

HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC
Form DEF 14A
March 27, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Preliminary Proxy Statement | <input type="checkbox"/> | Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Definitive Proxy Statement | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Definitive Additional Materials | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12 | | |

HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS, INC.
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number,

or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
TO BE HELD ON MAY 8, 2015

The Board of Directors of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. (the “Company”) hereby gives notice that the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) will be held at the Company’s offices located at 300 Carnegie Center, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, on Friday, May 8, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. ET for the following purposes:

1. To elect seven (7) directors, nominated by the Board of Directors, to the Company’s Board of Directors for terms expiring at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified as provided in the Company’s Bylaws.
2. To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015.
3. To approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, the Company's executive compensation.
4. To approve the Company's Second Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan.
5. To transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

Proposal No. 1 relates solely to the election of seven (7) directors nominated by the Board of Directors and does not include any other matters relating to the election of directors, including without limitation, the election of directors nominated by any stockholder of the Company.

This year the Company has elected to deliver our proxy materials to the majority of our stockholders over the Internet under the Securities and Exchange Commission’s “notice and access” rules. This delivery process allows us to provide stockholders with the information they need, while at the same time reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting and lowering the cost of delivery. On March 27, 2015, the Company mailed to our stockholders of record at the close of business on March 10, 2015 (the “Record Date”) a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to:

• Access the Company’s Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting and our 2014 Annual Report to Stockholders;

• Vote online, by telephone, or by mail; and

• Receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail.

On March 27, 2015, the Company also first mailed this Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card to certain stockholders. If you attend the Annual Meeting you may vote in person if you wish, even though you have previously returned your proxy.

You are entitled to attend the Annual Meeting in person only if you were a stockholder of the Company as of the close of business on the Record Date or hold a valid proxy for the Annual Meeting. You should be prepared to present photo identification for admittance to the Annual Meeting. If you are not a stockholder of record but hold shares through a broker, bank, trustee or nominee (i.e., in street name), you should provide proof of beneficial ownership as of the Record Date (such as your most recent account statement prior to the Record Date), a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your broker, bank, trustee or nominee, or similar evidence of ownership.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Charles H. N. Kallenbach

Charles H. N. Kallenbach

Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary

Princeton, New Jersey

Date: March 27, 2015

In this proxy statement, the words “the Company,” “we,” “our,” “ours,” “us” and similar terms refer to Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

IMPORTANT: YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR MAIL. THIS WILL ENSURE REPRESENTATION OF YOUR SHARES AT THE MEETING.

Table of Contents	
NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS.....	i
PROPOSAL NO. 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS.....	<u>2</u>
Information Concerning Directors and Nominees.....	<u>2</u>
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.....	<u>5</u>
Information Concerning the Board of Directors.....	<u>5</u>
Board Leadership Structure.....	<u>5</u>
Board’s Role in Risk Oversight.....	<u>6</u>
Board Committees.....	<u>7</u>
Communication with Directors.....	<u>8</u>
Director Compensation.....	<u>8</u>
Director Qualifications and Nomination Procedures.....	<u>9</u>
PROPOSAL NO. 2 - RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.....	<u>10</u>
Report of the Audit Committee.....	<u>11</u>
Principal Accountant Fees and Services.....	<u>11</u>
PROPOSAL NO. 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.....	<u>12</u>
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.....	<u>13</u>
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS.....	<u>14</u>
Executive Summary - Linking our Performance and Pay.....	<u>14</u>
Overview of Our Commitment to Best Compensation Practices: What We Do and Don't Do.....	<u>16</u>
Compensation Objectives - Why We Pay What We Pay.....	<u>16</u>
Elements of Compensation.....	<u>17</u>
Determining Executive Compensation.....	<u>18</u>
Equity Awards Structure in 2014.....	<u>18</u>
2014 Executive Compensation - Setting the Compensation Elements.....	<u>19</u>
Total Award - Determining the 2014 Bonus.....	<u>22</u>
2014 Equity Grants to Named Executive Officers.....	<u>23</u>

HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS, INC.
90 NASSAU STREET, PRINCETON, NJ 08542

PROXY STATEMENT

2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders
To Be Held On May 8, 2015

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders are being distributed and made available in connection with the solicitation by our Board of Directors of proxies for use at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) to be held on Friday, May 8, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. ET at the Company’s offices located at 300 Carnegie Center, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. This Proxy Statement and the related materials are first being made available to stockholders on or about March 27, 2015.

Voting Securities. The close of business on March 10, 2015 is the record date (the “Record Date”) for determining the holders of outstanding shares of our Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the “Common Stock”), entitled to receive notice of and vote at the Annual Meeting. On the Record Date, there were approximately 36,555,880 shares of Common Stock outstanding and approximately 16 holders of record. Each holder of record is entitled to one (1) vote at the Annual Meeting for each share of Common Stock held by such stockholder on the Record Date. No other class of securities will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Stockholders have no cumulative voting rights.

Quorum. The presence in person or by properly executed proxy of the record holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock as of the Record Date will constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Shares that are voted “For”, “Against”, “Abstain” or “Withhold Authority” on a matter are treated as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing a quorum.

Vote Required for each Proposal. Under Delaware law and our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, as each is in effect on the date hereof, if a quorum exists at the Annual Meeting, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting is required for the election of directors. A properly executed proxy marked “Withhold Authority” with respect to the election of one or more directors will not be voted for the director or directors indicated, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. For each other proposal to be considered at the Annual Meeting, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the item will be required for approval.

Abstentions. Under our Bylaws and applicable Delaware law, abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining both (i) the presence or absence of a quorum for transacting business; and (ii) the total number of shares of Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on a proposal. A properly executed proxy marked “Abstain” with respect to any such matter will not be voted, although it will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. Accordingly, for any matter other than the election of directors, an abstention will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

Broker Non-Votes. “Broker non-votes” (i.e., shares held by a broker, bank, trustee or nominee which are represented at the meeting, but with respect to which the broker, bank, trustee or nominee is not empowered to vote on a particular non-routine proposal without instructions from the beneficial owner and instructions are not given) will be counted in determining whether a quorum is present. In tabulating the voting result for any particular proposal, shares that constitute broker non-votes are not considered votes cast on that proposal. Thus, broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of any matter being voted on at the meeting. Under New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) rules, beneficial owners of shares of Common Stock held in street name who do not provide the broker, bank, trustee or nominee that holds such shares of Common Stock with specific voting instructions empower the broker, bank, trustee or nominee to have discretion to vote such shares on Proposal 2 (Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm), but not with respect to Proposal 1 (Election of Directors) Proposal 3 (Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation) and 4 (Approval of Second Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan), in which case, such shares will be counted as a “broker non-vote” on those proposals.

Voting of Proxies. All shares of Common Stock represented by a valid proxy received prior to the Annual Meeting (and not revoked) will be voted, and where a stockholder specifies by means of the proxy a choice with respect to any matter to be acted upon, the shares of Common Stock will be voted in accordance with the specification so made. If no choice is indicated on the proxy card, the shares will be voted “FOR” all nominees and “FOR” all other proposals described herein in accordance with the recommendations of our Board of Directors.

Revocation of Proxies. A stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke his or her proxy at any time prior to the time it is voted by delivering to our Corporate Secretary at the address given above, a written instrument revoking the proxy or a duly executed proxy with a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Attendance alone at the Annual Meeting is not sufficient to revoke a proxy; a stockholder must vote it at the Annual Meeting to revoke the proxy.

Costs of Solicitation. The Company is bearing the entire cost of soliciting proxies, including preparing assembling, printing, mailing and distributing these proxy materials and soliciting votes. If you choose to access the proxy materials and/or vote over the Internet, you are responsible for Internet access charges you may incur. If you choose to vote by telephone, you are responsible for telephone charges you may incur. In addition to the mailing of these proxy materials, the solicitation of proxies or votes may be made in person, by telephone, or be electronic communication by the Company’s directors, officers, and employees, who will not receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. To assist in the solicitation of proxies and the distribution and collection of proxy materials, the Company may engage Georgeson, Inc. a proxy solicitation firm, for an estimated fee of \$8,500. The Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms, and other custodians, nominees, and fiduciaries for reasonable expenses incurred by them in sending proxy materials to their customers or principals who are the beneficial owners of shares of common stock.

Other Matters. Our Board of Directors does not anticipate that any other matters will be brought before the Annual Meeting. If, however, other matters are properly presented and you granted a proxy, the persons you named as proxy holders, Robert O. Carr and Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr., or either of them, will have discretion, to the extent allowed by Delaware law, to vote your shares in accordance with their own judgment on such matters.

PROPOSAL NO. 1:

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

General

Seven (7) individuals, all of whom are presently members of our Board of Directors, have been nominated for election as our directors for terms expiring at our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified. If any nominee should become unavailable to serve, the proxy may be voted for the election of another person designated by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe any of the persons named will be unable to serve if elected. There are no family relationships among any of the Company’s directors or executive officers.

Information Concerning Directors and Nominees

Information regarding each nominee for director is set forth in the following table:

Name	Age	Director Since	Position	Term Expires at the Annual Meeting Held In The Year
Robert O. Carr	69	2000	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2016
Maureen Breakiron-Evans	60	2012	Director	2016
Mitchell L. Hollin	52	2001	Director	2016
Robert H. Niehaus	59	2001	Director	2016
Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D.	65	2002	Director	2016
Jonathan J. Palmer	72	2003	Director	2016
Richard W. Vague	59	2007	Director	2016

Our policy is not to discriminate on the basis of race, gender or ethnicity and our Board of Directors is supportive of any qualified candidate who would also provide our Board of Directors with more diversity; however, we have no formal policy regarding Board diversity. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Board of Directors may consider a broad range of factors relating to the qualifications and background of nominees, which may include diversity, which is not only limited to race, gender or national origin. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's and Board of Directors' priority in selecting Board members is identification of persons who will further the interests of our stockholders through his or her established record of professional accomplishment, the ability to contribute positively to the collaborative culture among Board members, and professional and personal experiences and expertise relevant to our business strategy. The biographical information presented below includes certain qualifications, attributes, skills and other information with respect to the seven (7) directors nominated for re-election and currently serving on our Board of Directors that caused our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Board of Directors to determine that the person should serve as one of our directors:

Robert O. Carr, age 69, has served as Chairman of our Board of Directors and as our Chief Executive Officer since our inception in October 2000. Mr. Carr had been Chairman of the Members' Committee and Chief Executive Officer of our predecessor, Heartland Payment Systems LLC, from March 1997 to October 2000 when the merger of Heartland Payment Systems LLC into our Company became effective. Mr. Carr co-founded Heartland Payment Systems LLC with Heartland Bank in March 1997. Prior to founding Heartland, Mr. Carr worked in the payments and software development industries for 25 years. Mr. Carr received a B.S. and M.S. in Mathematics and Computer Science from the University of Illinois. Because of Mr. Carr's deep knowledge of our business and industry as well as his detailed and in-depth knowledge of the issues, opportunities and challenges facing us, we believe that he is an invaluable member of our Board of Directors.

Maureen Breakiron-Evans, age 60, has served as one of our directors since November 2012. Ms. Breakiron-Evans served as Chief Financial Officer of Towers Perrin from January 2007 until April 2008. From February 2005 until October 2006, Ms. Breakiron-Evans served as Vice President and General Auditor of CIGNA Corporation where she was responsible for managing the enterprise risk management and internal audit functions. From 2001 to 2004, Ms. Breakiron-Evans served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at Inovant, LLC, which is VISA's captive technology development and transaction processing company. Prior to that, Ms. Breakiron-Evans held several positions at Transamerica Corporation in San Francisco including Vice President and General Auditor, Vice President of Control and Services and President of Transamerica Business Technologies Corp. Ms. Breakiron-Evans began her career as a financial auditor, ultimately serving as an Audit Partner with Arthur Andersen & Co. Ms. Breakiron-Evans also serves as a director of Cognizant Technology Solutions Corporation, an information technology services provider, since 2009, where she chairs the Audit Committee and serves on the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Ms. Breakiron-Evans has also served as a director of ING Direct, an Internet bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh. Ms. Breakiron-Evans received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Stetson University, a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard Business School and a Master of Liberal Arts degree from Stanford University. She is also a Certified Public Accountant in the State of California. Because of her knowledge of business and financial matters as well as well as her experience as an outside director and as a member of audit committees, we believe that Ms. Breakiron-Evans is able to provide valuable input regarding our financial and other matters.

Mitchell L. Hollin, age 52, has served as one of our directors since October 2001 and as our Lead Independent Director since January 2011. Mr. Hollin is a Partner of LLR Capital, L.P., which is the general partner of LLR Equity Partners, L.P., an independent private equity firm, which he joined in August 2000. From 1994 until joining LLR Capital, L.P., Mr. Hollin was a founder and Managing Director of Advanta Partners LP, a private equity firm affiliated with Advanta Corporation. Prior to his involvement with Advanta Partners LP, Mr. Hollin was a Vice President at Cedar Point Partners LP, a middle market buyout firm and before that an Associate at Patricof & Co. Ventures, Inc., an international venture capital firm. Mr. Hollin is a member of the board of directors of various private companies. Mr. Hollin received a B.S. in Economics and an M.B.A. from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. We believe Mr. Hollin's 20 plus years of private equity investment experience with industry expertise in financial services, information technology and outsourced business services as well as his service as a director of numerous

companies provides our Board of Directors with insight into the strategic and operational issues that our Company faces.

Robert H. Niehaus, age 59, has served as one of our directors since October 2001. Mr. Niehaus is the Chairman and founder of GCP Capital Partners LLC, an independent privately held investment management firm and the successor

to Greenhill Capital Partners, the merchant banking business of Greenhill & Co., Inc. (NYSE: GHL). Mr. Niehaus joined Greenhill & Co. in 2000 to begin the formation of Greenhill Capital Partners and served as its Chairman and Chair of its Investment committee from 2000 to 2009. Prior to joining Greenhill & Co., Mr. Niehaus spent 17 years at Morgan Stanley & Co., where he was a Managing Director in the merchant banking department from 1990 to 1999. Mr. Niehaus was Vice Chairman and a Director of the Morgan Stanley Leveraged Equity Fund II, L.P., a private equity investment fund, from 1992 to 1999, and was Vice Chairman and a Director of Morgan Stanley Capital Partners III, L.P., a private equity fund, from 1994 to 1999. Mr. Niehaus was also the Chief Operating Officer of Morgan Stanley's merchant banking department from 1996 to 1998. Mr. Niehaus currently serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Iridium Communications Inc., a publicly held mobile satellite company, and the director of various private companies. Mr. Niehaus previously served as a director of the following publicly held companies: American Italian Pasta Company from 1992 to January 2008, Crusader Energy Group Inc. from July 2008 to July 2009, EXCO Resources Inc. from November 2004 to June 2009, Global Signal, Inc. from October 2002 until its merger with Crown Castle International Corp., or Crown Castle, in January 2007, and Crown Castle from January 2007 to July 2007. Mr. Niehaus received a B.A. in International Affairs from the Woodrow Wilson School at Princeton University and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School. We believe that Mr. Niehaus' substantial experience in investment banking and financial services, as well as his service as a director of numerous companies, provides our Board of Directors with insight into strategic and operational issues that our Company faces.

Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D., age 65, has served as one of our directors since October 2002. Since February 17, 2006, Dr. Ostro has served as a General Partner in Devon Park Bioventures, a venture capital fund targeting investments in therapeutics companies and, in certain cases, medical device, diagnostic and drug discovery technology companies. Previously, from January 2002 to February 2006, Dr. Ostro was a partner at TL Ventures, L.P., a Pennsylvania-based venture capital firm. Immediately prior to that, Dr. Ostro was a private consultant to the biotechnology industry since May 2000. From November 1997 to May 2000, he was Senior Managing Director and Group Leader for KPMG Life Science Corporate Finance (Mergers and Acquisitions). In 1981, Dr. Ostro co-founded The Liposome Company, a biotechnology company. Dr. Ostro is a member of the Board of Directors of ZSPharma, a publicly traded biopharmaceutical company. Dr. Ostro received a B.S. in Biology from Lehigh University, a Ph.D. in Biochemistry from Syracuse University, and was a Postdoctoral Fellow and Assistant Professor at the University of Illinois Medical School. Dr. Ostro brings to our Board significant experience assisting companies with strategic allocation of capital resources.

Jonathan J. Palmer, age 72, has served as one of our directors since November 2003. Since May 2006, Mr. Palmer has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of PA Liberty Holdings, an investment company. From November 2005 until September 2010, Mr. Palmer served as President and Chief Executive Officer of FSV Payment Systems, a leading prepaid debit issuer and processor. From 1999 to October 2003, Mr. Palmer served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Vital Processing Services. From 1996 to 1999, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Wellspring Resources, an outsourced benefits administrator. From 1990 to 1996, Mr. Palmer was the Chief Retail Banking and Technology Executive at Barnett Banks, where he created Barnett Technologies, an outsourced services firm offering a wide range of back office functions for banks. Prior to joining Barnett Banks, he was an Executive Vice President with Shearson Lehman Brothers, and held a number of roles at Fidelity Bank in Philadelphia, succeeding to Vice Chairman in the late 1980s. Mr. Palmer received a B.S. in Applied Mathematics from LaSalle University, and an M.B.A. from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. Mr. Palmer brings to our Board substantial experience in our industry, having served as Chief Executive Officer of several companies in the payment processing industry. Because of such experience, we believe that Mr. Palmer has a deep understanding of many of the strategic and operational issues we face and provides useful insight to our Board as we review our strategic initiatives.

Richard W. Vague, age 59, has served as one of our directors since May 2007. Since October 2010, Mr. Vague has served as Managing Partner of Gabriel Investments, an early stage investment fund. From May 2007 until September 2011, Mr. Vague served as Chief Executive Officer and Co-Founder of Energy Plus, a Philadelphia-based electricity and natural gas supplier. Immediately prior to that, Mr. Vague served as the Chief Executive Officer of Barclays Bank Delaware, a financial institution and credit card issuer, a company he co-founded as Juniper Financial in 2000;

the company was sold to Barclays Bank Delaware in 2004. From 1985 to 1999, Mr. Vague was with First USA, a company he co-founded and eventually served as Chairman and CEO. He also served for a period as Chairman of Paymentech, the merchant processing subsidiary of First USA which was acquired by Bank One in 1997. Mr. Vague received a B.S. in communication from the University of Texas at Austin. Mr. Vague has served as a Chief Executive Officer and

4

corporate Chairman in our industry for more than 20 years, which gives him an understanding of many of the strategic and operational issues we face.

Vote Required

If a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of the holders of a plurality of the shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the matter is required to elect any director named below.

Board Recommendation

Our Board of Directors recommends that stockholders vote “FOR” each of the nominees to our Board of Directors listed above.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information Concerning the Board of Directors

Committee Structure. Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: (i) an Audit Committee; (ii) a Compensation Committee; and (iii) a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Each of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees has adopted a written charter. From time to time, our Board of Directors may also form special, ad hoc committees to which it delegates certain authority to administer particular duties of the Board.

Board and Committee Meetings. Robert O. Carr serves as the Chairman at meetings of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors held six meetings in the fiscal year 2014 either in person or by telephone. During this period, each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and the total number of meetings held by each Committee on which he or she served.

It is our policy to encourage directors to attend our annual meetings of stockholders. Six of seven of our directors who stood for election in 2014 attended our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Independence Determinations. Our Board of Directors has determined that the following directors are “independent” under current NYSE rules: Maureen Breakiron-Evans, Mitchell L. Hollin, Robert H. Niehaus, Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D., Jonathan J. Palmer and Richard W. Vague. To be considered independent, our directors must meet the bright-line independence standards under the listing standards of the NYSE, and the Board of Directors must affirmatively determine that the director otherwise has no material relationship with us directly or as an officer, stockholder or partner of an organization that has a relationship with us.

Robert O. Carr serves as Chairman at meetings of our Board of Directors. Mitchell L. Hollin, our Lead Independent Director, presided over executive sessions of our non-management directors. During fiscal year 2014, six (6) executive sessions of our non-management directors were held.

For additional information on our corporate governance, including the charters approved by our Board of Directors for our Audit Committee, our Compensation Committee and our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the Corporate Governance Guidelines, please visit the Corporate Governance page of our investor relations website at www.heartlandpaymentsystems.com/About/Investor-Relations. Printed copies of this information may be obtained by requesting copies from our Corporate Secretary, Heartland Payment Systems, Inc., 90 Nassau Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08542.

Board Leadership Structure

Chairman and CEO. Robert O. Carr has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer since our inception in October 2000. Our Board of Directors believes that Mr. Carr’s service as both Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer is in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders. This Board leadership structure is commonly utilized by public companies in the United States, and we believe that this Board leadership structure has been effective for us. Mr. Carr possesses detailed and in-depth knowledge of the issues, opportunities and challenges facing us, and is thus best positioned to develop agendas that ensure that our Board’s

time and attention are focused on the matters that are most critical to us. We believe this eliminates the potential for duplication of efforts and inconsistent actions. Additionally, having one person serve as both Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer shows our employees, customers, stockholders and other constituencies that we are under strong and decisive leadership, ensures clear accountability and enhances our ability to communicate our message and strategy clearly and consistently.

Lead Independent Director. Recognizing that it is important for our Board of Directors to be able to provide independent oversight of management and to formulate strategy, our Board of Directors created the position of Lead Independent Director in January 2011. Our Board of Directors has appointed Mr. Hollin as Lead Independent Director and determined that the Lead Independent Director will perform the following functions to ensure that our independent directors play an active role in corporate governance. The Lead Independent Director is responsible for:

- presiding at all meetings of our Board of Directors at which our Chairman of the Board is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors;
- setting the agenda for and leading executive sessions of the independent directors (which are held on a regular basis);
- briefing our Chief Executive Officer on selected issues arising in the executive sessions;
- collaborating with our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer in the setting of Board agendas;
- seeking agenda items from other independent directors;
- facilitating discussion among the independent directors on key issues and concerns outside of meetings of our Board of Directors;
- serving as a non-exclusive conduit to our Chief Executive Officer of views, concerns, and issues of the independent directors;
- calling meetings of the independent directors; and
- suggesting that the Chairman of the Board call full Board meetings when appropriate.

Our Board of Directors believes the combined role of Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, together with a Lead Independent Director having the duties described above, is in the best interest of stockholders because it provides the appropriate balance between strategy development and independent oversight of management.

Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Risk is inherent with every business, and how well a business manages risk can ultimately determine its success. Management is responsible for the day-to-day management of risks we face, while our Board of Directors, as a whole and through its Audit Committee, has responsibility for the enterprise-wide oversight of risk management. In its risk oversight role, our Board of Directors has the responsibility to satisfy itself that the risk management processes designed and implemented by management are adequate and functioning as designed.

Our Board of Directors is actively involved in oversight of risks that could affect us. This oversight is conducted in part through the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, as disclosed in the description of the Audit Committee below and in the charter of the Audit Committee, but our full Board of Directors has retained responsibility for general oversight of risks. Our Board of Directors satisfies this responsibility through reports by the Chairman of the Audit Committee regarding the Audit Committee's considerations and actions, as well as through regular reports directly from officers responsible for oversight of particular risks within our Company, as our Board of Directors believes that full and open communication between management and the Board of Directors is essential for effective risk management and oversight. With respect to risks related to our compensation programs, we have considered the structure and design of our compensation program and believe that the program does not create risks that would have a materially adverse effect on us. Because of the structure and mix of compensation, including compensation tied to individual performance and our Company's financial performance, and our Compensation Recovery Policy, which is described below, we believe that our compensation programs are designed to reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking. As necessary,

we will review our compensation programs to determine how our pay practices interact with our risk profile and make adequate changes in light of such risks.

Board Committees

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee
Mitchell L. Hollin, Lead Independent Director		X	X
Maureen Breakiron-Evans	X*		
Robert H. Niehaus		X*	
Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D.	X		X*
Jonathan J. Palmer	X	X	
Richard W. Vague			

*Chair

Audit Committee. Our Audit Committee is solely responsible for the appointment of and reviewing fee arrangements with our independent registered public accounting firm, and approving any non-audit services by our independent registered public accounting firm. For additional information regarding our fee arrangements with our independent registered public accounting firm, see the section entitled, “Principal Accountant Fees and Services” below. Our Audit Committee reviews and monitors our internal accounting procedures and reviews the scope and results of the annual audit and other services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. Our Audit Committee reviews our major financial and information technology and data security risk exposures with management. Our Audit Committee currently consists of Ms. Breakiron-Evans, Mr. Palmer, and Dr. Ostro, each of whom is an independent director under current NYSE rules and Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Ms. Breakiron-Evans currently serves as the Chair of our Audit Committee. We believe that each of the members of the Audit Committee is financially sophisticated and is able to read and understand our consolidated financial statements. Our Board of Directors has determined that Ms. Breakiron-Evans qualifies as an Audit Committee “financial expert” as defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K. Our Audit Committee held eight (8) meetings during fiscal 2014.

Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee is primarily responsible for reviewing and approving the compensation and benefits of our executive officers, evaluating the performance and compensation of our executive officers in light of our corporate goals and objectives, administering our employee benefit plans and making recommendations to our Board of Directors regarding these matters, and administering our equity compensation plans. Our Compensation Committee currently consists of Messrs. Hollin, Niehaus and Palmer, each of whom is an independent director under current NYSE rules and Rule 10C of the Exchange Act. Mr. Niehaus serves as Chairman of our Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee held two (2) meetings during fiscal 2014. Our Chief Executive Officer conducts performance reviews of members of executive management and, except with respect to himself, makes recommendations to our Compensation Committee on compensation, including salary increases, bonuses and equity grants based on our Company’s overall performance and his assessment of the individual’s responsibilities and performance. Our Compensation Committee reviews these recommendations independently and approves, with any modifications it considers appropriate, the compensation for members of executive management, including our Chief Executive Officer. For the fiscal year 2014, our Compensation Committee engaged the services of an independent compensation consulting firm, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (“FW Cook”) to review our compensation structure as more fully described in the section entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” below. FW Cook reports to our Compensation Committee and does not perform any other work for our Board of Directors, our Company and its affiliates or for management other than advising on executive compensation matters.

For additional information on our compensation of our named executive officers see the section entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” below.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes recommendations to our Board of Directors concerning nominations to the Board, including nominations to fill

7

a vacancy (including a vacancy created by an increase in the size of the Board of Directors). Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider nominees for our Board of Directors nominated by stockholders upon submission in writing to our Corporate Secretary of the names of such nominees in accordance with our Bylaws. This Committee is also charged with shaping corporate governance policies and codes of ethical and legal conduct, and monitoring compliance with such policies. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee currently consists of Dr. Ostro and Mr. Hollin, each of whom is an independent director under current NYSE rules. Dr. Ostro serves as Chairman of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held two meetings during 2014.

Communication with Directors

Stockholders who wish to communicate with our entire Board of Directors, the non-management directors as a group, or the Chair of any of the Board Committees may do so telephonically by calling Charles Kallenbach, our Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, at (609) 683-3831, extension 2224 or by mail c/o Corporate Secretary, Heartland Payment Systems, Inc., 90 Nassau Street, 2nd Floor, Princeton, New Jersey 08542. Communications are distributed to the Board, or to any individual director or directors as appropriate, depending on the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication. In that regard, our Board of Directors has requested that certain items that are unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of our Board of Directors should be excluded, such as spam, job inquiries, business solicitations or product inquiries. In addition, material that is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly unsuitable will be excluded, with the provision that any communication that is filtered out must be made available to any director upon request.

Our Board of Directors has also adopted policies designed to allow stockholders and other interested parties to communicate directly with our directors. Any interested party that wishes to communicate directly with our Board of Directors or any director or the non-management directors as a group should send communications in writing to Chair of the Audit Committee (currently Maureen Breakiron-Evans), c/o Heartland Payment Systems, Inc., 90 Nassau Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08542. The mailing envelope must contain a clear notation indicating that the enclosed letter is “Stockholder/Interested Party - Non-Management Director Communication,” “Stockholder/Interested Party - Board Communication,” “Stockholder/Interested Party - Audit Committee Communication” or “Stockholder/Interested Party - Director Communication,” as appropriate. All such letters must identify the author as a stockholder or other interested party and clearly state whether the intended recipients are all members of the Board of Directors, a Board Committee or certain specified individual directors. Copies of all such letters will be circulated to the appropriate director or directors. There is no screening process in respect of communications from stockholders or other interested parties which are sent in such manner. Interested parties may also call Ms. Breakiron-Evans with such concerns at (888) 798-3131, extension 2230. The information for communicating with the Audit Committee and non-management directors is also available in the Corporate Governance Guidelines which are located in the investor relations section of our website, www.heartlandpaymentsystems.com.

Director Compensation

The table below sets forth the compensatory arrangements with the non-employee directors of the Company for 2014:

Annual Retainer.....	\$50,000
Lead independent director.....	Additional \$15,000 annual retainer
Audit committee chair.....	Additional \$15,000 annual retainer
Compensation committee chair.....	Additional \$7,500 annual retainer
Nominating and Corporate Governance committee chair.....	Additional \$7,500 annual retainer
Per in-person committee meeting.....	Additional \$2,000
Per telephonic committee meeting.....	Additional \$1,000
Annual Equity Compensation.....	

Equity worth \$75,000 on the date of grant in the form of restricted stock units (100% vests upon the earlier of the one year anniversary of the date of grant or the last business day preceding our next annual meeting)

In addition, the Company reimburses directors for travel expenses incurred in connection with attending Board of Directors, Committee and stockholder meetings and for other Company business-related expenses.

Under these arrangements, we paid the non-employee members of our Board of Directors the following compensation during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Equity Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Total Compensation (\$)
Maureen Breakiron-Evans	\$76,000	\$75,007	\$151,007
Mitchell L. Hollin	\$70,000	\$75,007	\$145,007
Robert H. Niehaus	\$59,500	\$75,007	\$134,507
Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D.	\$70,500	\$75,007	\$145,507
Jonathan J. Palmer	\$63,000	\$75,007	\$138,007
Richard W. Vague	\$50,000	\$75,007	\$125,007

Amounts represent the aggregate fair value of restricted stock units granted to the members of our Board of (1) Directors during the year ended December 31, 2014. No stock options were granted to the members of our Board of Directors during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Restricted Stock Units Granted to Directors in 2014

Name	Grant Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying of Options (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards
Maureen Breakiron-Evans	5/6/2014	1,897	—	\$75,007
Mitchell L. Hollin	5/6/2014	1,897	—	\$75,007
Robert H. Niehaus	5/6/2014	1,897	—	\$75,007
Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D.	5/6/2014	1,897	—	\$75,007
Jonathan J. Palmer	5/6/2014	1,897	—	\$75,007
Richard W. Vague	5/6/2014	1,897	—	\$75,007

Director Restricted Stock Units and Options Outstanding at December 31, 2014

Name	Number of Shares of Stock or Units	Number of Stock Options Outstanding
Maureen Breakiron-Evans	1,897	—
Mitchell L. Hollin	1,897	—
Robert H. Niehaus	1,897	2,916
Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D.	1,897	2,916
Jonathan J. Palmer	1,897	—
Richard W. Vague	1,897	2,916

Director Qualifications and Nomination Procedures

Criteria for Board Membership. In selecting candidates for appointment or re-election to our Board of Directors, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the appropriate balance of experience, skills and characteristics required of our Board of Directors, and seeks to insure that: at least a majority of our directors are independent under the rules of the SEC and the NYSE; members of our Audit Committee meet the financial literacy and sophistication requirements under the rules of the NYSE; and at least one (1) member of our Audit Committee qualifies as an “audit committee financial expert” under the rules of the SEC. Nominees for director are selected on the basis of their depth and breadth of experience, integrity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, understanding of our business environment, and willingness to devote adequate time to duties required by the Board of Directors. For more information on the qualifications, attributes, skills and other biographical information of each of our nominees for director, see “Information Concerning Directors and Nominees” above.

Stockholder Nominees. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider written proposals from stockholders for nominees for director. Any such nominations should be submitted to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee c/o our Corporate Secretary and should include the following information: (i) all information relating to such nominee that is required to be disclosed pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act (including such person's written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a Director if elected); (ii) the names and addresses of the stockholders making the nomination and the number of shares of our Common Stock which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholders; and (iii) appropriate biographical information and a statement as to the qualification of the nominee, and should be submitted in the time frame described in our Bylaws and under the caption, "Stockholder Proposals for 2015 Annual Meeting." No director nominations were submitted by stockholders for the 2016 Annual Meeting.

Process for Identifying and Evaluating Nominees. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes we are well served by our current directors. In the ordinary course, absent special circumstances or a material change in the criteria for membership to our Board of Directors, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will recommend that our Board of Directors re-nominate incumbent directors who continue to be qualified for service on our Board of Directors and are willing to continue as directors. If an incumbent director is not standing for re-election, or if a vacancy on our Board of Directors occurs between annual stockholder meetings, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will seek out potential candidates for appointment to our Board of Directors who meet the criteria for selection as a nominee and have the specific qualities or skills being sought. Director candidates will be selected based on input from members of our Board of Directors, our senior management and, if our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee deems it appropriate, a third-party search firm. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will evaluate each candidate's qualifications and check relevant references. In addition, such candidates will be interviewed by at least one member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Candidates meriting serious consideration will meet with all members of our Board of Directors. Based on this input, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will evaluate which of the prospective candidates is qualified to serve as a director and whether the committee should recommend to our Board of Directors that this candidate be appointed to fill a current vacancy on our Board of Directors, or presented for the approval of the stockholders, as appropriate.

Since becoming a public company, we have never received a proposal from a stockholder to nominate a director. Although our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not adopted a formal policy with respect to stockholder nominees, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee expects that the evaluation process for a stockholder nominee would be similar to the process outlined above. No formal policy regarding stockholder nominees has been implemented because there has never been a proposal from a qualifying stockholder to nominate a director.

PROPOSAL NO. 2:

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Our Audit Committee has selected Deloitte & Touche LLP to continue to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015. We are asking our stockholders to ratify this appointment. If ratification by the stockholders of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not obtained, our Audit Committee will reconsider this appointment. Even if the appointment is ratified, our Audit Committee, in its discretion, may appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if our Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in our best interests and in the best interests of our stockholders.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are also expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders. See "Principal Accountant Fees and Services" for the aggregate audit fees, audit-related fees, tax fees and all other fees paid by us to Deloitte & Touche in 2014 and 2013, and our "Audit Committee

Pre-Approval Policies.”

10

Vote Required

If a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present or represented by valid proxies at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the matter is required for approval of Proposal No. 2.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015 REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The primary responsibilities of the Audit Committee are to oversee our financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors, to review our financial statements, appoint, review and approve fee arrangements with our independent accountants, oversee the Company's enterprise risk management process, internal audit function and to report the results of the Audit Committee's activities to the Board of Directors.

Our management has the primary responsibility for financial statements and financial reporting process, including the systems of internal control. Our independent accountants, Deloitte & Touche LLP, are responsible for auditing those financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and the independent accountants our audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent auditors matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16, "Communication with Audit Committees" issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"). The Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountants required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent accountants' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent accountants the independent accountants' independence. In addition, the Audit Committee has considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the independent accountants' independence.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements, referred to above, be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 for filing with the SEC.

This report has been furnished by the members of the Audit Committee:

Maureen Breakiron-Evans, Chairman

Marc J. Ostro, Ph.D.

Jonathan J. Palmer

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Independent Public Accountants

Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte") served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements, the reviews of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and services that are normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements were \$3,235,539 for 2014 and \$1,627,276 for 2013.

Audit-Related Fees

No fees were billed by Deloitte for professional services under this category for 2014 or 2013.

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed by Deloitte for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning were \$54,761 for 2014 and \$112,645 for 2013. The fees primarily related to services provided in connection with our tax return preparation, compliance and audit support.

All Other Fees

No other fees were billed by Deloitte in 2014 or 2013.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

Our Audit Committee pre-approves any audit and audit-related services and any permissible non-audit services provided by Deloitte prior to the commencement of the services. In determining whether to pre-approve a non-audit service, our Audit Committee considers whether providing the non-audit services is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence. To minimize potential impairments to the objectivity of the independent auditor, it has been our Audit Committee's practice to limit the non-audit services that may be provided by our independent registered accounting firm to tax return, compliance and planning services.

All of the services described under the captions Audit Fees, Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees, and All Other Fees were approved by our Audit Committee in accordance with the foregoing policy.

PROPOSAL NO. 3:

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We are asking our stockholders a vote to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and accompanying narrative and tabular disclosure.

Our executive compensation program is designed to attract, motivate, and retain our named executive officers, who can contribute to our success. We believe our incentive compensation encourages a balance between rewarding achievement of our short-term performance objectives while also focusing attention on investing for long-term growth, which will enhance long-term stockholder return. Please read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" for additional details about our executive compensation programs, including information about the fiscal year 2014 compensation of our named executive officers.

We are asking our stockholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this Proxy Statement. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal and required under Section 14A of the Exchange Act and related SEC rules, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we will ask our stockholders to vote "FOR" the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby **APPROVED**."

The say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on us, our Compensation Committee or our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation decisions to the extent they can determine the cause or causes of any significant negative voting results. If any stockholder wishes to communicate with our Board of Directors regarding executive compensation, our Board of Directors can be contacted using the procedures outlined in "Communication with Directors" set forth above in this Proxy Statement.

Vote Required

If a quorum is present, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present or represented by valid proxies at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote on the matter is required for approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of Proposal No. 3.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS APPROVE, BY A NON-BINDING ADISORY VOTE, OUR EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table sets forth information regarding our executive officers as of March 10, 2015.

Name	Age	Position
Robert O. Carr	69	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Samir M. Zabaneh	48	Chief Financial Officer
Michael A. Lawler	52	President - Strategic Markets Group
David Gilbert	57	President - Hospitality Group
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	60	Vice Chairman
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	51	Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary

Robert O. Carr, age 69, has served as Chairman of our Board of Directors and as our Chief Executive Officer since our inception in October 2000. Mr. Carr had been Chairman of the Members' Committee and Chief Executive Officer of our predecessor, Heartland Payment Systems LLC, from March 1997 to October 2000 when the merger of Heartland Payment Systems LLC into our Company became effective. Mr. Carr co-founded Heartland Payment Systems LLC with Heartland Bank in March 1997. Prior to founding Heartland, Mr. Carr worked in the payments and software development industries for 25 years. Mr. Carr received a B.S. and M.S. in Mathematics and Computer Science from the University of Illinois.

Samir M. Zabaneh, age 48, has served as our Chief Financial Officer since April 2014. Prior to Heartland, Mr. Zabaneh was Chief Financial & Strategy Officer as well as Chief Operating Officer at Moneris Solutions Corporation in Canada from 2008 to 2014. Before joining Moneris Solutions Corporation, he was Managing Director and Chief Financial Officer at Fortress Investment Group in Canada from 2006 to 2008; and Chief Financial Officer at Q9 Networks, Inc. a publicly traded company listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange, from 2001 to 2006. Mr. Zabaneh received an M. B.A. from Suffolk University, a Master of Science in Finance from Boston College and a Bachelor of Science in Accounting from Northeastern University.

Michael A. Lawler, age 52, has served as our President - Strategic Markets Group since June 2012 and prior to that as our Chief of Corporate Development and Executive Director of Payment Portal Systems from October 2009 until June 2012. From December 2008 until October 2009, Mr. Lawler worked as a consultant for the Company on various projects. Prior to joining us, he served as Senior Vice President, Electronic Payment Processing, of Tier Technologies, Inc., where he was responsible for the company's electronic payment processing subsidiaries. Mr. Lawler holds a bachelor's degree in computer engineering and a master's degree in computer science from Auburn University.

David Gilbert, age 57, has served as our President - Hospitality Group since July 2012. Prior to joining us, he served as Chief Operating Officer of the National Restaurant Association from February 2009 until June 2012, where he was responsible for the National Restaurant Association's technology, operations, trade shows, business partnerships and food safety training programs. Prior to the National Restaurant Association, Mr. Gilbert was a restaurant industry consultant with Gilbert & Associates beginning in July 2006. He has held other senior executive positions including chief administrative officer with Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc. and executive vice president and chief administrative officer with Shoney's, Inc. Mr. Gilbert holds a Bachelor of Science majoring in Hotel and Restaurant Administration from Florida State University.

Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr., age 60, has served as our Vice Chairman since June 2012. He served as our Interim Chief Financial Officer from October 2013 until February 2014, as our President from October 2007 until June 2012 and as

our Chief Financial Officer from our inception in October 2000 until April 2011. Mr. Baldwin had been Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of our predecessor, Heartland Payment Systems LLC, from May 2000 to October 2000. From July 1998 to May 2000, Mr. Baldwin served as the Chief Financial Officer of COMFORCE Corp., a publicly-traded staffing company. From 1985 through July 1998, Mr. Baldwin was a Managing Director in Smith Barney's Financial Institutions advisory business and from 1980 to 1985 he was a Vice President with Citicorp. Mr. Baldwin received a B.A. in History from Princeton University and an M.B.A. from Stanford University. Charles H. N. Kallenbach, age 51, has served as our Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary since January 2007. Prior to joining Heartland, from January 2004 to December 2006, Mr. Kallenbach served as Senior Vice President, Legal and Regulatory, and Secretary at SunCom Wireless Holdings Inc., an NYSE-listed wireless communications company that was acquired by T-Mobile. From September 2001 to January 2004, Mr. Kallenbach was Vice President and General Counsel for Eureka Broadband Corporation. From January 2000 to September 2001, he was Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, as well as Vice President of Human Resources for 2nd Century Communications. From April 1996 to January 2000, Mr. Kallenbach was Vice President Legal and Regulatory Affairs for e.spire Communications, Inc. Prior to that, he practiced law with Jones Day and Swidler & Berlin (now part of Morgan Lewis) from November 1990 to April 1996. He also served as Legislative Assistant to United States Senator Arlen Specter from June 1985 to July 1987. Mr. Kallenbach holds a Juris Doctor from the New York University School of Law in New York, NY and a Bachelor of Arts from the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This compensation discussion and analysis provides the principles and objectives underlying our executive compensation policies, the most significant factors relevant to an analysis of these policies, and information about the fiscal year 2014 compensation programs for our named executive officers. For 2014, our named executive officers are as follows:

Robert O. Carr, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer;
Samir M. Zabaneh, our Chief Financial Officer;
Michael A. Lawler, our President, Strategic Markets;
David Gilbert, our President, Hospitality Group;
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr., our Vice Chairman; and
Charles H.N. Kallenbach, our Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary.

Executive Summary - Linking Our Performance and Pay

Our compensation program is designed to attract and retain a dynamic and talented executive team that is focused on our overall growth and profitability. In 2014, we achieved solid financial and operating results while we pursued our goals of becoming the industry leader in payment processing solutions and expanding our Campus Solutions, School Solutions, Payroll and other businesses. We also created Heartland Commerce, which provides a comprehensive suite of Point-of-Sale ("POS") solutions, payments processing capabilities and other adjacent business service applications. In creating Heartland Commerce, we evaluated existing POS investments and concluded that certain investments were impaired as of December 31, 2014, which impacted our 2014 financial results. 2014 highlights include:

The price of our Common Stock increased to \$53.95 (as measured by the closing price on December 31, 2014) from \$49.84 (as measured by the closing price on December 31, 2013), which is an increase of over 8%. From January 2, 2013 to December 31, 2014, our stock price increased from \$30.23 to \$53.95, an increase of over 98% compared to an increase of approximately 41% for the S&P 500 during this timeframe.

We expanded our Campus Solutions business through the acquisition of TouchNet Information Systems, Inc., an integrated commerce solutions provider to higher-education institutions, increased our market-leading position in the K-12 school nutrition and Point-of-Sale technology industry through our Heartland School Solutions' acquisition of MCS Software Corporation and diversified our revenue base in our Heartland Commerce business unit through the acquisition of Xpient Solutions, LLC and Merchant Software Corporation.

We continued to return cash to our stockholders by increasing our quarterly dividend, which has improved from \$0.04 per share in 2011 to \$0.06 per share in 2012 to \$0.07 per share in 2013 to \$0.085 per share 2014 and to \$0.10 in March 2015.

We increased newly installed gross margin by 17% in 2014 compared to 2013 and, we increased newly installed gross margin by 22% in 2013 compared to 2012. We measure the overall production of our sales force by new gross margin installed, which reflects the expected annual gross profit from a merchant contract. We attribute this increase in newly installed gross margin to higher volumes and margins at newly installed merchants and improved individual productivity achieved by our salespersons as well as growth we achieved in our sales force.

For 2014, we increased our Net Revenue, which we define as total revenue less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees, by 12%, from \$599.0 million in 2013 to \$672.6 million. We use Net Revenue and Operating Income to assess our top-line operating performance.

We reported Operating Income of \$82.1 million in 2014, down \$44.0 million from \$126.1 million in 2013. Our Operating Income for 2014 was impacted by the following items:

\$37.4 million of non-cash asset impairment charges against certain POS related investments,
\$10.4 million of operating losses at Leaf compared to a Leaf operating loss of \$2.1 million in 2013, and
\$4.6 million of chargeback losses from a single merchant who entered bankruptcy.

Combined, these items reduced our reported Operating Income for 2014 by \$52.4 million. Similarly, our Operating Margin, which we define as Operating Income divided by Net Revenue, was reduced by 7.8 percentage points. We reported an Operating Margin of 12.2% in 2014, down from 21.1% in 2013. Our 2013 Operating Income was approximately 15% higher than 2012.

We reported net income from continuing operations of \$31.9 million, or \$0.91 per share, in 2014 compared to \$74.1 million, or \$1.96 per share, in 2013. Our net income and net income per share in 2014 were also reduced by the above items.

Our 2013 net income from continuing operations and net income from continuing operations per share were approximately 15% and 23%, respectively, higher than 2012.

In 2014, our Compensation Committee, in light of our compensation objectives and in consideration of our compensation elements discussed below, elected to continue many of the compensation features we employed in 2013.

Emphasis on Performance-Based Equity: We designed our long-term equity award program to consist of 80% performance-based equity and 20% time-based equity;

Performance Metrics Linked to Earnings Per Share and Total Shareholder Return: Of the 80% performance-based equity grants, we used two performance metrics linked strongly to the price of our Common Stock. Half of the performance share units awarded are based on three-year cumulative non-GAAP earnings per share (EPS) growth and the other half of the performance share units are based on Total Shareholder Return over a three or four-year period. As discussed in “Equity Award Structure in 2014” below, these metrics are substantially similar to the 2013 performance metrics in mix and design;

Perquisite Policy: We continued our policy of providing minimal perquisites to our named executive officers;

•

Minimize Fixed Elements of Compensation: We increased base salaries paid to the majority of our named executive officers by 2.5%, which followed high performance in 2013; and

15

Cash Bonuses and Performance Restricted Stock Unit Payout Aligned with Performance: We remained committed to our philosophy of “pay for performance” and awarded cash incentive bonuses at levels that were below target due to our corporate performance relative to the 2014 financial performance goals approved by our Compensation Committee. With respect to Performance Restricted Stock units granted in 2012, we failed to meet the financial performance target for the two -year period ending December 31, 2014, resulting in the named executive officers earning a decreased amount of the underlying performance shares of 23.2% of their respective targets.

Overview of Our Commitment to Best Compensation Practices: What We Do and Don’t Do

1	We use multi-year performance periods in our equity compensation program	1	We don’t have a high percentage of fixed compensation
1	We use performance goals related to factors that impact the price of our Common Stock in our performance share units	1	We don’t use the same performance metrics for annual cash compensation and long-term equity compensation
1	We use a variety of financial and operational metrics for our annual cash bonus program	1	We don’t set target bonuses which exceed 100% of the named executive officer’s salary
1	We prohibit pledging and hedging of our Common Stock by our officers and directors	1	We don’t use short-term vesting periods for our equity awards
1	We have a robust stock ownership policy	1	We don’t use a peer group composed of companies outside our industry
1	We maintain clawback policies to recover compensation in the event of a restatement	1	We don’t provide excessive perquisites
1	We use an independent compensation consultant	1	We don’t have defined benefit or non-qualified pension programs for our named executive officers

Compensation Objectives - Why We Pay What We Pay

The primary objective of our compensation program is to provide our named executive officers with strong incentives to drive our operating performance and profitability, while promoting a successful balance between near-term performance and long-term success. Our Compensation Committee observes that while management cannot control the myriad of factors that directly affect our Company’s performance and the price of our Common Stock, an incentive structure that encourages good short-term operating performance (as compared to our industry and internal goals), while also focusing on sustainable long-term growth, is most likely to result in our Common Stock providing stockholders a superior long-term return on their investment.

The compensation program adopted by our Compensation Committee is designed to reflect these objectives by: aligning individual compensation with our growth and profitability, as measured by our overall operating and financial performance over both one, three and four year-periods;

- measuring our near-term operating and financial performance relative to our internal goals and our industry’s performance;

- determining our long-term success in creating stockholder value;

- creating incentives which are responsibly balanced against the potential risks of such incentives; and

- reflecting the market for our executive talent.

Our Compensation Committee believes that the use of a mix of “at risk” annual short-term incentive cash compensation, balanced by performance-based and retention-based long-term equity incentives, is the best method to accomplish our objectives.

The factors we consider in setting executive compensation levels are:

- performance (short-term and long-term results against our budgets and established performance objectives);
- overall compensation cost (relative to budget and our financial position);
- relative internal value of named executive officer positions and relative internal performance of individuals;
- retention factors and succession planning;
- regulatory guidelines (for example, Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m)); and
- compensation data for similar positions within our peer group.

Elements of Compensation

Our Compensation Committee selected three principal elements for our compensation program, which include the following:

- base salary;
- annual incentive cash compensation; and
- equity incentive programs (performance share units and restricted stock units).

Our Compensation Committee provides each element of compensation for the following reasons:

Base Salary: Salary provides cash compensation to secure the services of our executive talent and pay for their management expertise in the normal course of our operations. Salary also provides a baseline for our annual incentive cash compensation program and a means of recognizing career growth.

Annual Incentive Cash Compensation: The annual cash bonus opportunity incentivizes our executives to achieve short-term financial and operating performance relative to our internal annual goals and budgets. Our Company believes that these awards, although potentially unrelated to the price of our Common Stock for the applicable period, reflect the achievement of key financial and profitability metrics and therefore follow our “pay for performance” philosophy.

Equity Incentive Programs: Our Compensation Committee strongly believes that structuring our compensation with a significant equity component is an effective method of aligning the interests of our named executive officers with those of our stockholders. To that end, 80% of the named executive officer equity awards are performance-based and provide the proper incentives for making sustainable business decisions with a focus on our Company’s long-term viability and financial performance. Finally, equity award decisions are made at the end of the year, once our financial and operating performance is largely known and the most recent results of the non-binding stockholder vote on executive compensation (“say-on-pay”) are known. This allows calibration of the grants with both forward- and backward-looking performance expectations as well as any feedback received from stockholders on the previous compensation program.

In addition to the above elements, we also have entered into non-competition and non-solicitation agreements with our named executive officers, which contain a potential severance element. We believe these agreements are

beneficial to both our Company and our named executive officers for the mutual protections they provide. We do not provide retirement or pension benefits to our named executive officers (other than the 401(k) plan offered to all employees) because we believe that the equity incentives which our named executive officers earn should provide significant long-term value if our financial and operating performance meets our internal goals. Moreover, we offer only minimal perquisites to our named executive officers because we do not consider such benefits to be either necessary or consistent with our “pay for performance” philosophy.

Determining Executive Compensation - Role of Our Board of Directors, Compensation Committee, Independent Compensation Consultant and Management

Our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee administer our compensation programs and practices to ensure that they align with our compensation objectives and the market for executive talent.

Our Independent Compensation Consultant. Our Compensation Committee engaged the services of an independent compensation consulting firm, FW Cook to review our compensation structure in 2014. FW Cook reports to our Compensation Committee and does not perform any other work for our Board of Directors or for management other than advising on executive compensation matters. Our Compensation Committee considered the independence of FW Cook and believed that the work of FW Cook raised no conflicts of interest. As in previous years, FW Cook, among other things, made recommendations to our Compensation Committee with respect to the structure of our executive compensation program and provided data regarding the pay of our named executive officers as well as comparative data for our peer companies.

Our Peer Group. Our Compensation Committee, with FW Cook, developed a peer group of companies, identifying other publicly-traded U.S.-based companies in the “Software and Services” S&P GICS group with revenue and market capitalization sizes between one-quarter and four times our revenues and market capitalization as of August 31, 2013. The goal of the peer group was to identify relevant competitors in terms of industry and size using objective factors. The peer group used for making 2014 base salary, target bonus, and equity compensation decisions was comprised of the following companies:

Cardtronics	CSG Systems	Euronet Worldwide
Fair Issac	Global Cash Access	Global Payments
Green Dot	Higher One	Jack Henry & Associates
MAXIMUS	MICROS Systems	MoneyGram International
Syntel	Total System Services	Ultimate Software
Vantiv	VeriFone Systems	Wright Express

While we do not directly tie or benchmark our named executive officers’ compensation to the compensation of our peer group’s executives, FW Cook’s comparisons and analysis served as a reference point to examine compensation for 2014 and as a starting point for cash compensation figures and programs in 2015. Peer comparisons were provided for base salaries, annual cash incentives, long-term equity incentives, total compensation, equity ownership and other general policies. In addition, our relative financial operating performance and relative stockholder return was factored into these comparisons for decision-making and goal-setting context.

Equity Awards Structure in 2014

In 2014, our Compensation Committee, with the assistance of FW Cook, reviewed the allocation of equity awarded to our named executive officers. In 2013, we used an equity award structure which consisted of 80% performance share units and 20% restricted stock units. After reviewing the available market data and considering the desire to simplify

the equity program while still ensuring that pay and performance align, in 2014, our Compensation

18

Committee approved the same general structure as used in 2013, where 80% of the total equity was performance share units and 20% was time-based restricted stock units.

After reviewing the available market data, the performance of our Company and other considerations, our Compensation Committee made minor changes to the performance metrics used in our performance-based compensation program. We consolidated our use of performance stock units from 20% “relative” total stockholder return and 20% “absolute” stockholder return to 40% “absolute” stockholder return.

Our Compensation Committee believes that these minor changes should provide more finely-tuned incentives to our named executive officers. The applicable changes are summarized below:

2013 Equity Compensation Structure	2014 Equity Compensation Structure
20% Restricted Stock Units (RSU) which vest 25% per year over four years	20% Restricted Stock Units (RSU) which vest 25% per year over four years
40% Performance-Share Units (PRSU) which vest based on our three-year cumulative non-GAAP diluted earnings per share (EPS) growth rate	40% Performance-Share Units (PRSU) which vest based on our three-year cumulative non-GAAP diluted earnings per share (EPS) growth
20% - Performance-Share Units which vest based on relative Total Shareholder Return (Relative TRSU) over a three-year period compared to peer companies	40% - Performance-Share Units which vest based on achieving a pre-established Total Shareholder Return growth level (Absolute TRSU) over a three or four-year period
20% - Performance Share Units which vest based on achieving a pre-established Total Shareholder Return growth level (Absolute TRSU) over a four-year period	

2014 Executive Compensation - Setting the Compensation Elements

For 2014, our Compensation Committee set the compensation elements as follows:

Base Salaries. Salaries for our named executive officers are generally based on the executive’s specific areas of accountability as well as market competitiveness and budget considerations. Robert O. Carr, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Samir M. Zabaneh, our Chief Financial Officer, Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr., our Vice Chairman and former Interim Chief Financial Officer, are each accountable for the financial performance of our entire Company. David Gilbert and Michael A. Lawler are responsible for their respective division and report to the Chief Executive Officer. As our President of the Hospitality Group, Mr. Gilbert is accountable for our growth in restaurants, lodging and affiliated markets, gift/loyalty card business as well as our payroll business line. As our President of the Strategic Markets Group, Mr. Lawler is accountable for our growth in certain markets, including services, education, healthcare, government, petroleum and e-commerce markets, utilities and micropayments. Charles H.N. Kallenbach, our Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, is responsible for all of our legal issues including securities compliance, corporate governance, mergers and acquisitions, commercial transactions, litigation, government regulation compliance and risk management.

Named executive officer base salaries are set at levels that recognize their greater role in our success, the market for their positions and their additional roles and managerial responsibilities. Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Robert O. Carr, annually reviews the responsibilities and performance of our named executive officers and also recommends the base salaries for our named executive officers, excluding himself, to our Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee reviews those recommendations and, with any modifications it considers appropriate, approves the base salaries. Our Compensation Committee independently assesses the responsibilities and performance of our Chief Executive Officer and sets his base salary and annual cash bonus accordingly.

In 2014, our Compensation Committee both reviewed the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer and its own assessment of our Chief Executive Officer’s responsibilities and performance in setting the base salaries of our named executive officers. Through its review, our Compensation Committee determined that, after taking into

account our Company’s financial performance and the responsibilities and individual performance of the named executive officers, each named executive officer, with the exception of Mr. Lawler, would be provided a 2.5% salary increase for 2014 compared to 2013. This increase was consistent with increases offered to most of our employees and our Compensation Committee believes it is likely to be consistent with salary increases at our peers. Mr. Lawler’s increase reflected his performance and role, and was also to bridge a material gap from the market median; however, his 2014 salary remained slightly below the median of the peer group data for his benchmark.

Annual Salaries Paid

Executive	2013 Salary Paid	2014 Salary Paid	Percent Increase
Robert O. Carr	\$772,938	\$789,227	2.5%
Samir M. Zabaneh ⁽¹⁾	N/A	\$331,846	N/A
Michael A. Lawler ⁽²⁾	\$307,500	\$400,000	30.1%
David Gilbert	\$379,998	\$388,791	2.5%
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	\$463,063	\$474,639	2.5%
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	\$376,871	\$386,335	2.5%

(1) Mr. Zabaneh was hired on April 7, 2014, with a beginning annual salary of \$400,000. Mr. Zabaneh's pro-rated salary paid from his start date through December 31, 2014 was \$331,846.

(2) Mr. Lawler was appointed our President, Strategic Markets Group on June 18, 2012, with a beginning annual salary of \$300,000. Mr. Lawler's 30.1% increase in salary for 2014 was due to his undertaking of additional duties and responsibilities and to bridge a material gap in his salary against executive officers of our peer group companies with similar job titles and/or job responsibilities.

Annual Incentive Cash Compensation. We believe that a portion of annual cash compensation for our named executive officers should be “at risk,” i.e. contingent upon successful company and/or individual performance. Therefore, annual incentive cash compensation for named executive officers is tied to overall company performance relative to the annual budget results, extraordinary individual performance, or both.

The chart below provides an overview of how we determine our annual incentive cash compensation:

$$\text{Base Salary} \times \text{Target Award Percentage} \times \text{Performance Percentage (Based on the Overall Financial Goals of our Company and the Financial Goals of Individual Business Unit Managed)} = \text{Annual Incentive Cash Compensation}$$

Setting the Target Awards. Our Chief Executive Officer’s target bonus is set at 100% of his annual base salary and the payout is determined by our Compensation Committee based on our financial and operating performance and/or our Chief Executive Officer’s individual results. For our other named executive officers, except for our recent external new hires, Messrs. Zabaneh and Gilbert, the target bonus is set at 50% of their annual base salaries, and the actual pay-out is determined at the discretion of our Compensation Committee based on the recommendation of our Chief Executive Officer and our financial and operating performance relative to the budget and/or the named executive officer’s individual results. With respect to Mr. Gilbert, who was hired as our President - Hospitality Group in July 2012, his bonus was guaranteed for his first full two years of employment with us, so his 2014 bonus was set at 50% of his pro-rated salary through his two year anniversary in July 2014 . With respect to Mr. Zabaneh, who was hired as our Chief Financial Officer in April 2014, his bonus is guaranteed for his first two years of employment with us, therefore his 2014 bonus was set at 50% of his pro-rated salary from April 7, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

Minimum Profit Hurdle In March 2014 pursuant to our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, our Compensation Committee adopted the 2014 Bonus Plan. In order to take advantage of the tax deduction afforded certain performance

based-compensation , a minimum hurdle of Earnings Per Share adjusted for stock compensation expenses in excess of \$1.50 was set by the Compensation Committee. Our adjusted Earnings Per Share was \$2.05; therefore, we met this hurdle, although most named officers were not provided a bonus, or were provided a fraction of their bonus opportunity, for falling short of other goals described herein.

Determining the Performance Percentage. In determining bonus awards for Messrs. Zabaneh, Baldwin, Gilbert, Kallenbach and Lawler, our Chief Executive Officer and our Compensation Committee reviewed various financial performance measurements relative to the applicable annual budget for such measurements. Specific measurements used to examine performance included, but were not strictly limited to, (i) net revenue, (ii) total expenses, (iii) operating income and (iv) adjusted earnings per share. This review was performed with reference to the applicable budget or budgets for each executive - i.e., the named executive officer's individual budget, the budget for the business units that report to such named executive officer and/or our Company-wide budget. While specific, mechanical targets which result in enumerated payouts are not set for these performance measures, our Chief Executive Officer and our Compensation Committee require actual performance for a fiscal year to meet or exceed budget for the measurements listed above in order to pay bonuses at target levels.

Ultimately, the amount of a named executive officer's (other than our Chief Executive Officer's) bonus (and whether the named executive officer receives a bonus for a particular year) is determined based on the recommendation of our Chief Executive Officer, subject to final determination by our Compensation Committee, and, with respect to our Chief Executive Officer's bonus, is determined in the discretion of our Compensation Committee. Exceptional performance may result in payment of an annual bonus that exceeds the named executive officer's target bonus. Our Compensation Committee believes that this process takes into account both quantitative and qualitative performance measures to provide a meaningful review and therefore an accurate reflection of each named executive officer's role in helping us achieve our financial and operating performance goals.

Our Compensation Committee generally focuses on the critical role that the annual operating budget, and our performance relative to that budget, plays when determining executive compensation. Our Board of Directors, in its review of the annual expense budget, expects to have good visibility as to our profitability during each coming year, and analyzes those results against its own, and the equity markets' collective, expectations for that profit performance. Then, after a year is completed, if results fall short of those budgeted levels, the variable cash compensation element is typically relatively modest, because a significant bonus is paid only if quantifiably superior revenue growth or cost management allow us to meet or exceed our budgeted results.

When the 2014 goals were set, they were considered to be ambitious, but attainable, and designed to result in bonus payments that would reflect the achievement of meaningful performance requirements. Below is a summary of our 2014 financial goals and our performance relative to those goals:

Financial Goal	2014 Target Performance	2014 Actual Performance	Was Goal Achieved?
Net Revenue	\$676 million	\$673 million	No
Total Expenses	\$545 million	\$554 million	No
Operating Income	\$131 million	\$119 million	No
Adjusted Earnings Per Share ⁽¹⁾	\$2.44	\$2.27	No

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted earnings per share is measured as earnings per share from continuing operations excluding non-operating gains or losses, acquisition-related amortization expense and share-based compensation expense.

Based on these financial performance results, our Compensation Committee awarded each named executive officer, the following percentage of their target award:

• Mr. Carr received 0% of his target award, which was his recommendation to our Compensation Committee based on our Company's actual annual performance not meeting all of our financial and operating performance goals.

Mr. Zabaneh received 0% of his target award based on our Company's actual annual performance not meeting all of our financial goals, but received a bonus of 50% of his 2014 base salary based on the terms of his Letter Agreement dated March 28, 2014 (the "Zabaneh Letter Agreement") he entered into upon joining our Company.

Mr. Lawler received 50% of his target award. Our Compensation Committee determined that, despite all of our financial and operational performance goals not being met, the business units he is responsible for leading performed well.

Mr. Gilbert received 0% of his target award based on our Company's actual performance not meeting all of our financial goals but received a bonus of 50% of his base salary from January 1, 2014 to July 30, 2014 based on the terms of his Letter Agreement dated May 21, 2012 (the "Gilbert Letter Agreement") he entered into upon joining our Company.

Mr. Baldwin received 0% of his target award based on our Company's actual annual performance not meeting all of our financial and operating performance goals.

Mr. Kallenbach received 50% of his target award. Our Compensation Committee determined that, despite all of our financial and operating performance goals not being met, the business unit he is responsible for leading performed well.

Messrs. Zabaneh and Gilbert were provided minimum bonus awards that were contractually agreed to at the time they were hired; however, Mr. Gilbert does not have a minimum bonus in 2015 and Mr. Zabaneh's minimum bonus period ends on April 7, 2016.

Total Award - Determining the 2014 Bonus

As discussed above, our Company did not achieve its financial and operating performance goals in 2014. Our Chief Executive Officer recommended to our Compensation Committee adjusted performance percentage bonuses for the named executive officers that were based on the overall financial goals of our Company, the financial goals of individual business units managed by each named executive officer and also took into account contractual obligations. Below summarizes the awards paid in 2014 to each named executive officer:

Executive	2014 Salary	X	Target Bonus Percentage	X	Performance Percentage	=	2014 Bonus
Robert O. Carr	\$789,227		100%		—%		\$—
Samir M. Zabaneh ⁽¹⁾	\$331,846		50%		N/A		\$146,666
Michael A. Lawler	\$400,000		50%		50%		\$100,000
David Gilbert ⁽²⁾	\$388,731		50%		N/A		\$107,917
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	\$474,639		50%		—%		\$—
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	\$386,335		50%		50%		\$96,584

⁽¹⁾ Pursuant to the Zabaneh Letter Agreement, Mr. Zabaneh received a bonus of 50% of his pro-rated salary from April 7, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

⁽²⁾ Pursuant to the Gilbert Letter Agreement, Mr. Gilbert received a bonus of 50% of his pro-rated salary from January 1, 2014 through July 30, 2014.

For purposes of comparison, the table below sets forth the 2014 annual incentive cash compensation and 2013 annual incentive cash compensation.

Executive	2013 Bonus	2014 Bonus
Robert O. Carr	\$—	\$—
Samir M. Zabaneh ⁽¹⁾	N/A	\$146,666
Michael A. Lawler	\$110,700	\$100,000
David Gilbert ⁽²⁾	\$185,000	\$107,917
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	\$92,613	\$—
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	\$135,688	\$96,584

(1) Mr. Zabaneh was hired as our Chief Financial Officer on April 7, 2014. The bonus paid to him was based on the pro-rated salary paid to him from April 7, 2014 to December 31, 2014.

(2) Mr. Gilbert was hired as President - Hospitality Group in July 2013. The bonus paid to him in 2014 was based on the pro-rated salary paid to him from January 1, 2014 through July 30, 2014. The bonus paid to him in 2013 was for all of fiscal year 2013. Mr. Gilbert does not have a minimum bonus in 2015 or beyond.

Based on data prepared by FW Cook, our named executive officers' 2014 total cash compensation (salary and bonus) generally was below median in the aggregate, compared to executive officers of our peer group companies with similar job titles and/or job responsibilities.

Equity Incentive Programs. Equity-based compensation is an integral part of our overall compensation program. We believe that equity grants effectively focus our named executive officers on increasing long-term value for our stockholders, help to build a long-term ownership orientation, provide employment retention, and are an effective substitute for defined benefit pension plans or other non-qualified retirement programs. These equity-based incentives are based on various factors primarily relating to the responsibilities of the individual named executive officer, his or her past performance, anticipated future contributions and prior equity grants. In general, our Compensation Committee bases its decisions to grant equity-based incentives on recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer's overall evaluation of the named executive officers (except with respect to grants made to our Chief Executive Officer) and our Compensation Committee's analysis of relevant compensation information, with the intention of keeping the named executive officer's overall compensation, including the equity component of such compensation, at a competitive level relative to our peers, in line with our budget for the named executive officer's position and reflective of the named executive officer's contribution to our performance. Our Compensation Committee also considers the other elements of the named executive officer's compensation, as well as our compensation objectives and policies described in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. As with the determination of base salaries and annual incentive cash compensation, our Compensation Committee exercises subjective judgment and discretion after taking into account the above criteria.

Awards to named executive officers pursuant to our amended and restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan are generally made on an annual basis. Equity awards granted to our named executive officers in 2014 are described below.

2014 Equity Grants to Named Executive Officers

Our Board of Directors and Compensation Committee continue to believe that equity ownership represents one of the named executive officers' most significant compensation opportunities and will encourage responsible long-term decision-making. At the end of each fiscal year, when our Company is setting its new budget and the likelihood of achieving performance goals and "say-on-pay" results from the prior year's Annual Stockholders meeting are known, our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee set equity grant amounts for each named executive officer. In the fourth quarter of 2014, our Board of Directors and Compensation Committee set our equity compensation program for our named executive officers as part of our mission of aligning the incentives of our named executive officers with those of our stockholders. Such alignment resulted in 80% of the total equity grants to our named executive officers consisting of performance share units. Half of the performance share units will vest based on our Company's three-year cumulative non-GAAP diluted earnings per share growth and the remaining half will vest based on our Company's absolute total shareholder return over a three or four-year period based on achieving targeted growth in the price of our Common Stock. The remaining 20% of the total equity grants to our named executive officers consist of RSUs which vest ratably based on such named executive officer's continuous service with us over a four-year period.

Our Compensation Committee uses earnings per share as a performance metrics because we believe increased earnings per share should increase the price of our Common Stock and promote stockholder returns that exceed similar investments. Our Compensation Committee uses Absolute TRSUs because it believes that stockholders should benefit from a positive return before PRSUs are earned. Our Compensation Committee awarded 20% of 2014 equity value in RSUs that time-vest over four years to promote retention and alignment with stockholders.

In 2013, our Compensation Committee granted our Chief Executive Officer double the amount of the equity awards that he would normally receive in a year with the intention of granting him half the amount of the equity awards that he would normally receive in each of 2014 and 2015. Our Chief Executive Officer's 2014 equity value was consistent with the proposed front-load design that was begun in 2013, which called for the 2014 value to be 50% of the normal annual amount. This approach results in a front-end loaded equity grant for our Chief Executive Officer in which he will receive the same amount of equity over a three-year period that he would normally receive in a three-year period. Our Compensation Committee awarded our Chief Executive Officer this front-loaded equity grant in order retain and motivate him. The following table illustrates how our Chief Executive Officer's equity grant is expected to be the same as he would normally receive over a three-year period:

	Equity Grant (% Annual Value)			
	2013	+ 2014	+ 2015	= 3-Year Total
Normal Annual Program	100%	100%	100%	300%

2013-15 CEO Front-Load	200%	50%	50%	300%
------------------------	------	-----	-----	------

Performance Stock Units - Earnings Per Share. Our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 44,113 PRSUs to our named executive officers. These PRSUs are non-vested equity grants for which the vesting percentage and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on our Company's cumulative non-GAAP diluted earnings per share growth for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2017. The target for earning 100% of the grant is achieving earnings per share growth of 40% over the three-year period. Earnings per share will be calculated on a pro forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losses, if any, and exclude the after-tax impact of stock compensation expense. For each 1% that the cumulative growth rate actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2017 is above the 40% target, the number of shares underlying the PRSUs awarded would be increased by 1.20%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that will vest is 150%. Likewise, for each 1% that the cumulative growth rate actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2017 is below the 40% target, the number of shares underlying the PRSUs that will vest would be decreased by 1.50%. If the target growth rate is missed by 50% or more, then the named executive officers would fail to reach the threshold performance level and the number of shares that will vest is 0. We recorded expense on these PRSUs based on achieving the 40% target.

The following table demonstrates these results for several potential outcomes:

	3-Year EPS Growth 2015-17	% of Target Achieved	Payout as % of Target ¹
Maximum	90%	225%	250%
	80%	200%	220%
	70%	175%	190%
	52%	130%	136%
Target	40%	100%	100%
	36%	90%	85%
	32%	80%	70%

	30%	75%	63%
Threshold	20%	50%	25%

¹ Linear interpolation between points shown.

Performance Stock Units - Absolute Total Shareholder Return. Our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 48,671 Absolute TRSUs of our named executive officers. These Absolute TRSUs are non-vested equity grants for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on our three or four year cumulative total shareholder return of our Common Stock. Our named executive officers have the ability to earn performance share units based on three year Absolute TRSU performance pursuant to the table below. However, if the performance level for the four year Absolute TRSU period exceeds the performance level for the three-year period, additional performance share units can be earned by our named executive officers. To account for the ability to earn extra performance share units at the four-year period, the Compensation Committee set higher goals for the four-year period. The goal of the design was to provide incentives for our named executive officers to increase performance over a three year period while allowing the named executive officers the ability to drive superior performance for the fourth year to earn a higher award.

The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018, plus dividends paid per share over the three or four year period, divided by the closing price on December 19, 2014. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return of 46% over four years, although there is the ability to accelerate earnout after three years for strong total shareholder return in the interim.

The following table demonstrates these results for several potential outcomes and shows that there is no earnout for performance that is below-target:

	3-Year Cumulative TSR	4-Year Cumulative TSR	Earned as % of Target ¹
Maximum	73%	107%	200%
	62%	91%	173%
	52%	75%	148%
	42%	60%	123%
Target*	33%	46%	100%
	<33%	<33%	—%

¹ Linear interpolation between points shown.

Service-Based Restricted Stock Units. In light of our Company's financial and operating performance in 2014, and taking into account the increase in price of our Common Stock achieved during 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate grants of 22,054 RSUs to certain of our named executive officers. These RSUs are non-vested equity grants which will vest at the rate of 25% on each annual anniversary of the grant date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us. Our Compensation Committee believe that these RSU grants will promote retention and continue to align the interests of our named executive officers with those of our stockholders.

The table below sets forth the types and number of 2014 annual equity grants made to each of the named executive officers:

Name and Title	Number of Equity Grants		
	Target Performance PRSUs	Share Units (80%) Absolute TRSUs	Service Based RSUs (20%)
Robert O. Carr - Chairman and CEO	19,117	21,091	9,558
Samir M. Zabaneh - Chief Financial Officer	6,617	7,301	3,308
Michael A. Lawler, President - Strategic Markets	7,352	8,112	3,676
David Gilbert, President - Hospitality Group	4,411	4,867	2,205
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr. - Vice Chairman	2,205	2,433	1,102
	4,411	4,867	2,205

Charles H.N. Kallenbach - Chief Legal Officer,
General Counsel and Secretary

25

The following table sets forth the target value of the 2014 annual equity grants to each of the named executive officers:

Name and Title	Grant Date Fair Value of Equity Grants*		
	Target Performance Share Units (80%) PRSUs	Absolute TRSUs	Service Based RSUs (20%)
Robert O. Carr - Chairman and CEO	\$1,039,965	\$1,147,350	\$519,955
Samir M. Zabaneh - Chief Financial Officer	\$359,965	\$397,174	\$179,955
Michael A. Lawler, President - Strategic Markets	\$399,949	\$441,293	\$199,974
David Gilbert, President - Hospitality Group	\$239,958	\$264,765	\$119,952
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr. - Vice Chairman	\$119,952	\$132,355	\$59,949
Charles H.N. Kallenbach - Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary	\$239,958	\$264,765	\$119,952

* Grant date fair value equals number of shares awarded times the market price at the time of the award.

Treatment on Change of Control. All of the RSUs granted in December 2014 contain double trigger accelerated vesting provisions wherein after a “change of control” of our Company, and a named executive officer’s employment is terminated for certain reasons, or the RSUs are not assumed or substituted by the acquiror, the unvested RSUs immediately vest. In the event of a change of control, the benchmarks of the performance share units described above shall be deemed to be earned at the target performance level and will vest in accordance with the original vesting schedule; provided, however, if there is a change of control and the named executive officer’s employment is terminated for certain reasons or the equity grants are not assumed or substituted by the acquiror, then the performance share units will vest immediately. These accelerated vesting provisions were viewed as a performance-based mechanism that also recognized it would be impossible to continue measuring performance versus an earnings per share goal or a total shareholder return goal if our Company was no longer a stand-alone entity.

Treatment on Termination of Employment. In each case, if the named executive officer is not employed by us at the relevant vesting date of the RSUs or performance share units, the unvested portion of such RSUs or performance share units will terminate. For additional information regarding 2014 equity awards granted to our named executive officers, see “Grants of Plan-Based Awards.”

Previous Equity Grants and 2014 Performance

2014 Performance and Payout of 2010 Performance Share Units. In the fourth quarter of 2010, our Compensation Committee granted performance-share units to Messrs. Carr, Baldwin and Kallenbach. These performance share units were non-vested share awards, which were earned and vested as follows:

50% vested on March 1, 2013, since the 2012 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$1.48 was achieved;

25% vested on March 1, 2014, since the 2013 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$1.74 was achieved; and

25% vested on March 1, 2015, since the 2014 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$2.04 was achieved.

Diluted earnings per share is calculated on a pro forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losses, if any, and excluding the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense. Actual pro forma diluted earnings per share were \$1.82, \$2.17 and \$2.05, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

As a result, our Compensation Committee determined that the following 2010 performance share units had been earned at 100% of target and approved the following share pay-out amounts:

Name	2010 PRSU Target Shares	2010 PRSU Shares Earned
Robert O. Carr	55,000	55,000
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	15,000	15,000
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	1,500	1,500

Payout of 2012 Performance Share Units. In the fourth quarter of 2012, our Compensation Committee approved target grants of performance share units to Messrs. Carr, Baldwin and Kallenbach. These performance share units were non-vested share awards, which could vest 50% on March 1, 2015 and 50% on March 1, 2016, if our Company achieved a diluted earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") of 15% for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014. Additionally, for each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two-year period ending on December 31, 2014 that is below the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the performance share units granted would be decreased by 1.31%.

Pro forma earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$1.82 and our Company achieved pro forma diluted earnings per share of \$2.05 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The resulting CAGR for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014 failed to meet the performance target, resulting in the named executive officers earning a decreased amount of the underlying performance shares of 23% of their respective targets, of which 50% of these performance share units vested on March 1, 2015 and the remaining 50% will vest on March 1, 2016 if the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us through such date. Diluted earnings per share were calculated on a pro forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losses, if any, and exclude the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense.

As a result of these CAGR results, our Compensation Committee determined that the following 2012 performance share units had been earned at 23% of target and approved the following share pay-out amounts which were paid on March 1, 2015. An amount equivalent to performance share units earned will be paid on March 1, 2016.

Name	2012 PRSU Target Shares	2012 PRSU Shares Earned
Robert O. Carr	18,773	4,355
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	5,279	1,225
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	3,084	715

2014 Chairman and CEO Total Compensation. The nature of our business, with lean management and a commission-based sales force, makes the performance and dedication of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer unusually important to our success. Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is an industry veteran with an exceptional understanding of the industry and our Company, and is deeply engaged in the operations of our Company. We provided our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer with total compensation value in 2014 that was less than half of what he was provided in 2013 due to the front-loading of his equity awards in 2013, which is described under the heading "2014 Equity Grants to named executive officers." In addition, 80% of such equity awards granted to, and 69% of the total compensation of, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are performance contingent and, therefore, he will only receive such compensation if our Company meets or exceeds its performance targets. The following graphic illustrates the breakdown of the compensation mix of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer:

¹ 2014 actual cash incentive bonus was \$0

	CEO Compensation	
	2013	2014
Base Salary.....	\$772,938	\$789,227
Annual Bonus.....	—	—
Long-term Incentives.....	9,002,007	2,707,270
All Other Compensation.....	4,950	4,950
Total.....	\$9,779,895	3,501,447
Percent Change from prior year.....	107.7	% (64.2)%

Severance. For all of our named executive officers other than Mr. Carr, we have set potential severance payments for an involuntary termination other than for cause at one year's continued payment of base salary plus a pro-rated bonus which runs concurrently with our named executive officers' covenants not to compete with us for one year following an involuntary termination of their employment other than for cause. Our Compensation Committee believes that severance arrangements are important because they provide our named executive officers with security in case of an involuntary termination other than for cause and allow us to secure a release from future claims, and enjoy the benefit of certain covenants not to compete with our Company or solicit our employees.

Mr. Carr's potential severance payment for an involuntary termination other than for cause is set at two years' continued payment of base salary plus a pro-rated bonus, which runs concurrently with his covenant not to compete with us and his covenant not to solicit our customers or suppliers for two years following such involuntary termination. However, in the event of an involuntary termination of his employment other than for cause following a change of control, his severance payments and covenant not to compete are for a one year period following such involuntary termination.

Other Equity Compensation Policies

Margin and Hedging Policies. By means of our insider trading policy, we prohibit directors, officers and employees from entering into margin loans using our Common Stock or pledging shares of our Common Stock as collateral for loans as well as from engaging in hedging or monetization transactions.

Stock Ownership Guidelines. We do not have a policy requiring executives to hold shares acquired upon option exercise, or upon RSU or performance share unit vesting; however, our Compensation Committee has adopted stock ownership guidelines, which are described below. Subject to a five-year phase-in period from the later of the adoption of the stock ownership guidelines on December 10, 2012 (the "Adoption Date") or the appointment as an executive officer or director, our stock ownership guidelines preclude executive officers from selling shares of our Common Stock until they own shares with a market value ranging from one to six times their base salary. Our Chief Executive Officer must retain ownership worth six times his base salary. Our other executive officers must retain ownership worth one times their respective base salaries. Non-employee directors are prohibited from selling shares of our Common Stock until they own shares with a market value worth five times the annualized cash board retainer paid to non-employee directors. Shares of Common Stock held outright and not pledged, shares of Common Stock held in trust, shares of Common Stock held in retirement accounts and time-vested RSUs are counted for purposes of meeting the ownership guidelines. When exercising options or upon the vesting of RSUs, non-employee directors and executive officers who have not yet met the ownership guidelines may sell shares acquired upon exercise or vesting to cover transaction costs and taxes and are expected to hold any remaining shares until the guidelines are achieved. Once a non-employee director or executive officer attains his or her required ownership level, the director or officer must maintain that ownership level until he or she no longer serves as a non-employee director or executive officer. Executive officers are expected to achieve the target ownership threshold upon the later of five years of appointment as an executive officer or five years from the Adoption Date and non-employee directors are expected to achieve the target ownership threshold upon the later of five years of election to the Board of Directors or five years from the Adoption Date. We believe our stock ownership and retention guidelines strongly align the interests of management and our Board of Directors with the interests of stockholders because executives and non-employee directors become stockholders with a considerable investment in our Company. Our stock ownership guidelines are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee.

Other Compensation-Related Policies

Recovery of Executive Compensation. At the current time, we, along with all other public companies, are awaiting rulemakings by the SEC under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act related to certain compensation policies. Specifically, Section 954 of the Dodd-Frank Act directs the SEC to issue rules directing the national securities exchanges to prohibit the listing of companies which do not have a "clawback" policy. Upon the issuance of the final rules regarding Section 954, we will adopt a clawback policy consistent with the final rules. In December 2011, our Compensation Committee adopted a Compensation Recovery Policy that relates to the restatement of our financial statements due to material non-compliance with any financial reporting requirements under the securities laws. The Compensation Recovery Policy provides that we will be entitled to recoup from all employees carrying the title of Director (an internal title for certain employees) or higher (the "Covered Grantee") all performance awards that were paid to the Covered Grantee to the extent that they would not have been paid if performance had been measured in accordance with the restated financials. The Compensation Recovery Policy will only apply to performance awards paid to the Covered Grantee during the three-year period prior to the date on which we discovered that we were required to restate our financials. We may recoup such amount in any manner as determined by our Compensation Committee at the time. All of the performance share units granted to our named

executive officers in December 2012 and December 2013 are subject to this Compensation Recovery Policy. Response to 2014 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation. At our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, our stockholders were presented with, and voted on, a non-binding, advisory vote on executive compensation or “say-

on-pay” vote. Stockholders expressed substantial support for the compensation of our named executive officers, with over 98% of the votes cast for approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation. We reported the result of the vote on a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 9, 2014. In 2014, our Compensation Committee and FW Cook discussed the result of the “say-on-pay” vote. Our Compensation Committee remains committed to paying for performance and encourages any stockholders who have comments or questions about our compensation programs to communicate with us as set forth in “Communication with Directors” above.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

For our financial statements, cash compensation is expensed and for our income tax returns, cash compensation is deductible. From the perspective of the named executive officers, such cash compensation is taxable as appropriate for that individual. For equity-based compensation, we do not provide named executive officers with immediately vesting equity grants in order to focus them on their long-term contributions to us and on the long-term appreciation in the value of our Common Stock. For future vesting equity grants to named executive officers, the fair value of such grants is expensed over the vesting period. For performance-based equity grants, we establish performance targets and evaluate the likelihood of achieving these performance targets. We record share-based compensation expense on our financial statements based on the levels of performance targets probable of being achieved. If achieving performance targets is not probable to occur, no share-based compensation expense is recorded on our financial statements. The evaluation of the likelihood of achieving these performance targets will be repeated quarterly.

Equity grants in the form of RSUs are generally not taxable to the holder until the RSUs vest. The tax impact to the named executive officers upon the vesting of RSUs can be different for the recipient and the Company. Our tax benefit may be limited by Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) unless the grants have been structured to satisfy the requirements of performance-based compensation. Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) is discussed below. Equity grants in the form of performance share units are generally not taxable to the named executive officers until vesting occurs. Performance share units that meet all the requirements of performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code section 162(m), which are the type we generally award, will provide a matching tax benefit to the Company.

The accounting and tax treatment of compensation pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m), FASB ASC Topic 718, and other applicable rules, is a factor in determining the amounts of compensation for named executive officers. Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) limits public companies from taking a federal tax deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain of its executive officers, excluding performance-based compensation that meets requirements mandated by the statute. For example, our amended and restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, approved by our stockholders, is designed to allow the granting of awards that may qualify as performance-based compensation. When appropriate, our Compensation Committee intends to preserve deductibility under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) of compensation paid to our named executive officers. However, in certain situations, our Compensation Committee may approve compensation that will not meet these requirements in order to ensure the total compensation for our executive officers is consistent with the policies described above, particularly with regard to our Chief Executive Officer’s salary. Accordingly, our Compensation Committee approved cash compensation above \$1 million for our Chief Executive Officer in 2013, some portion of which may not qualify as performance-based compensation, based on the determination that the benefit of providing compensation to our Chief Executive Officer at a level that we believe necessary to retain and reward his talents outweighs the cost of any lost tax deductibility.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management, and based on these reviews and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Company’s Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A for the Company’s 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Robert H. Niehaus, Chairman

Mitchell L. Hollin

Jonathan J. Palmer

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The members of our Compensation Committee during 2014 included Messrs. Hollin, Niehaus and Palmer. None of our executive officers serves on the board of directors or compensation committee of a company that has an executive officer that serves on our Board of Directors or our Compensation Committee. No member of our Compensation Committee has ever been an officer or employee of ours.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS

Summary Compensation Table

The following table shows the compensation paid or to be paid by us, and certain other compensation paid or accrued, during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 to our named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus (5)	Stock Awards (6)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total Compensation
Robert O. Carr Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ⁽¹⁾	2014	\$789,227	\$—	\$2,707,270	—	\$4,950	\$3,501,447
	2013	\$772,938	\$—	\$9,002,007	—	\$4,950	\$9,779,895
	2012	\$751,206	\$751,197	\$3,199,982	—	\$5,434	\$4,707,819
Samir M. Zabaneh Chief Financial Officer ⁽²⁾	2014	\$300,000	\$146,666	\$1,937,087	—	\$40,767	\$2,424,520
Michael A. Lawler President - Strategic Markets Group ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	2014	\$400,000	\$—	\$1,041,216	\$100,000	\$4,950	\$1,546,166
	2013	\$307,500	\$110,700	\$1,249,997	—	\$4,950	\$1,673,147
	2012	\$262,918	\$132,500	\$1,385,953	—	\$5,022	\$1,786,393
David Gilbert President - Hospitality Group ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	2014	\$388,731	\$107,917	\$624,675	—	\$4,950	\$1,126,273
	2013	\$379,998	\$185,000	\$749,998	—	\$5,699	\$1,320,695
	2012	\$157,014	\$77,083	\$1,665,946	—	\$4,422	\$1,904,465
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr. Vice Chairman ⁽¹⁾	2014	\$474,639	\$—	\$312,256	—	\$4,950	\$791,845
	2013	\$463,063	\$92,613	\$749,998	—	\$4,950	\$1,310,624
	2012	\$451,769	\$225,884	\$899,948	—	\$5,095	\$1,582,696
Charles H.N. Kallenbach Chief Legal Officer, General Counsel and Secretary ⁽¹⁾	2014	\$386,335	\$—	\$624,675	\$96,584	\$4,950	\$1,112,544
	2013	\$376,871	\$135,688	\$600,007	—	\$4,950	\$1,117,516
	2012	\$365,497	\$183,859	\$525,960	—	\$5,095	\$1,080,411

Named executive officers received \$3,750 in 2014, 2013 and 2012 as a 401(K) Plan matching contribution and (1)\$1,200 in 2014, 2013 and, 2012 as a contribution to their Health Savings Accounts. These amounts are included in the column entitled “All Other Compensation” above.

Mr. Zabaneh was named our Chief Financial Officer in April 2014 Mr. Baldwin served as our Interim Chief Financial Officer from October 2013 to April 2014. Mr. Zabaneh received \$3,000 as a 401(K) Plan matching contribution. Mr. Zabaneh received \$37,767 for commuting and temporary housing expenses pursuant to the Zabaneh Letter Agreement.

(3)Mr. Lawler was named our President - Strategic Markets Group in June 2012.

(4)Mr. Gilbert was named our President - Strategic Markets Group in June 2012.

(5)Represents annual cash bonus. See “Annual Incentive Cash Compensation” for an explanation of these payments.

Amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards as determined under FASB ASC Topic 718.
(6) No stock options to named executive officers were awarded during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

31

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table lists grants of plan-based awards made to our named executive officers during 2014 and the related total fair value of these awards. Named executive officers did not provide cash consideration for the listed awards.

Name	Date	Award	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)(2)(5)			All Other Stock Awards-Number Grant Date Fair of Shares or Market Value of Stock Units (3)(4)(5)	
			Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Stock Units	Market Value of Stock Awards
Robert O. Carr	12/19/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	9,558	\$ 519,955
	12/19/2014	PRSU	4,779	19,117	47,793	—	\$ 1,039,965
	12/19/2014	Absolute TRSUs	—	21,091	42,182	—	\$ 1,147,350
Samir M. Zabaneh	4/7/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	25,608	\$ 999,992
	12/19/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	3,308	\$ 179,955
	12/19/2014	PRSU	1,654	6,617	16,543	—	\$ 359,965
Michael A. Lawler	12/19/2014	Absolute TRSUs	—	7,301	14,602	—	\$ 397,174
	12/19/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	3,676	\$ 199,974
	12/19/2014	PRSU	1,838	7,352	16,542	—	\$ 399,949
David Gilbert	12/19/2014	Absolute TRSUs	—	8,112	20,280	—	\$ 441,293
	12/19/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	2,205	\$ 119,952
	12/19/2014	PRSU	1,103	4,411	11,028	—	\$ 238,958
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	12/19/2014	Absolute TRSUs	—	4,867	9,734	—	\$ 264,765
	12/19/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	1,102	\$ 59,949
	12/19/2014	PRSU	551	2,205	5,513	—	\$ 119,952
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	12/19/2014	Absolute TRSUs	—	2,433	4,866	—	\$ 132,355
	12/19/2014	RSUs	—	—	—	2,205	\$ 119,952
	12/19/2014	PRSU	1,103	4,411	11,028	—	\$ 238,958
	12/19/2014	Absolute TRSUs	—	4,867	9,734	—	\$ 264,765

On December 19, 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 44,113 PRSUs to our named executive officers. These PRSUs will vest only if we achieve a pro forma diluted earnings per share growth (1) rate of forty percent (40%) over the three-year period ending December 31, 2017. Shares actually earned could range from 0% to 250% of target determined by the actual growth rate achieved over the three-year period ending December 31, 2017.

On December 19, 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 48,671 Absolute TRSUs to our named executive officers. These Absolute TRSUs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the Company's three or four year total shareholder return of our common stock. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to (2) 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 18, 2017 or December 18, 2018, divided by the closing price on December 18, 2014. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return of 33% over three years or 46% over four years. The Company recorded expense on these Absolute TRSUs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Absolute TRSUs.

(3) On December 19, 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate grants of 22,054 service vesting RSUs to our named executive officers. These RSUs are non-vested equity grants which will vest at the rate of 25% on each annual anniversary of the award date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us.

(4) On April 7, 2014, the date Mr. Zabaneh was named our Chief Financial Officer, our Compensation Committee approved 25,608 service vesting RSUs to Mr. Zabaneh. These RSUs are non-vested equity grants which will vest at the rate of 25% on each annual anniversary of the award date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us.

(5) See “Equity Incentive Programs” for an explanation of these awards.

Outstanding Equity Awards

The following tables set forth information regarding outstanding equity awards held by named executive officers as of December 31, 2014. In the Outstanding Equity Awards table, each outstanding stock option award is listed individually along with the breakout of the number of stock options which are exercisable and unexercisable.

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Robert O. Carr	—	—	—	—	55,000(1)(14)	\$2,967,250
	—	—	—	—	47,771(2)(15)	2,577,245
	—	—	—	—	7,962(3)(16)	429,550
	—	—	—	—	37,546(4)(17)	2,025,607
	—	—	—	—	37,723(5)(18)	2,035,156
	—	—	—	—	16,091(6)(19)	868,109
	—	—	—	—	80,695(7)(20)	4,353,495
	—	—	—	—	40,318(8)(21)	2,175,156
	—	—	—	—	41,742(9)(22)	2,251,981
	—	—	—	—	30,303(10)(23)	1,634,847
	—	—	—	—	9,558(11)(24)	515,654
	—	—	—	—	19,117(12)(25)	1,031,362
	—	—	—	—	21,091(13)(26)	1,137,859
Samir M. Zabaneh	—	—	—	—	3,308(11)(27)	\$178,467
	—	—	—	—	6,617(12)(28)	356,987
	—	—	—	—	7,301(13)(29)	393,889
Michael A. Lawler	—	—	—	—	25,608(30)	1,381,552
	—	—	—	—	6,250(31)	\$337,188
	—	—	—	—	13,844(32)	746,884
	—	—	—	—	3,117(4)(33)	168,162
	—	—	—	—	3,132(5)(34)	168,971
	—	—	—	—	11,211(7)(35)	604,833
	—	—	—	—	5,603(8)(36)	302,282
	—	—	—	—	5,791(9)(37)	312,424
David Gilbert	—	—	—	—	4,204(10)(38)	226,806
	—	—	—	—	3,676(11)(39)	198,320
	—	—	—	—	7,352(12)(40)	396,640
	—	—	—	—	8,112(13)(41)	437,642
	—	—	—	—	26,148(42)	\$1,410,685
	—	—	—	—	3,117(4)(43)	168,162
	—	—	—	—	3,132(5)(44)	168,971
	—	—	—	—	6,726(7)(45)	362,868
	—	—	—	—	3,362(8)(46)	181,380
	—	—	—	—	3,475(9)(47)	187,476
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	—	—	—	—	2,523(10)(48)	136,116
	—	—	—	—	2,205(11)(49)	118,960
	—	—	—	—	4,411(12)(50)	237,973
	—	—	—	—	4,867(13)(51)	262,575
	38,092	—	\$15.22	July 22, 2015	15,000(1)(52)	\$809,250
—	—	—	—	11,943(2)(53)	644,325	
—	—	—	—	1,991(3)(54)	107,414	

Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form DEF 14A

—	—	—	—	10,559(4)(55)	569,658
—	—	—	—	10,609(5)(56)	572,356
—	—	—	—	4,526(6)(57)	244,178
—	—	—	—	6,726(7)(58)	362,868
—	—	—	—	3,362(8)(59)	181,380
—	—	—	—	3,475(9)(60)	187,476
—	—	—	—	2,523(10)(61)	136,116
—	—	—	—	1,102(11)(62)	59,453
—	—	—	—	2,205(12)(63)	118,960
—	—	—	—	2,433(13)(64)	131,260

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that have not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Charles H. N. Kallenbach	—	—	—	—	1,500(1)(65)	\$80,925
	—	—	—	—	8,360(2)(66)	451,022
	—	—	—	—	1,394(3)(67)	75,206
	—	—	—	—	6,168(4)(68)	332,764
	—	—	—	—	6,197(5)(69)	334,328
	—	—	—	—	2,648(6)(70)	142,860
	—	—	—	—	5,381(7)(71)	290,305
	—	—	—	—	2,689(8)(72)	145,072
	—	—	—	—	2,780(19)(73)	149,981
	—	—	—	—	2,019(10)(74)	108,925
	—	—	—	—	2,205(11)(75)	118,960
	—	—	—	—	4,411(12)(76)	237,973
	—	—	—	—	4,867(13)(77)	262,575

On December 10, 2010, our Compensation Committee approved grants an aggregate of 508,800 Performance (1) Share Units to our employees, including our named executive officers. These Performance Share Units were nonvested share awards, which vest as follows:

- (a) 50% vested on March 1, 2013, since the 2012 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$1.48 was achieved; and
- (b) 25% vested on March 1, 2014, since the 2013 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$1.74 was achieved; and
- (c) 25% vested on March 1, 2015, since the 2014 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$2.04 was achieved.

On December 22, 2011, our Compensation Committee approved grants of Performance Share Units to our named executive officers. These Performance Share Units will vest in 2015 since we achieved a pro forma diluted (2) earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 in excess of the performance target. The CAGR achieved for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 resulted in holders earning the maximum 100% increase in the number of shares awarded.

On December 22, 2011, our Compensation Committee approved grants of service vesting Performance Share Units to our named executive officers. These are nonvested share awards which vest at the rate of 25% on each annual (3) anniversary of the award date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us through each respective vesting date. The closing price of our Common Stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested awards and which are recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods.

On December 10, 2012, our Compensation Committee approved target grants of an aggregate of 65,836 Performance Share Units to our named executive officers. These PRSUs are nonvested share awards which would vest 50% in 2015 and 50% in 2016 only if we achieve a diluted earnings per share CAGR of fifteen percent (15%) (4) for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014. The target number of shares for these PRSUs would have been earned had the Company achieved a pro forma diluted earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") of fifteen percent (15%) for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014. Based on actual CAGR achieved, these PRSUs were earned at 23% of target.

(5) On December 10, 2012, our Compensation Committee approved target grants of an aggregate of 66,148 Relative Total Shareholder Return Performance Share Units to our named executive officers. These Relative TRSUs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated

based on the total shareholder return of our Common Stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 86 peer companies. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 225%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 9, 2015, over the closing price on December 10, 2012. The target is based on achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65th percentile of the peer group.

(6) On December 10, 2012, our Compensation Committee approved grants of an aggregate of 48,423 service vesting RSUs to our named executive officers. These RSUs are nonvested share awards which vest at the rate of 25% on each annual anniversary of the award date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us through each respective vesting date. The closing price of our Common Stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested RSUs awards and which are recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods.

(7) On December 6, 2013, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 110,739 Performance Share Units to our named executive officers. These Performance Share Units will vest only if we achieve a pro forma diluted earnings per share growth rate of forty percent (40%) over the three-year period ending December 31, 2016. For each 1% that the growth rate actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2016 is above the 40% target, the number of shares underlying these Performance Share Units awarded would be increased by 1.20%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 150%. Likewise, for each 1% that the growth rate actually achieved for the three-year period ending on December 31, 2016 is below the 40% target, the number of shares underlying these Performance Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.50%. If the target growth rate is missed by 50% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero.

(8) On December 6, 2013, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 55,334 Relative TRSUs to our named executive officers. These Relative TRSUs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the total shareholder return of our common stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 91 peer companies.

The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 6, 2016, divided by the closing price on December 6, 2013. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65th percentile of the peer group.

(9) On December 6, 2013, the Compensation Committee approved and recommended to our Board of Directors, which subsequently approved aggregate target grants of 57,263 Absolute TRSUs to our named executive officer. These Absolute TRSUs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on our three or four year total shareholder return of our common stock. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 6, 2016 or December 6, 2017, divided by the closing price on December 6, 2013. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return of 33% over three years or 46% over four years.

(10) On December 6, 2013, our Compensation Committee approved and recommended to our Board of Directors, which subsequently approved aggregate grants of 55,426 service vesting RSUs to certain of our named executive officers. These RSUs are non-vested equity grants which vest at the rate of 25% on each annual anniversary of the award date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us through each respective vesting date.

(11) On December 19, 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate grants of 22,054 service vesting RSUs to our named executive officers. These RSUs are non-vested equity grants which will vest at the rate of 25% on each annual anniversary of the award date so long as the named executive officer remains in continuous service with us.

(12) On December 19, 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 44,113 PRSUs to our named executive officers. These PRSUs will vest only if we achieve a pro forma diluted earnings per share growth rate of forty percent (40%) over the three-year period ending December 31, 2017. Shares actually earned could range from 0% to 250% of target determined by the actual growth rate achieved over the three-year period ending December 31, 2017.

(13) On December 19, 2014, our Compensation Committee approved aggregate target grants of 48,671 Absolute TRSUs to our named executive officers. These Absolute TRSUs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the Company's three or four year total shareholder return of our common stock. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 200%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 18, 2017 or December 18, 2018, divided by the closing price on December 18, 2014. The target number of units is based on achieving a total shareholder return of 33% over three years or 46% over four years. The Company recorded expense on these Absolute TRSUs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these Absolute TRSUs.

(14) 55,000 Performance Share Units vested on March 1, 2015.

(15) 95,542 Performance Share Units vested on March 1, 2015. The number of Performance Share Units vesting was increased to the maximum number of shares based achieving the maximum target performance.

(16) 7,962 RSUs will vest on December 22, 2015 if Mr. Carr is still in continuous service with us through such date.

(17) 4,355 PRSUs vested on March 1, 2015 and 18,773 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2016 if Mr. Carr is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

(18) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (5) above are achieved, 37,723 Relative TRSUs will vest on December 10, 2015. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(19) 8,046 RSUs will vest on December 10, 2015 and 8,045 RSUs will vest on December 10, 2016 if Mr. Carr is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

(20) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (7) above are achieved, 40,348 Performance Share Units will vest on March 1, 2017 and 40,347 Performance Share Units will vest on March 1, 2018. The number of Performance Share Units vesting on each date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target

performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (8) above are achieved, 40,318 Relative TRSUs will vest (21) on March 1, 2017. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (9) above are achieved, 41,742 Absolute TRSUs will vest (22) on March 1, 2017 or March 1, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

10,101 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2015, 10,101 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2016, and 10,101 RSUs will (23) vest on December 6, 2017 if Mr. Carr is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

2,390 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2015, 2,390 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2016, 2,389 RSUs will vest (24) on December 19, 2017 and 2,389 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2018.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (12) above are achieved, 9,559 PRSUs will vest on (25) December 19, 2018 and 9,558 will vest on December 19, 2019. The number of PRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (13) above are achieved, 21,091 Absolute TRSUs will vest (26) on December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(27) 827 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2015, 827 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2016, 827 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2017, and 827 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2018.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (12) above are achieved, 3,309 PRSUs will vest on (28) December 19, 2018 and 3,308 will vest on December 19, 2019. The number of PRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (13) above are achieved, 7,301 Absolute TRSUs will vest (29) on December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(30) 6,402 RSUs will vest on April 7, 2015, 6,402 RSUs will vest on April 7, 2016, 6,402 RSUs will vest on April 7, 2017, and 6,402 RSUs will vest on April 7, 2018.

(31) 3,125 RSUs will vest on April 26, 2015, and 3,125 RSUs will vest on April 26, 2016.

(32) 6,922 RSUs will vest on July 20, 2015, and 6,922 RSUs will vest on July 20, 2016.

(33) 361 PRSUs vested on March 1, 2015 and 1,558 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2016 if Mr. Lawler is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (5) above are achieved, 3,132 Relative TRSUs will vest on (34) December 10, 2015. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (7) above are achieved, 5,606 PRSUs will vest on March 1, (35) 2017 and 5,605 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2018. The number of PRSUs vesting on each date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(36) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (8) above are achieved, 5,603 Relative TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(37) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (9) above are achieved, 5,791 Absolute TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017 or March 1, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(38) 1,402 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2015, 1,401 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2016, and 1,401 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2017.

(39) 919 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2015, 919 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2016, 919 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2017 and 919 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2018.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (12) above are achieved, 3,676 PRSUs will vest on (40) December 19, 2018 and 3,676 will vest on December 19, 2019. The number of PRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (13) above are achieved, 8,112 Absolute TRSUs will vest (41) on December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(42) 13,074 RSUs will vest on June 14, 2015, and 13,074 RSUs will vest on June 14, 2016.

(43) 361 PRSUs vested on March 1, 2015 and 1,558 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2016 if Mr. Gilbert is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (5) above are achieved, 3,132 Relative TRSUs will vest on (44) December 10, 2015. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (7) above are achieved, 3,363 PRSUs will vest on March 1, (45) 2017 and 3,363 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2018. The number of PRSUs vesting on each date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(46) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (8) above are achieved, 3,362 Relative TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(47)

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (9) above are achieved, 3,475 Absolute TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017 or March 1, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(48) 841 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2015, 841 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2016, and 841 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2017.

(49) 552 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2015, 551 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2016, 551 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2017 and 551 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2018.

(50) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (12) above are achieved, 2,206 PRSUs will vest on December 19, 2018 and 2,205 will vest on December 19, 2019. The number of PRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (13) above are achieved, 4,867 Absolute TRSUs will vest (51) on December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(52) 15,000 RSUs vested on March 1, 2015.

(53) 23,886 PRSUs vested on March 1, 2015. The number of Performance Share Units vesting was increased to the maximum number of shares based achieving the maximum target performance.

(54) 1,991 RSUs will vest on December 22, 2015 if Mr. Baldwin is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

(55) 1,225 PRSUs vested on March 1, 2015 and 5,279 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2016 if Mr. Baldwin is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (5) above are achieved, 10,609 Relative TRSUs will vest (56) on December 10, 2015. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(57) 2,263 RSUs will vest on December 10, 2015 and 2,263 RSUs will vest on December 10, 2016.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (7) above are achieved, 3,363 PRSUs will vest on March 1, (58) 2017 and 3,363 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2018. The number of PRSUs vesting on each date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(59) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (8) above are achieved, 3,362 Relative TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(60) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (9) above are achieved, 3,475 Absolute TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017 or March 1, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(61) 841 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2015, 841 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2016, and 841 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2017.

(62) 276 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2015, 276 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2016, 275 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2017 and 275 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2018.

(63) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (12) above are achieved, 1,103 PRSUs will vest on December 19, 2018 and 1,102 will vest on December 19, 2019. The number of PRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(64) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (13) above are achieved, 2,433 Absolute TRSUs will vest on December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(65) 1,500 Performance Share Units vested on March 1, 2015.

(66) 16,720 Performance Share Units vested on March 1, 2015. The number of Performance Share Units vesting was increased to the maximum number of shares based achieving the maximum target performance.

(67) 1,394 RSUs will vest on December 22, 2015 if Mr. Kallenbach is still in continuous service with us through such date.

(68) 715 PRSUs vested on March 1, 2015 and 3,084 PRSUs will vest on March 1, 2016 if Mr. Kallenbach is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

(69) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (5) above are achieved, 6,197 Relative TRSUs will vest on December 10, 2015. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(70) 1,324 RSUs will vest on December 10, 2015 and 1,324 RSUs will vest on December 10, 2016 if Mr. Kallenbach is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

(71) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (7) above are achieved, 2,690 Performance Share Units will vest on March 1, 2017 and 2,691 Performance Share Units will vest on March 1, 2018. The number of Performance Share Units vesting on each date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target

performance actually achieved.

(72) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (8) above are achieved, 2,689 Relative TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017. The number of Relative TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(73) If and only if the performance conditions identified in (9) above are achieved, 2,780 Absolute TRSUs will vest on March 1, 2017 or March 1, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

(74) 673 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2015, 673 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2016, and 673 RSUs will vest on December 6, 2017 if Mr. Kallenbach is still in continuous service with us through each such date.

(75) 552 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2015, 551 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2016, 551 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2017 and 551 RSUs will vest on December 19, 2018.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (12) above are achieved, 2,205 PRSUs will vest on (76)December 19, 2018 and 2,206 will vest on December 19, 2019. The number of PRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

If and only if the performance conditions identified in (13) above are achieved, 4,867 Absolute TRSUs will vest (77)on December 19, 2017 or December 19, 2018. The number of Absolute TRSUs vesting on that date is subject to increase or decrease based on the percentage of target performance actually achieved.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2014

The following table sets forth the number of stock options exercised and restricted stock units vested during 2014 by the named executive officers and the value realized on exercise or vesting.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Robert O. Carr	75,000	2,356,500	176,649	9,613,894
Samir M. Zabaneh	—	—	—	—
Michael A. Lawler	2,500	83,523	11,448	508,921
David Gilbert	—	—	13,914	601,085
Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr.	86,908	3,117,996	43,977	2,391,932
Charles H.N. Kallenbach	4,500	137,829	21,609	1,174,576

Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

None of our named executive officers participate in or have account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us or participate in or have account balances in non-qualified defined contribution plans or other deferred compensation plans maintained by us.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

In November 2001, Robert O. Carr entered into an employee confidential information and non-competition agreement with us, which was amended and restated on May 4, 2007 and amended on May 11, 2009. Subject to Mr. Carr's compliance with the non-competition, non-solicitation and other covenants set forth therein, his agreement provides that in the event Mr. Carr is terminated by us for other than cause (as defined in his agreement) or disability (as defined in his agreement), he will be entitled to receive severance pay in an amount equal to the wages that would have been paid to him during a two year period plus medical benefits for two years. However, in the event of an involuntary termination of Mr. Carr's employment other than for cause following a change of control (as defined in his agreement), the agreement contains a double trigger severance provision pursuant to which Mr. Carr will be entitled to receive severance pay in an amount equal to the wages that would have been paid to him during a one year period plus medical benefits for one year. In addition, if Mr. Carr's employment is terminated by us other than for cause or his employment with us is terminated due to his death, he shall also be entitled to receive a pro rata portion of any annual bonus that he would have been entitled to receive based on the number of days he was employed by us during such year.

The following of our named executive officers entered into employee confidential information and noncompetition agreements with the Company: Charles H.N. Kallenbach on April 4, 2007, Michael A. Lawler on July 13, 2012, David Gilbert on June 14, 2012, Samir M. Zabaneh on April 7, 2014. Robert H.B. Baldwin, Jr. entered into an amended and restated confidential information and noncompetition agreement with us on March 16, 2007. Subject to the executive's compliance with the non-competition, non-solicitation and other covenants set forth therein, all of these agreements provide that in the event these executives are terminated by us for other than cause (as defined in the agreements) or disability (as defined in the agreements), they will be entitled to receive severance pay in an amount equal to the wages that would have been paid to them during a one year period plus medical benefits for one year. In addition, if the employment of the executives is terminated by us other than for cause or their employment with us is terminated due to their death, they shall also be entitled to receive a pro rata portion of any annual bonus that they

would have been entitled to receive based on the number of days they were employed by us during such year or, if their

38

bonus was payable on a quarterly rather than an annual basis, then they shall be entitled to receive a pro rata portion of any bonus that they would have been entitled to receive for the fiscal quarter in which they were terminated.

The award agreements for the service-based restricted stock units and performance share units granted to each of our named executive officers on December 22, 2011 and the service-based restricted stock units and performance share units granted on December 10, 2012, and the service-based restricted stock units and performance share units granted on December 6, 2013 and the service-based restricted stock units and performance share units granted on December 19, 2014, which are described above, contain double trigger accelerated vesting provisions wherein after a change of control and an executive officer's termination of employment for certain reasons, such unvested equity awards immediately vest and the shares of our Common Stock underlying such restricted stock units will be issued and delivered. Additionally, in the event of a change of control, the performance benchmarks for the performance share units described above shall be deemed to be earned at the target threshold and will vest in accordance with the original vesting schedule; provided, however, if there is a change of control and the named executive officer's employment is terminated for certain reasons or the equity grants are not assumed or substituted by the acquiror, then the performance share units will vest immediately.