

INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC
Form 10-K
February 15, 2007
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(MARK ONE)

- x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

OR

- .. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 000-30713

INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

Incorporation or Organization)

77-0416458
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

950 KIFER RD

SUNNYVALE, CA 94086

(Address of Principal Executive Offices including Zip Code)

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

(408) 523-2100

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class: | Name of Each Exchange on which Registered |
|---|--|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share | The NASDAQ Global Select Market |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2006, based upon the closing price of Common Stock on such date as reported by NASDAQ Global Select Market (formerly the NASDAQ National Market), was approximately \$4,143,293,945. Shares of voting stock held by each officer and director have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This assumption regarding affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock on January 31, 2007 was 37,137,389.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: NONE

Table of Contents

INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.

INDEX

PART I

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 20 |
| Item 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 30 |
| Item 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 30 |
| Item 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 30 |
| Item 4. | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 30 |

PART II

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 5. | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 31 |
| Item 6. | <u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> | 33 |
| Item 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 33 |
| Item 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 45 |
| Item 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 47 |
| Item 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u> | 75 |
| Item 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 75 |
| Item 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 75 |

PART III

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 10. | <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 76 |
| Item 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 80 |
| Item 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 90 |
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 91 |
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 92 |

PART IV

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule</u> | 94 |
|----------|--|----|

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 96 |
|--------------------------|----|

Table of Contents

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as projects, believes, anticipates, plans, expects, intends and similar words and expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements related to our expected business, new product introductions, results of operations, future financial position, our ability to increase our revenues, the mix of our revenues between product and service revenues, our financing plans and capital requirements, our costs of revenue, our expenses, our potential tax assets or liabilities, the effect of recent accounting pronouncements, our investments, cash flows and our ability to finance operations from cash flows and similar matters and include statements based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the economies and markets in which we operate and our beliefs and assumptions regarding these economies and markets. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are based on current expectation and are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those risk factors described throughout this filing and particularly in Part I, Item 1A: Risk Factors. Our actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

PART I

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS
COMPANY BACKGROUND**

Intuitive Surgical, Inc. was founded in 1995. We are a Delaware corporation with our corporate headquarters located at 1266 Kifer Road, Sunnyvale, California 94086. Our telephone number is (408) 523-2100, and our website address is www.intuitivesurgical.com. In this report, Intuitive Surgical, we, us, and our refer to Intuitive Surgical, Inc. and its subsidiaries. *Intuitive*, *da Vinci*, *TilePro*, *Solo Surgery*, *EndoWrist*, *InSite*, *AESOP*, *HERMES*, *ZEUS*, *SOCRATES* and *Navigator* are trademarks of Intuitive Surgical, Inc.

We design, manufacture and market the *da Vinci* Surgical Systems, which are advanced surgical systems that we believe represent a new generation of surgery. We believe that this new generation of surgery, which we call *da Vinci* surgery, is a revolutionary advancement similar in scope to previous generations of surgery—open surgery and minimally invasive surgery, or MIS. Our *da Vinci* Surgical Systems consist of a surgeon's console, a patient-side cart, a high performance vision system and proprietary wristed instruments. By placing computer-enhanced technology between the surgeon and patient, we believe that our systems enable surgeons to perform advanced MIS in a manner never before experienced. The *da Vinci* Surgical System controls Intuitive Surgical endoscopic instruments, including rigid endoscopes, blunt and sharp endoscopic dissectors, scissors, scalpels, forceps/pickups, needle holders, endoscopic retractors, electrocautery, ultrasonic cutters, and accessories during a wide range of surgical procedures. The *da Vinci* Surgical System seamlessly translates the surgeon's natural hand movements on instrument controls at the console into corresponding micro-movements of instruments positioned inside the patient through small puncture incisions, or ports. Our *da Vinci* Surgical System provides the surgeon with the intuitive control, range of motion, fine tissue manipulation capability and 3-D vision characteristic of open surgery, while simultaneously allowing the surgeon to work through the small ports of MIS.

In March 1997, surgeons using an early prototype of our technology successfully performed the first *da Vinci* surgery on humans. Beginning in May 1998, surgeons using our technology successfully performed what we believe were the world's first computer-enhanced closed chest heart surgeries, including mitral valve repair, dissection of an internal mammary artery and grafting of a coronary artery. In early 2000, surgeons using our technology successfully completed what we believe was the world's first beating heart bypass procedure through small ports.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our clearances from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to date:

July 2000 General laparoscopic procedures

March 2001 Non-cardiac thoracoscopic procedures

May 2001 Prostatectomy procedures

November 2002 Cardiomy procedures

July 2004 Cardiac revascularization procedures

March 2005 Urologic surgical procedures

April 2005 Gynecologic surgical procedures

June 2005 Pediatric surgical procedures

As of December 31, 2006, we had sold 571 of our *da Vinci* Surgical Systems, and surgeons using our technology had successfully completed more than one hundred thousand surgical procedures of various types in major hospitals throughout North America, South America, Europe, the Middle East and Asia.

Open surgery remains the predominant form of surgery and is still used in almost every area of the body. However, the large incisions required for open surgery create trauma to the patient, resulting in longer hospitalization and recovery times, increased hospitalization costs, and additional pain and suffering. Over the past two decades, MIS has reduced trauma to the patient by allowing selected surgeries to be performed through small ports rather than large incisions, often resulting in shorter recovery times, fewer complications and reduced hospitalization costs. MIS has been widely adopted for certain surgical procedures, but it has not been widely adopted within complex surgical procedures.

The *da Vinci* Surgical System enables surgeons to overcome many of the shortcomings of both open surgery and MIS. Surgeons operate while seated comfortably at a console viewing a high resolution, 3-D image of the surgical field. This immersive visualization connects the surgeon to the surgical field and the instruments. While seated at the console, the surgeon manipulates instrument controls in a natural manner, just as he or she has been trained to do in open surgery. Our technology is designed to provide surgeons with a range of motion in the surgical field analogous to the motions of a human wrist, while filtering out the tremor inherent in a surgeon's hand. In designing our products, we have focused on making our technology as simple as possible to use. In our experience, based on thousands of procedures, surgeons can learn to manipulate our instruments with only a limited amount of training as compared to the training required for a surgeon to become skilled in MIS and can learn to perform *da Vinci* surgery with less training than is required for MIS.

Our products are designed to make a broad range of open surgical and MIS procedures suitable for *da Vinci* surgery. The *da Vinci* Surgical System is designed to enable surgeons to improve surgical outcomes while providing patients with the benefits of MIS. We believe that these advantages will facilitate a fundamental change in surgery.

We operate our business as one segment as defined by generally accepted accounting principles. Our financial results for the previous three fiscal years are discussed in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report.

Next Generation Surgery *da Vinci* Surgery

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

The *da Vinci* Surgical System is designed to provide the surgeon with the intuitive control, range of motion, fine tissue manipulation capability and 3-D vision characteristic of open surgery while simultaneously allowing the surgeon to work through the small ports of MIS. All this is accomplished in an intuitive manner, in the same way that the movements of a surgeon's hands in open surgery are entirely intuitive.

Table of Contents

The *da Vinci S* Surgical System, which was introduced in January 2006, shares the same core technology as the standard *da Vinci* Surgical System. In addition, the *da Vinci S* Surgical System features a motorized patient cart for easy setup and docking. A single fiber optic cable connects the patient cart to the surgeon's console. Instrument attachment and exchange is now faster with a quick-click cannula and a single-use sterile adapter. The robotic arms have greater range of motion and the *EndoWrist* instruments are two inches longer, which together facilitate multi-quadrant access. The patient-side cart also features an integrated touch screen monitor for the physician's assistant. The *da Vinci S* Surgical System also has a feature called *TilePro*, which is designed to allow surgeons to import and view a variety of video images without leaving the surgeon's console.

We believe that our technology overcomes many of the limitations of existing MIS tools and techniques in the following ways:

Intuitive Instrument Movements. Our technology is designed to directly transform the surgeon's natural hand movements outside the body into corresponding micro-movements inside the patient's body. For example, with the *da Vinci* Surgical System, a hand movement to the right outside the body causes the instrument inside the patient to be moved to the right. In contrast, conventional MIS instruments are essentially long rigid levers that rotate around a fulcrum, or pivot point, located at the port created in the body wall. In conventional MIS, the instrument tip moves in the opposite direction from the surgeon's hand and surgeons must adjust their hand-eye coordination to translate their hand movements in this "backward" environment.

EndoWrist Instruments Provide Natural Dexterity and Range of Motion. Our technology is designed to provide surgeons with a range of motion in the surgical field analogous to the motions of a human hand and wrist. Our proprietary instruments, which we call *EndoWrist* instruments, incorporate wrist joints that enable surgeons to reach behind tissues and suture with precision, just as they can in open surgery. The surgeon controls the instrument movements from the surgeon's console using natural hand and wrist movements. *EndoWrist* joints are located near the tips of all of our instruments. Conventional MIS instruments provide surgeons less flexibility, dexterity and range of motion than their own hands provide in open surgical procedures. For example, MIS instruments in widespread use today do not have joints near their tips, and cannot replicate a surgeon's hand and wrist movements to perform manipulations, such as reaching behind tissue, suturing and fine dissection.

More Precise Movements and Reduced Tremor. With our technology, the surgeon can also use motion scaling, a feature that translates, for example, a three-millimeter hand movement outside the patient's body into a one-millimeter instrument movement in the surgical field inside the patient's body. Motion scaling is designed to allow greater precision than is normally achievable in either open surgery or MIS. In addition, our technology is designed to filter out the tremor inherent in a surgeon's hands.

Immersive 3-D Visualization. Our vision system, which we call the *InSite* vision system, is designed to give surgeons the perception that their hands are immersed in the surgical field even though they are outside the patient's body. As a result, we believe that surgeons no longer feel disconnected from the surgical field and the instruments, as they currently do with MIS. In addition, we believe that the *InSite* system provides a brighter and sharper image than any other 3-D endoscope vision system currently available. The *InSite* system also incorporates our proprietary *Navigator* camera control technology that allows the surgeon to easily change, move, zoom and rotate his or her field of vision. The combination of these features offers what we believe is the most advanced surgical vision system available today.

Immersive High-Definition 3-D Visualization. In the first quarter of 2007, we launched the high definition, 3-D (3-D HD) vision system. The 3-D HD vision system provides 20% more viewing area and enhances visualization of tissue planes and critical anatomy. The digital zoom feature in the 3-D HD vision system allows surgeons to magnify the surgical field of view without adjusting endoscope position and reduces interference between the endoscope and instruments. We believe the new 3-D HD vision system will enable improved surgical outcomes. The 3-D HD vision will be available as an option on new *da Vinci S* Surgical Systems and as an upgrade option to our existing customers who own a *da Vinci S* Surgical System.

Table of Contents

Teachable and Repeatable. We have designed our products to make them as simple as possible to use, even though the underlying technology is inherently complex. We believe that tissue manipulations using our products are as natural as hand movements in open surgery. In our experience, based on feedback from surgeons who have performed hundreds of procedures, surgeons can learn to manipulate our instruments with less training as compared to the training required for the surgeon to become skilled in MIS. The time required to learn to perform surgical procedures using the *da Vinci* Surgical System varies depending on the complexity of the procedure and the surgical team's experience with MIS techniques.

Multi-Specialty Surgical Platform. The *da Vinci* Surgical System is designed to enable surgeons to perform a wide range of surgical procedures. To date, we believe surgeons have used the *da Vinci* Surgical System to perform nearly 100 different types of surgical procedures.

We believe that these advantages provide the patient with benefits of reduced trauma while restoring to the surgeon the range of motion and fine tissue control consistent with open surgery, along with further enhancements such as tremor reduction, motion scaling and superior visualization.

We believe that our technology has the potential to change surgical procedures in two basic ways:

Convert a large percentage open procedures to da Vinci Surgery. We believe that our technology has the potential to convert a large percentage of open procedures which are traditionally performed through large incisions to *da Vinci* surgery.

Facilitate Difficult MIS Operations. We believe that several surgical procedures that today are performed only rarely using MIS techniques can be performed routinely and with confidence using *da Vinci* surgery. Some procedures have been adapted for MIS techniques but are extremely difficult and are currently performed by a limited number of highly skilled surgeons. We believe our *da Vinci* Surgical System will enable more surgeons at more institutions to perform these procedures.

Intuitive Surgical's Products and Services

Our principal products include the *da Vinci* Surgical System and a variety of multiple-use *EndoWrist* instruments and accessories.

da Vinci Surgical System

Our *da Vinci* Surgical System is comprised of the following components:

Surgeon's Console. The *da Vinci* Surgical System allows the surgeon to operate while comfortably seated at an ergonomic console viewing a 3-D image of the surgical field. The surgeon's fingers grasp the instrument controls below the display with hands naturally positioned relative to his or her eyes. Using hardware, software, algorithms, mechanics and optics, our technology is designed to seamlessly translate the surgeon's hand movements into precise and corresponding real-time micro movements of the *EndoWrist* instruments positioned inside the patient.

Patient-Side Cart. The patient-side cart, which can be easily moved next to the operating table, holds electromechanical arms that manipulate the instruments inside the patient. Up to four arms attached to the cart can be easily positioned as appropriate, and then locked into place. The first two arms, one representing the left hand and one representing the right hand of the surgeon, hold our *EndoWrist* instruments. The third arm positions the endoscope, allowing the surgeon to easily move, zoom and rotate his or her field of vision. The fourth arm option provides additional surgical capabilities by holding an additional *EndoWrist* instrument as well as potentially reducing the need for an assistant surgeon. The surgeon has a choice of simultaneously controlling any two of the operating arms by tapping a foot pedal underneath the surgeon's console. The fourth arm is available as an option on

Table of Contents

standard *da Vinci* Surgical Systems and can be added as an upgrade to existing three-arm *da Vinci* Surgical Systems.

3-D Vision System. Our vision system includes our *InSite* three dimensional, or 3-D, endoscope with two separate vision channels linked to two separate color monitors. Our vision system also incorporates our *InSite* image processing equipment comprised of high performance video cameras and specialized edge enhancement and noise reduction equipment. The resulting 3-D image has high resolution and contrast and no flicker or cross fading, which sometimes occurs in single monitor systems, and minimizes eye fatigue. Our vision system allows the surgeon to move his or her head in the viewer without affecting image quality. Beginning in January 2007, we also offer our 3-D HD vision system.

EndoWrist Instruments and Intuitive Accessories

We manufacture a variety of *EndoWrist* instruments, each of which incorporates wrist joints for natural dexterity, with tips customized for various surgical procedures. These *EndoWrist* instruments are approximately five or eight millimeters in diameter. The instruments mount onto the electromechanical arms that represent the surgeon's left and right hands and provide the mechanical capability necessary for performing complex tissue manipulations through ports. At their tips, the various *EndoWrist* instruments include forceps, scissors, electrocautery, scalpels and other surgical tools that are familiar to the surgeon from open surgery and MIS. Generally, a variety of *EndoWrist* instruments are selected and used interchangeably during a surgery. Where instrument tips need to incorporate a disposable component, such as scalpel blades, we sell disposable inserts. We plan to continue to add new types of *EndoWrist* instruments for additional types of surgical procedures.

The *EndoWrist* instruments are multiple-use because they are sterilizable and reusable for a defined number of procedures. A programmed memory chip inside each instrument performs several functions that help determine how the system and instruments work together. When an *EndoWrist* instrument is attached to an arm of the patient-side cart, the chip performs an electronic handshake that ensures the instrument was manufactured by Intuitive and recognizes the type and function of the instrument and number of past uses. For example, the chip distinguishes between scissors and a scalpel and controls the unique functions of different instruments as appropriate. In addition, the chip will not allow the instrument to be used for more than the prescribed number of procedures so that its performance meets specifications during each procedure.

We also sell various accessory products, which are used in conjunction with the *da Vinci* Surgical System as surgical procedures are performed. Accessory products include sterile drapes used to protect the sterile field during surgery, vision products such as replacement 3-D stereo endoscopes, camera heads, and light guides, and other miscellaneous items.

Other Products

Other products include the AESOP Endoscope Positioner, a surgical robot capable of positioning an endoscope in response to a surgeon's commands, the ZEUS Surgical System, a robotic platform designed to improve a surgeon's ability to perform complex surgical procedures, the HERMES Control Center, a voice activated operating room control system designed to enable a surgeon to directly control multiple operating room devices through simple verbal commands, and the SOCRATES Telementoring System, an interactive telecollaborative system allowing a surgeon to mentor and collaborate with another surgeon during an operation. We are no longer promoting the ZEUS and SOCRATES products; however, we continue to support systems that are installed at customer sites. We have discontinued pursuing any further regulatory approvals for these two products.

Customer Services and Support and Training Programs

Our goal is to provide exceptional value to our customers. We create value by understanding customer needs and building efficiency into everything we do. We have a network of field service engineers across the United

Table of Contents

States and Europe and maintain relationships with various distributors around the globe. This infrastructure of service and support specialists offer a full complement of services, including 24/7 support, installation, repair and maintenance for our customers.

We generate service revenue by providing these services to our customers through comprehensive service contracts and select time and material programs.

We warranty our AESOP, *da Vinci* and *da Vinci S* Surgical Systems generally for twelve months after customer acceptance. Our post-warranty support plans offer short or long-term coverage. Our main logistics operation is based in Sunnyvale, California, and we also have a comprehensive spare parts center located in Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

We also provide system training to surgeons and nursing personnel. We have established training centers where initial system training and ongoing surgical procedural training are provided.

Using the *da Vinci* Surgical System

During a procedure, the patient-side cart is positioned next to the operating table with the electromechanical arms arranged to provide access to the initial ports selected by the surgeon. Metal tubes attached to the arms are inserted through the ports, and the *EndoWrist* instruments are introduced through the tubes into the patient's body. The surgeon then performs the procedure while sitting comfortably at the surgeon's console, manipulating the instrument controls and viewing the operation through our *InSite* vision system. When a surgeon needs to change an instrument, as is done many times during an operation, the instrument is withdrawn from the surgical field using the controls at the console, in similar fashion to the way a surgeon withdraws instruments from the patient in MIS. A scrub nurse standing near the patient removes the used instrument from the electromechanical arm and replaces it with the new instrument, in a process designed to be rapid enough not to disturb the natural flow of the procedure. As a result, the scrub nurse plays a role similar to that played in open surgery and MIS. At the conclusion of the operation, the metal tubes are removed from the patient's body and the small incisions are sutured or stapled closed.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to establish *da Vinci* surgery as the standard approach for complex surgical procedures, displacing both open surgical technique and standard MIS within this segment. We intend to accomplish this objective both by pioneering new types of endoscopic surgery and by making existing MIS procedures easier, safer and more cost-effective than the alternative methods. Our strategy is to broaden the number of procedures performed using the *da Vinci* Surgical System and to educate surgeons, hospitals and patients as to the benefits of *da Vinci* surgery. Key elements of our strategy include the following:

Focus on Key Procedures. Our procedure marketing efforts are primarily focused within four surgical specialties: urologic surgery, gynecologic surgery, cardiothoracic surgery, and general surgery. In 2006, the mix of procedures performed with the *da Vinci* Surgical System among these four surgical specialties was largest within urology, followed by gynecologic, cardiothoracic, and general surgery. The *da Vinci* Surgical System is used to perform, among other procedures, *da Vinci* Prostatectomy, *da Vinci* Hysterectomy, *da Vinci* Mitral Valve Repair, Multi-Vessel Small-Thoracotomy Bypass, and *da Vinci* Gastric Bypass. The development of key procedures, which often are in parallel with our FDA clearances, has been a catalyst for the growth of our company.

Focus on Key Institutions. Our marketing efforts are focused within both academic and community hospitals. Following the initial placement within a given hospital, we endeavor to expand the number of physicians who use the *da Vinci* Surgical System and work with the hospitals and their surgeons to promote patient education as to the benefits of *da Vinci* surgery. We believe that these efforts will result in increased usage per system, leading to higher volume sales of instruments and sales of additional

Table of Contents

systems at each hospital. In addition, we believe these efforts will benefit early-adopting hospitals by increasing their market share in the procedures and specialties that benefit from *da Vinci* surgery. We expect these efforts to increase demand for our products among competitive hospitals, surgeons and referring physicians.

Focus on Leading Surgeons to Drive Rapid and Broad Adoption. We place significant emphasis on marketing the *da Vinci* Surgical System to surgeons who are considered to be thought leaders in their institutions and fields. These surgeons typically perform complex surgical procedures that are rarely adaptable to MIS techniques. These surgeons tend to publish and report their clinical experiences in peer-reviewed forums. For example, cardiac procedures are among the most difficult to perform using MIS techniques. This strategy puts surgeons at the forefront of procedure development and provides them an opportunity to maintain a competitive edge within their specialty. We believe that early adoption of our products by surgical thought leaders may provide other surgeons the confidence that the *da Vinci* Surgical System can be used for all types of surgical procedures. In addition to working with academic-based thought leaders, we work with community-based surgeons who are focused on expanding MIS within their community. We help them expand their clinical practice by offering their patients an increased number of minimally invasive procedures.

Maintain Market Leadership. We intend to maintain our leadership advantage by continuing to develop and enhance our technology and to communicate the benefits of our *da Vinci* Surgical System to surgeons, hospitals and patients. We will continue to improve our *da Vinci* Surgical System through software and hardware enhancements and by developing new surgical instruments. We will also continue to develop our surgical platform to facilitate and support future surgical innovations.

Develop Industry Alliances. We intend to continue to establish strategic alliances with leading medical device companies. To date, these alliances have taken several forms, including cooperation in the areas of product development, training, and procedure development and marketing activities. We have formed alliances with, among other companies, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc., Gyrus ACMI, Olympus Corporation and Medtronic, Inc.

Increasing Patient Awareness. Patients and family members of patients are researching their healthcare decisions more than ever before. The World Wide Web has become a tremendous resource for patients who face multiple choices concerning their surgical treatment options. We intend to expand our use of the World Wide Web as a way to disseminate information on the *da Vinci* System and *da Vinci* related surgical procedure options to patients and healthcare providers.

Clinical Applications

We believe our technology is capable of enhancing or enabling a wide variety of procedures in many surgical specialties. Surgeons using our *da Vinci* Surgical System have performed more than one-hundred thousand surgical procedures of various types, including urologic, gynecologic, cardiothoracic, and general surgery. These surgical applications, which are currently cleared by the FDA, are further described below.

Urologic Surgery

Prostatectomy. Radical prostatectomy is the removal of the prostate gland in patients diagnosed with clinically localized prostatic cancer. The current standard approach to removal of the prostate is via an open surgical procedure. The laparoscopic approach, while not prevalent, is an option, but is difficult and poses challenges to even the most skilled urologist. The *da Vinci* Surgical System allows for improved visualization of the gross anatomy (dorsal veins, endopelvic fascia, bladder muscle, puboprostatic ligaments), microanatomy (bladder mucosa, nerve bundles) and tissue planes, which are critical for an anatomic dissection. Peer-reviewed clinical publications have reported that radical prostatectomy using the *da Vinci* Surgical System has improved positive oncologic results, reduced operative blood loss, reduced postoperative pain, improved cosmesis and may provide a better nerve-sparing operation. The *da Vinci* Surgical System has enabled a large number of surgeons to convert from using an open surgical technique to a minimally invasive technique.

Table of Contents

Gynecologic Surgery

Hysterectomy. Removal of the uterus is one of the most commonly performed surgeries in gynecology and it can be performed using open surgery, a vaginal approach, or MIS techniques. It demands a significant degree of tissue manipulation in the dissection and ligation, or tying, of blood vessels, ligaments and other pelvic structures. Laparoscopic techniques used in this procedure increase the risk of injury to the ureters, which are vital structures that provide the conduit for urine between the kidney and bladder. It is often difficult to ensure the identification and prevention of injury to the ureters and bladder with conventional MIS instruments because of the limited angles at which these instruments can be positioned. Furthermore, in hysterectomy procedures for treating endometrial or cervical cancer, it is difficult to access and remove a large number of lymph nodes to prevent the spread of cancer. We believe that our products will increase the surgeon's dexterity in this procedure and, as a result, may have a significant impact on safety, operating time, and rate of adoption of port-based techniques in hysterectomy.

Myomectomy. Myomectomy, or removal of a myoma/fibroid, is a surgical procedure performed when uterine preservation is sought. Women who desire to remain fertile are candidates for this procedure. Due to the excessive suturing required for this procedure, the standard surgical approach remains an open incision. There are some highly skilled gynecological laparoscopists who perform laparoscopic myomectomies, but to this point, it has remained a small minority. We believe that the *da Vinci* Surgical System will enable many of these open myomectomies to be performed minimally invasively.

Cardiothoracic Surgery

Mitral Valve Repair. When patients are diagnosed with mitral valve disease, there are two surgical treatment options from which they can choose: mitral valve replacement or mitral valve repair. Mitral valve repairs are generally preferred over mitral valve replacement for a number of reasons, which include longevity and durability of the repaired valve over a replacement valve and the elimination or reduction of the patient's post-surgical pharmaceutical regimen. Since mitral valve repairs are considered to be more technically challenging than mitral valve replacements, they are only performed approximately 50% of the time. When performing *da Vinci* mitral valve repairs, surgeons have reported that the enhanced 3-D visualization provides for essential identification of difficult to see anatomical structures and tissue planes. *EndoWrist* joints permit them to precisely manipulate delicate structures inside of the heart and accurately place sutures into the targeted tissues. In addition, surgeons using the *da Vinci* Surgical System to operate from a lateral right-sided approach have reported that this requires less tissue manipulation than operating through a sternotomy, while providing greater anatomical exposure. As a result of these factors, several of our surgeon customers have reported a significant shift in favor of mitral valve repairs over mitral valve replacements within their practices. Our *da Vinci* Surgical System is enabling heart valve repairs to be performed through small ports in a manner that could not have been accomplished with open surgery.

Internal Thoracic Artery Dissection. In a coronary artery bypass graft procedure used in cardiac surgery, a blocked coronary artery is bypassed with a graft. When available, an artery from the chest called the internal mammary artery is dissected from its natural position and grafted into place to perform the bypass. Because the internal mammary artery is located on the underside of the anterior surface of the chest, dissection of the vessel is challenging using existing surgical instruments through the three- to five-inch incision currently used in a coronary artery bypass graft procedure. The *da Vinci* Surgical System instruments have multiple joints that emulate the surgeon's arms and hands, allowing exact positioning of the instruments inside the patient's chest. In addition, the *EndoWrist* joints permit the surgeon to reach behind the tissues for easier dissection of the internal mammary artery. Thus, we believe that the internal mammary artery can be dissected with greater precision using our technology.

Thoracoscopy. A number of procedures performed in the thorax, or chest cavity, can be accomplished by minimally invasive methods. These methods are generally referred to as thoracoscopic procedures. They include various types of lung resection, biopsy procedures, node dissections, nerve resections and esophageal surgery.

Table of Contents

Conventional thoracoscopic tools have all the limitations of conventional laparoscopic tools, such as backward counter-intuitive movement and limited range of motion. We believe that the capability of our technology to operate dexterously in the small and restrictive space of the chest cavity offers significant clinical value in the performance of advanced thoracoscopic procedures.

Coronary Artery Bypass. The traditional approach to coronary artery bypass grafting, or CABG, involves splitting the breastbone via a median sternotomy incision, placing the patient on cardio pulmonary bypass, or CPB, and bypassing diseased segments of arteries in the heart with conduit arteries and veins. Over time, successful results from this operation have been widely reported. However, there are known morbidities from this approach that MIS techniques for coronary artery bypass surgery seek to overcome. With assistance from the *da Vinci* Surgical System, patients can undergo multi-vessel full surgical revascularization, while avoiding CPB and the median sternotomy incision, thus reducing the morbidities associated with these procedures. In Single-Vessel or Multi-Vessel Small Thoracotomy bypass, or SVST/ MVST procedures, surgeons use the *da Vinci* Surgical System to precisely mobilize one or both internal mammary arteries for use in the bypass operation. This is accomplished through three small port incisions in the left chest and once completed, the middle port incision is extended into a four- to six-centimeter incision, enabling the surgeon to complete the anastomoses directly through the incision. In addition to reducing known morbidities from standard open-chest coronary artery bypass surgery, revascularization with the *da Vinci* Surgical System sets a new standard in minimally invasive coronary artery bypass surgery by placing the patient on an accelerated path to recovery.

General Surgery

Gastric Bypass. A growing number of patients are undergoing surgical treatment for their morbid obesity. Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, or LRYGB, is the most commonly performed surgical procedure for morbid obesity in the United States. Briefly, the LRYGB operation promotes weight loss by two mechanisms. First, the size of the stomach is greatly reduced by surgical stapling, thus restricting the amount of food the patient can consume at a given time. Second, a long segment of intestine is bypassed causing less food to be absorbed. The LRYGB is arguably one of the most technically challenging laparoscopic procedures because of the suturing, stapling and tissue (bowel) manipulation that is required. A critical portion of the operation is anastomosing the stomach to the small intestine. Leaks in the anastomosis are the cause of major complications that can result in death. The *da Vinci* Surgical System is used by surgeons in suturing this anastomosis. We believe procedures performed with the *da Vinci* Surgical System incorporating a double-layered hand-sewn anastomosis results in fewer anastomotic leaks than in traditional laparoscopic procedures.

Nissen Fundoplication. Nissen fundoplication is a general surgical procedure that is performed to correct esophageal reflux. Esophageal reflux disease is a digestive disorder that affects the muscle connecting the esophagus with the stomach. As an elective procedure, Nissen fundoplication is currently performed on only a small fraction of candidates who suffer from this condition. We believe that our technology will improve the ease of performing the Nissen procedure through ports. Specifically, our technology will address the two most difficult steps in this procedure, which are made more difficult by existing MIS techniques, esophageal dissection and suturing of the fundus of the stomach around the esophagus. If adoption of our technology becomes widespread for Nissen procedures, we believe that the number of surgeons able to perform a Nissen procedure using port-based techniques will increase. Further, we expect that the widespread availability of a port-based approach may expand the number of surgeries performed.

Additional Clinical Applications

We believe there are numerous additional applications that can be addressed with the *da Vinci* Surgical System. Surgeons using the *da Vinci* Surgical System have performed nearly 100 different types of surgery in the North America, South America, Europe, the Middle East, and Asia.

Table of Contents

Sales and Customer Support

We market our products through a direct sales force in the United States and parts of Europe. We also market our products outside the United States through distributors. Our direct sales force is comprised of sales managers, clinical sales representatives, training specialists, and technical sales representatives. Sales activities include educating surgeons and hospital staff across multiple surgical specialties on the advantages of *da Vinci* surgery and the clinical applications that our technology enables. We also train our sales force to educate hospital management on the potential benefits of adopting our technology, including the potential for increased local market share that may result from offering *da Vinci* surgery. Once a hospital has installed a *da Vinci* Surgical System, our clinical sales representatives help drive the utilization of the system, and our technical service representatives provide service and maintenance for the system.

As of December 31, 2006, we had 205 employees in our field sales and support organizations, up from 143 employees in these organizations as of December 31, 2005. We expect to continue growing these organizations as we expand our business.

Our *da Vinci* Surgical System typically has a lengthy sales cycle. It is viewed as a major capital item by our customers, which often requires approval by their senior level managers and/or boards of directors.

Technology

We lead the development and commercialization of robotic technology designed to extend the benefits of MIS to the broadest possible base of surgical patients. Our products can provide surgeons with the clinical and technical capabilities of traditional open surgery while enabling them to operate through tiny incisions.

The *da Vinci* Surgical System enables physicians to perform surgery in a manner never before experienced. The *da Vinci* Surgical System seamlessly translates the surgeon's hand movements at the console instrument controls into corresponding micro-movements of instruments positioned inside the patient. The *da Vinci* Surgical System can provide the surgeon with improved visualization, dexterity, and precision compared with MIS surgery, while enabling operation through 1-2 centimeter incisions. The features of the *da Vinci* Surgical System are further described below.

Superior Visualization

True-to-life 3-D or 3-D HD vision

Bright, crisp image

Immersive view of the surgical field

The *da Vinci* Surgical System provides visualization of the target anatomy with natural depth-of-field, enhanced contrast and magnification for more accurate tissue identification and tissue layer differentiation.

Improved visualization also enables surgeons to perform delicate tissue handling and dissection with added precision even in confined spaces. This precision may allow the surgeon to avoid trauma to surrounding structures and tissues such as the neurovascular bundle located near the prostate.

Enhanced Dexterity, Precision and Control

Fingertip control of *EndoWrist* Instruments

Three or four robotic arms provide enhanced surgeon control

Seven degrees of freedom 90 degrees of articulation

Motion scaling and tremor reduction

Table of Contents

The *da Vinci* Surgical System's tremor reduction, motion control and proprietary *EndoWrist* instrumentation enhance ambidexterity for greater surgical precision and surgeon control. Enhanced control and intuitive motion enables more widespread use of advanced techniques, as well as a reduced learning curve when compared to the traditional MIS techniques. Added instrument range-of-motion enhances access and safety while operating in the confined space of the closed chest, abdomen or pelvis. This enables surgeons to more easily perform complex surgical maneuvers through small ports, eliminating the need for large, traumatic incisions.

Superior Ergonomics

Optimal alignment of visual and motor axes

Comfortable seated posture

The *da Vinci* Surgical System is designed to allow surgeons to operate while seated, which is not only more comfortable, but also may be clinically advantageous due to reduced surgeon fatigue.

The *da Vinci* Surgical System's design allows natural hand-eye alignment at the surgeon's console, which provides improved ergonomics over traditional laparoscopic technology. Since the *da Vinci* Surgical System's robotic arms hold the camera and instruments steady, there is also potentially reduced abdominal wall torque, less surgeon assistance required and reduced surgeon fatigue.

Image Processing

Our vision system includes a 3-D endoscope with two independent vision channels linked to two separate color monitors. The system also incorporates image-processing equipment comprised of high-performance video cameras, specialized edge enhancement and noise reduction equipment. The resulting 3-D image is bright, crisp and clear, with no flicker or cross-fading as with single monitor systems. Beginning in January 2007, we also offer our 3-D HD vision system.

Visual Continuity

Camera control, provided through the hand controls and foot pedals, provides near-seamless transition between views. Surgeons can reposition the surgical camera in an instant with foot controls or zoom in, out, up, down, left and right by moving their hands in the desired direction. Repositioning of the surgeon's head at the console does not affect image quality as with other 3-D display systems.

Fourth Arm

The *da Vinci* Surgical System's patient-side cart holds up to four electromechanical arms which hold the 3-D endoscope and manipulate the instruments inside the patient. The instruments and camera attach easily to the arms, and are repositioned by either the console surgeon or patient-side assistant. The addition of a 4th arm may allow for *Solo Surgery* in some surgical procedures.

The first two arms, representing the surgeon's left and right hands, hold the *EndoWrist* instruments. A third arm positions the endoscope, allowing the surgeon to easily change, move, zoom and rotate his or her field of vision from the console. This mobility eliminates the need for an assistant to hold the camera steady. The optional 4th arm extends surgical capabilities by enabling the surgeon to add a third *EndoWrist* instrument and perform additional tasks like applying countertraction and following running sutures.

The surgeon can simultaneously control any two of the operating arms by tapping a foot pedal underneath the surgeon's console. The 4th arm is available as an option on new *da Vinci* Surgical Systems and can be added as an upgrade to existing *da Vinci* Surgical Systems. The 4th arm is standard on the *da Vinci S* Surgical System.

Table of Contents

Intellectual Property

We believe that achieving and maintaining a competitive advantage is crucial in the medical device industry. To that end, we strive to develop, maintain, protect, and acquire proprietary technologies. Since our inception in late 1995, we have encountered and solved a number of technical hurdles. We have patented and continue to pursue patent and other intellectual property protection for the technology that we have developed to overcome these hurdles. In addition to developing our own patent portfolio, we have spent significant resources to acquire exclusive license rights to necessary and desirable patents and other intellectual property from SRI International and IBM, which were early leaders in applying robotics to surgery. One of the strengths of our portfolio is that the licensed SRI International and IBM patents have original filing dates as early as January 1992 and June 1991, respectively. We have also exclusively licensed a patent application from MIT concerning robotic surgery and an extensive minimally invasive heart surgery patent portfolio from Heartport, Inc. in the field of robotic surgery. The Heartport patents cover many different forms of minimally invasive robotic surgery, including single- and multi-vessel coronary artery bypass grafts, heart valve repair and replacement and beating heart stabilization. In June 2001, we entered into a non-exclusive patent license with Olympus Optical Co., Ltd. of Japan for several robotic surgery patents. As a result of our acquisition of Computer Motion, we now have the benefit of patent licenses previously held by Computer Motion. In January 2004, we licensed both exclusively and non-exclusively four patents from Brookhill-Wilk, LLC. In September 2005, we entered into a cross-license agreement with Hansen Medical, Inc. to co-exclusively license a number of robotic surgery related patents and applications in the fields of endoscopic, laparoscopic, thoracoscopic, or open diagnostic surgeries. In December 2005, we purchased three patents related to image-guided surgeries from IBM. In January 2006, we licensed on a non-exclusive basis a number of suction stabilizer related patents and applications that Medtronic's Cardiac Surgery Division owns (and has the right to grant a license) to make and sell suction stabilizers that are mechanically coupled to and manipulated by robotic devices. Finally, in May 2006, we licensed on a non-exclusive basis a number of master input mechanism and control patents from Sensable Technologies. We have licensed a number of instrument related patents from a number of instrument manufacturers.

As of December 31, 2006, we held exclusive field-of-use as well as non-exclusive licenses for over 180 US patents and over 50 foreign patents, and owned outright 138 US patents and 53 foreign patents. We also own or have licensed numerous pending United States and foreign patent applications. Our patents and patent applications relate to a number of important aspects of our technology, including our surgeon's console, electromechanical arms, vision system, endoscope positioning system and *EndoWrist* instruments. We intend to continue to file additional patent applications both in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions to seek protection for our technology.

Our success will depend in part on our ability to obtain patent and copyright protection for our products and processes, to preserve our trade secrets, to operate without infringing or violating valid and enforceable proprietary rights of third parties, and to prevent others from infringing our proprietary rights. We require our employees, consultants, and advisors to execute confidentiality agreements in connection with their employment, consulting or advisory relationships with us. We also require all employees, consultants and advisors who expect to work on our products to agree to disclose and assign to us all inventions conceived during the work day, using our property, or related to our business. We intend to take action to protect our intellectual property rights when we believe doing so is necessary and appropriate. In addition, our strategy is to actively pursue patent protection in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions for technology that we believe is proprietary and that offers a potential competitive advantage, and to license and/or purchase appropriate technologies when necessary or desirable. We cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain adequate protection for our technology or licenses on acceptable terms. Furthermore, if any protection we obtain is reduced or eliminated, others could use our intellectual property without compensating us, resulting in harm to our business. In addition, the laws of certain foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. Moreover, others may assert that our products infringe their intellectual property rights, which may cause us to engage in costly disputes and, if we are not successful in defending ourselves, could also cause us to pay substantial damages and prohibit us from selling our products.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

We focus our research and development efforts on providing our customers with new products and product improvements that enable them to perform new and better surgical procedures with less difficulty. Our research and development team includes experienced personnel in robotic technology. Our design engineers span a number of disciplines, including software engineering, systems analysis and electrical and mechanical engineering. In addition, we have engineers who specialize in vision technology. Finally, we have a manufacturing engineering group that continues to improve the manufacturability and quality of our products. We incurred \$29.8 million, \$17.4 million and \$17.8 million of research and development expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Manufacturing

The manufacturing of our products is a complex operation involving a number of separate processes and components. We purchase both custom and off-the-shelf components from a large number of certified suppliers and subject them to stringent quality specifications. We periodically conduct quality audits of suppliers and have established a supplier certification program. Some of the components necessary for the assembly of our products are currently provided to us by sole-sourced suppliers or single-sourced suppliers. We purchase components through purchase orders rather than long-term supply agreements and generally do not maintain large volumes of finished goods. While alternative suppliers exist and could be identified for sole-sourced components, the disruption or termination of the supply of components could cause a significant increase in the costs of these components, which could affect our operating results. A disruption or termination in the supply of components could also result in our inability to meet demand for our products, which could harm our ability to generate revenues, lead to customer dissatisfaction and damage our reputation.

Competition

We consider our primary competition to be existing open surgery, MIS, drug therapies, radiation treatment and emerging interventional surgical approaches. Our success depends in part on convincing hospitals, surgeons and patients that the demonstrated benefits associated with *da Vinci* surgery are superior to other techniques. We also face competition from several companies that are developing new approaches and products for the MIS market. Because many of these developments are aimed at MIS, we believe that our *da Vinci* Surgical System may actually prove complementary to these new technologies.

In addition, a limited number of companies are using or planning to use robots and computers in surgery, including Armstrong Healthcare Ltd., Hitachi Ltd., Integrated Surgical Systems, Inc., MicroDexterity Systems, Inc., Richard Wolf Medical Instruments Corporation, Ross-Hime Designs, Inc., Sinters SA, Terumo Medical Corporation, and Toshiba, Inc. Our revenues may be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and market products that are more effective or less expensive than our products.

We believe that the primary competitive factors in the market we address are capability, safety, efficacy, ease of use, price, quality, reliability, and effective sales, support, training and service. The length of time required for products to be developed and to receive regulatory and reimbursement approval is also an important competitive factor.

Government Regulation

United States

Our products and operations are subject to extensive and rigorous regulation by the FDA. The FDA regulates the research, testing, manufacturing, safety, labeling, storage, recordkeeping, promotion, distribution, and production of medical devices in the United States to ensure that medical products distributed domestically

Table of Contents

are safe and effective for their intended uses. In addition, the FDA regulates the export of medical devices manufactured in the United States to international markets.

Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FFDCFA, medical devices are classified into one of three classes Class I, Class II or Class III depending on the degree of risk associated with each medical device and the extent of control needed to ensure safety and effectiveness. Our current products are Class II medical devices.

Class II devices are those which are subject to the general controls and most require premarket demonstration of adherence to certain performance standards or other special controls, as specified by the FDA, and clearance by the FDA. Premarket review and clearance by the FDA for these devices is accomplished through the 510(k) premarket notification process. For most Class II devices, the manufacturer must submit to the FDA a premarket notification submission, demonstrating that the device is substantially equivalent in intended use and technology to a predicate device that is either:

- (1) a device that has grandfather marketing status because it was legally marketed prior to May 28, 1976, the date upon which the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 were enacted, or
- (2) a Class I or II device that has been cleared through the 510(k) process.

If the FDA agrees that the device is substantially equivalent to a predicate device, it will grant clearance to commercially market the device. The FDA has a statutory 90-day period to respond to a 510(k) submission. As a practical matter, clearance often takes longer. The FDA may require further information, including clinical data, to make a determination regarding substantial equivalence. If the FDA determines that the device, or its intended use, is not substantially equivalent, the FDA will place the device, or the particular use of the device, into Class III, and the device sponsor must then fulfill much more rigorous pre-marketing requirements.

After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, requires a new 510(k) clearance or could require a pre-market approval application, or PMA, approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination in the first instance, but the FDA can review any such decision. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer's decision not to seek a new 510(k) clearance, the agency may retroactively require the manufacturer to seek 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The FDA also can require the manufacturer to cease marketing and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or PMA approval is obtained.

Our manufacturing processes are required to comply with the FDA's Good Manufacturing Practice, or GMP, requirements contained in its Quality System Regulation, or QSR. The QSR covers, among other things, the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production, processes, controls, quality assurance, labeling, packaging, and shipping of a company's products. The QSR also requires maintenance of a device master record, device history record, design history file and complaint files. A company's domestic facility, records, and manufacturing processes are subject to periodic unscheduled inspections by the FDA.

Other post-market regulatory requirements apply to our commercial distribution of the *da Vinci* Surgical System, including the following:

labeling regulations;

the FDA's general prohibition against promoting products for unapproved or off label uses;

the Reports of Corrections and Removals regulation, which requires that manufacturers report to FDA recalls and field corrective actions taken to reduce a risk to health or to remedy a violation of the FFDCFA that may pose a risk to health; and

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

the Medical Device Reporting regulation, which requires that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur.

Table of Contents

We are subject to inspection and marketing surveillance by the FDA to determine compliance with all regulatory requirements. If the FDA finds that we have failed to comply, it can institute a wide variety of enforcement actions, ranging from a public warning letter to more severe sanctions including the following:

finances, injunctions, and civil penalties;

recall or seizure of our products;

operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production;

refusing our requests for 510(k) clearance or PMA approval of new products;

withdrawing 510(k) clearance or PMA approvals already granted; and

criminal prosecution.

In July 1997, we received 510(k) clearance from the FDA for the use of the *da Vinci* Surgical System with rigid endoscopes, blunt dissectors, retractors and stabilizer instruments, and in July 2000 we received 510(k) clearance to perform surgical tasks in general laparoscopic surgery.

Subsequent to the July 2000 clearance of the *da Vinci* Surgical System, we have obtained additional 510(k) clearances from the FDA to include non-cardiac thoracoscopic procedures (March 2001), prostatectomy procedures (May 2001), cardiomy procedures (November 2002), urologic surgical procedures (March 2005), gynecologic surgical procedures (April 2005), and pediatric surgical procedures (June 2005). FDA has also cleared the *da Vinci* Surgical System to be employed with adjunctive mediastinotomy to perform coronary anastomosis during cardiac revascularization procedures (July 2004).

We have modified the labeling, advertising, and user training for the *da Vinci* Surgical System to call out specific procedures that we believe are within the scope of our existing 510(k) clearances. We cannot assure that the FDA would agree that all such specific procedures are within the scope of the existing general clearance or that we have compiled adequate information to support the safety and efficacy of using the *da Vinci* Surgical System for all such specific procedures. We also have modified the hardware and software in the *da Vinci* Surgical System in ways that we believe do not require new 510(k) clearance. We cannot assure that the FDA would agree with our determinations not to seek new 510(k) clearance for any of these changes.

In January 2007, FDA concluded an inspection of our Sunnyvale manufacturing facility and issued a list of observations (Form 483) setting forth five observed deficiencies under the QSR relating to nonconforming product, corrective and preventive actions, complaint handling and supplier management. The Form 483 also set forth two observed deficiencies – one relating to the failure to report field corrections or recalls to the FDA that the FDA believed should have been reported under the Reports of Corrections and Removals regulation and another for failure to file Medical Device Report (MDR) within 30 days. In February 2007, we responded to each observation with proposed corrective actions. We believe that our proposed corrective actions are appropriate to address the observed deficiencies. However, we cannot assure that, upon re-inspection, the FDA will find that our promised corrective actions are appropriate or that they have been adequately implemented. We also cannot assure that the FDA will not find other deficiencies in our compliance with the QSR and other postmarket regulations.

California Regulation

The State of California requires that we obtain a license to manufacture medical devices and subjects us to periodic inspection. Our facilities and manufacturing processes were inspected in February 1998. We passed the inspection and received our device-manufacturing license from the Food and Drug Branch, or FDB, of the California Department of Health Service in March 1998. In March 2002, our facilities and manufacturing processes in our Sunnyvale facility were re-inspected by the FDB and, after correction of two observed QSR deficiencies, we have received an updated device-manufacturing license for our Sunnyvale facility.

Table of Contents

Foreign Regulation

In order for us to market our products in other countries, we must obtain regulatory approvals and comply with extensive safety and quality regulations in other countries. These regulations, including the requirements for approvals or clearance and the time required for regulatory review, vary from country to country. Failure to obtain regulatory approval in any foreign country in which we plan to market our products may harm our ability to generate revenue and harm our business.

Commercialization of medical devices in Europe is regulated by the European Union. The European Union presently requires that all medical products bear the CE mark for compliance with the Medical Device Directive (93/42/EEC). In order to affix the CE mark on products, a recognized European Notified Body must certify a manufacturer's quality system for compliance with international and European requirements. We have received permission from DGM, our Notified Body and agent of the Danish Government, to affix the CE mark to our *da Vinci* Surgical System and *EndoWrist* instruments. To maintain authorization to apply the CE mark, we are subject to annual surveillance audits and periodic re-certification audits. To date we have met these requirements and our certificate is valid until December 2010.

If we modify existing products or develop new products in the future, we may need to apply for permission to affix the CE mark to such products. In addition, we are subject to annual regulatory audits in order to maintain the CE mark permissions already obtained. We do not know whether we will be able to obtain permission to affix the CE mark for new or modified products or whether we will continue to meet the quality and safety standards required to maintain the permissions we have already received. If we are unable to maintain permission to affix the CE mark to our products, we will no longer be able to sell our products in member countries of the European Union.

The regulations in other countries, including the requirements for approvals or clearance and the time required for regulatory review, vary from country to country. These regulations typically require regulatory approvals, and compliance with extensive safety and quality system regulations. Failure to obtain regulatory approval in any foreign country in which we plan to market our products, or failure to comply with any regulation in any foreign country in which we market our products, may impact our ability to generate revenue and harm our business.

Third Party Reimbursement

In the United States and international markets where we sell our products, the government and health insurance companies together are responsible for hospital and surgeon reimbursement for virtually all surgical procedures. Governments and insurance companies generally reimburse hospitals and physicians for surgery when the procedures are considered non-experimental and non-cosmetic. In the United States, reimbursement for medical procedures under the Medicare and Medicaid programs is administered by Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Generally, procedure codes are assigned by the American Medical Association using the copyrighted Current Procedural Terminology Editorial Panel, which are in turn incorporated in the Medicare and Medicaid programs coding system. Applications for new procedure codes may be submitted to the American Medical Association.

Governments and insurance companies carefully review and increasingly challenge the prices charged for medical products and services. Reimbursement rates from private companies vary depending on the procedure performed, the third party involved, the insurance plan involved, and other factors. Medicare reimburses hospitals a prospectively determined fixed amount for the costs associated with an in-patient hospitalization based on the patient's discharge diagnosis, and reimburses physicians a prospectively determined fixed amount based on the procedure performed. This fixed amount is paid regardless of the actual costs incurred by the hospital or physician in furnishing the care and is unrelated to the specific devices used in that procedure. Thus, any reimbursements that hospitals obtain for performing surgery with our products will generally have to cover any additional costs that hospitals incur in purchasing our products.

Table of Contents

Domestic institutions typically bill the services performed with our products to various third-party payors, such as Medicare, Medicaid and other government programs and private insurance plans. Because our *da Vinci* Surgical System has been cleared for commercial distribution in the United States by the FDA, Medicare reimbursement is available for use of the device in cleared procedures and procedures conducted under an approved investigational device exemption application. We believe that the additional procedures we intend to target are generally already reimbursable by government agencies and insurance companies. If hospitals do not obtain sufficient reimbursement from third-party payors for procedures performed with our products, or if governmental and private payors' policies do not permit reimbursement for surgical procedures performed using our products, we may not be able to generate the revenues necessary to support our business. In such circumstances, we may have to apply to the American Medical Association for a unique Current Procedural Terminology code covering robotic-assisted surgery. If an application for a unique code is required, reimbursement for any use of our products may be unavailable until an appropriate code is granted. The application process, from filing until adoption of a new code, can take two or more years.

In countries outside the United States, reimbursement is obtained from various sources, including governmental authorities, private health insurance plans, and labor unions. In most foreign countries, private insurance systems may also offer payments for some therapies. Additionally, health maintenance organizations are emerging in certain European countries. To effectively conduct our business, we may need to seek international reimbursement approvals, and we do not know if these required approvals will be obtained in a timely manner or at all. Any regulatory or legislative developments in domestic or foreign markets that eliminate or reduce reimbursement rates for procedures performed with our products could harm our ability to sell our products or cause downward pressure on the prices of our products, either of which would affect our ability to generate the revenues necessary to support our business.

Employees

As of December 31, 2006, we had 563 employees, 76 of whom were engaged directly in research and development, 116 in manufacturing and service and 371 in marketing, sales, and administrative activities. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and we consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

Website Access to Reports

We make our periodic and current reports available, free of charge, on our website as soon as practicable after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our website address is www.intuitivesurgical.com and the reports are filed under "SEC Filings", on the Company Investor Relations portion of our website.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS

IF OUR PRODUCTS DO NOT ACHIEVE MARKET ACCEPTANCE, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO GENERATE THE REVENUE NECESSARY TO SUPPORT OUR BUSINESS.

The *da Vinci* Surgical System and our other products represent a fundamentally new way of performing surgery. Achieving physician, patient and third-party payor acceptance of *da Vinci* surgery as a preferred method of performing surgery will be crucial to our success. If our products fail to achieve market acceptance, hospitals will not purchase our products and we will not be able to generate the revenue necessary to support our business. We believe that physicians' and third-party payors' acceptance of the benefits of procedures performed using our products will be essential for acceptance of our products by patients. Physicians will not recommend the use of our products unless we can demonstrate that they produce results comparable or superior to existing surgical techniques. Even if we can prove the effectiveness of our products through clinical trials, surgeons may elect not to use our products for any number of other reasons. For example, cardiologists may continue to recommend conventional heart surgery simply because such surgery is already widely accepted. In addition, surgeons may be slow to adopt our products because of the perceived liability risks arising from the use of new products and the uncertainty of reimbursement from third-party payors.

We expect that there will be a learning process involved for surgical teams to become proficient in the use of our products. Broad use of our products will require training of surgical teams. Market acceptance could be delayed by the time required to complete this training. We may not be able to rapidly train surgical teams in numbers sufficient to generate adequate demand for our products.

BECAUSE OUR MARKETS ARE HIGHLY COMPETITIVE, CUSTOMERS MAY CHOOSE TO PURCHASE OUR COMPETITORS' PRODUCTS OR MAY NOT ACCEPT *DA VINCI* SURGERY, WHICH WOULD RESULT IN REDUCED REVENUE AND LOSS OF MARKET SHARE.

da Vinci surgery is a new technology that will compete with established and emerging treatment options in both disease management and reconstructive medical procedures. These competitive treatment options may take the form of traditional MIS, open surgery, interventional approaches, or pharmacological regimens. Some of these procedures are widely accepted in the medical community and in many cases have a long history of use. Technological advances could make such treatments more effective or less expensive than using our products, which could render our products obsolete or unmarketable. We cannot be certain that physicians will use our products to replace or supplement established treatments or that our products will be competitive with current or future technologies.

In addition, we may face competition from companies that develop wristed, robotic or computer-assisted surgical systems and products in the future. Our revenues may be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and market products that are more effective or less expensive than our products. If we are unable to compete successfully, our revenues will suffer. We may not be able to maintain or improve our competitive position against current or potential competitors, especially those with greater resources.

NEW PRODUCT INTRODUCTIONS MAY ADVERSELY IMPACT OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS.

We introduce new products with enhanced features and extended capabilities from time to time. Our products are subject to various regulatory processes, and we must obtain and maintain regulatory approvals in order to sell our new products. If a potential purchaser believes that we plan to introduce a new product in the near future or if a potential purchaser is located in a country where a new product that we have introduced has not yet received regulatory approval, planned purchases may be deferred or delayed. As a result, new product introductions may adversely impact our financial results.

Table of Contents

WE EXPERIENCE LONG AND VARIABLE SALES CYCLES, WHICH COULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR ANY GIVEN QUARTER.

Our *da Vinci* Surgical System has a lengthy sales and purchase order cycle because it is a major capital item and generally requires the approval of senior management at purchasing institutions. These factors may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our quarterly operating results. Because of these fluctuations, it is likely that in some future quarters our operating results will fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If that happens, the market price of our stock would likely decrease. These fluctuations also mean that you will not be able to rely upon our operating results in any particular period as an indication of future performance. In addition, the introduction of new products could adversely impact our sales cycle, as customers take additional time to assess the benefits and costs of such products.

INTERNATIONAL SALES OF OUR PRODUCTS ACCOUNT FOR A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF OUR REVENUES, WHICH EXPOSES US TO RISKS INHERENT IN INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS. OUR GROWTH MAY BE LIMITED IF WE ARE UNABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY MANAGE OUR INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES.

Our business currently depends in part on our activities in Europe and other foreign markets. Revenue to markets outside of the United States accounted for approximately 17%, 17%, and 21% of our revenue for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We are subject to a number of challenges that specifically relate to our international business activities. These challenges include:

failure of local laws to provide the same degree of protection against infringement of our intellectual property;

protectionist laws and business practices that favor local competitors, which could slow our growth in international markets;

the risks associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuation;

the expense of establishing facilities and operations in new foreign markets; and

building an organization capable of supporting geographically dispersed operations.

Currently, more than half of our international sales are denominated in United States dollars. As a result, an increase in the value of the United States dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our products less competitive in international markets. If we are unable to meet and overcome these challenges, our international operations may not be successful, which would limit the growth of our business.

IF DEFECTS ARE DISCOVERED IN OUR PRODUCTS, WE MAY INCUR ADDITIONAL UNFORESEEN COSTS, HOSPITALS MAY NOT PURCHASE OUR PRODUCTS AND OUR REPUTATION MAY SUFFER.

Our products incorporate mechanical parts, electrical components, optical components and computer software, any of which can contain errors or failures, especially when first introduced. In addition, new products or enhancements may contain undetected errors or performance problems that, despite testing, are discovered only after commercial shipment. Because our products are designed to be used to perform complex surgical procedures, we expect that our customers will have an increased sensitivity to such defects. In the past, we have voluntarily recalled certain products as a result of performance problems. We cannot assure that our products will not experience errors or performance problems in the future. If we experience flaws or performance problems, any of the following could occur:

delays in product shipments;

loss of revenue;

delay in market acceptance;

Table of Contents

diversion of our resources;

damage to our reputation;

product recalls;

increased service or warranty costs; or

product liability claims.

THE USE OF OUR PRODUCTS COULD RESULT IN PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS THAT COULD BE EXPENSIVE, DIVERT MANAGEMENT'S ATTENTION AND HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Our business exposes us to significant risks of product liability claims. The medical device industry has historically been litigious, and we face financial exposure to product liability claims if the use of our products were to cause injury or death. There is also the possibility that defects in the design or manufacture of our products might necessitate a product recall. Any weaknesses in training and services associated with our products may also be subject to product liability lawsuits. Although we maintain product liability insurance, the coverage limits of these policies may not be adequate to cover future claims. Particularly as sales of our products increase, we may be unable to maintain product liability insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or in adequate amounts. A product liability claim, regardless of its merit or eventual outcome, could result in significant legal defense costs. Product liability claims have been made against our company in the past. A product liability claim or any product recalls could also harm our reputation or result in a decline in revenues.

WE MAY ENCOUNTER MANUFACTURING PROBLEMS OR DELAYS THAT COULD RESULT IN LOST REVENUE.

Manufacturing our products is a complex process. We may encounter difficulties in scaling up production of our products, including:

problems involving production yields;

quality control and assurance;

component supply shortages;

shortages of qualified personnel; and

compliance with state, federal and foreign regulations.

If demand for our products exceeds our manufacturing capacity, we could develop a substantial backlog of customer orders. If we are unable to maintain larger-scale manufacturing capabilities, our ability to generate revenues will be limited and our reputation in the marketplace could be damaged.

OUR RELIANCE ON SOLE AND SINGLE SOURCE SUPPLIERS COULD HARM OUR ABILITY TO MEET DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS IN A TIMELY MANNER OR WITHIN BUDGET.

Some of the components necessary for the assembly of our products are currently provided to us by sole-sourced suppliers or single-sourced suppliers. We purchase components through purchase orders rather than long-term supply agreements and generally do not maintain large

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

volumes of inventory. The disruption or termination of the supply of components could cause a significant increase in the costs of these components, which could affect our profitability. A disruption or termination in the supply of components could also result in our inability to meet demand for our products, which could harm our ability to generate revenues, lead to customer dissatisfaction and damage our reputation. Furthermore, if we are required to change the manufacturer of a key component of our products, we may be required to verify that the new manufacturer maintains facilities and procedures that comply with quality standards and with all applicable regulations and guidelines. The delays

Table of Contents

associated with the verification of a new manufacturer could delay our ability to manufacture our products in a timely manner or within budget.

WE UTILIZE DISTRIBUTORS FOR A PORTION OF OUR SALES, THE LOSS OF WHICH COULD HARM OUR REVENUES IN THE TERRITORY SERVICED BY THESE DISTRIBUTORS

We have strategic relationships with a number of key distributors for sales and service of our products, principally in foreign countries. If these strategic relationships are terminated and not replaced, our revenues and/or ability to service our products in the territories serviced by these distributors could be adversely affected.

IF INSTITUTIONS OR SURGEONS ARE UNABLE TO OBTAIN REIMBURSEMENT FROM THIRD-PARTY PAYORS FOR PROCEDURES USING OUR PRODUCTS, OR IF REIMBURSEMENT IS INSUFFICIENT TO COVER THE COSTS OF PURCHASING OUR PRODUCTS, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO GENERATE SUFFICIENT SALES TO SUPPORT OUR BUSINESS.

Domestic institutions will typically bill the services performed with our products to various third-party payors, such as Medicare, Medicaid and other government programs and private insurance plans. If hospitals do not obtain sufficient reimbursement from third-party payors for procedures performed with our products, or if government and private payors' policies do not permit reimbursement for surgical procedures performed using our products, we may not be able to generate the revenues necessary to support our business. Our success in international markets also depends upon the eligibility of our products for reimbursement through government-sponsored health care payment systems and third-party payors. Reimbursement practices vary significantly by country. Many international markets have government-managed healthcare systems that control reimbursement for new products and procedures. Other foreign markets have both private insurance systems and government-managed systems that control reimbursement for new products and procedures. Market acceptance of our products may depend on the availability and level of reimbursement in any country within a particular time. In addition, health care cost containment efforts similar to those we face in the United States are prevalent in many of the other countries in which we intend to sell our products and these efforts are expected to continue.

IF WE LOSE OUR KEY PERSONNEL OR ARE UNABLE TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL, OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE WILL BE HARMED.

We are highly dependent on the principal members of our management and scientific staff. Our product development plans depend, in part, on our ability to attract and retain engineers with experience in mechanics, software and optics. Attracting and retaining qualified personnel will be critical to our success, and competition for qualified personnel is intense. We may not be able to attract and retain personnel on acceptable terms given the competition for such personnel among technology and healthcare companies and universities. The loss of any of these persons or our inability to attract and retain qualified personnel could harm our business and our ability to compete.

CHANGES TO FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS MAY AFFECT OUR REPORTED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

A change in accounting standards or practices can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. New accounting pronouncements and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to existing standards or the questioning of current practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)) is a new standard and the application thereof is subject to interpretation. Our application of SFAS 123(R) may not be consistent with other companies or industry practice, and we may modify our application of SFAS 123(R) in the future, which could further affect our reported results of operations.

Table of Contents

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. The interpretation contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The provisions are effective for us as of January 1, 2007 and its adoption may have significant impact to our results of operations. We are currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on our consolidated financial statements.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

OUR PRODUCTS ARE SUBJECT TO A LENGTHY AND UNCERTAIN DOMESTIC REGULATORY PROCESS. IF WE DO NOT OBTAIN AND MAINTAIN THE NECESSARY DOMESTIC REGULATORY APPROVALS, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO MARKET AND SELL OUR PRODUCTS IN THE UNITED STATES.

Our products and operations are subject to extensive regulation in the United States by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA. The FDA regulates the research, testing, manufacturing, safety, labeling, storage, record keeping, promotion, distribution and production of medical devices in the United States to ensure that medical products distributed domestically are safe and effective for their intended uses. In order for us to market certain products for use in the United States, we generally must first obtain clearance from the FDA pursuant to Section 510(k) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA. Clearance under Section 510(k) requires demonstration that a new device is substantially equivalent to another device with 510(k) clearance or grandfather status. If we significantly modify our products after they receive FDA clearance, the FDA may require us to submit a separate 510(k) or premarket approval application, or PMA, for the modified product before we are permitted to market the products in the U.S. In addition, if we develop products in the future that are not considered to be substantially equivalent to a device with 510(k) clearance or grandfather status, we will be required to obtain FDA approval by submitting a PMA.

The FDA may not act favorably or quickly in its review of our 510(k) or PMA submissions, or we may encounter significant difficulties and costs in our efforts to obtain FDA clearance or approval, all of which could delay or preclude sale of new products in the United States. Furthermore, the FDA may request additional data or require us to conduct further testing, or compile more data, including clinical data and clinical studies, in support of a 510(k) submission. The FDA may also, instead of accepting a 510(k) submission, require us to submit a PMA, which is typically a much more complex and burdensome application than a 510(k). To support a PMA, the FDA would likely require that we conduct one or more clinical studies to demonstrate that the device is safe and effective. We may not be able to meet the requirements to obtain 510(k) clearance or PMA approval, or the FDA may not grant any necessary clearances or approvals. In addition, the FDA may place significant limitations upon the intended use of our products as a condition to a 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. Product applications can also be denied or withdrawn due to failure to comply with regulatory requirements or the occurrence of unforeseen problems following clearance or approval. Any delays or failure to obtain FDA clearance or approvals of new products we develop, any limitations imposed by the FDA on new product use, or the costs of obtaining FDA clearance or approvals could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In order to conduct a clinical investigation involving human subjects for the purpose of demonstrating the safety and effectiveness of a device, a company must, among other things, apply for and obtain Institutional Review Board, or IRB, approval of the proposed investigation. In addition, if the clinical study involves a significant risk (as defined by the FDA) to human health, the sponsor of the investigation must also submit and obtain FDA approval of an investigational device exemption, or IDE, application. Most of our products to date have been considered significant risk devices requiring IDE approval prior to investigational use. We may not be able to obtain FDA and/or IRB approval to undertake clinical trials in the U.S. for any new devices we intend to market in the United States in the future. If we obtain such approvals, we may not be able to comply with the IDE and other regulations governing clinical investigations or the data from any such trials may not support

Table of Contents

clearance or approval of the investigational device. Failure to obtain such approvals or to comply with such regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

COMPLYING WITH FDA REGULATIONS IS AN EXPENSIVE AND TIME-CONSUMING PROCESS, AND OUR FAILURE TO COMPLY FULLY COULD SUBJECT US TO SIGNIFICANT ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS.

Because our products, including the *da Vinci* Surgical System, are commercially distributed, numerous postmarket regulatory requirements apply, including the following:

Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which requires manufacturers to follow elaborate design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process;

labeling regulations;

the FDA's general prohibition against false or misleading statements in the labeling or promotion of products for unapproved or off-label uses;

the Reports of Corrections and Removals regulation, which requires that manufacturers report to the FDA recalls and field corrective actions taken to reduce a risk to health or to remedy a violation of the FDCA that may pose a risk to health; and

the Medical Device Reporting regulation, which requires that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur.

We are subject to inspection and marketing surveillance by the FDA to determine our compliance with regulatory requirements. If the FDA finds that we have failed to comply, it can institute a wide variety of enforcement actions, ranging from a regulatory letter to a public warning letter to more severe civil and criminal sanctions. Our failure to comply with applicable requirements could lead to an enforcement action that may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We have modified the labeling, advertising and user training for the *da Vinci* Surgical System to call out specific procedures that we believe are within the scope of our existing 510(k) clearances. We cannot assure that the FDA would agree that all such specific procedures are within the scope of the existing general clearance or that we have compiled adequate information to support the safety and efficacy of using the *da Vinci* Surgical System for all such specific procedures. We also have modified the hardware and software in the *da Vinci* Surgical System since clearance in ways that we believe do not require new 510(k) clearance. We cannot assure that the FDA would agree with our determinations not to seek new 510(k) clearance for any of these changes. Computer Motion also modified the hardware and software in its products subsequent to 510(k) clearance without seeking new clearance. We cannot assure that the FDA would agree with the determinations not to seek new 510(k) clearance for any of these changes. The FDA could impose enforcement sanctions and/or require us to obtain 510(k) clearance for any modification to our products or Computer Motion's products. We may be prohibited from marketing the modified device until such 510(k) clearance is granted.

In January 2007, FDA concluded an inspection of our Sunnyvale manufacturing facility and issued a list of observations (Form 483) setting forth five observed deficiencies under the QSR relating to nonconforming product, corrective and preventive actions, complaint handling and supplier management. The Form 483 also set forth two observed deficiencies—one relating to the failure to report field corrections or recalls to the FDA that the FDA believed should have been reported under the Reports of Corrections and Removals regulation and another for failure to file MDR within 30 days. In February 2007, we responded to each observation with proposed corrective actions. We believe that our proposed corrective actions are appropriate to address the observed deficiencies. However, we cannot assure that, upon re-inspection, the FDA will find that our promised corrective actions are appropriate or that they have been adequately implemented. We also cannot assure that the FDA will find other deficiencies in our compliance with the QSR and other postmarket regulations.

Table of Contents

In June 2003, we acquired Computer Motion and have integrated its FDA compliance quality system into our own. As a result of the integration and review, we identified that Computer Motion has had deficiencies in complaint handling, MDR reporting and Corrections and Removals reporting in the last several years that required submission of retroactive reports to the FDA. We reported 52 MDRs and we believe that our reporting decisions regarding these 52 complaints is conservative in part because many of the complaints likely would not have been reportable if more information had been available. Also, to our knowledge, none of the reported events resulted in a death or serious injury, prolonged hospitalization, or medical intervention to prevent death or serious injury. Computer Motion did respond to complaint trends, and it addressed the trends through corrective actions. Accordingly, the incidence of many of the types of events in the reports had been mitigated by June 2003. Our review also suggests that significant complaint trends identified by Computer Motion over the period of four years were addressed by corrective actions, which have proven to be effective over time. Computer Motion's product modifications were completed without 510(k) clearance and we believe that they do not require new 510(k) clearance. We cannot assure that the FDA would agree with our determinations not to seek new 510(k) clearance for any of these changes.

We cannot assure that the FDA will not seek to impose enforcement actions on us for Computer Motion violations preceding our acquisition of Computer Motion, that the FDA will agree that since the acquisition we have corrected all regulatory problems, or that our review of Computer Motion's complaint handling will not lead us to initiate recalls or field actions to remedy problems with Computer Motion products already in the field.

OUR PRODUCTS ARE SUBJECT TO VARIOUS INTERNATIONAL REGULATORY PROCESSES AND APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS. IF WE DO NOT OBTAIN AND MAINTAIN THE NECESSARY INTERNATIONAL REGULATORY APPROVALS, WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO MARKET AND SELL OUR PRODUCTS IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

To be able to market and sell our products in other countries, we must obtain regulatory approvals and comply with the regulations of those countries. These regulations, including the requirements for approvals and the time required for regulatory review, vary from country to country. Obtaining and maintaining foreign regulatory approvals are expensive, and we cannot be certain that we will receive regulatory approvals in any foreign country in which we plan to market our products. If we fail to obtain regulatory approval in any foreign country in which we plan to market our products, our ability to generate revenue will be harmed.

The European Union requires that manufacturers of medical products obtain the right to affix the CE mark to their products before selling them in member countries of the European Union. The CE mark is an international symbol of adherence to quality assurance standards and compliance with applicable European medical device directives. In order to obtain the right to affix the CE mark to products, a manufacturer must obtain certification that its processes meet certain European quality standards. In January 1999, we received permission to affix the CE mark to our *da Vinci* Surgical System and *EndoWrist* instruments.

As we modify existing products or develop new products in the future, including new instruments, we apply for permission to affix the CE mark to such products. In addition, we will be subject to annual regulatory audits in order to maintain the CE mark permissions we have already obtained. We do not know whether we will be able to obtain permission to affix the CE mark for new or modified products or that we will continue to meet the quality and safety standards required to maintain the permissions we have already received. If we are unable to maintain permission to affix the CE mark to our products, we will no longer be able to sell our products in member countries of the European Union.

Table of Contents

IF OUR MANUFACTURING FACILITIES DO NOT CONTINUE TO MEET FEDERAL, STATE OR EUROPEAN MANUFACTURING STANDARDS, WE MAY BE REQUIRED TO TEMPORARILY CEASE ALL OR PART OF OUR MANUFACTURING OPERATIONS, WHICH WOULD RESULT IN PRODUCT DELIVERY DELAYS AND LOST REVENUE.

Our manufacturing facilities are subject to periodic inspection by regulatory authorities and our operations will continue to be regulated by the FDA for compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice requirements contained in the FDA's Quality System Regulations, or QSR. We are also required to comply with International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, quality system standards in order to produce products for sale in Europe. If we fail to continue to comply with Good Manufacturing Practice requirements or ISO standards, we may be required to cease all or part of our operations until we comply with these regulations. We are currently in compliance with ISO standards. The FDA inspected our Mountain View in March 2000 and our Sunnyvale facilities in December 2002 and December 2006. The Good Manufacturing Practice issues raised by the FDA during the inspections either were satisfactorily resolved with the FDA, or we believe can be resolved by us to the FDA's satisfaction, although we cannot assure that we will be able to do so. We continue to be subject to FDA inspections at any time. Maintaining such compliance is difficult and costly. We cannot be certain that our facilities will be found to comply with Good Manufacturing Practice requirements or ISO standards in future inspections and audits by regulatory authorities.

As required, we are licensed by the State of California to manufacture medical devices. We are subject to periodic inspections by the California Department of Health Services and, if we are unable to maintain this license following any future inspections, we will be unable to manufacture or ship any products.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO PROTECT THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONTAINED IN OUR PRODUCTS FROM USE BY THIRD PARTIES, OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE IN THE MARKET WILL BE HARMED.

Our commercial success will depend in part on obtaining patent and other intellectual property protection for the technologies contained in our products, and on successfully defending our patents and other intellectual property against third party challenges. We will incur substantial costs in obtaining patents and, if necessary, defending our proprietary rights. The patent positions of medical device companies, including ours, can be highly uncertain and involve complex and evolving legal and factual questions. We do not know whether we will obtain the patent protection we seek, or that the protection we do obtain will be found valid and enforceable if challenged. We also do not know whether we will be able to develop additional patentable proprietary technologies. If we fail to obtain adequate protection of our intellectual property, or if any protection we obtain is reduced or eliminated, others could use our intellectual property without compensating us, resulting in harm to our business. We may also determine that it is in our best interests to voluntarily challenge a third party's products or patents in litigation or administrative proceedings, including patent interferences or reexaminations. Furthermore, the laws of certain foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent, as do the laws of the United States.

In addition to patents, we typically rely on a combination of trade secret, copyright and trademark laws, nondisclosure agreements and other contractual provisions and technical security measures to protect our intellectual property rights. Nevertheless, these measures may not be adequate to safeguard the technology underlying our products. If they do not protect our rights adequately, third parties could use our technology, and our ability to compete in the market would be reduced. In addition, employees, consultants and others who participate in developing our products may breach their agreements with us regarding our intellectual property, and we may not have adequate remedies for the breach. We also may not be able to effectively protect our intellectual property rights in some foreign countries. For a variety of reasons, we may decide not to file for patent, copyright or trademark protection outside the United States. We also realize that our trade secrets may become known through other means not currently foreseen by us. Notwithstanding our efforts to protect our

Table of Contents

intellectual property, our competitors may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or products that are equal or superior to our technology and products without infringing any of our intellectual property rights, or may design around our proprietary technologies.

OTHERS MAY ASSERT THAT OUR PRODUCTS INFRINGE THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, WHICH MAY CAUSE US TO ENGAGE IN COSTLY DISPUTES AND, IF WE ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL IN DEFENDING OURSELVES, COULD ALSO CAUSE US TO PAY SUBSTANTIAL DAMAGES AND PROHIBIT US FROM SELLING OUR PRODUCTS.

There may be United States and foreign patents issued to third parties that relate to computer-assisted surgery, remote surgery, and minimally invasive surgery. Some of these patents may be broad enough to cover one or more aspects of our present technology, and may cover aspects of our future technology. We do not know whether any of these patents, if challenged, would be held valid, enforceable and infringed. From time to time, we receive, and likely will continue to receive, letters from third parties inviting us to license their patents. We may be sued by, or become involved in an administrative proceeding with, one or more of these third parties.

In January 2007, the California Institute of Technology filed a patent infringement suit against our company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. We believe the lawsuit is without merit and have filed an action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California seeking a declaration that we do not infringe the Caltech patents. We intend to vigorously defend our company in this matter.

We cannot assure that a court or administrative body would agree with any arguments or defenses we may have concerning invalidity, unenforceability or noninfringement of any third-party patent. In addition to the issued patents of which we are aware, other parties may have filed, and in the future are likely to file, patent applications covering surgical products that are similar or identical to ours. We cannot assure that any patents issuing from applications filed by a third party will not cover our products or will not have priority over our patent applications.

The medical device industry has been characterized by extensive litigation and administrative proceedings regarding patents and other intellectual property rights, and companies have employed such actions to gain a competitive advantage. If third parties assert infringement or other intellectual property claims against us, our technical and management personnel will experience a significant diversion of time and effort and we will incur large expenses defending our company. If third parties in any patent action are successful, our patent portfolio may be damaged, we may have to pay substantial damages, including treble damages, and we may be required to stop selling our products or obtain a license which, if available at all, may require us to pay substantial royalties. We cannot be certain that we will have the financial resources or the substantive arguments to defend our patents from infringement or claims of invalidity or unenforceability, or to defend against allegations of infringement of third-party patents. In addition, any public announcements related to litigation or administrative proceedings initiated by us, or initiated or threatened against us, could cause our stock price to decline.

OUR PRODUCTS RELY ON LICENSES FROM THIRD PARTIES, AND IF WE LOSE ACCESS TO THESE TECHNOLOGIES, OUR REVENUES COULD DECLINE.

We rely on technology that we license from others, including technology that is integral to our products. We have entered into license agreements with Brookhill-Wilk, LLC., Hansen Medical, Inc., Heartport, Inc., now part of Johnson & Johnson, IBM Corporation, Medtronic, Inc., MIT, Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., Sensable Technologies and SRI International. Any of these agreements may be terminated for breach. If any of these agreements is terminated, we may be unable to reacquire the necessary license on satisfactory terms, or at all. The loss or failure to maintain these licenses could prevent or delay further development or commercialization of our products.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATING TO OUR TRADING MARKETS

OUR FUTURE OPERATING RESULTS MAY BE BELOW SECURITIES ANALYSTS OR INVESTORS EXPECTATIONS, WHICH COULD CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO DECLINE.

Due to the nascent nature of our industry, we have limited insight into trends that may emerge in our market and affect our business. The revenue and income potential of our market are unproven, and we may be unable to continue to generate significant revenues. In addition, our costs may be higher than we anticipated. If we fail to generate sufficient revenues or our costs are higher than we expect, our results of operations will suffer. Further, future revenue from sales of our products is difficult to forecast because the market for new surgical technologies is still evolving. Our results of operations will depend upon numerous factors, including:

the extent to which our products gain market acceptance;

actions relating to regulatory matters;

our timing and ability to develop our manufacturing and sales and marketing capabilities;

demand for our products;

the size and timing of particular sales and any collection delays related to those sales;

product quality and supply problems;

the progress of surgical training in the use of our products;

our ability to develop, introduce and market new or enhanced versions of our products on a timely basis;

third-party payor reimbursement policies;

our ability to protect our proprietary rights and defend against third party challenges;

our ability to license additional intellectual property rights; and

the progress and results of clinical trials.

Our operating results in any particular period will not be a reliable indication of our future performance. It is likely that in some future quarters, our operating results will be below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If this occurs, the price of our common stock, and the value of your investment, will likely decline.

OUR STOCK PRICE HAS BEEN, AND WILL LIKELY CONTINUE TO BE, VOLATILE.

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

The market price of our common stock has experienced fluctuations and is likely to fluctuate significantly in the future. Our stock price can fluctuate for a number of reasons, including:

announcements about us or our competitors;

quarterly variations in operating results;

introduction or abandonment of new technologies or products;

changes in product pricing policies;

changes in earnings estimates by analysts or changes in accounting policies, including expensing stock options in accordance with SFAS123(R); and

economic changes and political uncertainties.

In addition, stock markets have experienced significant price and volume volatility in the past. This volatility has had a substantial effect on the market prices of securities of many public companies for reasons frequently unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of the specific companies. In addition, the securities of many medical device companies, including Intuitive Surgical, have historically been subject to

Table of Contents

extensive price and volume fluctuations that may affect the market price of their common stock. If these broad market fluctuations continue, they may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2006, we owned approximately 315,000 square feet of floor space on 21 acres of land in Sunnyvale, California, where we house our headquarters, manufacturing, research and development, service, and support functions. In addition, we lease approximately 5,000 square feet of space for research and development in Milford, Connecticut, approximately 3,000 square feet of space for a sales office in St. Germain en Laye, France and approximately 5,100 square feet of space for our international headquarters in Aubonne, Switzerland.

In connection with our acquisition of Computer Motion in June 2003, we assumed leases for approximately 48,000 square feet in Goleta, California. These leases had varying terms, the longest of which extends to September 2007. As these leases have expired, we have not renewed them. As of December 31, 2006, approximately 19,000 square feet of this space remained under lease, of which 93% has been subleased through September 2007.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In January 2007, the California Institute of Technology filed a patent infringement suit against our company in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. We believe the lawsuit is without merit and have filed an action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California seeking a declaration that we do not infringe the Caltech patents. We intend to vigorously defend our company in this matter.

We are involved in various legal proceedings and disputes that arise in the normal course of business. These matters include product liability actions, patent infringement actions, contract disputes, and other matters. We do not know whether we will prevail in these matters nor can we assure that any remedy could be reached on commercially viable terms, if at all. Based on currently available information, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to these actions and while the outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe the outcome of any of these matters will have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, we record a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES
PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK**

Our common stock has been traded on The NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol ISRG since June 13, 2000. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices of our common stock for each period indicated and are as reported by NASDAQ.

| Fiscal | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| First Quarter | \$ 137.27 | \$ 88.00 | \$ 48.81 | \$ 36.09 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 128.82 | \$ 98.99 | \$ 52.05 | \$ 41.02 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 121.91 | \$ 91.95 | \$ 77.87 | \$ 46.73 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 112.16 | \$ 94.80 | \$ 123.19 | \$ 65.84 |

As of January 31, 2007, there were approximately 438 stockholders of record of our common stock, although we believe that there are a significantly larger number of beneficial owners of our common stock.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends. We currently expect to retain earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business, and therefore do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

RECENT SALE OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

None.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

None.

Table of Contents**STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH**

The graph set forth below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock between December 31, 2001 and December 31, 2006, with the cumulative total return of (i) the S&P Health Index and (ii) the Nasdaq Composite Index, over the same period. This graph assumes the investment of \$100,000 on December 31, 2001 in our common stock, the S&P Health Index and the Nasdaq Composite Index, and assumes the reinvestment of dividends, if any.

The comparisons shown in the graph below are based upon historical data. We caution that the stock price performance shown in the graph below is not necessarily indicative of, nor is it intended to forecast, the potential future performance of our common stock.

| | 12/31/01 | 12/31/02 | 12/31/03 | 12/31/04 | 12/31/05 | 12/31/06 |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Intuitive Surgical, Inc. | 100.00 | 61.42 | 85.19 | 199.50 | 584.60 | 478.07 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 68.47 | 102.72 | 111.54 | 113.07 | 123.84 |
| S&P Healthcare Index | 100.00 | 80.03 | 90.68 | 90.90 | 95.31 | 100.82 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this report. The selected data in this section is not intended to replace the consolidated financial statements.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 372,682 | \$ 227,338 | \$ 138,803 | \$ 91,675 | \$ 72,022 |
| Gross profit | \$ 247,836 | \$ 153,569 | \$ 87,990 | \$ 44,029 | \$ 33,901 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 72,044(1)(2) | \$ 94,134(2) | \$ 23,478 | \$ (9,623) | \$ (18,421) |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.96 | \$ 2.68 | \$ 0.70 | \$ (0.41) | \$ (1.01) |
| Diluted | \$ 1.89 | \$ 2.51 | \$ 0.67 | \$ (0.41) | \$ (1.01) |
| Shares used in computing basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | 36,737 | 35,070 | 33,693 | 23,626 | 18,229 |
| Diluted | 38,093 | 37,488 | 34,976 | 23,626 | 18,229 |
| Cash, cash equivalents and investments | \$ 330,296 | \$ 202,739 | \$ 132,038 | \$ 112,949 | \$ 49,884 |
| Total assets | \$ 671,790 | \$ 501,587 | \$ 354,229 | \$ 314,994 | \$ 91,820 |
| Notes payable, less current portion | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 695 | \$ 1,838 |
| Long-term liabilities | \$ 1,418 | \$ 1,009 | \$ 912 | \$ 1,701 | \$ 200 |

- (1) Net income for the year ended December 31, 2006 included stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R) of \$16.3 million, net of tax, related to employee stock options and employee stock purchases. Prior to fiscal 2006, there was no stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and employee stock purchase under Statement of Financial Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation (SFAS 123), because the Company did not adopt the recognition provisions of SFAS 123.
- (2) Net income for the year ended December 31, 2005 included a deferred tax benefit of \$22.2 million related to the reversal of the valuation allowance. During 2006, we began reporting income taxes on a fully-taxed basis.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
Overview

Products. We design, manufacture and market *da Vinci* Surgical Systems, which are advanced surgical systems that we believe represent a new generation of surgery—the third generation. The *da Vinci* Surgical System consists of a surgeon's console, a patient-side cart and a high performance vision system. The product line also includes proprietary wristed instruments and surgical accessories. The *da Vinci* Surgical System seamlessly translates the surgeon's natural hand movements on instrument controls at a console into corresponding micro-movements of instruments positioned inside the patient through small puncture incisions, or ports. We believe that the *da Vinci* Surgical System is the only commercially available technology that can provide the surgeon with intuitive control, range of motion, fine tissue manipulation capability and 3-D HD visualization, while simultaneously allowing the surgeons to work through the small ports of minimally invasive surgery, or MIS. By placing computer-enhanced technology between the surgeon and the patient, we believe that the *da Vinci* Surgical System enables surgeons to improve clinical outcomes while reducing the invasiveness of complex surgical procedures. The *da Vinci* Surgical System is sold into multiple surgical specialties, principally urology, gynecology, cardiothoracic, and general surgery.

Table of Contents

Business Model. In our business model, we generate revenue from both the initial capital sales of *da Vinci* Surgical Systems as well as recurring revenue, comprised of instrument, accessory, service, and training revenue. The *da Vinci* Surgical System sells for approximately \$1.0 million to \$1.7 million, depending on configuration, and represents a significant capital equipment investment for our customers. We then generate recurring revenue as our customers purchase our *EndoWrist* instruments and accessory products for use in performing procedures with the *da Vinci* Surgical System. *EndoWrist* instruments and accessories will either expire or wear out as they are used in surgery and will need to be replaced as they are consumed. We generate additional recurring revenue from ongoing system service and customer training. We typically enter into service contracts at the time the system is sold. These service contracts have been generally renewable at the end of the service period, generally at an annual rate of approximately \$100,000 to \$150,000 per year, depending on configuration of the underlying system.

Since the introduction of the *da Vinci* Surgical System in 1999, our established base of *da Vinci* Surgical Systems has grown and robotic surgery volume has increased. Recurring revenue has grown at an equal or faster rate than capital revenue. Over the past five years, revenue generated from the sale of instruments and accessories, service and training increased from \$15.7 million, or 22% of revenue, in fiscal 2002 to \$29.9 million, or 33% of revenue, in fiscal 2003 to \$60.0 million, or 43% of revenue, in fiscal 2004 to \$102.7 million, or 45% of revenue, in fiscal 2005 to \$166.8 million, or 45% of revenue, in fiscal 2006. We expect recurring revenue to become a larger percentage of total revenue in the future.

2006 Business Events and Trends

Introduction. We experienced rapid growth during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, which was driven by the continued adoption of the *da Vinci* Surgical System for use in urologic, gynecologic, cardiothoracic, and general surgeries.

Financial Highlights.

Revenue grew 64% to \$372.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$227.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Recurring instrument, accessory, service, and training revenue grew to \$166.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2006, up 62% from \$102.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. We sold 170 *da Vinci* Surgical Systems during the year ended December 31, 2006; an increase of 48% compared to 115 during the year ended December 31, 2005.

As of December 31, 2006, we had a *da Vinci* Surgical System installed base of 559 systems, 429 in North America, 92 in Europe, and 38 in the rest of the world.

Operating income increased by 56% to \$107.4 million, or 29% of revenue, during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$68.8 million, or 30% of revenue during the year ended December 31, 2005. During the first quarter of 2006, in accordance with SFAS 123(R), we began to record stock compensation expense for the estimated value of employee stock options and stock purchases. Stock compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$25.3 million. Stock compensation expenses are entirely non-cash in nature. No stock compensation expense was included in the 2005 consolidated results of operations.

Our business continues to demonstrate the ability to generate significant positive cash flow while supporting our rapid business growth. Cash, cash equivalents, and investments increased by \$127.6 million from fiscal 2005, as we ended fiscal 2006 with \$330.3 million in cash, cash equivalents, and investments.

Table of Contents

Procedure adoption

We believe the adoption of *da Vinci* surgery occurs surgical procedure by surgical procedure, and it is being adopted for those procedures which offer significant patient value. The value of a surgical procedure to a patient is higher if it offers superior clinical outcomes, less surgical trauma, or both.

The procedures that have driven the most growth in our business recently are the *da Vinci* Prostatectomy and the *da Vinci* Hysterectomy. In 2006, *da Vinci* Prostatectomy procedures, which represented more than half of all the *da Vinci* surgical procedures for the year, grew over 75% from 2005, and it is expected to grow at least 50% from 2006 to 2007. The *da Vinci* Hysterectomy procedure was our fastest growing procedure from a percentage growth standpoint in 2006, and it is expected to grow at least 150% from 2006 to 2007.

Regulatory Clearances.

We believe that we have obtained all of the clearances required to market our products to our targeted surgical specialties within the United States. The following table lists chronologically our FDA clearances to date:

July 2000 General laparoscopic procedures

March 2001 Non-cardiac thoracoscopic procedures

May 2001 Prostatectomy procedures

November 2002 Cardiotomy procedures

July 2004 Cardiac revascularization procedures

March 2005 Urologic surgical procedures

April 2005 Gynecologic surgical procedures

June 2005 Pediatric surgical procedures

New Products. In January 2006, we launched the *da Vinci S* Surgical System. The *da Vinci S* Surgical System shares the same core technology as the standard *da Vinci* Surgical System and also features fast setup, rapid instrument exchange, multi-quadrant access and multi-image display capabilities. The *da Vinci S* Surgical System is an addition to the *da Vinci* product line and is offered at a price approximately \$0.2 million above the standard *da Vinci* Surgical System price. Market response to the *da Vinci S* Surgical System has proven to be positive, as 148 of the 170 total systems sold during 2006 were *da Vinci S* Surgical Systems. Many of our existing customers who invested in the standard *da Vinci* Surgical System identified the benefits of the robotic surgery and expanded their robotic programs with the *da Vinci S* Surgical System. We will continue to sell, service and support the standard *da Vinci Surgical* System. We will also continue to invest in product development in order to expand the utility and longevity of all *da Vinci* Surgical Systems, instruments and accessories.

In January 2007, we launched the high definition, 3-D (3-D HD) vision system. The 3-D HD vision system provides 20% more viewing area and enhances visualization of tissue planes and critical anatomy. The digital zoom feature in the 3-D HD vision system allows surgeons to magnify the surgical field of view without adjusting endoscope position and reduces interference between the endoscope and instruments. We believe the new 3-D HD vision system will enable improved surgical outcomes. The 3-D HD vision will be available as an option on new *da Vinci S* Surgical Systems and as an upgrade option to our existing customers who own a *da Vinci S* Surgical System.

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

We launched several new instruments during the year ended December 31, 2006, including the tenaculum, atrial retractor, Endo PK Dissector, the Mega Needle driver, and the 5mm instrument set for the *da Vinci S* Surgical System.

Table of Contents

The tenaculum is primarily targeted for gynecological use and is a device used to manipulate and control the uterus, during a hysterectomy, or to control a fibroid during a myomectomy. The atrial retractor is a cardiac tool designed to provide easier access during valve repair procedures.

We developed the Endo PK Dissector in cooperation with Gyrus ACMI, an industry leader in both tissue management technology and endoscopies. The Endo PK Dissector is a fully articulating coagulator and dissector, which is patterned after Gyrus' successful Lyons Dissector. The Endo PK Dissector addresses several needs for *da Vinci* Surgical System users. As a dissector, it allows for highly precise dissection, delivers excellent coagulation performance as well as providing grasping capabilities. We expect this instrument to be used within urology, gynecology, and general surgery.

We designed the *da Vinci* Mega Needle driver specifically to handle larger needles, which are often required in gynecological surgery, such as the *da Vinci* Hysterectomy, as well as in general surgery. As we continue to expand our instrument offering, we will provide our customers more surgical options and clinical capability, which we anticipate will lead to increased system usage.

Facilities and Information Technology Infrastructure. We have made investments in facilities and information technology infrastructure to support current and future growth. In late December 2005, we invested approximately \$20 million to acquire an additional 210,000 square-foot facility, located about one mile from our first Sunnyvale, CA site, where we continue to maintain operations. The acquisition of this new property tripled our square footage in Sunnyvale and provided us with the necessary capacity to maintain all of our corporate functions, including manufacturing, together in Sunnyvale, for several years to come. In 2006, we opened a new customer training facility and service support center at this location. We have invested in information technology infrastructure to upgrade our general ledger and manufacturing systems to a new SAP system. The implementation of this new system was completed in May 2006. Total capital expenditures for 2006 were \$15.9 million.

Technology Acquisitions.

In January 2006, we licensed, on a non-exclusive basis, a number of suction cardiac stabilizer related patents and applications from Medtronic to make and sell suction stabilizers that are mechanically coupled to and manipulated by robotic devices.

In March 2006, we licensed, on a non-exclusive basis, patents to force reflecting haptic devices and associated software from Sensable Technology to use in the robotic surgical systems.

In June 2006, we licensed, on a non-exclusive basis, PK electro-surgical instruments and electro-surgical generator related patent and application from Gyrus Group PLC to make and sell PK instruments and Gyrus electro-surgical generators with robotic systems in the field of surgeries.

International Reorganization. In January 2007, we announced plans to restructure our international operations. We plan to shut down our international headquarters located in France and re-establish our international headquarters in Switzerland. We believe this restructuring will streamline our international operations and optimize our tax structure for the long term. We do not anticipate realizing benefits from the lower tax rates until fiscal 2008 at the earliest. The current restructuring plan will result in costs for relocation of the European operations and certain employees, severance of certain employees, the set-up of new facilities and information technology infrastructure, and other costs associated with the transition. Based upon the plan, we expect to incur costs of approximately \$2.0 million through the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the years indicated, certain consolidated statements of operations information (in thousands):

| | 2006 | | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | 2004 | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | | % of total revenue | | % of total revenue | | % of total revenue |
| Revenue: | | | | | | |
| Products | \$ 317,599 | 85% | \$ 192,417 | 85% | \$ 116,338 | 84% |
| Services | 55,083 | 15% | 34,921 | 15% | 22,465 | 16% |
| Total revenue | 372,682 | 100% | 227,338 | 100% | 138,803 | 100% |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | | | |
| Products | 97,615 | 26% | 58,357 | 26% | 40,472 | 29% |
| Services | 27,231 | 7% | 15,412 | 6% | 10,341 | 8% |
| Total cost of revenue | 124,846 | 33% | 73,769 | 32% | 50,813 | 37% |
| Products gross profit | 219,984 | 59% | 134,060 | 59% | 75,866 | 55% |
| Services gross profit | 27,852 | 8% | 19,509 | 9% | 12,124 | 8% |
| Gross profit | 247,836 | 67% | 153,569 | 68% | 87,990 | 63% |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 110,703 | 30% | 67,443 | 30% | 48,994 | 35% |
| Research and development | 29,778 | 8% | 17,354 | 8% | 17,812 | 13% |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 140,481 | 38% | 84,797 | 38% | 66,806 | 48% |
| Income from operations | 107,355 | 29% | 68,772 | 30% | 21,184 | 15% |
| Interest and other income, net | 12,783 | 3% | 5,035 | 2% | 3,020 | 2% |
| Income before income taxes | 120,138 | 32% | 73,807 | 32% | 24,204 | 17% |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 48,094 | 13% | (20,327) | -9% | 726 | 0% |
| Net income | \$ 72,044 | 19% | \$ 94,134 | 41% | \$ 23,478 | 17% |

Total Revenue

Total revenue increased by 64% during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Revenue increased from \$138.8 million during year ended December 31, 2004 to \$227.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$372.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. Total revenue growth was driven by the continued adoption of *da Vinci* surgery. We believe that the adoption of robotic surgery will occur surgical procedure by surgical procedure. Our revenue growth during the periods presented reflects adoption progress made in our target procedures. *Da Vinci* Prostatectomy (dVP) has been our most successful procedure to date. An increasing body of clinical evidence has indicated dVP to offer superior surgical outcomes compared to traditional open prostatectomy in the critical categories of cancer removal, continence, and sexual potency.

In 2006, *da Vinci* Hysterectomy (dVH) emerged as our fastest growing procedure on a percentage of growth basis. Favorable clinical results have been reported in hysterectomies for cancerous pathology, which include increased lymph node retrieval counts and significant reduction in blood transfusion. For most patients, a minimally invasive approach using the *da Vinci* Surgical System offers reduced pain, less blood loss, shorter hospital stays and a quicker return to normal daily activities.

Revenue within the United States accounted for 83% of total revenue during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and 79% during the year ended December 31, 2004. We believe domestic revenue accounts for the large majority of total revenue due largely to the competitive

nature of the domestic healthcare market. We

Table of Contents

also believe that at this stage, as we penetrate the early adopters of robotic surgery, revenue will continue to concentrate in the U.S. market, as U.S. hospitals are generally more willing to invest in technology that will drive incremental patients into their healthcare systems. We expect that as adoption progresses and as we reach standard of care for target procedures, international revenue will increase as a percentage of overall revenue.

The following table summarizes our revenue and *da Vinci* Surgical System unit sales for the past three years (in millions, except unit sales):

| Revenue | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Instruments and accessories | \$ 111.7 | \$ 67.8 | \$ 37.5 |
| Systems | 205.9 | 124.6 | 78.8 |
| Total product revenue | 317.6 | 192.4 | 116.3 |
| Service and training | 55.1 | 34.9 | 22.5 |
| Total revenue | \$ 372.7 | \$ 227.3 | \$ 138.8 |
| Recurring revenue | \$ 166.8 | \$ 102.7 | \$ 60.0 |
| % of total revenue | 45% | 45% | 43% |
| Domestic | \$ 309.9 | \$ 188.8 | \$ 109.8 |
| International | 62.8 | 38.5 | 29.0 |
| Total revenue | \$ 372.7 | \$ 227.3 | \$ 138.8 |
| <i>da Vinci</i> Surgical System unit sales | 170 | 115 | 76 |

Product Revenue

Product revenue increased to \$317.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$192.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The \$125.2 million (65%) increase reflects higher revenue of systems, instruments, and accessories. Product revenue increased to \$192.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$116.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The \$76.1 million (65%) increase was due to higher revenue of systems, instruments, and accessories.

Instrument and accessory revenue increased to \$111.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$67.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase is driven by higher adoption rates of robotic surgery. For established accounts in 2006, we recognized an average of \$1,500 to \$2,000 in instrument and accessory revenue per surgical procedure performed with the *da Vinci* Surgical System. Total instrument and accessory revenue per procedure was between \$2,000 and \$2,500 reflecting the impact of initial instrument and accessory purchases for newly installed systems. Instrument and accessory pricing remains unchanged from 2005. Instrument and accessory revenue increased to \$67.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$37.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase was driven by higher adoption rates of robotic surgery.

System revenue increased to \$205.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$124.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2005 due to growth in the number of systems sold reflecting adoption of robotic surgery and increased average selling prices (ASPs) resulting from the successful launch of the higher priced *da Vinci* S Surgical System. 170 systems were sold during the year ended December 31, 2006, including 4 systems that involved a trade-in, netting to 166 systems added to the installed base, compared to 115 systems during the year ended December 31, 2005. The 170 systems sold during 2006 consisted of 148 *da Vinci* S Surgical Systems and 22 standard *da Vinci* Surgical Systems. The average revenue recognized per *da Vinci* system sold increased to \$1.18 million in 2006, compared to \$1.05 million in 2005 primarily due to the higher price of *da Vinci* S Systems. System revenue increased to \$124.6 million during year ended December 31, 2005 from \$78.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2004, reflecting growth in system unit revenue of

Table of Contents

da Vinci Surgical Systems and *da Vinci* fourth arms. 115 systems were sold during the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to 76 during the year ended December 31, 2004. 106 fourth arms were sold during the year ended December 31, 2005 compared to 65 during the year ended December 31, 2004.

Service and Training Revenue

Service and training revenue, comprised primarily of system service and customer training, increased to \$55.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$34.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. We typically enter into service contracts at the time the system is sold. These service contracts have been generally renewed at the end of the service period. Higher 2006 system service revenue was driven by a larger base of *da Vinci* Surgical Systems producing contract service revenue and higher revenue earned per system under service contract. There were an average of 451 systems under service contract in 2006 generating an average of \$118,000 per system per year, compared to an average of 315 systems under service contract in 2005 generating an average of \$108,000 per system per year. The increase in service revenue per system was driven by a higher percentage of *da Vinci* and *da Vinci S* Surgical Systems in the 2006 installed base, which typically carry a higher contractual service rate than three-arm systems.

Service revenue comprised of system service and customer training, increased to \$34.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$22.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase in this area was driven by a larger base of *da Vinci* Surgical Systems producing contract service revenue and higher revenue earned per system under service contract. There were an average of 315 systems under service contract in 2005 generating an average of \$108,000 per system, compared to an average of 218 systems under service contract in 2004 generating an average of \$99,000 per system. The increase in service revenue per system was driven by the higher percentage of fourth arm *da Vinci* Systems, which typically carry a higher contractual service rate than three-arm systems.

Gross Profit

Products gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$220.0 million, or 69% of product revenue, compared to \$134.1 million, or 70% of product revenue, during the year ended December 31, 2005. The higher 2006 gross profit was driven by higher 2006 product revenue, as described above. Lower 2006 product profit margins were primarily due to \$2.4 million impact of stock option expense that was not included in 2005 results.

Products gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$134.1 million, or 70% of product revenue, compared to \$75.9 million, or 65% of product revenue, during the year ended December 31, 2004. The higher 2005 gross profit was driven by higher 2005 product revenue, as described above. Leveraging manufacturing overhead costs across higher product revenue, lower product material costs, and a higher *da Vinci* Surgical System average selling price drove the higher 2005 product gross margin. We realized an average of \$910,000 of revenue per three-arm *da Vinci* Surgical System during the year ended December 31, 2005, compared to \$867,000 during the year ended December 31, 2004.

Service gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$27.9 million, or 51% of service revenue, compared to \$19.5 million, or 56% of service revenue during the year ended December 31, 2005. Higher 2006 gross profit was driven by increasing service revenue, as described above. The decline in service gross margin was primarily due to costs incurred to support the *da Vinci S* Surgical System product line and the \$1.5 million impact of stock option expenses included in the 2006 results that was not included in 2005 results.

Service gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$19.5 million, or 56% of service revenue, compared to \$12.1 million, or 54% of service revenue, during the year ended December 31, 2004. Increasing gross profit was driven by increasing service revenue, as described above. The increase in service gross margin was primarily from leveraging service and training cost pools across a larger base of *da Vinci* Surgical Systems generating service revenue.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses include personnel costs for sales, marketing and administrative personnel, tradeshow expenses, legal expenses, regulatory fees and general corporate expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$110.7 million, up 64% from \$67.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The year-over-year increase was primarily due to stock compensation expense charged to general and administrative expenses of \$16.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2006, sales organization growth, and higher commissions relating to higher revenue. We also added headcount in various support functions across the organization. We expect selling, general and administrative expenses to continue to increase in the future to support our expanding business.

Selling, general and administrative expenses during the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$67.4 million, up 38% from \$49.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2004. The year-over-year increase was largely due to sales organization headcount growth to support higher 2005 revenue, higher incentive compensation associated with achieving higher 2005 revenues and profitability, and additional headcount in other support functions across the organization.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Research and development expenses include costs associated with the design, development, testing and enhancement of our products. These enhancements represent significant improvements to our products.

Research and development expenses during the year ended December 31, 2006 were \$29.8 million, compared to \$17.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was due to the impact of stock compensation expense charged to research and development of \$5.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2006, growth in our research and development organization, higher prototype expenses, and other project costs. We expect to continue to make substantial investments in research and development and anticipate that research and development expenses will continue to increase in the future.

Research and development expenses during the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$17.4 million, compared to \$17.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2004. Research and development expenses for fiscal 2004 included \$1.1 million of charges associated with shutting down the former Computer Motion Goleta, California site. Excluding the impact of these non-recurring charges, higher 2005 research and development expenses resulted primarily from higher 2005 personnel costs associated with our expanding organization.

Interest and Other Income, Net

Interest and other income, net, comprised mostly of interest income, was \$12.8 million, \$5.0 million, and \$3.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Interest income was \$11.4 million, \$5.6 million, and \$2.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The increases between years resulted primarily from higher interest income earned on increasing cash, cash equivalent and investment balances and generally increasing interest rates throughout the periods presented.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

Our income tax expense (benefit) was \$48.1 million, (\$20.3) million, and \$0.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The effective tax rate for 2006 is approximately 40.0%, which differs from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% due primarily to state income taxes net of federal benefit. Most of the income taxes recorded in fiscal 2006 did not result in cash outlays during the year due to the

Table of Contents

utilization of net operating loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards as well as deductions due to employee stock options.

Our 2005 income tax included a one-time deferred tax benefit of \$22.2 million related to the reversal of the valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets in the fourth quarter. Management concluded, based upon operating results, expectations of future taxable income, carryforward periods available to us, and other factors, that it was more likely than not that we would realize sufficient earnings to utilize our deferred tax assets. The recognition of these deferred tax assets had no impact on our cash flows. The effective tax rate for 2005 was approximately (27.5%) and diverged from the U.S. federal statutory rate of 35% primarily as a result of the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards, tax credits and the reversal of valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets, partially offset by state income taxes net of federal tax benefit.

The effective tax rate for 2004 was approximately 3.0%, which generally represented federal alternative minimum taxes as well as state and foreign taxes. We had a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets in 2004.

At December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$62.2 million and \$12.4 million in federal and state net operating loss carryforwards, respectively, to reduce future taxable income. Of these amounts, \$42.8 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, relate to stock option deductions that are not included in our deferred tax assets as we will not recognize these deductions until they are utilized and will be recorded as stockholders' equity and as a reduction of goodwill. The federal and state carryforwards have expiration dates beginning in 2019 and 2012, respectively, if not utilized.

At December 31, 2006, we had research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$5.1 million and \$5.2 million for federal and state income tax purposes, respectively. Of these amounts, \$5.1 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, relate to stock option deductions that are not included in our deferred tax assets as we will not recognize these credits until they are utilized. If not utilized, the federal research and development tax credit carry forwards will begin to expire in 2011. The state research and development tax credit can be carried forward indefinitely.

Liquidity And Capital Resources**Sources and Uses of Cash**

Our principal source of liquidity is cash provided by operations and the exercise of stock options. Cash and cash equivalents plus short and long-term investments increased from \$132.0 million at December 31, 2004, to \$202.7 million at December 31, 2005, to \$330.3 million at December 31, 2006. Cash generation is one of our fundamental strengths and provides us with substantial financial flexibility in meeting our operating, investing and financing needs.

Consolidated Cash Flow Data

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| | (in thousands) | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 99,845 | \$ 70,787 | \$ 30,315 |
| Investing activities | (113,353) | (103,307) | (48,153) |
| Financing activities | 42,183 | 32,316 | 12,117 |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 207 | (59) | 157 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 28,882 | \$ (263) | \$ (5,564) |

Table of Contents

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2006, cash flow from operations of \$99.8 million exceeded our net income of \$72.0 million for two primary reasons:

- 1) Our net income included substantial non-cash charges in the form of stock compensation, taxes, and depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets. These non-cash charges totaled \$56.0 million.
- 2) We experienced rapid growth in our business with revenues increasing 64% in 2006. This growth requires investment in working capital, particularly accounts receivable and inventory. Our net investment in working capital and other operating assets totaled \$28.2 million.

Working capital is comprised primarily of accounts receivable, inventory, deferred revenue and other current liabilities. Accounts receivable increased \$41.9 million or 79% in 2006 reflecting increased revenue and the timing of system sales. Inventory increased \$9.0 million or 60% in 2006, slightly less than increased volume. Deferred revenue, which includes deferred service contract revenue that is being amortized over the service contract period, increased \$11.9 million or 47% in 2006, which is primarily related to the increase in the number of installed systems for which service contracts exist. Other current liabilities including accounts payable, accrued compensation and employee benefits, and accrued liabilities increased \$11.0 million or 33% in 2006. Other accrued liabilities fluctuate with changes in the volume of our business and the timing of vendor payments.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, cash flow from operations of \$70.8 million was less than our net income of \$94.1 million for two primary reasons:

- 1) we recorded a one-time deferred tax benefit of \$22.2 million (see Note 8 of the Notes to the Financial Statements). This benefit was non-cash.
- 2) we experienced rapid growth in our business with revenues increasing 64% in 2005. This growth requires investment in working capital, particularly accounts receivable and inventory. Our net investment in working capital and other operating assets totaled \$9.7 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, cash flow from operations of \$30.3 million was greater than our net income of \$23.5 million primarily due to non-cash charges for depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 consisted primarily of purchases in investments (net of proceeds from sales and maturities of investments) of \$95.3 million, \$72.3 million and \$25.7 million, respectively, and purchases of property and equipment and licensing of patents of \$18.1 million, \$31.0 million and \$22.4 million, respectively. Our investments are in U.S. government notes and bonds, corporate notes and bonds, commercial paper and auction rate securities, and generated approximately 4.3% interest in 2006. We are not a capital-intensive business. Our purchases of property and equipment in 2006 related mainly to facilities and information technology infrastructure to support capacity expansion in our business. The purchases of property and equipment in 2005 and 2004 related mainly to the purchase of our facilities in Sunnyvale.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities in 2006 consisted primarily of proceeds from stock options and warrants exercises of \$19.1 million and excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$23.0 million. Net cash flows provided by financing activities in 2005 and 2004 consisted primarily of proceeds from stock options and warrants exercises of \$32.9 million and \$13.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents

The positive effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents in 2006 and 2004 was due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar during those periods against other foreign currencies, primarily the Euro. The negative effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents in 2005 was due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar during that period against other foreign currencies, primarily the Euro.

Our capital requirements depend on numerous factors, including market acceptance of our products, the resources we devote to developing and supporting our products and other factors. We expect to devote substantial capital resources to continue our research and development efforts, to expand our customer support and product development activities and for other general corporate activities. During 2006, we experienced significant business expansion. We increased revenue by 64%, invested in new facilities, upgraded our information technology systems, and increased our headcount by 34%. In this high growth year, we generated \$72.0 million of net income, which represented the major driver of the net cash provided by operating activities in 2006. Based upon our business model, we anticipate that we will continue to be able to fund future growth through cash provided from operations. We believe that our current cash, cash equivalents and investments balances, together with income to be derived from the sale of our products, will be sufficient to meet our liquidity requirements for the foreseeable future.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately \$2.0 million of operating lease commitments, net of sublease income, half of which is due within one year and the other half due by December 2009. We also have purchase obligations of approximately \$55.0 million, representing an estimate of all open purchase orders and contractual obligations in the ordinary course of business, including commitments with contract manufacturers and suppliers, for which we have not received the goods or services. A majority of these purchase obligations are due within a year. Although open purchase orders are considered enforceable and legally binding, the terms generally allow us the option to cancel, reschedule, and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to the delivery of goods or performance of services.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2006, we did not have any significant off-balance-sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K 40.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP, which requires us to make judgments, estimates and assumptions. Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, describes our significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. The accounting policies described below are significantly affected by critical accounting estimates. Such accounting policies require significant judgments, assumptions, and estimates used in the preparation of consolidated financial statements, and actual results could differ materially from the amounts reported based on these policies.

Revenue recognition. We frequently enter into revenue arrangements with customers that contain multiple elements or deliverables such as system, services, and training. Judgments as to the allocation of the proceeds received from an arrangement to the multiple elements of the arrangement, the determination of whether any undelivered elements are essential to the functionality of the delivered elements and the appropriate timing of revenue recognition are critical in respect to these arrangements to ensure compliance with GAAP. Changes to the elements in an arrangement and the ability to establish objective and reliable evidence of fair value for those elements could affect the timing of revenue recognition. Revenue recognition also depends on the timing of

Table of Contents

shipment and is subject to customer acceptance. If shipments are not made on scheduled timelines or if the products are not accepted by the customer in a timely manner, our reported revenues may differ materially from expectations.

Allowance for sales returns and doubtful accounts. We record estimated reductions in revenue for potential returns of products by customers and other allowances. As a result, management must make estimates of potential future product returns and other allowances related to current period product revenue. In making such estimates, management analyzes historical returns, current economic trends and changes in customer demand and acceptance of our products. If management were to make different judgments or utilize different estimates, material differences in the amount of reported revenue could result.

Similarly, management makes estimates of the uncollectibility of accounts receivables, especially analyzing accounts receivable and historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms, when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Credit evaluations are undertaken for all major sale transactions before shipment is authorized. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate aged items in the accounts receivable aging report and provide allowance in an amount we deem adequate for doubtful accounts. If management were to make different judgments or utilize different estimates, material differences in the amount of our reported operating expenses could result.

Inventory valuation. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis. The carrying value of inventory is reduced for estimated obsolescence by the difference between its cost and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand. We evaluate the inventory carrying value for potential excess and obsolete inventory exposures by analyzing historical and anticipated demand. If actual future demand or market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Accounting for stock options. We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R). We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions. These assumptions include estimating the length of time employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of the our common stock price over the expected term and the number of options that will ultimately not complete their vesting requirements. Changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimate of fair value of stock-based compensation and, consequently, the related amount recognized on the consolidated statements of operations.

Impairment of long-lived assets. We evaluate the recoverability of our long-lived assets in accordance with SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. When events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of long-lived assets may not be recoverable, we recognize such impairment in the event the net book value of such assets exceeds the future undiscounted cash flows attributable to such assets.

We have intangible assets on our balance sheet related to the acquisition of Computer Motion, Inc. and the acquisition of other patents. The valuation and classification of these assets and the assignment of useful amortization lives involves judgments and the use of estimates. The evaluation of these intangibles for impairment under established accounting guidelines is required on a recurring basis. Changes in business conditions could potentially require future adjustments to asset valuations. We conducted the required intangible assets impairment review during the fourth quarter of 2006. No impairment charge was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. A considerable amount of judgment is required in calculating this impairment charge, principally in determining market premiums and financial forecasts. Should conditions be different from management's current estimates, material write-downs of long-lived assets may be required, which would adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

Goodwill. We have goodwill on our balance sheet relating to the acquisition of Computer Motion, Inc. Goodwill is recorded as the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. We perform goodwill impairment tests on an annual basis and more frequently if certain indicators are present. In the event we determine that goodwill has been impaired, we will record an accounting charge for the impairment during the fiscal quarter in which the determination is made. In the fourth quarter of 2006, we performed our assessment of whether there was an indication that goodwill was impaired at December 31, 2006. The quoted market price of our common stock was used to determine fair value for the impairment purpose. Our market capitalization continues to support the fair value of our reporting unit. We completed the goodwill impairment tests and determined that the goodwill was not impaired at December 31, 2006. A considerable amount of judgment is required in calculating this impairment charge, principally in determining the reporting units. Should conditions be different from management's current estimates, material write-downs of goodwill may be required, which would adversely affect our operating results.

Accounting for income taxes. Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against net deferred tax assets in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Beginning fourth quarter of 2005, we released our valuation allowance as we believed that it was more likely than not that we would be able to utilize our deferred tax assets. Management had concluded, based upon operating results, expectations of future taxable income, carryforward periods available to us, and other factors, that it is more likely than not that we will realize sufficient earnings to utilize our deferred tax assets.

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes. This process involves estimating our actual current tax exposure together with assessing temporary differences that may result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Management's judgment is required in determining any valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets. Any such valuation allowance would be based on management estimates of taxable income and the period over which our deferred tax assets would be recoverable.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 2 under *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements including the respective expected dates of adoption and effects on results of operations and financial condition.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, we maintain our portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments in a variety of securities, including government and corporate securities and money market funds. These securities are classified as available for sale and consequently are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). All investments mature within approximately 1.3 years from the date of purchase. Our holdings of the securities of any one issuer, except government agencies, do not exceed 10% of the portfolio. If interest rates rise, the market value of our investments may decline, which could result in a realized loss if we are forced to sell an investment before its scheduled maturity. A hypothetical increase in interest rate by 25 basis points would have resulted in a decrease in the fair value of our net investment position of approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We do not utilize derivative financial instruments to manage our interest rate risks.

Table of Contents

Foreign Exchange Risk

The majority of our revenue, expense, and capital purchasing activities are transacted in U.S. dollars. However, since a portion of our operations consists of sales activities outside of the United States, we have entered into transactions in other currencies, primarily the Euro. Our foreign operations also incur most of their expenses in the local currency. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, sales denominated in foreign currencies were 8%, 9% and 8%, respectively, of total revenue. Our international operations are subject to risks typical of international operations, including, but not limited to, differing economic conditions, changes in political climate, differing tax structures, other regulations and restrictions, and foreign exchange rate volatility. An adverse change in exchange rates by 10% for the Euro as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, would have resulted in an adverse impact on income before taxes of approximately \$1.9 million and \$1.0 for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial Statements

Index To Consolidated Financial Statements

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 48 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | 50 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 51 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 52 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004</u> | 53 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 54 |
| <u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | 74 |

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Intuitive Surgical, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Intuitive Surgical, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Intuitive Surgical, Inc. at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, in fiscal year 2006, Intuitive Surgical, Inc. changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation in accordance with guidance provided in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Intuitive Surgical, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 14, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Palo Alto, California

February 14, 2007

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Intuitive Surgical, Inc.

We have audited management's assessment, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, that Intuitive Surgical, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Intuitive Surgical, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Intuitive Surgical, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Intuitive Surgical, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Intuitive Surgical, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 and the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a) and our report dated February 14, 2007, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Palo Alto, California

February 14, 2007

Table of Contents**INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)**

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 34,390 | \$ 5,508 |
| Short-term investments | 205,353 | 123,679 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,978 and \$1,591 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively | 94,680 | 52,849 |
| Inventory | 24,295 | 15,170 |
| Prepays and other assets | 6,328 | 6,450 |
| Deferred tax assets | 9,405 | 4,999 |
| Total current assets | 374,451 | 208,655 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 59,939 | 52,225 |
| Long-term investments | 90,553 | 73,552 |
| Long-term deferred tax asset | 22,272 | 35,759 |
| Intangible assets, net | 5,814 | 5,353 |
| Goodwill | 118,240 | 124,638 |
| Other assets | 521 | 1,405 |
| Total assets | \$ 671,790 | \$ 501,587 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 11,092 | \$ 7,950 |
| Accrued compensation and employee benefits | 21,091 | 14,997 |
| Deferred revenue | 36,559 | 25,313 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 11,925 | 9,727 |
| Total current liabilities | 80,667 | 57,987 |
| Long-term liabilities | 1,418 | 1,009 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, 2,500,000 shares authorized, \$0.001 par value, issuable in series; no shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively | | |
| Common stock, 100,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.001 par value, 37,093,263 and 36,187,910 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively | 37 | 36 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 537,943 | 465,021 |
| Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) | 51,020 | (20,989) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 705 | (1,477) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 589,705 | 442,591 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 671,790 | \$ 501,587 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Revenue: | | | |
| Products | \$ 317,599 | \$ 192,417 | \$ 116,338 |
| Services | 55,083 | 34,921 | 22,465 |
| Total revenue | 372,682 | 227,338 | 138,803 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | |
| Products | 97,615 | 58,357 | 40,472 |
| Services | 27,231 | 15,412 | 10,341 |
| Total cost of revenue | 124,846 | 73,769 | 50,813 |
| Gross profit | 247,836 | 153,569 | 87,990 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 110,703 | 67,443 | 48,994 |
| Research and development | 29,778 | 17,354 | 17,812 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 140,481 | 84,797 | 66,806 |
| Income from operations | 107,355 | 68,772 | 21,184 |
| Interest and other income, net | 12,783 | 5,035 | 3,020 |
| Income before income taxes | 120,138 | 73,807 | 24,204 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 48,094 | (20,327) | 726 |
| Net income | \$ 72,044 | \$ 94,134 | \$ 23,478 |
| Net income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.96 | \$ 2.68 | \$ 0.70 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.89 | \$ 2.51 | \$ 0.67 |
| Shares used in computing basic and diluted net income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | 36,737 | 35,070 | 33,693 |
| Diluted | 38,093 | 37,488 | 34,976 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AMOUNTS)**

| | Common Stock | Stock Amount | Additional Paid-In Capital | Deferred Compensation | Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) | Treasury Stock | Stock Amount | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|------------|
| Balances at December 31, 2003 | 33,051,631 | \$ 33 | \$ 416,559 | \$ (99) | \$ (138,414) | | | \$ 878 | \$ 278,957 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options and warrants, and under stock purchase plan | 1,183,164 | 1 | 13,368 | | | | | | 13,369 |
| Income tax benefit from stock option exercises | | | 387 | | | | | | 387 |
| Repurchase of common stock | | | | | | (4,461) | (136) | | (136) |
| Stock Compensation | | | 48 | | | | | | 48 |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | | | 99 | | | | | 99 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | | | | | | | | (1,367) | (1,367) |
| Change in foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | | | | 97 | 97 |
| Net income | | | | | 23,478 | | | | 23,478 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 22,208 |
| Balances at December 31, 2004 | 34,234,795 | \$ 34 | \$ 430,362 | \$ | \$ (114,936) | (4,461) | \$ (136) | \$ (392) | \$ 314,932 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options and warrants, and under stock purchase plan, net | 1,953,115 | 2 | 32,973 | | (187) | | | | 32,788 |
| Income tax benefit from stock option exercises | | | 1,686 | | | | | | 1,686 |
| Retirement of common stock | | | | | | 4,461 | 136 | | 136 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sales securities | | | | | | | | (1,134) | (1,134) |
| Change in foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | | | | 49 | 49 |
| Net income | | | | | 94,134 | | | | 94,134 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | 93,049 |
| Balances at December 31, 2005 | 36,187,910 | \$ 36 | \$ 465,021 | \$ | \$ (20,989) | | \$ | \$ (1,477) | \$ 442,591 |
| Issuance of common stock upon exercise of options and warrants, and under stock purchase plan, net | 905,353 | 1 | 19,172 | | (35) | | | | 19,138 |
| Income tax benefit from stock option exercises | | | 28,270 | | | | | | 28,270 |
| Stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and employee stock purchase plan | | | 25,480 | | | | | | 25,480 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sales securities | | | | | | | | 2,160 | 2,160 |
| Change in foreign currency translation adjustments | | | | | | | | 22 | 22 |
| Net income | | | | | 72,044 | | | | 72,044 |

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------|
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | | 74,226 |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|----|----|----|---------|----|--|----|--------|----|--|----|-----|----|---------|
| Balances at December 31, 2006 | 37,093,263 | \$ | 37 | \$ | 537,943 | \$ | | \$ | 51,020 | \$ | | \$ | 705 | \$ | 589,705 |
|-------------------------------|------------|----|----|----|---------|----|--|----|--------|----|--|----|-----|----|---------|

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(IN THOUSANDS)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 72,044 | \$ 94,134 | \$ 23,478 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 8,269 | 4,864 | 5,366 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,740 | 1,868 | 1,868 |
| Income tax benefits related to an acquisition | 6,398 | 18,694 | |
| Deferred income taxes | 9,080 | (40,758) | |
| Amortization of deferred compensation and stock compensation | 25,260 | | 147 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | (23,040) | | |
| Income tax benefits related to stock option exercises | 28,270 | 1,686 | 387 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (41,853) | (17,385) | (8,624) |
| Inventory | (9,020) | (9,205) | 2,822 |
| Prepays and other assets | (162) | (3,046) | 724 |
| Accounts payable | 3,107 | 3,505 | (1,440) |
| Accrued compensation and employee benefits | 5,966 | 4,755 | 5,014 |
| Deferred revenue | 11,874 | 9,634 | 3,384 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 1,912 | 2,041 | (2,811) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 99,845 | 70,787 | 30,315 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of investments | (301,001) | (220,911) | (121,890) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments | 205,702 | 148,648 | 96,176 |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (15,854) | (31,044) | (22,439) |
| Licensing of patents | (2,200) | | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (113,353) | (103,307) | (48,153) |
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net | 19,143 | 32,924 | 13,233 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation | 23,040 | | |
| Repayment of notes payable | | (608) | (1,116) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 42,183 | 32,316 | 12,117 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 207 | (59) | 157 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 28,882 | (263) | (5,564) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 5,508 | 5,771 | 11,335 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 34,390 | \$ 5,508 | \$ 5,771 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: | | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 3,084 | \$ 1,087 | \$ 60 |

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|----|----|-----|----|----|
| Interest paid | \$ | \$ | 17 | \$ | 91 |
| Non-cash investing activity: | | | | | |
| Acquisition of investments in connection with a cross-licensing agreement | \$ | \$ | 525 | \$ | |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Intuitive Surgical, Inc. (the Company or Intuitive) designs, manufactures, and markets the *da Vinci* Surgical System, which is an advanced surgical system that the Company believes represent a new generation of surgery. The *da Vinci* Surgical System consists of a surgeon's console, a patient-side cart, a high performance vision system and proprietary wristed instruments. The *da Vinci* Surgical System seamlessly translates the surgeon's natural hand movements on instrument controls at the console into corresponding micro-movements of instruments positioned inside the patient through small puncture incisions, or ports. The Company markets its products through sales representatives in the United States, and through a combination of sales representatives and distributors in its international markets.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The accounting estimates that require management's most significant, difficult and subjective judgments include revenue recognition, the recognition and measurement of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, the valuation of the allowance for doubtful accounts, the valuation of inventory and the determination of stock based compensation. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

Concentrations of Credit Risk and Other Risks and Uncertainties

The carrying amounts for financial instruments consisting of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities. Marketable securities are stated at their estimated fair values, based on quoted market prices for the same or similar instruments. The counterparties to the agreements relating to the Company's investment securities consist of various major corporations and financial institutions of high credit standing. The Company believes the financial risks associated with these financial instruments are minimal.

The Company's accounts receivable are derived from net revenue to customers and distributors located in the United States and other countries. The Company performs credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and, generally, requires no collateral from its customers. The Company provides reserves for potential credit losses but has not experienced significant losses to date. As of December 31, 2006, 78% and 22%, respectively, of accounts receivable were from customers located in the United States and other countries. As of December 31, 2005, 80% and 20%, respectively, of accounts receivable were from customers located in the United States and other countries. For the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, domestic and international revenue accounted for 83% and 17%, respectively, of total revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2004, domestic and international revenue accounted for 79% and 21%, respectively, of total revenue. No single customer represented more than 10% of total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. No single customer represented more than 10% of net accounts receivable as of December 31, 2006. There was one customer who accounted for approximately 12% of net accounts receivable as of December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

The Company's *da Vinci* Surgical System, AESOP Endoscope Positioner and related instruments and accessories accounted for substantially all of the Company's product revenue for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004. Purchases of key parts and components used to manufacture the Company's products are from limited supply sources. The inability of any of these suppliers to fulfill the Company's supply requirements may negatively impact future operating results.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity from date of purchase of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents.

Investments

Available-for-sale investments. The Company's investments comprise U.S. government notes and bonds; corporate notes, bonds, commercial paper, auction rate securities and publicly traded equity securities. All investments are designated as available-for-sale and are therefore reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Realized gains and losses on the sale of investments are recorded in interest and other income, net. Investments with original maturities greater than approximately three months and remaining maturities less than one year and investments that reset interest rates are classified as short-term investments. Investments with remaining maturities greater than one year are classified as long-term investments.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment. All of the Company's available-for-sale investments are subject to a periodic impairment review. The Company recognizes an impairment charge when a decline in the fair value of its investments below the cost basis is judged to be other-than-temporary. The Company considers various factors in determining whether to recognize an impairment charge, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the Company's cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and the Company's intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in the market value. No impairment charges were recorded on any investments during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on the Company's assessment of the collectibility of customer accounts. The Company regularly reviews the allowance by considering factors such as historical experience, credit quality, and the age of the accounts receivable balances, and current economic conditions that may affect a customer's ability to pay.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market value on a first-in, first-out basis. Inventory costs include direct materials, direct labor, direct subcontractor costs, and manufacturing overhead. The Company reviews the adequacy of its inventory reserves on a quarterly basis. The Company writes down inventory based on forecasted demand and technological obsolescence. These factors are impacted by market and economic conditions, technology changes and new product introductions and require estimates that may include uncertain elements.

Table of Contents***Property, Plant and Equipment***

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Property, plant and equipment are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets generally as follows:

| | Useful Lives |
|--|--|
| Building | 15 years |
| Building improvements | 5 years |
| Leasehold improvements | Lesser of useful life or term of lease |
| Laboratory and manufacturing equipment | 5 years |
| Office furniture and equipment | 5 years |
| Computer equipment | 3 years |
| Purchased software | 3-5 years |

Depreciation expense for years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$8.3 million, \$4.9 million and \$5.4 million, respectively.

Capitalized Software Costs for Internal Use

The Company capitalizes the costs of computer software development or obtained for internal use in accordance with Statement of Position 98-1, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*. Capitalized computer software costs consist of purchased software licenses, implementation and consulting costs for certain projects that qualify for capitalization. Costs related to preliminary project assessment, research and development, re-engineering, training and application management are all expensed as incurred. The Company capitalized costs for a new enterprise resource planning software system (ERP System) of \$2.9 million and \$1.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Upon being placed in service, these costs are being depreciated over an estimated useful life of 5 years.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill, which represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired from Computer Motion, Inc., is not subject to amortization, but is subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment, applying a fair-value based test. The Company's intangible assets are comprised of purchased patents and acquired intangibles from the purchase of Computer Motion, Inc. These intangible assets are carried at cost, net of accumulated amortization. Amortization is recorded using the straight-line method, over their respective useful lives, which range from approximately 3 to 7 years.

Impairment of Long-lived assets

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable. An asset is considered impaired if its carrying amount exceeds the future undiscounted net cash flow the asset is expected to generate. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured based on projected discounted future net cash flows. Based on the tests performed, there was no impairment of long-lived assets during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, or 2004.

The Company evaluates goodwill, at a minimum, on an annual basis and whenever events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The impairment test for goodwill is a two-step process. Step one consists of a comparison of the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including the goodwill allocated to each reporting unit. If the carrying amount is in excess of the fair value, step

Table of Contents

two requires the comparison of the implied fair value of the reporting unit with the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill. Any excess of the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill over the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill will be recorded as an impairment loss. The quoted market price of the Company's common stock was used to determine fair value for Statement of Financial Standards No. 142 (SFAS 142) *Goodwill and Intangible Assets* impairment purposes. Based on the tests performed, there was no impairment of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, or 2004.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or service has been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company's revenues are derived from product revenue resulting from system revenue, and instruments and accessories revenue, and service revenue resulting from service contracts and training services.

The Company's system revenue contains a software component. The Company believes that this software element is an incidental part of each system. The software element within the Company's products is not sold or marketed separately to customers, and the software does not operate independently of each system. Furthermore, the software development effort does not require a significant cost to the Company relative to the overall development cost of the product. As such, the software the Company provides is incidental to each system as a whole and the software revenue guidance provided in Statement of Position 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, is not applicable to the Company's revenues.

Provided all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met, the Company generally recognizes system revenue for system sales directly to end customers, when delivery and acceptance occurs which is deemed to have occurred upon the receipt by the Company of a form executed by the customer acknowledging delivery and acceptance. The Company recognizes revenue for system sales through distributors upon transfer of title and risk of loss, which is generally at the time of shipment, assuming all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met.

For an arrangement with multiple deliverables, the Company recognizes system revenue in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force No. 00-21, *Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables* (EITF 00-21) with revenues allocated among the different elements. The Company determined that its multiple-element arrangements are generally comprised of the following elements that would qualify as separate units of accounting: system sales, service contracts, and training. Each of these elements represents individual units of accounting as the delivered item has value to a customer on a stand-alone basis, objective and reliable evidence of fair value exists for undelivered items, and arrangements generally do not contain a general right of return relative to the delivered item. The Company determines fair value based on the price of the undelivered element when it is sold separately. In accordance with the guidance in EITF No. 00-21, the Company uses the residual method to allocate the arrangement consideration when it does not have fair value of the system sale.

Revenue from sales of instruments and accessories is recognized when the product has been shipped, risk of loss and title has passed to the customer and collection of the resulting receivable is probable.

Service contract revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the service period. Training revenue is recognized when training is rendered. Revenue related to services performed on a time-and-materials basis is recognized when it is earned and billable.

The Company's system contracts generally do not allow rights of return. The Company's distributors do not have price protection rights. The Company records an allowance on instruments and accessories sales returns based on historical returns experience.

Table of Contents***Stock-Based Compensation***

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards made to employees and directors including employee stock options and employee stock purchases related to the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (employee stock purchases) based on estimated fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes the Company's previous accounting under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25) and the disclosure only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* , (SFAS 123), for periods beginning in fiscal 2006.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006, the first day of the Company's fiscal year 2006. The Company's financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company's financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R).

On November 10, 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3 *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Stock-based Payment Awards* that allows for a simplified method to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool (APIC Pool) related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC Pool and consolidated statements of cash flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R). The Company did not adopt the simplified method for the computation of the beginning balance of the APIC pool.

See Note 7 for a detailed discussion of SFAS 123(R).

Computation of Net Income per Share

Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares primarily consist of employee stock options.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, *Earnings per Share* , requires that employee equity share options, non-vested shares and similar equity instruments granted by the Company be treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing diluted earnings per share. Diluted shares outstanding include the dilutive effect of in-the-money options, which is calculated, based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized upon the adoption of SFAS 123(R), and the amount of tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when the award becomes deductible are assumed to be used to repurchase shares. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), options outstanding that were out-of-the money were considered to be antidilutive and were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$2.0 million, \$1.4 million, and \$1.3 million, respectively.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Costs incurred for shipping and handling are included in cost of revenue at the time the related revenue is recognized. Amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling are reported as revenue.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Translation and Remeasurement

The accounts of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation*. The Company has determined that the functional currency of its subsidiaries should be their local currency, with the exception of its subsidiary in the Cayman Islands, whose functional currency is the U.S. dollar. For all except the Cayman Islands subsidiary, assets and liabilities of the foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated using exchange rates at average exchange rates in effect during the year. As a result, gains and losses from foreign currency translation are included in accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Foreign currency transaction gains or losses are recorded under interest and other income, net. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company recorded \$1.2 million exchange gain. Gains and losses incurred during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 were insignificant.

Segments

The Company operates in one segment. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, over 99% of all long-lived assets were maintained in the United States. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, 83%, 83% and 79%, respectively, of net revenue were generated in the United States.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. The pronouncement clarifies (1) the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value; (2) the information used to measure fair value; and (3) the effect that fair value measurements have on earnings. SFAS 157 will apply whenever another standard requires (or permits) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. The interpretation contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The provisions are effective for the Company as of January 1, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year balances in order to conform to the current year's presentation.

Table of Contents**NOTE 3. AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES**

The following table summarizes the Company's investments, which are all classified as available-for-sale (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2006 | | | | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 60,395 | \$ | \$ (72) | \$ 60,323 |
| Auction rate securities | 82,250 | | | 82,250 |
| U.S. corporate debt | 39,076 | | (149) | 38,927 |
| U.S. government debt | 1,999 | | (4) | 1,995 |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | 21,985 | | (127) | 21,858 |
| Total short-term investments | \$ 205,705 | \$ | \$ (352) | \$ 205,353 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| U.S. corporate debt | \$ 60,700 | \$ 56 | \$ (256) | \$ 60,500 |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | 27,998 | 9 | (93) | 27,914 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 896 | 1,243 | | 2,139 |
| Total long-term investments | \$ 89,594 | \$ 1,308 | \$ (349) | \$ 90,553 |
| Total short and long-term investments | \$ 295,299 | \$ 1,308 | \$ (701) | \$ 295,906 |
| December 31, 2005 | | | | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | \$ 50,900 | \$ | \$ | \$ 50,900 |
| U.S. corporate debt | 45,906 | 6 | (364) | 45,548 |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | 27,479 | 5 | (253) | 27,231 |
| Total short-term investments | \$ 124,285 | \$ 11 | \$ (617) | \$ 123,679 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| U.S. corporate debt | \$ 44,050 | \$ 2 | \$ (589) | \$ 43,463 |
| U.S. government debt | 1,994 | | (18) | 1,976 |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | 28,463 | | (350) | 28,113 |
| Total long-term investments | \$ 74,507 | \$ 2 | \$ (957) | \$ 73,552 |
| Total short and long-term investments | \$ 198,792 | \$ 13 | \$ (1,574) | \$ 197,231 |

Table of Contents

The following tables present the breakdown of the investments with unrealized losses at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (in thousands):

| | Unrealized losses less than 12 months | | Unrealized losses 12 months or greater | | Total | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Values | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2006 | | | | | | |
| Commercial paper | \$ 60,324 | \$ (72) | \$ 48,163 | \$ (350) | \$ 60,324 | \$ (72) |
| U.S. corporate debt | 29,118 | (55) | 1,995 | (4) | 77,281 | (405) |
| U.S. government debt | | | | | 1,995 | (40) |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | 16,447 | (51) | 23,316 | (169) | 39,763 | (220) |
| | \$ 105,889 | \$ (178) | \$ 73,474 | \$ (523) | \$ 179,363 | \$ (701) |

| | Unrealized losses less than 12 months | | Unrealized losses 12 months or greater | | Total | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Values | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| December 31, 2005 | | | | | | |
| U.S. corporate debt | \$ 43,695 | \$ (467) | \$ 37,096 | \$ (486) | \$ 80,791 | \$ (953) |
| U.S. government debt | 1,976 | (18) | | | 1,976 | (18) |
| Government-sponsored enterprises | 25,719 | (234) | 27,625 | (368) | 53,344 | (602) |
| | \$ 71,390 | \$ (719) | \$ 64,721 | \$ (854) | \$ 136,111 | \$ (1,573) |

The unrealized losses on the investments in U.S. corporate debt and U.S. government debt were caused by rising interest rates. The Company reviewed its investments to identify and evaluate investments that have indications of possible impairment. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than the cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee and the Company's intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. The corporate debt the Company holds is all high investment grade, and there were no credit events on any of the corporate debt held by the Company. Thus, the Company's management has determined that the gross unrealized losses on its investments at December 31, 2006 are temporary in nature.

The Company did not have any realized gains or losses during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

The following table summarizes the maturities of the Company's investments, except for publicly traded equity securities, at December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Less than 1 year | \$ 205,705 | \$ 205,353 |
| Due 1-3 years | 88,698 | 88,414 |
| Total | \$ 294,403 | \$ 293,767 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 4. BALANCE SHEET DETAILS**

The following table provides details of selected balance sheet items (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Inventory | | |
| Raw materials | \$ 9,389 | \$ 7,194 |
| Work-in-process | 2,051 | 907 |
| Finished goods | 12,855 | 7,069 |
| Total | \$ 24,295 | \$ 15,170 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net: | | |
| Building | \$ 22,944 | \$ 13,813 |
| Land | 15,520 | 15,520 |
| Computer equipment | 4,076 | 3,229 |
| Laboratory and manufacturing equipment | 18,103 | 12,598 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 1,501 | 1,170 |
| Building/leasehold improvements | 10,428 | 4,653 |
| Purchased software | 10,995 | 6,494 |
| Construction-in-process | 1,458 | 13,334 |
| | 85,025 | 70,811 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (25,086) | (18,586) |
| Total Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 59,939 | \$ 52,225 |

NOTE 5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**Goodwill**

The Company's goodwill amounts relate to the acquisition of Computer Motion, Inc in June 2003. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were the result of adjustments to deferred tax assets acquired and realized tax benefits from stock options issued in the Computer Motion acquisition.

Intangibles

The following tables present details of the Company's total intangible assets (in thousands):

| December 31, 2006 | Gross | Accumulated Amortization | Impairment | Net |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Core technology | \$ 3,300 | \$ 1,650 | \$ | \$ 1,650 |
| Customer relationships | 1,300 | 1,033 | | 267 |
| Patents | 10,510 | 6,699 | | 3,811 |
| Other intangible assets | 500 | 123 | 291 | 86 |
| Total intangible assets, net | \$ 15,610 | \$ 9,505 | \$ 291 | \$ 5,814 |

| December 31, 2005 | Gross | Impairment | Net |
|-------------------|-------|------------|-----|
|-------------------|-------|------------|-----|

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

| | | Accumulated Amortization | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Core technology | \$ 3,300 | \$ 1,179 | \$ | \$ 2,121 |
| Customer relationships | 1,300 | 767 | | 533 |
| Patents | 8,310 | 5,722 | | 2,588 |
| Other intangible assets | 500 | 98 | 291 | 111 |
| Total intangible assets, net | \$ 13,410 | \$ 7,766 | \$ 291 | \$ 5,353 |

Table of Contents

The Company purchased patents for \$2.2 million and \$1.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with weighted average useful lives of five years.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets was \$1.7 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The estimated future amortization expense of intangible assets as of December 31, 2006 is as follows (in thousands):

| Fiscal Year | Amount |
|--------------------|---------------|
| 2007 | \$ 1,718 |
| 2008 | 1,451 |
| 2009 | 1,451 |
| 2010 | 1,019 |
| 2011 | 175 |
| Total | \$ 5,814 |

NOTE 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**OPERATING LEASES**

The Company leases office space for research and development in Milford, Connecticut and sales office space in St. Germain en Laye, France and Aubonne, Switzerland. In connection with the acquisition of Computer Motion, the Company assumed leases in Goleta, California. These leases have varying terms, the longest of which extends to September 2007. As of December 31, 2006, the Company sublet 93% of its office space in Goleta. The Company leases automobiles for certain sales employees. These leases have varying terms, no longer than three years.

Future minimum lease commitments, net of sublease income of \$0.3 million under the Company's operating leases as of December 31, 2006 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-----------------|----------|
| 2007 | \$ 817 |
| 2008 | 533 |
| 2009 | 209 |
| 2010 | 94 |
| 2011 and beyond | 112 |
| | \$ 1,765 |

CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and disputes that arise in the normal course of business. These matters include product liability actions, patent infringements, contract disputes, and other matters. The Company does not know whether it will prevail in these matters nor can it assure that any remedy could be reached on commercially viable terms, if at all. Based on currently available information, the Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these actions and while the outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company does not believe the outcome of any of these matters will have a material adverse impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, the Company records a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case.

Table of Contents**NOTE 7. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Accumulated net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | \$ 599 | \$ (1,561) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 106 | 84 |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 705 | \$ (1,477) |

TREASURY STOCK

The Company records treasury stock under the stock method. Stock repurchased by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2004 was \$0.1 million. In 2005, the Board of Directors approved to retire all treasury stock outstanding.

WARRANTS

In June 2000, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 2,540 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$18.00 per share to one company. The warrant, which was fully vested and immediately exercisable, was exercised in 2005. The value of the warrant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and was determined to be immaterial.

In conjunction with the Computer Motion acquisition in June 2003, the Company assumed warrants to purchase 724,729 shares of common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$20.52 per share. The warrants were fully vested and immediately exercisable. In December 2003, warrants to purchase 65,013 shares with a weighted average exercise price of \$15.42 expired. Remaining warrants expire through February 2007.

The following table summarizes the warrants activity during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004:

| | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Number of Shares Under Warrant | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares Under Warrant | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares Under Warrant | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding at January 1 | 238,703 | \$ 16.24 | 634,611 | \$ 19.70 | 659,716 | \$ 19.59 |
| Warrants exercised | (151,554) | 17.96 | (395,908) | 20.22 | (25,105) | 15.30 |
| Outstanding at December 31 | 87,149 | \$ 13.24 | 238,703 | \$ 16.24 | 634,611 | \$ 19.70 |

STOCK OPTION PLANS*2000 Equity Incentive Plan*

In March 2000, the Board of Directors adopted the 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2000 Plan), which took effect upon the closing of the Company's initial public offering. Under this plan, certain employees, consultants and non-employee directors may be granted Incentive Stock Options (ISOs) and Nonstatutory Stock Options (NSOs) to purchase shares of the Company's common stock. The 2000 Plan permitted ISOs to be granted at an exercise price not less than the fair value on the date of the grant and NSOs at an exercise price not less than 85% of the fair value on the date of grant. Options granted under the 2000 Plan generally expire 10 years from the date of grant and become exercisable upon grant subject to repurchase rights in favor of the

Table of Contents

Company until vested. Options generally vest 12.5% upon completion of 6 months service and 1/48th per month thereafter; however, options may have been granted with different vesting terms, as determined by the Board of Directors. The plan contains an evergreen provision whereby the authorized shares are automatically increased concurrent with the Company's annual meeting of shareholders.

2000 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan

In March 2000, the Board of Directors adopted the 2000 Non-Employee Directors' Stock Option Plan (the "Directors' Plan"). The plan contains an evergreen provision whereby the authorized shares are automatically increased concurrent with the Company's annual meeting of stockholders. The plan provides an initial grant of 15,000 shares to members of the Board who are not employees of the Company ("External Directors"). At any subsequent year, each External Director who has been an External Director for at least six months is granted an option to purchase 7,500, reduced to 5,000 during 2006, additional shares. Each external Director who serves as Chairman of a Board Committee shall be granted an additional Committee Chairman grant to purchase 2,500, reduced to 0 during 2006, shares. Options are granted at an exercise price not less than the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant and have a term not to exceed ten years. Initial grants are vested over a three-year period with one-third of the shares vesting after one year from the date of grant and 1/36th of the shares vesting monthly thereafter. Annual grants and Committee Chairman grants are vested one year from the date of the grant.

2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In March 2000, the Board of Directors adopted the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). The plan contains an evergreen provision whereby the authorized shares are automatically increased concurrent with the Company's annual meeting of shareholders. Employees are generally eligible to participate in the Employee Stock Purchase Plan if they are customarily employed by the Company for more than 20 hours per week and more than 5 months in a calendar year and are not 5% stockholders of the Company. Under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, eligible employees may select a rate of payroll deduction up to 15% of their eligible compensation subject to certain maximum purchase limitations. The duration for each offering period is twenty-four months long and is divided into four shorter purchase periods approximately six months in length. Offerings are concurrent. The purchase price of the shares under the offering is the lesser of 85% of the fair market value of the shares on the offering date or 85% of the fair market value of the shares on the purchase date.

The Company issued 138,825, 189,673 and 184,581 shares under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, representing approximately \$4.8 million, \$3.2 million and \$2.1 million in employee contributions for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. As of December 31, 2006, there were 528,219 shares reserved for grant under this program.

Table of Contents

STOCK OPTION PLAN INFORMATION

Option activity under the 2000 and Directors Plans was as follows:

| | Shares Available for Grant | Number Outstanding | Stock Options Outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Balance at December 31, 2003 (with 2,185,236 options exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$14.57 per share) | 3,399,894 | 3,725,429 | \$ 14.50 |
| Options authorized | 1,777,018 | | |
| Options granted | (1,350,805) | 1,350,805 | 19.14 |
| Options exercised | | (967,945) | 11.36 |
| Options canceled/expired | 296,078 | (446,493) | 21.34 |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 (with 1,874,256 options exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$15.24 per share) | 4,122,185 | 3,661,796 | \$ 16.20 |
| Options authorized | 1,837,290 | | |
| Options granted | (1,200,955) | 1,200,955 | 53.44 |
| Options exercised | | (1,386,018) | 15.92 |
| Options canceled/expired | 222,827 | (245,056) | 32.47 |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 (with 1,413,730 options exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$19.27 per share) | 4,981,347 | 3,231,677 | 28.93 |
| Options authorized | 2,125,313 | | |
| Options granted | (1,057,400) | 1,057,400 | 108.31 |
| Options exercised | | (627,582) | 20.79 |
| Options canceled/expired | 216,883 | (233,810) | 51.99 |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 (with 1,729,923 options exercisable at a weighted-average exercise price of \$32.90 per share) | 6,266,143 | 3,427,685 | 50.10 |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised under our stock option plans determined as of the date of option exercise was \$55.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2006. Cash received from option exercises and employee stock purchase plans for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, was \$17.8 million and \$25.3 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes significant ranges of outstanding and exercisable options as of December 31, 2006:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number of Shares | Options Outstanding | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1) | Number of Shares | Options Exercisable | | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (1) |
|--------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | |
| \$0.00 | 1,585 | 5.5 | \$ | | 1,585 | 5.5 | \$ | |
| \$1.00 2.52 | 1,250 | 0.5 | 1.00 | | 1,250 | 0.5 | 1.00 | |
| \$2.53 4.17 | 27,996 | 5.1 | 3.44 | | 27,353 | 5.1 | 3.44 | |
| \$4.18 11.32 | 45,321 | 3.6 | 7.11 | | 44,579 | 3.5 | 7.04 | |
| \$11.33 23.34 | 1,390,107 | 6.2 | 16.60 | | 1,072,709 | 5.9 | 16.19 | |
| \$23.35 48.66 | 795,729 | 7.8 | 45.69 | | 362,353 | 7.4 | 44.95 | |
| \$48.67 100.51 | 221,225 | 9.0 | 85.93 | | 52,074 | 8.8 | 81.80 | |
| \$100.52 137.27 | 944,472 | 9.2 | 110.21 | | 168,020 | 9.1 | 110.73 | |

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|-----|----------|----------------|-----------|-----|----------|----------------|
| TOTAL | 3,427,685 | 7.5 | \$ 50.10 | \$ 159,594,198 | 1,729,923 | 6.6 | \$ 32.90 | \$ 111,524,956 |
|-------|-----------|-----|----------|----------------|-----------|-----|----------|----------------|

-
- (1) The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$95.90 as of December 29, 2006, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date.

Table of Contents

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all stock-based payment awards made to the Company's employees and directors including stock options and employee stock purchases. The Company's financial statements as of and for year ended December 31, 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company's financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). Stock-based compensation expense recognized is based on the value of the portion of stock-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Company's consolidated statement of operations during the year ended December 31, 2006 included compensation expense for stock-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123, and compensation expense for the stock-based payment awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to attribute the value of stock-based compensation to expense using the straight-line method, which was previously used for its pro forma information required under SFAS 123. Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options and employee stock purchases was \$25.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. No stock-based compensation expense was recognized on stock options or employee stock purchases during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to value its stock-based payment awards beginning in fiscal year 2006 using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model (the Black-Scholes model), which was previously used for its pro forma information required under SFAS 123. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that do not have vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. The use of the Black-Scholes model requires the input of certain assumptions. The Company's options and the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and changes in the assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimates.

Stock-based Compensation Stock Options

When the measurement date is certain, the fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model using the single life option valuation approach and the assumptions noted in the following table. The fair value of options granted were estimated at the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions, assuming no expected dividends:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Average risk free interest rate | 4.76% |
| Average expected term (years) | 5.12 |
| Average volatility | 49% |
| Weighted average fair value at grant date | \$ 55.61 |

Expected Term: The Company's expected term represents the weighted-average period that the Company's stock options are expected to be outstanding. The expected term is based on the observed and expected time to post-vesting exercise of options by employees. Beginning the third quarter of 2005, the Company began to use historical exercise patterns of previously granted options in relation to stock price movements to derive an employee behavioral pattern used to forecast expected exercise patterns.

Expected Volatility: Beginning the third quarter of 2005, the Company began to use a blend of historical volatility and market-based implied volatility. Market-based implied volatility is derived based on at least

Table of Contents

one-year traded options on the Company's common stock. The selection of the proportion of market-based volatility depends, among other things, on the availability of traded options on the Company's stock and term of such options. Due to sufficient volume of the traded options, during year ended December 31, 2006, the Company used, in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, *Share-Based Payment*, SAB 107, 100% market-based implied volatility. The selection of the implied volatility approach was based upon the availability of traded options on the Company's stock and the Company's assessment that implied volatility is more representative of future stock price trends than historical volatility.

Risk-Free Interest Rate: The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option.

As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated based on historical experience. In the Company's pro forma information required under SFAS 123 for the periods prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

The Company recorded \$22.9 million of compensation expense relative to stock options for the year ended December 31, 2006 in accordance with SFAS 123(R). As of December 31, 2006, there was \$61.5 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock options. This unrecognized compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.9 years. The total fair value of options vested during the year ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$22.5 million.

Stock-based Compensation Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company accounts for the Employee Stock Purchase Plan as a compensatory plan and recorded compensation expense of \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 in accordance with SFAS 123(R). The fair value of the option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares were estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and multiple life option valuation approach, with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|---|---|
| Average risk free interest rate | 4.86% |
| Average expected term (years) | 1.3 |
| Average volatility | 51% |
| Weighted average fair value at grant date | \$ 36.28 |

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$1.9 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to employee stock purchases. This unrecognized compensation expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.2 years.

Table of Contents**Information as Reported in the Financial Statements**

The effect of recording stock-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Year Ended December 31, 2006 |
|--|---|
| Cost of sales products | \$ 2,417 |
| Cost of sales services | 1,452 |
| Total cost of sales | 3,869 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 16,037 |
| Research and development | 5,354 |
| | |
| Stock-based compensation expense before income taxes | 25,260 |
| Income taxes | 8,962 |
| | |
| Stock-based compensation expense after income taxes | \$ 16,298 |
| | |
| Effect on: | |
| Net income per share Basic | \$ 0.44 |
| Net income per share Diluted | \$ 0.43 |

For the year ended December 31, 2006, total stock-based compensation expense recognized in earnings before taxes was \$25.3 million. While the Company's estimate of fair value and the associated charge to earnings materially affects the results of operations, it has no impact on its cash position.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expenses were reported as operating cash flows. SFAS 123(R) requires that they be recorded as a financing cash inflow rather than a reduction of taxes paid. Excess tax benefits are realized tax benefits from tax deductions for exercised options in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to stock compensation costs for such options. Excess tax benefits of \$23.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been classified as a financing cash inflow. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for stock-based compensation costs was \$9.0 million year ended December 31, 2006, and none during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004.

Information Calculated as if Fair Value Method Had Applied to All Awards

The following table illustrates the effect on reported net income and net income per share for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based compensation (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income, as reported | \$ 94,134 | \$ 23,478 |
| Add: Total stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income | | 147 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of \$0 related tax effect | (14,071) | (9,916) |
| | | |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 80,063 | \$ 13,709 |
| | | |
| Net income per share: | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 2.68 | \$ 0.70 |

Edgar Filing: INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|
| Basic pro forma | \$ 2.28 | \$ 0.41 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 2.51 | \$ 0.67 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 2.14 | \$ 0.39 |

Table of Contents

The weighed-average estimated fair value of options granted during fiscal 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$25.83 and \$10.43 per share, respectively. The fair value of options granted and option component of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares were estimated at the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions, assuming no expected dividends:

| | STOCK OPTION PLANS | | EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | Years Ended December 31, | | Years Ended December 31, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Average risk free interest rate | 3.98% | 3.14% | 2.19% | 1.39% |
| Average expected term (years) | 4.58 | 4.00 | 1.32 | 1.29 |
| Average volatility | 54% | 67% | 49% | 60% |

NOTE 8. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Current | | | |
| Federal | \$ 31,543 | \$ (60) | \$ 400 |
| State | 4,903 | 1,712 | 245 |
| Foreign | 216 | 248 | 81 |
| | 36,662 | 1,900 | 726 |
| Deferred | | | |
| Federal | 9,610 | (17,585) | |
| State | 1,822 | (4,642) | |
| | 11,432 | (22,227) | |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 48,094 | \$ (20,327) | \$ 726 |
| Tax benefit obtained from stock compensation plans that has been credited to stockholders' equity and goodwill | \$ 32,537 | \$ 1,712 | \$ 387 |

Income tax expense (benefit) differs from amounts computed by applying the statutory rate of 35% for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 as a result of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Federal tax at statutory rate | \$ 42,048 | \$ 25,832 | \$ 8,471 |
| Increase (reduction) in tax resulting from: | | | |
| State taxes, net of federal benefits | 5,009 | 3,690 | 1,486 |
| Valuation allowance, net of purchase adjustments | | (50,360) | (9,520) |
| Other | 1,037 | 511 | 289 |
| | \$ 48,094 | \$ (20,327) | \$ 726 |

Table of Contents

Deferred income taxes reflect tax carry forwards and the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforward | \$ 7,066 | \$ 19,710 |
| Research and other credits | 1,994 | 8,148 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 7,676 | |
| Expenses deducted in later years for tax purposes | 15,735 | 14,006 |
| | | |
| Gross deferred tax assets | \$ 32,471 | \$ 41,864 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Identified intangible assets related to acquisitions | \$ (794) | \$ (1,106) |
| | | |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 31,677 | \$ 40,758 |

In the fourth quarter of 2005, management concluded, based upon prior operating results, expectations of future taxable income, available carryforward periods, and other factors, that it was more likely than not that the Company will realize sufficient earnings to utilize its deferred tax assets. Accordingly, the Company removed the valuation allowance previously placed against its net deferred tax assets.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had net operating loss carry forwards for federal tax purposes of approximately \$62.2 million. Of this amount \$42.8 million relates to stock option deductions that will be recognized through additional paid-in capital when utilized. As such, these deductions are not reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets. If not utilized, these loss carry forwards will begin to expire in 2019. For state tax purposes, the loss carry forwards are approximately \$12.4 million. Of this amount, \$7.5 million relates to stock option deductions that will be recognized through additional paid in capital when utilized. As such, these deductions are not reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets. If not utilized, the state loss carry forwards will begin to expire in 2012. \$19.4 million of the federal loss carryforwards and \$4.9 million of the state loss carryforwards are subject to restrictions under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, and may only be utilized to the extent of \$1.2 million per year.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had research credit carry forwards for federal tax purposes of approximately \$5.1 million, all of which is attributable to stock option deductions that will be recognized through additional paid-in-capital when utilized. These credits related to stock option deductions are not reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets and will begin to expire in 2011. For state tax purposes, the research credit carry forwards are approximately \$5.2 million. Of this amount, \$3.3 million relates to stock option deductions that will be recognized through additional paid in capital when utilized. As such, these credits related to stock option deductions are not reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets. The state research credit carry forwards may be carried forward indefinitely.

Table of Contents**NOTE 9. NET INCOME PER SHARE**

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted net income per common share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Net income | \$ 72,044 | \$ 94,134 | \$ 23,478 |
| Basic: | | | |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | 36,737 | 35,070 | 33,693 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 1.96 | \$ 2.68 | \$ 0.70 |
| Diluted: | | | |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding used in basic calculation | 36,737 | 35,070 | 33,693 |
| Add common stock equivalents | 1,356 | 2,418 | 1,283 |
| Weighted-average shares used in computing diluted net income per common share | 38,093 | 37,488 | 34,976 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 1.89 | \$ 2.51 | \$ 0.67 |

Employee stock options to purchase approximately 875,000, 174,000 and 1,302,000 shares for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, were outstanding, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their effect would have been antidilutive.

NOTE 10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

The Company sponsors the Intuitive Surgical, Inc. 401(k) Plan (the Plan). As allowed under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Plan provides tax-deferred salary contributions for eligible U.S. employees. The Plan allows employees to contribute of up to 75% of their annual compensation to the Plan on a pretax and after-tax basis. Employee contributions are limited to a maximum annual amount as set periodically by the Internal Revenue Code. Employer matching contributions are made solely at the Company's discretion. No employer matching contributions were made to the Plan during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

The Plan allows employees who meet the age requirements and reach the Plan contribution limits to make a catch-up contribution not to exceed the limit set forth in the Internal Revenue Code. In addition, the Plan provides for discretionary profit-sharing contributions as determined by the Board of Directors. Such contributions to the Plan are allocated among eligible participants in the proportion of their salaries to the total salaries of all participants. There were no discretionary profit-sharing contributions made during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

NOTE 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In January 2007, the Company announced that it is closing down its operations in France and moving its international headquarters to Switzerland. The Company believes this restructuring will streamline its international operations and optimize the tax structure for the long term. As a result of closing down its operations in France, the Company anticipates incurring restructuring costs of approximately \$1.0 million through June 30, 2007 primarily relating to employee severance arrangements, lease termination costs and relocation costs.

Table of Contents**SELECTED QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED, IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)**

| | 2006 | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| Revenue | \$ 77,258 | \$ 87,025 | \$ 95,832 | \$ 112,567 |
| Gross profit | 51,681 | 58,977 | 62,233 | 74,945 |
| Net income (1) | 14,458 | 16,682 | 17,263 | 23,641 |
| Net income per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.47 | \$ 0.64 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.62 |
| | | | | |
| | 2005 | | | |
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| Revenue | \$ 41,614 | \$ 52,756 | \$ 60,874 | \$ 72,095 |
| Gross profit | 27,263 | 35,627 | 42,117 | 48,562 |
| Net income (2) | 9,104 | 14,784 | 20,720 | 49,525 |
| Net income per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.59 | \$ 1.38 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 1.31 |

- (1) Net income included stock-based compensation expense, net of tax, under SFAS 123(R) of \$3.1 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2006 and \$4.4 million for each of the second, third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2006. Prior to fiscal 2006, there was no stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options and employee stock purchase under SFAS 123, because the Company did not adopt the recognition provisions of SFAS 123.
- (2) Net income for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 included a deferred tax benefit of \$22.2 million related to the reversal of the valuation allowance. During 2006, the Company began reporting income taxes on a fully-taxed basis.

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS****(IN THOUSANDS)**

| | Balance at Beginning of Year | Additions Charged to Cost and Expenses | Deductions (1) | Balance at End of Year |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts and sales returns | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2006 | \$ 1,591 | 4,670 | (4,283) | \$ 1,978 |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 | \$ 1,334 | 3,317 | (3,060) | \$ 1,591 |
| Year ended December 31, 2004 | \$ 1,765 | 2,026 | (2,457) | \$ 1,334 |

(1) Primarily represents amounts returned.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on the foregoing, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

Our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

On May 1, 2006, the Company implemented a new Enterprise Resource Planning (or ERP) system, using SAP software replacing the Company's previous system.

There have been no other changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT****Directors**

The Company's Board of Directors is currently comprised of seven Directors. Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation divides the Board of Directors into three classes: Class I, Class II and Class III, with members of each class serving staggered three-year terms. One class of Directors is elected by the stockholders at each Annual Meeting to serve a three-year term or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

The names of the nominees and directors, their ages as of February 15, 2007 and certain other information about them are set forth below:

| Name of Nominee or Director | Age | Principal Occupation | Director Since |
|---|-----|--|----------------|
| Class I Directors with term expiring at the 2007 Annual Meeting: | | | |
| Alan J. Levy, Ph.D. (2)(3) | 69 | President and Chief Executive Officer of Northstar Neuroscience, Inc. | 2000 |
| Eric H. Halvorson (1)(3) | 57 | Executive in Residence, Pepperdine University | 2003 |
| D. Keith Grossman (1)(2) | 46 | Former Chief Executive Officer and President of Thoratec Corporation | 2004 |
| Class II Directors with term expiring at the 2008 Annual Meeting: | | | |
| Robert W. Duggan | 62 | President, Robert Duggan & Associates | 2003 |
| Floyd D. Loop, M.D. (3) | 70 | Former Chief Executive Officer (1989-2004), The Cleveland Clinic | 2005 |
| Class III Directors with term expiring at the 2009 Annual Meeting: | | | |
| Lonnie M. Smith | 62 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Intuitive Surgical, Inc. | 1996 |
| Richard J. Kramer (1) | 64 | President, R.J. Kramer Associates, LLC | 2000 |

(1) member of Audit Committee

(2) member of Compensation Committee

(3) member of Governance and Nominating Committee

The principal occupations and positions for at least the past five years of our director are described below. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Robert W. Duggan has been a member of our Board of Directors since our acquisition of Computer Motion in June 2003. Prior to our acquisition of Computer Motion, Mr. Duggan had been Chairman of the Board of Directors of Computer Motion since 1990 and Chief Executive Officer since 1997. Mr. Duggan is the Founder of the investment firm Robert W. Duggan & Associates. Mr. Duggan has been a private venture investor for more than 30 years and has participated as a director of, investor in and advisor to numerous small and large businesses in the medical equipment, computer local and wide area network, PC hardware and software distribution, digital encryption, consumer retail goods and outdoor media communication industries. Mr. Duggan has also assisted in corporate planning, capital formation and management for his various investments. He received the Congressman's Medal of Merit and in 2000 he was named a Knight of the Legion of Honor by President Jacques Chirac. He is a member of the University of California at Santa Barbara Foundation Board of Trustees.

D. Keith Grossman served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Thoratec Corporation, a publicly held medical technology company, from January 1996 to January 2006. Prior to Thoratec, Mr. Grossman was a

Table of Contents

Division President of Major Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from June 1992 to September 1995. From July 1988 to June 1992, Mr. Grossman served as the Vice President of Sales and Marketing for Calcitek, Inc., a manufacturer of implantable medical devices and a division of Sulzermedica (formerly Intermedics, Inc.). Prior to 1988, Mr. Grossman held various other sales and marketing management positions within the McGaw Laboratories Division of American Hospital Supply Corporation. Mr. Grossman remains a member of the Board of Directors of Thoratec, and also serves as a member of the board of directors of Acorn Cardiovascular, Inc., a private medical technology company and of Thomas Burnett Family Foundation. Mr. Grossman earned his Bachelor's Degree from Ohio State University, and his Master's of Business Administration degree from Pepperdine University.

Eric H. Halvorson has been a member of our Board of Directors since our acquisition of Computer Motion in June 2003. Mr. Halvorson joined Computer Motion in July 2002 as a member of its Board of Directors. Mr. Halvorson is currently Executive in Residence at Pepperdine University, where he holds a joint teaching appointment to the undergraduate Business Division and the Pepperdine Law School. He teaches classes in Business Law, Management Theory, Accounting and Finance for Lawyers and Mergers and Acquisitions. From June 2003 to February 2005, Mr. Halvorson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of The Thomas Kinkadee Company, formerly Media Arts Group, Inc. Mr. Halvorson was a Visiting Professor of Business Law and Accounting at Pepperdine University from 2000-2003. He was the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer at Salem Communications Corporation from 1995 to 2000. Prior to becoming Chief Operating Officer, he was the company's Vice President and General Counsel for 10 years. Mr. Halvorson is currently a director of Salem Communications Corporation. Mr. Halvorson was a partner at Godfrey and Kahn, a law firm based in Milwaukee, Wisconsin from 1976 until 1985. Mr. Halvorson is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a B.S. in Accounting from Bob Jones University and a J.D. from Duke University School of Law.

Richard J. Kramer is President of R.J. Kramer Associates, LLC, a healthcare consulting firm he founded in January 2001. From 1989 to 2000, he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Catholic Healthcare West, which operates 48 hospitals in the western United States. From 1982 to 1989, Mr. Kramer was Executive Vice President of Allina Health, the largest integrated health care system in Minnesota. Mr. Kramer received a B.S. in Rehabilitation Education from Pennsylvania State University, a M.S. in Rehabilitation Counseling from Syracuse University, a M.S. in Hospital and Health Care Administration from the University of Minnesota and graduate of the Advanced Management Program (AMP) Harvard Business School. Mr. Kramer currently serves on the board of Sutter Health and the Boys and Girls Club of Auburn.

Alan J. Levy, Ph.D. has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors of Northstar Neuroscience, Inc. a medical device company he co-founded, since 1999. From 1993 to 1998, Dr. Levy served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Heartstream, Inc., a medical device company that was acquired by Hewlett-Packard in 1998. Prior to joining Heartstream, he was President of Heart Technology, Inc., a medical device company that was acquired by Boston Scientific in 1995. Before joining Heart Technology, Dr. Levy was Vice President of Research and New Business Development and a member of the board of Ethicon, a division of Johnson & Johnson. Dr. Levy holds a B.S. in Chemistry from City University of New York and a Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry from Purdue University.

Dr. Floyd D. Loop joined our board in August 2005. Dr. Loop served the Cleveland Clinic Foundation for nearly 35 years, holding leadership positions including Chairman of the Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Governors. Dr. Loop and his colleagues at the Cleveland Clinic were responsible for developing the use of arterial conduits in coronary artery surgery, for innovations in valve repair and for pioneering technical improvements for reoperations. Dr. Loop has served as the President of the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, as a Director of the American Board of Thoracic Surgery, and as a member of the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. He has received Honorary Doctor of Science degrees from Cleveland State University, St. Louis University and Purdue University. Dr. Loop is an internationally recognized cardiovascular surgeon, a recipient of the American Heart Association Citation for International Service, and The American College of Cardiology Cummings

Table of Contents

Humanitarian Award. Dr. Loop received his undergraduate degree from Purdue University and his M.D. from The George Washington University, Washington, D.C. His postgraduate training was at George Washington, the US Air Force at Andrews Air Force Base and at the Cleveland Clinic Foundation. Dr. Loop currently serves on the corporate boards of Tenet Healthcare Corporation, Visible Assets, Inc., and Passport Health Communications, Inc.

Lonnie M. Smith joined Intuitive in June 1997 from Hillenbrand Industries, where he was Senior Executive Vice President. Mr. Smith joined Hillenbrand in 1978 and during his tenure he was also a member of the Executive Committee, the Office of the President and the Board of Directors. Mr. Smith has also held positions with The Boston Consulting Group and IBM. Mr. Smith received his BSEE from Utah State University and an MBA from Harvard Business School. Mr. Smith currently serves on the boards of Lozier Corporation and Biosite, Inc.

Board Committees

Our board of directors has established an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Our board of directors and its committees set schedules to meet throughout the year and also can hold special meetings and act by written consent from time to time, as appropriate. Our board of directors has delegated various responsibilities and authority to its committees as generally described below. The committees will regularly report on their activities and actions to the full board of directors. Each committee of our board of directors has a written charter approved by our board of directors.

During 2006, our Board of Directors held four meetings and each director attended at least 75% of those meetings. Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists the full Board of Directors in its general oversight of our financial reporting, internal controls, and audit functions, and is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm. The members of the Audit Committee are Richard J. Kramer, Eric H. Halvorson and D. Keith Grossman, each an independent director as defined by the listing standards of the Nasdaq Global Select Market relating to audit committee members. Mr. Grossman joined the Audit Committee during the fourth quarter of 2006. In 2006, the Audit Committee met eight times and each then-current member of the Audit Committee attended all of those meetings. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, a copy of which was attached as *Annex A* to the proxy statement for our 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. This charter was amended in February 2007, a copy of which is included as Exhibit 3.4 to this 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Kramer is an Audit Committee Financial Expert, as defined in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee establishes our executive compensation policy, determines the salary and bonuses of our executive officers and recommends to the Board of Directors stock option grants for our executive officers. The members of the Compensation Committee are Alan J. Levy, Ph.D. and D. Keith Grossman, each an independent director as defined by the listing standards of the Nasdaq National Market. In 2006, the Compensation Committee met two times and both current members of the Compensation Committee attended both of those meetings. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Compensation Committee, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 3.6 to this 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Governance and Nominating Committee

The Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for matters relating to the corporate governance of our company and the nomination of members of the board and committees thereof. The members of the

Table of Contents

Governance and Nominating Committee are Alan J. Levy, Ph.D., Eric H. Halvorson and Floyd Loop, M.D. each an independent director as defined by the listing standards of the Nasdaq National Market. In 2006, the Governance and Nominating Committee met two times and each current member of the Governance and Nominating Committee attended both of those meetings. The Governance and Nominating Committee operates under a charter that was amended during October 2006 and a copy of this charter is attached as Exhibit 3.5 to this 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Executive Officers

The Company's executive officers and their ages as of February 15, 2007, are as follows:

| Name | Age | Position |
|-------------------|------------|---|
| Lonnie M. Smith | 62 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors |
| Marshall L. Mohr | 51 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| Gary S. Guthart | 41 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer |
| John F. Runkel | 51 | Senior Vice President, General Counsel |
| Jerry J. McNamara | 49 | Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales |

The principal occupations and positions for at least the past five years of the executive officers named above are as follows:

Lonnie M. Smith. Please see *Directors* section above.

Marshall L. Mohr joined Intuitive Surgical in March 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Mohr was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Adaptec, Inc. Prior to joining Adaptec in July 2003, Mr. Mohr was an audit partner with PricewaterhouseCoopers where he was most recently the managing partner of the firm's west region technology industry group and led its Silicon Valley accounting and audit advisory practice. Mr. Mohr received his BBA in accounting and finance from Western Michigan University. Mr. Mohr serves on the corporate boards of Plantronics, Inc. and Atheros Communications, Inc.

Gary S. Guthart, Ph.D. joined Intuitive Surgical in April 1996. In February 2006, Dr. Guthart assumed the role of Chief Operating Officer. Prior to joining Intuitive, Dr. Guthart was part of the core team developing foundation technology for computer enhanced-surgery at SRI International (formally Stanford Research Institute). Dr. Guthart received a BS in Engineering from the University of California, Berkeley and an MS and Ph.D. in Engineering Science from the California Institute of Technology.

John F. (Rick) Runkel joined Intuitive Surgical in January 2006. Most recently, Mr. Runkel was Senior Vice President, Business Development, General Counsel and Secretary at VISX, Incorporated, the global leader in laser vision correction technology. Prior to joining VISX in 2001, Mr. Runkel was a partner in the law firm of Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, where he practiced law for 17 years and served as managing partner of the firm's San Francisco office. Mr. Runkel received his law and undergraduate degrees from the University of California, Los Angeles.

Jerome J. McNamara joined Intuitive Surgical in April 1999 from Valleylab where he was Vice President of Marketing. Prior to this, Mr. McNamara worked at United States Surgical Corporation for nearly 17 years where he held positions in senior sales management, marketing and national accounts. Mr. McNamara graduated from the University of Pennsylvania with a BA degree in Biology.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires that our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, file reports of

Table of Contents

ownership and changes in ownership (Forms 3, 4 and 5) with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Executive officers, directors and greater-than-10% holders are required to furnish us with copies of all of these forms which they file.

Based solely on our review of these reports or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during 2006, all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors, greater-than-10% beneficial owners and other persons subject to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act were met.

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all employees including principal executive officer and principal financial officer. The full text of our code of ethics is posted on our website at <http://www.intuitivesurgical.com> under the Investor Relations section. We intend to disclose future amendments to our codes of business conduct and ethics, or certain waivers of such provisions, at the same location on our Web site identified above. The inclusion of our Web site address in this report does not include or incorporate by reference the information on our Web site into this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CD&A)

The following discussion and analysis of compensation arrangements of our named executive officers for 2006 should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures set forth below. This discussion contains forward looking statements that are based on our current plans, considerations, expectations and determinations regarding future compensation programs. Actual compensation programs that we adopt may differ materially from currently planned programs as summarized in this discussion.

Role of Compensation Committee

Our executive compensation program is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The members of this Committee are D. Keith Grossman and Alan J. Levy, each an independent, non-employee director. In 2006, the Compensation Committee met twice and both members of the Compensation Committee were present during those meetings.

Under the terms of its Charter, the Compensation Committee is responsible for recommending to the Board of Directors the type and level of compensation to be granted to our executive officers. In fulfilling its role, the Compensation Committee (i) grants stock options under the Stock Option Plans, (ii) recommends to the Board the compensation levels, including annual salary, bonus and stock options, for executives and other employees, as necessary, and (iii) reviews on a periodic basis the operation and administration of our executive compensation programs.

The Compensation Committee has delegated the authority to make initial option grants to new employees (within an approved range) to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). All new employee grants in excess of the CEO limit, subsequent grants to existing employees and any grant to executives are approved by the Compensation Committee. While management may use consultants to assist in the evaluation of CEO or executive officer compensation, the Compensation Committee has authority to retain its own compensation consultant, as it sees fit. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to obtain advice and assistance from internal or external legal, accounting or other advisors.

During 2006, the Compensation Committee relied on compensation information produced by Top Five Data Services, Inc. (Top Five), a consulting firm retained by management. The Compensation Committee received the compensation recommendations from management, relevant background information on our executives and officers and compensation studies conducted by Top Five. The Compensation Committee then reviewed the compensation recommendation with the CEO for all executives, except for the CEO. The CEO was not present

Table of Contents

during the discussion of his compensation. The final recommendation by the Compensation Committee was approved by the Board of Directors.

General Philosophy

Our overall compensation philosophy is to provide an executive compensation package that enable us to attract, retain and motivate executive officers to achieve our short-term and long-term business goals. Consistent with this philosophy, the following goals provide a framework for our executive compensation program:

pay competitively to attract, retain and motivate executives who must operate in a high demand environment;

relate total compensation for each executive to overall company performance as well as individual performance;

the mix of total compensation elements will reflect competitive market requirements and strategic business needs;

a significant portion of each executive's compensation should be at risk, the degree of which will positively correlate to the level of the executive's responsibility; and

the interests of our executives will be aligned with those of our stockholders.

Compensation Program

In order to achieve the above goals, our total compensation packages include base salary, annual bonus and commissions, all paid in cash, as well as long-term compensation in the form of stock options. Our sales employees participate in the commissions plan and not the annual bonus plan. Under the commissions plan, the sales representatives are eligible to earn commissions based on a percentage of the total systems revenue and number of procedures performed. We believe that appropriately balancing the total compensation package and ensuring the viability of each component of the package is necessary in order to provide market-competitive compensation. The costs of our compensation programs are a significant determinant of our competitiveness. Accordingly, we are focused on ensuring that the balance of the various components of our compensation program is optimized to motivate employees to improve our results on a cost-effective basis.

Review of External Data

Each year, we survey the compensation practices of our peers in the United States in order to assess our competitiveness. We use data from general medical devices market group (general peer group). For 2006, we obtained this data from 2005 Top Five MEDIC Executive Compensation survey (MEDIC survey) data, which includes medical device companies with less than \$500 million in revenue. The MEDIC survey results were adjusted slightly to reflect potential increases during 2006.

In 2006, for executives, we generally targeted the aggregate value of our total cash compensation (base salary and bonus) at the 60th percentile of the general peer group. We strongly believe in engaging the best talent in critical functions, and this may entail negotiations with individual executives who may have significant retention packages in place with other employers. In order to attract such individuals to our company, we may determine that it is in our best interests to negotiate packages that deviate from the general principle of targeting total compensation at 60th percentile of our general peer group. Similarly, we may determine to provide compensation outside of the normal cycle to individuals to address retention issues.

For fiscal 2006, we retained Top Five to conduct assessments in three areas of compensation: 1) total direct compensation (base salary) for our executives; 2) target total cash compensation (salary and bonus); and 3) equity (stock option grants). Top Five analyzed compensation for most executive positions of the general peer group. We based the compensation levels during 2006 on the data from the general peer group.

Table of Contents

Compensation Elements

Cash Compensation

Base Salary

Base salary is primarily determined by competitive pay and individual job performance. Base salaries for executives are reviewed annually, or more frequently should there be significant changes in responsibilities. In each case, we take into account the results achieved by the executive, his or her future potential, scope of responsibilities and experience, and competitive salary practices. Approved increases in base salary were effective July 2006.

The Company's performance in fiscal 2005 was a reflection, to a certain extent, on our Chief Executive Officer's individual performance. During the annual review, the base salary of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) was increased by approximately 9%, bringing it below the targeted 60th percentile of general peer group. We believe this increase to our CEO's salary is modest given our company's exceptional performance during his tenure. Our fiscal 2005 revenue and operating income increased by approximately 64% and 225%, respectively, from fiscal 2004.

The base salary increases for all other Named Executive Officers (NEOs) during 2006 are as follows:

Mr. Mohr was not awarded any increase to his base salary since he had joined Intuitive in March 2006.

4% to Mr. Gong.

Mr. Guthart's base salary was increased by approximately 36% from 2005 reflecting his promotion to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer.

Mr. Runkel was awarded a prorated increase of 2%, as he joined Intuitive in January 2006.

Mr. McNamara was awarded an increase of 18%.

The range of this distribution reflects gaps in compensation positioning and particular individual performance. Although the range in base pay adjustments is fairly broad, the final base salaries for the NEOs are within a reasonable range of the 60th percentile of the general peer group.

Bonuses

Our annual cash bonus plan is designed to reward employees for achieving stretch financial and operating goals that are key to the success of our business and aligned with the near and long-term interests of our shareholders. Non-commissioned employees who are employed through the time of payout are eligible to participate in the bonus plan. The goal of our bonus plan is to reward, retain and provide a clear focus on what is most important to the near and long-term success of our company. Management sets bonus targets for each eligible employee as a percentage of base salary based on their position. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Compensation Committee, working with management, will set operating income goals for our company. The operating income goal is used to calculate the size of our incentive pool. The size of our incentive pool is solely determined by our achievement of our operating income goals. The incentive pool will receive no funding below a certain threshold amount of operating income and the maximum it can be funded is 125% of the total targeted bonus amount. The amount of our incentive pool that will be paid out as incentive bonuses (Pay-Out Pool) within each functional area is determined by an equal weighting of achievement of the operating income goal and team performance goals called WIN (What's Important Now) goals. The size of the Pay-Out Pool cannot exceed the size of the incentive pool. WIN goals are established for each functional group. The WIN goals for the executives are established at the corporate level and are comprised of procedural growth, revenue growth, customer training, product development, quality of production and information technology goals. The WIN goals are initially established by the head of the organization for each functional area and the CEO for the Corporate level. These are reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee annually at the beginning of the year. We establish base, target (100%) and stretch levels for each WIN goal. The nature of WIN goals and the weighting assigned to

Table of Contents

each is subject to change annually. Generally goals are set at above prior year results and budgeted levels. Each individual's share of their functional areas or Corporate Pay-Out Pool will be based upon their individual performance and contribution to the achievement of their WIN goals.

The exception to this bonus structure is the Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales (SVP of Sales) whose bonus is tied fully to the achievement of predetermined sales metrics, including revenue, surgical procedures completed and contribution margin. Under his bonus plan which is approved by the Board of Directors during the beginning of the year, the SVP of Sales is assigned a quota for each metric. For any achievements above each quota, a bonus is paid. The bonus is scaled to the over-achievement of each metric. During fiscal 2006, Mr. McNamara earned approximately \$596,000 in bonus which was paid in February 2007. This amount is a reflection of over achievement of targets relating revenue, surgical procedures completed and contribution margin.

The bonus targets for our NEOs except SVP of Sales are as follows: 60% of base salary for the CEO; 50% of base salary for Executive Vice Presidents (EVPs) and 40% of base salary for Senior Vice Presidents (SVPs) and Vice Presidents (VPs). Each year, the bonus and commissions structure are reviewed to ensure that the design and payment structure falls in line with our compensation philosophy and is competitive with our designated peer groups. For fiscal 2006, the bonus target for our NEOs falls slightly under the 60th percentile of the general peer group.

During fiscal 2006, we exceeded our goals established for operational income. As a result, the incentive pool was funded at 125% of the total targeted cash amount. The bonus amount for each NEO is a reflection of the achievement of the Corporate WIN goals. Refer to Non-Equity Incentive Compensation Plan Compensation column under Summary Compensation Table below for actual bonus amounts earned in fiscal 2006 and paid in fiscal 2007.

Total Cash Compensation

The total cash compensation for all NEOs, except the CEO is either at or slightly above the 60th percentile of general peer group. The CEO is below the targeted 60th percentile due to lower base salary level.

Long-term Compensation

Stock options

Based on our compensation philosophy, a substantial portion of our compensation rewards long-term performance of our company and promotes executive retention. This is delivered to our executives through stock options granted upon their initial hire and through ongoing annual focal grants. Similar to base salary increases, option grants are also granted to address promotions and significant changes in responsibility. Although the expense of stock options affect our financial statements negatively, we continue to believe that this is a strong element of compensation that focuses the employees on financial and operational performance to create value for the long-term. Stock options award are time based. In order to provide an incentive for continued employment, stock options granted under the Stock Option Plans generally vest 12.5% upon completion of 6 months service and 1/48 per month thereafter, and generally expire ten years from the date of the grant. This provides a reasonable time frame to align the executive officer compensation with the appreciation of our Company's stock price while managing potential dilution effectively.

Initial stock option grants and annual focal option grants for plan participants are generally determined within ranges established for each job level. Top Five updated this range for our executives during 2006. These ranges are established based on our Company's desired pay positioning relative to the competitive market. Specific recruitment needs are taken into account for establishing the levels of initial option grants. Annual focal option grants take into consideration a number of factors, including performance of the individual, job level, prior grants and competitive external levels. The goals of option grant guidelines are to ensure future grants

Table of Contents

remain competitive from a grant value perspective and to ensure option usage consistent with option pool forecasts. The following table shows a comparison of annual focal grants during 2006 and 2005 and initial grants made to new NEOs:

| NEO | Annual Focal Grant | | Initial Grant |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Fiscal 2006 | Fiscal 2005 | Fiscal 2006 |
| Lonnie M. Smith | 60,000 | 65,000 | |
| Marshall L. Mohr | | | 50,000 |
| Benjamin B. Gong | 15,000 | 18,000 | |
| Gary S. Guthart | 50,000 | 35,000 | |
| John F. Runkel | | | 50,000 |
| Jerome J. McNamara | 25,000 | 30,000 | |

Based on the data provided by Top Five, we continue to grant options at above median levels compared with the general peer group. The higher level of grant to Mr. Guthart compared to other NEOs is due to his promotion as EVP during 2006. The initial grants to Mr. Mohr and Mr. Runkel are within the guidelines for SVPs approved by the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee.

Option Grant Practice

The Compensation Committee has delegated the authority to make initial option grants to new employees (within an approved range) to the Chief Executive Officer. During 2006, initial hire grants that were within the Chief Executive's approved range were granted on the Wednesday following the employees' start date. During the fourth quarter of 2006, we changed our practice, whereby initial hire grants that were within the Chief Executive Officer's approved range were made once a month on the fifth business day of each month for new hires in the previous month. Based on the definition of fair market value in our stock option plan, options are granted at 100% of the closing sales price of our stock on the last market trading date prior to the grant date.

Initial hire grants which were above the Chief Executive Officer's approved range were approved by the Compensation Committee with the grant date being the day after the first day of service and the exercise price being the closing sale price on the last market trading day prior to the grant date. For annual focal option grants to all employees, the Compensation Committee must review and submit its recommendation for approval by the Board of Directors. These grants are usually granted in February. In 2006, these grants were made on February 7, 2006. Beginning in 2007, annual focal grants will be made on February 15th or the next trading day. This timing enables management and the Compensation Committee to consider performance by both the Company and the individual and balance it against our expectations for the current year.

We do not time the granting of our options with any favorable or unfavorable news released by the Company. The initial grants are based on the timing of date of hire of our new employees. The Board of Directors meeting schedule, for approval of annual focal grants, is usually established several months in advance for the year. Proximity of any awards to an earnings announcement or other market events is coincidental.

Severance Agreements

We have not entered into employment agreements with any of the NEOs, except with Mr. Smith. Mr. Smith can terminate the employment agreement at any time upon written notice to the Board of Directors. Similarly, the Board of Directors may terminate Mr. Smith's employment at any time. Under the circumstances described below, Mr. Smith is entitled to receive severance benefits subject to his execution of a valid and binding release agreement.

If the Board of Directors terminates Mr. Smith other than for cause (which includes gross negligence, willful misconduct, fraud and certain criminal convictions) or if Mr. Smith terminates his employment for good

Table of Contents

reason (which includes relocation or a reduction in duties, title or compensation and benefits), Mr. Smith is entitled to severance pay equal to twelve months of his then-current salary, and health insurance continuation premiums for twelve months.

Based on a hypothetical termination date of December 31, 2006, the severance payments for our CEO would have been as follows:

| | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Base salary | \$ 445,000 |
| Health care benefits | 14,000 |
| TOTAL | \$ 459,000 |

COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**Summary Compensation Table**

The following Summary Compensation Table (SCT) sets forth summary information concerning the compensation paid to our NEOs in 2006 for services to our company in all capacities.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$ (1)) | Option Awards (\$ (2)) | Non-Equity | Total (\$) |
|---|------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|------------|
| | | | | | Incentive Plan Compensation (\$ (3)) | |
| Lonnie M. Smith, President and Chief Executive Officer | 2006 | 427,500 | | 1,424,642 | 425,000 | 2,277,142 |
| Marshall L. Mohr, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | 2006 | 237,500 | 50,000 | 511,181 | 125,000 | 923,681 |
| Benjamin B. Gong, Vice President (4) | 2006 | 204,000 | | 382,486 | 106,000 | 692,486 |
| Gary S. Guthart, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer | 2006 | 343,750 | | 1,004,480 | 275,000 | 1,623,230 |
| John F. Runkel, Senior Vice President, General Counsel | 2006 | 285,990 | 70,000 | 744,788 | 90,000 | 1,190,778 |
| Jerome J. McNamara, Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales | 2006 | 258,750 | | 655,736 | 595,508 | 1,509,994 |

- (1) Refers to payment of sign-on bonus for joining Intuitive Surgical.
- (2) The amounts in this column represent the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the fiscal year in accordance with SFAS 123(R). These amounts may reflect options granted in years prior to 2006. See Note 7 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of all assumptions made by us in determining the FAS 123(R) values of its equity awards.
- (3) Refers to annual bonus earned in fiscal 2006 and paid in fiscal 2007.
- (4) Mr. Gong served as the Principal Financial Officer from November 2005 through March 2006.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-based Awards Table**

| Name | Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Target (\$) | All Other Option Awards: # of Shares Underlying Options | Exercise Price of Options (\$/Sh) | Close Price on Grant Date (\$/Sh) | Grant Date | Fair Value of Option Awards |
|--------------------|------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Lonnie M. Smith | 2/7/2006 | 267,000 | 60,000 | 106.69 | 100.51 | | 3,341,533 |
| Marshall L. Mohr | 3/17/2006 | 120,000 | 50,000 | 98.37 | 104.15 | | 2,573,533 |
| Benjamin B. Gong | 2/7/2006 | 83,200 | 15,000 | 106.69 | 100.51 | | 835,380 |
| Gary S. Guthart | 2/7/2006 | 180,000 | 50,000 | 106.69 | 100.51 | | 2,784,611 |
| John F. Runkel | 1/4/2006 | 116,400 | 50,000 | 115.80 | 122.06 | | 3,003,840 |
| Jerome J. McNamara | 2/7/2006 | 305,000 | 25,000 | 106.69 | 100.51 | | 1,392,305 |

The estimated future payouts under non-equity incentive plan columns refers to the potential payouts under our annual bonus plan. At their discretion, the Compensation Committee has the authority to pay any NEO in excess of or below their targeted bonus amount. The goals for 2006 were approved by the Compensation Committee in February 2006. The payout amounts for each NEO were reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors in February 2007 upon completion of the consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2006. During fiscal 2006, we exceeded our goals established for operational income. As a result, the incentive pool was funded at 125% of the total targeted cash amount. The bonus amount for each NEO is a reflection of the achievement of the Corporate WIN goals and individual performance and contribution to the achievement of their WIN goals. Refer to SCT of the actual amounts paid in fiscal 2007.

As mentioned in the CD&A, we grant stock options to new employees. Following the initial hire, additional grants are made to participants pursuant to a periodic focal grant program or following a significant change in job responsibilities, scope, or title. Other than Mr. Mohr's and Mr. Runkel's grants, all other grants are the annual ongoing grants. According to the Stock Option Plan, fair market value that is used to determine the exercise price for option grants is defined as the NASDAQ closing price of the Company's stock on the last market trading day prior to the grant date. Options granted to NEOs during fiscal 2006 expire 10 years from the date of grant; vest 12.5% upon completion of 6 months service and 1/48 per month thereafter. We adopted SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006, see Note 7 under Item 8 of this 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The grant date fair value of the option awards is calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation model using the following assumptions:

| Assumption | Rate |
|---------------------------------|------|
| Average risk free interest rate | 4.5% |
| Average expected term (years) | 5.0 |
| Average expected volatility | 55% |

In February 2007, the Compensation Committee approved annual stock option grants for certain eligible employees. The approved grants for the NEOS are as follows: Mr. Smith 70,000; Mr. Mohr 20,000; Mr. Gong 12,000; Mr. Guthart 35,000; Mr. McNamara 25,000.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards As Of December 31, 2006**

The following table summarizes the stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2006:

| Name | Outstanding Equity Awards at 12/31/06 | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| | # of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Exercisable) | # of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (# Unexercisable) (*) | Option Exercise Price (\$/sh) | Option Expiration Date |
| Lonnie M. Smith | 17,207 | | \$ 14.50 | 1/21/2011 |
| | 19,793 | | \$ 18.50 | 1/31/2012 |
| | 57,500 | 2,500 | \$ 11.74 | 2/5/2013 |
| | 49,583 | 20,417 | \$ 18.50 | 2/12/2014 |
| | 29,791 | 35,209 | \$ 47.86 | 2/10/2015 |
| | 12,500 | 47,500 | \$ 106.69 | 2/6/2016 |
| Marshall L. Mohr | 9,375 | 40,625 | \$ 98.37 | 3/16/2016 |
| Benjamin B. Gong | 10,000 | | \$ 14.50 | 1/21/2011 |
| | 416 | 834 | \$ 11.74 | 2/5/2013 |
| | 2,916 | 5,834 | \$ 18.50 | 2/12/2014 |
| | 8,250 | 9,750 | \$ 47.86 | 2/10/2015 |
| | 3,125 | 11,875 | \$ 106.69 | 2/6/2016 |
| | | | | |
| Gary S. Guthart | 6,750 | | \$ 6.00 | 8/5/2009 |
| | 1,916 | | \$ 6.00 | 12/9/2009 |
| | 5,000 | | \$ 6.00 | 3/16/2010 |
| | 21,255 | | \$ 14.50 | 1/21/2011 |
| | 12,139 | | \$ 18.50 | 1/31/2012 |
| | 3,125 | 1,563 | \$ 11.74 | 2/5/2013 |
| | 28,333 | 11,667 | \$ 18.50 | 2/12/2014 |
| | 16,041 | 18,959 | \$ 47.86 | 2/10/2015 |
| | 10,416 | 39,584 | \$ 106.69 | 2/6/2016 |
| | | | | |
| John F. Runkel | 11,458 | 38,542 | \$ 115.80 | 1/3/2016 |
| Jerry J. McNamara | 500 | | \$ 14.50 | 1/21/2011 |
| | 1,563 | 1,563 | \$ 11.74 | 2/5/2013 |
| | 1,458 | 10,209 | \$ 18.50 | 2/12/2014 |
| | 6,949 | 16,250 | \$ 47.86 | 2/10/2015 |
| | 5,208 | 19,792 | \$ 106.69 | 2/6/2016 |
| | | | | |

(*) Under our Stock Option Plans, all these options vest 12.5% upon completion of 6 months service and $\frac{1}{48}$ per month thereafter, contingent upon continued employment. All of these grants are vesting at $\frac{1}{48}$ per month.

Table of Contents**Options Exercises During Fiscal 2006**

The following table summarizes the options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2006 and the value realized upon exercise:

| Name | Option Awards | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise | Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$) |
| Lonnie M. Smith | 51,000 | 4,503,352 |
| Marshall L. Mohr | | |
| Benjamin B. Gong | 18,000 | 1,666,408 |
| Gary S. Guthart | 46,500 | 4,138,867 |
| John F. Runkel | | |
| Jerome J. McNamara | 28,062 | 2,563,791 |

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2006, the Compensation Committee consisted of Alan J. Levy, Ph.D. and Keith Grossman, none of whom is a present or former officer or employee of our company. In addition, during 2006, none of our officers had an interlock relationship, as that term is defined by the SEC, to report.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement with Management. Based on our Committee's review of and the discussions with management with respect to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, our Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 for filing with the SEC.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

D. Keith Grossman, Chairman

Alan J. Levy, Ph.D.

The foregoing Compensation Committee report shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these acts, except to the extent we incorporate by reference into such filings.

Table of Contents**COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS****Director Compensation Table**

The following Director Compensation Table (DCT) sets forth summary information concerning the compensation paid to our non-employee directors in 2006 for services to our company.

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) | Total (\$) |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| D. Keith Grossman (1) | 32,000 | 305,153 | | 337,153 |
| Alan J. Levy (2) | 28,500 | 260,739 | | 289,239 |
| Robert W. Duggan (3) | 25,000 | 254,511 | | 279,511 |
| Eric H. Halvorson (4) | 31,500 | 254,511 | | 286,011 |
| Richard J. Kramer (5) | 35,000 | 260,739 | | 295,739 |
| Floyd D. Loop (6) | 26,500 | 340,976 | | 367,476 |
| Bill Mercer (7) | 26,000 | 93,080 | 5,000 | 124,080 |
| Total | 204,500 | 1,769,709 | 5,000 | 1,979,209 |

- (1) 18,000 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, of which 10,916 were exercisable as of December 31, 2006.
- (2) 32,500 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, of which 27,500 were exercisable as of December 31, 2006.
- (3) 48,682 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, of which 43,682 were exercisable as of December 31, 2006.
- (4) 14,500 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, of which 9,500 were exercisable as of December 31, 2006.
- (5) 14,000 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, of which 9,000 were exercisable as of December 31, 2006.
- (6) 20,000 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, of which 7,083 were exercisable as of December 31, 2006.
- (7) 6,666 options were outstanding as of 12/31/06, all of which were exercisable as of December 31, 2006 to be exercised by January 10, 2007. In October 2006, Mr. Mercer passed away. Pursuant to the terms of the Directors' Plan, the vesting of the options stopped immediately. Mr. Mercer's beneficiaries have up to eighteen months following the date of death to exercise the vested options. Included under All Other Compensation is the charitable donation made in Mr. Mercer's name upon his death.

The Company reimburses its non-employee Directors for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as Directors of the Company. Employee directors are not compensated for Board services in addition to their regular employee compensation.

Annual cash compensation: During fiscal 2006, each member of the Board of Directors were eligible to receive the following cash compensation: (1) annual retainer for each member of the Board (\$10,000); (2) additional retainers for service as a subcommittee chairperson, effective July 1, 2006 (\$10,000); (3) meeting fees for attendance at meetings of the Board \$2,500, increased to \$5,000 effective July 1, 2006; (4) meeting fees for the attendance of committee meetings \$500, increased to \$1,000 effective July 1, 2006; and (5) meeting fees for telephonic attendance of each Board or committee meetings \$500.

Equity Compensation: During fiscal 2006, each member of the Board of Directors were eligible to receive stock awards under the terms of the Company's Directors' Plan. New member of the Board shall receive an initial option grant to purchase 15,000 shares of the Company's common stock with one-third of the shares vesting after one year from the date of grant and 1/36th of the shares vesting monthly thereafter. Continuing members of the Board of the Directors who have served at least six months shall receive an annual option grant of 7,500 shares of common stock, reduced to 5,000 effective May 2006 to be granted on the date of the Board meeting held on the Annual Shareholder Meeting date, with one year cliff vesting contingent on continued service on the Board of Directors for one year. During 2005, each committee chairperson was granted an option to purchase an additional 2,500 shares of the Company's common stock this was eliminated in fiscal 2006.

Table of Contents

There were no new members to the Board during fiscal 2006. All option grants were to continuing members, thus, each member received options to purchase 5,000 shares of the Company's common stock, granted on May 19, 2006 with an exercise price of \$113.06 per share, based on the NASDAQ close price on May 18, 2006. The grant date fair value of these options based on Black-Scholes valuation model is approximately \$280,000.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information in the following table sets forth the ownership of our common stock, as of December 31, 2006, by: (i) each of the executive officers and individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table; (ii) each of our directors; and (iii) all such executive officers and directors as a group. To our knowledge, no person or entity holds more than 5% of our outstanding common stock.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. For the purposes of calculating the percent ownership, as of December 31, 2006, approximately 37,093,263 shares were issued and outstanding, and, for any individual who beneficially owns shares represented by options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006, these shares are treated as if outstanding for that person, but not for any other person.

The following table indicates those owners and their total number of beneficially owned shares, including shares subject to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006; however, unless otherwise indicated, these shares do not include any options awarded after December 31, 2006:

| Beneficial Owner | Beneficial Ownership Number of Shares | Percent of Total |
|--|--|---------------------|
| Lonnie M. Smith | 599,750 (1) | 1.6% |
| Robert W. Duggan | 260,291 (2) | * |
| Gary S. Guthart, Ph.D. | 124,396 (3) | * |
| Benjamin B. Gong | 34,465 (4) | * |
| Alan J. Levy, Ph.D. | 29,713 (5) | * |
| Jerome J. McNamara | 22,834 (6) | * |
| John F. Runkel | 13,683 (7) | * |
| Eric H. Halvorson | 12,071 (8) | * |
| D. Keith Grossman | 11,750(9) | * |
| Marshall L. Mohr | 11,458(10) | * |
| Richard J. Kramer | 9,000(11) | * |
| Floyd D. Loop, M.D. | 7,917(12) | * |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (12 persons) | 1,137,328(13) | 3.0% |

* Represents less than 1% of the issued and outstanding shares.

- (1) Includes 197,000 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (2) Includes 43,682 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006 and 2,868 shares managed for individual investors.
- (3) Includes 111,748 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (4) Includes 27,749 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (5) Includes 27,500 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (6) Includes 20,992 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (7) Includes 13,542 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (8) Includes 9,500 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (9) Includes 11,750 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
- (10) Includes 11,458 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

- (11) Includes 9,000 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
 (12) Includes 7,917 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.
 (13) Includes 491,838 shares issuable pursuant to options exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2006.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table contains information as of December 31, 2006 for two categories of equity compensation plans. All of the equity compensation plans of the Company have been approved by security holders.

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) |
|--|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 3,514,834 | \$ 49.19 | 6,881,511 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 3,514,834 | \$ 49.19 | 6,881,511 |

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

During 2006, we believe that there has not been any transaction or series of similar transactions to which we were or are to be a party in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any director, executive officer or holder of more than 5% of our common stock, or members of any such person's immediate family, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than compensation described in Executive Compensation. We intend that any such future transactions will be approved by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and will be on terms no less favorable to our company than could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Table of Contents**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES****Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

Our auditors for the year ended December 31, 2006 were Ernst & Young LLP. We expect that Ernst & Young LLP will serve as our auditors for fiscal year 2007. All of the services described in the following fee table were approved by the Audit Committee.

| | Years Ended December 31, | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Audit Fees | \$ 1,347,500 | \$ 1,120,076 |
| Audit-related Fees | 45,850 | 43,300 |
| Tax Fees | 85,000 | 53,500 |
| All Other Fees | 1,235 | 1,500 |
| Total | \$ 1,479,585 | \$ 1,218,376 |

Audit Fees. This category includes the audit of our annual financial statements, the audit of management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting, review of financial statements included in our Form 10-Q quarterly reports, and services that are normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, for those fiscal years. This category also includes advice on accounting matters that arose during, or as a result of, the audit or the review of interim financial statements and the preparation of an annual management letter on internal control matters.

Audit-Related Fees. This category consists of assurance and related services provided by Ernst & Young that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported above under Audit Fees. The services for the fees disclosed under this category include benefit plan and statutory audits.

Tax Fees. This category consists of services provided by Ernst & Young for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning.

All Other Fees. This category consists of all other services provided by Ernst & Young that are not reported above. The services for the disclosed under this category include an annual subscription fee to Ernst & Young for accounting literature.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

All audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services were pre-approved by our Audit Committee, which concluded that the provision of such services by Ernst & Young LLP was compatible with the maintenance of that firm's independence in the conduct of its auditing functions. The Audit Committee's pre-approval policy provides for the pre-approval of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services specifically described by the committee on an annual basis, and unless a type of service is pre-approved under the policy, it will require separate pre-approval by the committee if it is to be provided by the independent auditor. The policy authorizes the committee to delegate to one or more of its members pre-approval authority with respect to permitted services.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

Our Audit Committee is composed of independent directors, as determined in accordance with Rule 4200(a)(15) of the Nasdaq Stock Market's regulations and Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Audit Committee operated pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, a copy of which was attached as *Annex A* to the proxy statement for our 2004 annual meeting of stockholders.

As described more fully in its charter, the purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board of Directors with its oversight responsibilities regarding the integrity of our company's financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, assessing the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence and the performance of the persons performing internal audit duties for our company and the independent registered public accounting firm. Management is responsible for preparation, presentation and integrity of our financial statements as well as our financial reporting process, accounting policies, internal audit function, internal accounting controls and disclosure controls and procedures. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and to issue a report thereon. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes. The following is the Audit Committee's report submitted to the Board of Directors for 2006.

The Audit Committee has:

reviewed and discussed our audited financial statements with management and Ernst & Young LLP, the independent accountants;

discussed with Ernst & Young LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, *Communications with Audit Committees*, as may be modified or supplemented; and

received from Ernst & Young LLP the written disclosures and the letter regarding their independence as required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*, as may be modified or supplemented, and discussed the auditors' independence with them.

In addition, the Audit Committee has met separately with management, and with Ernst & Young LLP.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Richard J. Kramer, Chairman

Eric H. Halvorson

D. Keith Grossman

The foregoing audit committee report shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under these acts, except to the extent we specifically incorporate by reference into such filings.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K

- (1) Financial Statements See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements at Item 8 of this Report on Form 10-K.
- (2) The following financial statement schedule of Intuitive Surgical, Inc. is filed as part of this Report and should be read in conjunction with the financial statements of Intuitive Surgical:

Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts.

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required under the instructions, or the information requested is set forth in the consolidated financial statements or related notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

The exhibits filed as part of this report are listed under Exhibits at subsection (b) of this Item 15.

(b) Exhibits

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 3.1(1) | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. |
| 3.2(2) | Certificate of Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. |
| 3.3(1) | Bylaws of the Company. |
| 3.4(6) | Charter for the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Intuitive Surgical, Inc. |
| 3.5(6) | Governance and Nominating Committee Charter. |
| 3.6(6) | Charter of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. |
| 4.1(1) | Specimen Stock Certificate. |
| 4.2(3) | Form of Warrant to purchase Common Stock of Computer Motion, Inc. dated February 13, 2002. |
| 10.1(1) | Form of Indemnity Agreement. |
| 10.2(1) | 2000 Equity Incentive Plan. |
| 10.3(1) | 2000 Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan. |
| 10.4(1) | 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. |
| 10.5(1) | Amended and Restated Investor Rights Agreement dated March 31, 1999. |
| 10.6(1) | Employment Agreement dated February 28, 1997, between the Registrant and Lonnie M. Smith. |
| 10.7(4) | Lease between Computer Motion, Inc. and University Business Center Associates dated March 1, 1994 and amendment thereto dated October 19, 1996. |
| 10.8(5) | Leases between Computer Motion, Inc. and University Business Center Associates dated September 19, 1997. |
| 23.1(6) | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 31.1(6) | Certification of Principal Executive Officer. |
| 31.2(6) | Certification of Principal Financial Officer. |
| 32.1(6) | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

-
- (1) Incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (333-33016).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Registration statement on Form S-3 filed September 11, 2003 (File No. 333-108713).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Computer Motion, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-83552).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of Computer Motion, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-29505).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of Computer Motion, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997.

(6) Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ LONNIE M. SMITH
Lonnie M. Smith

President and Chief Executive Officer

February 15, 2007

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|-------------------|
| /s/ LONNIE M. SMITH Lonnie M. Smith | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ MARSHALL L. MOHR Marshall L. Mohr | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ FLOYD D. LOOP, M.D. Floyd D. Loop, M.D. | Director | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ D. KEITH GROSSMAN D. Keith Grossman | Director | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ ERIC H. HALVORSON Eric H. Halvorson | Director | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ RICHARD J. KRAMER Richard J. Kramer | Director | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ ALAN J. LEVY, PH.D. Alan J. Levy, Ph.D. | Director | February 15, 2007 |
| /s/ ROBERT W. DUGGAN Robert W. Duggan | Director | February 15, 2007 |

Robert W. Duggan