

NASB FINANCIAL INC
Form 10-K
December 14, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For fiscal year ended September 30, 2012

or

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 0-24033

NASB FINANCIAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Missouri
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

12498 South 71 Highway, Grandview, Missouri 64030

43-1805201
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(816) 765-2200

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$0.15 par value

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) if the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and small reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Small reporting Company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the average of the closing bid and asked price of such common equity as of March 31, 2012, was approximately \$52.0 million.

As of December 10, 2012, there were issued and outstanding 7,867,614 shares of the Registrant's common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

1. Part II - Annual report to Stockholders for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2012.
2. Part III - Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PART I

ITEM 1. Business**General Description**

NASB Financial, Inc. (the Company) was formed in 1998 as a unitary thrift holding company of North American Savings Bank, F.S.B. (North American or the Bank). The Bank is a federally chartered stock savings bank, with its headquarters in the Kansas City area. The Bank began operating in 1927, and became a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (FHLB) in 1940. Its customer deposit accounts are insured by the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF), a division of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Bank converted to a stock form of ownership in September 1985.

The Bank's primary market area includes the counties of Jackson, Cass, Clay, Buchanan, Andrew, Platte, and Ray in Missouri, and Johnson and Wyandotte counties in Kansas. The Bank currently has nine retail deposit offices in Missouri including one each in Grandview, Lee's Summit, Independence, Harrisonville, Excelsior Springs, Platte City, and St. Joseph, and two in Kansas City. North American also operates loan production offices in Kansas City, Lee's Summit and Springfield in Missouri. The economy of the Kansas City area is diversified with major employers in agribusiness, greeting cards, automobile production, transportation, telecommunications, and government.

The Bank's principal business is to attract deposits from the general public and to originate real estate loans, other loans and short-term investments. The Bank obtains funds mainly from deposits received from the general public, sales of loans and loan participations, advances from the FHLB, and principal repayments on loans and mortgage-backed securities (MBS). The Bank's primary sources of income include interest on loans, interest on MBS, interest on investment securities, customer service fees, and mortgage banking fees. Its primary expenses are interest payments on customer deposit accounts and borrowings and normal operating costs.

Weighted Average Yields and Rates

The following table presents the balances of interest-earning assets and interest-costing liabilities with weighted average yields and rates. Average balances and weighted average yields include all accrual and non-accrual loans. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	Fiscal 2012		Fiscal 2011		Fiscal 2010	
	Average Balance	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Yield/Rate
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans	\$ 954,304	5.96%	\$ 1,070,569	6.21%	\$ 1,262,456	6.22%
Mortgage-backed securities	33,455	5.15%	44,098	5.17%	65,420	4.85%
Investments	120,612	2.48%	67,624	5.88%	35,806	4.25%
Bank deposits	17,208	0.08%	11,081	0.07%	20,384	0.06%
Total earning assets	1,125,579	5.47%	1,193,372	6.09%	1,384,066	6.01%
Non-earning assets	91,936		109,262		79,656	
Total	\$ 1,217,515		\$ 1,302,634		\$ 1,463,722	
Interest-costing liabilities:						
Customer checking and savings deposit accounts	\$ 269,166	0.48%	\$ 209,737	0.50%	\$ 185,281	0.60%
Customer and brokered certificates of deposit	587,659	1.34%	674,655	2.10%	699,011	2.34%
FHLB advances	161,314	1.52%	222,551	2.17%	380,112	3.00%
Subordinated debentures	25,000	2.14%	25,000	1.98%	25,000	2.02%
Total costing liabilities	1,043,139	1.16%	1,131,943	1.81%	1,289,404	2.28%
Non-costing liabilities	14,871		14,903		6,269	
Stockholders' equity	159,505		155,788		168,049	

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

Total	\$ 1,217,515	\$ 1,302,634	\$ 1,463,722
Net earning balance	\$ 82,440	\$ 61,429	\$ 94,662
Earning yield less costing rate	4.31%	4.28%	3.73%

Ratios

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the Company's return on assets (net income divided by average total assets), return on equity (net income divided by average equity), equity-to-assets ratio (equity divided by total assets), and dividend payout ratio (total cash dividends paid divided by net income).

	Year ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Return on average assets	1.45%	(1.21)%	0.42%	1.22%	0.61%
Return on average equity	11.25%	(10.23)%	3.78%	11.74%	6.16%
Equity to assets ratio	13.82%	12.00%	11.70%	10.67%	10.05%
Dividend payout ratio	%	%	55.99%	37.84%	76.16%

The following table sets forth the amount of cash dividends per share paid on the Company's common stock during the months indicated.

	Calendar year				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
February			\$ 0.225	\$ 0.225	\$ 0.225
May				0.225	0.225
August				0.225	0.225
November				0.225	0.225

ASSET ACTIVITIES

Lending Activities

The Bank has traditionally concentrated its lending activities on mortgage loans secured by residential and business property and, to a lesser extent, development lending. The residential mortgage loans originated have predominantly long-term fixed and adjustable rates. The Bank also has a portfolio of mortgage loans that are secured by multifamily, construction, development, and commercial real estate properties. The remaining part of the Bank's loan portfolio consists of non-mortgage commercial loans and installment loans. The following table presents the Bank's total loans receivable, held for investment plus held for sale, for the periods indicated. The related discounts, premiums, deferred fees and loans-in-process accounts are excluded. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2012		2011		September 30, 2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.
Mortgage loans:										
Permanent loans on:										
Residential properties	\$ 495,144	52	493,507	42	633,943	46	492,658	34	458,087	31
Business properties	321,559	34	409,737	35	450,305	32	474,487	34	496,671	34
Partially guaranteed by VA or insured by FHA	3,950		3,947		3,801		4,771		2,812	
Construction and development	110,718	11	181,663	15	208,039	15	329,457	23	396,777	27
Total mortgage loans	931,371	97	1,088,854	92	1,296,088	93	1,301,373	91	1,354,347	92
Commercial loans	17,570	2	80,937	7	79,138	6	121,168	8	93,600	7
Installment loans to individuals	7,753	1	9,028	1	11,573	1	13,861	1	14,920	1
	\$ 956,694	100	1,386,799	100	1,386,799	100	1,436,402	100	1,462,867	100

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth information at September 30, 2012, regarding the dollar amount of loans maturing in the Bank's portfolio based on their contractual terms to maturity. Demand loans, which have no stated schedule of repayment and no stated maturity, are reported as due in one year or less. Scheduled repayments are reported in the maturity category in which the payment is due. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2013	2014 Through 2017	After 2017	Total
Mortgage loans:				
Permanent:				
- at fixed rates	\$ 32,971	69,422	338,587	440,980
- at adjustable rates	3,396	50,330	325,947	379,673
Construction and development:				
- at fixed rates	5,115	6,640		11,755
- at adjustable rates	85,960	12,345	658	98,963
Total mortgage loans	127,442	138,737	665,192	931,371
Commercial loans	16,252	1,318		17,570
Installment loans to individuals	1,013	1,729	5,011	7,753
Total loans receivable	\$ 144,707	141,784	670,203	956,694

Residential Real Estate Loans

The Bank offers a range of residential loan programs. At September 30, 2012, 52% of total loans receivable were permanent loans on residential properties. Also, the Bank is authorized to originate loans guaranteed by the Veterans Administration (VA) and loans insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA). Included in residential loans as of September 30, 2012, are \$4.0 million or less than 1% of the Bank's total loans that were insured by the FHA or VA. The Bank's residential loans come from several sources. The loans that the Bank originates are generally a result of direct solicitations of real estate brokers, builders, developers, or potential borrowers via the internet. North American periodically purchases real estate loans from other financial institutions or mortgage bankers.

At the time a potential borrower applies for a residential mortgage loan, it is designated as either a portfolio loan, which is held for investment and carried at amortized cost, or a loan held-for-sale in the secondary market and carried at fair value. All the loans on single family property that the Bank holds for sale conform to secondary market underwriting criteria established by various institutional investors. All loans originated, whether held for sale or held for investment, conform to internal underwriting guidelines, which consider, among other things, a property's value and the borrower's ability to repay the loan.

A restructuring of debt is considered a Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR) if, because of a debtor's financial difficulty, a creditor grants concessions that it would not otherwise consider. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had TDRs in its residential real estate loan portfolio of \$6.2 million. Management evaluates payment history of the loan and the modified terms to determine if a TDR should be in accrual or non-accrual status. TDRs that are placed in non-accrual status do not return to accrual status until they have made a minimum of six consecutive timely payments under the restructured terms. Loans are removed from the TDR classification after twelve consecutive months of satisfactory repayment performance under the new loan terms.

Construction and Development Loans

Construction and land development loans are made primarily to builders/developers, who construct properties for resale. As of September 30, 2012, 11% of the Bank's total loans receivable were construction and development loans. The Bank originates both fixed and variable rate construction loans, and most are due and payable within one year. In some cases, extensions are permitted if payments are current and construction has progressed satisfactorily.

During the year ended September 30, 2012, the Bank renewed a large number of loans within its construction and land development portfolio due to slower home and lot sales in the current economic environment. Such extensions were accounted for as TDRs if the restructuring was related to the borrower's financial difficulty, and if the Bank made concessions that it would not otherwise consider. In order to determine whether or not a renewal should be accounted for as a TDR, management reviewed the borrower's current financial information, including an analysis of income and liquidity in relation to debt service requirements. The large majority of these modifications did not result in a reduction in the contractual interest rate or a write-off of the principal balance (although the Bank does commonly require the borrower to make a principal reduction at renewal). If such concessions were made and the modification was the result of the borrower's financial difficulty, the extension/renewal was accounted for as a TDR. The Bank expects to collect all principal and interest, including accrued interest, during the term of the extension for all restructured loans not accounted for as a TDR. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had TDRs in its construction and development loan portfolio of \$39.8 million.

Commercial Real Estate Loans

The Bank purchases and originates several different types of commercial real estate loans. As of September 30, 2012, commercial real estate loans on business properties were \$321.6 million or 34% of the Bank's total loan portfolio. Permanent multifamily mortgage loans on properties of 5 to 36 dwelling units have a 50% risk-weight for risk-based capital requirements if they have an initial loan-to-value ratio of not more than 80% and if their annual average occupancy rate exceeds 80%. All other performing commercial real estate loans have 100% risk-weights.

A restructuring of debt is considered a TDR if, because of a debtor's financial difficulty, a creditor grants concessions that it would not otherwise consider. In order to determine whether or not a modification should be accounted for as a TDR, the Bank reviews the current financial information of the borrower(s) and, if applicable, guarantor(s), including an analysis of income, assets and credit history. In addition, a market analysis of the property is prepared. All pertinent information is considered, including debt service requirements. The majority of these modifications did not result in a reduction in the contractual interest rate or a write-down of the principal balance. If such concessions were made and the modification was the result of the borrower's financial difficulty, the extension was accounted for as a TDR. The Bank expects to collect all principal and interest, including accrued interest, for restructured loans not accounted for as a TDR. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had TDRs in its commercial real estate loan portfolio of \$17.4 million.

Installment Loans

As of September 30, 2012, consumer installment loans and lease financing to individuals represented approximately 1% of loans receivable. These loans consist primarily of loans on savings accounts and consumer lines of credit that are secured by a customer's equity in their primary residence.

Sales of Mortgage Loans

The Bank is an active seller of loans in the national secondary mortgage market. A portion of loans originated are sold to various institutional investors, along with the rights to service the loans (servicing released). Another portion are originated for sale with loan servicing rights kept by the Bank (servicing retained), or with servicing rights sold to a third party servicer. At the time of each loan commitment, management decides if the loan will be held in portfolio or sold and, if sold, which investor is appropriate. During fiscal 2012, the Bank sold \$1,796.7 million in loans with servicing released.

The Bank records loans held for sale at fair value, and any adjustments made to record them at estimated fair value are made through the income statement. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank had loans held for sale with a carrying value of \$163.8 million.

Classified Assets, Delinquencies, and Allowance for Loss

Classified Assets. In accordance with the Bank's asset classification system, problem assets are classified with risk ratings of either substandard, doubtful, or loss. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the borrower's ability to repay, or the value of collateral. Substandard assets include those characterized by a possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have the same weaknesses of those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of little value. Prior to quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank established a specific valuation allowance for such assets. In conjunction with the adoption of the Call Report during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, such assets are charged-off against the ALLL at the time they are deemed to be a confirmed loss.

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

In addition to the risk rating categories for problem assets noted above, loans may be assigned a risk rating of pass, pass-watch, or special mention. The pass category includes loans with borrowers and/or collateral that is of average quality or better. Loans in this category are considered average risk and satisfactory repayment is expected. Assets classified as pass-watch are those in which the borrower has the capacity to perform according to the terms and repayment is expected. However, one or more elements of uncertainty exist. Assets classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left undetected, the potential weakness may result in deterioration of repayment prospects.

A loan becomes impaired when management believes it will be unable to collect all principal and interest due according to the contractual terms of the loan. Once a loan has been deemed impaired, the impairment must be measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate, or to the fair value of the loan based on the loan's observable market price, or to the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Prior to the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank records a specific loss allowance equal to the amount of measured impairment. In conjunction with the adoption of the Call Report during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, such measured impairments are charged-off against the ALLL at the time they are deemed to be a confirmed loss.

Each quarter, management reviews the problem loans in its portfolio to determine whether changes to the asset classifications or allowances are needed. The following table summarizes the Bank's classified assets as reported to their primary regulator, plus any classified assets of the holding company. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2012	2011	September 30, 2010	2009	2008
Asset Classification					
Substandard	\$ 156,117	149,336	142,085	69,158	34,320
Doubtful	777				
Loss*		49,384	16,965	6,415	1,442
Total Classified	156,894	198,720	159,050	75,573	35,762
Allowance for loan/REO losses	(31,829)	(80,561)	(34,643)	(20,699)	(14,476)
Net classified assets	\$ 125,065	118,159	124,407	54,874	21,286
Net classified to total classified assets	80%	59%	78%	73%	60%

* Assets classified as loss represent the amount of measured impairment related to loans and foreclosed assets held for sale that have been deemed impaired. Prior to quarter ended March, 31 2012, the Bank established a specific valuation allowance for such assets. In conjunction with the adoption of the Call Report during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, such assets are charged-off against the ALLL at the time they are deemed to be a confirmed loss.

When a loan becomes 90 days past due, the Bank stops accruing interest and establishes a reserve for the interest accrued-to-date. The following table summarizes non-performing assets, troubled debt restructurings, and real estate acquired through foreclosure or in-substance foreclosure. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2012	2011	September 30, 2010	2009	2008
Total assets	\$ 1,240,826	1,253,584	1,434,196	1,559,562	1,516,761
Non-accrual loans	\$ 74,767	41,271	29,368	40,639	35,075
Troubled debt restructurings	63,384	81,805	23,730	23,366	
Net real estate and other assets acquired through foreclosure	17,040	16,937	38,362	10,140	6,038
Total	\$ 155,191	140,013	91,460	74,145	41,113

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

Percent of total assets	12.51%	11.17%	6.38%	4.75%	2.71%
-------------------------	--------	--------	-------	-------	-------

Delinquencies. The following table summarizes delinquent loan information.

	September 30, 2012		
	Number of Loans	Amount	Percent of Total Loans
Loans delinquent for 30 to 89 days	54	\$ 5,545	0.6%
90 or more days	119	28,063	3.0%
Total	173	\$ 33,608	3.6%

	September 30, 2011		
	Number of Loans	Amount	Percent of Total Loans
Loans delinquent for 30 to 89 days	65	\$ 8,893	0.8%
90 or more days	97	41,271	3.5%
Total	162	\$ 50,164	4.3%

The effect of non-accrual loans on interest income for fiscal year 2012 is presented below. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

Principal amount of non-accrual loans as of September 30, 2012	\$ 92,351
Gross amount of interest income that would have been recorded during fiscal 2012 if these loans had been accruing	\$ 5,554
Actual amount included in interest income for fiscal 2012	4,389
Interest income not recognized on non-accrual loans	\$ 1,165

Allowance for loan and lease losses. The Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) recognizes the inherent risks associated with lending activities for individually identified problem assets as well as the entire homogenous and non-homogenous loan portfolios. ALLLs are established by charges to the provision for loan losses and carried as contra assets. Management analyzes the adequacy of the allowance on a quarterly basis and appropriate provisions are made to maintain the ALLLs at adequate levels. At any given time, the ALLL should be sufficient to absorb at least all estimated credit losses on outstanding balances over the next twelve months. While management uses information currently available to determine these allowances, they can fluctuate based on changes in economic conditions and changes in the information available to management. Also, regulatory agencies review the Bank's allowances for loan loss as part of their examination, and they may require the Bank to recognize additional loss provisions, within their regulatory filings, based on the information available at the time of their examinations.

The ALLL is determined based upon two components. The first is made up of specific reserves for loans which have been deemed impaired in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The second component is made up of general reserves for loans that are not impaired. A loan becomes impaired when management believes it will be unable to collect all principal and interest due according to the contractual terms of the loan. Once a loan has been deemed impaired, the impairment must be measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective rate, or to the fair value of the loan based on the loan's observable market price, or to the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Prior to the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank recorded a specific allowance equal to the amount of measured impairment.

In July 2011, the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) merged with and into the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), and the OCC became the Bank's primary regulator. Beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank was required to file a Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) instead of the previously required Thrift Financial Report (TFR). With the adoption of the Call Report, the Bank was required to discontinue using specific valuation allowances on loans deemed impaired. The TFR had allowed any measured impairments to be carried as specific valuation allowances, whereas the Call Report required any measured impairments that are deemed confirmed losses to be charged-off and netted from their respective loan balances. For impaired loans that are collateral dependent, a confirmed loss is generally the amount by which the loan's recorded investment exceeds the fair value of its collateral. If a loan is considered uncollectible, the entire balance is deemed a confirmed loss and is fully charged-off. During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank charged-off against ALLL the aggregate confirmed losses that were carried as specific valuation allowances in prior periods, and netted them against their respective loan balances for reporting purposes. This change had no impact on net loans receivable as presented in the consolidated balance sheet. In addition, this change did not materially impact the analysis of ALLL, which is described in more detail in the following paragraph, as specific valuation allowances were previously considered in the determination of historical loss ratios.

Loans that are not impaired are evaluated based upon the Bank's historical loss experience, as well as various subjective factors, to estimate potential unidentified losses within the various loan portfolios. These loans are categorized into pools based upon certain characteristics such as loan type, collateral type and repayment source. In addition to analyzing historical losses, the Bank also evaluates the following subjective factors for each loan pool to estimate future losses: changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in economic and business conditions, changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio, changes in management and other relevant staff, changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, changes in the quality of the Bank's loan review system, changes in the value of the underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans, changes in the level of lending concentrations, and changes in other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements. Historical loss ratios are adjusted accordingly, based upon the effect that the subjective factors have in estimated future losses. These adjusted ratios are applied to the balances of the loan pools to determine the adequacy of the ALLL each quarter. For purposes of calculating historical loss ratios, specific valuation allowances established prior to March 31, 2012, are considered charge-offs during the periods in which they are established.

Management believes that the allowance for losses on loans and real estate owned is adequate as of September 30, 2012. The provision can fluctuate based on changes in economic conditions, changes in the level of classified assets, changes in the amount of loan charge-offs and recoveries, or changes in other information available to management. The process for determining the amount of the ALLL includes various assumptions and subjective judgments about the collectability of the loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of real estate and other assets that serve as loan collateral. In determining the appropriate amount of the ALLL, management relies on loan quality reviews, past experience, an evaluation of economic conditions, and asset valuations and appraisals, among other factors.

The Bank makes construction and development loans within the metropolitan Kansas City area. Commercial real estate loans are originated through a network of brokers throughout the United States. Residential loans are originated through retail lending offices located in the Kansas City metro area and in all fifty states through the Bank's internet lending division; however, the majority of residential real estate loans originated by the Bank are subsequently sold on the secondary market. Although the Bank's residential and commercial lending is national in scope, its concentrations are primarily in markets areas where deterioration has not been as severe as national trends. At September 30, 2012, \$351.1 million (or 45.8%) of the loans in the Bank's held to maturity portfolio were located within Kansas or Missouri, which have experienced some of the lowest declines in property values. The Bank does not have significant lending concentrations in Arizona, California, Nevada, or Florida, which have experienced some of the largest declines in property values. A disclosure of the location, by state, of real estate that secures loans in the Bank's mortgage loan portfolio is included in Footnote 6 of the consolidated financial statements, which appear in the Company's 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders.

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

During the year ended September 30, 2012, the Bank's net charge-offs totaled \$48.9 million, an increase of \$37.5 million from the prior fiscal year. Of this increase, \$3.2 million related to loans secured by residential properties, \$11.2 million related to loans secured by business properties, \$20.4 million related to construction and development loans, \$2.5 million related to commercial loans, and \$173,000 related to installment loans. These increases largely related to the elimination of the use of specific valuation allowances, in conjunction with the Bank's conversion from the TFR to the Call Report during the March 2012 quarter. Prior to the quarter ended March 31, 2012, measured impairments were recorded as specific valuation allowances and carried as contra-assets to reduce a loan's carrying value to fair value. When the Bank adopted the Call Report, the cumulative specific valuation allowances that were considered confirmed losses were charged-off and netted against their respective loans balances. For collateral dependent loans that are deemed impaired, a confirmed loss is defined as the amount by which the loan's recorded investment exceeds the fair value of its collateral. If a loan is considered uncollectible, the entire balance is deemed a confirmed loss and is fully charged-off.

The following table sets forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 70,266	32,316	20,699	13,807	8,097
Total provisions	10,500	49,394	30,500	11,250	6,200
Charge-offs on:					
Residential properties	(5,329)	(1,840)	(3,371)	(2,327)	(15)
Business properties	(15,121)	(2,186)	(1,723)	(254)	(5)
Construction and development	(27,966)	(7,164)	(13,439)	(1,326)	(362)
Commercial loans	(2,569)	(91)	(173)	(339)	
Installment loans	(699)	(499)	(178)	(132)	(123)
Recoveries on:					
Residential properties	292		1	18	4
Business properties	1,716				
Construction and development	703	327			
Commercial loans					
Installment loans	36	9		2	11
Total net charge-offs	(48,937)	(11,444)	(18,883)	(4,358)	(490)
Balance at end of year	\$ 31,829	70,266	32,316	20,699	13,807

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2012		2011		September 30, 2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.
Residential properties	\$ 6,941	22	6,675	9	4,437	14	3,680	18	1,286	9
Business properties	7,086	22	13,201	19	6,708	21	8,936	43	5,723	41
Construction and development	16,590	52	41,863	60	19,018	59	6,272	30	5,638	41
Commercial loans	513	2	7,682	11	1,015	3	1,123	6	952	7
Installment loans	699	2	845	1	1,138	3	688	3	208	2
	\$ 31,829	100	70,266	100	32,316	100	20,699	100	13,807	100

Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure

The Bank's staff attempts to contact borrowers who fail to make scheduled payments, generally after a payment is more than 15 days past due. In most cases, delinquencies are cured promptly. If a delinquency exceeds 90 days, North American will implement measures to remedy the default, such as accepting a voluntary deed for the property in lieu of foreclosure or commencing a foreclosure action. If a foreclosure occurs, the property is classified as real estate owned (REO) until the property is sold. North American sometimes finances the sale of foreclosed real estate (loan to facilitate). Loans to facilitate may involve a reduced down payment, a reduced rate, or a longer term than the Bank's typical underwriting standards. Foreclosed assets held for sale are initially recorded at fair value as of the date of foreclosure less any estimated selling costs (the new basis) and are subsequently carried at the lower of the new basis or fair value less selling costs on the current measurement date.

Management records a provision for losses on REO when, subsequent to foreclosure, the estimated net realizable value of a repossessed asset declines below its book value. The following table sets forth activity in the allowance for loss on REO. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2012	2011	September 30,		2008
			2010	2009	
Beginning allowance for loss	\$ 10,295	2,327		669	204
Provisions	4,265	11,383	2,649	727	2,050
Net recoveries (charge-offs)	(14,560)	(3,415)	(322)	(1,396)	(1,585)
Allowance for loss at year-end	\$	10,295	2,327		669

With the adoption of the Call Report during the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank was required to begin following regulatory guidance related the Call Report requirements. One such requirement resulted in a change in the treatment of specific loss reserves for foreclosed assets held for sale. Previous Thrift Financial Report guidance allowed banks to reduce an asset's carrying value through a specific allowance when the fair value declined to an amount less than its carrying value. Call Report guidance requires that the carrying value of foreclosed assets held for sale be written down to fair value through a charge to earnings. During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the Bank charged-off the previously established specific allowances on such assets. This change had no impact on net foreclosed assets held for sale as presented in the consolidated balance sheet.

Securities and Mortgage-Backed Securities Available for Sale

Management classifies securities as available for sale if the Bank does not have the intention or ability to hold until maturity. Assets available for sale are carried at estimated fair value, with all fair value adjustments recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. The Bank's portfolio of securities available for sale consists primarily of corporate debt and U. S. government sponsored agency securities.

Securities and Mortgage-Backed Securities Held to Maturity

The Bank's MBS held to maturity portfolio consists of private label collateralized mortgage obligations and securities issued by the FHLMC, FNMA, and GNMA. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank had \$40,000 in fixed rate and \$24,000 in balloon and adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities (MBS) issued by these agencies. In addition, the Bank had \$25.9 million of collateralized mortgage obligations at September 30, 2012.

Investment Securities

As of September 30, 2012, the Bank held no investment security from a single issuer for which the market value exceeded 10% of the Bank's stockholders' equity.

Source of Funds

In addition to customer and brokered deposits, the Bank obtains funds from loan and securities repayments, sales of loans held-for-sale and securities available-for-sale, investment maturities, FHLB advances, and other borrowings. Loan repayments, as well as the availability of customer deposits, are influenced significantly by the level of market interest rates. Borrowings may be used to compensate for insufficient customer deposits or to support expanded loan and investment activities.

Customer Deposit and Brokered Deposit Accounts

The following table sets forth the composition of various types of deposit accounts. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

Type of Account and Rate:	2012		2011		September 30, 2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.	Amount	Pct.
Demand deposit accounts	\$ 91,190	10	95,071	12	79,948	8	80,201	9	76,621	10
Savings accounts	126,174	14	137,174	17	88,814	10	81,572	9	71,193	9
Money market demand accounts	78,407	9	33,214	4	20,033	2	14,991	2	13,352	2
Certificates of deposit	575,175	65	519,222	64	677,764	73	520,017	57	530,449	69
Brokered accounts	21,367	2	24,994	3	66,894	7	207,844	23	77,764	10
	\$ 892,313	100	809,675	100	933,453	100	904,625	100	769,379	100
Weighted average interest rate	0.82%		1.27%		1.86%		2.23%		3.38%	

The following table presents the deposit activities at the Bank. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	For the years ended September 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Deposit receipts	\$ 1,287,090	963,763	1,219,802	1,218,488	1,390,376
Withdrawals	1,213,612	1,102,787	1,209,295	1,106,956	1,508,927
Deposit receipts and purchases in excess of (less than) withdrawals	73,478	(139,024)	10,507	111,532	(118,551)
Interest credited	9,160	15,246	18,321	23,714	32,394
Net increase (decrease)	\$ 82,638	(123,778)	28,828	135,246	(86,157)
Balance at end of year	\$ 892,313	809,675	933,453	904,625	769,379

Customers who wish to withdraw certificates of deposit prior to maturity are subject to a penalty for early withdrawal.

The following table presents contractual maturities of certificate accounts of \$100,000 or more at September 30, 2012. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

Maturing in three months or less	\$ 24,162
Maturing in three to six months	88,500
Maturing in six to twelve months	75,869
Maturing in over twelve months	24,646

FHLB Advances and Other Borrowings

FHLB advances are an important source of borrowing for North American. The FHLB functions as a central reserve bank providing credit for thrifts and other member institutions. As a member of the FHLB, North American is required to own stock in the FHLB of Des Moines and can apply for advances, collateralized by the stock and certain types of mortgages, provided that certain standards related to credit-worthiness are met.

The Bank has historically relied on customer deposits and loan repayments as its primary sources of funds. Advances are sometimes used as a funding supplement, when management determines that it can profitably invest the advances over their term. During fiscal 2012, the Bank borrowed an additional \$27.0 million in advances, repaid \$147.0 million, and as of September 30, 2012, had a balance of \$127.0 million (12% of total liabilities) of advances from the FHLB.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, certain information as to the Bank's advances from the FHLB and other borrowings. Dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

	2012	2011	September 30, 2010	2009	2008
FHLB advances	\$ 127,000	247,000	286,000	441,026	550,091
Other borrowings					
Total	\$ 127,000	247,000	286,000	441,026	550,091
Weighted average rate	1.64%	1.03%	3.44%	2.99%	4.07%

Regulation

Regulation of the Company

General

NASB Financial, Inc. (the Company) is a unitary savings and loan holding company of North American Savings Bank, F.S.B. (the Bank or North American). On July 21, 2011, supervisory responsibility for the Company was transferred from the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board or FRB), as required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act). Accordingly, the Company is required to register and file reports with the Federal Reserve Board and is subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve Board. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has enforcement authority over the Company, which also permits the Federal Reserve Board to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to present a serious risk to the Bank.

On April 30, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors entered into an agreement with the OTS, the Company's primary regulator at that time. The agreement restricts the payment of dividends or other capital distributions by the Company and restricts the Company's ability to incur, issue or renew any debt during the period of the agreement. On November 29, 2012 the Company's Board of Directors entered into a formal written agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, which replaces and terminates the Company's previous agreement with the OTS. The agreement with FRB restricts the payment of dividends or other capital distributions by the Company, restricts the Company's ability to incur, increase, or guarantee any debt, and restricts the Company's ability to purchase or redeem any of its stock. In addition, the agreement restricts the Company and its wholly-owned statutory trust, NASB Preferred Trust I, from making distributions of interest, principal, or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 was signed into law on July 30, 2002 in response to public concerns regarding corporate accountability in connection with recent accounting scandals. The stated goals of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act are to increase corporate responsibility, to provide for enhanced penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies and to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures pursuant to the securities laws. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act generally applies to all companies that file or are required to file periodic reports with the SEC, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the Company.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act includes very specific additional disclosure requirements and corporate governance rules, requires the SEC and securities exchanges to adopt extensive additional disclosure, corporate governance and other related rules. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and to state corporate law, such as the relationship between a board of directors and management and between a board of directors and its committees. The Dodd-Frank Act imposes additional disclosure and corporate government requirements and represents further federal involvement in matters historically addressed by state corporate law.

Federal Securities Law

The Company's securities are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. As such, the Company is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading, and other requirements and restrictions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Missouri Corporation Law

The Company is incorporated under the laws of the State of Missouri, and is therefore subject to regulation by the State of Missouri. In addition, the rights of the Company's shareholders are governed by The General and Business Corporation Law of Missouri.

Regulation of the Bank

General

The Bank is a federally chartered stock savings bank, formed under the authority provided in the Home Owners' Loan Act (as amended, "HOLA"). On July 21, 2011, supervisory responsibility for the Bank was transferred from the OTS to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC"), as required by the Dodd-Frank Act. Although the Bank remains subject to regulations previously promulgated by the OTS, in general, those regulations are now enforced by the OCC.

On April 30, 2010 the Bank entered into a Supervisory Agreement with the OTS, which, among other things, required the Bank to review and revise its internal asset review process, reduce its classified assets and reliance on brokered deposits, and to obtain regulatory approval prior to declaring or paying dividends or making other capital distributions. On May 22, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Bank agreed to a Consent Order with the OCC, which replaces and terminates the previous Supervisory Agreement the Bank had entered with the OTS. The Consent Order requires, like the Supervisory Agreement that it replaces, that the Bank establish various plans and programs to improve the asset quality of the Bank and to ensure the adequacy of allowances for loan and lease losses. The Consent Order also requires the Bank to obtain an independent assessment of its allowance for loan and lease losses methodology, to conduct independent third-party reviews of its commercial and construction loan portfolios and to enhance its credit administration systems. Among other items, it also requires that the Bank's written capital maintenance plan will contain objectives that ensure the Bank's Tier 1 leverage capital remains equal to or greater than 10% of adjusted total assets and that the Bank's risk-based capital remains equal to or greater than 13% of risk-weighted assets.

Activity Powers

The Bank derives its lending, investment and other activity powers primarily from HOLA, and the regulations issued thereunder. Under these laws and regulations, federal savings banks, including the Bank, generally may invest in real estate mortgages, consumer and commercial loans, certain types of debt securities and certain other assets. The Bank may also establish service corporations that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for the Bank, including certain real estate equity investments and securities and insurance brokerage activities. These investment powers are subject to

various limitations, including (1) a prohibition against the acquisition of any corporate debt security that is not rated in one of the four highest rating categories, (2) a limit of 400% of an association's capital on the aggregate amount of loans secured by non-residential real estate property, (3) a limit of 20% of an association's assets on commercial loans, with the amount of commercial loans in excess of 10% of assets being limited to small business loans, (4) a limit of 35% of an association's assets on the aggregate amount of consumer loans and acquisitions of certain debt securities, (5) a limit of 5% of assets on non-conforming loans (loans in excess of the specific limitations of HOLA), and (6) a limit of the greater of 5% of assets or an association's capital on certain construction loans made for the purpose of financing what is or is expected to become residential property.

Recent Legislation

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act implements far-reaching changes across the financial regulatory landscape, including provisions that, among other things:

Centralize responsibility for consumer financial protection by creating the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, with broad rulemaking, supervision and enforcement authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that would apply to all banks and thrifts. Smaller financial institutions, including the Bank, will be subject to the supervision and enforcement of their primary federal banking regulator with respect to the federal consumer financial protection laws.

Require new capital rules that apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements applicable to insured depository institutions to savings and loan holding companies.

Require the federal banking regulators to seek to make their capital requirements countercyclical, so that capital requirements increase in times of economic expansion and decrease in times of economic contraction.

Provide for new disclosure and other requirements relating to executive compensation and corporate governance.

Make permanent the \$250,000 limit for federal deposit insurance and provide unlimited federal deposit insurance until January 1, 2013 for non-interest bearing demand transaction accounts at all insured depository institutions.

Effective July 21, 2011, repealed the federal prohibitions on the payment of interest on demand deposits, thereby permitting depository institutions to pay interest on business transaction and other accounts.

Require all depository institution holding companies to serve as a source of financial strength to their depository institution subsidiaries in the event such subsidiaries suffer from financial distress.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on the Company and the financial services industry more generally. The elimination of the prohibition on the payment of interest on demand deposits could materially increase our interest expense, depending on how the marketplace responds. Provisions in the legislation that require revisions to the capital requirements of the Company and the Bank could require the Company and the Bank to seek additional sources of capital in the future.

Insurance of Accounts and Regulation by the FDIC

The Bank's deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) of the FDIC. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of, and to require reporting by, FDIC-insured institutions. It also may prohibit any FDIC-insured institution from engaging in any activity the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious risk to the DIF. The FDIC also has the authority to initiate enforcement actions against savings institutions, after giving the OCC an opportunity to take such action, and may terminate the deposit insurance if it determines that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or is in an unsafe or unsound condition.

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

As a result of a decline in the reserve ratio (the ratio of the DIF to estimated insured deposits) and concerns about expected failure costs and available liquid assets in the DIF, the FDIC adopted a rule requiring each insured institution to prepay on December 30, 2009 the estimated amount of its quarterly assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and all quarters through the end of 2012 (in addition to the regular quarterly assessment for the third quarter which was due on December 30, 2009). The prepaid amount is recorded as an asset with a zero risk weight and the institution will continue to record quarterly expenses for deposit insurance. For purposes of calculating the prepaid amount, assessments were

measured at the institution's assessment rate as of September 30, 2009, with a uniform increase of three basis points effective January 1, 2011, and were based on the institution's assessment base for the third quarter of 2009, with growth assumed quarterly at annual rate of 5%. If events cause actual assessments during the prepayment period to vary from the prepaid amount, institutions will pay excess assessments in cash or receive a rebate of prepaid amounts not exhausted after collection of assessments due on June 30, 2013, as applicable. Collection of the prepayment does not preclude the FDIC from changing assessment rates or revising the risk-based assessment system in the future. The rule includes a process for exemption from the prepayment for institutions whose safety and soundness would be affected adversely. In December 2009, the Bank paid the prepaid assessment of \$6.3 million, and as of September 30, 2012, the outstanding prepaid assessment was \$837,000.

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC adopted rules effective April 1, 2011, under which insurance premium assessments are based on an institution's total assets minus its tangible equity (defined as Tier 1 capital) instead of its deposits. Under these rules, an institution with total assets of less than \$10 billion will be assigned one of four risk categories based on its capital, supervisory ratings and other factors. Well capitalized institutions that are financially sound with only a few minor weaknesses are assigned to Risk Category I. Risk Categories II, III and IV present progressively greater risks to the DIF. A range of initial base assessment rates will apply to each category, subject to adjustment downward based on unsecured debt issued by the institution and, except for an institution in Risk Category I, adjustment upward if the institution's brokered deposits exceed 10% of its domestic deposits, to produce total base assessment rates. Total base assessment rates range from 2.5 to nine basis points for Risk Category I, nine to 24 basis points for Risk Category II, 18 to 33 basis points for Risk Category III and 30 to 45 basis points for Risk Category IV, all subject to further adjustment upward if the institution holds more than a de minimis amount of unsecured debt issued by another FDIC-insured institution. The FDIC may increase or decrease its rates by 2.0 basis points without further rulemaking. In an emergency, the FDIC may also impose a special assessment.

The Dodd-Frank Act establishes 1.35% as the minimum reserve ratio. The FDIC has adopted a plan under which it will meet this ratio by September 30, 2020, the deadline imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act requires the FDIC to offset the effect on institutions with assets less than \$10 billion of the increase in the statutory minimum reserve ratio to 1.35% from the former statutory minimum of 1.15%. The FDIC has not yet announced how it will implement this offset. In addition to the statutory minimum ratio the FDIC must designate a reserve ratio, known as the designated reserve ratio (DRR), which may exceed the statutory minimum. The FDIC has established 2.0% as the DRR. In addition, all institutions with deposits insured by the FDIC are required to pay assessments to fund interest payments on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation, an agency of the Federal government established to fund the costs of failed thrifts in the 1980s. For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012, the Financing Corporation assessment equaled .66 basis points. These assessments, which may be revised based upon the level of DIF deposits, will continue until the bonds mature in the years 2017 through 2019.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, beginning on January 1, 2011, all non-interest bearing transaction accounts and IOLTA accounts qualify for unlimited deposit insurance by the FDIC through December 31, 2012. NOW accounts, which were previously fully insured under the Transaction Account Guarantee Program, are no longer eligible for an unlimited guarantee due to the expiration of this program on December 31, 2010. NOW accounts, along with all other deposits maintained at the Bank, are now insured by the FDIC up to \$250,000 per account owner.

As insurer, the FDIC is authorized to conduct examinations of and to require reporting by FDIC-insured institutions. It also may prohibit any FDIC-insured institution from engaging in any activity the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious threat to the DIF. The FDIC also has the authority to take enforcement actions against banks and savings associations.

Federal Home Loan Bank System

The Bank is a member of the FHLB-Des Moines, which is one of 12 regional FHLBs that administer the home financing credit function of member financial institutions. Each FHLB serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region. It is funded primarily from proceeds derived from the sale of consolidated obligations of the FHLB System. It makes loans or advances to members in accordance with policies and procedures, established by the Board of Directors of the FHLB, which are subject to the oversight of the Federal Housing Finance Agency. All advances from the FHLB are required to be fully secured by sufficient collateral as determined by the FHLB. In addition, all long-term advances are required to provide funds for residential home financing. As a member, the Bank is required to purchase and maintain stock in the FHLB-Des Moines. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had \$7.1 million in FHLB-Des Moines stock, which was in compliance with this requirement.

Safety and Soundness Standards

Pursuant to the requirements of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA), as amended by the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994, each federal banking agency has adopted guidelines establishing general standards relating to internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director, or principal shareholder.

Prompt Corrective Action

Federal statutes establish a supervisory framework based on five capital categories: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. An institution's category depends upon where its capital levels are in relation to relevant capital measures, which include a risk-based capital measure, a leverage ratio capital measure and certain other factors. The federal banking agencies have adopted regulations that implement this statutory framework. Under these regulations, an institution is treated as well capitalized if its ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is 10% or more, its ratio of core capital to risk-weighted assets is 6% or more, its ratio of core capital to adjusted total assets (leverage ratio) is 5% or more, and it is not subject to any federal supervisory order or directive to meet a specific capital level. In order to be adequately capitalized, an institution must have a total risk-based capital ratio of not less than 8%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of not less than 4%, and a leverage ratio of not less than 4%. Any institution which is neither well capitalized nor adequately capitalized is considered undercapitalized.

Undercapitalized institutions are subject to certain prompt corrective action requirements, regulatory controls and restrictions which become more extensive as an institution becomes more severely undercapitalized. Failure by institutions to comply with applicable capital requirements would, if not remedied, result in progressively more severe restrictions on their respective activities and lead to enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, the issuance of a capital directive to ensure the maintenance of required capital levels and, ultimately, the appointment of the FDIC as receiver or conservator. Banking regulators will take prompt corrective action with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Additionally, approval of any regulatory application filed for their review may be dependent on compliance with capital requirements.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test

All savings associations, including the Bank, are required to meet a qualified thrift lender test to avoid certain restrictions on their operations. This test requires a savings association to have at least 65% of its total assets, as defined by regulation, in qualified thrift investments on a monthly average for nine out of every 12 months on a rolling basis. As an alternative, the savings association may maintain 60% of its assets in those assets specified in Section 7701(a)(19) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code). Under either test, such assets primarily consist of residential housing related loans and investments. A savings association that fails to meet the qualified thrift lender test is subject to certain operating restrictions and may be required to convert to a national bank charter. At September 30, 2012, the Bank meets the qualified thrift lender test.

Capital Requirements

Regulations require that thrifts meet three minimum capital ratios.

Leverage Limit. The leverage limit requires that thrift maintain core capital of at least 4% of its adjusted tangible assets. Core capital includes (i) common stockholders' equity, including retained earnings; non-cumulative preferred stock and related earnings; and minority interest in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, minus (ii) those intangibles (including goodwill) and investments in and loans to subsidiaries not permitted in computing capital for national banks, plus (iii) certain purchased mortgage servicing rights and certain qualifying supervisory goodwill. At September 30, 2012, intangible assets of \$2.4 million were deducted from the Bank's regulatory capital. At September 30, 2012, the Bank's core capital ratio was 14.1%.

Tangible Capital Requirement. The tangible capital requirement mandates that a thrift maintain tangible capital of at least 1.5% of tangible assets. For the purposes of this requirement, adjusted total assets are generally calculated on the same basis as for the leverage ratio requirement. Tangible capital is defined in the same manner as core capital, except that all goodwill and certain other intangible assets must be deducted. As of September 30, 2012, North American's regulatory tangible capital was 14.1% of tangible assets.

Risk-Based Capital Requirement. OCC standards require that institutions maintain risk-based capital equal to at least 8% of risk-weighted assets. Total risk-based capital includes core capital plus supplementary capital. In determining risk-weighted assets, all assets including certain off-balance-sheet items are multiplied by a risk weight factor from 0% to 100%, based on risk categories assigned by the OCC. Banking regulations categorize banks with risk-based capital ratios over 10% as well capitalized, 8% to 10% as adequately capitalized, and under 8% as undercapitalized. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank's current risk-based regulatory capital was 18.2% of risk-weighted assets.

At September 30, 2012, the Bank exceeds all capital requirements prescribed by the OCC. To calculate these requirements, a thrift must deduct any investments in and loans to subsidiaries that are engaged in activities not permissible for a national bank. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank did not have any investments in or loans to subsidiaries engaged in activities not permissible for national banks.

On May 22, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Bank agreed to a Consent Order with the OCC. Among other items, the Consent Order requires that the Bank maintain a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio equal to or greater than 10% and a risk-based capital ratio equal to or greater than 13%. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank's actual Tier 1 leverage capital and total risk-based capital ratios were 14.1% and 18.2%, respectively. The existence of individual minimum capital requirements means that the Bank may not be deemed well capitalized.

Possible Changes to Capital Requirements

The Dodd-Frank Act contains a number of provisions that will affect the capital requirements applicable to the Company and the Bank. In addition, on September 12, 2010, the Basel Committee adopted the Basel III capital rules. These rules, which may be phased in over a period of years in the United States, set new standards for common equity, tier 1 and total capital, determined on a risk-weighted basis. The impact on the Company and the Bank of the Basel III rules cannot be determined at this time.

Limitations on Capital Distributions

OCC regulations impose various restrictions on savings institutions with respect to their ability to make distributions of capital, which include dividends, stock redemptions or repurchases, cash-out mergers and other transactions charged to the capital account. Generally, savings institutions, such as the Bank, that before and after the proposed distribution are well-capitalized, may make capital distributions during any calendar year up to 100% of net income for the year-to-date plus retained net income for the two preceding years. However, an institution deemed to be in need of more than normal supervision or in troubled condition by the OCC may have its dividend authority restricted by the OCC.

Generally, savings institutions proposing to make any capital distribution need not submit written notice to the FDIC prior to such distribution unless they are a subsidiary of a holding company or would not remain well-capitalized following the distribution. Savings institutions that do not, or would not meet their current minimum capital requirements following a proposed capital distribution or propose to exceed these net income limitations, must obtain OCC approval prior to making such distribution. The OCC may object to the distribution during that 30-day period based on safety and soundness concerns.

Loans to One Borrower

Federal law provides that savings institutions are generally subject to the national bank limit on loans to one borrower. A savings institution may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of its unimpaired capital and surplus. An additional amount may be lent, equal to 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if such loan is secured by specified readily-marketable collateral.

Transactions with Affiliates

The Bank's authority to engage in transactions with affiliates is limited by FDIC regulations and by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act as implemented by the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation W. The term affiliates for these purposes generally means any company that controls or is under common control with an institution. The Company

and its non-savings institution subsidiaries would be affiliates of the Bank. In general, transactions with affiliates must be on terms that are as favorable to the institution as comparable transactions with non-affiliates. In addition, certain types of transactions are restricted to an aggregate percentage of the institution's capital. Collateral in specified amounts must be provided by affiliates in order to receive loans from an institution. In addition, savings institutions are prohibited from lending to any affiliate that is engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and no savings institution may purchase the securities of any affiliate other than a subsidiary. Federally insured savings institutions are subject, with certain exceptions, to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to their parent holding companies or other affiliates, on investments in the stock or other securities of affiliates and on the taking of such stock or securities as collateral from any borrower. In addition, these institutions are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit or the providing of any property or service. An institution deemed to be in a troubled condition must file a notice with the OCC and obtain its non-objection to any transaction with an affiliate (subject to certain exemptions).

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Sarbanes-Oxley Act) generally prohibits a company from making loans to its executive officers and directors. However, that act contains a specific exception for loans by a depository institution to its executive officers and directors in compliance with federal banking laws. Under such laws, the Bank's authority to extend credit to executive officers, directors and 10% stockholders (insiders), as well as entities which such persons control, is limited. The law restricts both the individual and aggregate amount of loans the Bank may make to insiders based, in part, on the Bank's capital position and requires certain Board approval procedures to be followed. Such loans must be made on terms substantially the same as those offered to unaffiliated individuals and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment. There is an exception for loans made pursuant to a benefit or compensation program that is widely available to all employees of the institution and does not give preference to insiders over other employees. There are additional restrictions applicable to loans to executive officers.

Federal Reserve System

The Federal Reserve Board requires that all depository institutions maintain reserves on transaction accounts or non-personal time deposits. These reserves may be in the form of cash or non-interest-bearing deposits with the regional Federal Reserve Bank. Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and other types of accounts that permit payments or transfers to third parties fall within the definition of transaction accounts and are subject to the reserve requirements, as are any non-personal time deposits at a savings bank. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank's deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank and vault cash exceeded its reserve requirements.

Community Reinvestment Act

Under the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA), every FDIC-insured institution has a continuing and affirmative obligation consistent with safe and sound banking practices to help meet the credit needs of its entire community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit an institution's discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to its particular community, consistent with the CRA. The CRA requires the FDIC, in connection with the examination of the Bank, to assess the institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its community and to take such record into account in its evaluation of certain applications, such as a merger or the establishment of a branch, by the Bank. The FDIC may use an unsatisfactory rating as the basis for the denial of an application. The Bank received a rating of satisfactory in its latest examination.

Privacy Standards

The Bank is subject to OCC regulations implementing the privacy protection provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Gramm-Leach). These regulations require the Bank to disclose its privacy policy, including identifying with whom it shares non-public personal information, to customers at the time of establishing the customer relationship and annually thereafter. The regulations also require the Bank to provide its customers with initial and annual notices that accurately reflect its privacy policies and practices. In addition, the Bank is required to provide its customers with the ability to opt-out of having the Bank share their non-public personal information with unaffiliated third parties before they can disclose such information, subject to certain exceptions. The Bank is subject to regulatory guidelines establishing standards for safeguarding customer information. These regulations implement certain provisions of Gramm-Leach. The guidelines describe the Agencies' expectations for the creation, implementation and maintenance of an information security program, which would include administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of the institution and the nature and scope of its activities. The standards set forth in the guidelines are intended to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer records and information, protect against any anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records and protect against unauthorized access to or use of such records or information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer.

Regulatory and Criminal Enforcement Provisions

The OCC has primary enforcement responsibility over savings institutions and has the authority to bring action against all institution-affiliated parties, including stockholders, attorneys, appraisers and accountants who knowingly or recklessly participate in wrongful action likely to have an adverse effect on an insured institution. Formal enforcement action may range from the issuance of a capital directive or cease and desist order to the removal of officers or directors, receivership, conservatorship or termination of deposit insurance. Civil penalties may be issued for a wide range of violations. Federal law also establishes criminal penalties for certain violations.

Taxation

The Company is subject to the general applicable corporate tax provisions of the Internal Revenue Code (Code) and the Bank is subject to certain additional provisions of the Code, which apply to savings institutions and other types of financial institutions.

Bad Debt Reserves

Prior to October 1, 1996, the Bank was allowed a special bad debt deduction for additions to tax bad debt reserves established for the purpose of absorbing losses. This deduction was either based on an institution's actual loss experience (the experience method) or, subject to certain tests relating to the composition of assets, based on a percentage of taxable income (percentage method). Under the percentage method, qualifying institutions generally deducted 8% of their taxable income.

As a result of changes in the Federal tax code, the Bank's bad debt deduction was based on actual experience beginning with the fiscal year ended September 30, 1997, as the percentage method for additions to the tax bad debt reserve was eliminated. Under the new tax rules, thrift institutions were required to recapture their accumulated tax bad debt reserve, except for the portion that was established prior to 1988, the base-year . The recapture was completed over a six-year phase-in period that began with the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999. A deferred tax liability is required to the extent the tax bad debt reserve exceeds the 1988 base year amount. As of September 30, 2012, North American had approximately \$3.7 million established as a tax bad debt reserve in the base-year. Distributing the Bank's capital in the form of purchasing treasury stock forced North American to recapture its after base-year bad debt reserve prior to the phase-in period. Management believes that accelerating the recapture was more than offset by the opportunity to buy treasury stock at lower average market prices.

Minimum Tax

For taxable years beginning after December 31, 1986, the alternative minimum tax rate is 20%. The alternative minimum tax generally applies to a base of regular taxable income plus certain tax preferences and is payable to the extent such preferences exceed an exemption amount.

State Taxation

The Bank is subject to a special financial institution state tax based on approximately 7% of net income. This tax is in lieu of all other taxes on thrift institutions except taxes on real estate, tangible personal property owned by the Bank, contributions paid to the State unemployment insurance fund, and sales/use taxes.

Other Information

Employees

As of September 30, 2012, the Bank and its subsidiaries had 436 employees. Management considers its relations with the employees to be excellent.

The Bank currently maintains a comprehensive employee benefit program including a 401(k) retirement plan, hospitalization and major medical insurance, paid vacations, paid sick leave, long-term disability insurance, life insurance, and reduced loan fees for employees who qualify. The Bank's employees are not represented by any collective bargaining group.

Competition

The Bank, like other savings institutions, is operating in a changing environment. Non-depository financial service companies such as securities dealers, insurance agencies, and mutual funds have become competitors for retail savings and investments. In addition to offering competitive interest rates, a savings institution can attract customer deposits by offering a variety of services and convenient office locations and business hours. Mortgage banking/brokerage firms compete for the residential mortgage business. The primary factors in competing for loans are interest rates and rate adjustment provisions, loan maturity, loan fees, and the quality of service to borrowers and brokers.

Materials Available on Our Website

The Bank's internet website address is www.nasb.com. We make available, free of charge, through our website copies of our Annual Report to Stockholders, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission or SEC). You may also view our Ethical Standards Policy and Code of Ethics and our Audit Committee Charter on our website. Copies of these documents are also available in print to any person who requests them.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

The following is a description of the risk factors relating to the future business, operating results and financial performance of the Bank and the Company. To the extent that any of the information contained in this report constitutes forward-looking statements, the risk factors set forth are additional cautionary statements that identify important factors that may cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by or on behalf of the Company.

If difficult market conditions continue, particularly in our geographic market, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected. We are exposed to downturns in the U.S. real estate market, particularly related to existing residential homes, new residential construction, residential development properties, and commercial real estate. The housing market has experienced dramatic declines over the past four years, greatly affected by falling home prices, increasing foreclosures, and unemployment. All of these have impacted credit performance and resulted in a significant level of write-downs of asset values by the industry, in general, and by our Company, specifically. Because of the concern about the stability of the financial markets, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing credit to borrowers, including to other financial institutions. High levels of unemployment, the weakened U.S. economy and tightening of credit have led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, a lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of overall business activity. A worsening of these conditions or prolonged economic stagnation would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on our Company and others in the financial institution industry, and could further materially increase our loan losses and further negatively impact our financial condition and operating results.

Recent changes in banking regulations could materially affect the Company's business. The current political environment is demanding increased regulation of the banking industry. Various new regulations have been imposed over the past year, with much additional regulation that has been proposed. Such changing regulation, along with possible changes in tax laws and accounting rules, may have a significant impact on the ways that financial institutions conduct their businesses, implement strategic initiatives, engage in tax planning and make financial disclosures. Complying with increased regulations may increase our costs and limit the availability of our business opportunities.

On July 21, 2010, The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act has significantly changed, and will continue to significantly change, the current bank regulatory structure and affect the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Bank's primary federal regulator, the Office of Thrift Supervision, or OTS, was eliminated in July 2011. The Bank is now subject to regulation and supervision by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or OCC,

which supervises and regulates all national banks. Existing federal savings bank holding companies, such as the Company, are now subject to regulation and supervision by the Federal Reserve Board, or FRB. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The CFPB has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit unfair, deceptive or abusive acts and practices. The CFPB has examination and enforcement authority over all banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. Banks with \$10 billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with the consumer laws by their primary bank regulators. The Dodd-Frank Act also weakens the federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings associations, and gives state attorneys general the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws. The Company does not currently have assets in excess of \$10 billion, but it may at some point in the future.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires minimum leverage (Tier 1) and risk-based capital requirements for savings bank holding companies (effective July 21, 2015) that are no less stringent than those applicable to banks, which will limit our ability to borrow at the holding company level and invest the proceeds from such borrowings as capital in the Bank, and will exclude certain instruments that previously have been eligible for inclusion by bank holding companies as Tier 1 capital, such as trust preferred securities.

It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact the Dodd-Frank Act and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs and could increase our interest expense.

The Company's performance is dependent on the economic conditions in the primary market in which it operates. The Company operates primarily within the greater Kansas City area and is influenced by the general economic conditions in Kansas City. Any further adverse changes in economic conditions in our market area could impair our ability to collect loans, obtain and retain customer deposits, and negatively impact our overall financial condition.

The current real estate market makes our concentrations in real estate lending susceptible to credit losses. Our loan portfolios are concentrated in real estate lending, which has made, and will continue to make, our loan portfolios susceptible to credit losses in the current real estate market, particularly because of continuing declines in the new home real estate market. Specifically, we have a concentration of residential real estate construction loans and residential land development, most of which are located within the metropolitan Kansas City area. Additionally, we have a concentration of commercial real estate loans that are located around the country. Because of our heightened exposure to credit losses in these concentrations, the downturns in the real estate market and the general economy have resulted in a significant increase in classified assets over the past year. If the current economic environment continues for a prolonged additional period, or deteriorates even further, the asset collateral values may further decline and may result in increased credit losses and foreclosures in these portfolios.

The Company may suffer losses in its loan portfolio despite its underwriting and loan collection practices. The Company seeks to mitigate the risks inherent in its loan portfolio by adhering to specific underwriting and loan collection practices. Underwriting practices include analysis of a borrower's prior credit history, financial statements, tax returns and cash flow projections; valuation of collateral; personal guarantees of loans to businesses; and verification of liquid assets. If the underwriting process fails to capture accurate information or proves to be inadequate, we may incur losses on loans that meet our underwriting criteria, and those losses may exceed the amounts set aside as reserves in the allowance for loan losses. Our loan collection practices have expanded to meet the increase in nonperforming loans resulting from the current economic environment, which has increased loan administration costs.

If our allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our provision for losses could increase in future periods, causing a negative impact on operating results. Our borrowers may not repay their loans according to the terms of the loans and, as a result of the declines in home prices, the collateral securing the payment of these loans may be insufficient to pay remaining loan balances. We may experience significant loan losses, which could have a material adverse impact on our operating results. When determining the adequacy of the ALLL, we make various assumptions and subjective judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of real estate and other assets that serve as collateral for the repayment of

many of our loans. In determining the adequacy of the ALLL, we rely on our loan quality reviews, our experience, our evaluation of economic conditions, and asset valuations and appraisals, among other factors. If our assumptions prove to be incorrect, our ALLL may not be sufficient to cover the losses inherent in our loan portfolio, which could result in additions to our allowance through provisions for loan losses. Material additions to our allowance would have a material adverse impact on our operating results.

The OCC, as an integral part of the regulatory examination process, periodically reviews our loan portfolio. Regulators may require us to add to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments and interpretations of information available to them at the time of their examinations. Any increase that the regulators require in our allowance for loan losses would negatively impact our operating results in the period in which the increase occurs.

The Company uses valuation methodologies, estimations and assumptions for certain assets and loan collateral which are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to asset or collateral valuations that could have an adverse material impact on the Company's financial condition or operating results. The Company uses estimates, assumptions and judgments when measuring the fair value of financial assets, liabilities and loan collateral. Fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments are based on quoted market prices, third-party appraisals and/or other observable inputs provided by third-party sources, when available. Any changes in underlying factors, assumptions or estimates in any of these areas could materially impact the Company's future financial condition and operating results.

During periods of market disruption, it may be difficult to value certain assets if comparable sales become less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. Certain classes of assets or loan collateral that were in active markets with significant observable data may become illiquid due to the current financial environment. In such cases, asset valuations may require more estimation and subjective judgment. The rapidly changing real estate market conditions could materially impact the valuation of assets and loan collateral as reported within the Company's financial statements and changes in estimated values could vary significantly from one period to the next. Decreases in value may have a material adverse impact on the Company's future financial condition or operating results.

Changes in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Our results of operations are largely dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest we earn on our earning-asset portfolio and the interest paid on our cost of liability portfolio. Market interest rates are beyond the Company's control, and they can fluctuate in response to general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, will influence market rates and prices for loan originations, purchases of investment securities, and customer deposit accounts. Changes in interest rates could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition because the majority of our assets included in the earning-asset portfolio are long-term, fixed-rate loans, while the majority of our interest-bearing liabilities are shorter term, and therefore subject to a greater degree of interest rate fluctuation. This type of risk is known as interest rate risk, and is affected by prevailing economic and competitive conditions.

The impact of changes in interest rates on the interest earning-asset portfolio is generally observed on the balance sheet and income statement in later periods than the impact of changes on liability portfolio due to the duration of assets versus liabilities, and also to the time lag between our commitment to originate or purchase a loan and the time we fund the loan, during which time interest rates may change. Interest-bearing liabilities tend to reflect changes in interest rates closer to the time of market rate changes, so the difference in timing may have an adverse effect on our net interest income.

Changes in interest rates can also have an adverse effect on our financial condition, as certain assets, including loans held for sale, are reported at their estimated fair value, and therefore may be impacted by fluctuations in interest rates.

Changes in interest rates, as they relate to customers, can also have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations. In times of rising interest rates, default risk may increase among customers with ARM loans as the rates on their loans adjust upward and their payments increase. Rising interest rate environments also entice customers with ARM loans to refinance into fixed-rate loans further exposing the Bank to additional interest rate risk. If the loan is refinanced externally, we could be unable to reinvest cash received from the resulting prepayments at rates comparable to existing loans, which subjects us to reinvestment risk. In decreasing interest rate environments, payments received will likely be invested at the prevailing (decreased) market rate. An influx of prepayments can result in an excess of liquidity, which could impact our net interest income if profitable reinvestment opportunities are not immediately available. Prepayment rates are based on demographics, local economic factors, and seasonality, with the main factors affecting prepayment rates being prevailing interest rates and competition. Fluctuations in interest rates also affect customer demand for deposit products. Local competition for deposit dollars could affect our ability to attract deposits, or could result in us paying more for deposits.

Changes in income tax laws or interpretations or in accounting standards could materially affect our financial condition or results of operations. Changes in income tax laws could be enacted or interpretations of existing income tax laws could change causing an adverse effect to our financial condition or results of operations. Similarly, our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we report our financial condition and results of operations. Some of these policies require use of estimates and assumptions that may affect the value of our assets, liabilities, and financial results. Periodically, new accounting standards are imposed or existing standards are revised, changing the methods for preparing our financial statements. Such changes are not within our control and could significantly impact our financial condition and results of operations.

The Company is subject to liquidity risk that could impair our ability to fund operations. Liquidity is essential to our business and we rely on a number of different sources in order to meet our potential liquidity demands. Our primary sources of liquidity are our retail and wholesale customer deposit accounts, cash flows from payments and sales of loans and securities, and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank. Any inability to raise or retain funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities or on terms which are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or by factors affecting the financial services industry in general. Even if funding remains available, issues of liquidity pricing could raise the Company's cost of funds and have an adverse material impact on the Company's financial condition and operating results.

Any loss of key personnel could adversely affect our operations. The Company's success is, in large part, dependent on its ability to attract and retain key employees. Management believes it has implemented effective succession planning strategies to reduce the potential impact of the loss of certain key personnel; however, because of their skill-level and experience, the unexpected loss of key personnel could have an adverse material impact on the Company's business.

We are subject to various legal claims and litigation. We are periodically involved in routine litigation incidental to our business. Regardless of whether these claims and legal actions are founded or unfounded, if such legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to us, they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the Company's reputation. In addition, litigation can be costly. Any financial liability, litigation costs or reputational damage caused by these legal claims could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company operates in a competitive industry and market area. The financial services industry in which the Company operates is rapidly changing with numerous types of competitors including banks, thrifts, insurance companies, and mortgage bankers. Consolidation in the industry is accelerating and there are many new changes in technology, products, and regulations. We believe the competition for retail deposit accounts is especially significant in our market area. The Company must continue to invest in products and delivery systems in order to remain competitive or its financial performance may be impacted negatively.

Any electronic system failure or breach to our network security could increase our operating costs or impair the Company's reputation. The Bank provides customers with electronic banking options, including online banking, bill payment services, online account opening and online loan applications. Management has implemented a layered security approach which incorporates all reasonable means of protection for its electronic services; however, there can be no absolute assurances that failures, interruptions, or electronic security breaches will not occur. The Bank outsources processing of its core data system, in addition to other systems such as online bill payment services and online account opening, to third party vendors. Prior to establishing an outsourcing relationship, and on an ongoing basis thereafter, management monitors key vendors controls and procedures related to information technology, which includes reviewing reports of service auditor's examinations performed in accordance with Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16, Reporting on Controls at a Service Organization. Should any of our electronic systems be compromised, the Company's reputation could be damaged and/or relationships with customers impaired. A loss of business could result and the Company could incur significant expenses in remedying the security breach.

The FDIC's changes in the calculation of deposit insurance premiums and ability to levy special assessments could increase our non-interest expense and may reduce our profitability. The Dodd-Frank Act required the FDIC to amend its regulations to redefine the assessment base used for calculating deposit insurance assessments. On February 9, 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule that defines the assessment base as the average consolidated total assets during the assessment period minus the average tangible equity of the insured depository institution during the assessment period. The FDIC also imposed a new assessment rate scale. Under the new system, banks will pay a base assessment at a rate between 5 and 35 basis points per assets minus tangible equity, depending upon an institution's risk category (the final rule also includes progressively lower assessment rate schedules when the FDIC's reserve ratio reaches certain levels). The rulemaking changes the current assessment rate schedule so the schedule will result in the collection of assessment revenue that is approximately the same as generated under the current rate schedule and current assessment base. Nearly all banks with assets less than \$10 billion will pay smaller deposit insurance assessments as a result of the new rule. The majority of the changes in the FDIC's final rule became effective on April 1, 2011. The FDIC has the statutory authority to impose special assessments on insured depository institutions in an amount, and for such purposes, as the FDIC may deem necessary. The change in the calculation methodology for deposit insurance premiums and the possible emergency special assessments could increase our non-interest expense and may adversely affect our profitability.

We may elect or be compelled to seek additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed. We are required by our regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. In addition, we may elect to raise additional capital to support the growth of our business or to finance acquisitions, if any, or we may elect to raise additional capital for other reasons. In that regard, a number of financial institutions have recently raised considerable amounts of capital as a result of a deterioration in their results of operations and financial condition arising from the turmoil in the mortgage loan market, deteriorating economic conditions, declines in real estate values and other factors. Although we are not aware of any requests for additional capital at this time, should we elect or be required by regulatory authorities to raise additional capital, we may seek to do so through the issuance of, among other things, our common stock or securities convertible into our common stock, which could dilute your ownership interest in the Company. The future cost and availability of capital may be adversely affected by illiquid credit markets, economic conditions and a number of other factors, many of which are outside of our control. Accordingly, we cannot assure you of our ability to raise additional capital if needed or on terms acceptable to us. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed or on terms acceptable to us, it may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

A downgrade of the United States' credit rating could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Recently, each of Moody's Investors Service, Standard & Poor's Corp. and Fitch Ratings has publicly warned of the possibility of a downgrade to the United States' credit rating. On August 5, 2011, S&P downgraded its rating of the United States' debt to AA+. Each of Moody's and Fitch has maintained its rating of U.S. debt at AAA. Any credit downgrade (whether by S&P, Moody's, or Fitch), and the attendant perceived risk that the United States may not pay its debt obligations when due, could have a material adverse effect on financial markets and economic conditions in the United States and throughout the world. In turn, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

ITEM 2. Properties

North American's main office is located at 12498 South 71 Highway, Grandview, Missouri. In addition to its main office, the Bank has nine branch offices, three loan origination offices, and one customer service office. Net book value of premises owned and leasehold improvements (net of accumulated depreciation) at September 30, 2012, was approximately \$9.4 million.

Location	Date Occupied	Owned/Leased	Lease Expiration
12498 South 71 Highway Grandview, Missouri	1972	Owned	
646 North 291 Highway Lees Summit, Missouri	1992	Owned	
8501 North Oak Trafficway Kansas City, Missouri	1994	Owned	
920 North Belt St. Joseph, Missouri	1979	Owned	
2002 East Mechanic Harrisonville, Missouri	1975	Owned	
11400 East 23 rd Street Independence, Missouri	2000	Owned	
7012 NW Barry Road Kansas City, Missouri	2001	Owned	
1001 North Jesse James Road Excelsior Springs, Missouri	2002	Owned	
12520 South 71 Highway Grandview, Missouri	2005	Owned	
2707 NW Prairie View Road Platte City, Missouri	2007	Owned	
789 NE Rice Road Lees Summit, Missouri	2008	Leased	March 2013
4350 South National, Suite A100 Springfield, Missouri	2005	Leased	July 2015
903 East 104 th Street Building C, Suite 400	2011	Leased	November 2017

Kansas City, Missouri

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various legal actions that arose in the normal course of business. There are no legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party that would have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company's 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders (the "Annual Report") contains under captions "Investor Information" and "Common Stock Prices and Dividend" the information required by Item 5 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

The Company's Annual Report contains under the caption "Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data" the information required by Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company's Annual Report contains under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" the information required by Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's Annual Report contains under the caption "Asset/Liability Management" the information required by Item 7A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Company's Annual Report contains the information required by Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference. See Item 15 below for a list of the financial statements and notes so incorporated.

ITEM 9. Change in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Finance Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the end of the period covered by this annual report.

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2012.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Audit Committee, Board of Directors and Stockholders

NASB Financial, Inc.

Grandview, Missouri

We have audited NASB Financial, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, NASB Financial, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of NASB Financial, Inc. and our report dated December 14, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Kansas City, Missouri

December 14, 2012

ITEM 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on January 28, 2013 (the Proxy Statement), contains under the captions Structure and Practices of the Board of Directors, Proposal 1 Election of Directors, Executive Officers, and Security Ownership of Beneficial Owners Section 16 Compliance the information required by Item 10 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all other officers, employees and directors. The Code of Ethics may be viewed on our website at www.nasb.com.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The Company's Proxy Statement contains under the captions Structure and Practices of the Board of Directors Compensation of Directors and Committee Members, Executive Compensation, Benefits and Compensation Committee Report the information required by Item 11 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company's Proxy Statement contains under the caption Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners the information required by Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The Company's Proxy Statement contains under the caption Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners Transactions Between the Company and its Directors, Officers or Their Affiliates the information required by Item 13 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The Company's Proxy Statement contains under the caption Proposal 4 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors Audit Fees the information required by Item 14 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which information is incorporated herein by this reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

The following consolidated financial statements of NASB Financial, Inc. and the independent accountants' report thereon which appear in the Company's 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders (Annual Report) have been incorporated herein by reference to Item 8.

Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2012, and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended September 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

Schedules are provided in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) Exhibits.

Exhibit

Number

- | | |
|------|---|
| 2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among North American Savings Bank, F.S.B., NASB Interim Savings Bank, F.S.B., and NASB Financial Inc. Exhibit 2 to Form 8-K, dated April 15, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3 | Federal Stock Savings Bank Charter and Bylaws. Exhibit 3 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 1992, dated December 27, 1992, and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of NASB Financial, Inc. Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K, dated April 15, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of NASB Financial, Inc. Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K, dated April 15, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference. |
| 10.1 | Employees' Stock Option Plan and specimen copy of Option Agreement entered into between the Company and the Plan participants. (Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-K for fiscal year ended September 30, 1986, dated December 26, 1986, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Retirement Income Plan for Employees of North American Savings Bank dated September 30, 1988, dated December 20, 1988, and incorporated herein by reference). |
| 10.3 | NASB Financial, Inc. Equity Incentive Compensation Plan adopted on October 28, 2003. (Exhibit B to the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2004 Annual Meeting and incorporated herein by reference). |
| *13 | 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders. |
| 22 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant at September 30, 2012, listed on page 1. |

23	Proxy Statement of NASB Financial, Inc. for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC (certain portions of such proxy Statement are incorporated herein by reference).
*31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)
*31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)
*32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
*32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
**101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
**101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
**101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
**101.DEF	Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
**101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
**101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith

** Furnished, not filed, herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NASB FINANCIAL, INC.

By: /s/ David H. Hancock
David H. Hancock

Chairman

Date: December 14, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on December 14, 2012, by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Signature	Title
/s/ David H. Hancock	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
David H. Hancock	
/s/ Rhonda Nyhus	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)
Rhonda Nyhus	
/s/ Keith B. Cox	Director
Keith B. Cox	
/s/ Paul L. Thomas	Director
Paul L. Thomas	
/s/ Frederick V. Arbanas	Director
Frederick V. Arbanas	
/s/ Barrett Brady	Director
Barrett Brady	
/s/ Laura Brady	Director
Laura Brady	
/s/ Linda S. Hancock	Director

Edgar Filing: NASB FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-K

Linda S. Hancock
/s/ W. Russell Welsh

Director

W. Russell Welsh