

STAR GAS FINANCE CO  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2009  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-14129

Commission File Number: 333-103873

**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P.**

# STAR GAS FINANCE COMPANY

(Exact name of registrants as specified in its charters)

<b>Delaware</b>	<b>06-1437793</b>
<b>Delaware</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>75-3094991</b> (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
<b>2187 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut</b> (Address of principal executive office)	<b>06902</b>
<b>(203) 328-7310</b>	
(Registrants telephone number, including area code)	

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).\* Yes  No

\* The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

At July 31, 2009, the registrants had units and shares of each issuer's classes of common stock outstanding as follows:

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Star Gas Partners, L.P.	Common Units	75,774,336
Star Gas Partners, L.P.	General Partner Units	325,729
Star Gas Finance Company	Common Shares	100

**Table of Contents**

**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**INDEX TO FORM 10-Q**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Part I Financial Information</b>	
Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 (unaudited) and September 30, 2008</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Partners' Capital and Comprehensive Income for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	7-18
Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19-34
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	34-35
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	35
<b>Part II Other Information:</b>	
<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	36
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	36
<u>Signatures</u>	37

**Table of Contents**

**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	September 30, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 217,416	\$ 178,808
Receivables, net of allowance of \$8,434 and \$10,821, respectively	82,245	95,691
Inventories	55,484	44,759
Fair asset value of derivative instruments	10,594	7,452
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	18,359	17,589
Total current assets	384,098	344,299
Property and equipment, net	37,064	38,829
Long-term portion of accounts receivables	473	634
Goodwill	182,933	182,011
Intangibles, net	22,475	30,861
Deferred charges and other assets, net	4,175	8,799
Total assets	\$ 631,218	\$ 605,433
<b>LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS CAPITAL</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 16,108	\$ 16,887
Fair liability value of derivative instruments	3,120	7,188
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	72,048	64,670
Unearned service contract revenue	36,873	39,085
Customer credit balances	61,311	85,408
Total current liabilities	189,460	213,238
Long-term debt	137,131	173,752
Other long-term liabilities	15,171	18,466
<b>Partners capital</b>		
Common unitholders	307,623	219,544
General partner	193	(186)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(18,360)	(19,381)
Total partners capital	289,456	199,977
Total liabilities and partners capital	\$ 631,218	\$ 605,433

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in thousands, except per unit data - unaudited)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Sales:</b>				
Product	\$ 126,404	\$ 212,229	\$ 959,433	\$ 1,234,185
Installations and service	41,265	45,838	131,586	143,112
Total sales	167,669	258,067	1,091,019	1,377,297
<b>Cost and expenses:</b>				
Cost of product	85,007	174,979	658,123	985,425
Cost of installations and service	37,627	40,451	131,439	138,313
(Increase) decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments	(9,656)	(30,043)	(15,064)	(45,983)
Delivery and branch expenses	44,295	47,231	179,389	171,985
Depreciation and amortization expenses	3,744	6,703	15,853	20,573
General and administrative expenses	5,696	4,944	16,809	13,983
Operating income	956	13,802	104,470	93,001
Interest expense	(4,119)	(5,189)	(13,487)	(15,910)
Interest income	1,305	2,131	3,593	4,984
Amortization of debt issuance costs	(564)	(592)	(1,732)	(1,747)
Gain on redemption of debt			9,740	
Income (loss) before income taxes	(2,422)	10,152	102,584	80,328
Income tax expense (benefit)	(498)	(1,695)	3,852	1,827
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,924)	\$ 11,847	\$ 98,732	\$ 78,501
General Partner's interest in net income (loss)	(8)	51	423	336
Limited Partners' interest in net income (loss)	\$ (1,916)	\$ 11,796	\$ 98,309	\$ 78,165
Basic and Diluted income (loss) per Limited Partner Unit (1)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.16	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.03
<b>Weighted average number of Limited Partner units outstanding:</b>				
Basic and Diluted	75,774	75,774	75,774	75,774

(1) See Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Net Income (Loss) per Limited Partner Unit.  
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS' CAPITAL**  
**AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(in thousands)	Number of Units		Common	General Partner	Accum. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Partners Capital
	Common	General Partner				
Balance as of September 30, 2008	75,774	326	\$ 219,544	\$ (186)	\$ (19,381)	\$ 199,977
Comprehensive income:						
Net income (unaudited)			98,309	423		98,732
Unrealized gain on pension plan obligation					1,021	1,021
<b>Total comprehensive income</b>			<b>98,309</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>1,021</b>	<b>99,753</b>
Distributions			(10,230)	(44)		(10,274)
Balance as of June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	75,774	326	\$ 307,623	\$ 193	\$ (18,360)	\$ 289,456

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

<b>(in thousands - unaudited)</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 98,732	\$ 78,501
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Increase in fair value of derivative instruments	(15,064)	(45,983)
Depreciation and amortization	17,585	22,320
Gain on redemption of debt	(9,740)	
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	9,257	10,988
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in receivables	4,350	(83,976)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(10,595)	30,895
Decrease in other assets	9,191	3,084
Decrease in accounts payable	(752)	(3,009)
Decrease in customer credit balances	(24,806)	(38,960)
Increase in other current and long-term liabilities	2,650	7,795
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</b>	<b>80,808</b>	<b>(18,345)</b>
<b>Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:</b>		
Capital expenditures	(2,495)	(2,213)
Proceeds from sales of fixed assets	153	426
Acquisitions	(3,313)	(1,685)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(5,655)</b>	<b>(3,472)</b>
<b>Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:</b>		
Revolving credit facility borrowings		57,161
Revolving credit facility repayments		(57,161)
Repayment of debt	(26,271)	
Distributions	(10,274)	
Increase in deferred charges		(144)
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(36,545)</b>	<b>(144)</b>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>38,608</b>	<b>(21,961)</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</b>	<b>178,808</b>	<b>112,886</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 217,416</b>	<b>\$ 90,925</b>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.



---

**Table of Contents**

**STAR GAS PARTNERS, L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)**

**1) Partnership Organization**

Star Gas Partners, L.P. ( Star Gas Partners, the Partnership, we, us, or our ) is a home heating oil distributor and services provider with one reportable operating segment that principally provides services to residential and commercial customers to heat their homes and buildings. Star Gas Partners is a master limited partnership, which at June 30, 2009, had outstanding 75.8 million common units (NYSE: SGU ) representing 99.6% limited partner interest in Star Gas Partners, and 0.3 million general partner units, representing 0.4% general partner interest in Star Gas Partners.

The Partnership is organized as follows:

The general partner of the Partnership is Kestrel Heat, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ( Kestrel Heat or the general partner ). The Board of Directors of Kestrel Heat is appointed by its sole member, Kestrel Energy Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ( Kestrel ).

The Partnership's operations are conducted through Petro Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries ( Petro ). Petro is a Minnesota corporation that is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership. Petro is a Northeast and Mid-Atlantic region retail distributor of home heating oil that at June 30, 2009 served approximately 381,000 full-service residential and commercial home heating oil customers, and 7,000 propane customers. Petro also sold home heating oil, gasoline and diesel fuel to approximately 35,000 customers on a delivery only basis. In addition, Petro installed, maintained, and repaired heating and air conditioning equipment for its customers, and provided ancillary home services, including home security and plumbing, to approximately 11,000 customers.

Star Gas Finance Company is a 100% owned subsidiary of the Partnership. Star Gas Finance Company serves as the co-issuer, jointly and severally with the Partnership, of the Partnership's \$137.1 million 10.25% Senior Notes, which are due in 2013. The Partnership is dependent on distributions including inter-company interest payments from its subsidiaries to service the Partnership's debt obligations. The distributions from the Partnership's subsidiaries are not guaranteed and are subject to certain loan restrictions. Star Gas Finance Company has nominal assets and conducts no business operations.

**2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation*

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Star Gas Partners, L.P. and its subsidiaries. All material intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The financial information included herein is unaudited; however, such information reflects all adjustments (consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments), which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair statement of financial condition and results for the interim periods. Due to the seasonal nature of the Partnership's business, the results of operations for the nine-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

These interim financial statements of the Partnership have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and should be read in conjunction with the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2008.

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results

could differ from those estimates.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Revenue Recognition*

Sales of heating oil and other fuels are recognized at the time of delivery of the product to the customer and sales of heating and air conditioning equipment are recognized at the time of installation. Revenue from repairs and maintenance service is recognized upon completion of the service. Payments received from customers for heating oil equipment service contracts are deferred and amortized into income over the terms of the respective service contracts, on a straight-line basis, which generally do not exceed one year. To the extent that the Partnership anticipates that future costs for fulfilling its contractual obligations under its service maintenance contracts will exceed the amount of deferred revenue currently attributable to these contracts, the Partnership recognizes a loss in current period earnings equal to the amount that anticipated future costs exceed related deferred revenues.

### *Cost of Product*

Cost of product includes the cost of heating oil, diesel, kerosene, heavy oil, gasoline, throughput costs, barging costs, option costs, and realized gains/losses on closed derivative positions for product sales.

### *Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

The Partnership periodically reviews past due customer accounts receivable balances. After giving consideration to economic conditions, overdue status and other factors, it establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts, representing the Partnership's best estimate of amounts that may not be collectible.

### *Allocation of Net Income (Loss)*

Net income (loss) for partners' capital and statement of operations is allocated to the general partner and the limited partners in accordance with their respective ownership percentages, after giving effect to cash distributions paid to the general partner in excess of its ownership interest, if any.

### *Net Income (Loss) per Limited Partner Unit*

Income per limited partner unit is computed in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 03-6, Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128 (EITF 03-6), by dividing the limited partners' interest in net income by the weighted average number of limited partner units outstanding. The pro forma nature of the allocation required by EITF 03-06 provides that in any accounting period where the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distribution for such period, the Partnership is required to present net income per limited partner unit as if all of the earnings for the periods were distributed, regardless of whether those earnings would actually be distributed during a particular period from an economic or practical perspective. This allocation does not impact the Partnership's overall net income or other financial results. However, for periods in which the Partnership's aggregate net income exceeds its aggregate distributions for such period, it will have the impact of reducing the earnings per limited partner unit, as the calculation according to the two-class method results in a theoretical increased allocation of undistributed earnings to the general partner. In accounting periods where aggregate net income does not exceed aggregate distributions for such period, EITF 03-06 does not have any impact on the Partnership's net income per limited partner unit calculation. A separate and independent calculation for each quarter and year-to-date period is required.

Until the quarter ended March 31, 2009, either the partners had no rights to accrue or receive distributions, or the earnings of the period did not exceed the aggregate distributions.

**Table of Contents**

The following presents the net income allocation and per unit data using this method for the periods presented:

<b>Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Limited Partner: (in thousands, except per unit data)</b>	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,924)	\$ 11,847	\$ 98,732	\$ 78,501
Less General Partners' interest in net income (loss)	(8)	51	423	336
Net income (loss) available to limited partners	(1,916)	11,796	98,309	78,165
Dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under EITF 03-06			17,125	
Limited Partners' interest in net income (loss) under EITF 03-06	\$ (1,916)	\$ 11,796	\$ 81,184	\$ 78,165
<b>Per unit data:</b>				
Net income (loss) available to limited partners	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.16	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.03
Dilutive impact of theoretical distribution of earnings under EITF 03-06			0.23	
Limited Partners' interest in net income (loss) under EITF 03-06	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.16	\$ 1.07	\$ 1.03
Weighted average number of Limited Partner units outstanding	75,774	75,774	75,774	75,774

*Cash Equivalents*

The Partnership considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less, when purchased, to be cash equivalents.

*Inventories*

The Partnership's inventory of heating oil and other fuels are stated at the lower of cost computed on the weighted average cost (WAC) method, or market. All other inventories, representing parts and equipment are stated at the lower of cost computed on the FIFO method, or market.

<b>(in thousands)</b>	<b>June 30, 2009</b>	<b>September 30, 2008</b>
Heating oil and other fuels	\$ 41,120	\$ 30,208
Fuel oil parts and equipment	14,364	14,551
	\$ 55,484	\$ 44,759

*Derivatives and Hedging Disclosures and Fair Value Measurements*

The Partnership uses derivative instruments such as futures, options, and swap agreements, in order to mitigate our exposure to market risk associated with the purchase of home heating oil for our price-protected customers, physical inventory on hand, inventory in transit and priced purchase commitments.

To hedge a substantial majority of the purchase price associated with heating oil gallons anticipated to be sold to its price-protected customers as of June 30, 2009, the Partnership had 4.2 million gallons of swap contracts to buy heating oil, and 0.3 million of futures contracts to sell heating oil, along with 58.4 million gallons of call options and 2.9 million gallons of put options. The Partnership also had synthetic calls (a swap combined with two offsetting puts at different prices) of 3.9 million net gallons. In addition, to hedge the inter-month differentials for our price protected customers, its physical inventory on hand, and inventory in transit, the Partnership at June 30, 2009 had 40.2 million gallons of future contracts to buy heating oil, 44.9 million gallons of future contracts to sell heating oil, and 21.5 million gallons of swap contracts to sell heating

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

oil.

To hedge its internal fuel usage the Partnership had 0.4 million gallons of future contracts and 1.5 million gallons of swap contracts to buy gasoline and 1.4 million gallons of swap contracts to buy diesel.

These derivative instruments are with the following counterparties: Cargill, Inc., Wachovia Bank, NA, JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA, Newedge USA, LLC, Bank of America, N.A., Key Bank National Association, Societe Generale, RBS Sempra, and Credit Suisse. At June 30, 2009, the aggregate cash posted as collateral in the normal course of business at counterparties was \$1.8 million.

**Table of Contents**

Statements of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities ( SFAS No. 133 ), and SFAS No. 161 Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, established accounting and reporting standards requiring that derivative instruments be recorded at fair value and included in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities, along with qualitative disclosures regarding the derivative activity. To the extent derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are effective and SFAS No. 133 documentation requirements have been met, changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the underlying hedged item is recognized in earnings. Currently, the Partnership has elected not to designate its derivative instruments as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133, and the change in fair value of the derivative instruments are recognized in our statement of operations in the line item (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments. Realized gains and losses are recorded in cost of product.

Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Statement No. 157 Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS No. 157 ), establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which classifies the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The Partnership's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are listed on the following table. The Partnership had no assets or liabilities that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition. All derivative instruments were non-trading positions. The market prices used to value the Partnership's derivatives have been determined using the New York Mercantile Exchange ( NYMEX ) and independent third party prices.

(In thousands)

**Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:****Derivatives Not****Designated as Hedging****Instruments Under****Statement 133**

at June 30, 2009

Balance Sheet Location

Total

Quoted Prices in  
Active Markets for  
Identical  
Assets  
Level 1

Significant Other  
Observable Inputs  
Level 2

Significant  
Unobservable  
Inputs  
Level 3

**Asset Derivatives**

Commodity contracts	Fair asset value of derivative instruments	\$ 19,335	\$ 1,651	\$ 17,684	\$
Commodity contracts	Long-term derivative assets included in the deferred charges and other assets, net balance	471	165	306	
<b>Commodity contract assets</b>		<b>\$ 19,806</b>	<b>\$ 1,816</b>	<b>\$ 17,990</b>	<b>\$</b>

**Liability Derivatives**

Commodity contracts	Fair liability value of derivative instruments	\$ (11,862)	\$ (3,437)	\$ (8,425)	\$
<b>Commodity contract liabilities</b>		<b>\$ (11,862)</b>	<b>\$ (3,437)</b>	<b>\$ (8,425)</b>	<b>\$</b>

**Table of Contents**

(In thousands)

**The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Operations**

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Statement 133	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	
		Three Months Ended June 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009
Commodity contracts	Cost of product (a)	\$ (13,100)	\$ (76,049)
Commodity contracts	Increase / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments	\$ 9,656	\$ 15,064

(a) Represents realized closed positions and includes the cost of options as they expire.

*Weather Hedge Contract*

The Partnership's weather hedge contract is recorded in accordance with the intrinsic value method defined by the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 99-2, Accounting for Weather Derivatives. The premium paid is amortized over the life of the contract and the intrinsic value method is applied at each interim period. In August 2009, the Partnership purchased a weather hedge for fiscal 2010.

*Property, Plant, and Equipment*

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed over the estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets using the straight-line method.

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 133,462	\$ 130,916
Less: accumulated depreciation	96,398	92,087
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 37,064	\$ 38,829

*Goodwill and Intangible Assets*

Goodwill and intangible assets include goodwill, customer lists and covenants not to compete.

Goodwill is the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets in the acquisition of a company. In accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but instead are annually tested for impairment. Also in accordance with this standard, intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment. The Partnership performs its annual impairment review during its fiscal fourth quarter or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the value of goodwill might be impaired. This review is required to be performed in two steps, step one to test for a potential impairment of goodwill by comparing the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to the net assets and, if potential losses are identified, step two to measure the impairment loss via a full fair valuing of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit utilizing the purchase method of accounting.

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Customer lists are the names and addresses of an acquired company's customers. Based on historical retention experience, these lists are amortized on a straight-line basis over eight to ten years.

Trade names are the names of acquired companies. Based on the economic benefit expected and historical retention experience of customers, trade names are amortized on a straight-line basis over eight to ten years.

Covenants not to compete are agreements with the owners of acquired companies and are amortized over the respective lives of the covenants on a straight-line basis, which are generally five years.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Partners' Capital*

Comprehensive income includes net income (loss), plus certain other items that are recorded directly to partners' capital. Accumulated other comprehensive income reported on the Partnerships' consolidated balance sheets consists of unrealized losses on pension plan obligations. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, comprehensive loss was \$(1.6) million, comprised of net loss of \$(2.0) million and an unrealized gain on pension plan obligation of \$0.4 million. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, comprehensive income was \$12.1 million, comprised of net income of \$11.8 million and an unrealized gain on pension plan obligation of \$0.3 million.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, comprehensive income was \$99.8 million, comprised of net income of \$98.7 million and an unrealized gain on pension plan obligation of \$1.0 million. For the nine months ended June 30, 2008, comprehensive income was \$79.2 million, comprised of net income of \$78.5 million and an unrealized gain on pension plan obligation of \$0.7 million.

### *Income Taxes*

The Partnership is a master limited partnership and is not subject to tax at the entity level for federal and state income tax purposes. Rather, income and losses of the Partnership are allocated directly to the individual partners. Except for the Partnership's corporate subsidiaries, no recognition has been given to federal income taxes in the accompanying financial statements of the Partnership. While the Partnership's corporate subsidiaries will generate non-qualifying Master Limited Partnership revenue, distributions from the corporate subsidiaries to the Partnership are generally included in the determination of qualified Master Limited Partnership income. All or a portion of the distributions received by the Partnership from the corporate subsidiaries could be taxable as either a dividend or capital gain to the partners.

In December 2008, the Partnership repurchased \$10.0 million face value of its 10.25 % Senior Notes and recorded a gain of \$3.5 million. This gain was taxable to unit holders in calendar year 2008. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Partnership acquired an additional \$26.3 million face value of its 10.25 % Senior Notes and recorded an additional gain of \$6.2 million, which will be taxable to unit holders in calendar year 2009.

The accompanying financial statements are reported on a fiscal year, however, the Partnership and its Corporate subsidiaries file state and Federal income tax returns on a calendar year.

As of the calendar year ended December 31, 2008, Star/Petro, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership, had an estimated federal net operating loss carryforward ( NOL ) of \$80 million.

For corporate subsidiaries of the Partnership, a consolidated Federal income tax return is filed. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is recognized if based on the weight of available evidence including historical tax losses, it is more likely than not that some or all of deferred tax assets will not be realized.

In accordance to the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) Interpretation No. 48 (As amended) Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ( FIN 48 ), we recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, if that position is more likely than not to be sustained under audit, based on the technical merits of the position.

At June 30, 2009, we had unrecognized income tax benefits totaling \$0.5 million and related accrued interest and penalties of \$0.1 million. These unrecognized tax benefits are primarily the result of state and local income tax uncertainties. If recognized essentially all of the tax benefits and related interest and penalties would reduce future tax expense.

We believe that the total liability for unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by \$0.1 million during the next 12 months ending June 30, 2010. Our continuing practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state and local jurisdictions. A number of years may elapse before an uncertain tax position is audited and finally resolved. For our Federal income tax returns we have four tax years subject to examination. In our major state tax jurisdictions of New York, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey, we have three, three, four, and four tax years, respectively, that are subject to examination. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular uncertain tax position, based on our assessment of



**Table of Contents**

many factors including past experience and interpretation of tax law, we believe that our provision for income taxes reflect the most probable outcome. This assessment relies on estimates and assumptions and may involve a series of complex judgments about future events.

*Sales, Use and Value Added Taxes*

Taxes are assessed by various governmental authorities on many different types of transactions. Sales reported for product, installation and service exclude taxes.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements*

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the Partnership adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157 Fair Value Measurements ( SFAS No. 157 ), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Partnership adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 161 Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities ( SFAS No. 161 ) which amends and expands the disclosure requirements of Statement No. 133. SFAS No. 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Partnership adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 165 Subsequent Events ( SFAS No. 165 ). This statement was issued in May 2009, and is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. SFAS No. 165 establishes principles and requirements for events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141(revised 2007), Business Combinations ( SFAS No. 141R ). SFAS No. 141R establishes in a business combination principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures identifiable assets acquired, goodwill acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interests. SFAS No. 141R is effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Partnership is required to adopt SFAS No. 141R in fiscal 2010. The Partnership is currently assessing the impact of adopting SFAS No. 141R.

**3) Goodwill and Intangibles, net**

**Goodwill**

A summary of changes in the Partnership's goodwill is as follows (in thousands):

Balance as of September 30, 2008	\$ 182,011
Fiscal year 2009 acquisitions	922
<b>Balance as of June 30, 2009</b>	<b>\$ 182,933</b>

The Partnership performed its annual goodwill impairment valuation as of August 31, 2008 and concluded that no goodwill impairment existed. As part of this step one test (see Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Goodwill and Intangible Assets) the Partnership estimates the fair value of its sole reporting unit utilizing two generally accepted approaches: the Income Approach and the Market Approach. The Income Approach uses management's projections of cash flows, market trends and other factors to determine a value of the reporting unit based on discounted cash flows. The Market Approach determines a fair value of the reporting unit based (a) on the results of comparable publicly traded companies and their current market values and (b) on transactions involving comparable companies. In addition the Partnership reconciles the estimated fair value of the reporting unit determined in the step one test to its market capitalization as an additional reasonableness test.

The cash flow projections, discount rate assumption and transaction multiples used by the Partnership to estimate the fair value of its reporting unit are based on subjective estimates. Although the Partnership believes that its projections reflect its best estimates of future performance, changes in estimated revenues, per gallon margins or in discount rates could have an impact on the estimated fair values. Any increase in estimated cash flows or a decrease in the discount rate would not have an impact on the carrying value of the goodwill. A decrease in future estimated cash flows or an increase in the discount rate could require the Partnership to determine whether the recognition of a goodwill

impairment charge was required. To

**Table of Contents**

provide for a sensitivity of the discount rates and transaction multiples used, ranges of high and low values are employed in the analysis, with the low values examined to ensure that a reasonable change in an assumption would not cause the Partnership to reach a different conclusion.

Since the Partnership's book value was greater than its market capitalization as of June 30, 2009 (as was also the case at August 31, 2008), the Partnership performed a goodwill analysis as of June 30, 2009, which included a reconciliation of its book value to its market capitalization with the calculation of a reasonable control premium. It was determined based on this analysis that there was no goodwill impairment as of June 30, 2009. The preparation of this analysis was based upon management's estimates and assumptions, and future impairment assessments may be affected if actual results are materially different from results currently projected.

**Intangibles, net**

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets subject to amortization is as follows:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2009			September 30, 2008		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accum. Amortization	Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Accum. Amortization	Net
Customer lists and other intangibles	\$ 204,357	\$ 181,882	\$ 22,475	\$ 201,865	\$ 171,004	\$ 30,861

Amortization expense for intangible assets and deferred charges was \$11.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$14.9 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Total estimated annual amortization expense related to intangible assets subject to amortization and deferred charges, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, and the four succeeding fiscal years ending September 30, is as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Annual Amortization Expense
2009	\$ 12,955
2010	\$ 7,798
2011	\$ 5,747
2012	\$ 1,380
2013	\$ 1,378

**4) Acquisitions**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009 the Partnership acquired one retail heating oil dealer. The aggregate purchase price was approximately \$4.0 million, reduced by working capital credits of \$0.7 million.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2008 the Partnership acquired four retail heating oil dealers. The aggregate purchase price was approximately \$1.7 million.

The acquired assets and assumed liabilities were recorded at fair value based on valuations and estimates. The excess of the cost of acquired net assets over fair value was recorded as goodwill. Estimates used to determine the fair value of acquisitions made within the previous twelve months may be subject to change.

The following table indicates the allocation of the aggregate purchase price paid and the respective periods of amortization assigned for the acquisitions made as of June 30, 2009 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	Useful Lives
Fleet	\$ 558	8 years

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

Customer lists and other intangibles	2,372	8 years
Goodwill	922	
Trade names	120	8 years
Working capital	(659)	
Total	\$ 3,313	

**Table of Contents****5) Long-Term Debt and Bank Facility Borrowings**

The Partnership's long-term debt is as follows (in thousands):

	At June 30, 2009		At September 30, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
10.25% Senior Notes (a)	\$ 137,131	\$ 137,131	\$ 173,752	\$ 150,293
Revolving Credit Facility Borrowings (b)				
<b>Total debt</b>	<b>\$ 137,131</b>	<b>\$ 137,131</b>	<b>\$ 173,752</b>	<b>\$ 150,293</b>
Total long-term portion of debt	\$ 137,171	\$ 137,171	\$ 173,752	\$ 150,293

- (a) These notes mature in February 2013 and accrue interest at an annual rate of 10.25% requiring semi-annual interest payments on February 15 and August 15 of each year. The net premium on these notes were \$0.7 million at June 30, 2009 and \$1.0 million at September 30, 2008.

Year-to-date of fiscal year 2009, the Partnership repurchased in total \$36.3 million (face value) of these notes and recorded a total gain of \$9.7 million (See footnote 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Income Taxes and footnote 9. Subsequent Events).

- (b) In July 2009, the Partnership entered into an amended and restated asset based revolving credit facility agreement with a bank syndication comprised of nine banks. This amended facility, that extends to July 2012, provides the Partnership with the ability to borrow up to \$240 million (\$290 million during the heating season from November to April each year) for working capital purposes (subject to certain borrowing base limitations and coverage ratios), including the issuance of up to \$100 million in letters of credit. The Partnership can increase the facility size by \$50 million without the consent of the bank group. The bank group is not obligated to fund the \$50 million increase. If the bank group elects not to fund the increase, the Partnership can add additional lenders to the group, with the consent of the Agent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. (See footnote 9. Subsequent Events).

The Partnership has not borrowed under the revolving credit facility for the last twelve months. At June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008 letters of credit of \$45.6 million and \$56.1 million were issued, respectively.

**Limitations**

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information, open market quotations and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

**Table of Contents****6) Employee Pension Plan**

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Components of net periodic benefit cost:</b>				
Service cost	\$	\$	\$	\$
Interest cost	935	893	2,805	2,679
Expected return on plan assets	(728)	(916)	(2,184)	(2,748)
Net amortization	340	245	1,020	735
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 547	\$ 222	\$ 1,641	\$ 666

The Partnership expects to contribute approximately \$2.1 million to fund its pension obligations for fiscal 2009, of which approximately \$1.1 million in payments have been made.

**7) Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information**

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<b>Cash paid during the period for:</b>		
Income taxes, net	\$ 1,665	\$ 1,389
Interest	\$ 10,420	\$ 11,317
Non-cash financing activities:		
Decrease in interest expense amortization of net debt premium	\$ 132	\$ 140
Decrease in net debt premium attributable to redemption of debt	\$ 199	\$

**8) Commitments and Contingencies**

On or about October 21, 2004, a purported class action lawsuit on behalf of a purported class of unitholders was filed against the Partnership and various subsidiaries and officers and directors in the United States District Court of the District of Connecticut entitled *Carter v. Star Gas Partners, L.P., et al*, No. 3:04-cv-01766-IBA, et al. Subsequently, 16 additional class action complaints, alleging the same or substantially similar claims, were filed in the same district court collectively referred to herein as the *Class Action Complaints* ). The class actions have been consolidated into one action entitled *In re Star Gas Securities Litigation*, No 3:04cv1766 (JBA).

The class action plaintiffs generally allege that the Partnership violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated hereunder, by purportedly failing to disclose, among other things: (1) problems with the restructuring of Star Gas dispatch system and customer attrition related thereto; (2) that Star Gas business process improvement program was not generating the benefits allegedly claimed; (3) that Star Gas was struggling to maintain its profit margins; (4) that Star Gas's fiscal 2004 second quarter profit margins were not representative of its ability to pass on heating oil price increases; and (5) that Star Gas was facing an inability to pay its debts and that, as a result, its credit rating and ability to obtain future financing was in jeopardy. The class action plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount of compensatory damages including interest against the defendants jointly and severally and an award of reasonable costs and expenses. On February 23, 2005, the Court consolidated the Class Action Complaints and heard argument on motions for the appointment of lead plaintiff. On April 8, 2005, the Court appointed the lead plaintiff. Pursuant to the Court's order, the lead plaintiff filed a consolidated amended complaint on June 20, 2005 (the *Consolidated Amended Complaint* ). The Consolidated Amended Complaint named: (a) Star Gas Partners, L.P.; (b) Star Gas LLC; (c) Irik Sevin; (d) Audrey Sevin; (e) Hanseatic Americas, Inc.; (f) Paul Biddelman; (g) Ami Trauber; (h) A.G. Edwards & Sons Inc.; (i) UBS Investment Bank; and (j) RBC Dain Rauscher Inc. as defendants. The Consolidated Amended Complaint added claims arising out of two registration statements and the same transactions under Sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 as well as certain allegations concerning the Partnership's hedging practices. On September 23, 2005, defendants filed motions to dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint for failure to state a claim under the federal securities laws and failure to satisfy the applicable pleading requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 or PSLRA, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On July 27, 2006, the Court heard oral



## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

argument on the pending motions to dismiss. On August 21, 2006, the court issued its rulings on defendants' motions to dismiss, granting the motions and

---

**Table of Contents**

dismissing the consolidated amended complaint in its entirety. On August 23, 2006, the court entered a judgment of dismissal. On September 7, 2006, the plaintiffs moved for reconsideration and to alter and reopen the court's August 23, 2006 judgment of dismissal and for leave to file a second consolidated amended complaint ( Plaintiffs' Post-Judgment Motion ). On October 20, 2006, defendants filed their memorandum of law in opposition to the Plaintiffs' Post-Judgment Motion. Plaintiffs filed their reply brief on or about November 20, 2006. On March 22, 2007 the Court issued its decision denying Plaintiffs' Post-Judgment Motion.

On April 3, 2007, the Star Gas Defendants filed a Motion for a Mandatory Rule 11 Inquiry and fee shifting ( Rule 11 Motion ) which seeks recovery of Defendants' legal fees pursuant to the PSLRA. On April 24, 2007, class plaintiffs filed their opposition to that motion. The Star Gas Defendants' reply was filed on May 8, 2007. On May 15, 2009, the District Court notified the parties that due to the Court's view that decision on the Rule 11 Motion should await the conclusion of the appellate process and the pendency of the Rule 11 Motion for over two years, while awaiting a decision from the Second Circuit on plaintiffs' appeal, the Court proposed to deny the Rule 11 Motion without prejudice to refile following the issuance of the Second Circuit's decision in the matter. On May 18, 2009, the Star Gas Defendants consented to the District Court's request, specifically noting that it was without prejudice to refile the Motion following issuance of the Second Circuit's decision.

On April 20, 2007, class plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit of Judge Arterton's decisions dismissing the amended complaint and denying Plaintiffs' Post-Judgment Motion. Subsequent to the filing of the notice of appeal, class plaintiffs stipulated to the dismissal of the appeal as against Hanseatic Americas, Inc., Paul Biddelman, A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc., RBC Dain Rauscher Inc., UBS Investment Bank, and Audrey Sevin. On or about July 6, 2007, class plaintiffs filed their brief on appeal. The Star Gas Defendants filed their opposition brief on or about August 21, 2007, and class plaintiffs filed their reply brief on or about September 11, 2007. Oral argument was held in December 2008 and a decision is awaited. In the interim, discovery in the matter remains stayed pursuant to the mandatory stay provisions of the PSLRA. While no prediction may be made as to the outcome of litigation, we intend to defend against this class action vigorously.

In the event that the above action is decided adversely to us, it could have a material effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Partnership has not accrued any amount for this action because, based on the court's judgment of dismissal, we believe an unfavorable outcome is not probable.

The Partnership's operations are subject to all operating hazards and risks normally incidental to handling, storing and transporting and otherwise providing for use by consumers of combustible liquids such as home heating oil and propane. As a result, at any given time the Partnership is a defendant in various legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Partnership maintains insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverages and deductibles we believe are reasonable and prudent. However, the Partnership cannot assure that this insurance will be adequate to protect it from all material expenses related to potential future claims for personal and property damage or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economical prices. In the opinion of management, except as described above the Partnership is not a party to any litigation, which individually or in the aggregate could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

**9) Subsequent Events**

Subsequent events have been evaluated up to August 5, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued.

**Debt and Unit Repurchase Authorized**

In July 2009, the Board of Directors of the Partnership's General Partner authorized both the repurchase of up to \$20 million in face amount of the Partnership's 10.25% notes due 2013 and up to 7.5 million of the Partnership's common units. Repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or in such other manner deemed appropriate by management. The program does not have a time limit. The Partnership's repurchase activities will take into account SEC safe harbor rules and guidance for issuer repurchases.

On July 28, 2009 the Partnership repurchased \$1.8 million in face value of its 10.25% notes reducing the outstanding amount to \$135.4 million.

**Table of Contents**

**Amended and Restated Asset Based Revolving Credit Facility**

As described in the Partnership's July 7, 2009, Form 8-K, a subsidiary of the Partnership entered into an amended and restated asset based revolving credit facility agreement on July 2, 2009 (See footnote 5. Long-Term Debt and Bank Facility Borrowings).

**Quarterly Distribution Declared**

On July 22, 2009, the Partnership declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.0675 per unit on all common and general partner units, for unitholders of record on August 6, 2009, to be paid on August 14, 2009.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2.**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF  
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes forward-looking statements which represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events that involve risks and uncertainties, including those associated with the effect of weather conditions on our financial performance, the price and supply of home heating oil, the consumption patterns of our customers, our ability to obtain satisfactory gross profit margins, our ability to obtain new accounts and retain existing accounts, our ability to make strategic acquisitions, the impact of litigation, our ability to contract for our current and future supply needs, natural gas conversions, future union relations and the outcome of current and future union negotiations, the impact of future environmental, health, and safety regulations, the ability to attract and retain employees, customer credit worthiness, counter party credit worthiness, marketing plans and general economic conditions. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Report including, without limitation, the statements under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere herein, are forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct and actual results may differ materially from those projected as a result of certain risks and uncertainties. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations ( Cautionary Statements ) include, but are not limited to, those set forth under the heading Risk Factors and Business Initiatives and Strategy in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K (the Form 10-K ) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008 and under the heading Risk Factors in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Without limiting the foregoing, the words believe, anticipate, plan, expect, seek, es and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Partnership or persons acting on its behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the Cautionary Statements. Unless otherwise required by law, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise after the date of this Report.

**Overview**

The following is a discussion of the historical condition and results of operations of the Partnership and its subsidiaries, and should be read in conjunction with the description of our business in Item 1. Business of the Form 10-K and the historical Financial and Operating Data and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Report.

**Current Economic Conditions Could Adversely Affect Our Results Of Operations And Financial Condition.**

In 2008 and continuing into fiscal 2009, economic conditions in the United States have experienced a downturn due to the sequential effects of the sub-prime lending crisis, general credit market crisis, the general unavailability of financing, collateral effects on the finance and banking industries, volatile energy prices, concerns about inflation, slower economic activity, decreased consumer confidence, reduced corporate profits and capital spending, adverse business conditions, increased unemployment and liquidity concerns.

Uncertainty about current economic conditions poses a risk as our customers may postpone spending in response to tighter credit, negative financial news and/or declines in income or asset values, which could have a material negative effect on the demand for the Partnership's equipment and services and could lead to increased conservation and the possibility of certain of our customers seeking lower cost providers. Any increase in existing customers seeking lower cost providers and/or increase in the rejection rate of potential accounts could increase the Partnership's overall rate of net customer attrition. If adverse economic conditions persist, the Partnership could experience an increase in bad debts from financially distressed customers, which would have a negative effect on our liquidity, results of operations and financial condition.

**Pending Legislation**

There is increasing attention concerning the issue of climate change and the effect of emissions of greenhouse gases, in particular from the combustion of fossil fuels. There are efforts to develop new federal proposals by Congress and the EPA that could lead to the adoption of a mandatory program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through, for example, an economy-wide cap-and-trade program, a carbon tax or a combination of both. Debate continues on the direction, scope and timing of U.S. policy on the regulation of greenhouse gas emissions. It is probable that any regulatory program that caps emissions or imposes a carbon tax will increase costs for the Partnership and its customers which could lead to increased conservation or customers seeking lower cost alternatives. However, at this time an estimate of such costs to comply with potential national, regional or state greenhouse gas emissions reduction legislation, regulations or initiatives is not possible because these

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

programs and proposals are in the early stages of development and any final program, if adopted, could vary from current proposals.

## **Table of Contents**

In addition, laws and regulations that affect operations continue to evolve at both the state and federal levels, which may ultimately add compliance costs to the Partnership. Changes in regulations under different political administrations, the imposition of additional regulations, or the enactment of new legislation that impacts employment, labor, trade, transportation or logistics, health care, tax or environmental issues could have the potential of materially impacting our financial condition or results of operations.

The Partnership will continue to monitor and evaluate federal, regional or state programs and proposals and judicial and administrative decisions that could affect our customers or operations.

### **Seasonality**

In analyzing our financial results, the following matters should be considered. Our fiscal year ends on September 30. All references to quarters and years respectively in this document are to fiscal quarters and years unless otherwise noted. The seasonal nature of our business results in the sale of approximately 30% of our volume of home heating oil in the first fiscal quarter and 45% of our volume in the second fiscal quarter of each fiscal year, the peak heating season. In addition, sales volume typically fluctuates from year to year in response to variations in weather, wholesale energy prices, customer mix and other factors.

### **Degree Day**

A degree day is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the average temperature departs from 65°F. Each degree of temperature above 65°F is counted as one cooling degree day, and each degree of temperature below 65°F is counted as one heating degree day. Degree days are accumulated each day over the course of a year and can be compared to a monthly or a long-term (multi-year) average, or normal, to see if a month or a year was warmer or cooler than usual. Degree days are officially observed by the National Weather Service and officially archived by the National Climatic Data Center. For purposes of evaluating our results of operations, we use the normal heating degree day amount as reported by the National Weather Service in our operating areas.

### **EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (Non-GAAP Financial Measures)**

EBITDA (Earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) and Adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures that are used as supplemental financial measures by management and external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;

our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;

our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;

our operating performance and return on invested capital as compared to those of other companies in the retail distribution of refined petroleum products business, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivatives, gain or loss on debt redemption, goodwill impairment, and other non-cash and non-operating charges. Management believes the presentation of this measure is relevant and useful because it allows investors to view the Partnership's performance in a manner similar to the method management uses, and makes it easier to compare its results with other companies that have different financing and capital structures. In addition, this measure is consistent with the manner in which the Partnership's debt covenants in its material debt agreements are calculated. Both the Partnership's 10.25% Senior Note agreement and its bank credit facility contain covenants that restrict equity distributions, acquisitions, and the amount of debt it can incur. Under the most restrictive of these covenants, which is found in the

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

bank credit facility, the agent bank could step in and control all cash transactions for the Partnership if we failed to comply with the minimum availability or the fixed charge coverage ratio. The Partnership is required to maintain either availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.5 million (15% of the

---

## **Table of Contents**

maximum facility size) or a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.1 to 1.0 (Adjusted EBITDA being a significant component of this calculation). This method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of other companies and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are computed in accordance with GAAP.

Each of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA has its limitations as an analytical tool, and it should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of the limitations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are:

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect our cash used for capital expenditures;

Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated or amortized often will have to be replaced and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash requirements for such replacements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital requirements;

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash necessary to make payments of interest or principal on our indebtedness; and

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the cash required to pay taxes.

### **Per Gallon Gross Profit Margins**

We believe the change in home heating oil margins should be evaluated on a cents per gallon basis, before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments, as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction.

A significant portion of our home heating oil volume is sold to individual customers under an arrangement pre-establishing the ceiling sales price or a fixed price of home heating oil over a fixed period. When our customers agree to purchase home heating oil from us for the next heating season we will purchase option contracts, swaps and futures contracts for a substantial majority of the heating oil that we expect to sell to these price-protected customers. The amount of home heating oil volume that we hedge per price-protected customer is based upon the estimated fuel consumption per average customer, per month. In the event that the actual usage exceeds the amount of the hedged volume on a monthly basis, we could be required to obtain additional volume at unfavorable margins. In addition, should actual usage in any month be less than the hedged volume, our hedging losses could be greater.

### **Derivatives**

SFAS No. 133 and No. 161, established accounting and reporting standards requiring that derivative instruments be recorded at fair value and included in the consolidated balance sheet as assets or liabilities. To the extent derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are effective, as defined in SFAS No. 133, changes in fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the forecasted hedged item is recognized in earnings. Currently, the Partnership has elected not to designate its derivative instruments as hedging instruments under SFAS 133, and, as a result, the changes in fair value of the derivative instruments are recognized in our statement of operations. Therefore, we experience great volatility in earnings as outstanding home heating oil derivative instruments are marked to market and non-cash gains and losses are recorded prior to the sale of the commodity to the customer. To the extent that the Partnership continues this accounting treatment, the volatility in any given period related to unrealized non-cash gains or losses on derivative home heating oil instruments can be significant to the overall results of the Partnership. However, we ultimately expect those gains and losses to be offset by the cost of product when purchased.

### **Impact on Liquidity of Wholesale Product Cost Volatility**

The wholesale price of home heating oil has been extremely volatile over the last several years. Our liquidity is adversely impacted in times of increasing heating oil prices, as the Partnership must use cash to pay for its hedging requirements and to fund a portion of the increased levels of accounts receivable and inventory. Our liquidity is also adversely impacted at times by sudden and sharp decreases in heating oil prices due to



## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

the increased margin requirements for futures contracts and collateral requirements for swaps that we use to manage market risks related to our fixed price customers and physical inventory that are not immediately offset by lower inventory and accounts receivable carrying costs.

**Table of Contents****Weather Hedge Contract Warm Weather**

Weather conditions have a significant impact on the demand for home heating oil because our customers depend on this product principally for space heating purposes. Actual weather conditions can vary substantially from year to year, significantly affecting our financial performance. To partially mitigate the adverse effect of warm weather on our cash flows, we have purchased a weather hedge from Swiss Re Financial Products. We will receive a payment of \$35,000 per degree-day, when the actual degree-days are less than the 10 year average by 7.5%. The hedge covers the period from November 1, 2009 through March 31, 2010 taken as a whole and has a maximum payout of \$12.5 million.

**Protected Price Account Renewals**

Approximately 53% of the Partnership's protected price customers renew their agreements with us in the period from August through November of each fiscal year. If the Partnership cannot renew most of its protected price customers at attractive per gallon gross profit margins, the Partnership's near term profitability, liquidity and cash flow will be adversely impacted. Alternatively, efforts to achieve these gross profit margins may negatively impact net customer attrition.

**Accounts Receivable**

As of June 30, 2009, the Partnership's accounts receivable balance was \$82.2 million (net of allowance) and represents a decrease of \$70.3 million or 46% when compared to the balance as of June 30, 2008 of \$152.5 million (net of allowance). This decline in accounts receivable of 46% was largely due to the decline in the wholesale cost of product. Day's sales outstanding as of June 30, 2009, improved to 48 days, when compared to the level at June 30, 2008 of 58 days. Included in the gross accounts receivable balance as of June 30, 2009 are amounts due that are 90-days or more in arrears of \$33.1 million. As of June 30, 2008, the comparable amounts due from customers 90 days or more in arrears was \$59.0 million. The Partnership is actively collecting these past due accounts and has established a reserve based on historical data and current economic and pricing conditions. Given the current economic conditions, the collection of these amounts could prove to be more difficult than in the past and bad debt expense could increase.

**Customer Attrition**

We measure net customer attrition for our full service residential and commercial heating oil customers. Net customer attrition is the difference between gross customer losses and customers added through internal marketing efforts. Customers purchased in acquisitions are not included in the calculation of gross customer gains, but are factored on a pro-rata basis in the denominator when calculating the percentages of gross customer gains and losses. Gains and losses at acquisitions since the acquired date of the acquisition are included in the calculation of net customer attrition. Gross customer losses are the result of a number of factors, including price competition, move-outs, service issues, credit losses and conversions to natural gas. When a customer moves out of an existing home, we count the move out as a loss and if we are successful in signing up the new homeowner, the move in is treated as a gain.

**Gross customer gains and gross customer losses**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30</b>	<b>June 30</b>	<b>June 30</b>	<b>June 30</b>
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Gross Customer Gains	5,900	8,100	43,900	42,500
Gross Customer Losses	(12,400)	(13,700)	(68,100)	(60,200)
Net Customer Loss	(6,500)	(5,600)	(24,200)	(17,700)

**Table of Contents**Gross customer gains, gross customer losses and net customer attrition as a percentage of the home heating oil customer base

Description	Three Months Ended June 30		Nine Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Gross Customer Gains	1.5%	2.0%	10.9%	10.2%
Gross Customer Losses	(3.1)%	(3.3)%	(17.0)%	(14.5)%
Net Customer Loss	(1.6)%	(1.3)%	(6.0)%	(4.3)%

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009 we lost 68,100 accounts, or 17.0% of our home heating oil customer base, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2008 in which we lost 60,200 accounts, or 14.5% of our home heating oil customers. The increase in gross customer losses of 7,900 accounts was primarily due to price (5,000 accounts) and credit-related losses (3,000 accounts). The increase in price losses was largely due to fixed price customers that decided to terminate their arrangements with us and switch to a competitor in order to take advantage of decreases in heating oil prices that these customers perceived to be of greater value than the cost of paying a termination fee to us.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we gained 43,900 customers, or 10.9% of our home heating oil customer base, as compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2008 in which we gained 42,500 customers, or 10.2% of our home heating oil customer base. This increase in gross customer gains of 1,400 accounts was largely due to our customer referral program (1,800 accounts) and targeted advertising (1,700 accounts). The continued slowdown in the real estate market contributed to a decline in gains of 2,500 accounts.

We believe that the continued adverse economic conditions and price volatility will negatively impact our ability to attract new customers and retain existing customers in the future.

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

The following is a discussion of the results of operations of the Partnership and its subsidiaries, and should be read in conjunction with the historical Financial and Operating Data and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report.

**Three Months Ended June 30, 2009****Compared to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2008****Volume**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, retail volume of home heating oil decreased by 2.9 million gallons, or 6.5%, to 43.0 million gallons, as compared to 45.9 million gallons for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as an increase in volume from acquisitions only partially offset reductions in volume from net customer attrition, conservation and other factors. Volume of other petroleum products was 7.6 million gallons for the three months ended June 30, 2009, or 2.1 million gallons less than the three months ended June 30, 2008. An analysis of the change in the retail volume of home heating oil, which is based on management's estimates, sampling and other mathematical calculations, is found below:

(in millions of gallons)	Heating Oil
Volume-Three months ended June 30, 2008	45.9
Impact of temperatures	
Net customer attrition - retail / commercial	(2.5)
Acquisitions	0.6
Conservation / other	(1.0)
Change	(2.9)
Volume-Three months ended June 30, 2009	43.0

Temperatures in our geographic areas of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009 were equal to the three months ended June 30, 2008 and were 8.2% warmer than normal, as reported by the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration ( NOAA ). For the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, net customer attrition was 5.9%. Excluding the impact of weather and acquisitions, we expect that home heating oil volume for the remainder of fiscal 2009 will be less than in the comparable period in fiscal 2008 due to net customer attrition, conservation and other factors.

The percentage of home heating oil volume sold to residential variable price customers increased to 40% of total home heating oil volume sales for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to 39.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The percentage of home heating oil volume sold to residential price-protected customers was 45.6% for the three months representing a decrease from 45.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2008. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, sales to commercial/ industrial customers represented 14.4% of total home heating oil volume sales, as compared to 15.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

**Product Sales**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, product sales decreased \$85.8 million, or 40.4%, to \$126.4 million, as compared to \$212.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as a result of a decrease in home heating oil selling prices of 36.5% and a decline in home heating oil volume of 6.5%. Sales of other petroleum products, which is a component of product sales, decreased by \$21.8 million due to lower selling prices of 59.5% and a decline in volume of 21.2%.

**Installation and Service Sales**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, installation and service sales decreased \$4.6 million, or 10%, to \$41.2 million, as compared to \$45.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. While service contract revenues increased by \$0.5 million, revenue from non-essential services such as plumbing and air conditioning declined by \$0.6 million. Demand for installation sales declined by \$4.2 million due to rising

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

unemployment, reduced home equity loans and consumer credit, and reduced consumer confidence. The cool spring also adversely impacted the demand for new air conditioning systems. We believe that this trend will continue and that our installation sales will be lower for the balance of fiscal 2009.

---

**Table of Contents****Cost of Product**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, cost of product decreased \$90.0 million, or 51.4% to \$85.0 million, as compared to \$175.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, largely due to decreases in the wholesale product cost for home heating oil and other petroleum products.

We believe that the change in home heating oil margins should be evaluated before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments, as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction. On that basis, home heating oil margins for the three months ended June 30, 2009 increased by \$0.1449 per gallon, to \$0.8999 per gallon in the three months ended June 30, 2009 from \$0.7550 per gallon in the three months ended June 30, 2008. Wholesale product costs increased throughout fiscal 2008, limiting margin expansion capability in the three months ended June 30, 2008. While wholesale product costs also increased during the three months ended June 30, 2009, they had decreased significantly in the prior six months to levels not seen since 2004. This lower absolute wholesale product cost allowed the Partnership to maintain higher margins already established earlier in fiscal 2009, as it was generally able to raise retail prices in conjunction with the increases in wholesale product costs.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, total product gross profit increased by \$4.1 million, versus the prior year's comparable period, as the increase in product gross profit resulting from higher home heating oil per gallon margins (\$6.2 million) was reduced by the impact of the decrease in home heating oil volume (\$2.3 million).

**(Increase) Decrease in the Fair Value of Derivative Instruments**

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the change in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in the recording of a \$9.7 million net credit due to the expiration of certain hedged positions (\$7.9 million credit), and an increase in the market value of unexpired hedges (\$1.8 million credit).

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, the change in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in the recording of a \$30.0 million net credit due to the expiration of certain hedged positions (\$7.6 million debit), and an increase in the market value of unexpired hedges (\$37.6 million credit).

**Cost of Installations and Service**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, cost of installations and service decreased \$2.8 million, or 7.0% to \$37.6 million, as compared to \$40.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as an increase in service costs of \$0.5 million was reduced by lower installation expenses of approximately \$3.3 million. Service expenses were higher due to an increase in vehicle fuel costs of \$0.5 million, as the Partnership hedged a portion of its vehicle fuel costs during a higher cost period. For fiscal 2010, the Partnership has again hedged its vehicle fuels, which would lower this expense by approximately \$2.5 million in fiscal 2010. Installation costs were lower, due to the decrease in installation sales as described above. The gross profit realized from service (including installations) decreased by \$1.8 million, from \$5.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 to \$3.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Installation costs were \$11.9 million, or 89.7% of installation sales during the three months ended June 30, 2009, and were \$15.3 million, or 87.5% of installation sales during the three months ended June 30, 2008. Service expenses increased to \$25.7 million, or 91.9% of service sales during the three months ended June 30, 2009, from \$25.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2008, or 88.7% of service sales. Service costs as a percentage of total service revenue increased due to the increase in vehicle fuel costs and the Partnership was not able to fully reduce its service expenses in response to unforeseen reductions in non-essential service billings such as air conditioning and plumbing services.

**Delivery and Branch Expenses**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, delivery and branch expenses decreased \$2.9 million, or 6.2%, to \$44.3 million, as compared to \$47.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, due to lower bad debt expense of \$1.8 million and lower insurance expense of \$2.2 million. Bad debt expense declined due to a decline in sales for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 of 35% and 21%, respectively, and an improvement in days sales outstanding to 48 days as of June 30, 2009 from 58 days as of June 30, 2008. The reduction in insurance expense was due to changes in prior year reserves.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Depreciation and Amortization**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, depreciation and amortization expenses declined by \$3.0 million, or 44.2 %, to \$3.7 million, as compared to \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as certain assets became fully depreciated. Amortization expense was lower by \$2.8 million, as customer lists of acquisitions from fiscal 2001 became fully amortized. Depreciation expense declined by \$0.2 million as capital expenditures for technology acquired in fiscal 2003 became fully depreciated.

### **General and Administrative Expenses**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.8 million, or 15.3%, to \$5.7 million, as compared to \$4.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 due to an increase in pension expense of \$0.3 million relating to the Partnership's frozen pension plan (mostly due to the general decline in pension assets) and other increases of \$0.5 million.

### **Operating Income**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, operating income decreased \$12.8 million to \$1.0 million, as compared to \$13.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, as an increase in product gross profit of \$4.1 million and lower operating expenses (including depreciation and amortization) of \$5.1 million, were reduced by lower non-cash gains from the change in the fair value of derivative instruments of \$20.4 million, and lower installation and service profitability of \$1.8 million.

### **Interest Expense**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, interest expense decreased \$1.1 million, or 20.6%, to \$4.1 million, as compared to \$5.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. In fiscal 2009, the Partnership has repurchased \$36.2 million of its 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013, which lowered the debt outstanding to \$137.1 million and correspondingly reduced interest expense.

### **Interest Income**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, interest income decreased \$0.8 million, or 38.8%, to \$1.3 million, as compared to \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Despite higher average invested cash balances, interest income declined \$0.1 million from the prior period due to lower rates on invested cash. Late fee income declined by \$0.7 million due to lower past due accounts receivable balances.

### **Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, amortization of debt issuance costs was \$0.6 million, unchanged from the three months ended June 30, 2008.

### **Income Tax Expense**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the income tax benefit was \$0.5 million, a decrease of \$1.2 million, when compared to the income tax benefit of \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. Income taxes are recorded based on an annual effective rate (including any benefit of Net Operating Loss carry forwards), which is then applied to book income (or loss) before taxes, resulting in a quarterly tax charge (or benefit).

### **Net Income (Loss)**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, a net loss of \$1.9 million was recorded, as compared to net income of \$11.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This change of \$13.8 million was due to a \$12.8 million decrease in operating income and a decrease in the income tax benefit of \$1.2 million.

### **Adjusted EBITDA**

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Adjusted EBITDA loss decreased by \$4.6 million to \$5.0 million, as the impact of higher per gallon margins and lower branch and general and administrative expenses was reduced by the decline in installation profitability and lower home heating oil volume.





**Table of Contents**

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income (as an indicator of operating performance) or as an alternative to cash flow (as a measure of liquidity or ability to service debt obligations), but provides additional information for evaluating our ability to make the Minimum Quarterly Distribution. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are calculated as follows:

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended	
	2009	June 30, 2008
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (1,924)	\$ 11,847
Plus:		
Income tax benefit	(498)	(1,695)
Amortization of debt issuance cost	564	592
Interest expense, net	2,814	3,058
Depreciation and amortization	3,744	6,703
<b>EBITDA from continuing operations</b>	<b>4,700</b>	<b>20,505</b>
(Increase) / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments	(9,656)	(30,043)
Gain on redemption of debt		
<b>Adjusted EBITDA</b>	<b>(4,956)</b>	<b>(9,538)</b>
<b>Add / (subtract)</b>		
Income tax expense / benefit	498	1,695
Interest expense, net	(2,814)	(3,058)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	2,371	4,131
Decrease in accounts receivables	75,933	109,025
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(15,993)	9,366
Increase in customer credit balances	11,586	10,590
Change in other operating assets and liabilities	(19,176)	4,685
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>\$ 47,449</b>	<b>\$ 126,896</b>
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>\$ (814)</b>	<b>\$ (1,545)</b>
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>\$ (5,137)</b>	<b>\$ (48,050)</b>

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that is calculated as earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivatives, loss on debt redemption, goodwill impairment, and other non-cash and non-operating charges. Management believes the presentation of this measure is relevant and useful because it allows investors to view the Partnership's performance in a manner similar to the method management uses, and makes it easier to compare its results with other companies that have different financing and capital structures. In addition, this measure is consistent with the manner in which the Partnership's debt covenants in its material debt agreements are calculated. Both the Partnership's 10.25% Senior Note agreement and its bank credit facility contain covenants that restrict equity distributions, acquisitions, and the amount of debt it can incur. Under the most restrictive of these covenants, which is found in the bank credit facility, the agent bank could step in and control all cash transactions for the Partnership if we failed to comply with the minimum availability or the fixed charge coverage ratio. The Partnership is required to maintain either availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.5 million or a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.1 to 1.0 (Adjusted EBITDA being a significant component of this calculation). This method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of other companies and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are computed in accordance with GAAP.

**Table of Contents****Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009****Compared to the Nine Months Ended June 30, 2008****Volume**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, retail volume of home heating oil sold was 328.5 million gallons, unchanged from the nine months ended June 30, 2008 as the impact of increased volume from colder temperatures and acquisitions was offset by net reductions in volume from customer attrition and conservation. Volume of other petroleum products declined by 7.6 million gallons, or 19.0%, to 32.4 million gallons for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to 40.0 million gallons for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. An analysis of the change in the retail volume of home heating oil, which is based on management's estimates, sampling and other mathematical calculations, is found below:

(in millions of gallons)	Heating Oil
Volume-Nine months ended June 30, 2008	328.5
Impact of colder temperatures	26.6
Net customer attrition - retail/commercial	(19.4)
Acquisitions	5.6
Conservation/Other	(12.8)

**Change**

Volume-Nine months ended June 30, 2009	328.5
--	-------

Temperatures in our geographic areas of operations for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 were 8.1% colder than the nine months ended June 30, 2008 and 1.2% colder than normal, as reported by the NOAA. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, net customer attrition was 5.9%.

The percentage of home heating oil volume sold to residential variable price customers decreased to 40.3% of total home heating oil volume sales for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to 43.5% for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Accordingly, the percentage of home heating oil volume sold to residential price-protected customers increased to 45.5% for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to 42.0% for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, sales to commercial/industrial customers represented 14.2% of total home heating oil volume sales, as compared to 14.5% for the nine months ended June 30, 2008.

**Product Sales**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, product sales decreased \$274.7 million, or 22.3%, to \$959.4 million, as compared to \$1.234 billion for the nine months ended June 30, 2008 due largely to decreases in home heating oil and other petroleum selling prices of 19.3% and 37.0%, respectively.

**Installation and Service Sales**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, installation and service sales decreased \$11.5 million, or 8.1%, to \$131.6 million, as compared to \$143.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. While service contract revenue increased \$1.8 million, non-essential service billings declined \$1.3 million and installation sales decreased \$11.6 million. Demand for installation sales declined due to rising unemployment, reduced home equity loans and consumer credit, and reduced consumer confidence. The cool spring also adversely impacted the demand for new air conditioning systems.

**Cost of Product**

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, cost of product decreased \$327.3 million, or 33.2%, to \$658.1 million, as compared to \$985.4 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, due to lower per gallon wholesale product cost for home heating oil and other petroleum products of 30.6% and 42.6%, respectively and a decline in volume sales of other petroleum products.

**Table of Contents**

We believe that the change in home heating oil margins should be evaluated before the effects of increases or decreases in the fair value of derivative instruments, as we believe that realized per gallon margins should not include the impact of non-cash changes in the market value of hedges before the settlement of the underlying transaction. On that basis, home heating oil margins for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 increased \$0.1584 per gallon to \$0.8869 per gallon from \$0.7284 per gallon in the nine months ended June 30, 2008. During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, home heating oil product costs continued to decline, which largely contributed to the Partnership's ability to expand its home heating oil margin during that period, as wholesale prices decreased more rapidly than retail prices. Conversely, during the nine months ended June 30, 2008, home heating oil costs continued to escalate, which limited margin expansion capability.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, total product gross profit increased \$52.5 million to \$301.3 million, as compared to \$248.8 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, due to higher home heating oil and other petroleum products per gallon margins.

**(Increase) Decrease in the Fair Value of Derivative Instruments**

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, the change in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in the recording of a \$15.1 million net credit due to the expiration of certain hedged positions (\$19.9 million credit), and a decrease in market value for unexpired hedges (\$4.8 million debit).

During the nine months ended June 30, 2008, the change in the fair value of derivative instruments resulted in the recording of a \$46.0 million net credit due to the expiration of certain hedged positions (\$1.1 million debit), and an increase in market value for unexpired hedges (\$47.1 million credit).

**Cost of Installations and Service**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, cost of installations and service decreased \$6.9 million, or 5.0%, to \$131.4 million, as compared to \$138.3 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, as a decrease in installation costs of \$8.4 million was partially offset by higher service expenses of \$1.5 million. Installation costs were lower due to the decline in installation sales. Service expenses were higher due to colder temperatures and an increase in vehicle fuels of \$1.7 million, as the Partnership hedged a portion of its vehicle fuels during the high cost period. For fiscal 2010, the Partnership has again hedged its vehicle fuels, which would lower this expense by approximately \$2.5 million in 2010. The gross profit realized from service and installations was \$0.1 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to a profit of \$4.8 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Installation gross profit declined by \$3.2 million due to the lower level of installation sales and service gross profit declined by \$1.5 million due to the increase in vehicle fuels. Installation costs were \$40.4 million, or 89.0% of installation sales during the nine months ended June 30, 2009, and were \$48.8 million, or 85.7% of installation sales during the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Service expenses increased \$1.5 million to \$91.1 million, or 105.6% of service sales during the nine months ended June 30, 2009, from \$89.6 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2008, or 103.9% of service sales. Service costs as a percentage of total service revenue increased due to the colder weather, the increase in vehicle fuels and a decline in non-essential service revenue.

**Delivery and Branch Expenses**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, delivery and branch expenses increased \$7.4 million, or 4.3%, to \$179.4 million, as compared to \$172.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008 as a reduction in bad debt expense of \$1.7 million was more than offset by increases in delivery costs of \$3.3 million, insurance expense of \$1.4 million, additional stand-alone acquisition costs of \$1.6 million, additional credit staffing and collection costs of \$0.8 million, advertising costs of \$0.6 million and other increases of \$1.4 million. Delivery costs and insurance expense rose due in part to the impact of colder temperatures and a return to normal winter weather conditions. The increase in delivery expense was also impacted by higher vehicle fuel costs of \$2.1 million, as the Partnership hedged a portion of its vehicle fuels during a higher cost period. The balance of the increase in delivery and branch expense was \$1.2 million, or 0.7%, largely driven by wage and benefit increases. On a cents per gallon basis, delivery expenses increased 2.2 cents per gallon, or 4.3%, from 52.4 cents per gallon for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, to 54.6 cents per gallon for the nine months ended June 30, 2009, due to the fixed nature of certain delivery and branch expenses, the increase in insurance expense and vehicle fuel cost and inflationary pressures.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Depreciation and Amortization**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, depreciation and amortization expenses declined \$4.7 million, or 22.9%, to \$15.9 million, as compared to \$20.6 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. Amortization expense was lower by \$3.8 million, as acquisitions from fiscal 2001 became fully amortized. Depreciation expenses declined by \$0.9 million as capital expenditures for technology acquired in fiscal 2003 became fully depreciated.

### **General and Administrative Expenses**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, general and administrative expenses increased \$2.8 million, or 20.2%, to \$16.8 million, as compared to \$14.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to higher compensation expense of \$1.4 million relating to the Partnership's profit sharing plan and an increase in pension expense of \$0.7 million relating to the Partnership's frozen defined benefit pension plan (mostly due to the general decline in pension assets). The Partnership accrues approximately 6% of Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the profit sharing plan for distribution to its employees. If Adjusted EBITDA increases, the dollar amount of the profit sharing pool will increase. On the other hand, if Adjusted EBITDA decreases, the dollar amount of the profit sharing pool will be less.

### **Operating Income**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, operating income increased \$11.5 million to \$104.5 million, as compared to \$93.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, as an increase in product gross profit of \$52.5 million was reduced by lower non-cash gains from the change in the fair value of derivative instruments of \$30.9 million, lower installation and service profitability of \$4.6 million and higher operating expenses, including depreciation and amortization, of \$5.5 million.

### **Interest expense**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, interest expense decreased \$2.4 million, or 15.2%, to \$13.5 million, as compared to \$15.9 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. In fiscal 2009, the Partnership has repurchased \$36.3 million of its 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013, which lowered the debt outstanding to \$137.1 million and corresponding interest expense by \$1.8 million. In addition, working capital interest expense declined by \$0.7 million.

### **Interest Income**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, interest income decreased \$1.4 million to \$3.6 million, as compared to \$5.0 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, due to a reduction in interest income from lower invested cash balances (\$0.5 million) and a decrease in finance charge income on past due accounts receivable balances (\$0.9 million).

### **Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, amortization of debt issuance costs was \$1.7 million unchanged from the nine months ended June 30, 2008.

### **Gains on Bond Repurchase**

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, the Partnership repurchased \$36.3 million face value of its 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013 at a price of \$72.4 per \$100 of principal plus accrued interest. The Partnership recorded a gain of \$9.7 million for this transaction.

### **Income Tax Expense**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, income tax expense increased \$2.0 million to \$3.8 million, as compared to income tax expense of \$1.8 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. The \$2.0 million increase is due to an increase in estimated taxable income for 2009, versus 2008.

### **Net Income**

## Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, net income of \$98.7 million was recorded as compared to net income of \$78.5 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008. This increase of \$20.2 million was due to an \$11.5 million increase in operating income, lower net interest expense of \$1.0 million, and the gain on bond redemption of \$9.7 million, reduced by higher income tax expense of \$2.0 million.

**Table of Contents****Adjusted EBITDA**

For the nine months ended June 30, 2009, Adjusted EBITDA increased \$37.7 million to \$105.3 million, as compared to \$67.6 million for the nine months ended June 30, 2008, as the increase in product gross profit of \$52.5 million was reduced by a decline in installation and service profitability of \$4.6 million and an increase in delivery, branch and administrative expenses of \$10.2 million.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income (as an indicator of operating performance) or as an alternative to cash flow (as a measure of liquidity or ability to service debt obligations), but provides additional information for evaluating our ability to make the Minimum Quarterly Distribution. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are calculated as follows:

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Income from continuing operations	\$ 98,732	\$ 78,501
Plus:		
Income tax expense	3,852	1,827
Amortization of debt issuance cost	1,732	1,747
Interest expense, net	9,894	10,926
Depreciation and amortization	15,853	20,573
<b>EBITDA from continuing operations</b>	<b>130,063</b>	<b>113,574</b>
(Increase) / decrease in the fair value of derivative instruments	(15,064)	(45,983)
Gain on redemption of debt	(9,740)	
<b>Adjusted EBITDA</b>	<b>105,259</b>	<b>67,591</b>
<b>Add / (subtract)</b>		
Income tax expense	(3,852)	(1,827)
Interest expense, net	(9,894)	(10,926)
Provision for losses on accounts receivable	9,257	10,988
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivables	4,350	(83,976)
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(10,595)	30,895
Decrease in customer credit balances	(24,806)	(38,960)
Change in other operating assets and liabilities	11,089	7,870
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</b>	<b>\$ 80,808</b>	<b>\$ (18,345)</b>
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>\$ (5,655)</b>	<b>\$ (3,472)</b>
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>\$ (36,545)</b>	<b>\$ (144)</b>

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure that is calculated as earnings from continuing operations before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, (increase) decrease in the fair value of derivatives, loss on debt redemption, goodwill impairment, and other non-cash and non-operating charges. Management believes the presentation of this measure is relevant and useful because it allows investors to view the Partnership's performance in a manner similar to the method management uses, and makes it easier to compare its results with other companies that have different financing and capital structures. In addition, this measure is consistent with the manner in which the Partnership's debt covenants in its material debt agreements are calculated. Both the Partnership's 10.25% Senior Note agreement and its bank credit facility contain covenants that restrict equity distributions, acquisitions, and the amount of debt it can incur. Under the most restrictive of these covenants, which is found in the bank credit facility, the agent bank could step in and control all cash transactions for the Partnership if we failed to comply with the minimum availability or the fixed charge coverage ratio. The Partnership is required to maintain either availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.5 million or a fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.1 to 1.0 (Adjusted EBITDA being a significant component of this calculation). This method of calculating Adjusted EBITDA may not be consistent with that of

Edgar Filing: STAR GAS FINANCE CO - Form 10-Q

other companies and should be viewed in conjunction with measurements that are computed in accordance with GAAP.



---

**Table of Contents****DISCUSSION OF CASH FLOWS**

We use the indirect method to prepare our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Under this method, we reconcile net income to cash flows provided by operating activities by adjusting net income for those items that impact net income but may not result in actual cash receipts or payment during the period.

**Operating Activities**

Due to the seasonal nature of the home heating oil business, cash is generally used in operations during the winter (our first and second fiscal quarters) as customers receive deliveries and pay for products purchased within our payment terms and cash is generally provided by operating activities during the spring and summer (our third and fourth quarters) when customer payments exceed deliveries. During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, we generated \$80.8 million in cash flow from operating activities, which is \$99.1 million higher than the \$18.3 million of cash used in operations for the nine months of the prior year. This dramatic improvement was primarily due to the impact of lower wholesale product costs, which impacts accounts receivable collections, inventory costs, prepaid hedging costs, hedging margin requirements, customer credit balances, accounts payable and accrued expenses. Our cash flow from operations for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 also benefited from higher earnings from operations, when compared to the nine months ended June 30, 2008. While the Partnership generated \$100.8 million in cash from operations during the nine months ended June 30, 2009, this amount was reduced by an aggregate net increase in operating assets and liabilities of \$20.0 million. During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, cash was used to finance an increase in inventories of \$10.6 million, as we purchased 18.0 million gallons of home heating oil for our fiscal 2010 fall and winter needs. Cash was also used to fund home heating oil purchases for customers on our budget payment plan. Approximately 35% of our customers are on a budget payment plan and these customers pay their annual estimated heating bill in 12 monthly installments. Typically, these plans begin before the heating season and a liability is created as payments exceed deliveries. As the heating season progressed and deliveries made exceeded cash received, cash expenditures for budget customers exceeded receipts by \$24.8 million. Accounts receivable declined and provided \$4.4 million in cash. Changes in other assets and liabilities provided \$11.0 million in cash.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2008, cash used in operating activities was \$18.3 million, as the cash generated from business operations of \$65.8 million and lower product inventory purchases of \$30.8 million were more than offset by the increase in accounts receivable of \$84.0 million, and a decline in customer credit balances of \$39.0 million and other net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$8.1 million. Accounts receivable rose due not only to the seasonal nature of our business but also as a result of the increase in the wholesale cost for home heating oil. As described above, customer credit balances will normally decline during the winter, but the decline was accelerated by the rapid increase in the cost for home heating oil during fiscal 2008. For certain budget customers, their budget payments were based on a lower home heating oil cost and as deliveries were made at a higher per gallon cost, their credit balance declined faster than expected.

**Investing Activities**

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, our capital expenditures totaled \$2.5 million, as we invested in computer hardware and software (\$0.8 million), refurbished certain physical plants (\$0.4 million) and made additions to our fleet (\$1.3 million). We also completed one acquisition for \$3.3 million, net of working capital credits of \$0.7 million. We allocated \$3.4 million of the gross purchase to intangible assets and \$0.6 million to fleet. During the nine months ended June 30, 2008, we spent \$2.2 million for fixed assets, completed four acquisitions for \$1.7 million and received \$0.4 million from the sale of certain fixed assets.

**Financing Activities**

During the nine months ended June 30, 2009, the Partnership repurchased \$36.3 million face value of its 10.25% Senior Notes due February 2013 for \$26.3 million and paid distributions to our unit holders of \$10.3 million. During fiscal 2009, we did not borrow under our revolving credit facility but had letters-of-credit outstanding under the facility.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2008, we borrowed and subsequently repaid \$57.2 million under our revolving credit facility and had letters-of-credit outstanding under the facility.

---

**Table of Contents****FINANCING AND SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY****Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our ability to satisfy our financial obligations depends on our future performance, which will be subject to prevailing economic, financial, business and weather conditions, the ability to pass on the full impact of high wholesale heating oil prices to customers, the effects of high net customer attrition, conservation and other economic and geo-political factors, most of which are beyond our control. In the near term, capital requirements are expected to be provided by cash flows from operating activities, cash on hand at June 30, 2009 or a combination thereof. To the extent future capital requirements exceed cash on hand plus cash flows from operating activities, we anticipate that working capital will be financed by a our revolving credit facility.

In July 2009, we entered into an amended and restated asset based revolving credit facility with a group of lenders, that expires in July 2012 and which provides us with the ability to borrow up to \$240 million (\$290 million during the heating season from November through April of each year) for working capital purposes (subject to certain borrowing base limitations and coverage ratios), including the issuance of up to \$100.0 million in letters of credit. The Partnership can increase the facility size by \$50 million without the consent of the bank group. The bank group is not obligated to fund the \$50 million increase. If the bank group elects not to fund the increase, the Partnership can add additional lenders to the group, with the consent of the Agent, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. During this past heating season, we did not borrow under our previous credit facility. As of June 30, 2009, \$45.6 million in letters of credit were outstanding, of which \$39.7 million are for current and future insurance reserves and \$5.9 million are for seasonal inventory purchases and other working capital purposes. Obligations under the new revolving credit facility are secured by liens on substantially all of our assets including accounts receivable, inventory, general intangibles, real property, fixtures and equipment.

Under the terms of the credit facility, we must maintain at all times either availability (borrowing base less amounts borrowed and letters of credit issued) of \$43.5 million (15% of the maximum facility size) or a fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) of not less than 1.1 to 1.0. As of June 30, 2009, availability, as defined in the amended and restated credit agreement, was \$194.4 million and the Partnership was in compliance with this ratio. The fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated based upon Adjusted EBITDA. In the event that the Partnership is not able to comply with these covenants it could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's liquidity and results of its operations.

Annual maintenance capital expenditures for fixed assets are estimated to be approximately \$3.0 to \$5.0 million, excluding the capital requirements for leased fleet. Based on the funding levels required by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, and certain actuarial assumptions, we estimate that the Partnership will be required to make minimum cash contributions to fund its frozen defined benefit pension obligations of at least \$18.0 million over the next five years.

In July 2009, the Board of Directors of the Partnership's General Partner authorized both the repurchase of up to \$20 million in face amount of the Partnership's 10.25% notes due 2013 and up to 7.5 million of the Partnership's common units. Repurchases may be made from time-to-time in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or in such other manner deemed appropriate by management. The program does not have a time limit. The Partnership's repurchase activities will take into account SEC safe harbor rules and guidance for issuer repurchases.

**Partnership Distributions**

Commencing with the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2008, we are required to make distributions in an amount equal to our Available Cash, as defined in our Partnership Agreement, no more than 45 days after the end of each fiscal quarter, to holders of record on the applicable record dates. Available Cash, as defined in our Partnership Agreement, generally means all cash on hand at the end of the relevant fiscal quarter less the amount of cash reserves established by the Board of Directors of our general partner in its reasonable discretion for future cash requirements. These reserves are established for the proper conduct of our business, the payment of debt principal and interest and for distributions during the next four quarters. The Board of Directors of our general partner reviews the level of Available Cash each quarter based upon information provided by management.

On July 22, 2009, we declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.0675 per unit, or \$0.27 on an annualized basis, on all common units and general partner units in respect of the second quarter of fiscal 2009 payable on August 14, 2009 to holders of record on August 8, 2009. The total quarterly distribution is \$5.1 million.

## **Table of Contents**

Available Cash from operating surplus (as defined in our Partnership Agreement) will be distributed in the following manner:

First, 100% to all common units, pro rata, until there has been distributed to each common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.0675 for that quarter;

Second, 100% to all common units, pro rata, until there has been distributed to each common unit an amount equal to any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution for prior quarters (commencing with the quarter ended December 31, 2008);

Third, 100% to all general partner units, pro rata, until there has been distributed to each general partner unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution;

Fourth, 90% to all common units, pro rata, and 10% to all general partner units, pro rata, until each common unit has received the first target distribution of \$0.1125; and

Finally, 80% to all common units, pro rata, and 20% to all general partner units, pro rata.

Distributions of available cash from capital surplus (as defined in our Partnership Agreement) will be made 100% on all units, pro rata, until each common unit that was issued and outstanding on the closing date of our recapitalization receives distributions equal to \$2.25 and, thereafter, all distributions from capital surplus will be distributed as if they were from operating surplus.

## **Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

There has been no material change to Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements table since September 30, 2008, and therefore, the table has not been included in this Form 10-Q.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the Partnership adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157 Fair Value Measurements (See footnote 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Derivatives and Hedging Disclosures and Fair Value Measurements).

In the second quarter of fiscal 2009, the Partnership adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 161 Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (See footnote 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Derivatives and Hedging Disclosures and Fair Value Measurements).

In the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Partnership adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 165 Subsequent Events (See footnote 9. Subsequent Events).

The following new accounting standard is currently being evaluated by the Partnership, and is more fully described in Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Recent Accounting Pronouncements, of the consolidation financial statements:

Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations

### **Item 3.**

## **Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily through our bank credit facilities. We utilize these borrowings to meet our working capital needs.

At June 30, 2009, we had outstanding borrowings totaling \$137.1 million, none of which is subject to variable interest rates.

We also selectively use derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to market risk related to changes in the current and future market price of home heating oil. The value of market sensitive derivative instruments is subject to change as a result of movements in market prices. Sensitivity analysis is a technique used to evaluate the impact of hypothetical



---

**Table of Contents**

market value changes. Based on a hypothetical ten percent increase in the cost of product at June 30, 2009, the potential impact on our hedging activity would be to increase the fair market value of these outstanding derivatives by \$4.3 million to a fair market value of \$12.2 million; and conversely a hypothetical ten percent decrease in the cost of product would decrease the fair market value of these outstanding derivatives by \$2.1 million to a fair market value of \$5.8 million.

**Item 4.**

**Controls and Procedures**

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The General Partner's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, such principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009 at the reasonable level of assurance. For purposes of Rule 13a-15(e), the term *disclosure controls and procedures* means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

No change in the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the Partnership's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

(c) The general partner and the Partnership believe that a controls system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the controls system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. Therefore, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide such reasonable assurances of achieving our desired control objectives, and the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of our general partner have concluded, as of June 30, 2009, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in achieving that level of reasonable assurance.

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1**

**Legal Proceedings**

On or about October 21, 2004, a purported class action lawsuit on behalf of a purported class of unitholders was filed against the Partnership and various subsidiaries and officers and directors in the United States District Court of the District of Connecticut entitled *Carter v. Star Gas Partners, L.P.*, et. al., No. 3:04-cv-01766-IBA, et.al. Subsequently, 16 additional class action complaints, alleging the same or substantially similar claims, were filed in the same district court. The class actions were consolidated into one consolidated amended complaint. For information concerning the procedural history and current status of this lawsuit, see Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies.

In the event that the above action is decided adversely to us, it could have a material effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The Partnership has not accrued any amount for this action because, based on the court's judgment of dismissal, we believe an unfavorable outcome is not probable.

In the opinion of management, except as described above we are not a party to any litigation, which individually or in the aggregate could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity. (See Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies)



**Table of Contents**

**Item 1A**

**Risk Factors**

An investment in the Partnership involves a high degree of risk, including the following factors:

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Partnership. Other unknown or unpredictable factors could also have material adverse effects on future results.

**Item 6.**

**Exhibits**

(a) *Exhibits Included Within:*

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Partners, L.P.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Finance Company
- 31.3 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Partners, L.P.
- 31.4 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification, Star Gas Finance Company
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification.
- 32.2 Section 906 Certification.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf of the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

Star Gas Partners, L.P.

(Registrant)

By: Kestrel Heat LLC AS GENERAL PARTNER

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ RICHARD F. AMBURY <b>Richard F. Ambury</b>	Chief Financial Officer Kestrel Heat LLC (Principal Financial Officer)	August 5, 2009

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ RICHARD G. OAKLEY <b>Richard G. Oakley</b>	Vice President - Controller Kestrel Heat LLC (Principal Accounting Officer)	August 5, 2009

Star Gas Finance Company

(Registrant)

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ RICHARD F. AMBURY <b>Richard F. Ambury</b>	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	August 5, 2009

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ RICHARD G. OAKLEY <b>Richard G. Oakley</b>	Vice President - Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	August 5, 2009