

ISRAMCO INC  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2016

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

---

Check  
One

Quarterly report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016

or

Transition report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 0-12500

ISRAMCO, INC  
(Exact Name of registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 13-3145265  
(State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) I.R.S. Employer Number

2425 West Loop South, Suite 810, HOUSTON, TX 77027  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

713-621-5946  
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check whether the registrant: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: ISRAMCO INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)  
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

As of November 9, 2016, Isramco, Inc, had 2,717,691 outstanding shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
Item 2. <u>Management’s discussion and analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	29
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	31
Item 2. <u>Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	31
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	31
Item 4. <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>	31
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	31
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	31
<u>Signatures</u>	32

---

Forward Looking Statements

CERTAIN STATEMENTS MADE IN THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q ARE “FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS” WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CAN BE IDENTIFIED BY TERMINOLOGY SUCH AS “MAY”, “WILL”, “SHOULD”, “EXPECTS”, “INTENDS”, “ANTICIPATES”, “BELIEVES”, “ESTIMATES”, “PREDICTS”, OR “CONTINUE” OR THE NEGATIVE OF THESE TERMS OR OTHER COMPARABLE TERMINOLOGY AND INCLUDE, WITHOUT LIMITATION, STATEMENTS BELOW REGARDING EXPLORATION AND DRILLING PLANS, FUTURE GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, FUTURE GROWTH, FUTURE EXPLORATION, FUTURE GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOLOGICAL DATA, GENERATION OF ADDITIONAL PROPERTIES, RESERVES, NEW PROSPECTS AND DRILLING LOCATIONS, FUTURE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, SUFFICIENCY OF WORKING CAPITAL, ABILITY TO RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL, PROJECTED CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATIONS, OUTCOME OF ANY LEGAL PROCEEDINGS, DRILLING PLANS, THE NUMBER, TIMING OR RESULTS OF ANY WELLS, INTERPRETATION AND RESULTS OF SEISMIC SURVEYS OR SEISMIC DATA, FUTURE PRODUCTION OR RESERVES, LEASE OPTIONS OR RIGHTS, PARTICIPATION OF OPERATING PARTNERS, CONTINUED RECEIPT OF ROYALTIES, AND ANY OTHER STATEMENTS REGARDING FUTURE OPERATIONS, FINANCIAL RESULTS, OPPORTUNITIES, GROWTH, BUSINESS PLANS AND STRATEGY. BECAUSE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INVOLVE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES, THERE ARE IMPORTANT FACTORS THAT COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED BY THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. ALTHOUGH THE COMPANY BELIEVES THAT EXPECTATIONS REFLECTED IN THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE REASONABLE, IT CANNOT GUARANTEE FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS. MOREOVER, NEITHER THE COMPANY NOR ANY OTHER PERSON ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS OF THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. THE COMPANY IS UNDER NO DUTY TO UPDATE ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AFTER THE DATE OF THIS REPORT TO CONFORM SUCH STATEMENTS TO ACTUAL RESULTS.

---

Table of Contents

## PART I - Financial Information

## ITEM 1. Financial Statements

## ISRAMCO INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	As of September 30, 2016	As of December 31, 2015
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$25,826	\$22,078
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$2,001 and \$1,743	9,378	12,460
Restricted and designated cash	762	185
Inventories	718	871
Prepaid expenses and other	1,718	2,679
Total Current Assets	38,402	38,273
Property and Equipment, at cost – successful efforts method:		
Oil and Gas properties	244,067	243,855
Advanced payment for equipment	440	440
Other	56,695	56,490
Total Property and Equipment	301,202	300,785
Accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	(240,261)	(235,194)
Net Property and Equipment	60,941	65,591
Deferred tax assets and other	37,249	35,496
Deferred financing Costs	329	419
Restricted cash – long term	7,174	7,080
Investments	218	98
Total assets	\$144,313	\$146,957
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$11,271	\$13,122
Bank overdraft	1	350
Short term debt and current maturities of long-term debt, net of discount of \$799 and \$820	8,801	9,602
Payables and accrued interest due to related party	84	63
Accrued Interest	1,000	950
Derivative liability	853	1,171
Total current liabilities	22,010	25,258
Long term debt, net of discount of \$3,152 and \$3,748	97,648	104,252
Other Long-term Liabilities:		
Asset retirement obligations	20,540	19,884

Edgar Filing: ISRAMCO INC - Form 10-Q

Derivative liability	1,973	431
Total liabilities	142,171	149,825
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity (deficit):		
Common stock \$0.01 par value; authorized 7,500,000 shares; issued 2,746,958 shares; outstanding 2,717,691 shares	27	27
Additional paid-in capital	23,853	23,853
Accumulated deficit	(17,089 )	(23,405 )
Treasury stock, 29,267 shares at cost	(164 )	(164 )
Total Isramco, Inc. shareholders' equity	6,627	311
Non controlling interest	(4,485 )	(3,179 )
Total equity (deficit)	2,142	(2,868 )
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$144,313	\$146,957

See notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

---

Table of Contents

ISRAMCO INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2016	2015	2016	2015	
<b>Revenues</b>					
Oil and gas sales	\$ 10,888	\$ 11,230	\$ 29,923	\$ 32,754	
Production services	3,229	5,615	9,528	17,415	
Office services	139	155	432	474	
Gain on divestiture	-	6,554	600	8,378	
Other	166	274	502	656	
Total revenues	14,422	23,828	40,985	59,677	
<b>Operating expenses</b>					
Lease operating expense, transportation and taxes	2,307	3,775	6,969	11,674	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	1,549	2,643	4,484	7,449	
Impairment of oil and gas assets	-	22,781	583	24,619	
Accretion expense	227	216	668	637	
Production services	3,345	5,778	10,699	16,500	
Loss (gain) from plug and abandonment	-	33	(24	) 36	
General and administrative	1,093	1,482	3,353	3,727	
Total operating expenses	8,521	36,708	26,732	64,642	
Operating income (loss)	5,901	(12,880	) 14,253	(4,965	)
<b>Other expenses</b>					
Interest expense, net	1,235	1,160	3,615	1,248	
Interest expense – related party, net	-	-	-	2,878	
Loss (gain) from derivative contracts, net	(181	) 1,734	2,170	2,406	
Capital loss	38	53	55	80	
Total other expenses	1,092	2,947	5,840	6,612	
Income (loss) before income taxes	4,809	(15,827	) 8,413	(11,577	)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(1,815	) 5,379	(3,403	) 3,705	
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,994	\$ (10,448	) \$ 5,010	\$ (7,872	)
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(379	) (459	) (1,306	) (993	)
Net income (loss) attributable to Isramco	\$ 3,373	\$ (9,989	) \$ 6,316	\$ (6,879	)
Earnings (loss) per share – basic:	\$ 1.24	\$ (3.68	) \$ 2.32	\$ (2.53	)
Earnings (loss) per share – diluted:	\$ 1.24	\$ (3.68	) \$ 2.32	\$ (2.53	)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding basic:	2,717,691	2,717,691	2,717,691	2,717,691	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding diluted:	2,717,691	2,717,691	2,717,691	2,717,691	

See notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

5

---



Table of Contents

ISRAMCO INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months		Nine Months	
	Ended September		Ended September	
	30		30	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income (loss)	\$2,994	\$(10,448)	\$5,010	\$(7,872)
Comprehensive income (loss)	2,994	(10,448)	5,010	(7,872)
Comprehensive loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(379 )	(459 )	(1,306)	(993 )
Comprehensive Income (loss) attributable to Isramco	\$3,373	\$(9,989 )	\$6,316	\$(6,879)

See notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ISRAMCO INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$5,010	\$(7,872 )
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	5,067	32,068
Bad debt expense	258	199
Accretion expense	668	637
Gain on divestiture	(600 )	(8,378 )
Changes in deferred taxes	(1,753 )	(8,755 )
Net unrealized loss on derivative contracts	1,224	2,374
Loss on sale of equipment and other	55	80
Amortization of debt cost	707	228
Changes in components of working capital and other assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable	2,824	5,142
Prepaid expenses, other receivables and other current assets	474	1,472
Due to related party	21	(4,790 )
Inventories	153	(216 )
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,816 )	(3,114 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	12,292	9,075
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Addition to property and equipment, net	(547 )	(4,691 )
Proceeds from sale of oil and gas properties and equipment	600	7,506
Restricted cash and deposit, net	(670 )	(6,625 )
Proceeds from sale of equipment	77	-
Investment in Apache Flats	(120 )	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(660 )	(3,810 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repayments on loans – related parties, net	-	(94,250 )
Borrowings of long term debt	-	115,030
Repayments of long term debt	(6,600 )	(1,200 )
Borrowings (repayments) of bank overdraft, net	(349 )	328
Payments of deferred financing costs	-	(478 )
Repayments of short - term debt, net	(935 )	(1,279 )
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(7,884 )	18,151
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	3,748	23,416
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	22,078	2,027
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$25,826	\$25,443

See notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

7

---

## Table of Contents

Isramco Inc.  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
(Unaudited)

### Note 1 - Financial Statement Presentation

Isramco, Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliated companies (together referred to as “We”, “Our”, “Isramco” or the “Company”) is predominately an independent oil and natural gas company engaged in the exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas properties located onshore in the United States and ownership of various royalty interests in oil and gas concessions located offshore Israel. The Company also operates a production services company that provides well maintenance and workover services, well completion, and recompletion services.

The accompanying unaudited financial statements and notes of Isramco have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”). Pursuant to such rules and regulations, certain disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted. The accompanying financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and notes included in Isramco’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior periods to conform to the current period presentation.

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements furnished in this report reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to fairly present Isramco’s results of operations and cash flows for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 and Isramco’s financial position as of September 30, 2016.

### Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, management makes informed judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Management evaluates its estimates and related assumptions regularly, including those related to the value of properties and equipment; proved reserves; intangible assets; asset retirement obligations; litigation reserves; environmental liabilities; liabilities, and costs; income taxes; and fair values. Changes in facts and circumstances or additional information may result in revised estimates, and actual results may differ from these estimates.

Consolidated interim period results are not necessarily indicative of results of operations or cash flows for the full year and accordingly, certain information normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States has been condensed or omitted. The Company has evaluated events or transactions through the date of issuance of these consolidated financial statements.

### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially expose Isramco to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of interest rate swaps, cash equivalents, trade and joint interest accounts receivable. Isramco’s customer base includes several of the major United States oil and gas operating and production companies as well as major power companies in Israel. Although Isramco is directly affected by the well-being of the oil and gas production industry, management does not believe a significant credit risk existed as of September 30, 2016. Isramco continues to monitor and review credit exposure of its marketing counter-parties.

Isramco entered into certain swap and cap agreements with Deutsche Bank AG London Branch to hedge the risk of interest rate volatility. See Note 3 for details.

Our production services segment customers include major oil and natural gas production companies and independent oil and natural gas production companies. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and usually do not require material collateral. We maintain reserves for potential credit losses when necessary. Our results of operations and financial position should be considered in light of the fluctuations in demand experienced by oilfield service companies as changes in oil and gas producers' expenditures and budgets occur. These fluctuations can impact our results of operations and financial position as supply and demand factors directly affect utilization and hours which are the primary determinants of our net cash provided by operating activities.

Isramco maintains deposits in banks, which may exceed the amount of federal deposit insurance available. Management periodically assesses the financial condition of the institutions and believes that any possible deposit loss is minimal.

8

---

## Table of Contents

### Risk Management Activities

The Company follows Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 815, Derivatives and Hedging. From time to time, the Company may hedge a portion of its forecasted oil and natural gas production or may hedge interest rates on variable interest rate loans. Derivative contracts entered into by the Company have consisted of transactions in which the Company hedges the variability of cash flow related to a forecasted transaction. The Company has elected not to designate any of its positions for hedge accounting. Accordingly, the Company records the net change in the mark-to-market valuation of these positions, as well as payments and receipts on settled contracts, in “Net loss (gain) on derivative contracts” in the consolidated statements of operations. Currently, the Company has no derivative contracts in place to hedge against fluctuations in oil and natural gas prices.

### Fair Value

Fair value accounting applies to reported balances that are required or permitted to be measured at fair value under existing accounting pronouncements. Fair value measurements are determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, these accounting requirements establish a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity’s own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and are typically based on an entity’s own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. We utilize the fair value hierarchy in our accounting for interest rate swaps (Level 2).

### Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Isramco and its subsidiaries. Inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### Impairment

We review our property and equipment in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment (ASC 360). ASC 360 requires us to evaluate property and equipment as an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the property and equipment below the carrying amount. If the carrying amount of property and equipment is not recoverable from its undiscounted cash

flows, then we would recognize an impairment loss for the difference between the carrying amount and the current fair value. Further, we evaluate the remaining useful lives of property and equipment at each reporting period to determine whether events and circumstances warrant a revision to the remaining depreciation periods. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company recognized impairment on the oil and gas properties in the amount of \$583,000.

Table of Contents

## Asset Retirement Obligation

ASC 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations (ASC 410) requires that the fair value of an asset retirement cost, and corresponding liability, should be recorded as part of the cost of the related long-lived asset and subsequently allocated to expense using a systematic and rational method. The Company records asset retirement obligations to reflect the Company's legal obligations related to future plugging and abandonment of its oil and natural gas wells and gas gathering systems. The Company estimates the expected cash flow associated with the obligation and discounts the amounts using a credit-adjusted, risk-free interest rate. At least annually, the Company reassesses the obligation to determine whether a change in the estimated obligation is necessary. The Company evaluates whether there are indicators that suggest the estimated cash flows underlying the obligation have materially changed. Should those indicators suggest the estimated obligation may have materially changed on an interim basis (quarterly), the Company will accordingly update its assessment.

## Commitments and Contingencies

As is common within the oil and natural gas industry, we have entered into various commitments and operating agreements related to the exploration and development of and production from proved oil and natural gas properties. It is our belief that such commitments will be met without a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Aggregate maturities of contractual obligations at September 30, 2016 are due in future years as follows (in thousands):

## Principal Payments on Long-term debt:

2016	2,400
2017	9,600
2018	18,900
2019	21,900
2020	17,100
2021	14,700
2022	14,400
2023	11,400
Total	\$ 110,400

## Note 2 - Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The Israeli taxing authority withheld taxes of \$5,154,000 and \$5,050,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 respectively.

Cash payments for interest were \$2,859,000 and \$7,530,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 respectively.

The consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 excludes the following non-cash transactions:

- Increase in property and equipment of \$1,000 due to additional asset retirement obligation.
- Termination of \$487,000 short-term insurance financing reduced prepaid insurance.



The consolidated statement of cash flows for the period ended September 30, 2015 excludes the following non-cash transactions:

Retirement of asset retirement obligations in the amount of \$1,035,000 included in the gain on sale of oil and gas properties.

Oil and gas property of \$1,347,000 removed from accounts payable due to title dispute.

Insurance premiums financed through issuance of short term debt of \$1,954,000.

Equipment of \$84,000 included in accounts payable.

Increase in property and equipment of \$27,000 due to additional asset retirement obligation.

Increase in debt discount of \$235,000 deducted from loan proceeds.

Table of Contents

## Note 3 - Financial Instruments and Fair Value

Pursuant to ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820) the Company's determination of fair value incorporates not only the credit standing of the counterparties involved in transactions with the Company resulting in receivables on the Company's consolidated balance sheets, but also the impact of the Company's non-performance risk on its own liabilities. ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The Company believes that it utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable. The Company classifies fair value balances based on the observability of those inputs.

On June 16, 2015, Tamar Royalties LLC ("Tamar Royalties"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, engaged in an interest rate swap agreement ("IRS Agreement") with the Deutsche Bank AG London Branch ("DBAG"). An interest rate swap is an agreement between two parties (known as counterparties) where one stream of future interest payments is exchanged for another based on a specified notional principal amount. Interest rate swaps often exchange fixed interest payments for floating interest payments that are linked to interest rates.

As previously disclosed on the Company's Form 8-K filed May 22, 2015, Tamar Royalties entered into a \$120,000,000 credit facility with Deutsche Bank, which facility is discussed further in Note 4 "Long-Term Debt and Interest Expense". Under the terms of this facility, Tamar Royalties, is required to hedge at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the outstanding balance under this Facility against fluctuations in LIBOR, with at least thirty seven and one-half percent (37.5%) of the outstanding balance being hedged through swaps. The notional value of these hedges corresponds to the amortization schedule covering the facility and previously disclosed in the aforementioned Form 8-K. Accordingly, on June 16, 2015, Tamar Royalties and DBAG entered into the IRS agreement whereby the company hedged \$119,250,000 of the \$120,000,000 initial borrowing as follows:

- (a) Tamar Royalties hedged 37.5% of the perpetual outstanding balance under the facility, being an initial notional amount of \$45,000,000, with a fixed rate swap whereby the Company will pay DBAG a fixed interest rate of 4.63%, and DBAG will pay the Company a monthly floating interest rate of USD-LIBOR-BBA plus a spread of 2.75%.
- (b) Tamar Royalties hedged the remaining 62.5% of the perpetual outstanding balance less \$750,000, being an initial notional amount of \$74,250,000, against fluctuations in LIBOR by capping the fluctuations in LIBOR at 1.50%. Pursuant to the IRS agreement, the Company will pay DBAG a fixed interest rate of 0.91%, and the Bank will pay the Company the greater of (i) USD-LIBOR-BBA minus a cap strike of 1.5% and (ii) zero.

As a result of these financial instruments, the Company recorded a net loss from derivative contracts in the amount of \$2,170,000 consisting of \$1,224,000 of unrealized loss and \$946,000 in cash settlements for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Financial Instruments as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Financial Instrument	Fair Value Input Level	September 30, 2016		December 31, 2015	
		Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
ST Liabilities:					
Interest rate swaps	Level 2	\$ (853 )	\$ (853 )	\$ (1,171 )	\$ (1,171 )

LT Liabilities:

Interest rate swaps	Level 2	(1,973)	(1,973)	(431 )	(431 )
		\$(2,826)	\$(2,826)	\$(1,602)	\$(1,602)

11

---

Table of Contents

## Level 2 Financial Instruments

Our interest rate swaps are measured at fair value using Level 2 inputs. The fair of our interest rate swaps is based on the net present value of expected future cash flows related to both variable and fixed-rate legs of the swap agreement. This measurement is computed using the forward London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) yield curve, a market-based observable input.

## Note 4 - Long-Term Debt and Interest Expense

Long-term debt as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	As of September 30, 2016	As of December 31, 2015
Bank loan		
Principal amount	\$ 110,400	\$ 117,000
Less: unamortized discount and debt costs	(3,951 )	(4,568 )
Total long-term debt	106,449	112,432
Less: current maturities, net of current unamortized discount	(8,801 )	(8,180 )
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	\$ 97,648	\$ 104,252

## Bank Loan and Credit Facility

## The Deutsche Bank Facility

On May 18, 2015, Tamar Royalties, a newly formed, wholly-owned, special purpose subsidiary of the Company, entered into a term loan credit agreement (the “DB Facility”) with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (“Deutsche Bank”), as facility agent for the lenders and as collateral agent for the secured parties, and with the lenders party thereto. The DB Facility provides for borrowings in the amount of \$120,000,000 on a committed basis and is secured by, among other things, an overriding royalty interest in the Tamar Field, a natural gas field in the Mediterranean Sea, equal to 1.5375%, but is subject to increase to 2.7375% upon the Tamar project payout (the “Royalty Interest”). In connection with the DB Facility, and pursuant to a royalties sale and contribution agreement, the Company contributed the Royalty Interest to Tamar Royalties in exchange for all of the ownership units of Tamar Royalties. Pursuant to the terms of its governing documents, Tamar Royalties will be managed by N.M.A. Energy Resources Ltd, a related party of the Company, and an independent manager, Donald J. Puglisi.

Pursuant to the terms of the DB Facility, Tamar Royalties borrowed \$120,000,000 in its initial borrowing under this facility. The initial borrowing under the DB Facility bears annual interest based on the LIBOR for a three-month interest period plus a spread of 2.75%. The \$120,000,000 initial borrowing under the DB Facility will be repaid over eight (8) years commencing July 1, 2015, in accordance with an amortization profile based on projected cash flows from the Royalty Interest. Tamar Royalties’ obligations under the Facility are secured by a first ranking pledge of the shares of Tamar Royalties, first ranking pledge of all rights under the agreements creating the Royalty Interest, and a first priority security interest over the accounts created under the DB Facility.

So long as any amounts remain outstanding to the Lenders under the DB Facility, Tamar Royalties must, from and after the end of the Availability Period (as defined in the DB Facility), have a Historical Debt Service Coverage Ratio (as defined in the DB Facility) of not less than 1.00:1.00, a Loan Life Coverage Ratio (as defined in the DB Facility) of at least 1.1:1.00, and maintain a Required Reserve Amount (as defined in the DB Facility). The initial Required

Reserve Amount was \$4,680,000. In addition, Tamar Royalties is required under the DB Facility to hedge against fluctuations in LIBOR as reflected in Note 3 “Financial Instruments and Fair Value”.

On January 1, 2016 the Company made a payment in the amount of \$2,750,000 consisting of \$1,800,000 and \$950,000 in principal and interest respectively.

12

---

Table of Contents

On April 1, 2016 the Company made a payment in the amount of \$3,347,000 consisting of \$2,400,000 and \$947,000 in principal and interest respectively.

On July 1, 2016 the Company made a payment in the amount of \$3,362,000 consisting of \$2,400,000 and \$962,000 in principal and interest respectively.

On October 5, 2016 the Company made a payment in the amount of \$3,400,000 consisting of \$2,400,000 and \$1,000,000 in principal and interest respectively.

The Company incurred debt costs in obtaining the DB Facility in the amount of \$2,011,000 and \$2,959,000 in fees that were retained by the lenders. These costs totaling \$4,970,000 are recorded as a reduction of the principal loan balance and are being amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method. Amortization of these costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 totaled \$617,000.

As of September 30, 2016, Tamar Royalties was in compliance with the financial covenants required under the DB Facility.

The Société Générale Facility

On June 30, 2015, Isramco Onshore LLC (“Isramco Onshore”), a newly formed, wholly-owned, subsidiary of Isramco, Inc. (the “Company”), entered into a secured Credit Agreement (the “SG Facility”) with The Société Générale, as Administrative Agent and Issuing Lender (“SG”), SG Americas Securities LLC, as Sole Bookrunner, Lead Arranger and Documentation Agent, and the lenders party thereto from time to time, as Lenders. The SG Facility provides for a commitment by The SG of \$150,000,000, subject to an initial borrowing base of \$40,000,000. The term of the SG Facility is four (4) years and the SG Facility is secured by certain onshore United States oil and gas properties. Pricing under the SG Facility is as follows: (i) EuroDollar Rate (as defined in the SG Facility) loans range from the EuroDollar rate plus 1.75% to the EuroDollar rate plus 2.75% depending on borrowing base utilization; and (ii) Reference Rate (as defined in the SG Facility) loans range from the Reference Rate plus 0.75% to the Reference Rate Spread plus 1.75% based on borrowing base utilization; and (iii) a quarterly commitment fee (as defined in the SG Facility) ranging from an annual rate of 0.38% to 0.5% of the undrawn borrowing base.

The SG Facility requires that Isramco Onshore hedge at least seventy-five percent (75%) of its crude oil production before borrowing under the SG Facility. As of September 30, 2016 and as of the date of issuance Isramco Onshore has not entered into such hedge agreements nor has it made a draw under the SG Facility. The Company has incurred \$478,000 of financing costs in relation to this credit facility which have been capitalized as a long-term asset and is being amortized over the term on the agreement on a straight-line basis. Amortization of these costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 totaled \$90,000.

Isramco Onshore has various financial and operating covenants required by the SG Facility, including, among other things, the requirement that, during the term of the SG Facility, Isramco Onshore must have a Minimum Current Ratio (as defined in the SG Facility) of not less than 1.00:1.00, a Maximum Leverage Ratio (as defined in the SG Facility) of not less than 4.00:1.00 and a Minimum Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the SG Facility) of at least 2.50:1.00. In addition, the SG Facility provides for customary events of default, including, but not limited to, payment defaults, breach of representations or covenants, bankruptcy events and change of control.

The Borrowing Base is subject to redetermination pursuant to the terms of the SG facility, including automatic semi-annual redetermination. To date the Company has not drawn on the borrowing base.

On August 18, 2016 as a result of semi-annual Borrowing Base redetermination the Borrowing Base under SG Facility has been reduced to zero. The Company expects that it will continue to keep the SG Facility open going forward, and the Company is in discussions with SG regarding the borrowing base under SG Facility.

#### Short-Term Debt

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 outstanding debt from short-term insurance financing agreements totaled \$0 and \$1,422,000 respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company made cash payments totaling \$935,000 and wrote off short term debt of \$487,000 against prepaid insurance. The Company also decreased its bank overdraft by \$349,000.

Table of Contents

## Interest Expense

The following table summarizes the amounts included in interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, and 2015:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016    2015 (In thousands)	
Current debt, long-term debt and other - banks	\$3,615	\$1,248
Long-term debt – related parties	-	2,878
	\$3,615	\$4,126

## Note 5 - Tamar Field Proceeds

We own an overriding royalty interest of 1.5375% in the Tamar Field, which will increase to 2.7375% after payout (collectively the “Tamar Royalty”). An overriding royalty interest is an ownership interest in the oil and gas leasehold estate equating to a certain percentage of production or production revenues, calculated free of the costs of production and development of the underlying lease(s), but subject to its proportionate share of certain post production costs. An overriding royalty interest is a non-possessory interest in the oil and gas leasehold estate and, accordingly, we have no control over the operations, drilling, expenses, timing, production, sales, or any other aspect of development or production of the Tamar Field. For additional information, please see the disclosure related to the Tamar Royalty set forth in the ITEM 1. BUSINESS section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, which disclosure is hereby incorporated herein by reference thereto.

In 2009, two natural gas discoveries, known as “Tamar” and “Dalit”, were made within the area covered by the Michal and Matan Licenses, respectively. In December 2009, the Israeli Petroleum Commissioner granted Noble Energy, Inc. (“Noble”) and its partners, Isramco Negev 2-LP, Delek Drilling, Avner Oil & Gas, and Dor Gas (the “Tamar Consortium”), two leases (the “Leases”). The Leases are scheduled to expire in December 2038 and cover the Tamar and Dalit gas fields (collectively the “Tamar Field”). The Tamar Field is approximately 95 kilometers off the coast of the Israel, in the Israel exclusive economic zone of the Eastern Mediterranean, with a water depth of approximately 1,700 meters. On March 31, 2013 the Tamar Field commenced its initial production of the natural gas.

Since Isramco’s interest in the Tamar Field is an overriding royalty interest, there are no amounts capitalized with respect to Tamar Field.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, Tamar Field net sales attributable to Isramco amounted to 3,819,354 Mcf of natural gas and 5,234 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.36 per Mcf and \$35.54 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$20,614,000. The Israeli Tax Authority withheld \$5,154,000 of this revenue which is recognized as a future tax credit, an asset on the Company’s consolidated balance sheets.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, Tamar Field net sales attributable to Isramco amounted to 3,383,813 Mcf of natural gas and 4,536 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.58 per Mcf and \$50.46 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$19,057,000. Israeli Tax Authorities withheld \$5,050,000 of this revenue which is recognized as an asset on the Company’s consolidated balance sheets.

## Note 6 - Segment Information



Isramco's primary business segments are vertically integrated within the oil and gas industry. These segments are separately managed due to distinct operational differences, unique technology, distribution and marketing requirements. The Company's two reporting segments are oil and gas exploration and production and production services. The oil and gas exploration and production segment explores for and produces natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and natural gas liquids ("NGLs"). The production services segment is engaged in rig-based and workover services, well completion and recompletion services, plugging and abandonment of wells and other ancillary oilfield services.

## Table of Contents

### Oil and Gas Exploration and Production Segment

Our Oil and Gas segment is engaged in the exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas properties located onshore in the United States and ownership of various royalty interests in oil and gas concessions located offshore Israel. We own varying working interests in oil and gas wells in Louisiana, Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Wyoming, Utah and Colorado and currently serve as operator of approximately 515 producing wells located mainly in Texas in New Mexico.

### Production Services Segment

The Company began production services operations in October 2011. Our production servicing rig and truck fleet provides a range of production services, including the completion of newly-drilled wells, maintenance and workover of existing wells, fluid transportation, related oilfield services and plugging and abandonment of wells at the end of their useful lives to a diverse group of oil and gas exploration and production companies.

**Completion Service.** Newly drilled wells require completion services to prepare the well for production. Production servicing rigs are frequently used to complete newly drilled wells to minimize the use of higher cost drilling rigs in the completion process. The completion process may involve selectively perforating the well casing in the productive zones to allow oil or gas to flow into the well bore, stimulating and testing these zones, and installing the production string and other downhole equipment. The completion process typically ranges from a few days to several weeks, depending on the nature and type of the completion, and generally requires additional auxiliary equipment in addition to a production services rigs. The demand for completion services is directly related to drilling activity levels, which are sensitive to fluctuations in oil and gas prices.

**Well-servicing/Maintenance Services.** We provide maintenance services on the mechanical apparatus used to pump or lift oil from producing wells. These services include, among other activities, repairing and replacing pumps, sucker rods and tubing. We provide the rigs, equipment and crews for these tasks, which are performed on both oil and natural gas wells, but which are more commonly required on oil wells. Maintenance services typically take less than 48 hours to complete. Rigs generally are provided to customers on a call-out basis.

**Workover Services.** Producing oil and natural gas wells occasionally require major repairs or modifications, called “workovers.” Workovers may be required to remedy failures, modify well depth and formation penetration to capture hydrocarbons from alternative formations, clean out and recomplete a well when production has declined, repair leaks or convert a depleted well to an injection well for secondary or enhanced recovery projects. Workovers normally are carried out with pumps and tanks for drilling fluids, blowout preventers, and other specialized equipment for servicing rigs. A workover may last anywhere from a few days to several weeks.

**Fluid Services.** We own and operate 30 fluid service trucks equipped with an average fluid hauling capacity of up to 130 barrels a piece. Each fluid service truck is equipped to pump fluids from or into wells, pits, tanks and other storage facilities. The majority of our fluid service trucks are also used to transport water to fill frac tanks on well locations, to transport produced salt water to disposal wells, and to transport drilling and completion fluids to and from well locations.

**Plugging Services.** Production servicing rigs are also used in the process of permanently closing oil and gas wells no longer capable of producing in economic quantities. Many well operators bid for this work on a “turnkey” basis, requiring the service company to perform the entire job, including the sale or disposal of equipment salvaged from the well as part of the compensation received, and complying with state regulatory requirements. Plugging and abandonment work can provide favorable operating margins and is less sensitive to oil and gas pricing than drilling and workover activity since well operators must plug a well in accordance with state regulations when it is no longer

productive. We perform plugging and abandonment work throughout our core areas of operation in conjunction with equipment provided by us or by other service companies.

Table of Contents

We typically bill clients for our production servicing on an hourly basis for the period that the rig is actively working. As of September 30, 2016, our fleet of production servicing rigs totaled 33 rigs, which we operate through 5 locations in Texas and New Mexico.

(in thousands)	Oil and Gas Exploration & Production	Production Services	Eliminations	Total
Three Months Ended September 30, 2016:				
Sales revenues				
United States	\$ 3,382	\$ 3,229	\$ -	\$6,611
Israel	7,506	-	-	7,506
Office services and other	335	-	(30 )	305
Total revenues and other	11,223	3,229	(30 )	14,422
Operating costs and expenses				
Operating costs and expenses	4,277	4,274	(30 )	8,521
Interest expenses, net	421	814	-	1,235
Gain on derivative contracts	(181 )	-	-	(181 )
Capital loss	2	36	-	38
Total expenses and other	4,519	5,124	(30 )	9,613
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 6,704	\$ (1,895 )	\$ -	\$4,809
Net Income (loss)	4,358	(1,364 )	-	2,994
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	(379 )	-	(379 )
Net income (loss) attributable to Isramco	4,358	(985 )	-	3,373
Total Assets	\$ 106,823	\$ 37,490	\$ -	\$144,313
Expenditures for Long-lived Assets	\$ 84	\$ -	\$ -	\$84

(in thousands)	Oil and Gas Exploration & Production	Production Services	Eliminations	Total
Three Months Ended September 30, 2015:				
Sales revenues				
United States	\$ 3,617	\$ 5,615	\$ -	\$9,232
Israel	7,613	-	-	7,613
Office services and other	7,013	-	(30 )	6,983
Total revenues and other	18,243	5,615	(30 )	23,828
Operating costs and expenses				
Operating costs and expenses	29,621	7,117	(30 )	36,708
Interest expenses, net	373	787	-	1,160
Loss from derivative contracts, net	1,734	-	-	1,734
Capital loss	44	9	-	53
Total expenses and other	31,772	7,913	(30 )	39,655

Edgar Filing: ISRAMCO INC - Form 10-Q

Loss before income taxes	\$ (13,529 )	\$ (2,298 )	\$ -	\$(15,827 )
Net Loss	(8,794 )	(1,654 )	-	(10,448 )
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	(459 )	-	(459 )
Net loss attributable to Isramco	(8,794 )	(1,195 )	-	(9,989 )
Total Assets	\$ 107,990	\$ 55,969	\$ -	\$ 163,959
Expenditures for Long-lived Assets	\$ 648	\$ 62	\$ -	\$ 710

16

---

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Oil and Gas Exploration & Production	Production Services	Eliminations	Total
Nine months Ended September 30, 2016:				
Sales revenues				
United States	\$ 9,309	\$ 9,528	\$ -	\$ 18,837
Israel	20,614	-	-	20,614
Office services and other	1,624	-	(90 )	1,534
Total revenues and other	31,547	9,528	(90 )	40,985
Impairment expense	583	-	-	583
Operating costs and expenses	12,681	13,558	(90 )	26,149
Interest expenses, net	1,150	2,465	-	3,615
Loss from derivative contracts, net	2,170	-	-	2,170
Capital loss	19	36	-	55
Total expenses and other	16,603	16,059	(90 )	32,572
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 14,944	\$ (6,531 )	\$ -	\$ 8,413
Net Income (Loss)	9,712	(4,702 )	-	5,010
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	(1,306 )	-	(1,306 )
Net income (loss) attributable to Isramco	9,712	(3,396 )	-	6,316
Total Assets	\$ 106,823	\$ 37,490	\$ -	\$ 144,313
Expenditures for Long-lived Assets	\$ 283	\$ 308	\$ -	\$ 591
(in thousands)	Oil and Gas Exploration & Production	Production Services	Eliminations	Total
Nine months Ended September 30, 2015:				
Sales revenues				
United States	\$ 13,697	\$ 17,415	\$ -	\$ 31,112
Israel	19,057	-	-	19,057
Office services and other	9,598	-	(90 )	9,508
Total revenues and other	42,352	17,415	(90 )	59,677
Operating costs and expenses	44,746	19,986	(90 )	64,642
Interest expenses, net	1,757	2,369	-	4,126
Loss from derivative contracts, net	2,406	-	-	2,406
Capital loss	54	26	-	80
Total expenses and other	48,963	22,381	(90 )	71,254
Loss before income taxes	\$ (6,611 )	\$ (4,966 )	\$ -	\$ (11,577 )
Net Loss	(4,297 )	(3,575 )	-	(7,872 )
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	(993 )	-	(993 )

Edgar Filing: ISRAMCO INC - Form 10-Q

Net loss attributable to Isramco	(4,297 )	(2,582 )	-	(6,879 )
Total Assets	\$ 107,990	\$ 55,969	\$ -	\$ 163,959
Expenditures for Long-lived Assets	\$ 2,684	\$ 2,388	\$ -	\$ 5,072

17

---

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

THE FOLLOWING COMMENTARY SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RELATED NOTES CONTAINED ELSEWHERE IN THIS REPORT ON FORM 10-Q. THE DISCUSSION CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THAT INVOLVE RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES. THESE STATEMENTS RELATE TO FUTURE EVENTS OR OUR FUTURE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE. IN SOME CASES, YOU CAN IDENTIFY THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS BY TERMINOLOGY SUCH AS "MAY," "WILL," "SHOULD," "EXPECT," "PLAN," "ANTICIPATE," "BELIEVE," "ESTIMATE," "PREDICT," "POTENTIAL," "INTEND," OR "CONTINUE," AND SIMILAR EXPRESSIONS. THESE STATEMENTS ARE ONLY PREDICTIONS. OUR ACTUAL RESULTS MAY DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE ANTICIPATED IN THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AS A RESULT OF A VARIETY OF FACTORS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THOSE SET FORTH UNDER "RISK FACTORS" AND ELSEWHERE IN THIS REPORT ON FORM 10-Q. ISRAMCO INC. DISCLAIMS ANY OBLIGATION TO UPDATE SUCH FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS.

Overview

Isramco is predominately an independent oil and natural gas company engaged in the exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas properties located onshore in the United States and ownership of various royalty interests in oil and gas concessions located offshore Israel. The Company also operates a production services company that provides well maintenance, workover services, well completion and recompletion services. Our properties are primarily located in Texas, New Mexico and Oklahoma. We also act as the operator of a certain number of these properties. Historically, we have grown through acquisitions, with a focus on properties within our core operating areas that we believe have significant development and exploration opportunities and where we can apply our technical experience and economies of scale to increase production and proved reserves, while lowering lease operating costs.

We own an overriding royalty interest of 1.5375% in the Tamar Field, which will increase to 2.7375% after payout (collectively the "Tamar Royalty"). An overriding royalty interest is an ownership interest in the oil and gas leasehold estate equating to a certain percentage of production or production revenues, calculated free of the costs of production and development of the underlying lease(s), but subject to its proportionate share of certain post production costs. An overriding royalty interest is a non-possessory interest in the oil and gas leasehold estate and, accordingly, we have no control over the operations, drilling, expenses, timing, production, sales, or any other aspect of development or production of the Tamar Field. For additional information, please see the disclosure related to the Tamar Royalty set forth in the ITEM 1. BUSINESS section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, which is incorporated by reference herein.

As noted above in Note 5 to the Company's consolidated financial statements, in 2009 two natural gas discoveries known as "Tamar" and "Dalit" were made within the area covered by the Michal and Matan Licenses respectively and are known as the Tamar Field. In December 2009 the Israeli Petroleum Commissioner granted Noble Energy, Inc. ("Noble") and its partners, Isramco Negev 2-LP, Delek Drilling, Avner Oil & Gas, and Dor Gas (the "Tamar Consortium"), two leases (the "Leases"). The Leases are scheduled to expire in December 2038. The Tamar Field is approximately 95 kilometers off the coast of the Israel in the Israel exclusive economic zone of the Eastern Mediterranean with a water depth of approximately 1,700 meters. On March 31, 2013, the Tamar Field began its initial production of the natural gas.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, Tamar Field net sales attributable to Isramco amounted to 3,819,354 Mcf of natural gas and 5,234 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.36 per Mcf and \$35.54 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$20,614,000. The Israeli Tax



Authority withheld \$5,154,000 of this revenue which is recognized as a future tax credit, an asset on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Our financial results depend upon many factors, but are largely driven by the volume of our oil and natural gas production and the prices received for that production. Our production volumes will decline as reserves are depleted unless we expend capital in successful development and exploration activities or acquire additional properties with existing production. The amount we realize for our production depends predominantly upon commodity prices, which are affected by changes in market demand and supply, as impacted by overall economic activity, weather, pipeline capacity constraints, inventory storage levels, basis differentials and other factors, and secondarily upon our commodity price hedging activities. Accordingly, finding and developing oil and natural gas reserves at economical costs is critical to our long-term success. Our future drilling plans are subject to change based upon various factors, some of which are beyond our control, including drilling results, oil and natural gas prices, the availability and cost of capital, drilling and production costs, availability of drilling services and equipment, gathering system and pipeline transportation constraints and regulatory approvals. To the extent these factors lead to reductions in our drilling plans and associated capital budgets in future periods, our financial position, cash flows and operating results could be adversely impacted.

## Table of Contents

### Concentrations of Credit Risk

Our production services segment customers include major oil and natural gas production companies and independent oil and natural gas production companies. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and usually do not require material collateral. We maintain reserves for potential credit losses when necessary. Our results of operations and financial position should be considered in light of the fluctuations in demand experienced by oilfield service companies as changes in oil and gas producers' expenditures and budgets occur. These fluctuations can impact our results of operations and financial position as supply and demand factors directly affect utilization and hours which are the primary determinants of our net cash provided by operating activities.

Istramco maintains deposits in banks, which may exceed the amount of federal deposit insurance available. Management periodically assesses the financial condition of the institutions and believes that any possible deposit loss is minimal.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of cash during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was cash flow from operating activities. We continuously monitor our liquidity and evaluate our development plans in light of a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, our cash flows, capital resources and drilling success.

Our future capital resources and liquidity may depend, in part, on our success in developing the leasehold interests that we have acquired. Cash is required to fund capital expenditures necessary to offset inherent declines in production and proven reserves, which is typical in the capital-intensive oil and gas industry. Future success in growing reserves and production will be highly dependent on the capital resources available and our success in finding and acquiring additional reserves. Our production services subsidiary also requires capital resources to acquire and maintain equipment and continue growth. We expect to fund our future capital requirements through internally generated cash flows, borrowings under loans from related parties, and a future credit facility. Long-term cash flows are subject to a number of variables, including the level of production, prices, amount of work orders received, and our commodity price hedging activities, as well as various economic conditions that have historically affected the oil and natural gas industry.

On May 18, 2015, the Company entered into a term loan credit agreement with Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("Deutsche Bank") in the amount of \$120,000,000 secured by the Company's interest in the Tamar field. Interest on the borrowing is variable. The Company entered into interest rate swap agreements in relation to this borrowing. The terms of the agreement and swaps are disclosed in Notes 3 and 4.

On June 30, 2015, the Company obtained a credit facility with The Societe Generale. The facility provides for a commitment by Societe Generale of \$150,000,000, subject to an initial borrowing base of \$40,000,000. The tenor of the SG Facility is four (4) years and it is secured by certain onshore United States oil and gas properties. Interest on borrowing is variable as disclosed in Note 4. To date the Company has not drawn on the borrowing base.

On August 18, 2016 as a result of semi-annual Borrowing Base redetermination the Borrowing Base under this facility has been reduced to zero. The Company expects that it will continue to keep the facility open going forward, and the Company is in discussions with SG regarding the borrowing base under the facility.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, our cash increased by \$3.7 million. Specifically, the net cash provided by operating activities of \$12.3 million and \$0.6 million cash proceeds from divestiture were offset by an investment of \$1.2 million in production services equipment and oil and gas properties and restricted cash deposits, a \$0.1 million investment in Apache Flats, \$6.6 million in repayments of long term debt, \$0.9 million in repayments of

short-term debt and \$0.4 million in repayments of bank overdraft.

Debt:

In thousands	As of September 30, 2016	As of December 31, 2015
Long – term debt net of discount and bank fees	\$ 97,648	\$ 104,252
Current maturities of long-term debt, short-term debt, current portion of discount and debt cost and bank overdraft	8,802	9,952
Total debt	\$ 106,450	\$ 114,204
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 2,142	\$ (2,868 )
Debt to capital ratio	98	% 103 %

As of September 30, 2016, our total debt was \$106,450,000, compared to total debt of \$114,204,000 at December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

## Contractual Obligations

Aggregate maturities of contractual obligations at September 30, 2016 are due in future years as follows (in thousands):

## Principal Payments on Long-term debt:

2016	2,400
2017	9,600
2018	18,900
2019	21,900
2020	17,100
2021	14,700
2022	14,400
2023	11,400
Total	\$110,400

## Cash Flow

Our primary source of cash during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was cash flow from operating activities. In 2016, cash received from operations was primarily used for investments in production services equipment, oil and gas properties, increase of restricted cash deposit and repayment of short term and long term loans. In 2015, cash received from financing activities and operations was primarily used to repay related party loans, to invest in production services equipment and oil and gas properties and increase restricted cash.

Operating cash flow fluctuations were substantially driven by changes in commodity prices and changes in our production volumes. Working capital was substantially influenced by these variables. Fluctuation in commodity prices and our overall cash flow may result in an increase or decrease in our future capital expenditures. Prices for oil and natural gas have historically been subject to seasonal fluctuations characterized by peak demand and higher prices in the winter heating season; however, the impact of other risks and uncertainties have influenced prices throughout recent years. See Results of Operations below for a review of the impact of prices and volumes on sales.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
	(In thousands)	
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$12,292	\$9,075
Cash flows used in investing activities	(660 )	(3,810 )
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(7,884 )	18,151
Net increase in cash	\$3,748	\$23,416

Operating Activities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015, net cash flow provided by operating activities increased by \$3,217,000 to \$12,292,000. The increase was primarily attributable to changes in our working capital components which was partially offset by lower commodity prices and volumes received for our United States Oil, Natural Gas, and NGL sales and a decrease in revenues from our production services segment.

Investing Activities. Net cash flows used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$660,000 and \$3,810,000, respectively. During the first nine months of 2016, the Company invested \$1,337,000, consisting of \$211,000 for oil and gas properties, \$336,000 in production services equipment, a \$120,000 investment in Apache Flats and a \$670,000 increase in restricted cash. The Company received cash proceeds of \$600,000 from divestiture and \$77,000 from sale of equipment. During the first nine months of 2015, the Company invested \$4,691,000 consisting of \$2,152,000 in oil and gas properties and \$2,539,000 in production services equipment. The Company also funded \$6,625,000 of restricted cash and sold oil and gas properties for net proceeds of \$7,506,000.

Financing Activities. Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities were (\$7,884,000) and \$18,151,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. During the first nine months of 2016 the Company made payments on long term debt of \$6,600,000, made payments on short term debt and bank overdraft in the amount of \$1,284,000. During the first nine months of 2015, the Company acquired \$115,030,000 in net proceeds from loan financing and made \$1,200,000 in principal payments toward the financing. The Company also repaid related party loans in the amount of \$94,250,000, repaid short-term insurance financing of \$1,279,000, increased bank overdraft by \$328,000, and paid for deferred financing costs of \$478,000.

Table of Contents

## Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

## Selected Data

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016          2015 (In thousands except per share and BOE amounts)	
<b>Financial Results</b>		
Oil and Gas sales		
United States	\$3,382	\$3,617
Israel	7,506	7,613
Production Services	3,229	5,615
Gain on divestiture	-	6,554
Other	305	429
Total revenues and other	14,422	23,828
Cost and expenses	8,521	36,708
Other expenses	1,092	2,947
Income tax expense	1,815	(5,379 )
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	2,994	(10,448 )
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(379 )	(459 )
Net income (loss) attributable to Isramco	3,373	(9,989 )
Earnings (loss) per common share – basic	\$1.24	\$(3.68 )
Earnings (loss) per common share – diluted	\$1.24	\$(3.68 )
Weighted average number of shares outstanding- basic	2,717,691	2,717,691
Weighted average number of shares outstanding- diluted	2,717,691	2,717,691
<b>Operating Results</b>		
Adjusted EBITDAX (1)	\$7,343	\$12,675
Sales volumes United States (MBOE)	131	156
Sales volumes Israel (MBOE)	235	229
Average cost per BOE United States: (2)		
Production (excluding transportation and taxes)	\$13.52	\$20.35
General and administrative (oil and gas production segment)	\$7.01	\$7.41
Depletion	\$6.28	\$10.59

See Adjusted EBITDAX for a description of Adjusted EBITDAX, which is not a Generally Accepted Accounting

(1) Principles (GAAP) measure, and a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDAX to income from operations before income taxes, which is presented in accordance with GAAP.

(2) There are no costs associated with revenues from Israeli operations since the Company owns overriding royalty which is free of operating expenses.



Table of Contents

## Financial Results

Net income in the third quarter of 2016 was \$3,373,000 or \$1.24 per share. This compares to the net loss in the third quarter of 2015 of \$(9,989,000) or \$(3.68) per share.

This increase was primarily due to a decrease in costs such as impairment, DD&A, lease operating expenses, production costs and divestiture of oil and gas assets. This increase was offset by lower revenues from our United States oil and gas assets and production services.

## Revenues, Volumes and Average Prices Oil and Gas Segment - Israel

During the three months ended September 30, 2016 the Tamar Field net sales applicable to Isramco amounted to 1,398,342 Mcf of natural gas and 1,947 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.33 per Mcf and \$39.24 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$7,506,000.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, the Tamar Field net sales applicable to Isramco amounted to 1,360,644 Mcf of natural gas and 1,793 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.55 per Mcf and \$42.49 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$7,613,000.

## Revenues, Volumes and Average Prices Oil and Gas Segment – United States

## Sales Revenues

In thousands except percentages	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Gas sales	\$968	\$941	3 %
Oil sales	2,123	2,482	(14 )
Natural gas liquid sales	291	194	50
Total	\$3,382	\$3,617	(6 )%

The Company's sales revenues from U.S. based oil and gas operations for the third quarter of 2016 decreased by 6% when compared to same period in 2015 due to lower volumes produced of crude oil, natural gas, and NGLs. This decrease was offset by higher prices received for oil, natural gas, and NGLs.

## Volumes and Average Prices

	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Natural Gas			
Sales volumes Mmcf	369.3	422.1	(13 )%
Average Price per Mcf	\$2.62	\$2.23	17
Total gas sales revenues (thousands)	\$968	\$941	3 %
Crude Oil			
Sales volumes MBbl	51.3	62.2	(18 )%



Edgar Filing: ISRAMCO INC - Form 10-Q

Average Price per Bbl	\$41.40	\$39.93	4
Total oil sales revenues (thousands)	\$2,123	\$2,482	(14 )%
Natural gas liquids			
Sales volumes MBbl	18.2	23.8	(24 )%
Average Price per Bbl	\$15.97	\$8.13	96
Total natural gas liquids sales revenues (thousands)	\$291	\$194	50 %

In the United States the Company's natural gas sales volumes decreased by 13%, crude oil sales volumes decreased by 18%, and natural gas liquids sales volumes decreased by 24% for the third quarter of 2016 compared to the same period of 2015.

The Company's average natural gas price received for the third quarter of 2016 increased by 17%, or \$0.39 per Mcf, when compared to the same period of 2015. The Company's average crude oil price for the third quarter of 2016 increased by 4%, or \$1.47 per Bbl, when compared to the same period of 2015. Our average natural gas liquids price for the third quarter of 2016 increased by 96%, or \$7.84 per Bbl, when compared to the same period of 2015.

Table of Contents

## Analysis of Oil and Gas Operations Sales Revenues

The following table provides a summary of the effects of changes in volumes and prices on Isramco's United States sales revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period of 2015.

In thousands	Natural		
	Gas	Oil	gas liquids
2015 sales revenues	\$ 941	\$2,482	\$ 194
Changes associated with sales volumes	(118 )	(434 )	(46 )
Changes in prices	145	75	143
2016 sales revenues	\$ 968	\$2,123	\$ 291

## Operating Expenses (excluding production services segment)

In thousands except percentages	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Lease operating expense, transportation and taxes	\$2,307	\$3,775	(39 )%
Depreciation, depletion and amortization of oil and gas properties	823	1,656	(50 )
Impairment of oil and gas properties	-	22,781	NM
Accretion expense	227	216	5
Loss from plugging and abandonment of wells	-	33	NM
General and administrative	919	1,159	(21 )
	\$4,276	\$29,620	(86 )%

During the three months ended September 30, 2016, our operating expenses decreased by 86% when compared to the same period of 2015 due to the following factors:

Lease operating expense, transportation cost and taxes decreased by 39%, or \$1,468,000, in 2016 when compared to 2015 due to fewer workovers, more favorable pricing with vendors and decrease in payroll expenses associated with field personnel. On a per unit basis, lease operating expenses (excluding transportation and taxes) decreased by \$6.83 per MBOE to \$13.52 per MBOE in 2016 from \$20.35 per MBOE in 2015.

Depreciation, Depletion & Amortization ("DD&A") of the cost of proved oil and gas properties is calculated using the unit-of-production method. Our DD&A rate and expense are the composite of numerous individual field calculations. There are several factors that can impact our composite DD&A rate and expense including, but not limited to, field production profiles, drilling or acquisition of new wells, disposition of existing wells, and reserve revisions (upward or downward) primarily related to well performance and commodity prices, and impairments. Changes in these factors may cause our composite DD&A rate and expense to fluctuate from period to period. DD&A decreased by 50%, or \$833,000 in 2016 when compared to 2015 primarily due to a 2015 impairment of \$33,138,000 on the depletable base used to calculate DD&A and decrease in production. On a per unit basis, depletion expense decreased by \$4.31 per MBOE to \$6.28 per MBOE in 2016 from \$10.59 per MBOE in 2015.

Impairment of oil and gas properties in the amount of \$22,781,000 occurred in the third quarter of 2015 as a result of significant drops in commodity futures prices. No such triggering event occurred in the same period of 2016.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to a decrease in payroll and professional fees.



Table of Contents

## Production Services Segment

In thousands except percentages	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Production Services (1)	\$3,229	\$5,615	(42 )%
Operating expenses	3,345	5,778	(42 )
Depreciation	726	987	(26 )
General and administrative	204	353	(42 )
Operating loss	\$(1,046)	\$(1,503)	(30 )%

Our sales revenues from production services operations for the third quarter of 2016 decreased by 42% or \$2,386,000 when compared to same period in 2015 due to a decrease in the prices that we charge our customers and the number of rigs deployed as a result in lower demand for our services caused by declined oil and gas prices.

Operating expenses from production services operations for the third quarter of 2016 decreased by 42% or \$2,433,000 when compared to the same period in 2015 as a result of a decrease in the number of rigs deployed.

Production service equipment depreciation – the amounts represent depreciation of production services rigs and auxiliary equipment for our production services subsidiary. The depreciation expenses for the third quarter of 2016 totaled \$726,000, a decrease of \$261,000 compared to the same period in 2015. This decrease in depreciation is primarily a result of a \$10,566,000 impairment in the 2015 period, which reduced the depreciable base.

General and administrative expenses from production services operations for the third quarter of 2016 totaled \$204,000, a decrease of \$149,000 compared to the same period in 2015 as a result of decreased legal fees and allowance for bad debt.

## Other expenses

In thousands except percentages	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Interest expense, net	\$1,235	\$1,160	6 %
Loss (gain) on interest rate swap	(181 )	1,734	(110 )
Capital loss	38	53	(15 )
	\$1,092	\$2,947	(63 )%

Interest expense. Isramco's interest expense increased by 6%, or \$75,000, for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period of 2015. This increase was primarily due to a higher interest rate during the third quarter of 2016 compared to 2015 which was partially offset by lower outstanding principal for the period.

Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps. For the third quarter of 2016 we recorded a gain as a result of changes in fair value of the derivative in the amount of \$181,000, comprised of a cash settlement of \$296,000 and gain of \$477,000 as a result of changes in the fair value. In 2015 we recorded a loss as a result of changes in fair value of the Company's interest rate swaps in the amount of \$1,734,000, comprised of \$32,000 in cash settlement and \$1,702,000 due to changes in fair value.

## Adjusted EBITDAX.

To assess the operating results of Isramco, management analyzes income from operations before income taxes, interest expense, exploration expense, unrealized gain (loss) on derivative contracts and DD&A expense and impairments (“Adjusted EBITDAX”). Adjusted EBITDAX is not a GAAP measure. Isramco’s definition of Adjusted EBITDAX excludes exploration expense because exploration expense is not an indicator of operating efficiency for a given reporting period, but rather is monitored by management as a part of the costs incurred in exploration and development activities. Similarly, Isramco excludes DD&A expense and impairments from Adjusted EBITDAX as a measure of segment operating performance because capital expenditures are evaluated at the time capital costs are incurred. The Company’s definition of Adjusted EBITDAX also excludes interest expense to allow for assessment of segment operating results without regard to Isramco’s financing methods or capital structure. The company believes that adjusted EBITDAX is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company’s ability to incur and service debt, fund capital expenditures and make payments on its long term loans. Management believes that the presentation of Adjusted EBITDAX provides information useful in assessing the Company’s financial condition and results of operations.

24

---

Table of Contents

However, Adjusted EBITDAX, as defined by Isramco, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Therefore, Isramco's consolidated Adjusted EBITDAX should be considered in conjunction with income (loss) from operations and other performance measures prepared in accordance with GAAP, such as operating income or cash flow from operating activities. Adjusted EBITDAX has important limitations as an analytical tool because it excludes certain items that affect income from continuing operations and net cash provided by operating activities. Adjusted EBITDAX should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of Isramco's results as reported under GAAP. Below is a reconciliation of consolidated Adjusted EBITDAX to income (loss) from operations before income taxes.

In thousands except percentages	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
Income (loss) from operations before income taxes	\$4,809	\$(15,827)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	1,549	2,643
Impairment of oil and gas properties	-	22,781
Unrealized (gain) loss on interest rate swap	(477 )	1,702
Interest expense	1,235	1,160
Accretion expense	227	216
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDAX	\$7,343	\$12,675

## Results of Operations

Nine months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to Nine months Ended September 30, 2015

## Selected Data

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
	(In thousands except per share and BOE amounts)	
Financial Results		
Oil and Gas sales		
United States	\$9,309	\$13,697
Israel	20,614	19,057
Production Services	9,528	17,415
Gain on Divestiture	-	8,378
Other	1,534	1,130
Total revenues and other	40,985	59,677
Cost and expenses	26,732	64,642
Other expenses	5,840	6,612
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,403	(3,705 )
Net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders	5,010	(7,872 )
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(1,306 )	(993 )
Net income (loss) attributable to Isramco	6,316	(6,879 )
Earnings (loss) per common share – basic	\$2.32	\$(2.53 )
Earnings (loss) per common share – diluted	\$2.32	\$(2.53 )

Weighted average number of shares outstanding- basic	2,717,691	2,717,691
Weighted average number of shares outstanding- diluted	2,717,691	2,717,691

Operating Results

Adjusted EBITDAX (1)	\$18,987	\$27,628
Sales volumes United States (MBOE)	405	479
Sales volumes Israel (MBOE)	642	569

Average cost per BOE United States: (2)

Production (excluding transportation and taxes)	\$13.65	\$20.27
General and administrative (oil and gas production segment)	\$6.83	\$6.73
Depletion	\$5.67	\$9.52

See Adjusted EBITDAX for a description of Adjusted EBITDAX, which is not a Generally Accepted Accounting

- (1) Principles (GAAP) measure, and a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDAX to income from operations before income taxes, which is presented in accordance with GAAP.
- (2) There are no costs associated with revenues from Israeli operations since the Company owns overriding royalty which is free of operating expenses.

Table of Contents

## Financial Results

Net income in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$6,316,000 or \$2.32 per share. This compares to a net loss in the nine months ended September 30, 2015 of \$6,879,000 or \$2.53 per share.

This increase was due to lower lease operating expenses, impairment, production services expenses, divestiture of oil and gas assets and increase in revenues from Tamar Field royalties. The increase was offset primarily by decrease in oil, natural gas and NGLs prices and volumes, and a resulting decrease in both the revenues from production service activities, and oil and gas operations in United States.

## Revenues, Volumes and Average Prices Oil and Gas Segment - Israel

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016 the Tamar Field net sales applicable to Isramco amounted to 3,819,354 Mcf of natural gas and 5,234 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.36 per Mcf and \$35.54 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$20,614,000.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015 the Tamar Field net sales applicable to Isramco amounted to 3,383,813 Mcf of natural gas and 4,536 Bbl of condensate with prices of \$5.58 per Mcf and \$50.46 per Bbl of condensate. Total revenues net of marketing and transportations expenses were \$19,057,000.

## Revenues, Volumes and Average Prices Oil and Gas Segment – United States

## Sales Revenues

In thousands except percentages	Nine Months Ended September 30,		D vs. 2015
	2016	2015	
Gas sales	\$2,293	\$3,130	(27 )%
Oil sales	6,142	9,482	(35 )
Natural gas liquid sales	874	1,085	(19 )
Total	\$9,309	\$13,697	(32 )%

The Company's sales revenues from U.S. based oil and gas operations for the nine month period ending September 30, 2016 decreased by 32% when compared to same period in 2015 due to lower prices received for oil, natural gas, and NGLs, and lower volumes produced of crude oil, natural gas, and NGLs.

## Volumes and Average Prices

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		D vs. 2015
	2016	2015	
Natural Gas			
Sales volumes Mmcf	1,091	1,254	(13 )%
Average Price per Mcf	\$2.10	\$2.50	(16 )
Total gas sales revenues (thousands)	\$2,293	\$3,130	(27 )%

## Crude Oil



Edgar Filing: ISRAMCO INC - Form 10-Q

Sales volumes MBbl	165	199	(17 )%
Average Price per Bbl	\$37.26	\$47.59	(22 )
Total oil sales revenues (thousands)	\$6,142	\$9,482	(35 )%
Natural gas liquids			
Sales volumes MBbl	59	71	(17 )%
Average Price per Bbl	\$14.85	\$15.38	(3 )
Total natural gas liquids sales revenues (thousands)	\$874	\$1,085	(19 )%

In the United States the Company's natural gas sales volumes decreased by 13%, crude oil sales volumes decreased by 17%, and natural gas liquids sales volumes decreased by 17% for the first nine months of 2016 compared to the same period of 2015.

The Company's average natural gas price received for the first nine months of 2016 decreased by 16%, or \$0.40 per Mcf, when compared to the same period of 2015. The Company's average crude oil price for the first nine months of 2016 decreased by 22%, or \$10.33 per Bbl, when compared to the same period of 2015. Our average natural gas liquids price for the first nine months of 2016 decreased by 3%, or \$0.53 per Bbl, when compared to the same period of 2015.

Table of Contents

## Analysis of Oil and Gas Operations Sales Revenues

The following table provides a summary of the effects of changes in volumes and prices on Isramco's United States sales revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period of 2015.

In thousands	Natural		
	Gas	Oil	gas liquids
2015 sales revenues	\$3,130	\$9,482	\$1,085
Changes associated with sales volumes	(409 )	(1,637)	(180 )
Changes in prices	(428 )	(1,703)	(31 )
2016 sales revenues	\$2,293	\$6,142	\$874

## Operating Expenses (excluding production services segment)

In thousands except percentages	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Lease operating expense, transportation and taxes	\$6,969	\$11,674	(40 )%
Depreciation, depletion and amortization of oil and gas properties	2,299	4,558	(50 )
Impairment of oil and gas properties	583	24,619	(98 )
Accretion expense	668	637	5
Loss (gain) from plugging and abandonment of wells	(24 )	36	(167)
General and administrative	2,768	3,221	(14 )
	\$13,263	\$44,745	(70 )%

During nine months ended September 30, 2016, our operating expenses decreased by 70% when compared to the same period of 2015 due to the following factors:

Lease operating expense, transportation cost and taxes decreased by 40%, or \$4,705, in 2016 when compared to 2015 due to fewer workovers, more favorable pricing with vendors, decreased severance taxes and marketing expenses as a result of decline in oil and natural gas prices and decrease in payroll expenses associated with field personnel. On a per unit basis, lease operating expenses (excluding transportation and taxes) decreased by \$6.62 per MBOE to \$13.65 per MBOE in 2016 from \$20.27 per MBOE in 2015.

Depreciation, Depletion & Amortization ("DD&A") of the cost of proved oil and gas properties is calculated using the unit-of-production method. Our DD&A rate and expense are the composite of numerous individual field calculations. There are several factors that can impact our composite DD&A rate and expense including, but not limited to, field production profiles, drilling or acquisition of new wells, disposition of existing wells, and reserve revisions (upward or downward) primarily related to well performance and commodity prices, and impairments. Changes in these factors may cause our composite DD&A rate and expense to fluctuate from period to period. DD&A decreased by 50%, or \$2,259,000 in 2016 when compared to 2015 primarily due to a 2015 impairment of \$33,138,000 on the depletable base used to calculate DD&A and decrease in production. On a per unit basis, depletion expense decreased by \$3.85 per MBOE to \$5.67 per MBOE in 2016 from \$9.52 per MBOE in 2015.

Impairment of oil and gas properties in the amount of \$583,000 occurred in the nine months of 2016 as a result of drops in commodity futures prices. For the same period of 2015 the impairment recorded was \$24,619,000 due to significant drops in commodity prices.

The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to decrease in payroll and professional fees.

Production Services Segment

In thousands except percentages	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Production Services (1)	\$9,528	\$17,415	(45 )%
Operating expenses	10,699	16,500	(35 )
Depreciation	2,185	2,891	(24 )
General and administrative	675	596	13
Operating loss	\$(4,031 )	\$(2,572 )	57 %

Table of Contents

Our sales revenues from production services operations for the first nine months of 2016 decreased by 45% or \$7,887,000 when compared to same period in 2015 due to a decrease in the prices that we charge our customers and the number of rigs deployed as a result in lower demand for our services caused by declined oil and gas prices. Operating expenses from production services operations for the first nine months of 2016 decreased by 35% or \$5,801,000 when compared to the same period in 2015 as a result of a reduction in the number of rigs deployed. Production service equipment depreciation – the amounts represent depreciation of production services rigs and auxiliary equipment for our production services subsidiary. The depreciation expenses for the first nine months of 2016 totaled \$2,185,000, a decrease of \$706,000 compared to the same period in 2015. This decrease in depreciation is primarily a result of a \$10,566,000 impairment in 2015, which reduced the depreciable base. General and administrative expenses from production services operations for the first nine months of 2016 increased by \$79,000, compared to \$596,000 for the same period in 2015 as a result of increased legal fees and allowance for bad debt.

## Other expenses

In thousands except percentages	Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,		
	2016	2015	D vs. 2015
Interest expense, net	\$3,615	\$4,126	(12 )%
Loss on interest rate swap	2,170	2,406	(10 )
Capital loss	55	80	(31 )
	\$5,840	\$6,612	(12 )%

Interest expense. Isramco's interest expense decreased by 12%, or \$511,000, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period of 2015. This decrease was primarily due to a lower interest rate during the first nine months of 2016 compared to 2015.

Loss on interest rate swap. During the first nine months of 2016 we recorded a loss as a result of changes in fair value of the derivative in the amount of \$1,224,000 and cash settlements of \$946,000. In 2015 we recorded a loss of \$2,406,000 comprised of a loss as a result of changes in fair value of the derivative in the amount of \$2,374,000 and cash settlement of \$32,000.

## Adjusted EBITDAX.

To assess the operating results of Isramco, management analyzes income from operations before income taxes, interest expense, exploration expense, unrealized gain (loss) on derivative contracts and DD&A expense and impairments ("Adjusted EBITDAX"). Adjusted EBITDAX is not a GAAP measure. Isramco's definition of Adjusted EBITDAX excludes exploration expense because exploration expense is not an indicator of operating efficiency for a given reporting period, but rather is monitored by management as a part of the costs incurred in exploration and development activities. Similarly, Isramco excludes DD&A expense and impairments from Adjusted EBITDAX as a measure of segment operating performance because capital expenditures are evaluated at the time capital costs are incurred. The Company's definition of Adjusted EBITDAX also excludes interest expense to allow for assessment of segment operating results without regard to Isramco's financing methods or capital structure. The Company believes that adjusted EBITDAX is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt, fund capital expenditures and make payments on its long term loans. Management believes that the presentation of Adjusted EBITDAX provides information useful in assessing the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

However, Adjusted EBITDAX, as defined by Isramco, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. Therefore, Isramco's consolidated Adjusted EBITDAX should be considered in conjunction with income (loss) from operations and other performance measures prepared in accordance with GAAP, such as operating income or cash flow from operating activities. Adjusted EBITDAX has important limitations as an analytical tool because it excludes certain items that affect income from continuing operations and net cash provided by operating activities. Adjusted EBITDAX should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of Isramco's results as reported under GAAP. Below is a reconciliation of consolidated Adjusted EBITDAX to income (loss) from operations before income taxes.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
In thousands except percentages	2016	2015
Income from operations before income taxes	\$8,413	\$(11,577)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	4,484	7,449
Impairment of oil and gas properties	583	24,619
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap	1,224	2,374
Interest expense	3,615	4,126
Accretion expense	668	637
Consolidated Adjusted EBITDAX	\$18,987	\$27,628

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activity

We are exposed to various risks, including risks associated with energy commodity price. If oil and natural gas prices decline significantly, our ability to finance our capital budget and operations could be adversely impacted. We expect energy prices to remain volatile and unpredictable, therefore we have adopted a risk management policy which allows for the use of derivative instruments to provide partial protection against declines in oil and natural gas prices by reducing the risk of price volatility and the affect it could have on our operations. The type of derivative instrument that we typically utilize is swaps. The total volumes which we hedge through the use of our derivative instruments vary from period to period. Currently, we have no open positions or contracts in place in relation to commodity prices.

When such contracts are in place, we are exposed to market risk on our open derivative contracts and counterparty performance risk with respect to our counterparties. However, we usually do not expect such non-performance because our contracts are usually with major financial institutions with investment grade credit ratings.

We are also exposed to interest rate risk on our variable interest rate debt. If interest rates increase, our interest expense would increase and our available cash flow would decrease. We continue to monitor our risk exposure as we incur future indebtedness at variable interest rates and will look to continue our risk management policy as situations present themselves. Periodically, we look to utilize interest rate swaps to reduce the exposure to market rate fluctuations by converting variable interest rates to fixed interest rates.

We account for our derivative activities under the provisions of ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging (ASC 815). ASC 815 establishes accounting and reporting that every derivative instrument be recorded on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at fair value. The Company has elected not to designate any of its positions for hedge accounting. See Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

In accordance with Exchange Act Rule 13a-15 and 15d-15, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2016 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.



Table of Contents

PART II - Other Information

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

On or about September 21, 2011, the Company's former Vice President and General Counsel, Dennis Holifield resigned. Mr. Holifield had been hired in March 2011. On or about October 12, 2011, Mr. Holifield submitted a "Summary Report" to the SEC (the "Summary Report"), in which made numerous factual allegations regarding Haim Tsuff, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chairman, and President; Edy Francis, the Company's Chief Financial Officer; Amir Sanker, the Company's Asset Manager; and other Company personnel. In the Summary Report, Mr. Holifield characterized the alleged conduct as illegal or criminal. On November 3, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors constituted a committee of independent directors consisting of Max Pridgeon and Asaf Yarkoni, referred to as the Special Investigative Committee of the Board of Directors ("SIC") which was directed to investigate all of the Holifield allegations and report back to the full board and make any recommendations, if any, for corrective action. On January 7, 2013, SIC made their final report to the Board of Directors of the conclusions and results of the fourteen-month investigation into the allegations made by Mr. Holifield. The SIC determined that Mr. Holifield's allegations were not supported by any available documentary evidence or by any statements made by former or current Isramco, Inc., directors, management, or employees interviewed by the SIC or its counsel. The SIC also determined that the Company had not engaged in wrongdoing of any sort including any unlawful or unethical business practices, any lapses in financial controls, or any governance issues that require redress or reform.

On September 10, 2013, the Company filed suit against Mr. Holifield in Cause No. 201352927 of the 270th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas, to collect damages estimated in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 owing to the Company by virtue of Mr. Holifield's actions, which are alleged in the suit to include, but are not limited to, negligence, negligence per se, gross negligence, and breach of fiduciary duty owed to the Company. In response, in December 2013, Mr. Holifield filed a pro se answer which included counterclaims and a summary judgment motion. In his counterclaims, Mr. Holifield seeks to recover from the Company the following damages, inter alia: (i) over \$2,000,000 for loss of income and failure to secure gainful employment arising from his constructive discharge or termination by the Company; (ii) over \$2,000,000 for loss of earnings due to his alleged inability to obtain gainful employment by virtue of the damage caused to his professional reputation by alleged willful and deliberate acts of Haim Tsuff, Edy Francis, and Amir Sanker, (iii) over \$2,000,000 due to the intentional infliction of emotional distress to Mr. Holifield; (iv) an amount estimated at \$5,000,000 arising from Mr. Holifield's claim that the Company violated the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act, by engaging in racketeering and conspiracy; (v) over \$5,000,000 arising from the Company's alleged fraudulent misrepresentation regarding Isramco's purpose in hiring Mr. Holifield and (vi) other relief. The Company believes Mr. Holifield's counter claims have no merit. The Company intends to vigorously (i) pursue its case against Mr. Holifield and (ii) defend against Mr. Holifield's counterclaims.



Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

None

ITEM 2. Change in Securities & Use of Proceeds

None

ITEM 3. Default Upon Senior Securities

None

ITEM 4. Removed and Reserved

None

ITEM 5. Other Information

None

ITEM 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 31 2 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 31 2 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.3 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 31 2 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002
- 32.3 Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 Of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CALXBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ISRAMCO, INC

Date: NOVEMBER 9, 2016 By: /s/ HAIM TSUFF  
HAIM TSUFF  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
(PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER)

Date: NOVEMBER 9, 2016 By: /s/ EDY FRANCIS  
EDY FRANCIS  
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
(PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER)

Date: NOVEMBER 9, 2016 By: /s/ ZEEV KOLTOVSKOY  
ZEEV KOLTOVSKOY  
CHIEF ACCOUNTING OFFICER  
(PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING OFFICER)