, Item 3D. *Risk Factors* and elsewhere in this Report, the following:

market demand and semiconductor industry conditions;

our ability to successfully introduce new technologies and products;

the demand for the goods into which our products are incorporated;

our ability to generate sufficient cash, raise sufficient capital or refinance our debt at or before maturity to meet both our debt service and research and development and capital investment requirements;

our ability to accurately estimate demand and match our production capacity accordingly;

Table of Contents

our ability to obtain supplies from third-party producers;

our access to production from third-party outsourcing partners, and any events that might affect their business or our relationship with them;

our ability to secure adequate and timely supply of equipment and materials from suppliers;

our ability to avoid operational problems and product defects and, if such issues were to arise, to rectify them quickly;

our ability to form strategic partnerships and joint ventures and successfully cooperate with our alliance partners;

our ability to win competitive bid selection processes;

our ability to develop products for use in our customers' equipment and products;

our ability to successfully hire and retain key management and senior product engineers; and

our ability to maintain good relationships with our suppliers.

We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statements and disclaim any obligation to update our view of any risks or uncertainties described herein or to publicly announce the result of any revisions to the forward-looking statements made in this Report, except as required by law.

In addition, this Report contains information concerning the semiconductor industry and business segments generally, which is forward-looking in nature and is based on a variety of assumptions regarding the ways in which the semiconductor industry, our market and business segments will develop. We have based these assumptions on information currently available to us, including through the market research and industry reports referred to in this Report. Although we believe that this information is reliable, we have not independently verified and cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. If any one or more of these assumptions turn out to be incorrect, actual market results may differ from those predicted. While we do not know what impact any such differences may have on our business, if there are such differences, they could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations and financial condition, and the trading price of our common stock.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

A. Directors and Senior Management

The following description sets forth certain information about management and management-related matters. We have a one-tier board structure.

Board of Directors

Set forth below are the names, ages and positions as of December 31, 2013, of the persons who serve as members of our board of directors.

Name	Age	Position
Richard L. Clemmer	62	Executive director, president and chief executive officer
Sir Peter Bonfield	69	Non-executive director and chairman of the board
Johannes P. Huth	53	Non-executive director and vice-chairman of the board
Kenneth A. Goldman	64	Non-executive director
Dr. Marion Helmes*	48	Non-executive director
Josef Kaeser	56	Non-executive director
Ian Loring	47	Non-executive director
Michel Plantevin	57	Non-executive director
Jean-Pierre Saad*	33	Non-executive director
Julie Southern*	54	Non-executive director

Table of Contents

- * Mr. Saad was appointed to replace Nicolas Cattelain, who resigned as non-executive director of the Company on May 30, 2013. On October 10, 2013, Dr. Helmes and Ms. Southern were appointed as non-executive directors of the Company. On October 10, 2013, Vikram Bhatia, and on December 13, 2013, Egon Durban and Roy MacKenzie resigned as non-executive directors of the Company.

Richard L. Clemmer (1951, American). Mr. Clemmer became executive director, president and chief executive officer on January 1, 2009. Prior to that, from December 2007, Mr. Clemmer was a member of the supervisory board of NXP B.V. and a senior advisor of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. Prior to joining NXP, he was the President and CEO of Agere Systems, served as Chairman of u-Nav Microelectronics Corporation, and held a five-year tenure at Quantum Corporation where he was executive vice president and chief financial officer. Prior to that, Mr. Clemmer worked for Texas Instruments Incorporated as senior vice president and semiconductor group chief financial officer. Mr. Clemmer also serves on the board of NCR Corporation.

Sir Peter Bonfield CBE FREng (1944, British). Sir Peter has been appointed as a non-executive director and as the chairman of our board of directors in August 2010. Prior to that, Sir Peter was the chairman of the supervisory board of NXP B.V. from September 29, 2006. Sir Peter served as chief executive officer and chairman of the executive committee for British Telecom plc from 1996 to 2002 and prior to that was chairman and chief executive officer of ICL plc (now Fujitsu Services Holdings Ltd.). Sir Peter also worked in the semiconductor industry during his tenure as a divisional director at Texas Instruments Incorporated, for whom he held a variety of senior management positions around the world. Sir Peter currently holds non-executive directorships at Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited, Mentor Graphics Corporation and Sony Corporation. Sir Peter is Chair of Council and Senior Pro-Chancellor at Loughborough University, Senior Advisor to N M Rothschild in London and Board Mentor at CMi in Belgium. He is also Advisor to Longreach LLP in Hong Kong and NVP LLP in New Jersey.

Johannes P. Huth (1960, German). Mr. Huth has been appointed as a non-executive director and vice-chairman of our board of directors in August 2010. Prior to that, Mr. Huth was a member and chairman of our supervisory board and a member and vice-chairman of NXP B.V.'s supervisory board from September 29, 2006. He is currently the chairman of WMF AG, chairman of the supervisory board of ProSieben Sat 1 Media AG, a member of the board of KION AG, a member of the advisory board of Wild Flavors GmbH, a director of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. Ltd and President of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. SAS.

Kenneth A. Goldman (1949, American). Mr. Goldman has been appointed as a non-executive director of our board of directors effective August 6, 2010. Mr. Goldman is chief financial officer of Yahoo!, Inc responsible for Yahoo!'s global finance functions including financial planning and analysis, controllership, tax, treasury and investor relations since October 2012. Prior to that, Mr. Goldman served as senior vice president, finance and administration, and chief financial officer of Fortinet, Inc, a provider of unified threat management solutions, from September 2007 to September 2012. From November 2006 to August 2007,

Mr. Goldman served as executive vice president and chief financial officer of Dexterra, Inc. From August 2000 until March 2006, Mr. Goldman served as senior vice president, finance and administration, and chief financial officer of Siebel Systems, Inc., and from December 1999 to December 2003, Mr. Goldman served on the Financial Accounting Standards Board's primary advisory group. Mr. Goldman also serves on the board of directors of Gigamon, an intelligent Traffic Visibility solutions provider, Infinera, Inc., and Yahoo! Japan. Mr. Goldman was a member of board of trustees of Cornell University from 2005 to 2013 and was designated as Emeritus Trustee. He was formerly a member of the Treasury Advisory Committee on the Auditing Profession, a public committee that made recommendations in September 2008 to encourage a more sustainable auditing profession. Mr. Goldman holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Cornell University and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School.

Table of Contents

Dr. Marion Helmes (1965, German). Dr. Helmes has been appointed a non-executive director of our board of directors in October 2013. Dr. Helmes is the Speaker of the Management Board of Celesio AG since July 2013; in addition she is CFO of Celesio since July 2013. Prior to joining Celesio, she was member of the board of management and CFO of Q-Cells SE (from July 2011 to ultimo 2012) and from 1997 to 2011 she held various management roles at ThyssenKrupp, including CFO of ThyssenKrupp Stainless and CFO of ThyssenKrupp Elevator. Dr. Helmes currently is also member of the Central Advisory Board of Commerzbank AG and a member of the supervisory board and the audit committee of Fugro N.V (The Netherlands).

Josef Kaeser (1957, German). Mr. Kaeser has been appointed as a non-executive director of our board of directors effective September 1, 2010. Mr. Kaeser is the president and chief executive officer of Siemens AG since August 2013. Prior to this, from May 2006 to August 2013, he was executive vice president and chief financial officer of Siemens AG. From 2004 to 2006, Mr. Kaeser served as chief strategy officer for Siemens AG and as the chief financial officer for the mobile communications group from 2001 to 2004. Mr. Kaeser has additionally held various other positions within the Siemens group since he joined Siemens in 1980. Mr. Kaeser also serves on the managing board of Siemens AG and the board of directors of Siemens Ltd., India, Allianz AG, Germany.

Ian Loring (1966, American). Mr. Loring has been appointed a non-executive director of our board of directors in August 2010. Mr. Loring became a member of our supervisory board and the supervisory board of NXP B.V. on September 29, 2006 and is a managing director of Bain Capital Partners, LLC. Prior to joining Bain Capital Partners in 1996, Mr. Loring worked at Berkshire Partners and has previously also worked at Drexel Burnham Lambert. He serves as a director of SkillSoft Limited, Clear Channel Communications Inc., The Weather Channel Inc., Denon & Marantz and BMC Software, Inc. Mr. Loring previously served on the board of Warner Music Group Corporation, Cumulus Media Inc. and Echelon Telecom Inc.

Michel Plantevin (1956, French). Mr. Plantevin has been appointed a non-executive director of our board of directors in August 2010. Mr. Plantevin became a member of our supervisory board and the supervisory board of NXP B.V. on September 29, 2006 and is a managing director of Bain Capital, LLC. Prior to joining Bain Capital LLC. in 2003, Mr. Plantevin worked at Goldman Sachs in London, and prior to that he was a partner with Bain & Company in London and Paris. He also serves as a director of FCI, Bravida AB, Trinseo and IMCD.

Jean-Pierre Saad (1980, Belgian-Lebanese). Mr. Saad has been appointed as non-executive director of our board of directors effective May 30, 2013. Mr. Saad is a member of the European Telecom and Technology team of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co and has been involved in Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. s investments in SBB/Telemach, Acteon, Legrand and Van Gansewinkel Groep. Prior to joining Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co., he was at Lehman Brothers in London.

Ms. Julie Southern (1959, British). Ms. Southern has been appointed a non-executive director of our board of directors in October 2013. She was with Virgin Atlantic Limited (UK) from 2000 to May 2013. From 2010 to 2013 Ms. Southern was chief commercial officer and from 2000 to 2010 she was chief financial

officer of Virgin Atlantic. Prior to joining Virgin Atlantic, she was group finance director at Porsche Cars Great Britain and finance and operations director at W H Smith H J Chapman & Co Ltd. Prior to that, she was chartered accountant at Price Waterhouse Coopers.

Table of Contents**Management Team**

Set forth below are the names, ages as of December 31, 2013, and positions of the executive officers who together with our chief executive officer, Mr. Clemmer, constitute our management team.

Name	Age	Position
Richard L. Clemmer	62	Executive director, president and chief executive officer
Chris Belden	53	Executive vice president and general manager of operations
Guido Dierick	54	Executive vice president and general counsel
Alexander Everke	50	Executive vice president and general manager HPMS Industrial and Infrastructure
Dave French	57	Executive vice president of R&D, and general manager HPMS Portable and Computing
Loh Kin Wah	59	Executive vice president sales & marketing
Peter Kelly	56	Executive vice president and chief financial officer
Robert Rigby-Hall	48	Executive vice president and chief human resources officer
Sean Hunkler	51	Executive president and general manager of operations
Ruediger Stroh	51	Executive vice president and general manager HPMS Identification
Frans Scheper	51	Executive vice president and general manager Standard Products
Kurt Sievers	44	Executive vice president and general manager HPMS Automotive
Hai Wang	53	Executive vice president of R&D

Chris Belden (1960, American). Mr. Belden is executive vice president, general manager of operations and member of the management team. He joined NXP as senior vice president, global manufacturing in March, 2008. Previously Mr. Belden worked for Applied Materials Inc., where he was responsible for global operations. Before that, he spent the majority of his career at Motorola, Inc. and Freescale Semiconductor Inc., where he was responsible for Freescale's global manufacturing operations.

Guido Dierick (1959, Dutch). Mr. Dierick is executive vice president, general counsel, secretary of our board of directors and member of the management team. Since 2000 he has been responsible for legal and intellectual property matters at NXP. He previously was employed by Philips from 1982 and worked in various legal positions.

Alexander Everke (1963, German). Mr. Everke is executive vice president, member of the management team and general manager of our High Performance Mixed Signal businesses focused on the industrial and infrastructure application markets. He previously served in various senior management positions within

NXP. Mr. Everke joined NXP in 2006 from Infineon Technologies AG, where he served last as general manager of the Chip Card & Security ICs business unit. Before Infineon, Mr. Everke worked for several years at Siemens AG.

Dave French (1956, American). Mr. French is executive vice president, member of the management team and general manager of our High-Performance Mixed-Signal businesses focused on the portable and computing markets. Mr. French has more than 30 years experience in the semiconductor industry and has had direct experience in product development, marketing, manufacturing, strategic planning and business management. He started his career at Texas Instruments, working in microcontroller and DSP product line management and he later served as GM of logic products at Fairchild semiconductor, and GM of Analog Devices DSP business line. Mr. French also served as President and CEO of Cirrus Logic from 1999-2007 and joined NXP in April 2012, after working as an advisor to several venture-backed companies.

Table of Contents

Loh Kin Wah (1954, Malaysian). Mr. Loh Kin Wah is executive vice president, member of the management team, responsible for sales & marketing. Mr. Loh joined NXP in October, 2011. He previously was the President and CEO of Qimonda AG following its spin-out from Infineon Technologies AG. Prior to this appointment, he was a member of the Infineon AG Executive Management Board responsible for the Communication Business Group and subsequently the Memories Product Group. Mr. Loh has held a series of management positions within Infineon AG and its parent company Siemens AG, both in Europe and Asia.

Peter Kelly (1957, American). Mr. Kelly is executive vice president and chief financial officer of NXP and a member of the management team. He joined NXP in March, 2011, sharing responsibility with Mr. Belden for managing our overall operations, and since July 2012 he is CFO. Mr. Kelly has over 30 years of applicable experience in the global technology industry and has extensive financial expertise having worked in financial management positions in several other companies, including as CFO of UGI Corp. and Agere Systems Inc. Mr. Kelly also serves on the board of Plexus, Corp.

Robert Rigby-Hall (1965, British). Mr. Rigby-Hall is executive vice president, chief human resources officer and member of the management team since August, 2011. Previously, Mr. Rigby-Hall was chief HR officer of LexisNexis, a global provider of information and technology solutions, that is part of Anglo-Dutch group Reed Elsevier.

Sean Hunkler (1962, American). Mr. Hunkler is executive vice president, general manager of operations and a member of the management team. He shares responsibility with Mr. Belden for managing the company's Global Operations. Mr. Hunkler joined NXP in July, 2012 with more than 28 years of experience in the semiconductor and materials industries in the US and Asia, including leading roles in internal and external manufacturing operations for MEMC and Freescale. Previously, Mr. Hunkler also served as a non-executive director on the board of SMIC and is a founding trustee of the Virginia Commonwealth University Engineering Foundation Board.

Ruediger Stroh (1962, German). Mr. Stroh is executive vice president, member of the management team and general manager of our High Performance Mixed Signal businesses focused on the identification application markets. Before joining NXP in May, 2009, he led LSI Corporation's Storage Peripherals business, overseeing silicon solutions for hard disk and solid state drives addressing consumer and enterprise markets. Previously, he headed Agere System Inc's storage division and served as chief executive officer for a number of start-up companies. Mr. Stroh began his career at Siemens AG where he held multiple management positions before joining Infineon Technologies AG.

Frans Scheper (1962, Dutch). Mr. Scheper has been executive vice president and general manager for the Standard Products business since November, 2009, and has been a member of the management team since January, 2010. He has previously served as general manager of the general applications (discretes) business line within the multimarket business and served in various positions at Philips since 2000.

Kurt Sievers (1969, German). Mr. Sievers has been executive vice president and general manager of our High Performance Mixed Signal businesses focused on the automotive application markets since November

2009 and since January 2010 he has been a member of the management team. He has previously managed the automotive safety and comfort business line and served in various positions at Philips since 1995.

Hai Wang (1960, American). Dr. Wang is executive vice president of R&D, leads the NXP R&D leadership team and is a member of our management team. He joined NXP as senior vice president, global external manufacturing in operations in July 2010. Mr. Wang has more than 24 years of experience in the semiconductor industry, where his management experience spans from IC design, technology R&D, front end & back end operations, sourcing, marketing and IP licensing. Prior to joining NXP, he held senior executive positions at companies as LSI Corporation, Agere Systems and Flextronics Semiconductors. He was co-founder and CEO of start-up MiraWave, Inc. Mr. Wang started his career at National Semiconductor as a technology R&D engineer.

Table of Contents***B. Compensation***

In accordance with Dutch law, our stockholders have adopted a compensation policy for the board of directors. The remuneration of our executive directors is resolved upon by our board of directors, with due observance of our compensation policy. The respective executive director does not participate in the discussions of our board of directors on his compensation, nor does the chief executive officer vote on such a matter. Our chief executive officer is our only executive director. The remuneration of the non-executive directors has been resolved upon by our stockholders at a stockholder meeting at the proposal of our board of directors, prior to the consummation of the initial public offering in August 2010. To the extent the stockholders at a future stockholder meeting do not adopt the proposal of the board, the board must prepare a new proposal. After adoption of a proposal, only subsequent amendments will require stockholder approval. Furthermore, any proposed share or option-based director compensation (including any performance conditions relating to such compensation) must be submitted by our board to the general meeting of stockholders for its approval, detailing the number of shares or options over shares that may be awarded to the directors and the criteria that apply to such award or any modification of such rights. Prior to the consummation of the initial public offering in August 2010, our stockholders have approved such equity-based director compensation.

Compensation Policy and Objectives

The objective in establishing the compensation policies for our chief executive officer, the other members of our management team and our other executives, will be to provide a compensation package that is aligned with our strategic goals and that enables us to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified professionals. We believe that the best way to achieve this is by linking executive compensation to individual performance targets, on the one hand, and to NXP's performance, on the other hand. Our executive compensation package will therefore include a significant variable part, consisting of an annual cash incentive, shares and stock options. Executive performance targets will be determined annually, at the beginning of the year, and assessed at the end of the year by, respectively, our nominating and compensation committee, our executive officers or the other members of our management team. The compensation package for our chief executive officer, the other members of our management team and our NXP executives is benchmarked on a regular basis against other companies in the high-tech and semiconductors industry.

Base Salary

We currently pay our chief executive officer an annual base salary of 1,142,000, the chairman of our board of directors an annual fixed fee of 275,000 and the other members of our board of directors an annual fixed fee of \$85,000 gross. Members of our Audit Committee and the Nominating & Compensation Committee receive an additional annual fixed fee of \$6,000 gross and the chairmen of both committees receive an additional annual fixed fee of \$10,000 and \$8,000 gross, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the members of our management team as a group (in total 13 members) received a total aggregate compensation of 7,974,976, compared to a total aggregate compensation of 7,800,000 (in total 14 members) in 2012.

Our chief executive officer, the other members of our management team and most of our executives have a contract of employment for an indefinite term. The main elements of any new employment contract that we will enter into with a member of the board of directors will be made public no later than the date of the public notice convening the general meeting of stockholders at which the appointment of such member of the board of directors will be proposed.

Annual Incentive

Each year, our chief executive officer, the other members of our management team and our other executives can qualify to earn a variable cash incentive, subject to whether certain specific and challenging performance targets have

been met. For our chief executive officer, the on-target cash incentive percentage is set at 75% of the base salary, with the maximum cash incentive set at 150% of the annual base salary. The cash incentive pay-out

Table of Contents

in any year relates to the achievements of the preceding financial year in relation to agreed targets. In 2013, an amount of 1,044,930 has been paid to our chief executive officer as annual incentive bonus for our performance in 2012. The total annual incentive bonus amount paid in 2013 to members of our management team, including our chief executive officer, is 4,002,021. In 2012, an amount of 70,200 has been paid to members of our management team, including our chief executive officer.

Share Based Compensation Plans

The purpose of our share based compensation plans, including the Management Equity Stock Option Plan implemented prior to the consummation of our initial public offering in August 2010 and our subsequent Long-Term Incentive Plans 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, is to align the interests of management with those of our stockholders by providing additional incentives to improve our medium and long term performance, by offering the participants an opportunity to share in the success of NXP.

In the period from 2007 until our initial public offering in August 2010, we granted stock options to the members of our management team and to approximately 135 of our other executives under the Management Equity Stock Option Plan (MEP). Under the MEP, the participants acquired the right to purchase a certain number of shares of common stock at a predetermined exercise price, provided that certain conditions are met. The stock options (MEP Options) have a vesting schedule as specified upon the grant to the individuals. Pursuant to our MEP, members of our management team and certain other executives will be allowed to exercise, from time to time, their vested MEP Options. The proportion of MEP Options available for exercise relates to the aggregate number of shares of common stock sold by our co-investors, including the Private Equity Consortium, and all such MEP Options became fully exercisable upon the Private Equity Consortium ceasing to hold 30% of our shares of common stock which was the case following the consummation of the secondary offering of shares on September 18, 2013. Current employees owning MEP Options may exercise such MEP Options during the period of five years as of September 18, 2013, subject to these employees remaining employed by us and subject to the applicable laws and regulations. As of December 31, 2013, a total of 5,401,285 MEP Options were granted and outstanding to a group of 54 (current) NXP executives (which includes our chief executive officer and other members of the management team and our chairman of the board of directors). These MEP Options can be exercised at exercise prices which vary from 2.00 to 50.00 per stock option.

In November 2010 and 2011, and in October 2012 and 2013, we introduced Long Term Incentive Plans 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively, under which performance stock, restricted stock and stock options may be granted to the members of our board of directors, management team, our other executives, selected other key employees/talents of NXP and selected new hires. Under these Long Term Incentive Plans 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, equity incentives may be granted on, or the day after, the dates NXP publishes its quarterly financials, beginning on November 2, 2010, November 1, 2011, October 25, 2012 and October 24, 2013, respectively. Performance stock and restricted stock vest over a period of three years, subject to relevant performance criteria relating to operating income being met, and stock options vest over four years. The size of the annual equity pool available for Long Term Incentive Plan 2010 awards from November 2, 2010 up to the fourth quarter of 2011 was for an aggregate of up to 7,200,000 common shares in our share capital. On December 31, 2013, grants to 531 participants were outstanding, in total representing some 1,826,587 shares of common stock, consisting of 33,334 performance stock units, 34,949 restricted stock units and 1,758,304 stock options.

The size of the annual equity pool available for Long Term Incentive Plan 2011 awards from November 1, 2011 up to the fourth quarter of 2012 was for an aggregate of up to 8,570,000 (including a number of 1,370,000 which remained from the 2010 LTIP pool) common shares in our share capital. On December 31, 2013, grants to 927 participants were outstanding, in total representing 4,945,875 shares of common stock, consisting of 1,131,122 performance stock units,

622,547 restricted stock units and 3,192,206 stock options.

The size of the annual equity pool available for Long Term Incentive Plan 2012 awards from October 25, 2012 up to the fourth quarter of 2013 was for an aggregate of up to 9.3 million (including 2.1 million which

Table of Contents

remained from the 2011 LTIP pool) common shares in our share capital. On December 31, 2013, grants to 1,068 participants were outstanding, in total representing 7,271,390 shares of common stock, consisting of 2,289,337 performance stock units, 1,174,920 restricted stock units and 3,807,133 stock options.

The size of the annual equity pool available for Long Term Incentive Plan 2013 awards from October 24, 2013 up to the fourth quarter of 2014 is for an aggregate of up to 6.7 million (including 0.4 million which remained from the 2012 LTIP pool) common shares in our share capital. On December 31, 2013, grants to 1,494 participants were outstanding, in total representing 4,004,244 shares of common stock, consisting of 525,510 performance stock units, 1,990,559 restricted stock units and 1,488,175 stock options.

Shares to be delivered under any equity program may be newly issued, for up to 10% of our share capital, or they may come out of treasury stock or be purchased from time to time upon the decision of our board of directors.

As of December 31, 2013, the following stock options, restricted stock, performance stock and shares of common stock were outstanding with members of our board of directors:

Richard L. Clemmer, CEO and president

As of December 31, 2013, our chief executive officer held 223,233 shares and had been granted the following (vested and unvested) stock options and (unvested) performance stock units, which were outstanding:

Series	Number of Stock Options	Exercise Price (in \$)	Number of Stock Options per vesting schedule			
			10/24/14	10/24/15	10/24/16	10/24/17
2013/October	344,635	39.58	86,158	86,159	86,159	86,159

Series	Number of Stock Options	Exercise Price (in \$)	Number of Stock Options per vesting schedule			
			10/25/13	10/25/14	10/25/15	10/25/16
2012/October	410,000	23.49	102,500	102,500	102,500	102,500

Series	Number of Stock Options	Exercise Price (in \$)	Number of Stock Options per vesting schedule			
			11/01/12	11/01/13	11/01/14	11/01/15
2011/November	410,000	16.84	102,500	102,500	102,500	102,500

Series	Number of Stock Options	Exercise Price (in \$)	Number of Stock Options per vesting schedule			
			11/02/11	11/02/12	11/02/13	11/02/14
2010/November	360,252	13.27	90,063	90,063	90,063	90,063

Series

	Number of Stock Options	Exercise Price (in)	Number of Stock Options per vesting schedule			
			01/01/10	01/01/11	01/01/12	01/01/13
2009/1	415,000	2.00	103,750	103,750	103,750	103,750
2009/2	1,400,000	15.00	350,000	350,000	350,000	350,000
2009/3	234,000	30.00	58,500	58,500	58,500	58,500
2009/4	374,252	40.00	93,563	93,563	93,563	93,563
Total	2,423,252		605,813	605,813	605,813	605,813

Table of Contents

Series	Number of Performance Stock Units	Number of Performance Stock Units per vesting schedule			
		10/24/14	10/24/15	10/24/16	10/24/17
2013/October	159,786	Maximum 33% of total	Maximum 67% of total	Up to 100% of total	Up to 100% of total

Series	Number of Performance Stock Units	Number of Performance Stock Units per vesting schedule	
		03/01/14	03/01/16
2013/March	350,000	35,000	315,000

Series	Number of Performance Stock Units	Number of Performance Stock Units per vesting schedule		
		10/25/14	10/25/15	10/25/16
2012/October	200,000	Maximum 100,000	Up to 200,000	Up to 200,000

Series	Number of Performance Stock Units	Number of Performance Stock Units per vesting schedule	
		02/09/14	02/09/15
2011/November	300,000	Maximum 200,000	Up to 300,000

Sir Peter Bonfield, chairman of the board of directors

As of December 31, 2013, the chairman of our board of directors held 19,999 shares, and the following (vested) stock options and (unvested) restricted stock units had been granted to him and were outstanding:

Series	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule		
		10/24/14	10/24/15	10/24/16
2013/October	5,055	1,685	1,685	1,685

Series	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule	
		10/25/14	10/25/15
2012/October	6,667	3,333	3,334

Series	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule
--------	--

	Number of Restricted Stock Units	11/01/14
2011/November	3,334	3,334

Series	Number of Vested Stock Options	Exercise Price (in)
2009/3	23,550	30.00

Other members of our board of directors

As of December 31, 2013, the other members of our board of directors held the following number of shares:

Mr. Huth: 89,999 of which 19,999 are from vested stock units

Mr. Goldman: 22,999 of which 19,999 are from vested stock units

Mr. Kaeser: 19,999 from vested stock units

Mr. Loring: 19,999 from vested stock units

Mr. Plantevin: 19,999 from vested stock units

Table of Contents

To each of Messrs. Huth, Goldman, Kaeser, Loring and Plantevin, all being members of our board of directors, the following restricted stock units had been granted and were outstanding as of December 31, 2013:

Series	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule		
		10/24/14	10/24/15	10/24/16
2013/October	5,055	1,685	1,685	1,685

Series	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule	
		10/25/14	10/25/15
2012/October	6,667	3,333	3,334

Series	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule	
		11/01/14	
2011/November	3,334	3,334	

To each of Dr. Helmes and Ms. Southern, and to Mr. Saad, in 2013 being appointed as member of our board of directors, the following restricted stock units had been granted and were outstanding as of December 31, 2013:

Series	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Number of Stock Units per vesting schedule		
		10/24/14	10/24/15	10/24/16
2013/October	5,055	1,685	1,685	1,685

Pensions

Our chief executive officer and eligible members of the management team participate in the executives' pension plan, which we set up in the Netherlands and which consists of a combination of a career average and a defined-contribution plan. The plan does not require employee contributions. We paid for our chief executive officer a total pension plan contribution of 591,508 in 2013 (2012: 572,369). We also paid a total pension plan contribution in the aggregate of 1,160,470 (2012: 1,260,000) to the members of our management team.

Additional Arrangements

In addition to the main conditions of employment, a number of additional arrangements apply to our chief executive officer and other members of the management team. These additional arrangements, such as housing compensation and relocation allowances, medical insurance, accident insurance, school fee compensation and company car arrangements are broadly in line with those for the NXP executives globally. In the event of disablement, our chief executive officer and other members of the management team are entitled to benefits in line with those for other NXP executives. In the event of our chief executive officer's death while in the service of NXP, any unvested equity awards (including any NXP stock options, performance stock units and restricted stock units) will vest. In line with regulatory requirements, the Company's policy forbids personal loans, guarantees or similar arrangements to members of our

board, and consequently no loans, guarantees or similar arrangements were granted to such members since 2010, nor were any such loans outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

Unless the law provides otherwise, the members of our board of directors are expected to be reimbursed by us for various costs and expenses, such as reasonable costs of defending claims, as formalized in the articles of association. Under certain circumstances, described in the articles of association, such as an act or failure to act by a member of our board of directors that can be characterized as intentional (*opzettelijk*), intentionally reckless (*bewust roekeloos*) or seriously culpable (*ernstig verwijtbaar*), there will be no entitlement to this reimbursement.

Table of Contents**Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the annual compensation paid or granted during the year ended December 31, 2013 to the members of our board of directors on an individual basis for services in all capacities.

	Salary and/ or fees	Performance related compensation ()	Number of stock, stock options and stock units granted	Non-equity incentive plan compensation or benefits in kind ()	Pension, retirement or similar benefits ()
Richard L. Clemmer	1,142,000 ⁽¹⁾	1,044,930	854,421	1,035,596	591,508
Sir Peter Bonfield	275,000 ⁽¹⁾		5,055		
	6,000 ⁽²⁾				
Johannes P. Huth	91,000 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Vikram Bhatia*	72,042 ⁽²⁾				
Nicolas Cattelain*	35,417 ⁽²⁾				
Egon Durban*	81,458 ⁽²⁾				
Kenneth A. Goldman	101,000 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Dr. Marion Helmes*	19,716 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Josef Kaeser	91,000 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Ian Loring	85,000 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Michel Plantevin	99,000 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Roy MacKenzie*	81,458 ⁽²⁾				
Jean-Pierre Saad*	49,583 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Julie Southern*	19,716 ⁽²⁾		5,055		
Total:	1,417,000 ⁽¹⁾	1,044,930	899,916	1,035,596	591,508
	832,390 ⁽²⁾				

1) in

2) in \$

* Mr. Jean-Pierre Saad was appointed to replace Nicolas Cattelain, who resigned as non-executive director of the Company on May 30, 2013. On October 10, 2013, Dr. Marion Helmes and Ms. Julie Southern were appointed as non-executive directors of the Company. On October 10, 2013, Mr. Vikram Bhatia, and on December 13, 2013, Messrs. Egon Durban and Roy MacKenzie, resigned as non-executive directors of the Company.

The following table sets forth the annual compensation paid or granted during the year ended December 31, 2012 to the members of our board of directors on an individual basis for services in all capacities.

Salary and/ or fees	Performance related compensation	Number of stock, stock options and stock	Non-equity incentive plan compensation	Pension, retirement or similar benefits
--------------------------------	---	---	---	--

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

	()	units granted	or benefits in kind ()	()
Richard L. Clemmer	1,142,000 ⁽¹⁾	710,000	840,000	572,369
Sir Peter Bonfield	275,000 ⁽¹⁾	10,000		
	6,000 ⁽²⁾			
Johannes P. Huth	91,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Vikram Bhatia*	91,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Nicolas Cattelain*	85,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Egon Durban*	85,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Kenneth A. Goldman	101,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Josef Kaeser	91,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Ian Loring	85,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Michel Plantevin	99,000 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Richard Wilson*	35,416 ⁽²⁾			
Roy MacKenzie*	49,584 ⁽²⁾	10,000		
Total:	1,417,000 ⁽¹⁾	810,000	840,000	572,369
	819,000 ⁽²⁾			

Table of Contents

- 1) in
 - 2) in \$
- * Mr. Richard Wilson resigned as non-executive director of the Company on May 30, 2012. Mr. Nicolas Cattelain resigned as nonexecutive director of the Company on May 30, 2013. On October 10, 2013, Mr. Vikram Bhatia, and on December 13, 2013, Messrs. Egon Durban and Roy MacKenzie, resigned as non-executive directors of the Company.

C. Board Practices

Management Structure

We have a one-tier board structure, consisting of an executive director and non-executive directors.

Powers, Composition and Function

The number of executive and non-executive directors is determined by the board of directors. The board of directors will consist of one executive director and nine non-executive directors. The executive director, Mr. Clemmer, has been appointed as our chief executive officer.

The appointment of the directors will be made by our general meeting of stockholders upon a binding nomination of the board of directors. A resolution to appoint a director nominated by the board of directors shall be adopted by a simple majority of the votes cast. The board of directors shall make a list of candidates containing the names of the nominated persons for each vacancy to be filled. The nomination shall state whether the director is proposed to be an executive or non-executive director. The general meeting of stockholders may at all times overrule the binding nature of such a nomination by a resolution adopted by at least a two thirds majority of the votes cast, provided such majority represents more than half of our issued share capital. The board of directors may then make a new nomination. If a nomination has not been made or has not been made in due time, this shall be stated in the notice and the general meeting of stockholders shall be free to appoint a director at its discretion. The latter resolution of the general meeting of stockholders must also be adopted by at least two thirds majority of the votes cast, provided such majority represents more than half of our issued share capital.

Under our articles of association and Dutch corporate law, the members of the board of directors are collectively responsible for the management, general and financial affairs and policy and strategy of our company. Our executive director will be responsible for the day-to-day management of the Company and for the preparation and execution of board resolutions, to the extent these tasks are not delegated to a committee of the board of directors. Our chief executive officer or all directors acting jointly may represent our company with third parties.

A conflict of interest between the Company and one or more of our directors is not expected to have any impact on the authority of directors to represent the Company. Under our board regulations, a conflict needs to be reported to the board of directors and the board of directors shall resolve on the consequences, if any. Effective per January 1, 2013, Dutch law, in case of a conflict, does not allow the directors concerned to participate in discussions or vote on such matters.

Our non-executive directors will supervise the executive director and our general affairs and provide general advice to the executive director. Furthermore the non-executive directors will perform such acts that are delegated to them pursuant to our articles of association or by our board regulation. One of the non-executive directors has been appointed as chairman of the board and another non-executive director has been appointed as vice-chairman of the

board of directors.

Each director owes a duty to us to properly perform the duties assigned to him and to act in the corporate interest of our company. Under Dutch law, the corporate interest extends to the interests of all corporate stakeholders, such as stockholders, creditors, employees, customers and suppliers.

Table of Contents

Our directors are appointed for one year and will be re-electable each year at the general meeting of stockholders. The members of our board of directors may be suspended or dismissed at any time by the general meeting of stockholders. A resolution to suspend or dismiss a director will have to be adopted by at least a two thirds majority of the votes cast, provided such majority represents more than half of our issued share capital and unless the proposal to suspend or dismiss a member of the board of directors is made by the board of directors itself, in which case resolutions shall be adopted by a simple majority of votes cast. Effective per January 1, 2013, Dutch law facilitates the suspension of executive directors by the board.

In the event that one or more directors are prevented from acting or in the case of a vacancy or vacancies for one or more directors, the board of directors remains properly constituted. The board of directors is expected to have the power, without prejudice to its responsibility, to cause our company to be represented by one or more attorneys. These attorneys shall have such powers as shall be assigned to them on or after their appointment and in conformity with our articles of association, by the board of directors.

The board of directors has adopted board regulations governing its performance, its decision making, its composition, the tasks and working procedure of the committees and other matters relating to the board of directors, the chief executive officer, the non-executive directors and the committees established by the board of directors. In accordance with our board regulations, resolutions of our board of directors will be adopted by a simple majority of votes cast in a meeting at which at least the majority of its members is present or represented. Each member of the board of directors has the right to cast one vote. In a tie vote, the proposal will be rejected.

Board Committees

While retaining overall responsibility, our board of directors has assigned certain of its tasks to permanent committees. Members of the permanent committees will be appointed by the board of directors. The board of directors will also determine the tasks of each committee. Our board of directors has established an audit committee and a nominating and compensation committee, each of which will have the responsibilities and composition described below:

Audit Committee. Our audit committee consists of four independent non-executive directors, Messrs. Goldman and Kaeser and Dr. Helmes and Ms. Southern. Mr. Goldman, who is appointed as chairman of the audit committee, will qualify as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K and as determined by our board of directors. Our audit committee will assist the board of directors in supervising, monitoring and advising the board of directors on financial reporting, risk management, compliance with relevant legislation and regulations and our business code of conduct. It will oversee the preparation of our financial statements, our financial reporting process, our system of internal business controls and risk management, our internal and external audit process and our internal and external auditor's qualifications, independence and performance. Our audit committee also will review our annual and interim financial statements and other public disclosures, prior to publication. At least once per year, the non-executive directors who are part of the audit committee will report their findings to the plenary board of directors. Our audit committee also recommends to our stockholders the appointment of external auditors. The external auditor will attend most meetings of the audit committee. The findings of the external auditor, the audit approach and the risk analysis are also discussed at these meetings.

Nominating and Compensation Committee. Our nominating and compensation committee consists of three non-executive directors, Messrs. Huth and Plantevin and Sir Peter Bonfield, who is also an independent director. Mr. Plantevin is appointed as chairman of this committee. The nominating & compensation committee will determine selection criteria and appointment procedures for members of our board of directors, to periodically assess the scope and composition of our board of directors and to evaluate the performance of its individual members. It will be responsible for recommending to the board of directors the compensation package for our executive directors, with due observance of the remuneration policy adopted by the general meeting of stockholders. It will review employment

Table of Contents

contracts entered into with our executive directors, make recommendations to our board of directors with respect to major employment-related policies and oversee compliance with our employment and compensation-related disclosure obligations under applicable laws.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification Matters

Unless prohibited by law in a particular circumstance, our articles of association require us to reimburse the members of the board of directors and the former members of the board of directors for damages and various costs and expenses related to claims brought against them in connection with the exercise of their duties. However, there shall be no entitlement to reimbursement if and to the extent that (i) a Dutch court has established in a final and conclusive decision that the act or failure to act of the person concerned may be characterized as willful (*opzettelijk*), intentionally reckless (*bewust roekeloos*) or seriously culpable (*ernstig verwijtbaar*) conduct, unless Dutch law provides otherwise or this would, in view of the circumstances of the case, be unacceptable according to standards of reasonableness and fairness, or (ii) the costs or financial loss of the person concerned are covered by an insurance and the insurer has paid out the costs or financial loss. We may enter into indemnification agreements with the members of the board of directors and our officers to provide for further details on these matters. We expect to purchase directors and officers liability insurance for the members of the board of directors and certain other officers, substantially in line with that purchased by similarly situated companies.

At present, there is no pending litigation or proceeding involving any member of the board of directors, officer, employee or agent where indemnification will be required or permitted. We are not aware of any threatened litigation or proceedings that might result in a claim for such indemnification.

Insofar as indemnification of liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, may be permitted to members of the board of directors, officers or persons controlling us pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we have been informed that, in the opinion of the SEC, such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and is therefore unenforceable.

D. Employees

The following table provides an overview of the number of full time employees we had per segment:

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
High Performance Mixed Signal	3,128	3,065
Standard Products	1,864	1,960
Corporate and Other:		
Manufacturing Operations	17,428	16,490
Central research and development	479	608
Sales and marketing	697	761
Information technology	307	333
Other shared services	1,761	1,914
Other	27	227
Total	25,691	25,358

The following table indicates the number of full time employees per geographic area:

	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Europe and Africa	6,574	6,957
Americas	479	552
Greater China	7,335	7,243
Asia Pacific	11,303	10,606
Total	25,691	25,358

Table of Contents

We have not experienced any material strikes or labor disputes in the past. A number of our employees are members of a labor union. In various countries, local law requires us to inform and consult with employee representatives on matters relating to labor conditions. We consider our employee relations to be good.

E. Share Ownership

Information with respect to share ownership of members of our board of directors is included in Part I, Item 7. *Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions* and Notes 11 and 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements, which are incorporated herein by reference. Information with respect to the grant of shares and stock options to employees is included in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements which are incorporated herein by reference. In order to maintain a strong alignment between the interests of NXP's management and our shareholders, we have adopted an equity ownership policy for the President/CEO and the other members of our management team. The number of shares to be maintained by the members of our management team increases each time our shares are being delivered upon the vesting of stock options or other rights to our shares. The management team members are required to maintain a certain number of our shares until the time that he or she is no longer employed by us.

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions**A. Major Shareholders**

The following table shows the amount and percentage of our common stock beneficially owned as of December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by (i) each person who is or was known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock, (ii) each current member of our board of directors, and (iii) all members of the board and named executive officers as a group. A person is a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting or investment power over the security or if he has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Unless otherwise noted, these persons may be contacted at our executive offices and, unless otherwise noted, have to our knowledge sole voting and investment power over the shares listed.

As shown in the table below, the Private Equity Consortium beneficially owned 14.82% of our shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013.

	Common Stock Beneficially Owned as of December 31					
	2013		2012		2011	
	Number	%*	Number**	%*	Number	%*
Funds advised by KKR ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	14,059,822	5.58	32,197,150	12.79	40,028,656	15.90
Funds advised by Bain ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	7,744,241	3.08	25,756,791	10.23	32,021,770	12.72
Funds advised by Silver Lake ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	3,872,444	1.54	12,879,469	5.12	16,012,220	6.36
Funds advised by Apax ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	4,355,793	1.73	14,487,057	5.75	18,010,831	7.15
Funds advised by Alpinvest ⁽⁶⁾	1,935,786	0.77	6,438,284	2.56	8,004,306	3.18
NXP Co-Investment Investor S.a.r.l. ⁽²⁾⁽⁷⁾	5,290,174	2.10	15,029,155	5.97	18,684,787	7.42
PPTL Investment LP			16,549,097	6.57	30,517,299	12.12
Wellington Management Company, LLP ⁽⁸⁾	28,935,677	11.49				
FMR and FIL ⁽⁹⁾	23,180,919	9.21				
T.Rowe ⁽¹⁰⁾	18,606,181	7.39				

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Richard L. Clemmer	3,224,174	1.28	1,309,587	0.52	809,357	0.32
Sir Peter Bonfield	43,549	0.02	27,897	0.01	13,695	0.005
Johannes P. Huth	89,999	0.04	79,999	0.03	73,333	0.03
Kenneth Goldman	22,999	0.01	14,999	0.01	8,333	0.003
Dr. Marion Helmes						
Josef Kaeser	19,999	0.01	9,999	0.004	3,333	0.001
Ian Loring ⁽¹¹⁾	7,764,240	3.08	25,766,790	10.24	3,333	0.001
Michel Plantevin	19,999	0.01	9,999	0.004	3,333	0.001
Jean-Pierre Saad						
Julie Southern						
All directors and named executive officers as a group ⁽¹²⁾	11,184,959	4.45	27,219,270	10.81	914,717	0.4

Table of Contents

- * Percentage computations are based on 251,751,500 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.
- ** As of February 7, 2013.
- (1) As of December 31, 2013, KKR's affiliates and certain funds advised by KKR, through various KKR-affiliated entities, hold shares of our common stock through a Luxembourg holding company. The following KKR-affiliated entities (the "KKR Entities") have an indirect interest in 14,059,822 shares of our common stock through their ownership of such Luxembourg holding company: KKR NXP (2006) Limited (1,096,471 shares); KKR NXP (European II) Limited (7,028,661 shares); KKR NXP (Millennium) Limited (5,934,687 shares); and KKR Associates Europe II Limited Partnership (3 shares). As the designated members of KKR Management LLC (which may be deemed to indirectly control one or more general partners, stockholders or members of the entities that own or control the KKR Entities), Henry R. Kravis and George R. Roberts may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock indirectly held by the KKR Entities, but disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. In addition, as the voting partner of certain affiliates of the KKR Entities, KKR SP Limited may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock indirectly held by the KKR Entities, but disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares. The principal business address of each of the entities and persons identified in this footnote except Mr. Roberts is c/o Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., 9 West 57th Street, New York, NY 10019, U.S.A. The principal business office for Mr. Roberts is c/o Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., 2800 Sand Hill Road, Suite 200, Menlo Park, CA 94025, U.S.A. We understand that the KKR Entities have sold 2,778,751 of our shares of common stock on or after February 19, 2014, in addition to the shares sold to us on February 19, 2014.
- (2) On February 19, 2014, we entered in a share repurchase agreement with KKR NXP Investors S.à.r.l. and NXP Co-Investment Investor S.à.r.l. to repurchase 5,000,000 shares of our common stock from the KKR Entities and from NXP Co-Investment Partners S.à.r.l. at a price per share based on the market closing price. See Note 20 "Subsequent events" of our Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18 of this Report.
- (3) As of December 31, 2013, Bain Pumbaa LuxCo S.à.r.l. owns 7,744,241 shares of our common stock. As a shareholder of Bain Pumbaa LuxCo S.à.r.l., Bain Capital Lion Holdings, L.P. ("Lion Holdings") has voting and dispositive power over 7,744,241 shares of our common stock held by Bain Pumbaa LuxCo S.à.r.l. and may be deemed to beneficially own all shares of our common stock held by Bain Pumbaa LuxCo S.à.r.l. Bain Capital Investors, LLC ("BCI") is the managing general partner of Lion Holdings. As a result, BCI may be deemed to beneficially own all of the shares of our common stock held by Lion Holdings, but disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares of our common stock. BCI is controlled by an investment committee composed of 22 members, Andrew Balson, Steven Barnes, Joshua Bekenstein, Louis Bremer, John Connaughton, Todd Cook, Paul Edgerley, Christopher Gordon, Blair Hendrix, Jordan Hitch, David Humphrey, John Kilgallon, Lewis Klessel, Matthew Levin, Ian Loring, Philip Loughlin, Seth Meisel, Mark Nunnally, Stephen Pagliuca, Ian Reynolds, Mark Verdi and Stephen Zide. Each such investment committee member, disclaims beneficial ownership of shares indirectly held by Lion Holdings. In addition, the Bain affiliated funds and individuals named above may be deemed by virtue of their rights under the shareholders' agreement with respect to the Company to share voting power with respect to the shares of our common stock held by the other parties to the shareholders' agreement, but disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. The address of BCI and of Lion Holdings is John Hancock Tower, 200 Clarendon Street, Boston, MA 02116, U.S.A.
- (4) As of December 31, 2013, SL II NXP S.à.r.l. owns 3,872,444 shares of our common stock. SLP II Cayman NXP, Ltd. owns 99.57% of the outstanding shares of our common stock held by SL II NXP S.à.r.l. and may be deemed to beneficially own all shares of our common stock held by SL II NXP S.à.r.l. Silver Lake Partners II Cayman, L.P. is the sole shareholder of SLP II Cayman NXP, Ltd. Silver Lake Technology Associates II Cayman, L.P. is the general partner of Silver Lake Partners II Cayman, L.P. Silver Lake (Offshore) AIV GP II, Ltd. is the general

partner of Silver Lake Technology Associates II Cayman, L.P. Because of the foregoing relationships, each of SLP II Cayman NXP, Ltd., Silver Lake Partners II Cayman, L.P., Silver Lake Technology Associates II Cayman, L.P. and Silver Lake (Offshore) AIV GP II, Ltd. (together, the Silver Lake Funds) may be deemed to beneficially own all of the shares of our common stock held by SL II NXP S.à.r.l. Messrs. James A. Davidson, Glenn H. Hutchins, David J. Roux, Alan K. Austin, Michael J. Bingle, Gregory

Table of Contents

- Keith Mondre, Charles Giancarlo, Andrew Wagner and Kenneth Y. Hao and Mses. Karen King and Yolande A. Jun serve as directors of Silver Lake (Offshore) AIV GP II, Ltd. They disclaim beneficial ownership of the ordinary shares indirectly owned by the Silver Lake Funds. In addition, the Silver Lake affiliated funds and individuals named above may be deemed by virtue of their rights under the shareholders' agreement with respect to the Company to share voting power with respect to the shares of our common stock held by the other parties to the shareholders' agreement, but disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. Silver Lake's address is c/o 2775 Sand Hill Road, Suite 100 Menlo Park, CA 94025, U.S.A. According to filings made by SL II NXP S.à.r.l., we understand that SL II NXP S.à.r.l. has sold 350,000 of our shares of common stock on or after February 7, 2014.
- (5) As of December 31, 2013, Meridian Holding S.à.r.l. owns 4,355,793 shares of our common stock. Apax NXP VI A L.P. is an English limited partnership and owns 66.59% of the outstanding shares of Meridian Holding S.à.r.l. Apax NXP (UK) VI A1 GP Co. Ltd, an English private limited company, is the general partner of Apax NXP VI A L.P. Apax WW Nominees Ltd, an English company, holds, directly or indirectly, 100% of the interests in Apax NXP (UK) VI A1 GP Co. Ltd as nominee for Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd, the custodian of Apax Europe VI-A, L.P., an English limited partnership. Apax Europe VI GP L.P. Inc., a Guernsey limited partnership, is the general partner of Apax Europe VI-A, L.P. Apax Europe VI GP Co. Limited, a Guernsey company, is the general partner of Apax Europe VI GP L.P. Inc. Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd, an English company, holds 100% of the interests in Apax WW Nominees Ltd. Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd has also been appointed by Apax Europe VI GP L.P. Inc. (acting by Apax Europe VI GP Co. Limited, its general partner) as discretionary investment manager of the investments of Apax Europe VI-A, L.P. Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd, Apax Europe VI GP Co. Limited and Apax Europe VI GP L.P. Inc. are responsible for the investments and general administration of Apax Europe VI-A, L.P. Because of the foregoing relationships, each of Apax NXP VI A L.P., Apax NXP (UK) VI A1 GP Co. Ltd, Apax Europe VI-A, L.P., Apax Europe VI GP L.P. Inc., Apax Europe VI GP Co. Limited and Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd may be deemed to beneficially own all of the shares of our common stock held by Meridian Holding S.à.r.l. As directors and shareholders of Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd., Martin Halusa, Nico Hansen and Michael Phillips may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock indirectly held by Apax Europe VI, but disclaim ownership of such shares. In addition, the Apax-affiliated funds and individuals named above may be deemed by virtue of their rights under the shareholders' agreement with respect to the Company to share voting power with respect to the shares of our common stock held by the other parties to the shareholders' agreement, but disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. The address of Apax Partners LLP and Apax Partners Europe Managers Ltd. is 33 Jermyn Street, London SW1Y 6DN, England, and the address of Apax Partners L.P. is 601 Lexington Avenue, 53rd Floor, New York, NY 10022, U.S.A. We understand that Meridian Holding S.à.r.l. has sold its entire holding of our shares of common stock on or after February 17, 2014.
- (6) As of December 31, 2013, AlpInvest Partners CSI 2006 Lion C.V. owns 1,935,786 shares in our common stock and AlpInvest Partners Later Stage II-A Lion C.V. owns 26,444 shares of our common stock. As the managing director of AlpInvest Partners Beheer 2006 B.V. (which manages AlpInvest Partners CSI 2006 Lion C.V. and AlpInvest Partners Later Stage II-A Lion C.V.), AlpInvest Partners B.V. may be deemed to hold voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares in our common stock beneficially owned by AlpInvest Partners CSI 2006 Lion C.V. and AlpInvest Partners Later Stage II-A Lion C.V., but disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares. As managing directors of AlpInvest Partners B.V. Volkert Doeksen and Johan Paul de Klerk may be deemed to beneficially own the shares of our common stock owned by AlpInvest Partners Later Stage II-A Lion C.V. and AlpInvest Partners CSI 2006 Lion C.V., but disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. In addition, the AlpInvest-affiliated funds and individuals named above may be deemed by virtue of their rights under the shareholders' agreement with respect to the Company to share voting power with respect to the shares of our common stock held by the other parties to the shareholders' agreement, but disclaim beneficial ownership of such shares. AlpInvest's address is c/o AlpInvest Beheer, Jachthavenweg 118, 1081 KJ Amsterdam, the Netherlands. We understand that AlpInvest Partners CSI 2006 Lion C.V. has sold its entire holding of our shares of common stock on or after February 19, 2014.

- (7) As the general partner of NXP Co-Investment Partners L.P. (the 100% direct parent company of NXP Co-Investment Partners S.a.r.l.). As the general partner of NXP Co-Investment Partners L.P., NXP Co-

Table of Contents

- Investment GP Ltd. beneficially owns the shares held indirectly by NXP Co-Investment Partners L.P. Funds and entities advised by KKR, Bain, Silver Lake and Apax own NXP Co-Investment GP Ltd., but none of them own a majority, and none may be deemed to beneficially own them. We understand that NXP Co-Investment Investor S.a.r.l. has sold 2,145,216 of our shares of common stock as of February 12, 2014.
- (8) Wellington Management Company, LLP (Wellington Management), in its capacity as investment adviser, may be deemed to beneficially own 28,935,677 shares of our common stock, which are held by its clients and with regard to which Wellington Management has shared voting power and shared dispositive power. Wellington Management's address is 28 Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02210, United States.
- (9) FMR LLC and/or Edward C. Johnson 3d, chairman of FMR LLC (collectively, FMR), through, among others, Fidelity Management & Research Company (Fidelity), Strategic Advisers, Inc. (SA), Pyramis Global Advisors, LLC (PGALLC) and Pyramis Global Advisors Trust Company (PGATC), and/or FIL Limited (FIL), through, among others, various subsidiaries, are, directly or indirectly, the beneficial owners of 23,180,919 shares of our common stock. The address of Fidelity and SA is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, United States. The address of PGALLC and PGATC is 900 Salem Street, Smithfield, Rhode Island 02917, United States. The address of FIL is Pembroke Hall, 42 Crow Lane, Hamilton, Bermuda.
- (10) T.Rowe Rice Associates, inc. (T.Rowe), in its capacity as investment adviser, may be deemed to beneficially own 18,606,181 shares of our common stock, which are held by its clients. T.Rowe's address is 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, United States.
- (11) Mr. Loring is a director of our Company, as well as a member of the investment committee of Bain Capital Investors, LLC. Amounts disclosed for Mr. Loring include shares beneficially owned by the funds advised by Bain. Mr. Loring disclaims beneficial ownership of any shares owned directly or indirectly by funds advised by Bain.
- (12) Reflects shares that may be beneficially owned by our directors. However, each director disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, stock options and other rights to shares represented a total of 23,449,381 shares of common stock were outstanding.

B. Related Party Transactions**Private Equity Consortium****Advisory Services Agreements**

KKR and Bain as members of the Private Equity Consortium provide certain advisory services to us. We have entered into separate agreements in this regard with the respective parties, under which each of the various legal entities will receive an annual advisory fee of \$25,000 (with an aggregate total amount of \$50,000 annually).

Shareholders Agreement

Prior to the consummation of the initial public offering in August 2010, the members of the Private Equity Consortium restructured their indirect shareholding in our common stock such that each of them holds directly, or indirectly through a separate Luxembourg holding company, shares of our common stock. At the same time, KASLION Holding B.V. ceased to hold shares of our common stock. In connection with this restructuring, the members of the Private Equity Consortium and the Management Foundation (together, the Existing Shareholders) entered into a new shareholders' agreement among themselves, which replaced the shareholders' agreement entered into on September 29, 2006. We are not a party to the new shareholders' agreement.

Under the terms of the new shareholders' agreement, the Existing Shareholders and any affiliate to which the Existing Shareholders transfer common stock were only allowed to sell shares of our common stock after having received approval from an investors committee consisting of representatives of the Private Equity Consortium. These

restrictions terminated as a result of the secondary offering of December 10, 2013 when the Existing Shareholders collectively ceased to hold less than 25% of shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

The new shareholders agreement also contains voting agreements among the Existing Shareholders with respect to, among other matters, the election of certain non-executive members to our board of directors. These voting arrangements terminated as a result of the secondary offering on December 10, 2013 when the existing shareholders collectively ceased to hold at least 25% of shares of our common stock. The shareholders agreement provides that our board of directors shall be comprised of, among others, seven non-executive members and that certain stockholders have the right to designate such non-executive members, subject to their election by our general meeting of stockholders. So long as any fund advised by KKR and Bain beneficially owns at least 2.5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, each such fund shall have the right to designate two members to our board of directors. If any party's shareholding falls below the relevant threshold, it will cause the board member(s) nominated by it to promptly resign from the board of directors, unless otherwise agreed.

The new shareholders agreement will terminate upon the occurrence of certain events, including: (i) with respect to the individual parties to the agreement, upon such party ceasing to hold shares of common stock and (ii) with respect to all parties, upon certain parties' collective shareholdings falling below specified thresholds.

Registration Rights Agreement

In connection with the restructuring, the Existing Shareholders and certain other investors have entered into a registration rights agreement with us. In accordance with the registration rights agreement, we have filed a shelf registration statement on Form F-3 with the SEC on August 23, 2011. In addition, the registration rights agreement provides the Existing Shareholders with an unlimited number of demand registration rights and with piggyback registration rights, with a right to participate for certain other investors, which, in either case if exercised, would impose on us an obligation to register for public resale with the SEC shares of our common stock that are held by the Existing Shareholders or such other investors, in any. The demand registration rights can be exercised at any time after the expiration of the lockup period. The piggyback registration rights may be exercised whenever we propose to register any of our securities under the Securities Act or equivalent non-U.S. securities laws, other than the initial public offering on August 5, 2010 or a registration pursuant to demand registration rights, on Form F-4 or S-4 or any successor form or solely relating to an offering and sale to our employees or directors pursuant to any employee stock option plan or any other benefit plan arrangement. In each such event, we are required to pay the registration expenses.

C. Interests of Experts and Counsel

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Information

A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information

Consolidated Statements

See Part III, Item 18. *Financial Statements*.

Dividend Policy

Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by the covenants of our Secured Revolving Credit Facility, the Term Loans and the Indentures and may be limited by the terms of any future debt or preferred securities.

As a result, we currently retain all of our earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business, to repurchase or redeem capital stock, and in the repayment of our debt. We never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and may not pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Whether or not dividends will be paid in the future will depend on, among other things, our results of operations, financial condition, level of indebtedness, cash requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors that our board of directors and our stockholders may deem relevant. If, in the future, our board of directors decides not to allocate profits to our reserves (making such profits available to be distributed as dividends), any decision to pay dividends on our common stock will be at the discretion of our stockholders.

Table of Contents**B. Significant Changes**

No significant changes have occurred since the date of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 9. The Offer and Listing**A. Offer and Listing Details**

Not applicable.

The following table shows the high and low closing sales prices of the common stock on the stock market of NASDAQ as reported in the Official Price List since its introduction on August 6, 2010. The introduction price was fixed on August 5, 2010 at \$14.00 per share.

January 2014	December 2013	Most recent six months				September 2013	August 2013				
		November 2013	October 2013	September 2013	August 2013						
High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low				
48.35	42.94	45.95	41.94	42.50	40.32	42.14	36.03	39.11	37.02	37.93	34.78

On February 21, 2014, the closing sales price of the common stock on the stock market of NASDAQ was \$56.15.

	2013		2012		2011	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
1 st quarter	32.80	26.55	26.97	16.01	31.95	21.43
2 nd quarter	32.01	25.29	26.32	18.81	34.18	22.65
3 rd quarter	39.11	31.18	26.67	20.03	27.51	14.03
4 th quarter	45.95	36.03	26.32	20.93	19.66	13.68

B. Plan of Distribution

Not applicable.

C. Markets

The shares of common stock of the Company are listed on the stock market of the NASDAQ Global Select Market in New York under the ticker symbol NXPI .

D. Selling Shareholders

Not applicable.

E. Dilution

Not applicable.

F. Expenses of the Issue

Not applicable.

Item 10. Additional Information

A. Share Capital

Not applicable.

B. Memorandum and Articles of Association

The information required by this section is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Amendment No. 7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1, filed on August 5, 2010 (File No. 333-166128).

C. Material Contracts

Other than the material contracts described below, we have not entered into any material contracts other than in the ordinary course of business.

Table of Contents

NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC entered into Indentures in relation to (i) \$500 million aggregate principal amount of U.S. Dollar-denominated 5.75% senior notes due 2021 on February 14, 2013. (ii) \$500 million aggregate principal amount of U.S. Dollar-denominated 5.75% senior notes due 2023, on March 13, 2013 (iii) \$750 million aggregate principal amount of U.S. Dollar-denominated 5.75% senior notes due 2018, on May 20, 2013, and (iv) \$750 million aggregate principal amount of U.S. dollar-denominated 3.5% senior notes due 2016, on September 24, 2013.

D. Exchange Controls

Cash dividends payable on our ordinary shares and cash interest payments to holders of our debt securities may be remitted from the Netherlands to nonresidents without legal restrictions imposed by the laws of the Netherlands, except that (i) such payments must be reported to the Dutch Central Bank for statistical purposes only and (ii) the transfer of funds to jurisdictions subject to general economic sanctions adopted in connection with policies of the United Nations, European Commission or similar measures imposed directly by the Government of the Netherlands may be restricted.

E. Taxation

Certain Tax Considerations-Holder of Common Stock

Summary of Dutch Tax Considerations

The following summary describes the material Dutch tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of our shares of common stock as of the date hereof and is intended as general information only. This summary does not contain a detailed description of all the Dutch tax law consequences to you as a holder of shares of common stock in the Company in light of your particular circumstances and does not address the effects of any non-Dutch tax laws. For Dutch tax purposes, a holder of our shares may include an individual who or an entity that does not have the legal title of the shares, but to whom nevertheless the shares are attributed based either on such individual or entity holding a beneficial interest in the shares or based on specific statutory provisions, including statutory provisions pursuant to which shares are attributed to an individual who is, or who has directly or indirectly inherited from a person who was, the settlor, grantor or similar originator of a trust, foundation or similar entity that holds the shares.

If you are considering the purchase, ownership or disposition of our shares, you should consult your own tax advisors concerning the Dutch tax consequences to you in light of your particular situation as well as any consequences arising under the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction.

The following summary is based on the Dutch tax law as applied and interpreted by Dutch tax courts and as published and in effect on the date hereof, without prejudice to any amendments introduced at a later date and implemented with or without retroactive effect. For the purpose of this paragraph, Dutch taxes means taxes of whatever nature levied by or on behalf of the Netherlands or any of its subdivisions or taxing authorities. The Netherlands means the part of the Kingdom of the Netherlands located in Europe and does not include Bonaire, St. Eustatius and Saba. Any reference hereafter made to a treaty for the avoidance of double taxation concluded by the Netherlands includes the Tax Regulation for the Kingdom of the Netherlands (*Belastingregeling voor het Koninkrijk*), the Tax Regulation for the country of the Netherlands (*Belastingregeling voor het land Nederland*) and the Agreement between the Taipei Representative Office in the Netherlands and the Netherlands Trade and Investment Office in Taipei for the avoidance of double taxation.

Withholding Tax

A stockholder is generally subject to Dutch dividend withholding tax at a rate of 15 percent on dividends distributed by us, if any. Generally, we are responsible for the withholding of such dividend withholding tax at source; the dividend withholding tax is for the account of the stockholder.

Table of Contents

Dividends distributed by us include, but are not limited to:

- (i) distributions of profits in cash or in kind, whatever they be named or in whatever form;
- (ii) proceeds from the liquidation of the Company, or proceeds from the repurchase of shares by the Company, in excess of the average paid-in capital recognized for Dutch dividend withholding tax purposes;
- (iii) the par value of shares issued to a stockholder or an increase in the par value of shares, to the extent that no contribution, recognized for Dutch dividend withholding tax purposes, has been made or will be made; and
- (iv) partial repayment of paid-in capital, that is not recognized for Dutch dividend withholding tax purposes, or recognized for Dutch dividend withholding tax purposes, to the extent that we have net profits (*zuivere winst*) and unless (a) the general meeting of stockholders has resolved in advance to make such repayment, and (b) the par value of the shares concerned has been reduced with an equal amount by way of an amendment to our articles of association. The term net profits includes anticipated profits that have yet to be realized.

Notwithstanding the above, no withholding is required in the event of a repurchase of shares, if certain conditions are fulfilled.

Furthermore, subject to certain exceptions under Dutch domestic law, we may not be required to transfer to the Dutch tax authorities the full amount of Dutch dividend withholding tax withheld in respect of dividends distributed by us, if we have received a profit distribution from a qualifying foreign subsidiary (including a subsidiary resident on Bonaire, St. Eustatius or Saba), which distribution is exempt from Dutch corporate income tax and has been subject to a foreign withholding tax of at least 5 percent. The amount that does not have to be transferred to the Dutch tax authorities can generally not exceed the lesser of (i) 3 percent of the dividends distributed by us and (ii) 3 percent of the profit distributions that we received from qualifying foreign subsidiaries in the calendar year in which we distribute the dividends (up to the moment of such dividend distribution) and in the two previous calendar years. Further limitations and conditions apply. We will, upon request, provide stockholders with information regarding the Dutch dividend withholding tax that was retained by us.

If a stockholder is resident in a country other than the Netherlands under the provisions of a treaty for the avoidance of double taxation between the Netherlands and such country, such stockholder may, depending on the terms of such treaty, be entitled to an exemption from, reduction in or refund of Dutch dividend withholding tax on dividends distributed by us.

If a stockholder is subject to Dutch corporate income tax and is entitled to the participation exemption in relation to the benefits derived from the shares held by it and such shares are attributable to an enterprise carried out in the Netherlands, such stockholder will generally be entitled to an exemption from Dutch dividend withholding tax on dividends distributed by us.

If a stockholder (i) is resident in another member state of the European Union or an appointed state of the European Economic Area, i.e. Iceland, Norway and Liechtenstein, according to the tax laws of that state and, under the terms of a double taxation agreement concluded by that state with a third state, is not considered to be resident for tax purposes

outside the European Union, Iceland, Norway or Liechtenstein; and (ii) owns an interest in us to which the Dutch participation exemption would be applicable if the stockholder were resident in the Netherlands; such stockholder will generally be eligible for an exemption from Dutch dividend withholding tax on dividends distributed by us.

Furthermore, if a stockholder:

- (a) is an entity which is resident for Dutch tax purposes in a member state of the European Union, Iceland, Norway or Liechtenstein or which is a qualifying stockholders resident elsewhere;

Table of Contents

(b) is not subject to a tax levied by reference to its profits in its country of residence; and

(c) would not have been subject to Dutch corporate income tax had the stockholder been resident in the Netherlands for Dutch tax purposes;

such stockholder will be eligible for a full refund of Dutch dividend withholding tax on dividends distributed by us, unless such stockholder is comparable to an exempt investment institution (*vrijgestelde beleggingsinstelling*) or fiscal investment institution (*fiscale beleggingsinstelling*), as defined respectively in article 6a and 28 of the Dutch corporate income tax act 1969 (*Wet op de vennootschapsbelasting 1969*). For purposes of (a) above, a qualifying stockholder is an entity that (i) is resident for Dutch tax purposes in a jurisdiction which has an arrangement for the exchange of tax information with the Netherlands and (ii) holds its shares as a portfolio investment, i.e. such shares are not held with a view to the establishment or maintenance of lasting and direct economic links between the stockholder and the company and the shares do not allow the stockholder to participate effectively in the management or control of the company.

A stockholder who is considered to be resident in the United States and is entitled to the benefits of the convention between the United States and the Netherlands for the avoidance of double taxation and the prevention of fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income, dated December 18, 1992, as amended most recently by the Protocol signed March 8, 2004 (the Treaty), will be entitled to a reduction in the Dutch withholding tax by way of an exemption, reduction or refund, as follows:

if the U.S. stockholder is an exempt pension trust, as described in article 35 of the Treaty, or an exempt organization, as described in article 36 of the Treaty, the U.S. stockholder will be exempt from Dutch dividend withholding tax;

if the U.S. stockholder is a company which holds directly at least 10 percent of the voting power in the company, the U.S. stockholder will be subject to Dutch withholding tax at a rate not exceeding 5 percent;

if the U.S. stockholder is a company which holds directly at least 80 percent of the voting power in the company and certain other conditions are met, the U.S. stockholder will be exempt from Dutch dividend withholding tax; and

in all other cases, the U.S. stockholder will be subject to Dutch dividend withholding tax at a rate of 15 percent.

According to Dutch domestic anti-dividend stripping rules, no credit against Dutch (corporate) income tax, exemption from, reduction in or refund of, Dutch dividend withholding tax will be granted if the recipient of the dividend paid by us is not considered to be the beneficial owner (*uiteindelijk gerechtigde*) of such dividends as meant in these rules.

Taxes on Income and Capital Gains

The description of taxation set out in this section of the Report does not apply to any stockholder who is an individual for whom the income or capital gains derived from our shares of common stock are attributable to employment activities, the income from which is taxable in the Netherlands.

A stockholder will not be subject to Dutch taxes on income or capital gains in respect of the ownership and disposal of our shares, other than Dutch dividend withholding tax as described above, except if:

- (i) the stockholder is, or is deemed to be, resident in the Netherlands for Dutch (corporate) income tax purposes;
- (ii) the stockholder is an individual and the stockholder has opted to be treated as resident in the Netherlands for purposes of Dutch income tax;

Table of Contents

- (iii) the stockholder derives profits from an enterprise, whether as entrepreneur (*ondernemer*) or pursuant to a co-entitlement to the net worth of such enterprise other than as an entrepreneur or a stockholder, which enterprise is, in whole or in part, carried on through a permanent establishment (*vaste inrichting*) or a permanent representative (*vaste vertegenwoordiger*) in the Netherlands, to which the shares are attributable;
- (iv) the stockholder is an individual and derives benefits from miscellaneous activities (*resultaat uit overige werkzaamheden*) carried out in the Netherlands in respect of the shares, including, without limitation, activities which are beyond the scope of active portfolio investment activities;
- (v) the stockholder is an individual and has a substantial interest (*aanmerkelijk belang*) or a fictitious substantial interest (*fictief aanmerkelijk belang*) in the company, which is not attributable to the assets of an enterprise;
- (vi) the stockholder is not an individual and has a substantial interest or a fictitious substantial interest in the company, which (fictitious) substantial interest is not attributable to the assets of an enterprise and (one of) the main purposes of the chosen ownership structure is the evasion of Dutch income tax or dividend withholding tax;
- (vii) the stockholder is not an individual and is entitled to a share in the profits of an enterprise or a co-entitlement to the net-worth of an enterprise, other than by way of the holding of securities, which enterprise is effectively managed in the Netherlands and to which enterprise the shares are attributable; or
- (viii) the stockholder is an individual and is entitled to a share in the profits of an enterprise, other than by way of the holding of securities, which enterprise is effectively managed in the Netherlands and to which enterprise the shares are attributable.

Generally, a stockholder has a substantial interest if such stockholder, alone or together with its partner, directly or indirectly (a) owns, or holds certain rights on, shares representing five percent or more of the total issued and outstanding capital of the company, or of the issued and outstanding capital of any class of shares of the company; (b) holds rights, directly or indirectly, to acquire shares, whether or not already issued, representing five percent or more of the total issued and outstanding capital of the company, or of the issued and outstanding capital of any class of shares of the company; or (c) owns, or holds certain rights on, profit participating certificates that relate to five percent or more of the annual profit of the company or to five percent or more of the liquidation proceeds of the company. A stockholder will also have a substantial interest if its partner or one of certain relatives of the stockholder or of its partner has a substantial interest.

Generally, a stockholder has a fictitious substantial interest in the company if, without having an actual substantial interest in the company (i) an enterprise has been contributed to the company in exchange for shares on an elective non-recognition basis; (ii) the shares have been obtained under inheritance law or matrimonial law, on a non-recognition basis, while the disposing stockholder had a substantial interest in the company; (iii) the shares have been acquired pursuant to a share merger, legal merger or legal demerger, on an elective non-recognition basis, while the stockholder prior to this transaction had a substantial interest in an entity that was party thereto; or (iv) the shares held by the stockholder, prior to dilution, qualified as a substantial interest and, by election, no gain was recognized upon disqualification of these shares.

Gift Tax and Inheritance Tax

No Dutch gift or inheritance tax is due in respect of any gift of the shares by, or inheritance of the shares on the death of, a stockholder, except if:

- (i) at the time of the gift or death of the stockholder, the stockholder is resident, or is deemed to be resident, in the Netherlands;

Table of Contents

(ii) the stockholder passes away within 180 days after the date of the gift of the shares and is not, or not deemed to be, at the time of the gift, but is, or deemed to be, at the time of its death, resident in the Netherlands; or

(iii) the gift of the shares is made under a condition precedent and the stockholder is resident, or is deemed to be resident, in the Netherlands at the time the condition is fulfilled.

For purposes of Dutch gift or inheritance tax, an individual who is of Dutch nationality will be deemed to be resident in the Netherlands if he has been resident in the Netherlands at any time during the ten years preceding the date of the gift or its death. For purposes of Dutch gift tax, any individual, irrespective of its nationality, will be deemed to be resident in the Netherlands if he has been resident in the Netherlands at any time during the 12 months preceding the date of the gift.

Other Taxes and Duties

No other Dutch Taxes, including turnover tax and taxes of a documentary nature, such as capital tax, stamp or registration tax or duty, are payable by or on behalf of a stockholder by reason only of the purchase, ownership and disposal of the shares.

Residency

A stockholder will not become resident, or deemed resident in the Netherlands for tax purposes by reason only of holding the shares.

United States Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following summary describes the material United States federal income tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of our shares as of the date hereof. The summary set forth below is applicable only to United States Holders (as defined below) (i) who are residents of the United States for purposes of the Treaty, (ii) whose shares do not, for purposes of the Treaty, form part of the business property of a permanent establishment, or pertain to a fixed base, in the Netherlands, and (iii) who otherwise qualify for the full benefits of the Treaty. Except where noted, this summary deals only with shares held as capital assets. As used herein, the term **United States Holder** means a beneficial owner of a share that is for United States federal income tax purposes:

an individual citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation (or other entity treated as a corporation for United States federal income tax purposes) created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust if it (1) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) has a valid election in effect under applicable United States Treasury regulations to be treated as a United States person.

This summary does not represent a detailed description of the United States federal income tax consequences applicable to you if you are subject to special treatment under the United States federal income tax laws, including if you are:

a dealer in securities or currencies;

a financial institution;

a regulated investment company;

Table of Contents

a real estate investment trust;

an insurance company;

a tax-exempt organization;

a person holding our shares as part of a hedging, integrated or conversion transaction, a constructive sale or a straddle;

a trader in securities that has elected the mark-to-market method of accounting for your securities;

a person liable for alternative minimum tax;

a person liable for Medicare contribution tax;

a person who owns or is deemed to own 10% or more of our voting stock;

a person holding our shares in connection with a trade or business conducted outside of the United States;

a partnership or other pass-through entity for United States federal income tax purposes; or

a person whose functional currency is not the United States dollar.

The summary below is based upon the provisions of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and regulations, rulings and judicial decisions thereunder as of the date hereof, and such authorities may be replaced, revoked or modified so as to result in United States federal income tax consequences different from those discussed below.

If a partnership holds our shares, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partnership or a partner of a partnership holding our shares, you should consult your tax advisors.

This summary does not contain a detailed description of all the United States federal income tax consequences to you in light of your particular circumstances and does not address the effects of any state, local or non-United States tax laws. If you are considering the purchase, ownership or disposition of our shares, you should consult your own tax advisors concerning the United States federal income tax consequences to you in light of your particular situation as well as any consequences arising under the laws of any other taxing jurisdiction.

Taxation of Dividends

The gross amount of distributions on the shares (including any amounts withheld in respect of Dutch withholding taxes to the extent such amounts are actually transferred to the Dutch tax authorities, as described under *Certain Tax Considerations Holder of Common Stock Summary of Dutch Tax Considerations Withholding Tax* above) will be taxable as dividends to the extent paid out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under United States federal income tax principles. Such income (including withheld taxes paid over to the Dutch tax authorities) will be includable in your gross income as ordinary income on the day actually received by you or on the day received by your nominee or agent that holds the shares on your behalf. Such dividends will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction allowed to corporations under the Code.

With respect to non-corporate United States investors, certain dividends received from a qualified foreign corporation may be subject to reduced rates of taxation. A qualified foreign corporation includes a foreign corporation that is eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty with the United States which the United States Treasury Department determines to be satisfactory for these purposes and which includes an exchange of information provision. The United States Treasury Department has determined that the Treaty meets these requirements. We believe we are currently eligible for the benefits of the Treaty. A foreign corporation is also treated as a qualified foreign corporation with respect to dividends paid by that corporation on shares that are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. United States Treasury Department

Table of Contents

guidance indicates that our shares, which are listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, are considered readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States. There can be no assurance that our shares will be considered readily tradable on an established securities market in later years. Non-corporate holders that do not meet a minimum holding period requirement during which they are not protected from a risk of loss or that elect to treat the dividend income as investment income pursuant to Section 163(d)(4) of the Code will not be eligible for the reduced rates of taxation regardless of our status as a qualified foreign corporation. For this purpose, the minimum holding period requirement will not be met if a share has been held by a holder for 60 days or less during the 121-day period beginning on the date which is 60 days before the date on which such share becomes ex-dividend with respect to such dividend, appropriately reduced by any period in which such holder is protected from risk of loss. In addition, the rate reduction will not apply to dividends if the recipient of a dividend is obligated to make related payments with respect to positions in substantially similar or related property. This disallowance applies even if the minimum holding period has been met. You should consult your own tax advisors regarding the application of this legislation to your particular circumstances.

The maximum rate of withholding tax on dividends paid to you pursuant to the Treaty is 15 percent. You may be required to properly demonstrate to the Company and the Dutch tax authorities your entitlement to the reduced rate of withholding under the Treaty. Subject to certain conditions and limitations, Dutch withholding taxes on dividends may be treated as foreign taxes eligible for credit against your United States federal income tax liability. However, amounts withheld to reflect Dutch withholding taxes will not be creditable to the extent that we are allowed to reduce the amount of the withholding tax that is actually transferred to the Dutch tax authorities, as described under *Certain Tax Considerations Holder of Common Stock Summary of Dutch Tax Considerations Withholding Tax* above. For purposes of calculating the foreign tax credit, dividends paid on the shares will be treated as income from sources outside the United States and will generally constitute passive category income. Further, in certain circumstances, if you:

have held shares for less than a specified minimum period during which you are not protected from risk of loss, or

are obligated to make payments related to the dividends, you will not be allowed a foreign tax credit for foreign taxes imposed on dividends paid on the shares. The rules governing the foreign tax credit are complex. You are urged to consult your tax advisors regarding the availability of the foreign tax credit under your particular circumstances.

To the extent that the amount of any distribution exceeds our current and accumulated earnings and profits for a taxable year, as determined under United States federal income tax principles, the distribution will first be treated as a tax-free return of capital, causing a reduction in the adjusted basis of the shares, and to the extent the amount of the distribution exceeds your tax basis, the excess will be taxed as capital gain recognized on a sale or exchange. However, we do not expect to determine earnings and profits in accordance with United States federal income tax principles. Therefore, you should expect that a distribution will generally be treated as a dividend (as discussed above).

Passive Foreign Investment Company

Based on the composition of our income and valuation of our assets, including goodwill, we do not believe we were a passive foreign investment company (a PFIC) for the 2013 taxable year, and we do not expect to become one in the

future, although there can be no assurance in this regard. If, however, we are or become a PFIC, you could be subject to additional United States federal income taxes on gain recognized with respect to the shares and on certain distributions, plus an interest charge on certain taxes treated as having been deferred under the PFIC rules. Non-corporate United States Holders will not be eligible for reduced rates of taxation on any dividends received from us if we are a PFIC in the taxable year in which such dividends are paid or in the preceding taxable year.

Table of Contents

Taxation of Capital Gains

For United States federal income tax purposes, you will recognize taxable gain or loss on any sale or exchange of a share in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized for the share and your tax basis in the share. Such gain or loss will generally be capital gain or loss. Capital gains of individuals derived with respect to capital assets held for more than one year are eligible for reduced rates of taxation. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. Any gain or loss recognized by you will generally be treated as United States source gain or loss.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

In general, information reporting will apply to dividends in respect of our shares and the proceeds from the sale, exchange or redemption of our shares that are paid to you within the United States (and in certain cases, outside the United States), unless you are an exempt recipient. Backup withholding may apply to such payments if you fail to provide a taxpayer identification number or certification of other exempt status or fail to report in full dividend and interest income.

Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules will be allowed as a refund or a credit against your United States federal income tax liability provided the required information is furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

F. Dividends and Paying Agents

Not applicable.

G. Statement by Experts

Not applicable.

H. Documents on Display

It is possible to read and copy documents referred to in this Report on Form 20-F that have been filed with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room located at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20549.

Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference rooms and their copy charges.

The Company's SEC filings are also publicly available through the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

I. Subsidiary Information

Not applicable.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates because we finance certain operations through fixed and variable rate debt instruments and denominate our transactions in a variety of foreign currencies. Changes in these rates may have an impact on future cash flow and earnings. We manage these risks through normal operating and financing activities and, when deemed appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments.

We do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

By using derivative instruments, we are subject to credit and market risk. The fair market value of the derivative instruments is determined by using valuation models whose inputs are derived using market

Table of Contents

observable inputs, including interest rate yield curves, as well as foreign exchange and commodity spot and forward rates, and reflects the asset or liability position as of the end of each reporting period. When the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, the counterparty owes us, thus creating a receivable risk for us. We are exposed to counterparty credit risk in the event of non-performance by counterparties to our derivative agreements. We minimize counterparty credit (or repayment) risk by entering into transactions with major financial institutions of investment grade credit rating. Our exposure to market risk is not hedged in a manner that completely eliminates the effects of changing market conditions on earnings or cash flow.

Interest Rate Risk

Given the leveraged nature of our Company, we have inherent exposure to changes in interest rates. Our Secured Revolving Credit Facility has a floating rate interest. We have issued several Term Loans that have a floating rate interest and have issued several series of notes with maturities ranging from 3 to 10 years with fixed rates. From time to time, we may execute a variety of interest rate derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk. Consistent with our risk management objective and strategy, we have no interest rate risk hedging transactions in place.

For additional information regarding our interest rate risks see the discussion set for under **Interest rate risk** of Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18 of this Report.

Foreign Currency Risks

We are also exposed to market risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which could affect operating results as well as our financial position and cash flows. We monitor our exposures to these market risks and generally employ operating and financing activities to offset these exposures where appropriate. If we do not have operating or financing activities to sufficiently offset these exposures, from time to time, we may employ derivative financial instruments such as swaps, collars, forwards, options or other instruments to limit the volatility to earnings and cash flows generated by these exposures. Derivative financial instruments are only used for hedging purposes and not for trading or speculative purposes. The Company measures all derivative financial instruments based on fair values derived from market prices of the instruments or from option pricing models, as appropriate and record these as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet. Changes in the fair values are recognized in the statement of operations immediately unless cash flow hedge accounting is applied.

Our primary foreign currency exposure relates to the U.S. dollar to euro exchange rate. However, our foreign currency exposures also relate, but are not limited, to the Chinese Yuan, the Japanese Yen, the Pound Sterling, the Malaysian Ringit, the Singapore Dollar, the Taiwan Dollar and the Thailand Baht.

For additional information regarding our foreign currency risks see the discussion set for under **Currency risk** of Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part III, Item 18 of this Report.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 13. *Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies*

None

Item 14. *Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds*

None

**Item 15. *Controls and Procedures*
*Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

As of the end of the period covered by this Report, our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, conducted an evaluation pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report such disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports we filed or submitted under the Exchange Act was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and included controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in such reports was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) of the Exchange Act. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance, not absolute assurance, regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Moreover, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued in 1992 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that assessment our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as at December 31, 2013.

During 2013, there have not been any changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

It should be noted that any control system, regardless of how well it is designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that its objectives will be met. Control systems can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. In addition, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

Table of Contents

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

For the year ended December 31, 2013 an attestation report regarding internal control over financial reporting of the Company's registered public accounting firm is required. The attestation is included in Part III, Item 18. *Financial Statements*.

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Mr. Goldman, chairman of our audit committee, qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K and as determined by our board of directors.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

The NXP Code of Conduct outlines our general commitment to be a responsible social partner and the way in which we attempt to interact with our stakeholders, including stockholders, suppliers, customers, employees and the market. The Code of Conduct expresses our commitment to an economically, socially and ethically sustainable way of working. It covers our policy on a diverse array of subjects, including corporate gifts, child labor, International Labor Organization conventions, working hours, sexual harassment, free-market competition, bribery and the integrity of financial reporting.

We have also adopted a Financial Code of Ethics applicable to certain of our senior employees, which constitutes a code of ethics as such term is defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Both the NXP Code of Conduct and our Financial Code of Ethics are available on our website at www.nxp.com/investor/governance. The information contained on our website or that can be accessed through our website neither constitutes part of this Report on Form 20-F nor is incorporated by reference herein.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The Company has instituted a comprehensive auditor independence policy that regulates the relation between the Company and its external auditors and is available on our website (www.nxp.com/investor/governance). The policy includes rules for the pre-approval by the audit committee of all services to be provided by the external auditor. The policy also describes the prohibited services that may not be provided. Proposed services may be pre-approved at the beginning of the year by the audit committee (annual pre-approval) or may be pre-approved during the year by the audit committee in respect of a particular engagement (specific pre-approval). The annual pre-approval is based on a detailed, itemized list of services to be provided, designed to ensure that there is no management discretion in determining whether a service has been approved and to ensure the audit committee is informed of each service it is pre-approving. Unless pre-approval with respect to a specific service has been given at the beginning of the year, each proposed service requires specific pre-approval during the year. Any annually pre-approved services where the fee for the engagement is expected to exceed pre-approved cost levels or budgeted amounts will also require specific pre-approval. The term of any annual pre-approval is 12 months from the date of the pre-approval unless the audit committee states otherwise. During 2013, there were no services provided to the Company by the external auditors which were not pre-approved by the audit committee.

The external auditor attends, in principle, all meetings of the audit committee. The findings of the external auditor, the audit approach and the risk analysis are also discussed at these meetings. The external auditor attends the meeting of

the board of directors at which the report of the external auditor with respect to the audit of the annual accounts is discussed, and at which the annual accounts are approved. In its audit report on the annual accounts to the board of directors, the external auditor refers to the financial reporting risks and issues that were identified during the audit, internal control matters, and any other matters, as appropriate, requiring communication under the auditing standards generally accepted in the Netherlands and the United States.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report have been audited by KPMG Accountants N.V., an independent registered public accounting firm. These financial statements have been approved by the relevant boards.

Table of Contents

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the fiscal periods 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Aggregate fees KPMG

(\$ in millions)	2013	2012
Audit fees	3.5	3.3
Audit-related fees		0.9
Tax fees		
Other fees	0.1	0.2
	3.6	4.4

Audit fees consist of fees for the examination of both the consolidated and statutory financial statements. Audit fees also include fees that only our independent auditor can reasonably provide such as comfort letters and review of documents filed with the SEC.

Audit-related fees consist of fees in connection with audits of divestments and certain agreed upon procedures.

Tax fees consist of fees for professional services in relation to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides a summary of shares repurchased by the Company in 2013:

Period begin	Period end	Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
January 1	February 3	January				2,846,339
February 4	March 3	February	1,015,857	31.53	1,015,857	1,830,482
March 4	March 31	March	100,000	31.70	100,000	1,730,482
April 1	May 5	April	941,039	27.21	941,039	789,443
May 6	June 2	May	500,000	28.52	500,000	289,443
June 3	June 30	June	271,000	29.22	121,225	168,218
July 1	August 4	July				168,218

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

August 5	September 1	August	920,216	35.34	920,216	9,248,002
September 2	September 29	September	3,357,649	37.78	3,357,649	5,890,353
September 30	November 3	October	2,131,891	40.75	2,131,891	3,758,462
November 4	December 1	November	1,249,141	41.69	1,249,141	2,509,321
December 2	December 31	December	584,845	40.92	524,020	1,985,301
Total 2013			11,071,638	36.60	10,861,038	

Table of Contents

From time to time, last in May 2013, the General Meeting of Shareholders authorized the Board of Directors to repurchase shares of our common stock. On that basis, the Board of Directors resolved to repurchase shares to cover in part employee stock options and equity rights under its long term incentive plans. The purchases identified in the table were all pursuant to this authorization.

Item 16F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

Not applicable.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance

The Dutch Corporate Governance Code

Since our initial public offering in August 2010, we have been required to comply with the Dutch corporate governance code. The Dutch corporate governance code, as revised, became effective on January 1, 2009, and applies to all Dutch companies listed on a government-recognized stock exchange, whether in the Netherlands or elsewhere. The code is based on a comply or explain principle. Accordingly, companies are required to disclose in their Annual Reports filed in the Netherlands whether or not they are complying with the various rules of the Dutch corporate governance code that are addressed to the board of directors or, if any, the supervisory board of the company and, if they do not apply those provisions, to give the reasons for such non-application. The code contains principles and best practice provisions for managing boards, supervisory boards, stockholders and general meetings of stockholders, financial reporting, auditors, disclosure, compliance and enforcement standards.

The Dutch corporate governance code provides that if a company indicates to what extent it applies the best practice provisions, such company will be deemed to have applied the Dutch corporate governance code.

The following discussion summarizes the primary differences between our corporate governance structure and best practice provisions of the Dutch corporate governance code:

Best practice provisions II.2.4 and II.2.5 state that stock options granted to members of our board shall, in any event, not be exercised in the first three years after the date of granting and shares granted to board members without financial consideration shall be retained for a period of at least five years or until at least the end of the employment, if this period is shorter. Under our equity incentive schemes, part of the stock options granted to our chief executive officer in November 2010, November 2011, October 2012 and October 2013 are exercisable one year after the date of grant, and members of our board who received restrictive shares and performance shares in November 2010, November 2011, October 2012, March 2013 and October 2013 are not required to retain these shares for at least five years. Although a deviation from the Corporate Governance Code, we hold the view that the combination of equity incentives granted to our chief executive officer, in relation to his obligation laid down in the NXP Executive Equity Ownership Policy of October 2013 to maintain at least 20% of the after tax number of NXP shares delivered upon the vesting of any performance stock units granted as of October 2013, as well as the applicable strict vesting and performance criteria, will enhance the goal of promoting long-term investments in the Company. The same is true for the equity grants made to other members of our board, which also have very strict vesting criteria with the purpose of creating long-term commitment to the Company.

Best practice provision III.8.4 states that the majority of the members of the board shall be independent. In our board of directors, five out of nine non-executive members are independent. As such, the majority of our non-executive directors are independent, but only half of the full board of directors is independent. We aim to comply with this best practice in due course, but it is our view that given the nature of our business and considering our recent stockholder structure, it is justified that at this moment only the majority of our non-executive directors be independent.

Pursuant to best practice provision IV.1.1, a general meeting of stockholders is empowered to cancel binding nominations of candidates for the board, and to dismiss members of the board by a simple

Table of Contents

majority of votes of those in attendance, although the company may require a quorum of at least one third of the voting rights outstanding. If such quorum is not represented, but a majority of those in attendance vote in favor of the proposal, a second meeting may be convened and its vote will be binding, even without a one-third quorum. Our articles of association currently state that the general meeting of stockholders may at all times overrule a binding nomination by a resolution adopted by at least a two-thirds majority of the votes cast, if such majority represents more than half of the issued share capital. Although a deviation from provision IV.1.1 of the Dutch Corporate Governance Code, we hold the view that these provisions will enhance the continuity of the Company's management and policies.

Effective January 1, 2012, Dutch law does not allow directors to vote on a matter with regard to which they have an interest.

The NASDAQ Global Select Market Corporate Governance Rules

We are a foreign private issuer. As a result, in accordance with the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market, we rely on home country governance requirements and are exempt from certain corporate governance requirements that would otherwise apply in accordance with the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Global Select Market. These exemptions and home country rules relied on by us are described below:

We are exempt from NASDAQ's quorum requirements applicable to meetings of stockholders. Pursuant to Dutch corporate law, the validity of a resolution by the general meeting of stockholders does not depend on the proportion of the capital or stockholders represented at the meeting (i.e. quorum), unless the law or articles of association of a company provide otherwise. Our articles of association provide that a resolution proposed to the general meeting of stockholders by the board of directors shall be adopted by a simple majority of votes cast, unless another majority of votes or quorum is required under Dutch law or our articles of association. All other resolutions shall be adopted by a two thirds majority of the votes cast, provided such majority represents at least half of the issued share capital, unless another majority of votes or quorum is required under Dutch law. To this extent, our practice varies from the requirement of Listing Rule 5620(c), which requires an issuer to provide in its bylaws for a quorum, and that such quorum may not be less than one-third of the outstanding voting stock.

We are exempt from NASDAQ's requirements regarding the solicitation of proxies and provision of proxy statements for meetings of stockholders. We inform stockholders of meetings in a public notice. We prepare a proxy statement and solicit proxies from the holders of our listed stock. Our practice in this regard, however, differs from the typical practice of U.S. corporate issuers in that the advance record date for determining the holders of record entitled to attend and vote at our stockholder meetings is determined by Dutch law (currently 28 days prior to the meeting). As an administrative necessity, we establish a mailing record date in advance of each meeting of stockholders for purposes of determining the stockholders to which the proxy statement and form of proxy will be sent. However, only stockholders of record on the specified record date are entitled to attend and vote, directly or by proxy, at the meeting.

NASDAQ requires stockholder approval prior to the issuance of securities when a stock option or purchase plan is to be established or materially amended or other equity compensation arrangement made or materially amended, pursuant to which stock may be acquired by officers, directors, employees or consultants. Under Dutch law and the Dutch corporate governance code, stockholder approval is only

required for equity compensation plans (or changes thereto) for members of the board, and not for equity compensation plans for other groups of employees. However, we note that under Dutch law, the stockholders have the power to issue shares or rights to subscribe for shares at the general meeting of the stockholders unless such power has been delegated to the board. Our board is designated for a period of five years from the date of the public offering in August 2010 to issue shares and rights to subscribe for shares.

Table of Contents

NASDAQ requires the majority of the board of directors to be comprised of independent directors. Although the Dutch corporate governance code provides that the majority of the members of the board be independent, it also provides that if a company expressly indicates the reasons and the extent to which it does not apply the provisions of the Dutch corporate governance code, such company will be deemed to have applied the code. As described under Corporate Governance The Dutch Corporate Governance Code above, five non-executive members of our board of directors are independent. We aim to comply with this best practice in due course, but it is our view that given the nature of our business and considering our recent stockholder structure, it is justified that at this moment only the majority of our non-executive directors be independent.

As a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from NASDAQ's requirement that compensation committees be comprised exclusively of independent directors provided that we describe the home country practice followed in lieu of such requirement and disclose the reasons for not having such an independent compensation committee. Under Dutch law and the Dutch corporate governance code, the general meeting of stockholders must adopt a policy in respect of the remuneration of the board. In accordance with our articles of association and our board rules, the remuneration of the executive directors is determined by the board of directors upon the recommendation of our nominating and compensation committee. Accordingly, applicable laws, regulations and corporate governance rules and practices do not require independence of the members of our nominating and compensation committee.

We are exempt from NASDAQ's requirement to have independent director oversight of director nominations. In accordance with Dutch law, our articles of association require that our directors will be appointed by the general meeting of stockholders upon the binding nomination of the board. In accordance with our board rules, the nominating and compensation committee will recommend the nomination of directors to our board.

NASDAQ requires us to adopt a nominations committee charter or a board resolution addressing the nominations process. In accordance with the Dutch corporate governance code, we have adopted the committee's charter. However, the nominations process has been set out in our articles of association and board rules.

Moreover, we will not distribute Annual Reports to all of our stockholders in accordance with NASDAQ rules. Dutch law requires that the external auditors be appointed at the general meeting of stockholders and not by the audit committee. Our audit committee, which consists of members of our board of directors, shall only make a recommendation to the stockholders through the board of directors for the appointment and compensation of the independent registered public accounting firm and shall oversee and evaluate the work of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 17. Financial Statements**

We are furnishing the financial statements pursuant to the instructions of Part III, Item 18. *Financial Statements* of this Report.

Item 18. Financial Statements

See pages F-1 to F-53

Item 19. Exhibits**Exhibit
Number****Description of Document**

- | | |
|------|--|
| 2.1# | Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2010, between NXP Semiconductors N.V., NXP B.V., the Dover Corporation, Knowles Electronics, LLC and EFF Acht Beteiligungsverwaltung GmbH (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Form 20-F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 13, 2012) |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Amendment No. 7 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V., filed on August 2, 2010 (File No. 333-166128)) |
| 3.2 | Articles of Association of NXP Semiconductors N.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Amendment No. 7 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V., filed on August 2, 2010 (File No. 333-166128)) |
| 4.1 | Amended and Restated Shareholders Agreement dated August 5, 2010 among the AlpInvest Parties, Apex Parties, Bain Capital Parties, Co-Invest Parties, Kaslion S.à r.l., KASLION Holding B.V., the KKR Parties, Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., the Silver Lake Parties and Stichting Management Co-Investment NXP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 of the current report on Form 6-K of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on August 10, 2010) |
| 4.2 | Registration Rights Agreement dated August 5, 2010 among NXP Semiconductors N.V., AlpInvest Partners CSI 2006 Lion C.V., AlpInvest Partners Later Stage II-A Lion C.V., Meridian Holding S.à.r.l., Bain Pumbaa Luxco S.à.r.l., KKR NXP Investor S.à.r.l., NXP Co-Investment Investor S.à.r.l., SLII NXP S.à.r.l., Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Stichting Management Co-Investment NXP and certain hedge funds party to the agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of the current report on Form 6-K of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on August 10, 2010) |
| 4.3 | Secured Term Credit Agreement dated March 4, 2011 among NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as borrower, Barclays Bank PLC as Administrative Agent, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as Global Collateral Agent, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. as Taiwan Collateral Agent, and the lenders party thereto. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 of the Form 20-F of NXP Semiconductors |

N.V. filed on March 13, 2012)

- 4.4 Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated April 27, 2012 among NXP Semiconductors N.V., NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as borrower, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as Global Collateral Agent and Administrative Agent, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. as Taiwan Collateral Agent and the lenders party thereto. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 of the Form 20-F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 1, 2013)
- 4.5 New Term Loan Joinder Agreement dated December 10, 2012 amending the Secured Term Credit Agreement dated March 4, 2011 among NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as borrower, Barclays Bank PLC as Administrative Agent, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. as Global Collateral Agent, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd. as Taiwan Collateral Agent, and the lenders party thereto. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 of the Form 20-F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 1, 2013)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
4.6	Senior Unsecured Indenture dated as of February 14, 2013 among NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as Issuers, each of the Guarantors named on the signature page thereto as borrower and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 of Form 20-F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 13, 2012)
4.7	Senior Unsecured Indenture dated as of March 12, 2013 among NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as Issuers, each of the Guarantors named on the signature pages thereto and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee
4.8	Senior Unsecured Indenture dated as of May 20, 2013 among NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as Issuers, each of the Guarantors named on the signature pages thereto and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee
4.9	Senior Unsecured Indenture dated as of September 24, 2013 among NXP B.V. and NXP Funding LLC as Issuers, each of the Guarantors named on the signature page thereto and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee
10.1	Intellectual Property Transfer and License Agreement dated as of September 28, 2006 between Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. and NXP B.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 30, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.2	Intellectual Property Transfer and License Agreement dated as of November 16, 2009 among NXP B.V., Virage Logic Corporation and VL C.V. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 30, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.3	Shareholders agreement dated as of March 30, 1999, as amended among EBD Investments Pte. Ltd., Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. and Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 30, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.4	Lease Agreement dated as of December 23, 2004 between Jurong Town Corporation and Systems on Silicon Manufacturing Company Pte. Ltd. for the property at No. 70 Pasir Ris Drive 1, Singapore (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.5	Lease Agreement dated September 26, 2003 between Huangjiang Investment Development Company and NXP Semiconductors (Guangdong) Company Ltd. for the property at Tian Mei High Tech Industrial Park, Huang, Jiang Town, Dongguan City, China (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.6	Building Lease Contract dated as of May 12th, 2000 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.7	Agreement with regard to the Lease of a Single (vehicle) Shelter dated as of October 30, 2009 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Amendment No. 2

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010
(File No. 333-166128))

- 10.8 Agreement with regard to the Lease of Standard Plant Basements dated as of July 1, 2011 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.9	Agreement with regard to the Lease of a Single (vehicle) Shelter dated as of March 8, 2010 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.10	Agreement with regard to the Lease of Additional Land dated as of July 1, 2008 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.11	Agreement with regard to the Lease of a Dangerous Goods Warehouse dated as of November 27, 2009 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.12	Agreement with regard to the Lease of Land at Property Number AL012 dated as of July 1, 2008 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.13	Agreement with regard to the Lease of Land at Property Number AL020 dated as of July 1, 2008 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.14	Agreement with regard to the Lease of Land at Property Number AL071 dated as of July 1, 2008 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.15	Agreement with regard to the Lease of Land at Property Number CL102 dated as of July 1, 2008 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.16	Agreement with regard to the Lease of Land dated as of September 30, 2008 between the Export Processing Zone Administration (Ministry of Economic Affairs) and NXP Semiconductors Taiwan Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 10, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.17	Management Equity Stock Option Plan Terms and Conditions dated August 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Form-20F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 13, 2012)
10.18	

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Management Equity Stock Option Plan Terms and Conditions dated January 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Form-20F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 13, 2012)

10.19

Long Term Incentive Plan 2010 Terms and Conditions with regard to the Stock Option Plan, the Performance Stock Unit Plan, Restricted Stock Unit Plan and Share Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Form-20F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 13, 2012)

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.20	NXP Global Equity Incentive Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form F-1 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on June 30, 2010 (File No. 333-166128))
10.21	Long Term Incentive Plan 2011 Terms and Conditions with regard to the Stock Option Plan, the Performance Stock Unit Plan, Restricted Stock Unit Plan and Share Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 of the Form-20F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 13, 2012)
10.22	Long Term Incentive Plan 2012/3 Terms and Conditions with regard to the Stock Option Plan, the Performance Stock Unit Plan, Restricted Stock Unit Plan and Share Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Form-20F of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on March 1, 2013) and Long Term Incentive Plan 2013/4 Terms and Conditions with regard to the Stock Option Plan, the Performance Stock Unit Plan and Restricted Stock Unit Plan
10.23	Employee Stock Purchase Plan Terms and Conditions (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Form S-8 of NXP Semiconductors N.V. filed on August 8, 2013)
12.1	Certification of R. Clemmer filed pursuant to 17 CFR 240. 13a-14(a)
12.2	Certification of P. Kelly filed pursuant to 17 CFR 240. 13a-14(a)
13.1	Certification of R. Clemmer furnished pursuant to 17 CFR 240. 13a-14(b)
13.2	Certification of P. Kelly furnished pursuant to 17 CFR 240. 13a-14(b)
21.1	List of Significant Subsidiaries of the Registrant
22	Consent of KPMG Accountants N.V.

Confidential treatment previously requested and granted

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY

32 bit ARM microcontrollers	Microcontroller based on a 32-bit processor core developed and licensed by ARM Technologies.
AC-DC	Conversion of alternating current to direct current.
Analog	A form of transmission that is a continuous wave of an electrical signal that varies in frequency and/or amplitude in response to variations of physical phenomena such as human speech or music.
Back-end	The packaging, assembly and testing stages of the semiconductors manufacturing process, which takes place after electronic circuits are imprinted on silicon wafers in the front-end process.
BCDMOS	Bipolar CMOS DMOS. A process technology that combines elements of bipolar, CMOS and DMOS technology and is capable of handling high voltages.
BiCMOS	A process technology that combines bipolar and CMOS processes, typically by combining digital CMOS circuitry with higher voltage or higher speed bipolar circuitry.
Bipolar	A process technology used to create semiconductors for applications involving the use of higher power levels than are possible with a CMOS chip. Due to the geometry of a bipolar circuit, these devices are significantly larger than CMOS devices. The speed of the most advanced bipolar devices exceeds those attainable with CMOS, but only at very large electrical currents. As a result, the number of bipolar devices that can be integrated into a single product is limited.
Can tuner	A module component used in television systems to convert broadcasts into a format suitable for television projection. Can tuners are rapidly being replaced by silicon tuners.
CAN	Controller Area Network. A network technology used in automotive network architecture.

CATV	An abbreviation for cable television.
Car access and immobilizers	An automobile technology segment focused on keyless entry and car immobilization applications. An automobile immobilizer is an electronic device fitted to an automobile which prevents the engine from running unless the correct key (or other token) is present.
Chip	Semiconductor device.
CFL	Compact Fluorescent Light. A type of fluorescent lamp designed to replace an incandescent lamp, while using less power and increasing rated life.
CMOS	Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor. The most common integrated circuit fabrication technology in the semiconductor

Table of Contents

industry. The technology is used to make integrated circuits where small size and high speed are important. As a result of the very small feature sizes that can be attained through CMOS technology, however, the ability of these integrated circuits to cope with high electrical currents and voltages is limited.

Coolflux DSP

A low power digital signal processor designed for mobile audio applications.

Digital

A form of transmission where data is represented by a series of bits or discrete values such as 0 and 1.

Diode

A semiconductor that allows currents to flow in one direction only.

Discrete semiconductors

Unlike integrated circuits, which contain up to tens of millions of transistors, discrete semiconductors are single devices, usually with two terminals (diodes) or three terminals (transistors). These are either applied as peripheral components on printed circuit boards, or used for special purposes such as very high power applications.

DMOS

Diffused Metal on Silicon Oxide Semiconductor. A process technology used to manufacture integrated circuits that can operate at high voltage.

DOCSIS 3.0

Data Over Cable Service Interface Specification (DOCSIS). Methods for transporting data over a cable (CATV) plant utilizing QAM and/or QPSK RF modulation.

DSP

Digital signal processor. A specialized microprocessor optimized to process sequences of numbers or symbols which represent signals.

DVB-C2

Digital Video Broadcasting the DVB European consortium standard for the broadcast transmission of digital television over cable.

DVB-T2

Digital Video Broadcasting Second Generation Terrestrial. A television broadcasting standard used to transmit compressed digital audio, video and other data using land based (terrestrial) signals.

EMI filtering

Electromagnetic interference (or EMI, also called radio frequency interference or RFI when in high frequency or radio frequency) is disturbance that affects an electrical circuit due to either electromagnetic induction or electromagnetic radiation emitted from an external source.

e-passport

A passport with secure data source chip used in providing personalized information.

ESD

Electrostatic discharge. The sudden and momentary electric current that flows between two objects caused by direct contact or induced by an electrostatic field. This term is used in the context of electronics to describe momentary unwanted currents that may cause damage to electronic equipment.

Table of Contents

Fab (or wafer fab)	A semiconductor fabrication facility in which front-end manufacturing processes take place.
Fabless semiconductor company	A semiconductor company that does not have any internal wafer fab manufacturing capacity but instead focuses on designing and marketing its products, while outsourcing manufacturing to an independent foundry.
FlexRay	A new communications protocol designed for the high data transmission rates required by advanced automotive control systems.
Foundry	A semiconductor manufacturer that manufactures chips for third parties.
Front-end	The wafer processing stage of the semiconductors manufacturing process in which electronic circuits are imprinted onto raw silicon wafers. This stage is followed by the packaging, assembly and testing stages, which together comprise the back-end process.
GPS	Global Positioning System.
HDMI	High-Definition Multimedia Interface. A compact audio/video interface for transmitting uncompressed digital data.
I² C	A multi-master serial single-ended computer bus that is used to attach low-speed peripherals to a motherboard, embedded system or mobile phone.
Integrated Circuit	Integrated Circuit. A miniaturized electronic circuit that has been manufactured in the surface of a thin substrate of semiconductor material.
ICN4,5,6,8	NXP wafer fab facilities located in Nijmegen, Netherlands, processing 4 , 5 , 6 or 8 diameter wafers.
In-process research and development	The value allocated to incomplete research and development projects in acquisitions treated as purchases.
Leadframe	

A thin layer of metal that connects the wiring from tiny electrical technicals on the semiconductor surface to the large scale circuitry on electrical devices and circuit boards. Leadframes are used in almost all semiconductor packages.

LDMOS

Laterally Diffused Metal Oxide Semiconductor. A transistor used in RF/microwave power amplifiers.

LED

Light Emitting Diode. A semiconductor device which converts electricity into light.

LIBOR

London Interbank Offered Rate. The benchmark rate at which interbank term deposits within the leadings banks in London would be charged if borrowing from other banks.

Table of Contents

LIN	Local Interconnect Network. A network technology used in automotive network architecture.
LNA	Low-Noise Amplifier. An electronic amplifier used to amplify very weak signals.
Magneto-resistive device	A device fabricated with magneto-resistive material (material that has the ability to change the value of its electrical resistance when an external magnetic field is applied to it).
Memory	Any device that can store data in machine readable format. Usually used synonymously with random access memory and read only memory.
Microcontroller	A microprocessor combined with memory and interface integrated on a single circuit and intended to operate as an embedded system.
Micron	A metric unit of linear measure which equals one millionth of a meter. A human hair is about 100 microns in diameter.
MIFARE	Trademarked name, owned by NXP, for the most widely used contactless smart card, or proximity card, technology, for payment in transportation systems.
Mixed-signal	The mixed-signal part of an application solution refers to the devices and sub-system solutions that translate real world analog signals and phenomena such as radio frequency communication and power signals, sound, light, temperature, pressure, acceleration, humidity and chemical characteristics into digital or power signals that can be fed into the central microprocessing or storage devices at the heart of an application system solution.
MMIC	Monolithic Microwave Integrated Circuit. A type of integrated circuit device that operates at microwave frequencies.
MOS	Metal Oxide Semiconductor. A metal insulator semiconductor structure in which the insulating layer is an oxide of the substrate material.
MOSFET	

Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor. A device used for amplifying or switching electronic signals.

Nanometer

A metric unit of linear measure which equals one billionth of a meter. There are 1,000 nanometers in 1 micron.

NFC

Near field communication. A technology which allows devices to establish a secure point-to-point wireless connection at very close ranges (within several centimeters), and which is being increasingly adopted in mobile devices and point-of-sale terminals or other devices.

ODM

Original Design Manufacturer. A company which manufactures a product which ultimately will be branded by another firm for sale.

Table of Contents

OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer. A manufacturer that designs and manufactures its products for the end consumer market.
Power MOS	A specific type of metal oxide semiconductor designed to handle large amounts of power.
Power scaling	Design technique used to increase output power without changing the geometry, shape, or principle of operation.
Process technologies	The technologies used in front-end processes to convert raw silicon wafers into finished wafers containing hundreds or thousands of chips.
QAM	Quadrature-Amplitude Modulation
QPSK	Quadrature phase shift keying
Rectifier	An electrical device that converts alternating current to direct current.
RF	Radio Frequency. A high frequency used in telecommunications. The term radio frequency refers to alternating current having characteristics such that, if the current is input to an antenna, an electromagnetic (EM) field is generated suitable for wireless broadcasting and/or communications.
Radio Frequency Identification	An RF chip used for identification.
Semiconductors	Generic term for devices such as transistors and integrated circuits that control the flow of electrical signals. The most common semiconductor material for use in integrated circuits is silicon.
Silicon	A type of semiconducting material used to make wafers. Silicon is widely used in the semiconductor industry as a base material.
Silicon tuners	Semiconductor devices for receiving broadcast television signals. Silicon tuners are expected to displace mechanical can tuners as the dominant technology in television receivers.

SIM	Subscriber Identity Module. A smart card that stores the key identifying a cellular phone service subscriber and related information.
Solid State Lighting	A type of lighting that uses semiconductor light-emitting diodes (LEDs), organic light-emitting diodes (OLED), or polymer light-emitting diodes (PLED) as sources of illumination rather than electrical filaments, plasma or gas.
SPI	Serial Peripheral Interface Bus. A synchronous serial data link standard that operates in full duplex mode.
SS MOS	Small signal power discrete including a metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistor.

Table of Contents

SS Transistor	A small signal transistor.
Substrate	The base material made from silicon on which an integrated circuit is printed.
Telematics	The science of sending, receiving and storing information via telecommunication devices.
Thyristor	A four-layer semiconductor that is often used for handling large amounts of electrical power.
UART	Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter. An integrated circuit used for serial communications over a computer or peripheral device serial port.
USB	Universal Serial Bus. A standard that provides a serial bus standard for connecting devices, usually to a computer.
WACC	Weighted Average Cost of Capital. A calculation of a company's cost of capital in which each category of capital is proportionally weighted.
Wafer	A disk made of a semiconducting material, such as silicon, usually either 100, 125, 150, 200 or 300 millimeters in diameter, used to form the substrate of a chip. A finished wafer may contain several thousand chips.
White goods	A term which refers to large household appliances such as refrigerators, stoves, dishwashers and other similar items.
Yield	The ratio of the number of usable products to the total number of manufactured products.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

NXP Semiconductors N.V.

(Registrant)

/s/ RICK CLEMMER
Rick Clemmer
Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)
Date: February 28, 2014

/s/ PETER KELLY
Peter Kelly
Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following financial statements and related schedules, together with the report of independent registered public accounting firms thereon, are filed as part of this Annual Report:

Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, KPMG Accountants N.V.</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of NXP Semiconductors N.V.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of NXP Semiconductors N.V. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. We also have audited the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal*

Control Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ KPMG Accountants N.V.

Amstelveen, the Netherlands

February 28, 2014

Table of Contents**NXP Semiconductors N.V.****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Revenue	4,815	4,358	4,194
Cost of revenue	(2,638)	(2,370)	(2,288)
Gross profit	2,177	1,988	1,906
Research and development	(639)	(628)	(635)
Selling, general and administrative	(896)	(977)	(918)
Other income (expense)	9	29	4
Operating income (loss)	651	412	357
Financial income (expense):			
Extinguishment of debt	(114)	(161)	(32)
Other financial income (expense)	(160)	(276)	(225)
Income (loss) before income taxes	377	(25)	100
Benefit (provision) for income taxes	(20)	(1)	(21)
Results relating to equity-accounted investees	58	(27)	(77)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	415	(53)	2
Income (loss) on discontinued operations, net of tax		1	434
Net income (loss)	415	(52)	436
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	67	63	46
Net income (loss) attributable to stockholders	348	(115)	390
Earnings per share data:			
<i>Basic earnings per common share attributable to stockholders in \$</i>			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.40	(0.46)	(0.17)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations			1.74
Net income (loss)	1.40	(0.46)	1.57
<i>Diluted earnings per common share attributable to stockholders in \$</i>			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.36	(0.46)	(0.17)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations			1.74
Net income (loss)	1.36	(0.46)	1.57
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year (in thousands)			
Basic	248,526	248,064	248,812
Diluted	255,050	248,064	248,812

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NXP Semiconductors N.V.****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income**

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net income (loss)	415	(52)	436
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Net investment hedge, net of deferred taxes of \$0, \$(8) and \$0	68	18	(203)
Changes in fair value cash flow hedges, net of deferred taxes \$0, \$0 and \$0	(9)		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(27)	10	(19)
Net actuarial gain (loss), net of deferred taxes of \$(10), \$3 and \$(2)	10	(51)	7
Reclassification adjustments, net of deferred taxes of \$0:			
Changes in fair value cash flow hedges *	5		
Foreign currency translation adjustments			(2)
Amortization of net actuarial gain (loss)			2
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	47	(23)	(215)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	462	(75)	221
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to non- controlling interests	67	63	46
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to stockholders	395	(138)	175

* Included in Cost of revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NXP Semiconductors N.V.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	As of December 31,	
	2013	2012
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	670	617
Receivables, net	542	510
Assets held for sale	13	10
Inventories, net	740	715
Deferred tax assets	11	12
Other current assets	116	90
Total current assets	2,092	1,954
Non-current assets:		
Investments in equity-accounted investees	52	45
Other non-current assets	144	128
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,048	1,070
Identified intangible assets, net	755	965
Goodwill	2,358	2,277
Total non-current assets	4,357	4,485
Total assets	6,449	6,439
Liabilities and equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	544	562
Liabilities held for sale	1	
Restructuring liabilities - current	103	138
Payroll and related benefits	260	193
Accrued liabilities	245	296
Short-term debt	40	307
Total current liabilities	1,193	1,496
Non-current liabilities:		
Long-term debt	3,281	3,185
Pension and postretirement benefits	247	269
Restructuring liabilities	14	32
Other non-current liabilities	168	173
Total non-current liabilities	3,710	3,659

Equity:		
Non-controlling interests	245	235
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value 0.20 per share:		
Authorized: 430,503,000 shares (2012: 430,503,000 shares)		
Issued and fully paid: 251,751,500 shares (2012: 251,751,500 shares)	51	51
Capital in excess of par value	6,175	6,090
Treasury shares, at cost:		
4,170,833 shares (2012: 2,726,000 shares)	(167)	(58)
Accumulated deficit	(5,105)	(5,334)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	347	300
Total Stockholders' equity	1,301	1,049
Total equity	1,546	1,284
Total liabilities and equity	6,449	6,439

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NXP Semiconductors N.V.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	For the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
<i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>			
Net income (loss)	415	(52)	436
(Income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(1)	(434)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	514	533	591
Share-based compensation	88	52	31
Net (gain) loss on sale of assets	(2)	(20)	10
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	114	161	32
Results relating to equity-accounted investees	(58)	27	77
<i>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</i>			
(Increase) decrease in receivables and other current assets	(35)	2	(32)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(22)	(61)	(104)
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(76)	61	(373)
Decrease (increase) in other non-current assets	13	26	51
Exchange differences	(62)	(28)	(128)
Other items	2	22	18
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	891	722	175
<i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>			
Purchase of identified intangible assets	(35)	(29)	(10)
Capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment	(215)	(251)	(221)
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	6	2	15
Proceeds from disposals of assets held for sale			11
Purchase of interests in businesses	(1)	(2)	
Proceeds from sale of interests in businesses	3	26	
Proceeds from return of equity investment	4	12	
Decrease (increase) in non-current assets and deposits	(2)	(1)	3
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(240)	(243)	(202)
<i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>			
Net (repayments) borrowings of short-term debt	(11)		17
Amounts drawn under the revolving credit facility	530	760	200
Repayments under the revolving credit facility	(610)	(530)	(600)
Repurchase of long-term debt	(2,429)	(1,676)	(1,997)
Principal payments on long-term debt	(18)	(20)	(10)
Net proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	2,228	958	1,578
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests	(48)	(40)	(67)

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Purchase of non-controlling interest shares	(12)		
Cash proceeds from exercise of stock options	177	14	10
Purchase of treasury shares	(405)	(40)	(57)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	(598)	(574)	(926)
Net cash provided by (used for) continuing operations	53	(95)	(953)
<i>Cash flows from discontinued operations:</i>			
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities			20
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities		(45)	791
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities			(2)
Net cash provided by (used for) discontinued operations		(45)	809
Net cash provided by (used for) continuing and discontinued operations	53	(140)	(144)
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash positions		14	(21)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	53	(126)	(165)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	617	743	908
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	670	617	743

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NXP Semiconductors N.V.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011

(\$ in millions, unless otherwise stated)	Outstanding number of shares (in thousands)	Common stock	Capital in excess of par value	Treasury shares at cost	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total stockholders' equity	Non-controlling interests	Total equity
Balance as of January 1, 2011	250,752	51	6,006		(5,609)	538	986	233	1,219
Net income (loss)					390		390	46	436
Other comprehensive income						(215)	(215)		(215)
Share-based compensation plans			31				31		31
Issuance of additional shares	1,000								
Treasury shares	(5,689)			(57)			(57)		(57)
Shares issued pursuant to stock awards	1,774		10				10		10
Dividends non-controlling interests								(67)	(67)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	247,837	51	6,047	(57)	(5,219)	323	1,145	212	1,357
Net income (loss)					(115)		(115)	63	(52)
Other comprehensive income						(23)	(23)		(23)
Share-based compensation plans			52				52		52
Treasury shares	(1,245)		8	(34)			(26)		(26)
Shares issued pursuant to stock awards	2,434		(19)	33			14		14
Equity classified financial instruments			2				2		2
Dividends non-controlling interests								(40)	(40)
	249,026	51	6,090	(58)	(5,334)	300	1,049	235	1,284

Balance as of December 31, 2012									
Net income (loss)				348		348	67	415	
Other comprehensive income					47	47		47	
Share-based compensation plans			88			88		88	
Treasury shares	(11,072)		(405)			(405)		(405)	
Shares issued pursuant to stock awards	9,627		296	(119)		177		177	
Dividends non-controlling interests							(48)	(48)	
Purchase of non-controlling interest shares			(3)			(3)	(9)	(12)	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	247,581	51	6,175	(167)	(5,105)	347	1,301	245	1,546

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NXP Semiconductors N.V.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

All amounts in millions of \$ unless otherwise stated

1 Basis of Presentation

The Company

NXP Semiconductors N.V. (including our subsidiaries, referred to collectively herein as **NXP**, **NXP Semiconductors**, **we**, **our**, **us** and the **Company**) is a global semiconductors company and a long-standing supplier in the industry, with over 50 years of innovation and operating history. We provide leading High Performance Mixed Signal and Standard Product solutions that leverage our deep application insight and our technology and manufacturing expertise in radio frequency, analog, power management, interface, security and digital processing products. Our product solutions are used in a wide range of application areas including: automotive, identification, wireless infrastructure, lighting, industrial, mobile, consumer, computing and software solutions for mobile phones.

We were incorporated in the Netherlands as a Dutch private company with limited liability (*besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid*) under the name KASLION Acquisition B.V. on August 2, 2006, in connection with the sale by Philips of 80.1% of its semiconductor business to the Private Equity Consortium.

On May 21, 2010, we converted into a Dutch public company with limited liability (*naamloze vennootschap*) and changed our name to NXP Semiconductors N.V.

In August 2010, we made an initial public offering and listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.

Accounting policies

The Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). Historical cost is used as the measurement basis unless otherwise indicated.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain items previously reported have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The current liability for payroll and related benefits previously reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheets within the caption Accrued Liabilities has been reclassified for all periods presented to a separate caption in the current year presentation.

Realignment of business segments

During the first quarter of 2013, we moved our General Purpose Logic Product Line from our HPMS segment (Portable & Computing) to our SP segment; and our NXP software product line to our HPMS Segment (Industrial & Infrastructure) from Corporate and Other to better reflect underlying market dynamics, product complexity and the management of the business. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2013 we determined

F-8

Table of Contents

that a change to our reportable segments was warranted due to the significant decline in external revenues and costs reported by Manufacturing Operations (MO). These external results are, to a large extent, derived from revenue of wafer foundry and packaging services to our divested businesses in order to support their separation and, on a limited basis, their ongoing operations. MO s results are also not regularly reviewed by the Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) to assess operating performance and allocate resources as its primary function is to manage the Company s internal manufacturing and supply chain activities and substantially all of its results are reflected within the operating segments utilizing its services. As a result, since Manufacturing Operations no longer meets the criteria for an operating segment, its results will be reflected within Corporate and Other effective the fourth quarter of 2013.

The changes described above to the Company s internal management reporting structure were evaluated under the criteria of ASC Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 280 Segment Reporting . As a result of the above changes to the composition of our operating and reportable segments, corresponding information for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Discontinued operations

During 2011, the Company sold its Sound Solutions business. See Note 17 Discontinued Operations .

2 Significant Accounting Policies***Principles for consolidated financial statements***

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including NXP B.V. and all entities in which the Company holds a direct or indirect controlling interest, in such a way that the Company would have the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance and the obligation to absorb the losses or the right to receive benefits of the entity that could be potentially significant to the Company. Investments in companies in which the Company exercises significant influence but does not control, are accounted for using the equity method. The Company s share of the net income of these companies is included in results relating to equity-accounted investees in the consolidated statements of operations.

All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Net income (loss) includes the portion of the earnings of subsidiaries applicable to non-controlling interests. The income (loss) and equity attributable to non-controlling interests are disclosed separately in the consolidated statements of operations and in the consolidated balance sheets under non-controlling interests.

Business combinations are accounted for using the acquisition method. Under the acquisition method, the identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree are recognized as at the acquisition date, which is the date on which control is transferred to the Company. Control is the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities.

For acquisitions on or after January 1, 2010, the Company measures goodwill at the acquisition date as:

The fair value of the consideration transferred; plus

The recognized amount of any non-controlling interest in the acquiree; plus if the business combination is achieved in stages, the fair value of the existing equity interest in the acquiree; less

The net recognized amount (generally fair value) of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Costs related to the acquisition, other than those associated with the issue of debt or equity securities, that the Company incurs in connection with a business combination are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

Any contingent consideration payable is recognized at fair value at the acquisition date. The contingent consideration is remeasured at fair value and changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration are recognized in the statement of operations.

Fair value measurements

Fair value is the price we would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date. In the absence of active markets for an identical asset or liability, we develop assumptions based on market observable data and, in the absence of such data, utilize internal information that we consider to be consistent with what market participants would use in a hypothetical transaction that occurs at the measurement date. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect our market assumptions. Priority is given to observable inputs. These two types of inputs form the basis for the following fair value hierarchy.

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for similar or identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and valuations based on models where the inputs are observable or where the significant value drivers are observable.

Level 3: Significant inputs to the valuation model are unobservable.

Accounting for capital transactions of a subsidiary or an equity-accounted investee

The Company recognizes dilution gains or losses related to changes in ownership of consolidated entities directly in equity. In the case of loss of control of a subsidiary any dilution gain or loss is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations in the line item other income and expense. Dilution gains and losses related to equity-accounted investees are presented in the line item results relating to equity-accounted investees.

Foreign currencies

The Company uses the U.S. dollar as its reporting currency. The functional currency of the Holding company is the euro. For consolidation purposes, the financial statements of the entities within the Company with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, are translated into U.S. dollars. Assets and liabilities are translated using the exchange rates on the applicable balance sheet dates. Income and expense items in the statements of operations, statements of comprehensive income and statements of cash flows are translated at monthly exchange rates in the periods involved.

The effects of translating the financial position and results of operations from functional currencies to reporting currency are recognized in other comprehensive income and presented as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholder's equity. However, if the operation is a non-wholly owned subsidiary, then the relevant proportionate share of the translation difference is recorded under non-controlling interests. When the Company's ownership in a foreign operation is disposed of such that control, significant influence or joint control is lost, the related cumulative translation adjustments are recognized as income or expense as part of the gain or loss on the disposal. However, when the Company disposes only a part of its ownership interest in a foreign subsidiary

while retaining control, the relevant proportion of the cumulative translation adjustments is reattributed to non-controlling interests. When the Company disposes of only part of its investment in a foreign equity-accounted investee, while retaining significant influence or joint control, the relevant proportion of the cumulative translation adjustments is recognized as income or expense as part of the gain or loss on the disposal. However, translation results from the Company's functional currency (euro) into the Company's reporting currency (U.S. dollar) will not be recycled to the statement of operations as long as there is the assumption that the proceeds from the sale will be reinvested.

F-10

Table of Contents

The following table sets out the exchange rates for euros into U.S. dollars applicable for translation of NXP's financial statements for the periods specified.

		\$ per 1		
	period end	average ¹⁾	high	low
2013	1.3765	1.3285	1.2818	1.3765
2012	1.3190	1.2887	1.2238	1.3347
2011	1.2938	1.3908	1.2938	1.4531

(1) The average rates are the average rates based on monthly quotations.

The functional currency of foreign entities is generally the local currency, unless the primary economic environment requires the use of another currency. When foreign entities conduct their business in economies considered to be highly inflationary, they record transactions in the Company's reporting currency instead of their local currency. Foreign currency transactions are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the dates of the transactions or valuation where items are remeasured. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the translation at year-end exchange rates of monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are recognized in the statement of operations, except when the foreign exchange exposure is part of a qualifying cash flow or net investment hedge accounting relationship, in which case the related foreign exchange gains and losses are recognized directly in other comprehensive income to the extent that the hedge is effective and presented as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity. To the extent that the hedge is ineffective, such differences are recognized in the statement of operations. Currency gains and losses on intercompany loans that have the nature of a permanent investment are recognized as translation differences in other comprehensive income and are presented as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within equity.

Derivative financial instruments including hedge accounting

The Company uses derivative financial instruments in the management of its foreign currency risks and the input costs of gold for a portion of our anticipated purchases within the next 12 months.

The Company measures all derivative financial instruments based on fair values derived from market prices of the instruments or from option pricing models, as appropriate, and records these as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet. Changes in the fair values are immediately recognized in the statement of operations unless cash flow hedge accounting is applied.

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), until earnings are affected by the variability in cash flows of the designated hedged item. The application of cash flow hedge accounting for foreign currency risks is limited to transactions that represent a substantial currency risk that could materially affect the financial position of the Company.

Foreign currency gains or losses arising from the translation of a financial liability designated as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation are recognized directly in other comprehensive income, to the extent that the hedge is effective, and are presented as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity.

To the extent that a hedge is ineffective, the ineffective portion of the fair value change is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. When the hedged net investment is disposed of, the corresponding amount in the accumulated other comprehensive income is transferred to the statement of operations as part of the profit or loss on disposal.

On initial designation of the hedge relationship between the hedging instrument and hedged item, the Company documents this relationship, including the risk management objectives and strategy in undertaking the

Table of Contents

hedge transaction and the hedged risk, together with the methods that will be used to assess the effectiveness of the hedging relationship. The Company makes an assessment, both at the inception of the hedge relationship as well as on an ongoing basis, of whether the hedging instruments are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in the fair value or cash flows of the respective hedged items attributable to the hedged risk, and whether the actual results of each hedge are within a range of 80-125 percent.

When cash flow hedge accounting is discontinued because it is not probable that a forecasted transaction will occur within a period of two months from the originally forecasted transaction date, the Company continues to carry the derivative on the consolidated balance sheets at its fair value, and gains and losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income are recognized immediately in earnings. In situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued, the Company continues to carry the derivative at its fair value on the consolidated balance sheets, and recognizes any changes in its fair value in earnings.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash balances and short-term highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at acquisition that are readily convertible into known amounts of cash. It also includes cash balances that cannot be freely repatriated based on certain country restrictions. Cash and cash equivalents are stated at face value which approximates fair value.

Receivables

Receivables are carried at amortized cost, net of allowances for doubtful accounts and net of rebates and other contingent discounts granted to distributors. When circumstances indicate a specific customer's ability to meet its financial obligation to us is impaired, we record an allowance against amounts due and value the receivable at the amount reasonably expected to be collected. For all other customers, we evaluate our trade accounts receivable for collectability based on numerous factors including objective evidence about credit-risk concentration, collective debt risk based on average historical losses, and specific circumstances such as serious adverse economic conditions in a specific country or region.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, less advance payments on work in progress. The cost of inventories is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. An allowance is made for the estimated losses due to obsolescence. This allowance is determined for groups of products based on purchases in the recent past and/or expected future demand and market conditions. Abnormal amounts of idle facility expense and waste are not capitalized in inventory. The allocation of fixed production overheads to the inventory cost is based on the normal capacity of the production facilities.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the expected economic life of the asset. Depreciation of special tooling is also based on the straight-line method unless a depreciation method other than the straight-line method better represents the consumption pattern. Gains and losses on the sale of property, plant and equipment are included in other income and expense. Plant and equipment under capital leases are initially recorded at the lower of the fair value of the leased property or the present value of minimum lease payments. These assets and leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the asset.

Goodwill

The Company accounts for goodwill in accordance with the provisions of ASC 350 Intangibles-Goodwill and Other . Accordingly, goodwill is not amortized but tested for impairment annually in the fourth quarter or more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired.

F-12

Table of Contents

An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the asset's implied fair value. This determination is made at the business operating segment level, which is for the Company the reporting unit level in accordance with ASC 350. The Company may use the option to assess first qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, the Company determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if the Company concludes otherwise, it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test. The Company then determines the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including the goodwill and intangible assets, to the reporting units. Furthermore, the Company determines the fair value of each reporting unit and compares it to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, the Company performs the second step of the impairment test. In the second step, the Company compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets (recognized and unrecognized) and liabilities of the reporting unit in a manner similar to acquisition accounting in a business combination. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. The Company generally determines the fair value of the reporting units based on discounted projected cash flows in the absence of other observable inputs such as quoted prices.

The determination of the fair value of the reporting unit requires us to make significant judgments and estimates including projections of future cash flows from the business. These estimates and required assumptions include estimated revenue and revenue growth rates, operating margins used to calculate projected future cash flows, estimated future capital expenditures, future economic and market conditions, determination of market comparables and the estimated weighted average cost of capital (WACC).

We base our estimates on assumptions we believe to be reasonable but any such estimates are unpredictable and inherently uncertain. Actual future results may differ from these estimates. In addition, we make judgments and assumptions in allocating assets and liabilities to each of our reporting segments.

Identified Intangible assets

Identified Intangible assets with definitive lives arising from acquisitions are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. Remaining useful lives are evaluated every year to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining period of amortization. The Company considers renewal and extension options in determining the useful life. However, based on experience the Company concluded that these assets have no extension or renewal possibilities. In-process research and development (IPR&D) projects acquired as part of a business combination with no alternative use are capitalized and indefinitely lived until completion or abandonment of the associated R&D efforts in accordance with ASC 350 Intangibles-Goodwill and Other . Upon completion of each project, IPR&D assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives. During development, IPR&D assets are not amortized but tested annually for impairment. There are currently no intangible assets with indefinite lives. Patents, trademarks and other intangible assets acquired from third parties are capitalized at cost and amortized over their estimated remaining useful lives.

Certain costs relating to the development and purchase of software for internal use are capitalized and subsequently amortized over the estimated useful life of the software in conformity with ASC 350.

Impairment or disposal of identified intangible assets and tangible fixed assets

The Company accounts for intangible assets other than goodwill and tangible fixed assets in accordance with the provisions of ASC 360 Property, Plant and Equipment . Long-lived assets other than goodwill are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a

F-13

Table of Contents

comparison of the carrying amount of an asset with future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future undiscounted cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized in the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. The Company determines the fair value based on discounted projected cash flows. The review for impairment is carried out at the level where discrete cash flows occur that are largely independent of other cash flows in the absence of other observable inputs such as quoted prices. Management must make significant judgments and apply a number of assumptions in estimating the future cash flows. The estimated cash flows are determined based on, among other things, our strategic plans, long-range forecasts, estimated growth rates and assumed profit margins. The evaluation of identified intangible assets and tangible fixed assets for impairment is carried out at a Corporate level as the majority of our assets are used jointly or managed at Corporate level. Assets held for sale are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups

Non-current assets and disposal groups are classified as held for sale if their carrying amount will be recovered through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use. The asset (or disposal group) must be available for immediate sale in its present condition and the sale must be highly probable.

Non-current assets (or disposal groups) classified as held for sale are measured at the lower of the asset's carrying amount and the fair value less costs to sell. The Company determines the fair value based on discounted projected cash flows in the absence of other observable inputs such as quoted prices. Depreciation or amortization of an asset ceases when it is classified as held for sale, or included within a disposal group that is classified as held for sale.

Discontinued operations

A discontinued operation is a component of the Company that either has been disposed of, or that is classified as held for sale, and: (i) represents a separate major line of business or geographical area of operations that can be clearly distinguished from the rest of the Company in terms of operations and cash flows or (ii) is part of a single coordinated plan to dispose of a separate major line of business or geographical area of operations. Generally, a major line of business is a segment or business unit. Discontinued operations are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. The Company determines the fair value based on discounted projected cash flows in the absence of other observable inputs such as quoted prices. Results from discontinued operations until the date of disposal are presented separately as a single amount in the consolidated statements of operations together with any gain or loss from disposal. Results from discontinued operations are reclassified for all periods presented and reflected as income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, within the consolidated statements of operations.

Research and development

Costs of research and development are expensed in the period in which they are incurred, except for in-process research and development assets acquired in business combinations, which are capitalized and, after completion, are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed when incurred.

Guarantees

The Company complies with ASC 460 Guarantees . The Company recognizes, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability at the fair value of the obligation incurred, for guarantees within the scope of the recognition criteria. The Company determines the fair value based on either quoted prices for similar guarantees or discounted projected cash flows, whichever is available.

F-14

Table of Contents

Debt Issuance Costs

Direct costs incurred to obtain financings are capitalized and subsequently amortized over the term of the debt using the effective interest rate method. Upon extinguishment of any related debt, any unamortized debt issuance costs are expensed immediately.

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share attributable to stockholders is calculated by dividing net income or loss attributable to stockholders of the Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period.

Diluted earnings per share attributable to stockholders is determined using the weighted-average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising share-based awards, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of excess tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when the award becomes deductible are assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

Revenue recognition

The Company's revenue is primarily derived from made-to-order sales to Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) and similar customers. The Company's revenue is also derived from sales to distributors.

The Company applies the guidance in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) Topic 13 Revenue Recognition and recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or the service has been provided, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured, based on the terms and conditions of the sales contract. For made-to-order sales, these criteria are met at the time the product is shipped and delivered to the customer and title and risk have passed to the customer. Acceptance of the product by the customer is generally not contractually required, since, for made-to-order customers, design approval occurs before manufacturing and subsequently delivery follows without further acceptance protocols. Payment terms used are those that are customary in the particular geographic market. When management has established that all aforementioned conditions for revenue recognition have been met and no further post-shipment obligations exist, revenue is recognized.

For sales to distributors, revenue is recognized upon sale to the distributor (sell-in accounting). The same recognition principles apply and similar terms and conditions as for sales to other customers are applied. However, for some distributors contractual arrangements are in place, which allow these distributors to return products if certain conditions are met. These conditions generally relate to the time period during which return is allowed and reflect customary conditions in the particular geographic market. Other return conditions relate to circumstances arising at the end of a product life cycle, when certain distributors are permitted to return products purchased during a pre-defined period after the Company has announced a product's pending discontinuance. However, long notice periods associated with these announcements prevent significant amounts of product from being returned. Repurchase agreements with OEMs or distributors are not entered into by the Company.

For sales where return rights exist, the Company has determined, based on historical data, that only a very small percentage of the sales to this type of distributor is actually returned. In accordance with these historical data, a pro rata portion of the sales to these distributors is not recognized but deferred until the return period has lapsed or the other return conditions no longer apply.

Revenue is recorded net of sales taxes, customer discounts, rebates and other contingent discounts granted to distributors. Shipping and handling costs billed to customers are recognized as revenue. Expenses incurred for shipping and handling costs of internal movements of goods are recorded as cost of revenue. Shipping and handling costs related to revenue to third parties are reported as selling expenses within selling, general and administrative.

F-15

Table of Contents

Revenues from the sale or licensing of the Company's intellectual property are recognized when the significant contractual obligations have been fulfilled and the fundamental revenue recognition criteria of SAB Topic 13 are met. Royalty income is recognized upon the sale of products subject to royalties and is recognized based upon reports received from licensees during the period, unless collectability is not reasonably assured, in which case revenue is recognized when payment is received from the licensee. Government grants, other than those relating to purchases of assets, are recognized as income as qualified expenditures are made. Software revenue is recognized in accordance with ASC 985 Software Revenue Recognition when the 4 criteria of SAB Topic 13 are met.

Financial income and expense

Financial income comprises interest income on funds invested and the net gain on the disposal of other financial assets.

Financial expense comprises interest expense on borrowings, accretion of the discount on provisions and contingent consideration, losses on disposal of financial assets, impairment losses recognized on financial assets (other than trade receivables) and losses on hedging instruments recognized in the statement of operations.

Borrowing costs that are not directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of property, plant and equipment are recognized in the statement of operations using the effective interest method.

Foreign currency gains and losses, not related to accounts receivable, accounts payable and intercompany current accounts, are reported on a net basis as either financial income or financial expense in the statement of operations depending on whether foreign currency movements are in a net gain or net loss position. Foreign currency gains and losses on accounts receivable, accounts payable and intercompany current accounts that are not hedged in a net investment hedge are reported under cost of revenue in the statement of operations.

Income taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method.

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using the tax rates enacted at the balance sheet date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts. Measurement of deferred tax assets and liabilities is based upon the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the consolidated statements of operations in the period that includes the enactment date of the change. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are not discounted. Deferred tax liabilities for withholding taxes on dividends from subsidiaries are recognized in situations where the company does not consider the earnings permanently reinvested and to the extent that these withholding taxes are not expected to be refundable.

Deferred tax assets, including assets arising from loss carryforwards, are recognized, net of a valuation allowance, if it is more likely than not that the asset will be realized. The Company has significant deferred tax assets primarily related to net operating losses in the Netherlands, France, Germany, the USA and other countries. The realization of deferred tax assets is not assured and is dependent on the generation of sufficient taxable income in the future. We have exercised judgment in determining whether it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of these net operating losses and other deductible temporary differences, based upon estimates of future taxable income in the various jurisdictions and any feasible tax planning strategies.

The income tax benefit from an uncertain tax position is recognized only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the relevant taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The income tax benefit recognized in the financial statements from such position is measured based on the largest benefit that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon settlement with a taxing authority

Table of Contents

that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits and the related interest and penalties is recorded under accrued liabilities and other non-current liabilities in the balance sheet based on the timing of the expected payment. Penalties are recorded as income tax expense, whereas interest is reported as financial expense in the statement of operations.

Postretirement benefits

The Company accounts for the cost of pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions in accordance with ASC 715 Compensation-Retirement Benefits .

The Company's employees participate in pension and other postretirement benefit plans in many countries. The costs of pension and other postretirement benefits and related assets and liabilities with respect to the Company's employees participating in defined-benefit plans are based upon actuarial valuations. Some of the Company's defined-benefit pension plans are funded with plan assets that have been segregated and restricted in a trust, foundation or insurance company to provide for the pension benefits to which the Company has committed itself.

The net pension liability or asset recognized in the balance sheet in respect of defined benefit pension plans is the present value of the projected defined-benefit obligation less the fair value of plan assets at the balance sheet date.

Most of the Company's plans are unfunded and result in a pension provision or a net pension liability.

The projected defined-benefit obligation is calculated annually by qualified actuaries using the projected unit credit method. For the Company's major plans, the discount rate is derived from market yields on high quality corporate bonds. Plans in countries without a deep corporate bond market use a discount rate based on the local government bond rates.

Pension costs in respect of defined-benefit pension plans primarily represent the increase in the actuarial present value of the obligation for pension benefits based on employee service during the year and the interest on this obligation in respect of employee service in previous years, net of the expected return on plan assets and net of employee contributions.

Actuarial gains and losses arise mainly from changes in actuarial assumptions and differences between actuarial assumptions and what has actually occurred. They are recognized in the statement of operations, over the expected average remaining service periods of the employees only to the extent that their net cumulative amount exceeds 10% of the greater of the present value of the obligation or of the fair value of plan assets at the end of the previous year (the corridor). Events which invoke a curtailment or a settlement of a benefit plan will be recognized in our statement of operations.

In calculating obligation and expense, the Company is required to select actuarial assumptions. These assumptions include discount rate, expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and rates of increase in compensation costs determined based on current market conditions, historical information and consultation with and input from our actuaries. Changes in the key assumptions can have a significant impact to the projected benefit obligations, funding requirements and periodic pension cost incurred. A sensitivity analysis is provided in Note 9, Postretirement Benefit Plans .

Unrecognized prior-service costs related to pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions are amortized to the statements of operations over the average remaining service period of the active employees.

Contributions to defined-contribution and multi-employer pension plans are recognized as an expense in the statements of operations as incurred.

F-17

Table of Contents

In accordance with the requirements of ASC 715, if the projected benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of plan assets, we recognize in the consolidated balance sheet a liability that equals the excess. If the fair value of plan assets exceeds the projected benefit obligation, we recognize in the balance sheet an asset that equals the excess.

The Company determines the fair value of plan assets based on quoted prices or comparable prices for non-quoted assets. For a defined-benefit pension plan, the benefit obligation is the projected benefit obligation; for any other postretirement defined benefit plan it is the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation.

The Company recognizes as a component of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, the gains or losses and prior service costs that arise during the year but are not recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to ASC 715. Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including the gains or losses and the prior services costs are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs pursuant to the recognition provisions of ASC 715.

For all of the Company's defined pension benefit plans, the measurement date is year-end.

Share-based compensation

NXP has share-based payment plans under which its employees receive options and other share-based awards. The plans provide for the granting of stock options, performance share units, restricted stock units and equity rights. All plans are accounted for in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718 Compensation, Stock Compensation at the estimated fair value of the equity instruments measured at the grant date. For grants issued up to August 2010, the Company used a binomial option-pricing model to determine the estimated fair value for options and determined the fair value of equity rights on the basis of the estimated fair value of the Company, using a discounted cash flow technique. For option grants issued since August 2010, the Company uses the Black-Scholes method and determined the fair value of equity awards with a market condition using a Monte Carlo simulation approach. NXP stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to 100% of the market value of a share of common stock on the date of grant, generally have ten-year contractual terms, and vest ratably over four years from date of grant. NXP has also granted performance share units and restricted stock units at no cost to the employee that vest, subject to the relevant performance and service conditions being met, ratably over a three year period. In addition, NXP has granted performance share units that vest based on a combination of a required service period and satisfaction of meeting a market condition. These awards vest over a one-year or three-year period from the date of grant if the market condition has been met at that time. If the market condition has not been met, the awards will lapse and any compensation cost previously recognized will not be reversed. The estimated fair value of equity instruments is recognized as compensation expense over the requisite service period on a straight-line basis taking into account estimated forfeitures. For all performance share units, including the awards subject to a market condition, the recognition of cost is based on graded vesting.

Accounting standards adopted in 2013

The following accounting pronouncements became effective in 2013 and were adopted by the Company

ASU No. 2013-02 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

On February 5, 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02 which requires entities to disclose the following additional information about items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI):

Changes in AOCI balances by component (e.g., unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities or foreign-currency items). Both before-tax and net-of-tax presentations of the information are acceptable as long as an entity presents the income tax benefit or expense attributed to each component of OCI and reclassification adjustments in either the financial statements or the notes to the financial statements.

F-18

Table of Contents

Significant items reclassified out of AOCI by component either on the face of the income statement or as a separate footnote to the financial statements.

The ASU did not change current U.S. GAAP requirements for condensed financial statement reporting of comprehensive income. However, public entities will need to include information about (1) changes in AOCI balances by component and (2) significant items reclassified out of AOCI in their interim reporting periods. The effective date for NXP was January 1, 2013. The amendments in the ASU should be applied prospectively. The ASU has an impact on the Company's financial statements because of the additional disclosure requirements.

New standards to be adopted after 2013

ASU No. 2013-05 Foreign Currency Matters (Topic 830): Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity

On March 4, 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-05, which indicates that the entire amount of a cumulative translation adjustment (CTA) related to an entity's investment in a foreign entity should be released when there has been a:

Sale of a subsidiary or group of net assets within a foreign entity and the sale represents the substantially complete liquidation of the investment in the foreign entity.

Loss of a controlling financial interest in an investment in a foreign entity (i.e., the foreign entity is deconsolidated).

Step acquisition for a foreign entity (i.e., when an entity has changed from applying the equity method for an investment in a foreign entity to consolidating the foreign entity).

The ASU does not change the requirement to release a pro rata portion of the CTA of the foreign entity into earnings for a partial sale of an equity method investment in a foreign entity. The effective date for NXP is January 1, 2014. The ASU should be applied prospectively. The impact on the Company's financial statements can be significant.

ASU No.2013-11 Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists.

On July 18, 2013 the FASB issued ASU 2013-11 which provides guidance on financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit (UTB) when a net operating loss (NOL) carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists.

Under the ASU, an entity must present a UTB, or a portion of a UTB, in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset (DTA) for an NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward except when:

An NOL carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available as of the reporting date under the governing tax law to settle taxes that would result from the disallowance of the tax position.

The entity does not intend to use the DTA for this purpose.

If either of these conditions exists, an entity should present a UTB in the financial statements as a liability and should not net the UTB with a DTA. New recurring disclosures are not required because the ASU does not affect the recognition or measurement of uncertain tax positions under ASC 740.

NXP will apply the ASU prospectively as from January 1, 2014. The ASU will have no significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents**3 Supplemental Financial Information***Statement of Operations Information**Revenue composition*

	2013	2012	2011
Goods	4,766	4,346	4,170
Patents and licenses	49	12	24
	4,815	4,358	4,194

Depreciation, amortization and impairment

Depreciation and amortization, including impairment charges, are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	246	247	290
Amortization of internal use software	32	24	10
Amortization of identified intangible assets	236	262	291
	514	533	591

Depreciation of property, plant and equipment is primarily included in cost of revenue. Amortization of intangible assets is primarily reported in the selling, general and administrative expenses.

Change in accounting estimate

We currently depreciate the capitalized cost of machinery and equipment used in our front-end and back-end manufacturing facilities. As a result of an extensive review completed in December 2013, we determined that the estimated useful life of the machinery and equipment used in our Standard Products front-end and back-end manufacturing processes has increased to ten years, from the five to seven years previously estimated. We reassessed the estimated useful life of these assets as a result of longer product life cycles, enhancements to manufacturing equipment, the versatility of manufacturing equipment to provide better flexibility to meet changes in customer demand and the ability to re-use equipment over several technology cycles.

We believe that the change in estimated useful life better reflects the future usage of this equipment. The effect of this change in estimated useful life which was adopted on December 31, 2013 will be recognized prospectively as a change in accounting estimate beginning January 1, 2014. The change in estimate is anticipated to cause a decrease in depreciation expense of approximately \$26 million for the year ending December 31, 2014.

Foreign exchange differences

In 2013, cost of revenue included foreign exchange differences amounting to a loss of less than \$1 million (2012: a loss of \$4 million; 2011: a gain of \$9 million).

Financial income and expense

	2013	2012	2011
Interest income	3	4	5
Interest expense	(182)	(270)	(312)
Total interest expense, net	(179)	(266)	(307)
Net gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	(114)	(161)	(32)
Foreign exchange rate results	62	28	128
Miscellaneous financing costs/income, net	(43)	(38)	(46)
Total other financial income and expense	(95)	(171)	50
Total	(274)	(437)	(257)

F-20

Table of Contents

The Company has applied net investment hedging since May, 2011. The U.S. dollar exposure of the net investment in U.S. dollar functional currency subsidiaries of \$1.7 billion has been hedged by our U.S. dollar-denominated notes. As a result in 2013 a benefit of \$68 million (2012: a benefit of \$26 million; 2011: a charge of \$203 million) was recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) relating to the foreign currency result on the U.S. dollar-denominated notes that are recorded in a euro functional currency entity.

Earnings per share

The computation of earnings per share (EPS) is presented in the following table:

	2013	2012	2011
Income (loss) from continuing operations	415	(53)	2
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interests	67	63	46
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to stockholders	348	(116)	(44)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to stockholders		1	434
Net income (loss) attributable to stockholders	348	(115)	390
Weighted average number of shares outstanding (after deduction of treasury shares) during the year (in thousands)	248,526	248,064	248,812
Plus incremental shares from assumed conversion of:			
Options	5,004		
Restricted Share Unites, Performance Share Units and Equity Rights	1,520		
Dilutive potential common share	6,524		
Adjusted weighted average number of shares outstanding (after deduction of treasury shares) during the year (in thousands) ¹⁾	255,050	248,064	248,812
<i>Basic EPS attributable to stockholders in \$:</i>			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.40	(0.46)	(0.17)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations			1.74
Net income (loss)	1.40	(0.46)	1.57
<i>Diluted EPS attributable to stockholders in \$:</i>			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.36	(0.46)	(0.17)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations			1.74
Net income (loss)	1.36	(0.46)	1.57

- 1) In 2013, 10,609,942 securities (2012: 32,394,794 securities; 2011: 27,789,634 securities) that could potentially dilute basic EPS were not included in the computation of dilutive EPSs because the effect would have been anti-dilutive for the period presented.

Balance Sheet Information

Cash and cash equivalents

At December 31, 2013, our cash balance was \$670 million (2012: \$617 million), of which \$353 million (2012: \$288 million) was held by SSMC, our joint venture company with TSMC. A portion of this cash can be distributed by way of dividend to us, but 38.8% of the dividend will be paid to our joint venture partner as well. In 2013, there was a dividend distribution from SSMC amounting to \$120 million (2012: \$100 million) of which \$47 million (2012: \$39 million) was paid to TSMC.

F-21

Table of Contents***Receivables, net***

Accounts receivable are summarized as follows:

	2013	2012
Accounts receivable from third parties	504	463
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(3)	(4)
Other receivables	41	51
	542	510

The current portion of income taxes receivable of \$7 million (2012: \$3 million) is included under other receivables.

Inventories, net

Inventories are summarized as follows:

	2013	2012
Raw materials	59	70
Work in process	597	515
Finished goods	84	130
	740	715

The portion of the finished goods stored at customer locations under consignment amounted to \$22 million as of December 31, 2013 (2012: \$20 million).

The amounts recorded above are net of an allowance for obsolescence of \$63 million as of December 31, 2013 (2012: \$61 million).

Property, plant and equipment, net

The following table presents details of the Company's property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation:

	Useful Life		
	(in years)	2013	2012
Land		60	59
Buildings	9 to 50	441	452
Machinery and installations	2 to 10	1,336	1,338
Other Equipment	1 to 5	165	186
Prepayments and construction in progress		88	68
		2,090	2,103

Less accumulated depreciation	(1,042)	(1,033)
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	1,048	1,070

Land with a book value of \$60 million (2012: \$59 million) is not depreciated.

Property and equipment includes \$62 million (2012: \$77 million) related to assets acquired under capital leases. Accumulated depreciation related to these assets was \$55 million (2012: \$65 million). See Note 10 for information regarding capital lease obligations.

Table of Contents

There was no significant construction in progress and therefore no related capitalized interest.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax

Total comprehensive income (loss) represents net income (loss) plus the results of certain equity changes not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The after-tax components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and their corresponding changes are shown below:

	Net investment hedge	Currency translation differences	Changes in fair value cash flow hedges	Net actuarial gain/(losses)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)
As of December 31, 2010		525		13	538
2011 other comprehensive income (loss)	(203)	(21)		9	(215)
As of December 31, 2011	(203)	504		22	323
2012 other comprehensive income (loss)	18	10		(51)	(23)
As of December 31, 2012	(185)	514		(29)	300
2013 other comprehensive income (loss)	68	(27)	(4)	10	47
As of December 31, 2013	(117)	487	(4)	(19)	347

Cash Flow Information

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Net cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	174	292	301
Income taxes	34	28	25
Net gain (loss) on sale of assets:			
Cash proceeds from the sale of assets	6	31	30
Book value of these assets	(4)	(12)	(40)
Non-cash gains (losses)		1	
	2	20	(10)
Non-cash financing information:			
Exchange of Term Loan C for Term Loan D	400		

Other items:

Other items consists of the following non-cash element in income:

Non-cash interest cost due to applying effective interest method	2	22	18
--	---	----	----

Cash flows from financing activities in 2013 included \$12 million in connection with the acquisition of the remaining 40% non-controlling interest share from Jilin Sino-Microelectronics Co. Ltd.

Table of Contents**4 Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities**

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value and carrying amount of our financial instruments measured on a recurring basis:

		December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Fair value hierarchy ¹⁾	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
Assets:					
Other financial assets	2	18	18	18	18
Derivative instruments-assets	2	1	1	1	1
Liabilities:					
Short-term debt	2	(31)	(31)	(42)	(42)
Short-term debt (bonds)	1	(9)	(9)	(265)	(267)
Long-term debt (bonds)	1	(3,124)	(3,181)	(2,332)	(2,453)
Long-term debt (bonds) ²⁾	2			(608)	(635)
Other long-term debt	2	(157)	(157)	(245)	(245)
Derivative instruments-liabilities	2	(6)	(6)	(2)	(2)

1) Transfers between the levels of fair value hierarchy are recognized when a change in circumstances would require it. There were no transfers during the reporting periods presented in the table above.

2) Represent bonds which were privately held (floating rate secured notes 2016).

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments:

Other financial assets

For other financial assets, the fair value is based upon significant other observable inputs depending on the nature of the other financial asset.

Debt

The fair value is estimated on the basis of the quoted market prices for certain issues, or on the basis of discounted cash flow analyses. Accrued interest is included under accounts payable and not within the carrying amount or estimated fair value of debt.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis

We measure and record our non-marketable equity investments (non-marketable equity method and cost method investments) and non-financial assets, such as intangible assets and property, plant and equipment, at fair value when an impairment charge is required.

5 Debt

Short-term debt

	2013	2012
Short-term bank borrowings	24	36
Current portion of long-term debt	16	271
Total	40	307

At December 31, 2013, short-term bank borrowings of \$24 million (2012: \$36 million) consisted of a local bank borrowing by our Chinese subsidiary.

Table of Contents

The applicable weighted average interest rate during 2013 was 3.5% (2012: 3.6%).

Long-term debt

	Range of interest rates	Average rate of interest outstanding	Amount outstanding 2013	Due in 2014	Due after 2014	Due after 2018	Average remaining term (in years)	Amount outstanding December 31, 2012
EUR notes								187
USD notes	3.3-5.8	4.4	3,133	9	3,124	1,378	5.4	3,018
Revolving Credit Facility	2.4-2.4	2.4	150		150		3.2	230
Bank borrowings	2.0-2.0	2.0	4	1	3		1.1	5
Liabilities arising from capital lease transactions	2.6-13.8	5.7	10	6	4		1.6	16
		4.3	3,297	16	3,281	1,378	5.2	3,456

The following amounts of long-term debt at book value as of December 31, 2013 are due in the next 5 years:

2014	16
2015	14
2016	511
2017	624
2018	754
Due after 5 years	1,378
	3,297

As of December 31, 2013, the fixed rate notes and floating rate notes represented 68% and 32% respectively of the total principal amount of the notes outstanding at December 31, 2013. The remaining tenor of secured debt is on average 4.3 years.

Accrued interest as of December 31, 2013 is \$27 million (December 31, 2012: \$25 million).

Debt exchange and repurchase

At December 31, 2013 long-term debt increased to \$3,281 million from \$3,185 million at December 31, 2012.

In 2013, the book value of our long-term debt increased by \$96 million to \$3,281 million, mainly due to the issuance of new Senior Unsecured Notes (due 2016, 2018, 2021 and 2023) and the issuance of Term Loan D (due 2020), offset in part by the repayment of the Floating Rate Notes due 2013, repayment of Term Loans A2, B and C (due 2017, 2019 and 2020), repayment of the Floating Rate Notes due 2016 and repayment of the Fixed Rate Notes due 2018.

Extinguishment of debt in 2013 resulted in a loss of \$114 million compared to a loss of \$161 million in 2012.

F-25

Table of Contents**2013 Financing Activities***2021 Senior Unsecured Notes*

On February 14, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500 million, due February 15, 2021. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$500 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On March 4, 2013, the net proceeds of \$495 million together with approximately \$14 million of cash on hand were used to fully repay \$494 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due April 3, 2017, as well as pay related call premiums of \$10 million and accrued interest of \$5 million.

2023 Senior Unsecured Notes

On March 12, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500 million, due March 15, 2023. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$500 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On March 12, 2013, the net proceeds of \$495 million were used to fully repay the \$471 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due March 19, 2019, as well as pay related call premiums of \$5 million and accrued interest of \$5 million with the balance of \$14 million used for general corporate purposes.

2018 Senior Unsecured Notes

On May 20, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$750 million, due June 1, 2018. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$750 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On May 21, 2013, the net proceeds of \$743 million together with cash on hand were used to repay the 142 million principal amount Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due October 2013 for an amount of \$184 million, the \$58 million principal amount Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due October 2013 and the \$615 million principal amount Senior Secured Floating Rate Notes due November 2016, as well as pay related call premiums of \$16 million and accrued interest of \$2 million.

2016 Senior Unsecured Notes

On September 24, 2013 our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC issued Senior Unsecured Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$500 million, due September 15, 2016. The Notes were issued at par and were recorded at their fair value of \$500 million on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet. On October 15, 2013, the net proceeds of \$495 million were used to repay the \$422 million principal amount Senior Secured Notes due August 2018, as well as pay related call premiums of \$51 million and accrued interest of \$8 million. The balance of \$14 million was used for general corporate purposes.

2020 Term Loan

On December 11, 2013, our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC entered into a new \$400 million aggregate principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due January 11, 2020. Concurrently, NXP called the \$496 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due January 11, 2020. A \$100 million draw-down under our existing Revolving Credit Facility and approximately \$6 million of cash on hand were used to settle the combined transactions, as well as pay the related call premium of \$5 million and accrued interest of \$5 million. The exchange of Term Loan C for Term Loan D was a non-cash financing transaction.

The Company may from time to time continue to seek to retire or purchase its outstanding debt through cash purchases and/or exchanges, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise.

Table of Contents***U.S. dollar-denominated notes***

The following table summarizes the outstanding notes as of December 31, 2013:

	Principal amount	Fixed/floating	Interest rate	Current coupon rate	Maturity date
Term Loan	486	Floating	LIBOR plus 3.25% with a floor of 1.25%	4.5%	2017
Term Loan	399	Floating	LIBOR plus 2.50% with a floor of 0.75%	3.25%	2020
Senior Unsecured Notes	500	Fixed	3.5%	3.5%	2016
Senior Unsecured Notes	750	Fixed	3.75%	3.75%	2018
Senior Unsecured Notes	500	Fixed	5.75%	5.75%	2021
Senior Unsecured Notes	500	Fixed	5.75%	5.75%	2023
Revolving Credit Facility	150	Floating	LIBOR plus 2.25%	2.4%	2017

Certain terms and Covenants of the U.S. dollar-denominated notes

The Company is not required to make mandatory redemption payments or sinking fund payments with respect to the notes. With respect to the Term Loans, the Company is required to repay \$9 million annually.

The indentures governing the notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's ability and that of restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, create liens, pay dividends, redeem capital stock or make certain other restricted payments or investments; enter into agreements that restrict dividends from restricted subsidiaries; sell assets, including capital stock of restricted subsidiaries; engage in transactions with affiliates; and effect a consolidation or merger.

Certain portions of long-term and short-term debt as of December 31, 2013 in the principal amount of \$1,033 million (2012: \$3,470 million) have been secured by collateral on substantially all of the Company's assets and of certain of its subsidiaries.

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed jointly and severally, on a senior basis by certain of the Company's current and future material wholly owned subsidiaries ("Guarantors").

Pursuant to various security documents related to the above mentioned term loans and the \$853 million (denominated 620 million) committed revolving credit facility, the Company and each Guarantor has granted first priority liens and security interests in, amongst others, the following, subject to the grant of further permitted collateral liens:

- (a) all present and future shares of capital stock of (or other ownership or profit interests in) each of its present and future direct subsidiaries, other than SMST Unterstützungskasse GmbH, and material joint venture entities;
- (b) all present and future intercompany debt of the Company and each Guarantor;

(c) all of the present and future property and assets, real and personal, of the Company, and each Guarantor, including, but not limited to, machinery and equipment, inventory and other goods, accounts receivable, owned real estate, leaseholds, fixtures, general intangibles, license rights, patents, trademarks, trade names, copyrights, chattel paper, insurance proceeds, contract rights, hedge agreements, documents, instruments, indemnification rights, tax refunds, but excluding cash and bank accounts; and

(d) all proceeds and products of the property and assets described above.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, certain assets may not be pledged (or the liens not perfected) in accordance with agreed security principles, including:

if the cost of providing security is not proportionate to the benefit accruing to the holders; and

Table of Contents

if providing such security requires consent of a third party and such consent cannot be obtained after the use of commercially reasonable efforts; and

if providing such security would be prohibited by applicable law, general statutory limitations, financial assistance, corporate benefit, fraudulent preference, thin capitalization rules or similar matters or providing security would be outside the applicable pledgor's capacity or conflict with fiduciary duties of directors or cause material risk of personal or criminal liability after using commercially reasonable efforts to overcome such obstacles; and

if providing such security would have a material adverse effect (as reasonably determined in good faith by such subsidiary) on the ability of such subsidiary to conduct its operations and business in the ordinary course as otherwise permitted by the indenture; and

if providing such security or perfecting liens thereon would require giving notice (i) in the case of receivables security, to customers or (ii) in the case of bank accounts, to the banks with whom the accounts are maintained. Such notice will only be provided after the secured notes are accelerated.

Subject to agreed security principles, if material property is acquired by the Company or a Guarantor that is not automatically subject to a perfected security interest under the security documents, then the Company or relevant Guarantor will within 60 days provide security over this property and deliver certain certificates and opinions in respect thereof as specified in the indenture governing the notes.

6 Other Financial Instruments, Derivatives and Currency Risk

We conduct business in diverse markets around the world and employ a variety of risk management strategies and techniques to manage foreign currency exchange rate and interest rate risks. Our risk management program focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimize the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of these markets may have on our operating results. One way we achieve this is through the active hedging of risks through the selective use of derivative instruments.

Derivatives are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value which fluctuates based on changing market conditions.

The Company does not purchase or hold financial derivative instruments for trading purposes.

Currency risk

Currency fluctuations may impact the Company's financial results. A higher proportion of our revenue is in U.S. dollars or U.S. dollar-related currencies, compared to our costs and expenses resulting in a structural currency mismatch. Accordingly, our results of operations may be affected by changes in foreign exchange rates, particularly between the euro and the U.S. dollar. A strengthening of the euro against the U.S. dollar during any reporting period will reduce the operating income of the Company.

In addition, the U.S. dollar-denominated debt held by our Dutch subsidiary which has a euro functional currency may generate adverse currency results in financial income and expenses depending on the exchange rate movement between the euro and the U.S. dollar. This exposure has been partially mitigated by the application of net investment

hedge accounting. In accordance with the provisions in ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, the Company has applied net investment hedging since May 2011. The U.S. dollar exposure of our net investment in U.S. dollar functional currency subsidiaries has been hedged by our U.S. dollar denominated debt for an amount of \$1.7 billion. The hedging relationship is assumed to be highly effective. Foreign currency gains or losses on this U.S. dollar debt that is recorded in a euro functional currency entity that are designated as, and to the extent they are effective as, a hedge of the net investment in our U.S. dollar foreign entities, are reported as a translation adjustment in other comprehensive income within equity, and offset in whole or in part the foreign currency changes to the net investment that are also reported in other comprehensive income. As a result, in 2013, a

Table of Contents

benefit of \$68 million (2012: a benefit of \$26 million) was recorded in other comprehensive income relating to the foreign currency result on the U.S. dollar-denominated notes that are recorded in a euro functional currency entity. Absent the application of net investment hedging, this amount would have been recorded as a gain within financial income (expense) in the statement of operations. No amount resulting from ineffectiveness of net investment hedge accounting was recognized in the statement of operations in 2013 (2012: no amount).

The Company's transactions are denominated in a variety of currencies. The Company uses financial instruments to reduce its exposure to the effects of currency fluctuations. The Company generally hedges foreign currency exposures in relation to transaction exposures, such as receivables/payables resulting from such transactions and part of anticipated sales and purchases. The Company generally uses forwards to hedge these exposures.

It is the Company's policy that transaction exposures are hedged. Accordingly, the Company's organizations identify and measure their exposures from transactions denominated in other than their own functional currency.

We calculate our net exposure on a cash flow basis considering balance sheet items, actual orders received or made and anticipated revenue and expenses.

Interest rate risk

The Company has significant outstanding debt, which creates an inherent interest rate risk. Long-term debt was \$3,281 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$3,185 million as of December 31, 2012.

A sensitivity analysis in relation to our long-term debt with floating interest shows that if interest rates were to increase by 1% from the level of December 31, 2013 with all other variables held constant, the annualized interest expense would increase by \$3 million. If interest rates were to decrease by 1% from the level of December 31, 2013 with all other variables held constant, the annualized interest expense would decrease by less than \$1 million. This impact is based on the outstanding debt position as of December 31, 2013.

7 Identified Intangible Assets

Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization and impairments of \$755 million and \$965 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 respectively were composed of the following:

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Gross	Accumulated amortization and impairments	Gross	Accumulated amortization and impairments
Marketing-related	19	(18)	18	(16)
Customer-related	437	(211)	427	(177)
Technology-based	2,104	(1,619)	2,053	(1,383)
	2,560	(1,848)	2,498	(1,576)
Software	137	(94)	113	(70)
Identified intangible assets	2,697	(1,942)	2,611	(1,646)

Table of Contents

The estimated amortization expense for these identified intangible assets for each of the five succeeding years is:

2014	157
2015	136
2016	131
2017	117
2018	96

All intangible assets, excluding goodwill, are subject to amortization and have no assumed residual value.

The expected weighted average remaining life of identified intangibles is 5 years as of December 31, 2013.

The estimated amortization expense for software as of December 31, 2013 for each of the five succeeding years is:

2014	29
2015	10
2016	4
2017	
2018	

The expected weighted average remaining lifetime of software is 2 years as of December 31, 2013.

8 Goodwill

The changes in goodwill in 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Balances as of January 1		
Cost	2,502	2,454
Accumulated impairment	(225)	(223)
Book value	2,277	2,231
Changes in book value:		
Acquisitions	1	11
Divestments		(6)
Translation differences ^{ll}	80	41
Total changes	81	46
Balances as of December 31		
Cost	2,593	2,502
Accumulated impairment	(235)	(225)
Book value	2,358	2,277

Acquisitions in 2012 relate to the acquisition of the Catena Group. Divestments in 2012 relate to the divestment of the High Speed Data Converter business.

No goodwill impairment charges were required to be recognized in 2013 or 2012.

The fair value of the reporting units substantially exceeds the carrying value of the reporting units.

Table of Contents

See Note 19, Segment and Geographical Information, for goodwill by segment and Note 16, Acquisitions and Divestments.

9 Postretirement Benefit Plans**Pensions**

Our employees participate in employee pension plans in accordance with the legal requirements, customs and the local situation in the respective countries. These are defined-benefit pension plans, defined-contribution plans and multi-employer plans.

The Company's employees in The Netherlands participate in a multi-employer plan, implemented for the employees of the Metal and Electrical Engineering Industry (Bedrijfstakpensioenfonds Metalektro or PME) in accordance with the mandatory affiliation to PME effective for the industry in which NXP operates. As this affiliation is a legal requirement for the Metal and Electrical Engineering Industry it has no expiration date. This PME multi-employer plan (a career average plan) covers approximately 1,300 companies and 632,000 participants. The plan monitors its risk on an aggregate basis, not by company or participant and can therefore not be accounted for as a defined benefit plan. The pension fund rules state that the only obligation for affiliated companies will be to pay the annual plan contributions. There is no obligation for affiliated companies to fund plan deficits. Affiliated companies are also not entitled to any possible surpluses in the pension fund.

Every participating company contributes the same fixed percentage of its total pension base, being pensionable salary minus an individual offset. The Company's pension cost for any period is the amount of contributions due for that period.

The coverage ratio of the PME plan was 103.8% as of December 31, 2013. Regulations require PME to have a coverage ratio (ratio of the plan's assets to its obligations) of 104.3% for the total plan as of December 31, 2013, which needs to be achieved via a Recovery Plan. As the coverage ratio as of December 31, 2013 is below the path indicated in the Recovery Plan, PME has announced a reduction of pension rights of 0.5% as of December 31, 2013 and a reduction of the paid pensions of 0.5% as of April 1, 2014. The contribution rate for the mandatory scheme will increase from 27.0% (2013) to 27.1% (2014) to meet the funding requirements for the accrual of new pension rights.

PME multi-employer plan	2013	2012	2011
NXP's contributions to the plan	51	53	59
(including employees' contributions)	3	4	2
Average number of NXP's active employees participating in the plan	3,133	3,229	3,256
NXP's contribution to the plan exceeded more than 5 percent of the total contribution (as of December 31 of the plan's year end)	No	No	No

The amount for pension costs included in the statement of operations for the year 2013 was \$86 million (2012: \$84 million; 2011: \$90 million) of which \$20 million (2012: \$19 million; 2011: \$16 million) represents defined-contribution plans and \$45 million (2012: \$47 million; 2011: \$54 million) represents the PME multi-employer plans.

Defined-benefit plans

The benefits provided by defined-benefit plans are based on employees' years of service and compensation levels. Contributions are made by the Company, as necessary, to provide assets sufficient to meet the benefits payable to defined-benefit pension plan participants.

These contributions are determined based upon various factors, including funded status, legal and tax considerations as well as local customs. The Company funds certain defined-benefit pension plans as claims are incurred.

Table of Contents

The total cost of defined-benefit plans amounted to \$21 million in 2013 (2012: \$18 million; 2011: \$20 million) consisting of \$21 million ongoing cost (2012: \$20 million; 2011: \$21 million) and nil from special events resulting from restructurings, curtailments and settlements (2012: \$2 million; 2011: \$1 million).

The table below provides a summary of the changes in the pension benefit obligations and defined-benefit pension plan assets for 2013 and 2012, associated with the Company's dedicated plans, and a reconciliation of the funded status of these plans to the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

	2013	2012
Projected benefit obligation		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	419	342
Additions		
Service cost	12	11
Interest cost	15	14
Actuarial (gains) and losses	(23)	60
Curtailments and settlements		(2)
Benefits paid	(24)	(18)
Exchange rate differences	7	12
Projected benefit obligation at end of year	406	419
Plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	162	147
Actual return on plan assets	5	14
Employer contributions	21	14
Benefits paid	(24)	(18)
Exchange rate differences	6	5
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	170	162
Funded status	(236)	(257)
Classification of the funded status is as follows		
Prepaid pension cost within other non-current assets	18	13
Accrued pension cost within other non-current liabilities	(245)	(260)
Accrued pension cost within accrued liabilities	(9)	(10)
Total	(236)	(257)
Accumulated benefit obligation		
Accumulated benefit obligation for all Company-dedicated benefit pension plans	370	364
Plans with assets less than accumulated benefit obligation		
Funded plans with assets less than accumulated benefit obligation		
Fair value of plan assets	17	24
Accumulated benefit obligations	56	65

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Projected benefit obligations	84	85
Unfunded plans		
Accumulated benefit obligations	179	174
Projected benefit obligations	187	194
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (before tax)		
Total AOCI at beginning of year	22	(30)
Net actuarial loss (gain)	(21)	52
Exchange rate differences	1	
Total AOCI at end of year	2	22

F-32

Table of Contents

The weighted average assumptions used to calculate the projected benefit obligations were as follows:

	2013	2012
Discount rate	3.7%	3.5%
Rate of compensation increase	2.3%	2.4%

The weighted average assumptions used to calculate the net periodic pension cost were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Discount rate	3.5%	4.4%	4.3%
Expected returns on plan assets	4.0%	4.1%	4.2%
Rate of compensation increase	2.4%	3.1%	3.1%

For the Company's major plans, the discount rate used is based on high quality corporate bonds (iBoxx Corporate Euro AA 10+).

Plans in countries without a deep corporate bond market use a discount rate based on the local sovereign rate and the plans maturity (Bloomberg Government Bond Yields).

Expected returns per asset class are based on the assumption that asset valuations tend to return to their respective long-term equilibria. The Expected Return on Assets for any funded plan equals the average of the expected returns per asset class weighted by their portfolio weights in accordance with the fund's strategic asset allocation.

The components of net periodic pension costs were as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Service cost	12	11	12
Interest cost on the projected benefit obligation	15	14	15
Expected return on plan assets	(7)	(6)	(6)
Amortization of net (gain) loss	1		
Curtailments & settlements		(2)	(1)
Other		1	
Net periodic cost	21	18	20

A sensitivity analysis shows that if the discount rate increases by 1% from the level of December 31, 2013, with all other variables held constant, the net periodic pension cost would increase by \$2 million. If the discount rate decreases by 1% from the level of December 31, 2013, with all other variables held constant, the net periodic pension cost would decrease by \$2 million.

The estimated net actuarial loss (gain) and prior service cost that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over the next year (2014) are \$(1) million and nil, respectively.

Plan assets

The actual pension plan asset allocation at December 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

	2013	2012
Asset category:		
Equity securities	32%	26%
Debt securities	53%	58%
Insurance contracts		3%
Other	15%	13%
	100%	100%

F-33

Table of Contents

We met our target plan asset allocation. The investment objectives for the pension plan assets are designed to generate returns that, along with the future contributions, will enable the pension plans to meet their future obligations. The investments in our major defined benefit plans largely consist of government bonds, Level 2 Corporate Bonds and cash to mitigate the risk of interest fluctuations. The asset mix of equity, bonds, cash and other categories is evaluated by an asset-liability modeling study for our largest plan. The assets of funded plans in other countries mostly have a large proportion of fixed income securities with return characteristics that are aligned with changes in the liabilities caused by discount rate volatility. Total pension plan assets of \$170 million include \$157 million related to the German, Swiss and Philippine pension funds.

The following table summarizes the classification of these assets.

	2013			2012		
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Level I	Level II	Level III
Equity securities	1	51		3	38	
Debt securities	15	66		20	68	
Other	13	7	4	13	4	3
	29	124	4	36	110	3

The Company currently expects to make cash contributions of \$74 million in 2014, consisting of \$4 million of employer contributions to defined-benefit pension plans, \$20 million of employer contributions to defined-contribution pension plans, \$43 million of employer contributions to multi-employer plans and \$7 million of expected cash payments in relation to unfunded pension plans.

Estimated future pension benefit payments

The following benefit payments are expected to be made (including those for funded plans):

2014	16
2015	14
2016	15
2017	15
2018	17
Years 2019-2023	107

Postretirement benefits other than pensions

In addition to providing pension benefits, the Company provides postretirement healthcare benefits.

A curtailment gain of \$8 million related to the retiree healthcare benefits in the United States is included in the consolidated statements of operations for 2013.

The accumulated postretirement benefit obligation other than pensions at the end of 2013 equals \$2 million (2012: \$9 million).

Table of Contents**10 Commitments and Contingencies*****Lease Commitments***

Property, plant and equipment includes \$7 million as of December 31, 2013 (2012: \$12 million) for capital leases and other beneficial rights of use, such as building rights and hire purchase agreements. The financial obligations arising from these contractual agreements are reflected in long-term debt. Long-term operating lease commitments totaled \$107 million as of December 31, 2013 (2012: \$153 million). The long-term operating leases are mainly related to the rental of buildings. These leases expire at various dates during the next 30 years. Future minimum lease payments under operating and capital leases are as follows:

	Operating Leases	Capital Leases
2014	24	6
2015	22	2
2016	16	2
2017	12	1
2018	9	
Thereafter	24	
Total future minimum leases payments	107	11
Less: amount representing interest		1
Present value of future minimum lease payments		10

Rent expense amounted to \$65 million in 2013 (2012: \$54 million; 2011: \$51 million).

Guarantees

At the end of 2013 there were no material guarantees recognized by the Company.

Purchase Commitments

The Company maintains purchase commitments with certain suppliers, primarily for raw materials, semi finished goods and manufacturing services and for some non-production items. Purchase commitments for inventory materials are generally restricted to a forecasted time-horizon as mutually agreed upon between the parties. This forecasted time-horizon can vary for different suppliers. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had purchase commitments of \$166 million, which are due through 2019.

Environmental remediation

In each jurisdiction in which we operate, we are subject to many environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that govern, among other things, emissions of pollutants into the air, wastewater discharges, the use and handling of hazardous substances, waste disposal, the investigation and remediation of soil and ground water contamination and the health and safety of our employees. We are also required to obtain environmental permits from governmental authorities for certain of our operations.

As with other companies engaged in similar activities or that own or operate real property, the Company faces inherent risks of environmental liability at our current and historical manufacturing facilities. Certain environmental laws impose liability on current or previous owners or operators of real property for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous substances. Certain of these laws also assess liability on persons who arrange for hazardous substances to be sent to disposal or treatment facilities when such facilities are found to be contaminated.

F-35

Table of Contents

Soil and groundwater contamination has been identified at our properties in Hamburg, Germany and Nijmegen, the Netherlands. The remediation processes have been ongoing for several years and are expected to continue for several years.

Our former property in Lent, the Netherlands, is affected by trichloroethylene contamination. ProRail B.V., owns certain property located nearby and has claimed that we have caused trichloroethylene contamination on their property. We have rejected ProRail's claims, as we believe that the contamination was caused by a prior owner of our property in Lent. While we are currently not taking any remediation or other actions, we estimate that our aggregate potential liability, if any, in respect of this property will not be material.

Asbestos contamination has been found in certain parts of our properties in Manchester in the United Kingdom and in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Both in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands, we will be required to dispose of the asbestos when the buildings currently standing on the property are demolished or divested. We estimate our potential liability will not be material. Additionally, in the Netherlands, we will be required to remediate the asbestos contamination at a leased property, upon termination of the lease. The lease is not expected to end soon and we estimate the cost of remediation will not be material.

Litigation

We are regularly involved as plaintiffs or defendants in claims and litigation relating to matters such as commercial transactions and intellectual property rights. In addition, our divestments sometimes result in, or are followed by, claims or litigation by either party. From time to time, we also are subject to alleged patent infringement claims. We rigorously defend ourselves against these alleged patent infringement claims, and we rarely participate in settlement discussions. Although the ultimate disposition of asserted claims and proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, it is our belief that the outcome of any such claims, either individually or on a combined basis, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position. However, such outcomes may be material to our consolidated statement of operations for a particular period.

With the support from its in-house and outside counsel and based on its best estimate, the Company records an accrual for any claim that arises whenever it considers that it is probable that it is exposed to a loss contingency and the amount of the loss contingency can be reasonably estimated. Based on the most current information available to it and based on its best estimate, the Company also reevaluates at least on a quarterly basis the claims that have arisen to determine whether any new accruals need to be made or whether any accruals made need to be adjusted.

Based on the procedures described above, the Company has an aggregate amount of approximately \$7 million accrued for legal proceedings pending as of December 31, 2013, compared to approximately \$59 million as of December 31, 2012. Such accruals are for the greater part included in *Accrued liabilities*. There can be no assurance that the Company's accruals will be sufficient to cover the extent of its potential exposure to losses. Historically, legal actions have not had a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations or financial condition.

Set forth below are descriptions of our most important legal proceedings pending as of December 31, 2013, for which the related loss contingency is either probable or reasonably possible, including the legal proceedings for which accruals have been made:

Three former employees of Signetics Corp, a predecessor of NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc. and their respective children each separately filed various counts against NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc. (negligence,

premises liability, strict liability, abnormal and ultrahazardous activity, willful and wanton misconduct and loss of consortium) asserting exposure to harmful chemicals and substances while the employees concerned were working in a factory clean room of Signetics Corp., resulting in alleged physical injuries and eventual birth defects to their children (cases No. N09C-10-032 JRJ, N10C-05-137 JRJ and 1-10-CV-188679). Initial discovery has commenced by both sides in above

F-36

Table of Contents

mentioned cases. Actual substantive responses are pending. A motion to dismiss has been filed in Case No. N09C-10 032 and Case No. N10C-05-137. The Court delayed her ruling pending the ruling by the Delaware Supreme Court and New Mexico Court of Appeal in similar cases. In Case No. 1-10-CV-188679 the Court denied a motion to dismiss and instructed parties to commence discovery. A trial setting conference is scheduled for May 5, 2014.

In 2007, certain former employees of NXP Semiconductors France SAS employed by a subsidiary of the DSP Group, Inc. filed a claim against NXP Semiconductors France SAS before the Tribunal de Grande Instance in an emergency procedure (procédure de référé) to demand re-integration within NXP Semiconductors France SAS, following the closure of the DSP Group's activities in France and the consequent termination of their employment agreements. The claim was rejected by the Tribunal de Grande Instance. The employees concerned then brought the same claim before the Social Court (Conseil de Prud'hommes) in Caen which, on April 27, 2010, also ruled in favor of NXP Semiconductors France SAS. The claimants filed for an appeal in last resort on May 18, 2010. The Cour d'Appel de Caen assigned the claim in her ruling of October 11, 2013. NXP Semiconductors France SAS has given notice of appeal for the Cour de Cassation.

The estimated aggregate range of reasonably possible losses is based on currently available information in relation to the claims that have arisen and on the Company's best estimate of such losses for those cases for which such estimate can be made. For certain claims, the Company believes that an estimate cannot currently be made. The estimated aggregate range requires significant judgment, given the varying stages of the proceedings (including the fact that many of them are currently in preliminary stages), the existence of multiple defendants (including the Company) in such claims whose share of liability has yet to be determined, the numerous yet-unresolved issues in many of the claims, and the attendant uncertainty of the various potential outcomes of such claims. Accordingly, the Company's estimate will change from time to time, and actual losses may be more than the current estimate. As at December 31, 2013, the Company believes that for all litigation pending its aggregate exposure to loss in excess of the amount accrued could range between \$0 and approximately \$24 million.

11 Stockholders' Equity

The share capital of the Company as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 consists of 1,076,257,500 authorized shares, including 430,503,000 authorized shares of common stock, and 645,754,500 authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock.

At December 31, 2013, the Company has issued and paid up 251,751,500 shares (2012: 251,751,500 shares) of common stock each having a par value of 0.20 or a nominal stock capital of 50 million.

Share-based awards

The Company has granted share-based awards to the members of our board of directors, management team, our other executives, selected other key employees/talents of NXP and selected new hires to receive the Company's shares in the future. See Note 13, Share-based Compensation.

Treasury shares

In connection with the Company's share repurchase programs, which commenced in 2011, and which were extended effective August 1, 2013, and in accordance with the Company's policy to provide share-based awards from its treasury share inventory, shares which have been repurchased and are held in treasury for delivery upon exercise of

options and under restricted and performance share programs, are accounted for as a reduction of stockholders' equity. Treasury shares are recorded at cost, representing the market price on the acquisition date. When issued, shares are removed from treasury shares on a first-in, first-out (FIFO) basis.

F-37

Table of Contents

Differences between the cost and the proceeds received when treasury shares are reissued, are recorded in capital in excess of par value. Deficiencies in excess of net gains arising from previous treasury share issuances are charged to retained earnings.

The following transactions took place resulting from employee option and share plans in 2013:

	2013
Total shares in treasury at beginning of year	2,726,000
Total cost	58
Shares acquired under repurchase program	11,071,638
Average price in \$ per share	36.60
Amount paid	405
Shares delivered	9,626,805
Average price in \$ per share	30.13
Amount received	177
Total shares in treasury at end of year	4,170,833
Total cost	167

12 Related-party Transactions

The Company's related parties are the Private Equity Consortium, the members of the board of directors of NXP Semiconductors N.V., the members of the management team of NXP Semiconductors N.V. and equity-accounted investees.

On February 4, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 30 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$30.35 per share; the offering was settled and closed on February 7, 2013. On March 7, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 25 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$31.40 per share; the offering was settled and closed on March 13, 2013. On September 13, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 25 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$37.65 per share; the offering was settled and closed on September 18, 2013. On December 9, 2013, certain of our stockholders offered 25 million shares of our common stock, priced at \$42.50 per share; the offering was settled and closed on December 13, 2013. We did not receive any proceeds from these secondary offerings. The consortium of funds advised by Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P., AlpInvest Partners B.V., Apax Partners LLP, Bain Capital Partners, LLC and Silver Lake Technology Management, L.L.C (collectively the Private Equity Consortium) beneficially owns 14.82% of our shares of common stock as of December 31, 2013.

Advisory Services Agreements

KKR and Bain, as members of the Private Equity Consortium provide certain advisory services to NXP Semiconductors N.V. We have entered into separate agreements in this regard with the respective parties, under which both legal entities receive an annual advisory fee of \$25,000 (with an aggregate total amount of \$50,000 annually). Until mid December 2013, similar Advisory Service Agreements were in place with Apax, Silverlake and AlpInvest; these agreements have been terminated in view of the reduced shareholdings in NXP held by the respective three parties.

Other

We have a number of strategic alliances and joint ventures. We have relationships with certain of our alliance partners in the ordinary course of business whereby we enter into various sale and purchase transactions, generally on terms comparable to transactions with third parties. However, in certain instances upon divestment of former businesses where we enter into supply arrangements with the former owned business, sales are conducted at cost.

F-38

Table of Contents

The following table presents the amounts related to revenue and expenses incurred in transactions with these related parties:

	2013	2012	2011
Revenue		33	133
Purchase of goods and services	102	204	137

The following table presents the amounts related to accounts payable balances with these related parties:

	2013	2012
Payables	33	30

On September 7, 2010, Philips Pension Trustees Limited purchased Philips 42,715,650 shares of common stock in the Company (Transfer Shares) in a private transaction. In a subsequent private transaction, on October 29, 2010, PPTL Investment LP purchased the Transfer Shares from Philips Pension Trustees Limited by way of a transfer agreement, to which also Philips is a party (Amended Transfer Agreement). PPTL Investment LP acquired the Transfer Shares for the purpose of owning and managing such assets as may be contributed to Philips Pension Trustees Limited. In the period running from the aforementioned acquisition to December 31, 2013, PPTL Investment LP disposed of all its shares of common stock in various transactions.

13 Share-based Compensation

We record share-based compensation arrangements in accordance with ASC 718 Compensation-Stock Compensation . All share-based payments, including grants of stock options, performance share units, restricted share units and equity rights are recognized in our Consolidated Financial Statements based upon their respective grant date fair value.

Share-based compensation plans for employees were introduced in 2007. Subsequent to becoming a listed company in August 2010, the Company introduced additional share-based compensation plans for eligible employees. The additional plans introduced since November 2010 are referred to as the Post-IPO Plans and the plans introduced prior to November 2010 are referred to as the Pre-IPO Plans . No awards can be made any longer under the Pre-IPO Plans, and the number of shares authorized and available for awards under Post-IPO Plans as December 31, 2013 was approximately 2.7 million.

Share-based compensation expense is included in the following line items in our statement of operations:

	2013	2012	2011
Cost of revenue	8	2	1
Research and development	13	5	2
Selling, general and administrative	67	45	28
	88	52	31

Post-IPO Long Term Incentive Plans (LTIP s)

Under the LTIP s, performance shares, stock options and restricted shares were granted to the members of our board of directors, management team, our other executives, selected other key employees/talents of NXP and selected new hires. The options have a strike price equal to the closing share price on the grant date. The fair value of the options has been calculated using the Black-Scholes formula, using the following assumptions:

an expected life of 6.25 years, calculated in accordance with the guidance provided in SEC Staff bulletin No. 110 for plain vanilla options using the simplified method, since our equity shares have been publicly traded for only a limited period of time and we do not have sufficient historical exercise data;

Table of Contents

a risk-free interest rate varying from 1.0% to 1.9% (2012: 0.8% to 1.3%; 2011: 1.2% to 2.8%);

no expected dividend payments; and

a volatility of 45-50% based on the volatility of a set of peer companies. Peer company data has been used given the short period of time our shares have been publicly traded.

Changes in the assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate.

A charge of \$87 million was recorded in 2013 for Post-IPO Plans (2012: \$44 million; 2011: \$17 million).

A summary of the status of NXP's LTIP stock options and share rights and changes during 2013 is presented below.

Stock options

	Stock options	Weighted average exercise price in USD	Weighted average remaining contractual term	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	10,910,114	19.12		
Granted	1,712,375	38.87		
Exercised	(1,988,954)	16.97		
Forfeited	(387,717)	19.86		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	10,245,818	22.82	8.4	237
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	2,590,201	17.72	7.7	73

The weighted average per share grant date fair value of stock options granted in 2013 was \$17.83 (2012: \$10.44; 2011: \$7.81).

The intrinsic value of the exercised options was \$41 million (2012: \$7 million; 2011: \$0.3 million), whereas the amount received by NXP was \$34 million (2012: \$9 million; 2011: \$1 million).

At December 31, 2013, there was a total of \$67 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.9 years (2012: 3.3 years).

Performance share units

Financial performance conditions

Shares	Weighted average grant date fair value
--------	---

		in USD
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	2,408,474	19.55
Granted	547,510	39.59
Vested	(650,193)	17.14
Forfeited	(101,488)	20.32
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	2,204,303	25.21

The weighted average grant date fair value of performance share units granted in 2013 was \$39.59 (2012: \$23.35; 2011: \$17.38). The fair value of the performance share units at the time of vesting was \$27 million (2012: \$1 million; 2011: \$4 million).

Table of Contents

Market performance conditions

	Shares	Weighted average grant date fair value in USD
Outstanding at January 1, 2013		
Granted	1,775,000	17.54
Vested		
Forfeited		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,775,000	17.54

The weighted average grant date fair value of performance share units granted in 2013 was \$17.54.

At December 31, 2013, there was a total of \$44 million (2012: \$29 million) of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested performance share units. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years (2012: 1.9 years).

Restricted share units

	Shares	Weighted average grant date fair value in USD
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	3,300,123	20.56
Granted	2,137,870	39.23
Vested	(1,414,376)	19.15
Forfeited	(201,722)	20.98
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	3,821,895	31.50

The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted share units granted in 2013 was \$39.23 (2012: \$23.31; 2011: \$17.52). The fair value of the restricted share units at the time of vesting was \$57 million (2012: \$21 million; 2011: \$7 million).

At December 31, 2013, there was a total of \$98 million (2012: \$54 million) of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted share units. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years (2012: 2.4 years).

Pre-IPO Plan (Management Equity Stock Option Plan)

Under the Management Equity Stock Option Plan (MEP), stock options were granted to certain employees of the Company. Under the MEP the participants acquire the right to purchase a certain number of shares of common stock at a predetermined price, i.e. exercise price, provided that certain conditions were met. All MEP Options (except for the options that are not vested yet) became fully exercisable upon the Private Equity Consortium holding less than 30% of our shares of common stock which occurred following the consummation of the secondary offering of shares on September 18, 2013. Current employees owning vested MEP Options may exercise such MEP Options during the

five year period subsequent to September 18, 2013, subject to these employees remaining employed by us and subject to the applicable laws and regulations.

A charge of \$1 million was recorded in 2013 (2012: \$8 million, 2011: \$14 million) for options granted under the Pre-IPO MEP.

The following table summarizes the information about NXP's outstanding Pre-IPO MEP Options and changes during 2013.

F-41

Table of Contents**Stock options**

	Stock options	Weighted average exercise price in EUR	Weighted average remaining contractual term	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding at January 1, 2013	15,114,216	25.14		
Granted				
Exercised	(5,723,264)	18.40		
Forfeited	(1,747,246)	26.09		
Expired	(2,242,421)	42.10		
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	5,401,285	24.93	4.7	78
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	5,359,984	24.95	4.7	77

The intrinsic value of exercised options was \$71 million (2012: \$8 million; 2011: \$19 million), whereas the amount received by NXP was \$142 million (2012: \$6 million; 2011: \$9 million).

The number of vested options at December 31, 2013 was 5,359,984 (2012: 13,603,205 vested options) with a weighted average exercise price of 24.95 (2012: 22.96 weighted average exercise price).

At December 31, 2013, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options.

14 Restructuring Charges

The provision for restructuring relates to the estimated costs of initiated restructurings that have been approved by Management. When such plans require discontinuance and/or closure of lines of activities, the anticipated costs of closure or discontinuance are recorded at fair value when the liability has been incurred. The Company determines the fair value based on discounted projected cash flows in the absence of other observable inputs such as quoted prices. The restructuring liability includes the estimated cost of termination benefits provided to former or inactive employees after employment but before retirement, costs to terminate leases and other contracts, and selling costs associated with assets held for sale and other costs related to the closure of facilities. One-time employee termination benefits are recognized ratably over the future service period when those employees are required to render services to the Company, if that period exceeds 60 days or a longer legal notification period. However, generally, employee termination benefits are covered by a contract or an ongoing benefit arrangement and are recognized when it is probable that the employees will be entitled to the benefits and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. At each reporting date, we evaluate our restructuring liabilities, which consist primarily of termination benefits, to ensure that our accruals are still appropriate.

The restructuring liability balance as of December 31, 2013 primarily relates to:

The OPEX Reduction Program announced in 2012. This cost savings and restructuring program was initiated to improve operational efficiency and to competitively position the Company for sustainable

growth. A liability has been recognized relating to the associated costs. Its implementation is expected to be substantially complete by the first quarter of 2014. The majority of the remaining cash expenditures relating to this initiative are anticipated to be paid by the fourth quarter of 2014. In 2013, as part of the OPEX Reduction Program, we recognized an additional charge of \$16 million associated with onerous contracts relating to leased office buildings in the Netherlands and France. The remaining balance as of December 31, 2013 relating to this program amounts to \$62 million. The OPEX Reduction Program is expected to be completed by mid 2015;

Workforce reductions associated with the closure of our ICN 4 and ICN 6 wafer fabrication facilities in Nijmegen. ICN 4 and part of ICN 6 were closed in the fourth quarter of 2013. The remaining part of ICN 6 will close in the first quarter of 2014. This program was initiated to reduce our overall

Table of Contents

manufacturing footprint, consistent with our current manufacturing strategy which focuses on capabilities that differentiate NXP in terms of product features, process capabilities, cost, supply chain and quality. The remaining balance as of December 31, 2013 relating to this program amounts to \$43 million. The ICN 4 and ICN 6 program is expected to be completed in September 2014.

There are no material new restructuring projects in 2013***The most significant projects for restructuring in 2012***

In 2012 we announced a cost savings and restructuring initiative, designed to improve operational efficiency and to competitively position the Company for sustainable growth. We recorded a restructuring charge of \$90 million in 2012 associated with this initiative classified within the statement of operations under cost of goods sold of \$17 million, mainly relating to the consolidation of MOS technologies from our German fabrication facility in Hamburg to the Company's 8-inch Dutch facility in Nijmegen, and selling, general and administrative of \$52 million and research and development of \$21 million, to consolidate resources. This charge primarily related to a worldwide workforce reduction of approximately 650 employees, with the majority of the headcount reductions in Europe and the U.S. The restructuring liabilities of \$90 million recognized for this initiative were reflected within current liabilities (\$64 million) and non-current liabilities (\$26 million) as of December 31, 2012 and primarily related to termination and employee benefit related costs.

The most significant projects for restructuring in 2011

In 2011 NXP undertook restructuring actions which include:

the future closure of ICN 4 wafer fabrication facilities in Nijmegen, the Netherlands.

actions to lower headcount, primarily in locations within Europe.

The following table presents the changes in the position of restructuring liabilities in 2013 by segment:

	Balance January 1, 2013	Additions	Utilized	Released	Other changes⁽¹⁾	Balance December 31, 2013
HPMS	57	3	(23)	(4)	13	46
SP	41	6	(3)	(7)	(6)	31
Corporate and Other	72	18	(39)	(10)	(1)	40
	170	27	(65)	(21)	6	117

(1) Other changes primarily related to translation differences and internal transfers

The total restructuring liability as of December 31, 2013 of \$117 million is classified in the balance sheet under current liabilities (\$103 million) and non-current liabilities (\$14 million).

In 2013 the Company recorded \$27 million of additional restructuring liabilities which largely consisted of \$16 million stemming from onerous contracts relating to leased office buildings in the Netherlands and France and \$8 million of termination benefits related to additional workforce reductions as part of its closure of ICN 4 and ICN 6.

Releases of restructuring liabilities of \$21 million were recorded in 2013. These releases related mainly to liabilities for the closure of ICN 4 and ICN 6 (partly reversed) and liabilities related to other workforce reduction plans.

The utilization of the restructuring liabilities mainly reflects the execution of ongoing restructuring programs the Company initiated in earlier years.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the changes in the position of restructuring liabilities in 2012 by segment:

	Balance January 1, 2012	Additions	Utilized	Released	Other changes⁽¹⁾	Balance December 31, 2012
HPMS	36	27	(4)	(2)		57
SP	27	17	(3)	(1)	1	41
Corporate and Other	36	59	(22)	(1)		72
	99	103	(29)	(4)	1	170

(1) Other changes primarily related to translation differences.

The total restructuring liability as of December 31, 2012 of \$170 million is classified in the balance sheet under current liabilities (\$138 million) and non-current liabilities (\$32 million).

In 2012, the releases were primarily attributable to lower termination benefits due to attrition and employees that were transferred to other positions in NXP, who were originally expected to be laid off.

The utilization of the restructuring liabilities mainly reflects the execution of ongoing restructuring programs the Company initiated in earlier years.

The components of restructuring charges less releases recorded in the liabilities in 2013, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Personnel lay-off costs	10	101	66
Lease and Contract Terminations	17	2	
Release of provisions/accruals	(21)	(4)	(8)
Net restructuring charges	6	99	58

The following table summarizes the significant activity within, and components of, the Company's restructuring obligations:

	Personnel lay-off costs	Lease and Contract Terminations	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	98	1	99
Expense	97	2	99
Utilized ¹⁾	(29)		(29)
Other changes ²⁾	1		1
Balance at December 31, 2012	167	3	170

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Expense	(8)	14	6
Utilized ¹⁾	(54)	(11)	(65)
Other changes ²⁾	5	1	6
Balance at December 31, 2013	110	7	117

- 1) Represents cash payments.
- 2) Other changes primarily related to translation differences.

F-44

Table of Contents

The restructuring charges less releases recorded in operating income are included in the following line items in the statement of operations:

	2013	2012	2011
Cost of revenue		18	24
Selling, general and administrative	7	59	15
Research & development	(1)	22	19
Net restructuring charges	6	99	58

15 Provision for Income Taxes

In 2013, NXP generated income before income taxes of \$377 million (2012: \$25 million loss; 2011: \$100 million income). The components of income (loss) before income taxes are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Netherlands	205	(93)	(27)
Foreign	172	68	127
	377	(25)	100

The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Current taxes:			
Netherlands	(10)	(1)	(3)
Foreign	(17)	(20)	(29)
	(27)	(21)	(32)
Deferred taxes:			
Netherlands	1	5	(10)
Foreign	6	15	21
	7	20	11
Total provision for income taxes	(20)	(1)	(21)

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the statutory income tax rate in the Netherlands as a percentage of income (loss) before income taxes and the effective income tax rate is as follows:

(in percentages)	2013	2012	2011
Statutory income tax in the Netherlands	25.0	25.0	25.0
Increase (reduction) in rate resulting from:			
Rate differential local statutory rates versus statutory rate of the Netherlands	(3.4)	64.0	(15.7)
Net change in valuation allowance	5.3	(178.0)	12.7
Prior year adjustments	(0.8)	5.2	(2.0)
Non-taxable income	(1.1)	41.6	(10.8)
Non-tax-deductible expenses/losses	6.6	(69.6)	19.6
Other taxes and tax rate changes	2.3	18.2	(1.0)
Withholding taxes	0.8	(7.6)	6.9
Unrecognized tax benefits	0.8	(24.8)	(1.0)
Tax incentives	(30.2)	122.0	(12.7)

Effective tax rate	5.3%	(4.0)%	21.0%
--------------------	------	--------	-------

For the year 2013 the main part of tax incentives adjustment includes a tax benefit resulting from the application of the Dutch Innovation box tax rules. The Company benefits from income tax holiday incentives in certain jurisdictions which provide that we pay reduced income taxes in those jurisdictions for a fixed period of time that varies depending on the jurisdiction. The income tax holiday of one of our subsidiaries is expected to expire at the end of 2021. The related tax benefit of 6.5% (2012: 89%) is also recorded above within tax incentives.

The Company has considered all items of income (including items recorded in other comprehensive income) in determining the amount of tax benefit that should be allocated to a loss from continuing operations. As a result, during 2012 we recorded \$8 million non-cash tax benefit on a loss from continuing operations arising in one of our jurisdictions for the year ended December 31, 2012 which was exactly offset by \$8 million income tax expense in other comprehensive income. Because the income tax expense on other comprehensive income is equal to the income tax benefit from continuing operations, our net deferred tax positions at December 31, 2012 were not impacted by this tax allocation.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities

The principal components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are presented below:

	2013		2012	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Intangible assets	5	(160)	13	(200)
Property, plant and equipment	27	(36)	20	(33)
Inventories	2		2	
Receivables		(1)	1	
Other assets	1		3	(5)
Liabilities:				
Pensions	36	(5)	42	(1)

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

Restructuring	27		46	
Other	24		21	
Long-term debt		(23)	1	(7)
Undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries		(31)		(27)
Tax loss carryforwards (including tax credit carryforwards)	686		659	
Total gross deferred tax assets (liabilities)	808	(256)	808	(273)
Net deferred tax position	552		535	
Valuation allowances	(607)		(589)	
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	(55)		(54)	

F-46

Table of Contents

The Company has significant deferred tax assets resulting from net operating loss carryforwards, tax credit carryforwards and deductible temporary differences that may reduce taxable income in future periods. Valuation allowances have been established for deferred tax assets based on a more likely than not threshold. The realization of our deferred tax assets depends on our ability to generate sufficient taxable income within the carryback or carryforward periods provided for in the tax law for each applicable tax jurisdiction.

The following possible sources of taxable income have been considered when assessing the realization of our deferred tax assets:

Future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences;

Future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards;

Taxable income in prior carryback years; and

Tax-planning strategies.

The valuation allowance increased by \$18 million during 2013 (2012: \$44 million increase).

When the Company's operating performance improves on a sustained basis, our conclusion regarding the need for such valuation allowance could change.

Subsequently recognized tax benefits related to the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2013, will be allocated as follows: \$599 million of income tax benefit that would be reported in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, \$8 million to additional paid-in capital.

After the recognition of the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets, a net deferred tax liability remains of \$55 million at December 31, 2013 (2012: \$54 million). This net deferred tax liability relates to certain taxable temporary differences reversing outside the tax loss carryforward periods, deferred tax liabilities recorded for profitable entities and deferred tax liabilities for withholding taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries.

At December 31, 2013 tax loss carryforwards of \$2,608 million will expire as follows:

	Balance				Scheduled expiration								
	December 31,				2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2023	later	unlimited
Tax loss carryforwards	2,608	6	169	779	507	11	232	147	757				

The Company also has tax credit carryforwards of \$97 million, which are available to offset future tax, if any, and which will expire as follows:

	Balance				Scheduled expiration				
	December 31,				2017	2018	2019-2023	later	unlimited
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019-2023	later	unlimited
Tax credit carryforwards	97							8	89

The classification of the deferred tax assets and liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheets is as follows:

	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets within current assets	11	12
Deferred tax assets within other non-current assets	24	22
Deferred tax liabilities within accrued liabilities	(2)	(4)
Deferred tax liabilities within other non-current liabilities	(88)	(84)
	(55)	(54)

Table of Contents

The net income tax payable (excluding the liability for unrecognized tax benefits) as of December 31, 2013 amounted to \$6 million (2012: \$26 million payable) and includes amounts directly payable to or receivable from tax authorities.

As from 2009 the Company intends to repatriate the undistributed earnings of subsidiaries. Consequently, the Company has recognized a deferred income tax liability of \$31 million at December 31, 2013 (2012: \$27 million) for the additional withholding taxes payable upon the future remittances of these earnings of foreign subsidiaries.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Balance as of January 1,	139	169	195
Increases from tax positions taken during prior periods	1	16	
Decreases from tax positions taken during prior periods	(4)	(25)	(12)
Increases from tax positions taken during current period	7	2	10
Decreases relating to settlements with the tax authorities		(23)	(24)
Balance as of December 31,	143	139	169

Of the total unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013, \$17 million, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. All other unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would not affect the effective tax rate as these would be offset by compensating adjustments in the Company's deferred tax assets that would be subject to valuation allowance based on conditions existing at the reporting date.

The Company classifies interest related to unrecognized tax benefits as financial expense and penalties as income tax expense. The total related interest and penalties recorded during the year 2013 amounted to \$1 million (2012: \$(5) million; 2011: \$3 million). As of December 31, 2013 the Company has recognized a liability for related interest and penalties of \$4 million (2012: \$3 million; 2011: \$8 million). It is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits may significantly increase/decrease within the next 12 months of the reporting date due to, for example, completion of tax examinations; however, an estimate of the range of reasonably possible change cannot be made.

Tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions (mainly related to the Netherlands, Germany, USA, China, Taiwan, Thailand and the Philippines) are 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013.

16 Acquisitions and Divestments**2013**

There were no significant acquisitions and divestments in 2013.

2012

In April 2012, the Company acquired Catena, an electronic design and IP company. The purchase price consideration of \$20 million, including the issuance of 599,000 treasury shares with a fair value of \$14 million was allocated to goodwill of \$11 million, other intangible assets with an amortization period of five years of \$9 million, assets acquired of \$7 million and liabilities assumed of \$7 million. The goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes.

The results of Catena are consolidated in the Automotive operating segment that is part of the reportable segment HPMS.

On July 19, 2012, we sold the High Speed Data Converter business (a product line of the High Performance Mixed Signal segment) to Integrated Device Technology (IDT) for \$31 million. The gain on the sale of \$19 million is included in other income (expense).

Table of Contents

On January 4, 2012, Trident Microsystems, Inc., in which we held a 60% shareholding after the sale in 2010 of our digital television and set-top-box business line, filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and was subsequently delisted from the NASDAQ. An initial distribution to shareholders took place on December 21, 2012. In view of the aforementioned distribution, NXP B.V. returned its shares in Trident. See Note 18 Investments in Equity Accounted Investees for an additional discussion of Trident.

2011

On July 4, 2011, we sold our Sound Solutions business to Knowles Electronics, LLC, an affiliate of Dover Corporation for \$855 million in cash. See Note 17 Discontinued Operations for additional information.

17 Discontinued Operations

On July 4, 2011, we sold our Sound Solutions business (formerly included in our Standard Products segment) to Knowles Electronics, LLC (Knowles Electronics), an affiliate of Dover Corporation for \$855 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a gain of \$414 million, net of post-closing settlements, transaction-related costs, including working capital settlements, cash divested and taxes, which is included in income from discontinued operations. In relation to the other costs of this disposal, liabilities were included in the accrued liabilities and provisions for continuing operations. Cash payments of \$45 million made in 2012 related to these liabilities are reported as cash flows from discontinued operations. The Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified for all periods presented to reflect the Sound Solutions business as a discontinued operation.

The following table summarizes the results of the Sound Solutions business included in the consolidated statements of income as discontinued operations for 2012 and 2011:

	2012	2011
Revenue		140
Costs and expenses		(116)
Income attributable to discontinued operations		24
Provision for income taxes		(4)
Income attributable to discontinued operations, net of taxes, before disposal		20
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations (net of taxes)	1	414
Income from discontinued operations after disposal	1	434

18 Investments in Equity-accounted Investees**Results relating to equity-accounted investees**

	2013	2012	2011
Company's share in income (loss)	7	7	(77)
Other results	51	(34)	

	58	(27)	(77)
<i>Company's share in income (loss)</i>			

	2013	2012	2011
Trident			(82)
ASMC	1	3	3
ASEN	6	4	2
	7	7	(77)

F-49

Table of Contents

On January 4, 2012, Trident and one of its subsidiaries, Trident Microsystems (Far East) Ltd., filed voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy code, in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware and was subsequently delisted from the NASDAQ. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the plan of liquidation and entered an order confirming such plan on December 13, 2012. An initial distribution to shareholders took place on December 21, 2012. In view of the aforementioned distribution, NXP B.V. returned its shares in Trident.

Other results

Other results relating to equity-accounted investees amounted to a gain of \$51 million in 2013 and a loss of \$34 million in 2012. The gain in 2013 primarily reflects a \$46 million release of the contingent liability related to an arbitration commenced by ST. By ruling of April 2, 2013, the ICC arbitration tribunal dismissed all claims made by ST in this arbitration. No appeal is available to ST. Based on this award, the provision amounting to \$46 million, established in 2012, was released. In 2012, a loss of \$46 million related to extra provisions for the above mentioned legal claim of ST and a gain of \$12 million related to a partial recovery of our equity investment in Trident are included.

Investments in equity-accounted investees

The changes in 2013 are as follows:

	Investments
Balance as of January 1	45
Changes:	
Acquisitions/additions	
Deductions	
Share in income (loss)	7
Translation and exchange rate differences	
Balance as of December 31	52

The total carrying value of investments in equity-accounted investees is summarized as follows:

	2013		2012	
	Shareholding %	Amount	Shareholding %	Amount
ASMC	27	18	27	17
ASEN	40	34	40	28
		52		45

Investments in equity-accounted investees are included in Corporate and Other.

The fair value of NXP's shareholding in the publicly listed company ASMC based on the quoted market price at December 31, 2013 is \$18 million.

19 Segments and Geographical Information

Edgar Filing: NXP Semiconductors N.V. - Form 20-F

NXP is organized into two reportable segments, High Performance Mixed Signal (HPMS) and Standard Products (SP). Corporate and Other represents the remaining portion to reconcile to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the first quarter of 2013, we moved our General Purpose Logic Product Line from our HPMS segment (Portable & Computing) to our SP segment; and our NXP software product line to our HPMS Segment (Industrial & Infrastructure) from Corporate and Other to better reflect underlying market dynamics, product

F-50

Table of Contents

complexity and the management of the business. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2013 we determined that a change to our reportable segments was warranted due to the significant decline in external revenues and costs reported by Manufacturing Operations (MO). These external results were, to a large extent, derived from revenue of wafer foundry and packaging services to our divested businesses in order to support their separation and, on a limited basis, their ongoing operations. They also reflect the ongoing results from the sale of wafers to our SSMC joint venture partner, TSMC. MO s results are also not regularly reviewed by the Chief Operating Decision Maker, or CODM to assess operating performance and allocate resources as its primary function is to manage the Company s internal manufacturing and supply chain activities and substantially all of its results are reflected within the operating segments utilizing its services. As a result, since Manufacturing Operations no longer meets the criteria for an operating segment, its results will be reflected within Corporate and Other effective the fourth quarter of 2013.

Our Chief Executive Officer, who is our CODM, regularly reviews financial information at the reporting segment level in order to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segments and to assess their performance. Segment results that are reported to the CODM include items directly attributable to a segment as well as those that can be allocated on a reasonable basis. Asset information by segment is not provided to our CODM as the majority of our assets are used jointly or managed at corporate level. Arithmetical allocation of these assets to the various businesses is not deemed to be meaningful and as such total assets per segment has been omitted.

Our HPMS business segment delivers high performance mixed signal solutions to our customers to satisfy their system and sub-systems needs across eight application areas: automotive, identification, mobile, consumer, computing, wireless infrastructure, lighting and industrial, and software solutions for mobile phones. Our SP business segment offers standard products for use across many application markets, as well as application-specific standard products predominantly used in application areas such as mobile handsets, computing, consumer and automotive. The segments each include revenue from the sale and licensing of intellectual property related to that segment.

Corporate and Other includes unallocated expenses not related to any specific business segment and corporate restructuring charges.

Because the Company meets the criteria for aggregation set forth under ASC 280 Segment Reporting , and the operating segments have similar economic characteristics, the Company aggregates the results of operations of the Automotive, Identification, Infrastructure & Industrial and Portable & Computing operating segments into one reportable segment, HPMS, and the Standard Products and General Purpose Logic operating segments into another reportable segment, SP.

Detailed information by segment for the years 2013, 2012 and 2011 is presented in the following tables.

Revenue	2013	2012	2011
HPMS	3,533	2,976	2,653
SP	1,145	1,168	1,216
Corporate and Other ⁽¹⁾	137	214	325
	4,815	4,358	4,194
Operating income (loss)	2013	2012	2011
HPMS	712	479	288

SP	39	89	200
Corporate and Other ⁽¹⁾	(100)	(156)	(131)
	651	412	357

⁽¹⁾ Corporate and Other is not a segment under ASC 280 Segment Reporting .

F-51

Table of Contents

Goodwill assigned to segments	Translation differences			Cost at December 31, 2013
	Cost at January 1, 2013	Acquisitions	and other changes	
HPMS	1,725	1	62	1,788
SP	456		16	472
Corporate and Other ⁽¹⁾	321		12	333
	2,502	1	90	2,593
	Accumulated impairment at January 1, 2013	Translation differences and other changes		Accumulated impairment at December 31, 2013
HPMS	(186)		(9)	(195)
SP	(39)		(1)	(40)
Corporate and Other ⁽¹⁾				
	(225)		(10)	(235)

⁽¹⁾ Corporate and Other is not a segment under ASC 280 Segment Reporting .

Geographical Information

	Revenue ⁽¹⁾			Property, plant and equipment		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
China	2,047	1,699	1,514	115	131	120
Netherlands	146	94	123	180	180	187
Taiwan	98	112	80	91	80	70
United States	365	303	329	6	8	9
Singapore	421	436	383	214	226	229
Germany	434	447	508	80	88	96
South Korea	294	238	216	1		
Other countries	1,010	1,029	1,041	361	357	352
	4,815	4,358	4,194	1,048	1,070	1,063

⁽¹⁾ Revenue attributed to geographic areas is based on the customer's shipped-to location (except for intellectual property license revenue which is attributable to the Netherlands).

Concentration of risk

A substantial portion of our revenue is derived from our top OEM customers, some of whom are supplied through distributors, in the automotive, identification, wireless infrastructure, lighting, industrial, mobile, consumer and computing markets. No end customer accounted for greater than 10% of the Company's revenues for the years presented. However, sales to one of our distributors, WPG, in 2013, 2012 and 2011 represented 11%, 12% and 12%, respectively, of revenue.

Furthermore, the Company is using outside suppliers or foundries for a portion of its manufacturing capacity.

We have operations in Europe and Asia subject to collective bargaining agreements which could pose a risk to the Company in the near term but we do not expect that our operations will be disrupted if such is the case.

F-52

Table of Contents**20 Subsequent Events***Expansion stock repurchase program*

On February 6, 2014, the Company announced that, effective the same date, NXP expanded its existing stock repurchase program. Under the expanded stock repurchase program, NXP may repurchase shares to cover in part employee stock options and equity rights under its long term incentive plans. The new repurchase program approved by the Board of Directors enables NXP to repurchase up to twenty-five (25) million shares of its common stock from time to time in both privately negotiated and open market transactions, subject to management's evaluation of market conditions, terms of private transactions, the best interests of NXP shareholders, applicable legal requirements and other factors. There is no guarantee as to the exact number of shares that will be repurchased under the stock repurchase program, and NXP may terminate the repurchase program at any time.

On February 19, 2014, the Company announced that it has repurchased 5 million shares of its common stock from affiliates and from funds managed or advised by KKR in a private transaction. A \$300 million draw-down under the Company's existing Revolving Credit Facility was partly used to settle this repurchase of shares. Under the same stock repurchase plan, since it was announced on February 6, 2014, NXP previously already purchased approximately 2.9 million shares of common stock in various privately negotiated and open market transactions. As a result, NXP repurchased in total approximately 7.9 million shares in NXP under its expanded stock repurchase program up to and including February 21, 2014. The repurchased shares will be used to cover in part employee stock options and equity rights under NXP's long term incentive plans. The repurchased shares are held as treasury shares and will be accounted for as a reduction of stockholders' equity.

Senior Secured Term Loan

On February 14, 2014, our subsidiary, NXP B.V. together with NXP Funding LLC entered into a new \$400 million aggregate principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due March 4, 2017. Concurrently, NXP called the \$486 million principal amount Senior Secured Term Loan Facility due March 4, 2017. A \$100 million draw-down under our existing Revolving Credit Facility was used to settle the combined transactions, as well as pay the related call premium of \$5 million and accrued interest of \$4 million. Approximately \$5 million will be used for general corporate purposes. The exchange of the called Term Loan for the new Term Loan was a non-cash financing transaction.