

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC
Form 10-K
March 20, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
x
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 333-90273

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO: 23-3017653

BLAKELY AND DRINKER STREETS

DUNMORE, PENNSYLVANIA 18512

TELEPHONE NUMBER (570) 342-8281

SECURITIES REGISTERED UNDER SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

None

SECURITIES REGISTERED UNDER SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

Common Stock, without par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by references in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$35.5 million as of June 30, 2011, based on the closing price of \$19.50. The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of February 29, 2012, was 2,258,416.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be used in connection with the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated herein by reference in partial response to Part III.

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.
2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K
Table of Contents

Part I.

| | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 5 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 11 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 11 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 12 |

Part II.

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 12 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 48 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 87 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 88 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 88 |

Part III.

| | | |
|-----------------|---|----|
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 88 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 89 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 89 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 89 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 89 |

Part IV.

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|----|
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 89 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | 92 |
| <u>Exhibits Index</u> | | 93 |
| <u>Certifications</u> | | 97 |

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain of the matters discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may constitute forward-looking statements for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and as such may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The words “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “believe,” “estimate,” and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements.

The Company’s actual results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including, without limitation:

- § the effects of economic deterioration on current customers, specifically the effect of the economy on loan customers’ ability to repay loans;
- § the costs and effects of litigation and of unexpected or adverse outcomes in such litigation;
- § the impact of new laws and regulations, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and the regulations promulgated there under;
- § governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes;
- § the effect of changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the regulatory agencies, as well as the Financial Accounting Standards Board and other accounting standard setters;
- § the risks of changes in interest rates on the level and composition of deposits, loan demand, and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest rate protection agreements, as well as interest rate risks;
- § the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating locally, regionally, nationally and internationally, together with such competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone, computer and the internet;
- § technological changes;
- § acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses;
- § the failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of reserves for loan losses and estimations of values of collateral and various financial assets and liabilities;
- § volatilities in the securities markets;
- § deteriorating economic conditions;
- § acts of war or terrorism; and

§ disruption of credit and equity markets.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect analyses only as of the date of this document. The Company has no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document.

Readers should review the risk factors described in this document and other documents that we file or furnish, from time- to-time, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q and any current reports filed or furnished on Form 8-K.

ITEM 1:

BUSINESS

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on August 10, 1999, and is a bank holding company, whose wholly-owned state chartered commercial bank is The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank (the Bank) (collectively, the Company). The Company is headquartered at Blakely and Drinker Streets in Dunmore, Pennsylvania.

The Bank has offered a full range of traditional banking services since it commenced operations in 1903. The Bank has a personal and corporate trust department and also provides alternative financial and insurance products with asset management services. A full list of services provided by the Bank is detailed in the section entitled “Products and Services” contained within the 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders, incorporated by reference. The service area is comprised of the Borough of Dunmore and the surrounding communities within Lackawanna and Luzerne counties in Northeastern Pennsylvania.

The banking business is highly competitive, and the profitability of the Company depends principally upon the Company's ability to compete in its market area. Competition includes, among other sources, the following: local community banks; savings banks; regional banks; national banks; credit unions; savings & loans; insurance companies; money market funds; mutual funds; small loan companies and other financial services companies.

The Company has been able to compete effectively with other financial institutions by emphasizing customer service enhanced by local decision making. These efforts enabled the Company to establish long-term customer relationships and build customer loyalty by providing products and services designed to address their specific needs.

The Company's profitability is significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory authorities. The Company's loan portfolio is comprised principally of commercial and commercial real estate loans. The properties underlying the Company's mortgages are concentrated in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Credit risk, which represents the possibility of the Company not recovering amounts due from its borrowers, is significantly related to local economic conditions in the areas where the properties are located as well as the Company's underwriting standards. Economic conditions affect the market value of the underlying collateral as well as the levels of adequate cash flow and revenue generation from income-producing commercial properties. During 2011, the national economy remained weak despite a decline in the unemployment rate to 8.3% at December 31, 2011 compared to 9.4% as of December 31, 2010. Similarly, the unemployment rate in the Scranton—Wilkes-Barre market declined to 8.5% by year-end 2011, down from 9.2% at the end of 2010; Non-farm job growth during 2011 declined by less than 0.1% from year-end 2010, unlike the national economy that registered a 1.3% gain. In addition, softness in the housing and real estate markets persist with only a marginal – less than 1% increase in the median home price in the Scranton metropolitan area at year-end 2011 compared to 2010 and remains 7% below the average for year-end 2008. While there is moderate improvement nationally and locally, the economic climate in the Company's marketplace remains weak. A weak or weakening economy that reflects high unemployment and lower property values could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Company's credit function strives to mitigate the negative impact of economic weaknesses by maintaining strict underwriting principles for commercial and consumer lending and ensuring mortgage lending adheres to standards of secondary market compliance.

There are no concentrations of loans that, if lost, would have a materially adverse effect on the continued business of the Company. There are no material concentrations within a single industry or group of related industries that are vulnerable to the risk of a near-term severe impact. However, the Company's success is dependent, to a significant degree, on economic conditions in Northeastern Pennsylvania, especially Lackawanna and Luzerne counties which the Company defines as its primary market area. The banking industry is affected by general economic conditions including the effects of inflation, recession, unemployment, real estate values, trends in national and global economies and other factors beyond the Company's control. An economic recession or a delayed economic recovery over a prolonged period of time in the Company's primary market area could cause an increase in the level of the Company's non-performing assets and loan losses, and thereby cause operating losses, impairment of liquidity and erosion of capital. We cannot assure you that adverse changes in the local economy would not have a material effect on the Company's future consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Refer to Item 1A, "Risk Factors" for material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Company.

The Company had 161 full-time equivalent employees on December 31, 2011, which includes exempt officers, exempt, non-exempt and part-time employees.

Federal and state banking laws contain numerous provisions that affect various aspects of the business and operations of the Company and the Bank. The Company is subject to, among others, the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and the Federal Reserve Board (the FRB) and the Bank is subject to, among others, the regulations of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC). Refer to Part II, Item 7 “Supervision and Regulation” for descriptions of and references to applicable statutes and regulations which are not intended to be complete descriptions of these provisions or their effects on the Company or the Bank. They are summaries only and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations. Applicable regulations relate to, among other things:

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| § operations | § consolidation |
| § securities | § reserves |
| § risk management | § dividends |
| § consumer compliance | § branches |
| § mergers | § capital adequacy |

Annually, the Bank is examined by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and/or the FDIC. The last examination was conducted by the FDIC as of June 30, 2011.

The Company's website address is <http://www.bankatfidelity.com>. The Company makes available through this website the annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practical after filing with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information about the Company at <http://www.sec.gov>.

The Company's accounting policies and procedures are designed to comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Refer to "Critical Accounting Policies," which are incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 7.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

An investment in the Company's common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Company's business. The material risks and uncertainties that management believes affect the Company are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair the Company's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

If any of the following risks actually occur, the Company's financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of the Company's common stock could decline significantly, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Related to the Company's Business

The Company's business is subject to interest rate risk and variations in interest rates may negatively affect its financial performance.

Changes in the interest rate environment may reduce profits. The Company's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between the interest earned on loans, securities and other interest-earning assets, and interest paid on deposits, borrowings and other interest-bearing liabilities. As prevailing interest rates change, net interest spreads are affected by the difference between the maturities and re-pricing characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. In addition, loan volume and yields are affected by market interest rates on loans, and rising interest rates generally are associated with a lower volume of loan originations. An increase in the general level of interest rates may also adversely affect the ability of certain borrowers to pay the interest on and principal of their obligations. Accordingly, changes in levels of market interest rates could materially adversely affect the Company's net interest spread, asset quality, loan origination volume and overall profitability.

The Company is subject to lending risk.

There are inherent risks associated with the Company's lending activities. These risks include, among other things, the impact of changes in interest rates and changes in the economic conditions in the markets where the Company operates as well as those across the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the United States. Increases in interest rates and/or weakening economic conditions could adversely impact the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans or the value of the collateral securing these loans. The Company is also subject to various laws and regulations that affect its lending activities. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Company to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil money penalties against the Company.

Commercial, commercial real estate and real estate construction loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because these loans generally have larger balances than residential real estate loans and consumer loans, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. An increase in non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for possible loan losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's allowance for possible loan losses may be insufficient.

The Company maintains an allowance for possible loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for possible loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for possible loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Company to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans and other factors, both within and outside of the Company's control, may require an increase in the allowance for possible loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for possible loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for possible loan losses, the Company will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for possible loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and capital and may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company may need or be compelled to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed and on terms favorable to current shareholders.

Federal banking regulators require the Company and Bank to maintain adequate levels of capital to support their operations. These capital levels are determined and dictated by law, regulation and banking regulatory agencies. In addition, capital levels are also determined by the Company's management and board of directors based on capital levels that they believe are necessary to support the Company's business operations. The Company is evaluating its present and future capital requirements and needs, is developing a comprehensive capital plan and is analyzing capital raising alternatives, methods and options. Even if the Company succeeds in meeting the current regulatory capital requirements, the Company may need to raise additional capital in the near future to support possible loan losses during future periods or to meet future regulatory capital requirements.

Further, the Company's regulators may require it to increase its capital levels. If the Company raises capital through the issuance of additional shares of its common stock or other securities, it would likely dilute the ownership interests of current investors and would likely dilute the per-share book value and earnings per share of its common stock. Furthermore, it may have an adverse impact on the Company's stock price. New investors may also have rights, preferences and privileges senior to the Company's current shareholders, which may adversely impact its current shareholders. The Company's ability to raise additional capital will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside its control, and on its financial performance. Accordingly, the Company cannot assure you of its ability to raise additional capital on terms and time frames acceptable to it or to raise additional capital at all. If the

Company cannot raise additional capital in sufficient amounts when needed, its ability to comply with regulatory capital requirements could be materially impaired. Additionally, the inability to raise capital in sufficient amounts may adversely affect the Company's operations, financial condition and results of operations.

If we conclude that the decline in value of any of our investment securities is other-than-temporary, we will be required to write down the credit-related portion of the impairment of that security through a charge to earnings.

We review our investment securities portfolio at each quarter-end reporting period to determine whether the fair value is below the current carrying value. When the fair value of any of our investment securities has declined below its carrying value, we are required to assess whether the decline is other-than-temporary. If we conclude that the decline is other-than-temporary, we will be required to write down the credit-related portion of the impairment of that security through a charge to earnings. As of December 31, 2011, the book value of the Company's pooled trust preferred securities was \$6.6 million with an estimated fair value of \$1.5 million. Changes in the expected cash flows of these securities and/or prolonged price declines have resulted and may result in our concluding in future periods that there is additional impairment of these securities that is other-than-temporary, which would require a charge to earnings for the portion of the impairment that is deemed to be credit-related. Due to the complexity of the calculations and assumptions used in determining whether an asset, such as pooled trust preferred securities, is impaired, the impairment disclosed may not accurately reflect the actual impairment in the future.

The Company is subject to environmental liability risk associated with lending activities.

A significant portion of the Company's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Company may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Company may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Company to incur substantial expense and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Company's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Company's exposure to environmental liability. Although the Company has policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's profitability depends significantly on economic conditions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the local region in which it conducts business.

The Company's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the specific local markets in which the Company operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, the Company provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in Lackawanna and Luzerne Counties in Northeastern Pennsylvania. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for the Company's products and services as well as the ability of the Company's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans and the stability of the Company's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions, caused by inflation, recession, acts of terrorism, an outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences, unemployment, changes in securities markets or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

There is no assurance that the Company will be able to successfully compete with others for business.

The Company competes for loans, deposits and investment dollars with numerous regional and national banks and other community banking institutions, as well as other kinds of financial institutions and enterprises, such as securities firms, insurance companies, savings associations, credit unions, mortgage brokers and private lenders. Many competitors have substantially greater resources than the Company does, and operate under less stringent regulatory environments. The differences in resources and regulations may make it more difficult for the Company to compete profitably, reduce the rates that it can earn on loans and on its investments, increase the rates it must offer on deposits and other funds, and adversely affect its overall financial condition and earnings.

The Company is subject to extensive government regulation and supervision.

The Company, primarily through the Bank, is subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision. Banking regulations are primarily intended to protect depositors' funds, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, not shareholders. These regulations affect the Company's lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy and growth, among other things. Federal or commonwealth regulatory agencies continually review banking laws, regulations and policies for possible changes. Changes to statutes, regulations or regulatory policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of statutes, regulations or policies, could affect the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways. Such changes could subject the Company to additional costs, limit the types of financial services and products the Company may offer and/or increase the ability of non-banks to offer competing financial services and products, among other things. Failure to comply with laws, regulations or policies could result in sanctions by regulatory agencies, civil money penalties and/or reputation damage, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. While the Company has policies and procedures designed to prevent any such violations, there can be no assurance that such violations will not occur.

The Company's controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented.

Management regularly reviews and updates the Company's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of the Company's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

New lines of business or new products and services may subject the Company to additional risks.

From time-to-time, the Company may implement new lines of business or offer new products and services within existing lines of business. There are substantial risks and uncertainties associated with these efforts, particularly in instances where the markets are not fully developed. In developing and marketing new lines of business and/or new products and services the Company may invest significant time and resources. Initial timetables for the introduction and development of new lines of business and/or new products or services may not be achieved and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. External factors, such as compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences, may also impact the successful implementation of a new line of business or a new product or service. Furthermore, any new line of business and/or new product or service could have a significant impact on the effectiveness of the Company's system of internal controls. Failure to successfully manage these risks in the development and implementation of new lines of business or new products or services could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's future acquisitions could dilute your ownership and may cause it to become more susceptible to adverse economic events.

The Company may use its common stock to acquire other companies or make investments in banks and other complementary businesses in the future. The Company may issue additional shares of common stock to pay for future acquisitions, which would dilute your ownership interest in the Company. Future business acquisitions could be material to the Company, and the degree of success achieved in acquiring and integrating these businesses into the Company could have a material effect on the value of the Company's common stock. In addition, any acquisition could require it to use substantial cash or other liquid assets or to incur debt. In those events, it could become more susceptible to economic downturns and competitive pressures.

The Company may not be able to attract and retain skilled people.

The Company's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by the Company can be intense and the Company may not be able to hire people or to retain them. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of the Company's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business because of their skills, knowledge of the Company's market, years of industry experience and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel.

The Company's information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security.

The Company relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in the Company's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While the Company has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of the Company's information systems could damage the Company's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject the Company to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose the Company to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company continually encounters technological change.

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. The Company's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in the Company's operations. Many of the Company's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. The Company may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and, in turn, the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company is subject to claims and litigation pertaining to fiduciary responsibility.

From time-to-time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to the Company's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to the Company's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to the Company, they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of the Company and its products and services as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law and various anti-takeover provisions under our articles and bylaws could impede the takeover of the Company.

Various Pennsylvania laws affecting business corporations may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire the Company, even if the acquisition would be advantageous to shareholders. In addition, we have various anti-takeover measures in place under our articles of incorporation and bylaws, including a supermajority vote requirement for mergers, a staggered board of directors, and the absence of cumulative voting. Any one or more of these measures may impede the takeover of the Company without the approval of our board of directors and may prevent our shareholders from taking part in a transaction in which they could realize a premium over the current market price of our common stock.

The Company is a holding company and relies on dividends from its banking subsidiary for substantially all of its revenue and its ability to make dividends, distributions, and other payments.

As a bank holding company, the Company's ability to pay dividends depends primarily on its receipt of dividends from its subsidiary bank. Dividend payments from the bank are subject to legal and regulatory limitations, generally based on net profits and retained earnings, imposed by bank regulatory agencies. The ability of the bank to pay dividends is also subject to profitability, financial condition, regulatory capital requirements, capital expenditures and other cash flow requirements. There is no assurance that the bank will be able to pay dividends in the future or that the Company will generate cash flow to pay dividends in the future. The Company's failure to pay dividends on its common stock may have a material adverse effect on the market price of its common stock.

The Company's banking subsidiary may be required to pay higher FDIC insurance premiums or special assessments which may adversely affect its earnings.

Poor economic conditions and the resulting bank failures have increased the costs of the FDIC and depleted its deposit insurance fund. Additional bank failures may prompt the FDIC to increase its premiums or to issue special assessments. The Company generally is unable to control the amount of premiums or special assessments that its subsidiary is required to pay for FDIC insurance. Any future changes in the calculation or assessment of FDIC insurance premiums may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, and our ability to continue to pay dividends on our common stock at the current rate or at all.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other external events could significantly impact the Company's business.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Company's ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of the Company's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Company to incur additional expenses. Severe weather or natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism or other adverse external events may occur in the future. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Associated with the Company's Common Stock

The Company's stock price can be volatile.

Stock price volatility may make it more difficult for you to resell your common stock when you want and at prices you find attractive. The Company's stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

- Actual or anticipated variations in quarterly results of operations.
- Recommendations by securities analysts.
- Operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to the Company.
- News reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry.
- Perceptions in the marketplace regarding the Company and/or its competitors.
- New technology used, or services offered, by competitors.

Significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving the Company or its competitors.

Failure to integrate acquisitions or realize anticipated benefits from acquisitions.

Changes in government regulations.

Geopolitical conditions such as acts or threats of terrorism or military conflicts.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause the Company's stock price to decrease regardless of operating results.

The trading volume in the Company's common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies.

The Company's common stock is listed for trading on the over-the-counter bulletin board and the trading volume in its common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of the Company's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Company has no control. Given the lower trading volume of the Company's common stock, significant sales of the Company's common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause the Company's stock price to fall.

Risks Associated with the Company's Industry

Future governmental regulation and legislation could limit the Company's future growth.

The Company is a registered bank holding company, and its subsidiary bank is a depository institution whose deposits are insured by the FDIC. As a result, the Company is subject to various regulations and examinations by various regulatory authorities. In general, statutes establish the corporate governance and eligible business activities for the Company, certain acquisition and merger restrictions, limitations on inter-company transactions such as loans and dividends, capital adequacy requirements, requirements for anti-money laundering programs and other compliance matters, among other regulations. The Company is extensively regulated under federal and state banking laws and regulations that are intended primarily for the protection of depositors, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole. Compliance with these statutes and regulations is important to the Company's ability to engage in new activities and consummate additional acquisitions.

In addition, the Company is subject to changes in federal and state tax laws as well as changes in banking and credit regulations, accounting principles and governmental economic and monetary policies. The Company cannot predict whether any of these changes may adversely and materially affect it. Federal and state banking regulators also possess broad powers to take supervisory actions as they deem appropriate. These supervisory actions may result in higher capital requirements, higher insurance premiums and limitations on the Company's activities that could have a material adverse effect on its business and profitability. While these statutes are generally designed to minimize potential loss to depositors and the FDIC insurance funds, they do not eliminate risk, and compliance with such statutes increases the Company's expense, requires management's attention and can be a disadvantage from a competitive standpoint with respect to non-regulated competitors.

The earnings of financial services companies are significantly affected by general business and economic conditions.

The Company's operations and profitability are impacted by general business and economic conditions in the United States and abroad. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity capital markets, broad trends in industry and finance, and the strength of the U.S. economy and the local economies in which the Company operates, all of which are beyond the Company's control. Deterioration in economic conditions could result in an increase in loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, decreases in loan collateral values and a decrease in demand for the Company's products and services, among other things, any of which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Financial services companies depend on the accuracy and completeness of information about customers and counterparties.

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, the Company may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. The Company may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and, in turn, the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Consumers may decide not to use banks to complete their financial transactions.

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete financial transactions that historically have involved banks through alternative methods. For example, consumers can now maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts or mutual funds. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as “disintermediation,” could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams and the lower cost deposits as a source of funds could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition and results of operations.

Future Downgrades of the United States Government may adversely affect the Company.

In August 2011, Standard & Poor’s downgraded the United States’ credit rating from AAA to AA+, and there are indications that Moody’s or Fitch Ratings also may downgrade the United States’ credit ratings in the future. Standard & Poor’s also downgraded the credit rating of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, a government-sponsored enterprise in which the Company invests and from which the Company receives a line of credit, from AAA to AA+. Furthermore, the credit rating of other entities, such as state and local governments, may be downgraded as a consequence of the downgrading of the United States’ credit rating. The impact that these credit rating downgrades may have on the national and local economy and on the Company’s financial condition and results of operation is uncertain and may adversely affect the Company and its business.

The regulatory environment for the financial services is being significantly impacted by financial regulatory reform initiatives in the United States and elsewhere, including Dodd-Frank and regulations promulgated to implement it.

Dodd-Frank, which was signed into law on July 21, 2010, comprehensively reforms the regulation of financial institutions, products and services. Dodd-Frank requires various federal regulatory agencies to implement numerous rules and regulations. Because the federal agencies are granted broad discretion in drafting these rules and regulations, many of the details and such of the impact of Dodd-Frank may not be known for many months or years.

While much of how the Dodd-Frank and other financial industry reforms will change our current business operations depends on the specific regulatory reforms and interpretations, many of which have yet to be released or finalized, it is clear that the reforms, both under Dodd-Frank and otherwise, will have a significant effect on our entire industry. Although Dodd-Frank and other reforms will affect a number of the areas in which we do business, it is not clear at this time the full extent of the adjustments that will be required and the extent to which we will be able to adjust our businesses in response to the requirements. Although it is difficult to predict the magnitude and extent of these effects

at this stage, we believe compliance with Dodd-Frank and implementing its regulations and initiatives will negatively impact revenue and increase the cost of doing business, both in terms of transition expenses and on an ongoing basis, and it may also limit our ability to pursue certain business opportunities.

ITEM 1B: UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2: PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2011, the Company operated 11 full-service banking offices, of which four were owned and seven were leased. None of the lessors of the properties leased by the Company are affiliated with the Company and all of the properties are located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The Company is headquartered at its owner-occupied main branch located on the corner of Blakely and Drinker Streets in Dunmore, PA.

11

The following table provides information with respect to the principal properties from which the Bank conducts business:

| Location | Owned / leased* | Type of use | Full service | Drive-thru | ATM |
|--|-----------------|---|--------------|------------|-----|
| Drinker & Blakely Streets, Dunmore, PA | Owned | Main Branch ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ | x | x | x |
| 111 Green Ridge St., Scranton, PA | Leased | Green Ridge Branch ⁽²⁾ | x | x | x |
| 1311 Morgan Hwy., Clarks Summit, PA | Leased | Abington Branch ⁽³⁾ | x | x | x |
| 1232 Keystone Industrial Park Rd., Dunmore, PA | Owned | Keystone Industrial Park Branch | x | x | x |
| 338 North Washington Ave., Scranton, PA | Owned | Financial Center Branch ⁽⁴⁾ | x | | x |
| 4010 Birney Ave., Moosic, PA | Leased | Moosic Branch | x | x | x |
| 801 Wyoming Ave., West Pittston, PA | Leased | West Pittston Branch | x | | x |
| 1598 Main St., Peckville, PA | Leased | Peckville Branch | x | x | x |
| 247 Wyoming Ave., Kingston, PA | Leased | Kingston Branch | x | x | x |
| 511 Scranton-Carbondale Hwy., Eynon, PA | Leased | Eynon Branch | x | x | x |
| 400 S. Main St., Scranton, PA | Owned | West Scranton Branch ⁽²⁾ | x | x | x |

*All of the owned properties are free of encumbrances

(1) Executive and administrative, commercial and consumer lending, trust and asset management services are located at the Main Branch.

(2) This office has two automated teller machines (ATMs).

(3) In addition, there is a banking facility located in the Clarks Summit State Hospital. The office is leased from the hospital under a lease-for-service-provided agreement with service limited to employees and patients of the hospital.

(4) Executive, mortgage lending, finance, operations and a full-service call center are located in this building. A portion of the building is leased to a non-related entity.

The Bank maintains two free-standing 24-hour ATMs located at the following locations in Pennsylvania:

Snö Mountain Ski Resort, 1000 Montage Mountain Rd., Moosic
Shoppes at Montage, 1035 Shoppes Blvd., Moosic

Other real estate owned includes all foreclosed properties listed for sale. Upon possession, foreclosed properties are recorded on the Company's balance sheet at the lower of cost or fair value.

ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The nature of the Company's business generates some litigation involving matters arising in the ordinary course of business. However, in the opinion of the Company after consulting with legal counsel, no legal proceedings are pending, which, if determined adversely to the Company or the Bank, would have a material effect on the Company's undivided profits or financial condition. No legal proceedings are pending other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business of the Company and the Bank. In addition, to management's knowledge, no governmental authorities have initiated or contemplated any material legal actions against the Company or the Bank.

ITEM 4: MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable

PART II

ITEM 5: MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The common stock of the Company is traded on the over-the-counter bulletin board under the symbol "FDBC." Shareholders requesting information about the Company's common stock may contact:

Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr., Treasurer

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.

Blakely and Drinker Streets

Dunmore, PA 18512

(570) 342-8281

The following table lists the quarterly cash dividends paid per share and the range of high and low bid prices for the Company's common stock based on information obtained from on-line published sources. Such over-the-counter prices do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns or commissions:

| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| | Prices | | Dividends paid | Prices | | Dividends paid |
| | High | Low | | High | Low | |
| 1st Quarter | \$20.50 | \$18.25 | \$ 0.25 | \$16.00 | \$14.75 | \$ 0.25 |
| 2nd Quarter | \$19.60 | \$18.35 | \$ 0.25 | \$15.10 | \$12.05 | \$ 0.25 |
| 3rd Quarter | \$20.80 | \$16.00 | \$ 0.25 | \$19.00 | \$12.75 | \$ 0.25 |
| 4th Quarter | \$21.75 | \$17.05 | \$ 0.25 | \$20.00 | \$16.80 | \$ 0.25 |

Dividends are determined and declared by the Board of Directors of the Company. The Company expects to continue to pay cash dividends in the future; however, future dividends are dependent upon earnings, financial condition, capital strength and other factors of the Company. For a further discussion of regulatory capital requirements see Note 14, "Regulatory Matters," contained within the notes to the consolidated financial statements, incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8.

The Company has established a dividend reinvestment plan (DRP) for its shareholders. The plan provides shareholders with a convenient and economical method of investing cash dividends payable on their common stock and the opportunity to make voluntary optional cash payments to purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock. Participants pay no brokerage commissions or service charges when they acquire additional shares of common stock through the plan. The administrator may purchase shares directly from the Company, in the open market, in negotiated transactions, or using a combination of these methods.

The Company had approximately 1,266 shareholders at December 31, 2011 and 1,261 shareholders as of February 29, 2012. The number of shareholders is the actual number of individual shareholders of record. Each security depository is considered a single shareholder for purposes of determining the approximate number of shareholders.

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

The following table summarizes the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011 that have been approved and not approved by Fidelity D&D Bancorp, Inc. shareholders:

(a) (b) (c)

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: | | | |
| 2000 Independent Director Stock Option Plan | 18,300 | \$ 29.84 | -0- |
| 2000 Stock Incentive Plan | 5,490 | \$ 29.11 | -0- |
| 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 3,874 | \$ 17.20 | 87,223 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders: | | | |
| Total | 27,664 | \$ 27.93 | 87,223 |

Performance graph

The following graph and table compare the cumulative total shareholder return on the Company's common stock against the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Composite and the SNL index of greater than \$500 million in-asset banks traded on the OTC-BB and Pink Sheet (the SNL index) for the period of five fiscal years commencing January 1, 2007, and ending December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, the SNL index consisted of 150 banks. The graph illustrates the cumulative investment return to shareholders, based on the assumption that a \$100 investment was made on December 31, 2006, in each of: the Company's common stock, the NASDAQ Composite and the SNL index. All cumulative total returns are computed assuming the reinvestment of dividends into the applicable securities. The shareholder return shown on the graph and table below is not necessarily indicative of future performance:

| Index | 12/31/2006 | 12/31/2007 | 12/31/2008 | 12/31/2009 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. | 100.00 | 87.59 | 84.48 | 51.94 | 72.30 | 77.93 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 110.66 | 66.42 | 96.54 | 114.06 | 113.16 |
| SNL Bank Pink > \$500M | 100.00 | 92.14 | 66.86 | 57.16 | 60.39 | 59.37 |

ITEM 6: SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Set forth below are our selected consolidated financial and other data. This financial data is derived in part from, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this report:

(dollars in thousands except per share data)

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$606,742 | \$561,673 | \$556,017 | \$575,719 | \$587,413 |
| Total investment securities | 108,543 | 83,431 | 76,530 | 84,188 | 122,984 |
| Net loans | 398,186 | 407,903 | 423,124 | 436,207 | 421,424 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 4,537 | 213 | 1,221 | 84 | 827 |
| Total deposits | 515,802 | 482,448 | 458,994 | 433,312 | 425,708 |
| Short-term borrowings | 9,507 | 8,548 | 16,533 | 38,130 | 39,656 |
| Long-term debt | 21,000 | 21,000 | 32,000 | 52,000 | 62,709 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 53,624 | 46,774 | 45,675 | 48,961 | 55,191 |
| Operating data for the year ended: | | | | | |
| Total interest income | \$25,603 | \$27,580 | \$29,909 | \$33,961 | \$35,279 |
| Total interest expense | 4,761 | 6,827 | 10,797 | 14,684 | 17,660 |
| Net interest income | 20,842 | 20,753 | 19,112 | 19,277 | 17,619 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses | 1,800 | 2,085 | 5,050 | 940 | (60) |
| Net interest income after provision (credit) for loan losses | 19,042 | 18,668 | 14,062 | 18,337 | 17,679 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | (246) | (11,836) | (3,300) | (436) | - |
| Other income | 5,938 | 5,424 | 5,461 | 5,014 | 5,206 |
| Other operating expense | 18,044 | 18,017 | 19,241 | 18,210 | 16,637 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 6,690 | (5,761) | (3,018) | 4,705 | 6,248 |
| Provision (credit) for income taxes | 1,645 | (2,557) | (1,618) | 1,069 | 1,636 |
| Net income (loss) | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) | \$3,636 | \$4,612 |
| Per share data: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) per share, basic | \$2.28 | \$(1.50) | \$(0.67) | \$1.76 | \$2.23 |
| Net income (loss) per share, diluted | \$2.28 | \$(1.50) | \$(0.67) | \$1.76 | \$2.23 |
| Dividends declared | \$2,210 | \$2,137 | \$2,078 | \$2,069 | \$1,922 |
| Dividends per share | \$1.00 | \$1.00 | \$1.00 | \$1.00 | \$0.93 |
| Book value per share | \$23.78 | \$21.48 | \$21.69 | \$23.73 | \$26.62 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | 2,213,631 | 2,141,323 | 2,080,507 | 2,068,851 | 2,066,683 |
| Shares outstanding | 2,254,542 | 2,178,028 | 2,105,860 | 2,062,927 | 2,072,929 |

Ratios:

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|
| Return on average assets | 0.85 | % | -0.55 | % | -0.25 | % | 0.62 | % | 0.80 | % |
| Return on average equity | 10.01 | % | -6.69 | % | -2.91 | % | 6.81 | % | 8.65 | % |
| Net interest margin | 3.89 | % | 3.89 | % | 3.71 | % | 3.60 | % | 3.34 | % |
| Efficiency ratio | 65.47 | % | 65.38 | % | 72.51 | % | 72.98 | % | 71.61 | % |
| Expense ratio | 2.04 | % | 2.07 | % | 2.37 | % | 2.25 | % | 2.01 | % |
| Allowance for loan losses to loans | 1.97 | % | 1.90 | % | 1.75 | % | 1.08 | % | 1.13 | % |
| Dividend payout ratio | 43.80 | % | N/M | * | N/M | * | 56.90 | % | 41.67 | % |
| Equity to assets | 8.84 | % | 8.33 | % | 8.21 | % | 8.50 | % | 9.40 | % |
| Equity to deposits | 10.40 | % | 9.70 | % | 9.95 | % | 11.30 | % | 12.96 | % |

* The result of this calculation is not meaningful.

ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

Critical accounting policies

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect many of the reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2011 is adequate and reasonable. Given the subjective nature of identifying and valuing loan losses, it is likely that well-informed individuals could make different assumptions, and could, therefore calculate a materially different allowance value. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changes in economic conditions may necessitate revisions in the future. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Another material estimate is the calculation of fair values of the Company's investment securities. Except for the Company's investment in corporate bonds, consisting of pooled trust preferred securities, fair values on the other investment securities are determined by prices provided by a third-party vendor, who is a provider of financial market data, analytics and related services to financial institutions. For the pooled trust preferred securities, management is unable to obtain readily attainable and realistic pricing from market traders due to a lack of active market participants and therefore management has determined the market for these securities to be inactive. In order to determine the fair value of the pooled trust preferred securities, management relied on the use of an income valuation approach (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs, the results of which are more representative of fair value than the market approach valuation technique used for the other investment securities.

Based on experience, management is aware that estimated fair values of investment securities tend to vary among valuation services. Accordingly, when selling investment securities, price quotes may be obtained from more than one source. As described in Notes 1 and 3 of the consolidated financial statements, incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8, the majority of the Company's investment securities are classified as available-for-sale (AFS). AFS securities are carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets, with unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax, reported separately within shareholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI).

The fair value of residential mortgage loans, classified as held-for-sale (HFS), is obtained from the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) or the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Generally, the market to which the Company sells mortgages it originates for sale is restricted and price quotes from other sources are not typically obtained. On occasion, the Company may transfer loans from the loan portfolio to loans HFS. Under these rare circumstances, pricing may be obtained from other entities and the loans are transferred at the lower of cost or market value and simultaneously sold. For a further discussion on the accounting treatment of HFS loans, see the section entitled "Loans held-for-sale," contained within management's discussion and analysis. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, loans classified as HFS consisted of residential mortgages.

All significant accounting policies are contained in Note 1, "Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies", within the notes to consolidated financial statements and incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8.

The following discussion and analysis presents the significant changes in the financial condition and in the results of operations of the Company as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and for each of the years then ended. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this report.

Comparison of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2011

and 2010 and Results of Operations for each of the Years then Ended

Financial Condition

Overview

The Scranton-Wilkes-Barre Metropolitan Statistical Area (local) and national economies show signs of improvement throughout 2011 as evidenced by, among other things, a 0.7% decline in the local unemployment rate from moderate job growth and a decline in the number unemployed since December 2010, but lagged the 0.9% decline in the national unemployment rate. However, softness in the local housing and real estate markets persist and unemployment levels remain elevated at a stubbornly high unemployment rate of 8.5% for December 2011. The Scranton-Wilkes-Barre area had experienced a 3.2% change in Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners & Clerical Workers for the U.S. Northeast region during 2011. These relative statistics are vital to the performance and condition of the Company's loan portfolio. Though we are working on improving asset quality, the recovery rate of the local economy will be a contributing factor in fortifying the balance sheet. In the meantime, we anticipate net interest margin to decline slightly. In addition, stable non-interest income and expenses could be negated by the effect of the potential for increased credit costs and further credit-related other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges. The Company will focus on continuing to strengthen its capital position from sound financial performance while working on improving overall asset quality. In an effort to stimulate economic growth, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) has not adjusted the short-term federal funds rate upward and expectations are that the short-term rates will remain at historic low levels well into 2014. Low interest rates help reduce funding costs, however, at the same time their effect can be unfavorable to resetting adjustable and floating-rate asset yields, re-financed and new long-term fixed-rate assets and deployment of cash generated from interest-sensitive asset prepayments – all of which pressure interest rate margins. The shape of the interest rate yield curve continued to be positively sloped throughout 2011 but has flattened in the mid- to long-term ranges thereby requiring lower yielding, long-term assets to be funded by short-term financing such as transactional deposits. This operating environment puts added stress on all financial institutions, particularly community banks. The management team of the Company is prepared to address these issues and will implement strategies to bolster non-interest income, contain non-interest expense growth and employ strict lending underwriting standards to help reduce credit costs. These measures should help mitigate the negative impact of the low interest rate environment and help strengthen the Company's capital position.

Consolidated assets increased \$45.1 million, or 8%, during the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$606.7 million. The increase was principally the result of increased deposits of \$33.4 million, or 7% more than 2010 and increased shareholders' equity of \$6.9 million, or 15% above 2010. The increase in shareholders' equity was fueled by \$5.0 million of net income less the \$2.2 million of cash dividends declared, which increased retained earnings by \$2.8 million.

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following table is a comparison of condensed balance sheet data as of the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|---|--|
| | 2011 | % | 2010 | % | 2009 | % | | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$52,165 | 8.6 | % \$22,967 | 4.1 | % \$8,328 | 1.5 | % | |
| Investment securities | 108,543 | 17.9 | 83,431 | 14.9 | 76,530 | 13.8 | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | 3,699 | 0.6 | 4,542 | 0.8 | 4,781 | 0.9 | | |
| Loans and leases, net | 402,723 | 66.4 | 408,116 | 72.7 | 424,345 | 76.3 | | |
| Bank premises and equipment | 13,575 | 2.2 | 14,764 | 2.6 | 15,362 | 2.8 | | |
| Life insurance cash surrender value | 9,740 | 1.6 | 9,425 | 1.7 | 9,117 | 1.6 | | |
| Other assets | 16,297 | 2.7 | 18,428 | 3.2 | 17,554 | 3.1 | | |
| Total assets | \$606,742 | 100.0% | \$561,673 | 100.0% | \$556,017 | 100.0% | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | | |
| Total deposits | \$515,802 | 85.0 | % \$482,448 | 85.9 | % \$458,994 | 82.6 | % | |
| Short-term borrowings | 9,507 | 1.6 | 8,548 | 1.5 | 16,533 | 3.0 | | |
| Long-term debt | 21,000 | 3.5 | 21,000 | 3.7 | 32,000 | 5.8 | | |
| Other liabilities | 6,809 | 1.1 | 2,903 | 0.6 | 2,815 | 0.4 | | |
| Total liabilities | 553,118 | 91.2 | 514,899 | 91.7 | 510,342 | 91.8 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 53,624 | 8.8 | 46,774 | 8.3 | 45,675 | 8.2 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$606,742 | 100.0% | \$561,673 | 100.0% | \$556,017 | 100.0% | | |

A comparison of net changes in selected balance sheet categories as of December 31, are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Assets | | Earning assets* | | Deposits | | Short-term borrowings | | Other borrowings | |
|------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------|-----|----------|---|-----------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | | % | | % | | % | | % | | % |
| 2011 | \$45,069 | 8 | \$37,257 | 7 | \$33,354 | 7 | \$ 959 | 11 | \$ - | - |
| 2010 | 5,656 | 1 | 7,352 | 1 | 23,453 | 5 | (7,985) | (48) | (11,000) | (34) |
| 2009 | (19,702) | (3) | (26,475) | (5) | 25,683 | 6 | (21,597) | (57) | (20,000) | (38) |
| 2008 | (11,694) | (2) | (22,809) | (4) | 7,604 | 2 | (1,527) | (4) | (10,709) | (17) |
| 2007 | 25,095 | 4 | 26,074 | 5 | 15,374 | 4 | 6,000 | 18 | 172 | - |

* Earning assets exclude: loans and securities placed on non-accrual status.

Funds Provided***Deposits***

The Bank is a community based commercial depository financial institution, member FDIC, which offers a variety of deposit products with varying ranges of interest rates and terms. Deposit products include transaction accounts such as: savings; clubs; interest-bearing checking (NOW); money market and non-interest bearing checking (DDA). The Bank also offers short- and long-term deposit accounts such as certificates of deposit. Certificates of deposit, or CDs, are deposits with stated maturities which can range from seven days to ten years. The flow of deposits is influenced by economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, pricing and competition. To determine interest rates on its deposit products, the Company considers local competition, spreads to earning-asset yields, liquidity position and rates charged for alternative sources of funding such as short-term borrowings and FHLB advances. Though the Company competes for deposits, the interest rate setting strategy also considers the Company's balance sheet structure and cost effective strategies that are mindful of the current economic backdrop.

The following table represents the components of total deposits as of December 31:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Money market | \$107,675 | 20.9 % | \$79,610 | 16.5 % |
| NOW | 79,127 | 15.3 | 67,572 | 14.0 |
| Savings and clubs | 107,500 | 20.9 | 105,834 | 21.9 |
| Certificates of deposit | 125,345 | 24.3 | 143,651 | 29.8 |
| Total interest-bearing | 419,647 | 81.4 | 396,667 | 82.2 |
| Non-interest bearing | 96,155 | 18.6 | 85,781 | 17.8 |
| Total deposits | \$515,802 | 100.0% | \$482,448 | 100.0% |

The Company uses the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) reciprocal program to obtain FDIC insurance protection for customers who have large deposits that at times exceed the FDIC maximum insurable amount of \$250,000. In the CDARS program, deposits with varying terms and interest rates, originated in the Company's own markets, are exchanged for deposits of other financial institutions that are members in the CDARS network. By placing these deposits in other participating institutions, the deposits of our customers are fully insured by the FDIC. In return for deposits placed with network institutions, the Company receives deposits that are approximately equal in amount and contain similar terms as those placed for our customers. Deposits the Company receives, or reciprocal deposits, from other institutions are considered brokered deposits by regulatory definitions. As of December 31, 2011, CDARS represented \$11.0 million, or 2%, of total deposits, compared to \$11.9 million, or 2%, of total deposits at December 31, 2010 and are included in certificates of deposit in the table above.

Total deposits increased \$33.4 million, or 7%, during 2011 to \$515.8 million. The increase stems from growth in money market accounts of \$28.1 million, NOW accounts of \$11.6 million, non-interest bearing DDAs of \$10.4 million and savings and clubs of \$1.7 million, partially offset by an \$18.3 million decline in CDs. Deposits are obtained mostly from consumers and businesses within the communities that surround the Company's 11 branch offices and all deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the full extent permitted by law. Growth in money market accounts is due in part to healthy retention of money market dollars and through competitive money market term, promotional rates. The growth in NOW interest-bearing checking accounts is due primarily to the growth of checking relationship packages which continue to grow in both number of accounts, deposit dollars and number of households.

The focus on the marketing and sales effort continued to be placed in increasing core deposits, especially checking accounts. The effort to build primary retail and business households centers on the opening of an active checking account. Active checking accounts hold higher balances than a shell or non-active account. Additionally, the Bank focused on attracting the operating accounts of small- to mid-size businesses by growing non-interest bearing business checking accounts. Additional focus has been placed on cash management products that also add to the average balances in checking accounts through credit card processing, remote deposit capture and ACH and payroll processing. For 2012, the marketing strategy will continue down the path from 2011. The Company will continue to market to a defined, high-priority business and retail segment in order to grow, broaden and deepen profitable relationships.

The persistently low interest rate environment has resulted in a wide-spread preference for customers not to renew maturing CDs. When rates begin to rise, the Company expects to develop and promote CD gathering strategies that will capture new time deposits without significantly eroding its low-costing core deposit base.

The maturity distribution of certificates of deposit at December 31, 2011 is as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Three months or less | More than three months to six months | More than six months to twelve months | More than twelve months | Total |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------|
| CDs of \$100,000 or more | \$ 5,811 | \$ 3,487 | \$ 10,088 | \$ 22,489 | \$41,875 |
| CDs of less than \$100,000 | 12,553 | 11,923 | 14,993 | 33,009 | 72,478 |
| CDARS | 1,009 | 2,827 | 7,156 | - | 10,992 |
| Total CDs | \$ 19,373 | \$ 18,237 | \$ 32,237 | \$ 55,498 | \$125,345 |

Including CDARS, approximately 56% of the Company's CDs are scheduled to mature within one year. Renewing CDs may re-price to lower or higher market rates depending on the direction of interest rate movements, the shape of the yield curve, competition, the rate profile of the maturing accounts and depositor preference for alternative products such as transaction accounts or non-deposit investment products.

Short-term borrowings

In addition to deposits, other funding sources available to the Company are short-term borrowings consisting of overnight funds purchased from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB), fed funds purchased from correspondent banks, short-term advances from the FHLB and repurchase agreements with businesses and public entities. The Company uses overnight and short-term funding for asset growth, deposit run-off, loan demand and other short-term liquidity needs. Because of the liquidity position during 2011 the Company did not have the need for short-term overnight borrowings.

Repurchase agreements are non-insured interest-bearing liabilities that have a perfected security interest in qualified investments of the Company. The FDIC Depositor Protection Act of 2009 requires banks to provide a perfected security interest to the purchasers of uninsured repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are offered through a sweep product. A sweep account is designed to ensure that on a daily basis, an attached DDA is adequately funded and excess funds are transferred, or "swept", into an interest-bearing overnight repurchase agreement account. Due to the constant flow of funds into and out of the sweep product, their balances tend to fluctuate and mirror the activities of a DDA. Customer liquidity requirements are the typical cause for variances in repurchase agreements, which during 2011 increased \$2.0 million, or 26%, from \$7.5 million at December 31, 2010 to \$9.5 million as of December 31, 2011.

Overnight borrowings and repurchase agreements are included with short-term borrowings on the consolidated balance sheets.

Long-term debt

Long-term debt consists of borrowings from the FHLB. The weighted-average rate in effect on funds borrowed at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was 4.87%. The 2011 weighted-average rate was 12 basis points above the tax-equivalent yield of 4.75% earned from the Company's portfolio of average interest-earning assets for the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest rates on the \$21.0 million balances of two long-term advances are currently fixed until 2013 and 2016, but are structured to adjust quarterly should market interest rates increase beyond the issues' original or strike rates. Significant prepayment penalties are attached to the borrowings and prepaying the high-cost debt is considered only when the Company concludes that it is economically feasible to do so. In the event underlying market rates drift above the rates currently paid on these borrowings, the fixed-rates would convert to floating rate advances and at that juncture, the Company would have the option to repay or to renegotiate the converted advance rate. In February 2012, the Company paid off \$5.0 million of its outstanding long-term debt and incurred a prepayment fee of \$0.2 million. The advance carried an interest rate of 3.61% and was scheduled to mature in the fourth quarter of 2013. Proceeds from the sale of AFS securities and cash on-hand were used to fund the payoff. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had the ability to borrow an additional \$105.6 million from the FHLB.

Funds Deployed

Investments

The Company's investment policy is designed to complement its lending activities, provide monthly cash flow, manage interest rate sensitivity, generate a favorable return above earnings from cash holdings without incurring undue interest rate and credit risk and manage liquidity at acceptable levels. In establishing investment strategies, the Company considers its business, growth strategies or restructuring plans, the economic environment, the interest rate sensitivity position, the types of held securities, permissible purchases, credit quality, maturity and re-pricing terms, call or average-life intervals and investment concentrations. The Company's policy prescribes permissible investment categories that meet the policy standards and management is responsible for structuring and executing the specific investment purchases within these policy parameters. Management buys and sells investment securities from time-to-time depending on market conditions, business trends, liquidity needs, capital levels and structuring strategies. Investment security purchases provide a way to quickly invest excess liquidity in order to generate additional earnings. The Company generally earns a positive interest spread by assuming interest rate risk and using deposits and/or borrowings to purchase securities with longer maturities.

At the time of purchase, management classifies investment securities into one of three categories: trading, available-for-sale (AFS) or held-to-maturity (HTM). To date, management has not purchased any securities for trading purposes. Most of the securities purchased are classified as AFS even though there is no immediate intent to sell them. The AFS designation affords management the flexibility to sell securities and position the balance sheet in response to capital levels, liquidity needs or changes in market conditions. Securities AFS are carried at their net fair values in the consolidated balance sheets with an adjustment to shareholders' equity, net of tax, presented under the caption "Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)." As of December 31, 2011, AFS debt securities were recorded with an unrealized net loss of \$1.8 million while equity securities were recorded with an unrealized gain of \$0.1 million. Investment securities designated as HTM represent debt securities that the Company has the ability and intent to hold until maturity and are carried at amortized cost. As of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the aggregate fair value of securities HTM exceeded their respective aggregate amortized cost by \$42,000 and \$48,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, the carrying value of investment securities totaled \$108.5 million, or 18% of total assets, compared to \$83.4 million, or 15% of total assets at December 31, 2010. The growth of the investment portfolio was from the continued reallocation of earning assets to manage liquidity, mitigate margin erosion, reduce overall credit risk within the balance sheet and temporarily invest deposit growth until corresponding loan growth resumes. At December 31, 2011, approximately 47% of the carrying value of the investment portfolio was comprised of U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities (MBS – GSE residential) that amortize and provide monthly cash flow. Municipal general obligations, Agency Government Sponsored Enterprise (Agency – GSE) securities and pooled trust preferred securities comprised 28%, 23% and 2%, respectively, of the investment portfolio at December 31, 2011.

A comparison of total investment securities as of December 31 follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | | 2010 | |
|--|-----------|--------|----------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| MBS - GSE residential | \$50,606 | 46.6 % | \$41,043 | 49.2 % |
| State & municipal subdivisions | 30,159 | 27.8 | 24,171 | 29.0 |
| Agency - GSE | 25,873 | 23.8 | 16,288 | 19.5 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 1,466 | 1.4 | 1,453 | 1.7 |
| Equity securities - financial services | 439 | 0.4 | 476 | 0.6 |
| Total | \$108,543 | 100.0% | \$83,431 | 100.0% |

The distribution of debt securities by stated maturity date at December 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | |
|--------------|--------------|
| More than | More than |
|--------------|--------------|

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| (dollars in thousands) | One year or less | one year to five years | five years to ten years | More than ten years | Total |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| MBS - GSE residential | \$ - | \$ 11 | \$ 20,006 | \$ 30,589 | \$ 50,606 |
| State & municipal subdivisions | - | - | 895 | 29,264 | 30,159 |
| Agency - GSE | - | 21,726 | 3,124 | 1,023 | 25,873 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | - | - | - | 1,466 | 1,466 |
| Total debt securities | \$ - | \$ 21,737 | \$ 24,025 | \$ 62,342 | \$ 108,104 |

The tax-equivalent yield on debt securities by stated maturity date at December 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | One year or less | More than one year to five years | More than five years to ten years | More than ten years | Total |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|-------|
| MBS - GSE residential | - % 6.10 % | 1.98 % | 3.30 % | 2.77 % | |
| State & municipal subdivisions | - | 5.46 | 6.14 | 6.12 | |
| Agency - GSE | - 1.15 | 3.53 | 5.41 | 1.60 | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | - | - | 2.19 | 2.19 | |
| Total debt securities | - % 1.15 % | 2.31 % | 4.43 % | 3.33 % | |

In the above table, the book yields on state & municipal subdivisions were adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis using the corporate federal tax rate of 34%. In addition, average yields on securities AFS are based on amortized cost and do not reflect unrealized gains or losses.

Uncertainty prevails in the financial markets and continues to effect the determination of fair value estimates for the securities in the Company's investment portfolio. Though market values continue to remain below amortized cost, the fair values of the Company's investment securities have improved since year-end 2010. Excluding the \$5.1 million and \$5.4 million net unrealized loss positions of the pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs) portfolio as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, the remaining net unrealized loss of \$0.4 million in 2010 has turned positive into a \$3.4 million net unrealized gain as of December 31, 2011. Management believes fair value changes are due primarily to the interest rate environment. When rates rise, bond pricing will decline and unrealized losses may again result. The net unrealized loss position in the PreTSL portfolio is the result of instability in the capital markets, limited and disorderly trading activity and illiquid conditions, not deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuers.

Quarterly, management performs a review of the investment portfolio to determine the cause of declines in the fair value of each security. The Company uses inputs provided by independent third parties to determine the fair value of its investment securities portfolio. Inputs provided by the third parties are reviewed and corroborated by management. Evaluations of the causes of the unrealized losses are performed to determine whether impairment exists and whether the impairment is temporary or other-than-temporary. Considerations such as the Company's intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity, recoverability of the invested amounts over the intended holding period, the length of time and the severity in pricing decline below cost, the interest rate environment, the receipt of amounts contractually due and whether or not there is an active market for the security, for example, are applied, along with the financial condition of the issuer for management to make a realistic judgment of the probability that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts (principal and interest) due in determining whether a security is other-than-temporarily impaired. If a decline in value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, the amortized cost of the security is reduced by

the credit impairment amount and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized. If at the time of sale, call or maturity the proceeds exceed the security's amortized cost, previous credit impairment charges may be fully or partially recovered.

The Company owns 13 tranches of pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs). The market for these securities and other issues of PreTSLs as of and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 remained inactive. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which PreTSLs trade, then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. To date, there has not been a new PreTSL issue since the fourth quarter of 2007. Newly imposed restrictions for institutions to qualify and receive favorable capital treatment have lessened the likelihood of new issues coming to market. There are currently very few market participants who are willing and/or able to transact for these securities. The Company was informed by its capital markets service provider that the volume of trading activity in PreTSLs is de minimis, restricted mostly to speculative hedge fund traders, transacted on a bid basis and can take as long as weeks to fill orders and for the transactions to settle. Therefore, the Company has concluded that the market for these securities continues to be inactive where pricing quotes are sparse, incorporate large illiquidity premiums, and exists with dislocation between spreads and default activity resulting in difficulties in assessing relative observable inputs to determine fair value. To determine PreTSL valuations, the Company uses an independent third party that employs *Moody's Wall Street Analytics*. Specifically, a fair value "Level 3" modeled income approach that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs is more representative of fair value than a market-quote approach. Core assumption categories are: probability of default; loss given defaults; industry-wide correlations, discount rate and structural behavior. Discounted cash flows are modeled via Monte Carlo simulation to determine the orderly liquidation value as an indication of fair value of all tranches of each PreTSL.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's engaged a structured finance products specialist firm to analyze the eight securities in the portfolio that have an amortized cost bases. The analysis establishes a base of fundamental cash flow values to determine whether the Company will receive all of its principal and interest. One security was deemed to have a high probability of receiving all principal and interest payments and thus impairment was considered temporary. The firm applied the following steps and assumptions to the remaining seven securities to arrive at a single best estimate of cash flow that is used as a basis to determine the presence of OTTI:

○ Data about the transaction structure, as defined in the offering indenture and the underlying collateral, were collected;

○ The credit quality of the collateral was estimated using issuer specific probability of default for each security. Deferral of interest payments are treated as defaults. Once an issuer defaults, the potential for the tendency is correlated among other issuers. The loss given default, or the amount of cash lost to the investor is assumed to be 100% with no recovery of principal and no prepayments;

○ The analysis uses a Monte Carlo simulation framework to simulate the time-to-default on a portfolio of obligors based on individual obligor default probabilities and inter-obligor correlations;

○ Cash flow modeling was performed using the output from the simulation engine to arrive at the single best estimate of cash flow with respect to each tranche;

○ Present value techniques as prescribed in the accounting guidance are used to determine the expected cash flows of each of the tranches. The present value technique for one of the OTTI securities is based upon a discount rate determined at the time of acquisition. For the other six OTTI securities, the discount rate used in the present value calculation is the yield to accrete beneficial interest;

○ The present value results are then compared to the present value cash flow results from the immediately prior measurement date. An adverse change in estimated cash flow from the previous measurement date is indicative of credit related OTTI. If the present value of the cash flow is less than the amortized cost basis, the difference is charged to current earnings as an impairment loss on investment securities.

The results of the OTTI analysis (refer to Note 3, "Investment securities", within the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a complete description of the analysis performed) determined that as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, the estimated value, based on the expected discounted cash flow, of three PreTSLs: IV, XIX and XXIV was insufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, and therefore has experienced credit-related impairment of \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$11.8 million of credit OTTI in 2010. Credit-related OTTI is charged to current earnings as a component of other income in the consolidated statement of income. Future analyses could yield results that may be indicative of further impairment and may therefore require additional write-downs and corresponding credit related OTTI charges to current earnings.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

Investment in FHLB stock is required for membership in the organization and is carried at cost since there is no market value available. The amount the Company is required to invest is dependent upon the relative size of outstanding borrowings the Company has with the FHLB. The Company was not required to purchase FHLB stock during 2011 and 2010. Excess stock is typically repurchased from the Company at par if the level of borrowings decline to a predetermined level. In addition, the Company would typically earn a return or dividend on the amount invested. In order to preserve its capital, in 2008 the FHLB declared a suspension on the redemption of its stock and ceased payment of quarterly dividends until such time it becomes prudent to reinstate either or both. Based on the financial results of the FHLB for the years December 31, 2011 and 2010, management had concluded that the suspension of both the dividend payments and excess capital stock redemptions is temporary in nature. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the FHLB announced a partial lifting and limited repurchase of the stock redemption provision of the suspension. Since then, the Company was able to redeem approximately \$1.1 million of its FHLB stock. During the first quarter of 2012, the FHLB declared its first cash dividend since 2008. Future redemptions and dividend payments will be predicated on the financial performance and health of the FHLB. Management believes that the FHLB will continue to be a primary source of wholesale liquidity for both short- and long-term funding and has concluded that its investment in FHLB stock is not other than temporarily impaired. There can be no assurance that future negative changes to the financial condition of the FHLB may not require the Company to recognize an impairment charge with respect to such holdings. The Company will continue to monitor the financial condition of the FHLB and assess its future ability to resume normal dividend payments and stock redemption activities.

Loans

The Company originates commercial and industrial (C&I), commercial real estate (CRE), residential mortgages, consumer, home equity and construction loans. The relative volume of originations is dependent upon customer demand, current interest rates, the perception and duration of future interest rate levels and the general feeling as to the current and projected economy. As part of the overall strategy to serve the business community which is directly tied to the Company's profitability, the Company is focused on developing and implementing products and services to the broad spectrum of businesses that operate in our marketplace. The Company's goals center on building relationships by providing credit and cash management products, deposit products and services, continuing to diversify its loan portfolio and utilizing loan participations and guaranty programs to reduce risk in credit transactions. A loan participation is a tool that allows a community bank to meet the needs of its local customer base. Certain customers, from time-to-time, may require funding that is out of the lending limit of a local community bank, or even if the loan is within its legal lending limit, a bank may desire to reduce its exposure to the risks associated with a large credit. In such circumstances, it allows a bank to originate the loan, and subsequently sell a portion, or portions, of that loan to other financial institutions, thereby mitigating the risk. These sold portions of the loan are referred to as loan participations.

Net of loan participations, in 2011 the Company originated \$30.9 million of commercial and industrial loans and \$9.6 million of commercial real estate loans compared to \$26.0 million and \$12.0 million, respectively in 2010. Also, during 2011, the Company originated \$19.1 million of residential real estate loans for portfolio retention and \$19.1 million of consumer loans, compared to \$13.7 million and \$16.0 million, respectively, in 2010. Included in mortgage loans were \$4.3 million of residential real estate construction lines in 2011 and \$4.7 million in 2010. In addition for 2011, the Company had net originations of lines of credit in the amounts of \$24.8 million for commercial borrowers and \$10.4 million in home equity and other consumer lines of credit.

Commercial and industrial

The C&I portfolio decreased \$16.7 million, or 19.7%, from \$85.1 million at December 31, 2010 to \$68.4 million at December 31, 2011. The portfolio decline was the result of pay-offs and the continuing efforts to participate new and existing loan relationships. The continuing economic recession both nationally and locally, as well as the difficulty for business customers' ability to adhere to the Company's underwriting policies and procedures also played a factor in considering demand for new credit. Because of the current economic uncertainty's effect on businesses' cash flow, current underwriting standards will remain in place with the 2012 expectation being controlled C&I loan growth.

Commercial real estate

The CRE portfolio declined \$3.7 million, or 2.2%, from \$169.2 million at December 31, 2010 to \$165.5 million as of December 31, 2011. The major factor contributing to the decline in the CRE portfolio revolves around a depressed local commercial real estate market. The Company desires to selectively originate loans in the non-owner occupied commercial real estate portfolio, due to the accompanying increased credit risks. The 2012 efforts will center on growth in owner occupied commercial real estate, utilizing programs to reduce risk to the Company and provide competitive terms and conditions to its customers.

Residential

The residential portfolio increased \$9.9 million, or 13.3%, from \$74.3 million at December 31, 2010 to \$84.2 million at December 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a mortgage loan modification program, afforded only to high quality customers, and selectively maintaining in-house (not selling to the secondary market) FNMA qualifying fixed-rate mortgages up to a 15-year term. Through the mortgage modification program, the Company is taking advantage of the low interest rate environment and, in most cases, adjusting the term to 15 years or less which will allow the Company to keep these quality loans in-house. During the beginning of 2012, the Company's expects the mortgage loan modification program to continue, which in turn will provide interest income and supplement fee income.

Consumer loans

Consumer loans as in prior years saw an increase of \$1.1 million, or 1.2%, from \$87.2 million at December 31, 2010 to \$88.3 million at December 31, 2011. While the consumer portfolio remained relatively stable during 2011, there was fluctuation in a few classes within this segment. Home Equity installment loans saw a decrease of \$3.7 million, which was almost entirely offset by a \$3.3 million increase in home equity lines of credit. The Company attributes this fluctuation within the portfolio to home equity installment loan pay-offs from mortgage refinancing and by promotions during 2011 that featured home equity lines of credit with a floating rate that was tied to the national prime rate index.

A comparison of domestic loans and related percentage of gross loans, at December 31, for the five previous periods is as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Commercial and industrial | \$68,372 | 16.8 % | \$85,129 | 20.5 % | \$77,071 | 17.9 % |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 79,475 | 19.6 | 87,355 | 21.0 | 99,397 | 23.1 |
| Owner occupied | 76,611 | 18.9 | 69,338 | 16.7 | 79,013 | 18.3 |
| Construction | 9,387 | 2.3 | 12,501 | 3.0 | 11,078 | 2.6 |
| Consumer: | | | | | | |
| Home equity installment | 36,390 | 9.0 | 40,089 | 9.6 | 48,177 | 11.2 |
| Home equity line of credit | 32,486 | 8.0 | 29,185 | 7.0 | 21,851 | 5.1 |
| Auto | 13,539 | 3.3 | 10,734 | 2.6 | 9,857 | 2.3 |
| Other | 5,833 | 1.4 | 7,165 | 1.7 | 5,760 | 1.3 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| Real estate | 80,091 | 19.7 | 68,160 | 16.4 | 70,958 | 16.5 |
| Construction | 4,110 | 1.0 | 6,145 | 1.5 | 7,535 | 1.7 |
| Gross loans | 406,294 | 100.0% | 415,801 | 100.0% | 430,697 | 100.0% |
| Less: | | | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (8,108) | | (7,898) | | (7,573) | |
| Net loans | \$398,186 | | \$407,903 | | \$423,124 | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$4,537 | | \$213 | | \$20 | |

| (dollars in thousands) | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Commercial and industrial | \$80,708 | 18.3 % | \$72,461 | 17.0 % |
| Real estate: | | | | |
| Commercial | 164,772 | 37.4 | 143,596 | 33.7 |
| Residential | 98,510 | 22.3 | 116,978 | 27.5 |
| Construction | 11,427 | 2.6 | 10,703 | 2.5 |
| Consumer | 85,091 | 19.3 | 81,998 | 19.2 |
| Direct financing leases | 444 | 0.1 | 512 | 0.1 |
| Gross loans | 440,952 | 100.0% | 426,248 | 100.0% |
| Less: | | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (4,745) | | (4,824) | |
| Net loans | \$436,207 | | \$421,424 | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$84 | | \$827 | |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the maturity distribution of select components of the loan portfolio at December 31, 2011. Excluded from the table are residential real estate and consumer loans:

| (dollars in thousands) | One year or less | More than one year to five years | More than five years | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 26,392 | \$ 22,004 | \$ 19,976 | \$ 68,372 |
| Commercial real estate | 40,443 | 76,503 | 39,140 | 156,086 |
| Commercial real estate construction | 9,387 | - | - | 9,387 |
| Residential real estate construction | 4,110 | - | - | 4,110 |
| Total | \$ 80,332 | \$ 98,507 | \$ 59,116 | \$ 237,955 |

Both residential and commercial real estate construction loans are included in the one-year or less category since, by their nature, these loans are converted into residential and CRE loans within one year from the date the real estate construction loan was consummated. Upon conversion, the residential and CRE loans would normally mature after five years.

The following table sets forth the greater than one-year sensitivity changes in interest rates for commercial and CRE loans as of December 31, 2011:

| (dollars in thousands) | One to five years | More than five years | Total |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Fixed interest rate | \$ 18,879 | \$ 38,354 | \$57,233 |
| Variable interest rate | 91,659 | 36,968 | 128,627 |
| Total | \$ 110,538 | \$ 75,322 | \$185,860 |

Non-refundable fees and costs associated with all loan originations are deferred. Using the principal reduction method, the deferral is released as charges or credits to loan interest income over the life of the loan.

There are no concentrations of loans to a number of borrowers engaged in similar industries exceeding 10% of total loans that are not otherwise disclosed as a category in the tables above. There are no concentrations of loans that, if resulted in a loss, would have a material adverse effect on the business of the Company. The Company's loan portfolio does not have a material concentration within a single industry or group of related industries that is vulnerable to the risk of a near-term severe negative business impact.

Loans held-for-sale

Upon origination, certain residential mortgages are classified as held-for-sale (HFS). In the event market rates increase, fixed-rate loans and adjustable-rate loans not immediately scheduled to re-price would no longer produce yields consistent with the current market. In a declining interest rate environment, the Company would be exposed to prepayment risk and, as rates on adjustable-rate loans decrease, interest income would be negatively affected. Consideration is given to the Company's current liquidity position and projected future liquidity needs. To better manage interest rate and prepayment risk, loans meeting these conditions may be classified as HFS. The carrying value of loans HFS is at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. If the fair values of loans HFS fall below their original cost, the difference is written down and charged to current earnings. Any subsequent appreciation in the portfolio is credited to current earnings but only to the extent of previous write-downs.

Loans HFS as of December 31, 2011 were \$4.5 million which approximates fair value compared to \$0.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010. During 2011, the Company originated \$45.1 million of residential mortgages HFS, compared to \$55.9 million in 2010. The high volume in both years was a function of the sustaining low interest rate environment which bolstered refinancing of existing home debt, albeit tempering in 2011. During 2011, residential mortgage loans with principal balances of \$46.1 million were sold into the secondary market and the Company recognized net gains of approximately \$0.7 million, compared to \$61.3 million and \$0.8 million,

respectively during 2010. The Company expects residential mortgage loan origination activity to continue to recede during 2012.

The Company retains mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) on loans sold into the secondary market. MSRs are retained so that the Company can foster personal relationships with its loyal customer base. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the servicing portfolio balance of sold residential mortgage loans was \$193.5 million and \$188.6 million, respectively.

Allowance for loan losses

Management evaluates the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio and performs a formal review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (the allowance) on a quarterly basis. The allowance reflects management's best estimate of the amount of credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management's judgment is based on the evaluation of individual loans, past experience, the assessment of current economic conditions and other relevant factors including the amounts and timing of cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Those estimates may be susceptible to significant change. The provision for loan losses represents the amount necessary to maintain an appropriate allowance. Loan losses are charged directly against the allowance when loans are deemed to be uncollectible. Recoveries from previously charged-off loans are added to the allowance when received.

Management applies two primary components during the loan review process to determine proper allowance levels. The two components are a specific loan loss allocation for loans that are deemed impaired and a general loan loss allocation for those loans not specifically allocated. The methodology to analyze the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

- identification of specific impaired loans by loan category;
- calculation of specific allowances where required for the impaired loans based on collateral and other objective and quantifiable evidence;
 - determination of homogenous pools by loan category and eliminating the impaired loans;
 - application of historical loss percentages (two-year average) to pools to determine the allowance allocation;
- application of qualitative factor adjustment percentages to historical losses for trends or changes in the loan portfolio, and/or current economic conditions.

Allocation of the allowance for different categories of loans is based on the methodology as explained above. A key element of the methodology to determine the allowance is the Company's credit risk evaluation process, which includes credit risk grading of individual commercial loans. Commercial loans are assigned credit risk grades based on the Company's assessment of conditions that affect the borrower's ability to meet its contractual obligations under the loan agreement. That process includes reviewing borrowers' current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and other information specific to each individual borrower. Upon review, the commercial loan credit risk grade is revised or reaffirmed. The credit risk grades may be changed at any time management feels an upgrade or downgrade may be warranted. The credit risk grades for the commercial loan portfolio are taken into account in the reserve methodology and loss factors are applied based upon the credit risk grades. The loss factors applied are based upon the Company's historical experience as well as what management believes to be best practices and within common industry standards. Historical experience reveals there is a direct correlation between the credit risk grades and loan charge-offs. The changes in allocations in the commercial loan portfolio from period-to-period are based upon the credit risk grading system and from periodic reviews of the loan portfolio.

Each quarter, management performs an assessment of the allowance and the provision for loan losses. The Company's Special Assets Committee meets quarterly and the applicable lenders discuss each relationship under review and reach a consensus on the appropriate estimated loss amount based on current accounting guidelines. The Special Assets Committee's focus is on ensuring the pertinent facts are considered and the reserve amounts pursuant to the accounting principles are reasonable. The assessment process includes the review of all loans on a non-accruing basis as well as a review of certain loans to which the lenders or the Company's Credit Administration function have assigned a criticized or classified risk rating.

Total charge-offs, net of recoveries, for 2011 were \$1.6 million, compared to \$1.8 million in 2010. Commercial and industrial loans recorded a net recovery of \$0.3 million during 2011, as opposed to net charge-offs of \$0.4 million for 2010. The Company realized a \$0.4 million recovery in the fourth quarter of 2011 on a previously charged-off loan which lead to the net recovery. Consumer loan net charge-offs of \$0.6 million were recorded during 2011 versus \$0.4 million of net charge-offs during 2010. There were \$0.7 million of commercial real estate net charge-offs during 2011 versus \$0.9 million recorded in 2010. Residential real estate net charge-offs totaled \$0.6 million for 2011. There were no residential real estate net charge-offs for 2010. For a discussion on the provision for loan losses, see the "Provision for loan losses," located in the results of operations section of management's discussion and analysis contained herein.

The allowance for loan losses was \$8.1 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$0.2 million from December 31, 2010. The increase in the allowance was primarily driven by increased historical loss percentages applied to the loan pools in the allowance calculation.

Management believes that the current balance in the allowance for loan losses of \$8.1 million is sufficient to withstand the identified potential credit quality issues that may arise and others unidentified but inherent to the portfolio. Potential problem loans are those where there is known information that leads management to believe repayment of principal and/or interest is in jeopardy and the loans are currently neither on non-accrual status nor past due 90 days or

more. Given continuing pressure on property values and the generally uncertain economic backdrop, there could be additional instances which become identified in future periods that may require additional charge-offs and/or increases to the allowance.

The following table sets forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses and certain key ratios for the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$7,898 | \$7,574 | \$4,745 | \$4,824 | \$5,444 |
| <u>Charge-offs:</u> | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | 128 | 452 | 983 | 168 | 376 |
| Commercial real estate | 699 | 892 | 844 | 565 | - |
| Consumer | 654 | 463 | 433 | 351 | 256 |
| Residential | 577 | 2 | 9 | 45 | 90 |
| Total | 2,058 | 1,809 | 2,269 | 1,129 | 722 |
| <u>Recoveries:</u> | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | 407 | 4 | 35 | 61 | 16 |
| Commercial real estate | 37 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 2 |
| Consumer | 17 | 39 | 11 | 31 | 19 |
| Residential | 7 | 2 | - | - | 125 |
| Total | 468 | 48 | 48 | 110 | 162 |
| Net charge-offs | 1,590 | 1,761 | 2,221 | 1,019 | 560 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses | 1,800 | 2,085 | 5,050 | 940 | (60) |
| Balance at end of period | \$8,108 | \$7,898 | \$7,574 | \$4,745 | \$4,824 |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans outstanding | 0.39 | % 0.41 | % 0.51 | % 0.24 | % 0.13 |
| Allowance for loan losses to net charge-offs | 5.10 | x 4.48 | x 3.41 | x 4.66 | x 8.62 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.97 | % 1.90 | % 1.75 | % 1.08 | % 1.13 |
| Loans 30 - 89 days past due and accruing | \$4,358 | \$2,611 | \$5,173 | \$1,858 | \$4,698 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and accruing | \$265 | \$289 | \$555 | \$604 | \$26 |
| Non-accrual loans | \$13,962 | \$9,969 | \$12,329 | \$3,493 | \$3,811 |
| Allowance for loan losses to loans 90 days or more past due and accruing | 30.55 | x 27.36 | x 13.65 | x 7.85 | x 189.41 |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-accrual loans | 0.58 | x 0.79 | x 0.61 | x 1.36 | x 1.27 |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 0.57 | x 0.77 | x 0.59 | x 1.16 | x 1.26 |
| Average total loans | \$411,839 | \$427,464 | \$432,642 | \$420,834 | \$424,781 |

The allowance for loan losses can generally absorb losses throughout the loan portfolio. However, in some instances an allocation is made for specific loans or groups of loans. Allocation of the allowance for loan losses for different categories of loans is based on the methodology used by the Company, as previously explained. The changes in the allocations from year-to-year are based upon year-end reviews of the loan portfolio.

Allocation of the allowance among major categories of loans for the past five years is summarized in the following table. This table should not be interpreted as an indication that charge-offs in future periods will occur in these amounts or proportions, or that the allocation indicates future charge-off trends. The portion of the allowance designated as unallocated is within the Company's guidelines.

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | % | 2010 | % | 2009 | % |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Category | | | | | | |
| Commercial real estate | \$3,979 | 49.1 % | \$4,238 | 53.7 % | \$4,314 | 56.9 % |
| Commercial and industrial | 1,221 | 15.1 | 1,368 | 17.3 | 1,406 | 18.6 |
| Consumer | 1,435 | 17.7 | 1,249 | 15.8 | 1,253 | 16.5 |
| Residential real estate | 1,051 | 13.0 | 863 | 10.9 | 505 | 6.7 |
| Unallocated | 422 | 5.1 | 180 | 2.3 | 96 | 1.3 |
| Total | \$8,108 | 100.0% | \$7,898 | 100.0% | \$7,574 | 100.0% |

| | 2008 | % | 2007 | % |
|---------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Category | | | | |
| Real estate: | | | | |
| Commercial | \$1,931 | 40.7 % | \$1,989 | 41.2 % |
| Residential | 711 | 15.0 | 637 | 13.2 |
| Construction | 67 | 1.4 | 53 | 1.1 |
| Commercial and industrial | 930 | 19.6 | 990 | 20.5 |
| Consumer | 973 | 20.5 | 961 | 19.9 |
| Direct financing leases | 7 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.2 |
| Unallocated | 126 | 2.7 | 185 | 3.9 |
| Total | \$4,745 | 100.0% | \$4,824 | 100.0% |

The allocation of the allowance for the commercial loan portfolio which is comprised of commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans, accounted for approximately 64%, or \$5.2 million of the total allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2011. Collateral values were prudently calculated to provide a conservative and realistic value supporting these loans. The Company uses information from its ongoing review process as well as appraisals from independent third parties and other current market information to support the valuations. The allocations to the other categories of loans are adequate compared to the actual two-year historical net charge-offs and qualitative adjustments. The 2011 unallocated balance of \$0.4 million resulted from the receipt of a \$0.3 million recovery right at the year end. Approximately \$1.5 million, or 19%, of the allowance is allocated to specifically identified impaired loans.

Non-performing assets

The Company defines non-performing assets as accruing loans past due 90 days or more, non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs), other real estate owned (ORE), repossessed assets and non-accrual investment

securities. As of December 31, 2011, non-performing assets represented 3.58% of total assets compared to 2.38% at December 31, 2010.

The following table sets forth non-performing assets at December 31:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| Total loans, including loans held-for-sale | \$410,831 | \$416,014 | \$431,919 | \$441,037 | \$427,076 | |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing | \$265 | \$289 | \$555 | \$604 | \$26 | |
| Non-accrual loans * | 13,962 | 9,969 | 12,329 | 3,493 | 3,811 | |
| Total non-performing loans | 14,227 | 10,258 | 12,884 | 4,097 | 3,837 | |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 5,314 | 783 | - | - | - | |
| Other real estate owned | 1,169 | 1,261 | 887 | 1,451 | 107 | |
| Non-accrual securities | 1,038 | 1,091 | 583 | - | - | |
| Total non-performing assets | \$21,748 | \$13,393 | \$14,354 | \$5,548 | \$3,944 | |
| Non-accrual loans to total loans | 3.40 | % 2.40 | % 2.85 | % 0.79 | % 0.89 | % |
| Non-performing loans to total loans | 3.46 | % 2.47 | % 2.98 | % 0.93 | % 0.90 | % |
| Non-performing assets to total assets | 3.58 | % 2.38 | % 2.58 | % 0.96 | % 0.67 | % |

* In the table above, the December 31, 2011 amount includes \$1.4 million of non-accrual TDRs.

The composition of non-performing loans as of December 31, 2011 is as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Gross loan balances | Past due 90 days or more and still accruing | Non-accrual loans | Total non-performing loans | % of gross loans |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Commercial and industrial | \$68,372 | \$ - | \$458 | \$ 458 | 0.67 % |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 79,475 | - | 2,406 | 2,406 | 3.03 % |
| Owner occupied | 76,611 | - | 6,288 | 6,288 | 8.21 % |
| Construction | 9,387 | - | 656 | 656 | 6.99 % |
| Consumer: | | | | | |
| Home equity installment | 36,390 | - | 1,017 | 1,017 | 2.79 % |
| Home equity line of credit | 32,486 | - | 730 | 730 | 2.25 % |
| Auto | 13,539 | - | - | - | - |
| Other | 5,833 | - | - | - | - |
| Residential: | | | | | |
| Real estate | 80,091 | 265 | 2,329 | 2,594 | 3.24 % |
| Construction | 4,110 | - | 78 | 78 | 1.91 % |
| Loans held-for-sale | 4,537 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$410,831 | \$ 265 | \$13,962 | \$ 14,227 | 3.46 % |

In the review of loans for both delinquency and collateral sufficiency, management concluded that there were a number of loans that lacked the ability to repay in accordance with contractual terms. The decision to place loans on a non-accrual status is made on an individual basis after considering factors pertaining to each specific loan. The commercial loans are placed on non-accrual status when management has determined that payment of all contractual principal and interest is in doubt or the loan is past due 90 days or more as to principal and interest, unless well-secured and in the process of collection. Consumer loans secured by real estate are placed on non-accrual status at 120 days past due as to principal and interest, and unsecured consumer loans are charged-off when the loan is 90 days or more past due as to principal and interest. Uncollected interest income accrued on all non-accrual loans is reversed and charged to interest income.

The non-performing assets for the period were comprised of non-accruing commercial loans, non-accruing real estate loans, troubled debt restructurings, non-accrual securities and ORE. Most of the loans are collateralized, thereby mitigating the Company's potential for loss. At December 31, 2011, \$1.0 million of corporate bonds consisting of pooled trust preferred securities were on non-accrual status compared to \$1.1 million at December 31, 2010. For a further discussion on the Company's securities portfolio, see Note 3, "Investment securities", within the notes to the consolidated financial statements and the section entitled "Investments", contained within this management discussion and analysis section.

Non-performing loans increased from \$10.3 million on December 31, 2010 to \$14.2 million at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011, the over 90 day past due portion was \$0.3 million and was comprised of three loans ranging from \$47,000 to \$0.2 million, and the non-accrual loan portion numbered 74 loans ranging from \$2,700 to \$3.4 million. At December 31, 2010, there were eight loans aggregating \$0.3 million in the over 90 day category ranging from \$200 to \$0.3 million and 65 loans ranging from \$2,900 to \$1.8 million in the non-accrual category. The rise in the non-performing loans in 2011 was primarily a result of a borrower with two loans aggregating \$3.4 million that failed to make required payments and the loans were placed in non-accruing status.

The Company, on a regular basis, reviews changes to loans to determine if they meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). TDR loans arise when a borrower experiences financial difficulty and the Company grants a concession that it would not otherwise grant based on current underwriting standards in order to maximize the Company's recovery. TDR loans aggregated \$6.7 million at December 31, 2011 which consisted of \$5.3 million of accruing commercial real estate loans, \$1.4 million of non-accrual commercial real estate loans, and \$44,000 of accruing commercial loans. At December 31, 2010 TDRs aggregated \$0.8 million most all of which were accruing commercial real estate loans. During the 2011 fiscal year, \$0.5 million of TDR's were removed from TDR status based upon performance. Additions were made to TDRs aggregating \$6.5 million during the twelve month period ending December 31, 2011. In addition, during 2011, additional changes to the level of TDR's occurred as follows; one loan was reduced by a charge-off of \$75,000 to its fair value, and two loans were reduced by payments aggregating \$8,000.

If applicable, a TDR loan classified as non-accrual would require a minimum of six months of payments before consideration for a return to accrual status. Concessions made to borrowers typically involve an extension of the loan's maturity date or a change in the loan's amortization period. The Company believes concessions have been made in the best interests of the borrower and the Company. The Company has not reduced interest rates, forgiven principal or entered into any forbearance or other actions with respect to these loans. If loans characterized as a TDR perform according to the restructured terms for a satisfactory period of time, the TDR designation may be removed in a new calendar year if the loan yields a market rate of interest.

At December 31, 2011, the non-accrual loans aggregated \$14.0 million as compared to \$10.0 million at December 31, 2010. The net increase in the level of non-accrual loans during the period ending December 31, 2011 occurred as follows: additions to the non-accrual loan component of the non-performing assets totaling \$9.7 million were made during the year. These were offset by reductions or payoffs of \$2.0 million, charge-offs of \$1.7 million, \$0.8 million of transfers to ORE and \$1.2 million of loans that returned to performing status. Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing were \$0.3 million at December 31, 2011, or marginally less than the amount as of December 31, 2010. The ratio of non-performing loans to total loans was 3.46% at December 31, 2011 and 2.47% at December 31, 2010.

Payments received on non-accrual loans are recognized on a cash basis. Payments are first applied against the outstanding principal balance, then to the recovery of any charged-off loan amounts. Any excess is treated as a recovery of interest income. During 2011, the Company collected approximately \$77,000 of interest income recognized on the cash basis. If the non-accrual loans that were outstanding as of December 31, 2011 had been performing in accordance with their original terms, the Company would have recognized interest income with respect

to such loans of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Bank premises and equipment, net

Net of accumulated depreciation, premises and equipment decreased \$1.2 million, or 8%, during 2011. The Company purchased premises and equipment or transferred assets from construction in process, a component of other assets in the consolidated balance sheet, of approximately \$0.3 million during 2011 compared to \$1.0 million in 2010. In 2011, additions to accumulated depreciation of \$1.5 million were the primary cause of the decrease.

Foreclosed assets held-for-sale

Other Real Estate Owned

ORE was \$1.2 million at December 31, 2011 consisting of six properties which stemmed from unrelated borrowers, all of which were listed for sale with realtors. Three properties totaling \$0.3 million were added during 2011 with the remaining \$0.9 million in three properties, one of which is a commercial property, stemming from 2010. As of December 31, 2010, ORE consisted of five properties at an aggregate value of \$1.3 million.

Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance

The Company maintains bank owned life insurance (BOLI) for a chosen group of employees at the time of purchase, namely its officers, where the Company is the owner and sole beneficiary of the policies. BOLI is classified as a non-interest earning asset. Increases in the cash surrender value are recorded as components of non-interest income. The BOLI is profitable from the appreciation of the cash surrender values of the pool of insurance and its tax-free advantage to the Company. This profitability is used to offset a portion of current and future employee benefit costs. The BOLI can be liquidated if necessary with associated tax costs. However, the Company intends to hold this pool of insurance, because it provides income that enhances the Company's capital position. Therefore, the Company has not provided for deferred income taxes on the earnings from the increase in cash surrender value.

Other assets

Other assets decreased \$1.9 million, or 13%, to \$13.0 million as of December 31, 2011 from \$14.9 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease was caused by a \$1.4 million decrease in the net deferred tax asset principally from the \$4.1 million improvement in the market values of the Company's AFS securities portfolio.

Results of Operations

Earnings Summary

The Company's results of operations depend primarily on net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income and interest expense. Interest income is generated from yields on interest-earning assets, which consist principally of loans and investment securities. Interest expense is incurred from rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, which consist of deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is determined by the Company's interest rate spread (the difference between the yields earned on its interest-earning assets and the rates paid on its interest-bearing liabilities) and the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread is significantly impacted by: changes in interest rates and market yield curves and their related impact on cash flows; the composition and characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities; differences in the maturity and re-pricing characteristics of assets compared to the maturity and re-pricing characteristics of the liabilities that fund them and by the competition in our marketplace.

The Company's profitability is also affected by the level of non-interest income and expense, provision for loan losses and provision for income taxes. Non-interest income consists mostly of service charges on the Bank's deposit and loan products, trust and asset management service fees, increases in the cash surrender value of the bank owned life insurance, net gains or losses from the sales of loans, securities and foreclosed properties held-for-sale, write-down to market value of foreclosed properties held-for-sale and from credit-related other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges from investment securities. Non-interest expense consists of compensation and related employee benefit expenses, occupancy, equipment, data processing, advertising, marketing, FDIC insurance, professional fees, supplies and other operating overhead.

The Company's profitability is significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory authorities. The Company's loan portfolio is comprised principally of commercial and commercial real estate loans. The properties underlying the Company's mortgages are concentrated in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Credit risk, which represents the possibility of the Company not recovering amounts due from its borrowers, is significantly related to local economic conditions in the areas where the properties are located as well as the Company's underwriting standards. Economic conditions affect

the market value of the underlying collateral as well as the levels of adequate cash flow and revenue generation from income-producing commercial properties.

Overview

For the year ended 2011, the Company generated net income of \$5.0 million, or \$2.28 per diluted share, compared to the incurred net loss of \$3.2 million, or \$1.50 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's return on average assets (ROA) and return on average shareholders' equity (ROE) were 0.85% and 10.01% compared to -0.55% and -6.69%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2010. The credit-related other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge in the Company's pooled trust preferred securities portfolio amounted to \$0.2 million compared to \$11.8 million in 2010, from the stabilization in credit quality of the remaining performing collateral banks within each pool. This significant reduction in OTTI was the primary cause of the positive earnings, ROA and ROE.

Net interest income

The following table sets forth a comparison of average balances of assets and liabilities and their related net tax equivalent yields and rates for the years indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | | | 2010 | | | 2009 | | |
|---|-----------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------|
| | Average balance | Interest | Yield / rate | Average balance | Interest | Yield / rate | Average balance | Interest | rate |
| Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$39,699 | \$101 | 0.25 % | \$25,701 | \$65 | 0.25 % | \$562 | \$1 | 0.04 % |
| Investments: | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | 23,863 | 420 | 1.76 | 23,872 | 856 | 3.58 | 36,842 | 1,769 | 4.80 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 42,719 | 908 | 2.13 | 26,216 | 786 | 3.00 | 11,072 | 618 | 5.58 |
| State and municipal | 27,075 | 1,799 | 6.65 | 23,100 | 1,543 | 6.68 | 24,278 | 1,610 | 6.63 |
| Other | 11,835 | 72 | 0.60 | 22,984 | 218 | 0.95 | 25,988 | 475 | 1.83 |
| Total investments | 105,492 | 3,199 | 3.03 | 96,172 | 3,403 | 3.54 | 98,180 | 4,472 | 4.56 |
| Loans: | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial and CRE | 248,836 | 13,745 | 5.52 | 265,193 | 15,200 | 5.73 | 261,842 | 15,829 | 6.04 |
| Consumer | 56,013 | 3,947 | 7.05 | 61,050 | 4,329 | 7.09 | 65,916 | 4,559 | 6.92 |
| Residential real estate | 106,748 | 5,449 | 5.10 | 100,894 | 5,375 | 5.33 | 104,481 | 5,787 | 5.54 |
| Direct financing leases | 242 | 15 | 6.05 | 327 | 20 | 6.10 | 403 | 25 | 6.20 |
| Total loans | 411,839 | 23,156 | 5.62 | 427,464 | 24,924 | 5.83 | 432,642 | 26,200 | 6.06 |
| Federal funds sold | 290 | 1 | 0.25 | 5,812 | 14 | 0.24 | 4,603 | 11 | 0.24 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 557,320 | 26,457 | 4.75 % | 555,149 | 28,406 | 5.12 % | 535,987 | 30,684 | 5.73 % |
| Non-interest earning assets | 39,007 | | | 32,405 | | | 29,289 | | |
| Total Assets | \$596,327 | | | \$587,554 | | | \$565,276 | | |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | | | | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| Savings | \$110,818 | \$501 | 0.45 % | \$99,638 | \$854 | 0.86 % | \$53,690 | \$404 | 0.75 % |
| NOW | 68,174 | 123 | 0.18 | 76,178 | 190 | 0.25 | 62,090 | 197 | 0.32 |
| MMDA | 92,790 | 551 | 0.59 | 82,676 | 640 | 0.77 | 106,115 | 1,624 | 1.53 |
| CDs < \$100,000 | 87,401 | 1,416 | 1.62 | 92,734 | 1,777 | 1.92 | 101,286 | 3,217 | 3.17 |
| CDs > \$100,000 | 45,787 | 1,076 | 2.35 | 52,691 | 1,610 | 3.05 | 63,436 | 2,435 | 3.84 |
| Clubs | 1,598 | 5 | 0.30 | 1,602 | 8 | 0.51 | 1,687 | 19 | 1.13 |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 406,568 | 3,672 | 0.90 | 405,519 | 5,079 | 1.25 | 388,304 | 7,896 |
| Repurchase agreements | 11,939 | 52 | 0.44 | 12,692 | 89 | 0.70 | 8,743 | 28 |
| Borrowed funds | 21,692 | 1,037 | 4.78 | 41,131 | 1,660 | 4.03 | 45,979 | 2,873 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 440,199 | 4,761 | 1.08 % | 459,342 | 6,828 | 1.49 % | 443,026 | 10,797 |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 102,440 | | | 76,707 | | | 70,285 | |
| Non-interest bearing liabilities | 3,290 | | | 3,627 | | | 3,828 | |
| Total liabilities | 545,929 | | | 539,676 | | | 517,139 | |
| Shareholders' equity | 50,398 | | | 47,878 | | | 48,137 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$596,327 | | | \$587,554 | | | \$565,276 | |
| Net interest income | | \$21,696 | | | \$21,578 | | | \$19,887 |
| Net interest spread | | | 3.67 % | | | 3.63 % | | |
| Net interest margin | | | 3.89 % | | | 3.89 % | | |

In the preceding table, interest income was adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis, using the corporate federal tax rate of 34% to recognize the income from tax-exempt interest-earning assets as if the interest was taxable. This treatment allows a uniform comparison between yields on interest-earning assets. Loans include loans HFS and non-accrual loans but exclude the allowance for loan losses. Net deferred loan cost amortization of \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, are included in interest income from loans. Securities include non-accrual securities. Average balances are based on amortized cost and do not reflect net unrealized gains or losses. Net interest margin is calculated by dividing net interest income by total average interest-earning assets.

Changes in net interest income are a function of both changes in interest rates and changes in volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table presents the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in volumes of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected the Company's interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (1) the changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by the prior period rate), (2) the changes attributable to changes in interest rates (changes in rates multiplied by prior period volume) and (3) the net change. The combined effect of changes in both volume and rate has been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate. Tax-exempt income was not converted to a tax-equivalent basis on the rate/volume analysis:

| (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2011 compared to 2010 | | | 2010 compared to 2009 | | |
| | Increase (decrease) due to | | | | | |
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| Interest income: | | | | | | |
| Loans and leases: | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate | \$304 | \$(230) | \$74 | \$(195) | \$(217) | \$(412) |
| Commercial and CRE | (849) | (543) | (1,392) | 198 | (913) | (715) |
| Consumer | (361) | (24) | (385) | (348) | 114 | (234) |
| Total loans and leases | (906) | (797) | (1,703) | (345) | (1,016) | (1,361) |
| Investment securities, interest-bearing deposits and | | | | | | |
| Federal funds sold | 380 | (654) | (274) | 792 | (1,760) | (968) |
| Total interest income | (526) | (1,451) | (1,977) | 447 | (2,776) | (2,329) |
| Interest expense: | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | |
| Certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000 | (193) | (340) | (533) | (374) | (452) | (826) |
| Other | 76 | (949) | (873) | 436 | (2,428) | (1,992) |
| Total deposits | (117) | (1,289) | (1,406) | 62 | (2,880) | (2,818) |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | (654) | (6) | (660) | (47) | (1,105) | (1,152) |
| Total interest expense | (771) | (1,295) | (2,066) | 15 | (3,985) | (3,970) |
| Net interest income | \$245 | \$(156) | \$89 | \$432 | \$1,209 | \$1,641 |

Like 2010, the interest rate yield curve remained positively sloped but at uniquely low levels. The FOMC has not adjusted the short-term federal funds rate which has remained near zero percent during 2010 and 2011. Similarly, at 3.25%, the national prime interest rate remained constant throughout 2011 as it did during all of 2010. National prime is a benchmark rate banks use to set rates on various lending and other interest-earning products. Operating in a very low interest rate environment has and will continue to challenge financial institutions in the coming year. The challenge facing banks in today's markets is to: effectively fund long-termed interest producing-assets with shorter-termed interest-bearing liabilities; earn a positive spread; stabilize net interest margin at acceptable levels and simultaneously position the institution, by managing interest rate risk, when rates begin to rise and asset duration lengthens. In the second half of 2011, the Company had begun to feel the negative attributes of the prolonged low interest rate environment. The Company's cost of funds, though at record low levels, has little room for additional downward adjustment. As such, the Company may be unable to reduce its funding rates as quickly as the yields from interest-earning assets continue to decline. Accordingly, the Company's net interest margin may continue to contract.

In the near-term, the Company expects to minimize fixed-rate asset growth while it operates in this prolonged low interest rate environment.

The Company's overall cost of funds, which includes the effect of non-interest bearing DDA balances as a no-cost funding source has declined 39 basis points, from 1.27% to 0.88%, for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, respectively. This decline is in contrast to the 83 basis point decline experienced in the prior year measurement period. The yield on earning-assets declined 37 basis points from 2010 to 2011. These two factors will continue to pressure margins in 2012 and possibly longer depending on the duration of the interest rate environment. Therefore, though the Company employs prudent lending underwriting standards and maintains a healthy loan pipeline, net interest margin is expected to contract marginally.

If market rates along with national prime remain at current levels, loan originations, renewing commercial and residential loans and lines of credit could continue to price below the average 2010 and 2011 portfolio yields. In addition, the decrease in the Treasury yields and other capital market rates, which began four years ago, has had and could continue to have an unfavorable impact on the Company's total 2011 and 2012 investment portfolio yield. Total interest income declined 7%, or \$2.0 million, from \$27.6 million in 2010 to \$25.6 million in 2011. Management expects yields to continue to experience a period of descent on its interest-earning assets during 2012.

Interest expense decreased \$2.1 million, or 30%, from \$6.8 million in 2010 to \$4.7 million in 2011. Though the Company recorded a \$1.1 million net increase in average interest-bearing deposits, interest expense on deposits declined \$1.4 million in 2011 compared to 2010 caused by a 35 basis point decline on rates paid. Interest expense on borrowed funds declined \$0.6 million during 2011. The lower interest from borrowings was from the \$11.0 million reduction in long-term debt during the latter part of 2010. The 2010 advance with the FHLB carried an interest rate of 5.59%.

In an effort to maintain reasonable interest rate spreads to its earning-assets, the Company's asset/liability committee reduced rates paid on CDs as well as rates paid on transactional deposits. Whether or not the Company can continue to reduce rates on deposits that are currently priced at unprecedented low levels will be predicated on the interest rate environment, liquidity position, the re-pricing characteristics of interest-earning assets and competition.

The resulting performance that the mix of the Company's interest-sensitive assets and liabilities and the impact the yield curve slope has had during 2011, combined with non-renewal and early pay-off of high-costing long-term debt, caused net interest income to approximate the same level as in 2010. On a tax-equivalent basis, the net interest rate spread increased four basis points from 3.63% to 3.67% and the tax-equivalent margin remained stable at 3.89% in 2010 and 2011.

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses represents the necessary amount to charge against current earnings, the purpose of which is to increase the allowance for loan losses to a level that represents management's best estimate of known and inherent losses in the Company's loan portfolio. Management continuously reviews the risks inherent in the loan portfolio. Loans determined to be uncollectible are charged-off against the allowance for loan losses. The required amount of the provision for loan losses, based upon the adequate level of the allowance for loan losses, is subject to ongoing analysis of the loan portfolio. The Company's Special Asset Committee meets periodically to review problem loans. The committee is comprised of management, including the chief risk officer, loan workout officers and collection personnel. The committee reports quarterly to the Credit Administration Committee of the Board of Directors.

Provisions for loan losses of \$1.8 million were made for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$2.1 million for the December 31, 2010 year. The allowance for loan losses was \$8.1 million as of December 31, 2011 compared to \$7.9 million as of December 31, 2010. Deterioration in overall asset quality primarily occurred from a \$3.4 million single, well-collateralized owner-occupied commercial real estate loan relationship placed on non-accrual status in the 2011 fourth quarter. Because of this loan's collateral coverage level, the required provision for loan loss was not significant. This plus the receipt of \$0.4 million in recoveries lowered the level of provision for loan loss during the period.

For a further discussion on the allowance for loan losses, see “Allowance for loan losses” under the caption “Comparison of financial condition at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010” above.

Other income (loss)

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded net other (non-interest) income of \$5.7 million compared to a non-interest loss of \$6.4 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2010. In 2011, a non-cash other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge of \$0.2 million was recognized compared to \$11.8 million recognized for the year ended December 31, 2010. The OTTI charges are related to the Company’s investment in pooled trust preferred securities. The carrying values of these securities were written down to their fair values as management has deemed the impairment to be other-than-temporary. For a further discussion on the Company’s investment in pooled trust preferred securities see Note 3, “Investment Securities”, within the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8, and the section entitled, “Investments”, contained within this management’s discussion and analysis. Excluding the OTTI charges in 2011 and 2010, other non-interest income increased \$0.5 million in 2011. Continued success in financial services and trust activities as well as more fees from interchange and other core non-interest revenue-generating activities were only partially offset by lower levels of service charges on deposits.

Other expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2011, other (non-interest) expenses remained relatively unchanged at \$18.0 million compared to 2010. Though salary and employee benefits decreased \$0.2 million or 2%, the 2010 amount includes \$0.4 million in one-time severance and termination payouts. Excluding the one-time termination payout event, salary and benefits increased \$0.2 million. The increase was due to higher incentive and group insurance costs. The average number of full-time equivalent (FTE) employees for the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 was 159 compared to 166 average FTEs during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010. The \$0.2 million, or 6%, increase in premises and equipment was predominately caused by higher lease expenses in the current year compared to 2010. The 2010 figure includes a required downward adjustment to lease obligations upon the execution of a renegotiated branch facility lease agreement. In addition, higher utility costs, expenses associated with facilities and equipment maintenance added to the increase in premises and equipment expenses. Loan collection and ORE expenses increased \$72,000, or 11%, due to the increased level of non-performing loans for which expenses were incurred. The \$0.2 million, or 28%, decline in FDIC insurance premiums was caused by the initial application of the new assessment calculation. The \$0.1 million, or 11%, decline in advertising and marketing was caused by the non-recurring nature of costs incurred in 2010.

The ratios of non-interest expense less non-interest income to average assets, known as the industry's expense ratio, at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were 2.04% and 2.07%, respectively. The expense ratio, which excludes OTTI and other securities transactions, declined due to improved non-interest earnings, stable operating expenses and a higher average balance sheet.

Provision for income taxes

A pre-tax gain in 2011 compared to a pre-tax loss in 2010 was the cause of a tax provision in the current year compared to a tax credit in 2010.

Comparison of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2010

and 2009 and Results of Operations for each of the Years then Ended

Financial Condition

Overview

Consolidated assets increased \$5.7 million, or 1%, during the year ended December 31, 2010 to \$561.7 million. The increase was primarily the result of increased deposits of \$23.5 million, or 5%, and increased shareholders' equity of \$1.1 million, or 2%. The cash inflow from deposits enabled the Company to reduce total borrowings by \$19.0 million, or 39%. The increase in shareholders' equity was predominantly from \$1.0 million of common stock issued via the Company's dividend reinvestment and employee stock purchase plans.

Deposits

Total deposits increased \$23.5 million, or 5%, during 2010 to \$482.4 million. The increase stemmed from growth in savings and clubs of \$19.5 million, NOW accounts of \$5.5 million and non-interest bearing DDAs of \$14.9 million, partially offset by a \$4.6 million decline in CDs and money market accounts of \$11.9 million.

Short-term borrowings

Because of the success in deposit growth, during 2010 the Company's usage of overnight borrowings was minimal and allowed the reduction of overnight funding entirely, or by \$8.6 million from 2009. During 2010 the Company borrowed and repaid a \$9.5 million advance with the FHLB. The advance was a low-cost, short-term means to accommodate a match-funded commercial loan with a municipal customer.

Due to the constant flow of funds into and out of the sweep product, their balances tend to be somewhat volatile, mimicking the likes of a DDA. Customer liquidity is the typical cause for variances in repurchase agreements, which during 2010 declined \$0.2 million from \$7.7 million at December 31, 2009 to \$7.5 million as of December 31, 2010.

Long-term debt

The weighted-average rate in effect on funds borrowed at December 31, 2010 was 4.87% compared to 5.11% as of December 31, 2009. The 2010 weighted-average rate was 25 basis points below the tax-equivalent yield of 5.12% earned from the Company's portfolio of average interest-earning assets for the year ended December 31, 2010. Interest rates on the \$21.0 million balances of two long-term advances are fixed until 2013 and 2016, but are structured to adjust quarterly should market interest rates increase beyond the issues' original or strike rates. Significant prepayment penalties are attached to the borrowings thereby creating a disincentive from paying off the higher cost advances. However, in the event underlying market rates drift above the rates currently paid on these borrowings, the fixed-rate would convert to a floating rate advance and at that time, the Company would have the option to repay or to renegotiate the converted advance rate. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had the ability to borrow an additional \$163.6 million from the FHLB.

Investments

As of December 31, 2010, AFS debt securities were recorded with an unrealized net loss of \$5.9 million while equity securities were recorded with an unrealized gain of \$0.2 million. As of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the aggregate fair value of securities HTM exceeded their respective aggregate amortized cost by \$48,000 and \$56,000, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, the carrying value of investment securities totaled \$83.4 million, or 15% of total assets, compared to \$76.5 million, or 14% of total assets at December 31, 2009.

The tax-equivalent yield on debt securities by stated maturity date at December 31, 2010 is as follows:

| | One year or less | One through five years | Five through ten years | More than ten years | Total |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Agency - GSE | - % | 6.08 | % 2.65 | % 4.40 | % 3.10 % |
| MBS - GSE residential | - | - | 5.87 | 6.19 | 6.18 |
| State & municipal subdivisions | - | 1.01 | 2.62 | 5.35 | 3.73 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | - | - | - | 1.67 | 1.67 |
| Total debt securities | - % | 1.13 | % 2.94 | % 3.94 | % 3.75 % |

As of December 31, 2010, the debt securities with unrealized losses had 14.7% depreciation; an improvement compared to 25.9% at December 31, 2009. The most significant component of the \$5.9 million net unrealized loss position in the AFS debt securities portfolio was \$5.4 million from the Company's investments in pooled trust preferred securities.

The Company determined that as of December 31, 2010, the amortized cost of 12 PreTSLs: IV, V, VII, IX, XI, XV, XVI, XVII, XVIII, XIX, XXIV and XXV had declined \$11.9 million in total during 2010 and since the present value of the security's expected cash flows were insufficient to recover the entire amortized cost, the securities are deemed to have experienced credit-related OTTI in the amount of \$11.8 million which was charged to current earnings as a component of other income in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Loans

As a part of the risk management practices of the Company, gross loans were strategically reduced \$14.9 million, or 3%, from \$430.7 million at December 31, 2009 to \$415.8 million as of December 31, 2010. Gross loans represented 74% of total assets at December 31, 2010, compared to 77% of total assets as of December 31, 2009.

Net of loan participations, in 2010 the Company originated \$26.0 million of commercial and industrial loans and \$12.0 million of commercial real estate loans compared to \$23.0 million and \$9.7 million, respectively in 2009. Also, during 2010, the Company originated \$13.7 million of residential real estate loans for portfolio retention and \$16.0 million of consumer loans, compared to \$13.8 million and \$20.6 million, respectively, in 2009. Included in mortgage loans are \$4.7 million of residential real estate construction lines in 2010 and \$8.3 million in 2009. In addition for 2010, the Company had net originations of lines of credit in the amounts of \$20.2 million for commercial borrowers and \$16.8 million in home equity and other consumer lines of credit.

Net origination activity declined 1% in 2010 compared to 2009. The decline in the commercial and CRE originations was due to weak economic conditions and the related strict underwriting practices that the Company employs to help ensure against possible future losses, as well as selling participations in commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans.

Commercial and industrial

Commercial and industrial lending increased \$8.1 million, or 10%, to \$85.1 million during 2010. While the majority of this portfolio remained unchanged, with originations replacing scheduled run-off, the Company saw an increase in municipal tax anticipation loans. Tax anticipation loan activity provides the Company an opportunity to establish deposit and trust relationships in addition to provide for the funding needs of the local municipal and school district communities.

Commercial real estate

Commercial real estate lending saw a decrease of \$20.3 million, or 11%, from \$189.5 million at December 31, 2009 to \$169.2 million as of December 31, 2010. There were several factors contributing to this overall decrease, including the selling of participations, the Company's decision to maintain its pricing standards and the resolution of several large commercial credits that were not renewed due to the strict underwriting standards of the Company, which are reflective of the current economic conditions.

Residential real estate

Residential real estate saw a decline of \$4.2 million, or 5%, to \$74.3 million during 2010. The majority of this decrease was due to refinance activity associated with the low interest rate environment. Loans within the Company's residential real estate portfolio were refinanced, to long-term, fixed-rate loans, and subsequently sold into the secondary market, consistent with the risk management practices of the Company.

Consumer loans

Consumer loans saw a modest increase of \$1.5 million, or 2%, from \$85.7 million at December 31, 2009 to \$87.2 million at December 31, 2010. While the consumer portfolio remained relatively stable during 2010, there was a flux in a few of the classes within this segment. Home equity installment loans saw a decrease of \$8.1 million, which was almost entirely offset by a \$7.3 million increase in home equity lines of credit. The Company credits this fluctuation within the portfolio to home equity installment loan pay-offs from mortgage loan refinancing partially offset by

promotions run during 2010 featuring home equity lines of credit with a floating rate that was tied to the national prime rate.

Loans held-for-sale

Loans HFS as of December 31, 2010 were \$0.2 million which approximates fair value compared to \$1.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2009. During 2010, the Company originated \$55.9 million of residential mortgages HFS, compared to \$87.9 million in 2009. The higher volume in 2009 was a function of the low interest rate environment which produced a spike in the refinance of existing home debt. During 2010, residential mortgage loans with principal balances of \$61.3 million were sold into the secondary market and the Company recognized net gains of approximately \$0.8 million, compared to \$98.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively during 2009. The Company expected residential mortgage loan origination activity to continue to recede during 2011.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the servicing portfolio balance of sold residential mortgage loans was \$188.6 million and \$157.5 million, respectively.

Allowance for loan losses

Total charge-offs, net of recoveries, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, were \$1.8 million, compared to \$2.2 million in the twelve months of 2009. The \$0.4 million decrease resulted primarily from fewer charge-offs of impaired loans in the current year. Commercial real estate loan net charge-offs of \$0.9 million were recorded during the twelve months ending December 31, 2010 versus \$0.8 million at December 31, 2009. Commercial and industrial loan net charge-offs were \$0.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to net charge-offs of \$0.9 million in the same period of 2009. There were no residential real estate loan net charge-offs for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$9,000 in the like period of 2009. Consumer loan net charge-offs of \$0.4 million were recorded during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. For a discussion on the provision for loan losses, see the "Provision for loan losses," located in the results of operations section of management's discussion and analysis contained herein.

The allowance for loan losses was \$7.9 million at December 31, 2010, an increase of \$0.3 million from December 31, 2009. The increase in the allowance was primarily driven by increased historical loss percentages applied to the loan pools in the allowance calculation.

The allocation of the allowance for the commercial loan portfolio which is comprised of commercial real estate loans and commercial and industrial loans, accounted for approximately 71%, or \$5.6 million of the total allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2010. Collateral values were prudently calculated to provide a conservative and realistic value supporting these loans. The Company uses information from its ongoing review process as well as appraisals from independent third parties and other current market information to support the valuations. The allocations to the other categories of loans are adequate compared to the actual two-year historical net charge-offs. Approximately \$1.0

million, or 13%, of the allowance is allocated to specifically identified impaired loans.

Non-performing assets

As of December 31, 2010, non-performing assets represented 2.38% of total assets compared to 2.58% at December 31, 2009. The majority of the decrease in non-performing assets for the period is mainly attributed to a reduction of the non-accrual loans. However, during the period \$1.1 million of corporate bonds consisting of pooled trust preferred securities were on non-accrual status, compared to \$0.6 million in 2009. There were no non-accrual securities prior to 2009. For a further discussion on the Company's securities portfolio, see Note 3, "Investment Securities", within the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8, and the section entitled, "Investments", contained within management's discussion and analysis. Most of the non-accrual loans are collateralized, thereby mitigating the Company's potential for loss. In 2009, non-performing loans were \$12.9 million and \$10.3 million at year-end 2010. The decrease occurred mainly in the commercial loan portfolio as several borrowers were able to meet their contractual repayment obligations as a result of the improved economic conditions. Action plans for the resolutions of each of the Company's non-performing loans have been developed, monitored and are periodically updated as needed. There were no repossessed assets at December 31, 2010 or 2009. ORE at December 31, 2010 consisted of five properties which are listed for sale with realtors. ORE at year-end 2009 consisted of three properties. The non-accrual loans aggregated \$10.0 million at December 31, 2010 and were \$12.3 million at December 31, 2009. During 2010, approximately \$8.3 million of loans were placed on non-accrual status. These were partially offset by reductions or payoffs of \$7.9 million, charge-offs of \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million of transfers to ORE and \$0.4 million of loans that returned to performing status. Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing totaled \$0.3 million at December 31, 2010 compared to \$0.6 million, at December 31, 2009. The percentage of non-performing assets to total assets was 2.38% at December 31, 2010, a decrease from 2.58% at December 31, 2009. Non-performing loans to total loans were 2.47% at December 31, 2010, and 2.98% at December 31, 2009.

There were two troubled debt restructured loans (TDRs) at December 31, 2010. The TDRs are accruing and performing loans on which the Company has granted certain concessions to provide payment relief to the borrower. These concessions generally consist of the deferral of principal and or interest payments for a period of time, or a partial reduction in interest payments.

During 2010, the Company collected approximately \$38,000 of interest income recognized on the cash basis. If the non-accrual loans that were outstanding as of December 31, 2010 had been performing in accordance with their original terms, the Company would have recognized interest income with respect to such loans of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Bank premises and equipment, net

Net of accumulated depreciation, premises and equipment decreased \$0.6 million, or 4%, during 2010. The Company purchased premises and equipment or transferred assets from construction in process, a component of other assets in the consolidated balance sheet, of approximately \$1.0 million in total during 2010 compared to \$0.9 million in 2009. During 2010, the Company entered into a new lease agreement with a new owner-landlord of its Eynon branch.

Foreclosed assets held-for-sale

Other Real Estate Owned

ORE was \$1.3 million at December 31, 2010 consisting of five properties which stemmed from unrelated borrowers, all of which were listed for sale with realtors. Two of the properties were in the ORE account during 2009 at a fair value \$0.3 million. These two properties were written down by \$0.1 million during 2010 to the current fair value of \$0.2 million based upon updated appraisals and market conditions. As of December 31, 2009 ORE consisted of three properties at an aggregate value of \$0.9 million.

Other assets

Other assets increased 4% to \$14.9 million as of December 31, 2010 from \$14.4 million at December 31, 2009. The increase was caused by a \$1.2 million increase in the net deferred tax asset from the current year impairment charges recognized partially offset by the tax effect of the decrease in the net unrealized loss position in the AFS securities

portfolio. The net increase in the net deferred tax asset was partially offset by \$0.7 million in amortization, or a decrease of the prepaid FDIC insurance premium that was established in 2009. The \$2.0 million prepaid FDIC insurance premium will be released as a charge to earnings over the next two years.

Results of Operations

Earnings Summary

For the year ended 2010, the Company incurred a net loss of \$3.2 million, or \$1.50 per diluted share, compared to a net loss of \$1.4 million, or \$0.67 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's return on average assets (ROA) and return on average shareholders' equity (ROE) were -0.55% and -6.69% compared to -0.25% and -2.91%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2009. The current year included a non-operating, non-cash, after-tax charge of \$7.8 million related to OTTI in the Company's AFS securities portfolio, compared to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Partially offsetting the OTTI charges in 2010 were increased net interest income of \$1.6 million, or 9%, a lower provision for loan losses of \$3.0 million and 6% lower operating expenses of \$1.2 million. The increase in the net loss in 2010 caused a more negative ROA and ROE.

Net interest income

Like 2009, the interest rate yield curve remained positively sloped in 2010, but at uniquely low levels. The FOMC had not adjusted the short-term federal funds rate which has remained near zero percent during 2009 and 2010. Similarly, at 3.25%, the national prime interest rate remained constant throughout 2010 as it did during all of 2009. National prime is a benchmark rate banks use to set rates on various lending and other interest-earning products. Operating in a very low interest rate environment during 2010 continued to suppress asset growth.

The effect of this low interest rate level had been to continue to exert downward pressure on the yields of the Company's interest-earning assets. With rate-cutting beginning three years ago, this pressure existed with increasing momentum through 2010. The Company was able to more than offset this pressure by periodically adjusting rates on its interest-bearing deposits. In response to the swift downward shift in rates, the Company's asset/liability committee assessed, among other things, the impact the interest rate movements had on its earning assets. Where necessary, rate adjustments to interest-bearing deposits and repurchase agreements were implemented and high-costing long-term wholesale funding sources were paid off and not renewed, all of which helped minimize the effect rate changes had on net interest income. The committee meets frequently and successfully implemented rate setting strategies to help mitigate the interest rate risk inherent in the balance sheet and was able to preserve its net interest margin to acceptable levels. The Company's overall cost of funds, which included the effect of non-interest bearing DDA balances as a no-cost funding source declined 83 basis points, from 2.10% to 1.27% for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

As market rates along with national prime remained steady throughout 2010, loan originations, renewing commercial and residential loans and lines of credit continued to price below the average 2009 and 2010 portfolio yields. In addition, the decrease in the Treasury yields and other capital market rates, which began in 2008, had an unfavorable impact on the Company's total 2010 investment portfolio yield. The prevalent low interest rate environment of 2010 had a negative impact on the Company's interest-earning assets. Total interest income declined 8%, or \$2.3 million, from \$29.9 million in 2009 to \$27.6 million in 2010 and caused the tax-equivalent yield on earning assets to continue to slide – decreasing 60 basis points compared to 2009.

Interest expense decreased \$4.0 million, or 37%, from \$10.8 million in 2009 to \$6.8 million in 2010. The lower interest rate environment that dominated the economy over the last three years required the Company to periodically reduce offering rates on both its deposit and repurchase agreement products. Though the Company recorded a \$17.2 million net increase in average interest-bearing deposits, interest expense on deposits declined \$2.8 million in 2010 compared to 2009 caused by a 78 basis point decline on rates paid. The effect of the increase in average interest-bearing deposits was an additional \$62,000 in interest expense. Interest expense on borrowed funds declined \$1.2 million during 2010, mostly from the 205 basis point reduction in rates paid. The lower interest from borrowings was from the \$11.0 million reduction in long-term debt during the latter part of 2010 and the reduction of \$20.0 million in long-term debt in 2009. The 2010 advance with the FHLB carried an interest rate of 5.59%. The 2009 paid-off advances carried a weighted-average rate of 5.72% including a \$10.0 million, 6.12% piece that was scheduled to mature in 2010. The early pay-down required a \$0.5 million prepayment of interest that is included as a component of interest expense in the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The resulting performance that the mix of the Company's interest-sensitive assets and liabilities and the impact the yield curve slope had during 2010, combined with non-renewal and early pay-off of high-costing long-term debt, caused net interest income to increase \$1.6 million, or 9%, from \$19.1 million in 2009 to \$20.8 million in 2010. On a tax-equivalent basis, the net interest rate spread increased 35 basis points from 3.28% to 3.63% and the tax-equivalent margin improved 18 basis points, from 3.71% in 2009 to 3.89% in 2010, respectively.

Provision for loan losses

Provisions for loan losses of \$2.1 million were made for the year December 31, 2010 as compared to \$5.1 million for the December 31, 2009 year. Improvement in overall asset quality primarily resulting from the successful resolution of several large commercial credits allowed for a lower provision for loan losses.

Other income (loss)

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a net other (non-interest) loss of \$6.4 million compared to non-interest income of \$2.2 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2009. In 2010, a non-cash other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge of \$11.8 million was recognized compared to \$3.3 million recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009. The OTTI charges were related to the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities. The carrying values of these securities were written down to their fair values as management has deemed the impairment to be other-than-temporary. Excluding the OTTI charges in 2010 and 2009, other non-interest income was essentially unchanged, with the \$0.3 million decline in gains recognized from mortgage banking services, lower fees from deposit service charges and financial services of \$0.1 million and \$47,000, respectively, were largely offset by transactions related to foreclosed properties held-for-sale and increased lending and interchange related fees.

Other expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2010, other operating expenses decreased \$1.2 million, or 6%, from \$19.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 to \$18.0 million for the 2010 year. Despite a one-time \$0.4 million severance and voluntary termination payout, from a planned organization restructuring and annual merit increases, salary and employee benefits declined \$0.8 million, or 8%, in 2010 compared to 2009. The average full-time equivalent (FTE) number of employees was 166 in 2010 compared to 192 FTEs in 2009. Expenses related to premises and equipment declined \$0.1 million, or 4%, in 2010 compared to 2009 including a decline in rental expense of \$0.1 million. Savings from property insurance and facility maintenance also contributed to the reduction in premises and equipment. Advertising and marketing expense increased \$0.2 million, or 15% in the current year. Costs associated with the development of the Company's new website, the implementation of a Company branding campaign, expenses for disseminating customer notifications of federal regulatory changes and promotional related expenses for sales campaigns designed to strengthen services for deposit customers contributed to the increase. Professional services declined \$0.1 million, or 8%, due in part to the one-time, \$0.2 million consulting agreement expense paid to the Company's former chief executive officer in 2009 partially offset by higher fees for audit and tax services. FDIC deposit insurance premiums declined \$0.3 million, or 27% in 2010 compared to 2009. The 2009 amount includes special assessments intended to shore up the FDIC's insurance reserve. Special assessments were not required in 2010.

The ratios of non-interest expense less non-interest income to average assets, known as the industry's expense ratio, at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were 2.07% and 2.37%, respectively. The expense ratio, which excludes OTTI and other securities transactions, declined due to the lower expense base most notable in employee salary and benefit costs and lower professional services expenses.

Provision for income taxes

The Company's larger pre-tax loss in 2010 compared to 2009 was the cause of a larger credit for income taxes in 2010 compared to 2009.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business in order to meet the financing needs of its customers and in connection with the overall interest rate management strategy. These instruments involve, to a varying degree, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. In accordance with GAAP, these instruments are either not recorded in the consolidated financial statements or are recorded in amounts that differ from the notional amounts. Such instruments primarily include lending commitments and lease obligations.

Lending commitments include commitments to originate loans and commitments to fund unused lines of credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

In addition to lending commitments, the Company has contractual obligations related to operating lease commitments. Operating lease commitments are obligations under various non-cancelable operating leases on buildings and land used for office space and banking purposes.

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2011, the Company's significant determinable contractual obligations and significant commitments by payment date. The payment amounts represent those amounts contractually due to the recipient, excluding interest:

| (dollars in thousands) | One year or less | Over one year through three years | Over three years through five years | Over five years | Total |
|--|---------------------|---|---|--------------------|-----------|
| Contractual obligations: | | | | | |
| Certificates of deposit ⁽¹⁾ | \$69,847 | \$ 43,144 | \$ 10,042 | \$ 2,312 | \$125,345 |
| Long-term debt ⁽²⁾ | - | 5,000 | 16,000 | - | 21,000 |
| Repurchase agreements | 9,507 | - | - | - | 9,507 |
| Operating leases | 384 | 715 | 693 | 4,215 | 6,007 |
| Significant commitments: | | | | | |
| Letters of credit | 7,561 | 904 | - | 669 | 9,134 |
| Loan commitments ⁽³⁾ | 14,992 | - | - | - | 14,992 |
| Total | \$102,291 | \$ 49,763 | \$ 26,735 | \$ 7,196 | \$185,985 |

(1) Includes certificates in the CDARS program.

(2) \$5.0 million due in 2013 was paid off in the first quarter of 2012.

Available credit to borrowers in the amount of \$64.0 million is excluded from the above table since, by its nature, (3) the borrowers may not have the need for additional funding, and, therefore, the credit may or may not be disbursed by the Company.

Related Party Transactions

Information with respect to related parties is contained in Note 15, "Related Party Transactions", within the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8.

Impact of Accounting Standards and Interpretations

Information with respect to the impact of accounting standards is contained in Note 18, "Recent Accounting Pronouncements", within the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires the measurement of the Company's financial condition and results of operations in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike industrial businesses, most all of the Company's assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on our performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation as interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or, to the same extent, as the price of goods and services.

Capital Resources

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors.

Under these guidelines, assets and certain off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with prescribed risk-weightings. The appropriate risk-weighting, pursuant to regulatory guidelines, required an increase in the weights applied to securities that are rated below investment grade, thereby inflating the total risk-weighted assets. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and certain off-balance sheet items. The guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk-based capital to total risk-weighted assets (Total Risk Adjusted Capital) of 8%, including Tier I capital to total risk-weighted assets (Tier I Capital) of 4% and Tier I capital to average total assets (Leverage Ratio) of at least 4%. The Company's Total Risk Adjusted Capital Ratio was 13.0%, Tier I Capital Ratio was 11.7% and Leverage Ratio was 8.7% as of December 31, 2011. Additional information with respect to capital requirements is contained in Note 14, "Regulatory Matters", within the notes to the consolidated financial statements, and incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 8.

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

During the year-ended December 31, 2011, total shareholders' equity increased \$6.9 million, or 15%, due principally from the \$5.0 million in net income added into retained earnings and the \$2.7 million, after-tax decline in the net unrealized loss position in the Company's investment portfolio. Capital was further enhanced by \$1.3 million from investments in the Company's common stock via the Employee Stock Purchase and Dividend Reinvestment Plans. These items were partially offset by the \$2.2 million of cash dividends declared on the Company's common stock. The Company's primary source (use) of capital during the previous five years has been from the retention (use) of equity in undistributed earnings (losses). Undistributed earnings and other changes in capital are reflected below for the years indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Net income (loss) | Cash dividends declared | Undistributed earnings (used) retained | DRP and ESPP infusion | Purchase of treasury stock | Changes in OCI and other changes | Capital retained (used) |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 5,045 | \$ (2,210) | \$ 2,835 | \$ 1,284 | \$ - | \$ 2,731 | \$6,850 |
| 2010 | (3,204) | (2,137) | (5,341) | 1,056 | - | 5,384 | 1,099 |
| 2009 | (1,400) | (2,078) | (3,478) | 864 | (57) | (615) | (3,286) |
| 2008 | 3,636 | (2,069) | 1,567 | 132 | (430) | (7,499) | (6,230) |
| 2007 | 4,612 | (1,922) | 2,690 | 505 | - | 384 | 3,579 |

As of December 31, 2011, the Company reported a net unrealized loss position of \$1.1 million, net of tax, from the securities AFS portfolio compared to a net unrealized loss of \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2010. Net of principal write-downs of the Company's investments in pooled trust preferred securities, the Company's unrealized loss position has improved from December 31, 2010. The prolonged economic slump has created uncertainty and illiquidity in the financial and capital markets and has had a sizable negative impact on the fair value estimates for securities in banks' investment portfolios in the past several years. Management believes these changes are due mainly to liquidity problems in the financial markets and to a lesser extent the deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuers. Also, when U.S. Treasury rates begin to rise, investment securities' pricing will decline. Bond prices move inversely to the movement of interest rates. Nonetheless, there is no assurance that future realized and unrealized losses will not be recognized on the Company's portfolio of investment securities. Further, the Company expects to continue to participate in the DRP and ESPP plans in order to augment earnings and bolster its capital position. These two plans have been a consistent source of capital from the Company's loyal employees and shareholders and their participation in these plans will continue to help strengthen the Company's balance sheet in years to come.

Liquidity

Liquidity management ensures that adequate funds will be available to meet customers' needs for borrowings, deposit withdrawals and maturities and normal operating expenses of the Company. Current sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents, asset maturities and pay-downs within one year, loans and investments AFS, growth of core deposits, growth of repurchase agreements, increases of other borrowed funds from correspondent banks and issuance of capital stock. Although regularly scheduled investment and loan payments are a dependable source of daily funds, the sales of both loans and investments AFS, deposit activity and investment and loan prepayments are significantly influenced by general economic conditions and the interest rate environment. During a declining interest rate environment, prepayments from interest-sensitive assets tend to accelerate and provide significant liquidity which can be used to invest in other interest-earning assets but at lower market rates. Conversely, periods of rising interest rates, prepayments from interest-sensitive assets tend to decelerate causing cash flow from mortgage loans and the MBS – GSE residential securities portfolio to decrease. Rising interest rates may also cause deposit inflow to accelerate at higher market interest rates. The Company closely monitors activity in the capital markets and takes appropriate action to ensure that the liquidity levels are adequate for funding, investing and operating activities.

The Company utilizes a contingency funding plan (CFP) that sets a framework for handling liquidity issues in the event circumstances arise which the Company deems to be less than normal. To accomplish this, the Company established guidelines for identifying, measuring, monitoring and managing the resolution of potentially serious liquidity crises. The Company's CFP outlines required monitoring tools, acceptable alternative funding sources and required actions during various liquidity scenarios. Thus, the Company has implemented a proactive means for the measurement and resolution for handling potentially significant adverse liquidity issues. At least quarterly, the CFP monitoring tools, current liquidity position and monthly projected liquidity sources and uses are presented and reviewed by the Company's ALCO. As of December 31, 2011 the Company has not experienced any adverse liquidity issues that would give rise to its inability to raise liquidity in an emergency situation.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company generated approximately \$29.2 million of cash. During this period, the Company's operations provided approximately \$15.1 million mostly from the \$20.8 million of net cash inflow from the components of net interest income, \$1.3 million from net proceeds from mortgage banking services, partially offset by net non-interest expenses and other net working capital cash flow. The cash generated from operations and the \$34.3 million of cash inflow from deposits and repurchase agreements were used to help fund growth in investment portfolio and pay dividends. The balance of net funds generated will be retained and used to prudently grow interest-earning assets, facility upgrades and provide for the unpredictable behavior of deposit cash outflow.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company maintained \$52.2 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$112.7 million of investments AFS and loans HFS. In addition, as of December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$105.6 million available to borrow from the FHLB, \$21.0 million available from other correspondent banks, \$20.0 million from the CDARS program and \$22.3 million (including the Borrower-in-Custody [BIC] program) from the Discount Window of the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB). The purpose of the BIC arrangement is to provide flexibility to banks so

they may increase their pool of pledged collateral while avoiding the inconvenience and cost of transporting collateral and supporting documentation to the FRB. The combined total of \$333.8 million represented 55% of total assets at December 31, 2011. Management believes this level of liquidity to be strong and adequate to support current operations.

For a discussion on the Company's significant determinable contractual obligations and significant commitments, see "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations," above.

Management of interest rate risk and market risk analysis

The adequacy and effectiveness of an institution's interest rate risk management process and the level of its exposures are critical factors in the regulatory evaluation of an institution's sensitivity to changes in interest rates and capital adequacy. Management believes the Company's interest rate risk measurement framework is sound and provides an effective means to measure, monitor, analyze, identify and control interest rate risk in the balance sheet.

The Company is subject to the interest rate risks inherent in its lending, investing and financing activities. Fluctuations of interest rates will impact interest income and interest expense along with affecting market values of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, except for those assets or liabilities with a short term remaining to maturity. Interest rate risk management is an integral part of the asset/liability management process. The Company has instituted certain procedures and policy guidelines to manage the interest rate risk position. Those internal policies enable the Company to react to changes in market rates to protect net interest income from significant fluctuations. The primary objective in managing interest rate risk is to minimize the adverse impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income along with creating an asset/liability structure that maximizes earnings.

Asset/Liability Management. One major objective of the Company when managing the rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities is to stabilize net interest income. The management of and authority to assume interest rate risk is the responsibility of the Company's Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO), which is comprised of senior management and members of the board of directors. ALCO meets quarterly to monitor the relationship of interest sensitive assets to interest sensitive liabilities. The process to review interest rate risk is a regular part of managing the Company. Consistent policies and practices of measuring and reporting interest rate risk exposure, particularly regarding the treatment of non-contractual assets and liabilities, are in effect. In addition, there is an annual process to review the interest rate risk policy with the board of directors which includes limits on the impact to earnings from shifts in interest rates.

Interest Rate Risk Measurement. Interest rate risk is monitored through the use of three complementary measures: static gap analysis, earnings at risk simulation and economic value at risk simulation. While each of the interest rate risk measurements has limitations, collectively, they represent a reasonably comprehensive view of the magnitude of interest rate risk in the Company and the distribution of risk along the yield curve, the level of risk through time and the amount of exposure to changes in certain interest rate relationships.

Static Gap. The ratio between assets and liabilities re-pricing in specific time intervals is referred to as an interest rate sensitivity gap. Interest rate sensitivity gaps can be managed to take advantage of the slope of the yield curve as well as forecasted changes in the level of interest rate changes.

To manage this interest rate sensitivity gap position, an asset/liability model commonly known as cumulative gap analysis is used to monitor the difference in the volume of the Company's interest sensitive assets and liabilities that mature or re-price within given time intervals. A positive gap (asset sensitive) indicates that more assets will re-price during a given period compared to liabilities, while a negative gap (liability sensitive) has the opposite effect. The Company employs computerized net interest income simulation modeling to assist in quantifying interest rate risk exposure. This process measures and quantifies the impact on net interest income through varying interest rate changes and balance sheet compositions. The use of this model assists the ALCO to gauge the effects of the interest rate changes on interest-sensitive assets and liabilities in order to determine what impact these rate changes will have upon the net interest spread. At December 31, 2011 the Company maintained a one-year cumulative gap of positive (asset sensitive) \$66.9 million, or 11%, of total assets. The effect of this positive gap position provided a mismatch of assets and liabilities which may expose the Company to interest rate risk during periods of falling interest rates. Conversely, in an increasing interest rate environment, net interest income could be positively impacted because more assets than liabilities will re-price upward during the one-year period.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis discussed above and presented in the next table. Although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of re-pricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgages, have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and

early withdrawal levels may deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. The ability of many borrowers to service their adjustable-rate debt may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.

The following table reflects the re-pricing of the balance sheet or “gap” position at December 31, 2011:

| (dollars in thousands) | Three months or less | More than three months to twelve months | More than one year to three years | More than three years | Total |
|---|-------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 37,025 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 15,140 | \$ 52,165 |
| Investment securities ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 4,974 | 20,600 | 28,898 | 57,771 | 112,243 |
| Loans ⁽²⁾ | 136,982 | 69,394 | 124,784 | 71,563 | 402,723 |
| Fixed and other assets | - | 9,740 | - | 29,871 | 39,611 |
| Total assets | \$ 178,981 | \$ 99,734 | \$ 153,682 | \$ 174,345 | \$ 606,742 |
| Total cumulative assets | \$ 178,981 | \$ 278,715 | \$ 432,397 | \$ 606,742 | |
| Non-interest-bearing transaction deposits ⁽³⁾ | \$ - | \$ 9,625 | \$ 26,423 | \$ 60,107 | \$ 96,155 |
| Interest-bearing transaction deposits | 108,577 | 14,299 | 117,802 | 53,624 | 294,302 |
| Certificates of deposit | 19,373 | 50,474 | 43,144 | 12,354 | 125,345 |
| Repurchase agreements | 9,507 | - | - | - | 9,507 |
| Long-term debt ⁽⁴⁾ | - | - | 5,000 | 16,000 | 21,000 |
| Other liabilities | - | - | - | 6,809 | 6,809 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 137,457 | \$ 74,398 | \$ 192,369 | \$ 148,894 | \$ 553,118 |
| Total cumulative liabilities | \$ 137,457 | \$ 211,855 | \$ 404,224 | \$ 553,118 | |
| Interest sensitivity gap | \$ 41,524 | \$ 25,336 | \$ (38,687) | \$ 25,451 | |
| Cumulative gap | \$ 41,524 | \$ 66,860 | \$ 28,173 | \$ 53,624 | |
| Cumulative gap to total assets | 6.8 | % 11.0 | % 4.6 | % 8.8 | % |

(1) Includes FHLB stock and the net unrealized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities.

Investments and loans are included in the earlier of the period in which interest rates were next scheduled to adjust or the period in which they are due. In addition, loans were included in the periods in which they are scheduled to (2) be repaid based on scheduled amortization. For amortizing loans and MBS – GSE residential, annual prepayment rates are assumed reflecting historical experience as well as management’s knowledge and experience of its loan products.

The Company’s demand and savings accounts were generally subject to immediate withdrawal. However, management considers a certain amount of such accounts to be core accounts having significantly longer effective (3) maturities based on the retention experiences of such deposits in changing interest rate environments. The effective maturities presented are the recommended maturity distribution limits for non-maturing deposits based on historical deposit studies.

(4) \$5.0 million due in 2013 was paid off in the first quarter of 2012.

Earnings at Risk and Economic Value at Risk Simulations. The Company recognizes that more sophisticated tools exist for measuring the interest rate risk in the balance sheet that extend beyond static re-pricing gap analysis. Although it will continue to measure its re-pricing gap position, the Company utilizes additional modeling for

identifying and measuring the interest rate risk in the overall balance sheet. The ALCO is responsible for focusing on “earnings at risk” and “economic value at risk”, and how both relate to the risk-based capital position when analyzing the interest rate risk.

Earnings at Risk. An earnings at risk simulation measures the change in net interest income and net income should interest rates rise and fall. The simulation recognizes that not all assets and liabilities re-price one-for-one with market rates (e.g., savings rate). The ALCO looks at “earnings at risk” to determine income changes from a base case scenario under an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in interest rate simulation models.

Economic Value at Risk. An earnings at risk simulation measures the short-term risk in the balance sheet. Economic value (or portfolio equity) at risk measures the long-term risk by finding the net present value of the future cash flows from the Company’s existing assets and liabilities. The ALCO examines this ratio quarterly utilizing an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in interest rate simulation models. The ALCO recognizes that, in some instances, this ratio may contradict the “earnings at risk” ratio.

The following table illustrates the simulated impact of an immediate 200 basis points upward or downward movement in interest rates on net interest income, net income and the change in the economic value (portfolio equity). This analysis assumed that interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability levels at December 31, 2011 remained constant. The impact of the rate movements was developed by simulating the effect of the rate change over a twelve-month period from the December 31, 2011 levels:

| | % change | |
|---|------------|------------|
| | Rates +200 | Rates -200 |
| Earnings at risk: | | |
| Net interest income | 4.6 % | (1.9)% |
| Net income | 14.2 | (5.9) |
| Economic value at risk: | | |
| Economic value of equity | (8.3) | (8.9) |
| Economic value of equity as a percent of total assets | (0.7) | (0.8) |

Economic value has the most meaning when viewed within the context of risk-based capital. Therefore, the economic value may normally change beyond the Company's policy guideline for a short period of time as long as the risk-based capital ratio (after adjusting for the excess equity exposure) is greater than 10%. At December 31, 2011, the Company's risk-based capital ratio was 13.0%.

The table below summarizes estimated changes in net interest income over a twelve-month period beginning January 1, 2012, under alternate interest rate scenarios using the income simulation model described above:

| (dollars in thousands) | Net interest income | \$ variance | % variance |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|
| Simulated change in interest rates | | | |
| +200 basis points | \$ 21,333 | \$ 930 | 4.6 % |
| +100 basis points | 20,802 | 399 | 2.0 |
| Flat rate | 20,403 | - | - |
| -100 basis points | 20,204 | (199) | (1.0) |
| -200 basis points | 20,008 | (395) | (1.9) |

Simulation models require assumptions about certain categories of assets and liabilities. The models schedule existing assets and liabilities by their contractual maturity, estimated likely call date or earliest re-pricing opportunity. MBS – GSE residential securities and amortizing loans are scheduled based on their anticipated cash flow including estimated prepayments. For investment securities, the Company uses a third-party service to provide cash flow estimates in the various rate environments. Savings, money market and interest-bearing checking accounts do not have stated maturities or re-pricing terms and can be withdrawn or re-price at any time. This may impact the margin if more expensive alternative sources of deposits are required to fund loans or deposit runoff. Management projects the re-pricing characteristics of these accounts based on historical performance and assumptions that it believes reflect their rate sensitivity. The model reinvests all maturities, repayments and prepayments for each type of asset or liability into the same product for a new like term at current product interest rates. As a result, the mix of interest-earning assets and interest bearing-liabilities is held constant.

Supervision and Regulation

The following is a brief summary of the regulatory environment in which the Company and the Bank operate and is not designed to be a complete discussion of all statutes and regulations affecting such operations, including those statutes and regulations specifically mentioned herein. Changes in the laws and regulations applicable to the Company and the Bank can affect the operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways. We cannot accurately predict whether legislation will ultimately be enacted, and if enacted, the ultimate effect that legislation or implementing regulations would have on our financial condition or results of operations. While banking regulations are material to the operations of the Company and the Bank, it should be noted that supervision, regulation and examination of the Company and the Bank are intended primarily for the protection of depositors, not shareholders.

Recent Legislation and Rulemaking

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act.

In 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) became law. Dodd-Frank is intended to effect a fundamental restructuring of federal banking regulation. Among other things, Dodd-Frank creates a new Financial Stability Oversight Council to identify systemic risks in the financial system and gives federal regulators new authority to take control of and liquidate financial firms. Dodd-Frank additionally creates a new independent federal regulator to administer federal consumer protection laws. Dodd-Frank is expected to have a significant impact on our business operations as its provisions take effect. It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact Dodd-Frank and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations will have on community banks. However, it is expected that at a minimum they will increase our operating and compliance costs and could increase our interest expense. Among the provisions that are likely to affect us and the community banking industry are the following:

Holding Company Capital Requirements. Dodd-Frank requires the Federal Reserve to apply consolidated capital requirements to bank holding companies that are no less stringent than those currently applied to depository institutions. Under these standards, pooled trust preferred securities will be excluded from Tier 1 capital unless such securities were issued prior to May 19, 2010 by a bank holding company with less than \$15 billion in assets. Dodd-Frank additionally requires that bank regulators issue countercyclical capital requirements so that the required amount of capital increases in times of economic expansion and decreases in times of economic contraction, consistent with safety and soundness.

Deposit Insurance. Dodd-Frank permanently increases the maximum deposit insurance amount for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, and extends unlimited deposit insurance to non-interest bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. Dodd-Frank also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. Dodd-Frank requires the FDIC to increase the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits by 2020 and eliminates the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to insured depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. Dodd-Frank also eliminated the federal statutory prohibition against the payment of interest on business checking accounts.

Corporate Governance. Dodd-Frank requires publicly traded companies to give shareholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation at least every three years, a non-binding vote regarding the frequency of the vote on executive compensation at least every six years, and a non-binding vote on “golden parachute” payments in connection with approvals of mergers and acquisitions unless previously voted on by shareholders. The SEC has finalized the rules implementing these requirements. According to the final rule, smaller reporting companies are exempt from the advisory votes on executive compensation for two years. Additionally, Dodd-Frank directs the federal banking regulators to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to executives of depository institutions and their holding companies with assets in excess of \$1.0 billion, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded. Dodd-Frank also gives the SEC authority to prohibit broker discretionary voting on elections of directors and executive compensation matters.

Prohibition Against Charter Conversions of Troubled Institutions. Dodd-Frank prohibits a depository institution from converting from a state to federal charter or vice versa while it is the subject of a cease and desist order or other formal enforcement action or a memorandum of understanding with respect to a significant supervisory matter unless the appropriate federal banking agency gives notice of the conversion to the federal or state authority that issued the enforcement action and that agency does not object within 30 days. The notice must include a plan to address the significant supervisory matter. The converting institution must also file a copy of the conversion application with its current federal regulator which must notify the resulting federal regulator of any ongoing supervisory or investigative proceedings that are likely to result in an enforcement action and provide access to all supervisory and investigative information relating thereto.

Interstate Branching. Dodd-Frank authorizes national and state banks to establish branches in other states to the same extent as a bank chartered by that state would be permitted. Previously, banks could only establish branches in other

states if the host state expressly permitted out-of-state banks to establish branches in that state. Accordingly, banks will be able to enter new markets more freely.

Limits on Interstate Acquisitions and Mergers. Dodd-Frank precludes a bank holding company from engaging in an interstate acquisition – the acquisition of a bank outside its home state – unless the bank holding company is both well capitalized and well managed. Furthermore, a bank may not engage in an interstate merger with another bank headquartered in another state unless the surviving institution will be well capitalized and well managed. The previous standard in both cases was adequately capitalized and adequately managed.

Limits on Interchange Fees. Dodd-Frank amends the Electronic Fund Transfer Act to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers having assets over \$10 billion and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer. The interchange rules became effective on October 1, 2011.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. Dodd-Frank creates a new, independent federal agency called the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which is granted broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement powers under various federal consumer financial protection laws, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Truth in Lending Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair Debt Collection Act, the Consumer Financial Privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and certain other statutes. The CFPB will have examination and primary enforcement authority with respect to depository institutions with \$10 billion or more in assets. Smaller institutions are subject to rules promulgated by the CFPB but will continue to be examined and supervised by federal banking regulators for consumer compliance purposes. The CFPB has authority to prevent unfair, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with the offering of consumer financial products. Dodd-Frank authorizes the CFPB to establish certain minimum standards for the origination of residential mortgages including a determination of the borrower's ability to repay. In addition, Dodd-Frank will allow borrowers to raise certain defenses to foreclosure if they receive any loan other than a "qualified mortgage" as defined by the CFPB. Dodd-Frank permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and standards that are more stringent than those adopted at the federal level and, in certain circumstances, permits state attorneys general to enforce compliance with both the state and federal laws and regulations.

Future Federal and State Legislation and Rulemaking

From time-to-time, various types of federal and state legislation have been proposed that could result in additional regulations and restrictions on the business of the Company and the Bank. We cannot predict whether legislation will be adopted, or if adopted, how the new laws would affect our business. As a consequence, we are susceptible to legislation that may increase the cost of doing business. Management believes that the effect of any current legislative proposals on the liquidity, capital resources and the results of operations of the Company and the Bank will be minimal.

It is possible that there will be regulatory proposals which, if implemented, could have a material effect upon our liquidity, capital resources and results of operations. In addition, the general cost of compliance with numerous federal and state laws does have, and in the future may have, a negative impact on our results of operations. As with other banks, the status of the financial services industry can affect the Bank. Consolidations of institutions are expected to continue as the financial services industry seeks greater efficiencies and market share. Bank management believes that such consolidations may enhance the Bank's competitive position as a community bank.

Future Outlook

We continue to operate in a challenging environment. Economic growth remains weak, unemployment remains elevated and home values continue to remain soft. In addition, the U.S. Treasury yield curve continues to exert downward pressure on long-term interest rates impacting lending product offerings as well as increasing loan prepayments. However, we will work to improve asset quality and strengthen our financial condition. During 2012, we expect to grow cautiously with modest increases in loans funded mostly from organic growth in deposits. With respect to the net interest margin, in the current low interest rate environment in conjunction with the propensity for increased loan prepayment activity, the net interest margin for 2012 may be somewhat lower than 2011. Management of the Company is prepared to address the challenges that lie ahead.

Item 7A: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by 7A is set forth at Item 7, under "Liquidity" and "Management of interest rate risk and market risk analysis," contained within management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ ParenteBeard LLC
ParenteBeard LLC
Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania

March 20, 2012

48

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$15,158 | \$8,071 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 37,007 | 14,896 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 52,165 | 22,967 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 108,154 | 82,941 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 389 | 490 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 3,699 | 4,542 |
| Loans, net (allowance for loan losses of \$8,108 in 2011; \$7,898 in 2010) | 398,186 | 407,903 |
| Loans held-for-sale (fair value \$4,661 in 2011; \$217 in 2010) | 4,537 | 213 |
| Foreclosed assets held-for-sale | 1,169 | 1,261 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 13,575 | 14,764 |
| Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance | 9,740 | 9,425 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,082 | 2,229 |
| Other assets | 13,046 | 14,938 |
| Total assets | \$606,742 | \$561,673 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Interest-bearing | \$419,647 | \$396,667 |
| Non-interest-bearing | 96,155 | 85,781 |
| Total deposits | 515,802 | 482,448 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 6,809 | 2,903 |
| Short-term borrowings | 9,507 | 8,548 |
| Long-term debt | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| Total liabilities | 553,118 | 514,899 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock authorized 5,000,000 shares with no par value; none issued | - | - |
| Capital stock, no par value (10,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding; 2,254,542 in 2011; and 2,178,028 in 2010) | 22,354 | 21,046 |
| Retained earnings | 32,380 | 29,545 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1,110) | (3,817) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 53,624 | 46,774 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$606,742 | \$561,673 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statements of Income

| | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| (dollars in thousands except per share data) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest income: | | | |
| Loans: | | | |
| Taxable | \$22,420 | \$23,997 | \$25,522 |
| Nontaxable | 486 | 612 | 448 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions | 101 | 65 | 1 |
| Investment securities: | | | |
| U.S. government agency and corporations | 1,328 | 1,642 | 2,387 |
| States and political subdivisions (nontaxable) | 1,203 | 1,039 | 1,072 |
| Other securities | 64 | 211 | 468 |
| Federal funds sold | 1 | 14 | 11 |
| Total interest income | 25,603 | 27,580 | 29,909 |
| Interest expense: | | | |
| Deposits | 3,672 | 5,078 | 7,895 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | 52 | 89 | 28 |
| Other short-term borrowings and other | 1 | 2 | 35 |
| Long-term debt | 1,036 | 1,658 | 2,839 |
| Total interest expense | 4,761 | 6,827 | 10,797 |
| Net interest income | 20,842 | 20,753 | 19,112 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,800 | 2,085 | 5,050 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 19,042 | 18,668 | 14,062 |
| Other income (loss): | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,777 | 1,886 | 1,998 |
| Interchange fees | 972 | 815 | 661 |
| Fees from trust fiduciary activities | 420 | 348 | 333 |
| Fees from financial services | 556 | 343 | 391 |
| Service charges on loans | 721 | 710 | 624 |
| Fees and other revenue | 337 | 309 | 255 |
| Earnings on bank-owned life insurance | 315 | 308 | 309 |
| Gain (loss) on sale, recovery, or disposal of: | | | |
| Loans | 799 | 798 | 1,060 |
| Investment securities | 63 | 2 | 11 |
| Premises and equipment | (2) | (24) | (43) |
| Foreclosed assets held-for-sale | 46 | 58 | 40 |
| Write-down of foreclosed assets held-for-sale | (66) | (129) | (178) |
| Impairment losses on investment securities: | | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities | (519) | (15,375) | (8,074) |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------|------------|------------|
| Non-credit-related losses on investment securities not expected to be sold (recognized in other comprehensive income/(loss)) | 273 | 3,539 | 4,774 |
| Net impairment losses on investment securities recognized in earnings | (246) | (11,836) | (3,300) |
| Total other income (loss) | 5,692 | (6,412) | 2,161 |
| Other expenses: | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 8,786 | 9,001 | 9,763 |
| Premises and equipment | 3,633 | 3,427 | 3,557 |
| Advertising and marketing | 1,003 | 1,124 | 974 |
| Professional services | 1,289 | 1,168 | 1,274 |
| FDIC assessment | 617 | 859 | 1,177 |
| Loan collection and other real estate owned | 717 | 645 | 635 |
| Office supplies and postage | 426 | 433 | 498 |
| Other | 1,573 | 1,360 | 1,363 |
| Total other expenses | 18,044 | 18,017 | 19,241 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 6,690 | (5,761) | (3,018) |
| Provision (credit) for income taxes | 1,645 | (2,557) | (1,618) |
| Net income (loss) | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) |
| Per share data: | | | |
| Net income (loss) - basic | \$2.28 | \$(1.50) | \$(0.67) |
| Net income (loss) - diluted | \$2.28 | \$(1.50) | \$(0.67) |
| Dividends | \$1.00 | \$1.00 | \$1.00 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
Years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009

| (dollars in thousands) | Capital stock | | Treasury stock | | Retained earnings | Accumulated other | Total |
|--|---------------|----------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | comprehensive loss | |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 2,075,182 | \$19,410 | (12,255) | \$ (351) | \$38,126 | \$ (8,224) | \$48,961 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle | | | | | 351 | (351) | - |
| Total comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | | (1,400) | | (1,400) |
| Change in net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustment and net of tax adjustments of \$1,596 | | | | | | 3,098 | 3,098 |
| Net non-credit-related impairment losses on investment securities not expected to be sold, net of tax adjustments of (\$1,603) | | | | | | (3,111) | (3,111) |
| Change in cash flow hedge intrinsic value | | | | | | (606) | (606) |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | \$(2,019) |
| Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 1,701 | 41 | | | | | 41 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | (2,500) | (57) | | | (57) |
| Issuance of common stock through Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 28,977 | 527 | 14,755 | 408 | (113) | | 822 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 5 | | | | | 5 |
| Cash dividends declared | | | | | (2,078) | | (2,078) |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 2,105,860 | 19,983 | - | - | 34,886 | (9,194) | 45,675 |
| Total comprehensive income: | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | | (3,204) | | (3,204) |
| Change in net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustment and net of tax adjustments of \$2,299 | | | | | | 4,463 | 4,463 |
| Net non-credit-related impairment gains on investment securities not expected to be sold, net of tax adjustments of \$471 | | | | | | 914 | 914 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | \$2,173 |
| Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 4,754 | 67 | | | | | 67 |
| Issuance of common stock through Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 67,414 | 989 | | | | | 989 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 7 | | | | | 7 |
| Cash dividends declared | | | | | (2,137) | | (2,137) |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|---|-----|----------|-----------|---|----------|
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 2,178,028 | 21,046 | - | - | 29,545 | (3,817 |) | 46,774 |
| Total comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | 5,045 | | | 5,045 |
| Change in net unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustment and net of tax adjustments of \$1,295 | | | | | | 2,514 | | 2,514 |
| Net non-credit-related impairment gains on investment securities not expected to be sold, net of tax adjustments of \$99 | | | | | | 193 | | 193 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | \$7,752 |
| Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 4,801 | 67 | | | | | | 67 |
| Issuance of common stock through Dividend Reinvestment Plan | 71,713 | 1,217 | | | | | | 1,217 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 24 | | | | | | 24 |
| Cash dividends declared | | | | | (2,210 |) | | (2,210) |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 2,254,542 | \$22,354 | - | \$- | \$32,380 | \$ (1,110 |) | \$53,624 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 3,242 | 2,327 | 1,603 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,800 | 2,085 | 5,050 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (115) | (4,123) | (1,776) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 24 | 7 | 5 |
| Loss from investment in limited partnership | - | - | 41 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale | 46,359 | 61,566 | 98,392 |
| Originations of loans held-for-sale | (45,096) | (55,928) | (87,896) |
| Write-down of foreclosed assets held-for-sale | 66 | 129 | 178 |
| Earnings on bank-owned life insurance | (315) | (308) | (309) |
| Net gain from sales of loans | (799) | (798) | (1,060) |
| Net gain from sales and recoveries of investment securities | (63) | (2) | (11) |
| Net gain from sales of foreclosed assets held-for-sale | (46) | (58) | (40) |
| Loss on disposal of equipment | 2 | 24 | 43 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on securities | 246 | 11,836 | 3,300 |
| Change in: | | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 147 | (73) | (26) |
| Other assets | 591 | 784 | (3,798) |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 3,996 | 125 | (501) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 15,084 | 14,389 | 11,795 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs | 101 | 218 | 201 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | |
| Proceeds from sale | 2,757 | 153 | 5,075 |
| Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs | 30,719 | 40,563 | 39,348 |
| Purchases | (55,964) | (51,703) | (39,614) |
| Decrease in FHLB stock | 843 | 239 | - |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans | 1,798 | 7,701 | (3,891) |
| Acquisition of bank premises and equipment | (418) | (880) | (1,066) |
| Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets held-for-sale | 891 | 571 | 894 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (19,273) | (3,138) | 947 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 33,354 | 23,454 | 25,683 |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings | 959 | (7,985) | (21,597) |
| Repayments of long-term debt | - | (11,000) | (20,000) |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Purchase of treasury stock | - | - | (57) |
| Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan participants | 67 | 67 | 41 |
| Dividends paid, net of dividends reinvested | (1,478) | (1,453) | (1,255) |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment plan participants | 485 | 305 | - |
| Net provided by (used in) financing activities | 33,387 | 3,388 | (17,185) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 29,198 | 14,639 | (4,443) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning | 22,967 | 8,328 | 12,771 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, ending | \$52,165 | \$22,967 | \$8,328 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank (the Bank) (collectively, the Company). All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NATURE OF OPERATIONS

The Company provides a full range of banking, trust and financial services to individuals, small businesses and corporate customers. Its primary market area is Lackawanna and Luzerne Counties, Pennsylvania. The Company's primary deposit products are demand deposits and interest-bearing time and savings accounts. It offers a full array of loan products to meet the needs of retail and commercial customers. The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Pennsylvania Department of Banking.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of investment securities, the determination of and the amount of impairment in the securities portfolios and the related realization of the deferred tax assets related to the allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary impairment on and valuations of investment securities.

In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses, management generally obtains independent appraisals for significant properties. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, further reductions in the carrying amounts of loans may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the estimated losses on loans. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additional losses based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination. Because of these factors, it is reasonably possible that the estimated losses on loans may change materially in the near-term. However, the amount of the change that is reasonably possible cannot be estimated.

The Company's investment securities are comprised of a variety of financial instruments. The fair values of these securities are subject to various risks including changes in the interest rate environment and general economic conditions including illiquid conditions in the capital markets. Due to the increased level of these risks and their potential impact on the fair values of the securities, it is possible that the amounts reported in the accompanying financial statements could materially change in the near-term including changes caused by other-than-temporary impairment, the recovery of which may not occur until maturity. Credit-related impairment is included as a component of non-interest income in the consolidated income statements while non-credit-related impairment is charged to other comprehensive income, net of tax.

SIGNIFICANT GROUP CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

The Company originates commercial, consumer, and mortgage loans to customers primarily located in Lackawanna and Luzerne Counties of Pennsylvania. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is dependent on the economic sector in which the Company operates. The loan portfolio does not have any significant concentrations from one industry or customer.

HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES

Debt securities, for which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity, are reported at cost. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted, as a component of interest income over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using the interest method.

TRADING SECURITIES

Debt and equity securities held principally for resale in the near-term, or trading securities, are recorded at their fair values. Unrealized gains and losses are included in other income. The Company did not have any investment securities held for trading purposes during 2011, 2010 or 2009.

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE SECURITIES

Available-for-sale (AFS) securities consist of debt and equity securities not classified as either held-to-maturity securities or trading securities and are reported at fair value. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted as a component of interest income over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using the interest method. Unrealized holding gains and losses, including non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI), on AFS securities are reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of deferred income taxes, until realized. The net unrealized holding gains and losses are a component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income. Gains and losses from sales of securities AFS are determined using the specific identification method. Credit-related OTTI is recorded as a reduction of the amortized cost of the impaired security. Net gains and losses from sales and recoveries of securities and credit-related OTTI are recorded as components of other income in the consolidated statements of income.

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK STOCK

The Company, is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank system, and as such is required to maintain an investment in capital stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB). The amount the Company is required to invest is dependent upon the relative size of outstanding borrowings the Company has with the FHLB. Based on redemption provisions of the FHLB, the stock has no quoted market value and is carried at cost. In December 2008, in order to preserve capital, the FHLB declared a suspension on the redemption of its stock and ceased payment of quarterly dividends. Though the FHLB periodically announces the partial lifting and limited repurchase of the stock redemption provision and in the first quarter of 2012 declared its first cash dividend since 2008, management reviews for

impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the cost basis in the FHLB stock. Future redemptions and dividend payments will be predicated on the financial performance and health of the FHLB. Based on the financial results of the FHLB for the year-ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, management believes that the suspension of both the dividend payments and excess capital stock redemptions is temporary in nature and has concluded that the Company's investment in FHLB stock is not other than temporarily impaired.

LOANS

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are stated at face value, net of unamortized loan fees and costs and the allowance for loan losses. Interest on residential real estate loans is recorded based on principal pay downs on an actual days basis. Commercial loan interest is accrued on the principal balance on an actual days basis. Interest on consumer loans is determined using the simple interest method.

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued but not collected is charged against current earnings. Any payments received on non-accrual loans are applied, first to the outstanding loan amounts, then to the recovery of any charged-off loan amounts. Any excess is treated as a recovery of lost interest.

A modification of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the Company grants a concession that it would not otherwise grant based on current underwriting standards. Regardless of the type of concession, when modifying a loan forgiveness of principal is rarely granted.

LOANS HELD-FOR-SALE

Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value in the aggregate. Net unrealized losses are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income. Unrealized gains are recognized but only to the extent of previous write-downs.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses. The allowance represents an amount which, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. Management's judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on evaluations of the collectability of the loans. These evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay, collateral value, overall portfolio quality and review of specific loans for impairment. Management applies two primary components during the loan review process to determine proper allowance levels; a specific loan loss allocation for loans that are deemed impaired; and a general loan loss allocation for those loans not specifically allocated based on historical charge-off history and qualitative factor adjustments for trends or changes in the loan portfolio. Delinquencies, changes in lending policies and local economic conditions are some of the items used for the qualitative factor adjustments. Loans considered uncollectible are charged against the allowance. Recoveries on loans previously charged off are added to the allowance.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Factors considered in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting payments when due. The significance of payment delays and/or shortfalls is determined on a case by case basis. All circumstances surrounding the loan are taken into account. Such factors include the length of the delinquency, the underlying reasons and the borrower's prior payment record. Impairment is measured on these loans on a loan-by- loan basis. The risk characteristics of each of the identified portfolio segments are as follows:

Commercial and industrial loans (C&I): C&I loans are primarily based on the identified historic and/or the projected cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of the borrower, however, do fluctuate based on changes in the company's internal and external environment including management, human and capital resources, economic conditions, competition and regulation. Most C&I loans are secured by business assets being financed such as equipment, accounts receivable, and/or inventory and generally incorporate a secured or unsecured personal guarantee. Occasionally, some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis. The ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers may be affected by its customers' economic and financial condition.

Commercial real estate loans: Commercial real estate loans are made to finance the purchase of real estate, refinance existing obligations and/or to provide capital. These commercial real estate loans are generally secured by first lien security interest in the real estate as well as assignment of leases and rents. The real estate may include apartments, hotels, retail stores or plazas, healthcare facilities whether they be owner or non-owner occupied. These loans are typically originated in amounts of no more than 80% of the appraised value of the property.

Consumers loans: The Company offers home equity installment loans and lines of credit. Risks associated with loans secured by residential properties are generally lower than commercial real estate loans and include general economic risks, such as the strength of the job market, employment stability and the strength of the housing market. Since most loans are secured by primary or secondary residence, the borrower's continued employment is considered the greatest risk to repayment. The Company also offers a variety of loans to individuals for personal and household purposes. These loans are generally considered to have greater risk than mortgages on real estate because they may be unsecured, or if they are secured, the value of the collateral may be difficult to assess and more likely to decrease in value than real estate.

Residential mortgage loans: Residential mortgages are secured by a first lien position of the borrower's residential real estate. These loans have varying loan rates depending on the financial condition of the borrower and the loan to value ratio. Residential mortgages have terms up to thirty years with amortizations varying from 15 to 30 years. The majority of the loans are underwritten by FNMA and/or FHLB standards.

TRANSFER OF FINANCIAL ASSETS

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when: the assets have been isolated from the Company—put presumptively beyond the reach of the transferor and its creditors, even in bankruptcy or other receivership; the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets; and the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity or the ability to unilaterally cause the holder to return specific assets.

LOAN FEES AND COSTS

Nonrefundable loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are recognized as a component of interest income over the life of the related loans as an adjustment to yield. The unamortized balance of these fees and costs are included as part of the loan balance to which it relates.

BANK PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Land is carried at cost. Bank premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the term of the lease or the estimated useful lives of the improved property.

BANK OWNED LIFE INSURANCE

The Company maintains bank owned life insurance (BOLI) for a chosen group of employees, at the time of purchase, namely its officers where the Company is the owner and sole beneficiary of the policies. The earnings from the BOLI are recognized as a component of other income in the consolidated statements of income. The BOLI is an asset that can be liquidated, if necessary, with tax consequences. However, the Company intends to hold these policies and, accordingly, the Company has not provided for deferred income taxes on the earnings from the increase in the cash surrender value.

FORECLOSED ASSETS HELD-FOR-SALE

Foreclosed assets held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less cost to sell. Losses from the acquisition of property in full and partial satisfaction of debt are treated as credit losses. Routine holding costs are included in other operating expenses. Write-downs for subsequent declines in value are recognized as a component of other income in the consolidated statements of income. Gains or losses are recorded when the properties are sold.

STOCK OPTIONS

The Company has two stock-based compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 9, "Stock Plans". The Company accounts for these plans under the recognition and measurement accounting principles, which requires the cost of share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The stock compensation accounting guidance requires that compensation cost for all stock awards be calculated and recognized over the employees' service period, generally defined as the vesting period. Compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award. The Company uses the Black-Sholes model to estimate the fair value of stock options.

TRUST AND FINANCIAL SERVICE FEES

Trust and financial service fees are recorded on the cash basis, which is not materially different from the accrual basis.

ADVERTISING COSTS

Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred.

LEGAL AND PROFESSIONAL EXPENSES

Generally, the Company's recognizes legal and professional fees as incurred and are included as a component of professional services expense in the consolidated statements of income. Legal costs incurred that are associated with the collection of outstanding amounts due from delinquent borrowers are included as a component of loan collection and other real estate owned expense in the consolidated statements of income. In the event of litigation proceedings brought about by an employee or third party against the Company, expenses for damages will be accrued if the likelihood of the outcome against the Company is probable, the amount can be reasonably estimated and the amount would have a material impact on the financial results of the Company.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying value of short-term financial instruments, as listed below, approximates their fair value. These instruments generally have limited credit exposure, no stated or short-term maturities and carry interest rates that approximate market:

Cash and cash equivalents;

- Non-interest bearing deposit accounts;
- Savings, NOW and money market accounts;

Short-term borrowings and

Accrued interest

Securities: With the exception of pooled trust preferred securities, fair values on the other investment securities are determined by prices provided by a third-party vendor, who is a provider of financial market data, analytics and related services to financial institutions. The fair values of pooled trust preferred securities are determined based on a present value technique (income valuation) as described in Note 3, "Investment Securities".

Loans: The fair value of loans is estimated by the net present value of the future expected cash flows discounted at the current offering rates.

Loans held-for-sale: The fair value of loans held-for-sale is estimated using rates currently offered for similar loans and is typically obtained from the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) or the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB).

Certificates of deposit: The fair values of certificates of deposit are based on discounted cash flows using rates which approximate market rates for deposits of similar maturities.

Long-term debt: Fair value is estimated using the rates currently offered for similar borrowings.

INCOME TAXES

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes.

CASH FLOWS

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand, amounts due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, the Company paid interest of \$4.9 million, \$7.1 million and \$11.5 million respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, the Company paid income taxes of \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Transfers from loans to foreclosed assets held-for-sale amounted to \$0.8 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.5 million in 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Transfers from loans to loans held-for-sale amounted to \$5.3 million, \$4.4 million and \$11.5 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Expenditures for construction in process, a component of other assets in the consolidated balance sheets, are included in acquisition of premises and equipment.

RECLASSIFICATION ADJUSTMENTS

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2010 and 2009 financial statements to conform to the 2011 presentation.

OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The changes in other comprehensive loss and related tax effects are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------|---------|----------|
| Unrealized holding gains on available-for-sale securities | \$3,872 | \$6,764 | \$4,705 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in income | (63) | (2) | (11) |
| Non-credit-related impairment gains (losses) on investment securities | 293 | 1,385 | (4,714) |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) | 4,102 | 8,147 | (20) |
| Tax effect | (1,395) | (2,770) | 7 |
| Net of tax amount | 2,707 | 5,377 | (13) |
| Change in cash flow hedge intrinsic value | - | - | (606) |
| Total | \$2,707 | \$5,377 | \$(619) |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of:

| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities | \$894 | \$(1,620) | \$(6,083) |
| Non-credit-related impairment losses on investment securities | (2,004) | (2,197) | (3,111) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$(1,110) | \$(3,817) | \$(9,194) |

2.

CASH

The Company is required by the Federal Reserve Bank to maintain average reserve balances based on a percentage of deposits. The amounts of those reserve requirements on December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Deposits with any one financial institution are insured up to \$250,000. From time-to-time, the Company may maintain cash and cash equivalents with certain other financial institutions in excess of the insured amount.

3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Amortized cost and fair value of investment securities as of the period indicated are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Fair value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| MBS - GSE residential | \$ 389 | \$ 42 | \$ - | \$431 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | \$25,773 | \$ 108 | \$ 8 | \$25,873 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 28,402 | 1,937 | 180 | 30,159 |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 6,574 | 123 | 5,231 | 1,466 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 48,792 | 1,482 | 57 | 50,217 |
| Total debt securities | 109,541 | 3,650 | 5,476 | 107,715 |
| Equity securities - financial services | 295 | 144 | - | 439 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 109,836 | \$ 3,794 | \$ 5,476 | \$ 108,154 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Fair value |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | | | |
| MBS - GSE residential | \$ 490 | \$ 48 | \$ - | 538 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | \$ 16,316 | \$ 122 | \$ 150 | \$16,288 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 24,991 | 135 | 955 | 24,171 |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 6,873 | 90 | 5,510 | 1,453 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 40,222 | 524 | 193 | 40,553 |
| Total debt securities | 88,402 | 871 | 6,808 | 82,465 |
| Equity securities - financial services | 322 | 154 | - | 476 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 88,724 | \$ 1,025 | \$ 6,808 | \$82,941 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|

Some of the Company's debt securities are pledged to secure trust funds, public deposits, repurchase agreements, other short-term borrowings, FHLB advances, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia Discount Window borrowings and certain other deposits as required by law. Agency – GSE securities pledged on repurchase agreements are under the Company's control.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown below:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amortized cost | Fair value |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| Held-to-maturity securities: | | |
| MBS - GSE residential | \$ 389 | \$431 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Debt securities: | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ - | \$ - |
| Due after one year through five years | 21,650 | 21,726 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 3,939 | 4,019 |
| Due after ten years | 35,160 | 31,753 |
| Total debt securities | 60,749 | 57,498 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 48,792 | 50,217 |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | \$ 109,541 | \$ 107,715 |

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers and borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalty. Agency – GSE and municipal securities are included based on their original stated maturity. MBS – GSE residential, which are based on weighted-average lives and subject to monthly principal pay-downs, are listed in total.

Gross realized gains and losses from sales, determined using specific identification, and recoveries of previously charged-off pooled trust preferred (PreTSL) securities for the periods indicated were as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|------|-------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Gross realized gain | \$58 | \$ 2 | \$85 |
| Recovery of previously charged-off PreTSLs | 13 | - | - |
| Gross realized loss | (8) | - | (74) |
| Net gain | \$63 | \$ 2 | \$ 11 |

The following table presents the fair value and gross unrealized losses of investments aggregated by investment type, the length of time and the number of securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of the period indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Less than 12 months | | More than 12 months | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | \$ 4,011 | \$ 8 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,011 | \$ 8 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | - | - | 941 | 180 | 941 | 180 |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | | | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | - | - | 1,343 | 5,231 | 1,343 | 5,231 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 6,126 | 57 | - | - | 6,126 | 57 |
| Subtotal, debt securities | 10,137 | 65 | 2,284 | 5,411 | 12,421 | 5,476 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 10,137 | \$ 65 | \$ 2,284 | \$ 5,411 | \$ 12,421 | \$ 5,476 |
| Number of securities | 7 | | 9 | | 16 | |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | \$ 6,995 | \$ 150 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,995 | \$ 150 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 16,549 | 955 | - | - | 16,549 | 955 |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | | | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | - | - | 1,364 | 5,510 | 1,364 | 5,510 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 14,672 | 193 | - | - | 14,672 | 193 |
| Subtotal, debt securities | 38,216 | 1,298 | 1,364 | 5,510 | 39,580 | 6,808 |
| Total securities Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 38,216 | \$ 1,298 | \$ 1,364 | \$ 5,510 | \$ 39,580 | \$ 6,808 |
| Number of securities | 40 | | 7 | | 47 | |

Nearly all of the securities in the portfolio have fixed rates or have predetermined scheduled rate changes, and many have call features that allow the issuer to call the security at par before its stated maturity without penalty. Management believes the cause of the unrealized losses is related to changes in interest rates, instability in the capital markets or the limited trading activity due to illiquid debt market conditions and is not directly related to credit quality. Management conducts a formal review of investment securities on a quarterly basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI). The accounting guidance related to OTTI requires the Company to assess whether OTTI is present when the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost at the balance sheet date. Under these circumstances, OTTI is considered to have occurred if: (1) the entity has intent to sell the security; (2) more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis; or (3) the present value of expected cash flows is not sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost.

The accounting guidance requires that credit-related OTTI be recognized in earnings while non-credit-related OTTI on securities not expected to be sold be recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI). Non-credit-related OTTI is based on other factors affecting market conditions, including illiquidity. Presentation of OTTI is made in the consolidated statements of income on a gross basis with an offset for the amount of non-credit-related OTTI recognized in OCI.

The Company's OTTI evaluation process also follows the guidance set forth in topics related to debt and equity securities. The guidance set forth in these pronouncements require the Company to take into consideration current market conditions, fair value in relationship to cost, extent and nature of changes in fair value, issuer rating changes and trends, volatility of earnings, current analysts' evaluations, all available information relevant to the collectability of debt securities, the ability and intent to hold investments until a recovery of fair value which may be maturity and other factors when evaluating for the existence of OTTI. The guidance requires that OTTI be recognized as a realized loss through earnings when there has been an adverse change in the holder's expected cash flows such that the full amount (principal and interest) will probably not be received. This requirement is consistent with the impairment model in the guidance for accounting for debt and equity securities.

For all security types discussed below, as of December 31, 2011 the Company applied the criteria provided in the recognition and presentation guidance related to OTTI. That is, management has no intent to sell the securities and no conditions were identified by management that more likely than not would require the Company to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. The results indicated there was no presence of OTTI for the Company's portfolios of Agency – Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE), Mortgage-backed securities (MBS) – GSE residential and Obligations of states and political subdivisions.

Agency - GSE and MBS - GSE residential

Agency – GSE and MBS – GSE residential securities consist of medium- and long-term notes issued by Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), Federal Farm Credit Bank (FFCB) and Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA). These securities have interest rates that are largely fixed-rate issues, have varying mid- to long-term maturity dates and have contractual cash flows guaranteed by the U.S. government or agencies of the U.S. government.

Obligations of states and political subdivisions

The municipal securities are bank qualified or bank eligible, general obligation bonds rated as investment grade by various credit rating agencies and have fixed rates of interest with mid- to long-term maturities. Fair values of these securities are highly driven by interest rates. Management performs ongoing credit quality reviews on these issues.

In the above security types, the change in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and those instruments with unrealized losses were not caused by deterioration of credit quality. Accordingly, as of December 31, 2011, recognition of OTTI on these securities was unnecessary.

Pooled trust preferred securities

A Pooled Trust Preferred Collateralized Debt Obligation (CDO) is a type of investment security collateralized by trust preferred securities (TPS) issued by banks, insurance companies and real estate investment trusts. The primary collateral type is a TPS issued by a bank. A TPS is a hybrid security that consists of both debt and equity characteristics which includes the ability of the issuer to voluntarily defer interest payments for up to 20 consecutive quarters. A TPS is considered a junior security in the capital structure of the issuer.

There are various investment classes or tranches issued by the CDO. The most senior tranche has the lowest yield but the most protection from credit losses. Conversely, the most junior tranche has the highest yield and the most risk of credit loss. Junior tranches are subordinate to senior tranches and losses are generally allocated from the lowest tranche with the equity component holding the most risk of credit loss and then subordinate tranches in reverse order up to the most senior tranche. The allocation of losses is defined in the indenture when the CDO was formed.

Unrealized losses in the pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs) are caused mainly by the following factors:

(1) collateral deterioration due to bank failures and credit concerns across the banking sector; (2) widening of credit spreads and (3) illiquidity in the market. The Company's review of its portfolio of pooled preferred trust preferred securities determined that in 2011 credit-related OTTI be recorded on three holdings, all of which are contained in the Company's AFS securities portfolio, from overall credit quality downgrades on underlying collateral, including

collateral of two banks deferring interest payments; within these three securities.

The following table summarizes the amount of credit-related OTTI recognized in earnings during the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Pooled trust preferred securities: | | | |
| PreTSL IV, Mezzanine | \$35 | \$163 | \$- |
| PreTSL V, Mezzanine | - | 122 | - |
| PreTSL VII, Mezzanine | - | 409 | 674 |
| PreTSL IX, B1, B3 | - | 1,061 | 690 |
| PreTSL XI, B3 | - | 1,273 | - |
| PreTSL XV, B1 | - | 1,359 | 154 |
| PreTSL XVI, C | - | 1,290 | 1,275 |
| PreTSL XVII, C | - | 1,014 | - |
| PreTSL XVIII, C | - | 736 | - |
| PreTSL XIX, C | 136 | 2,124 | - |
| PreTSL XXIV, B1 | 75 | 1,778 | - |
| PreTSL XXV, C1 | - | 507 | 507 |
| Total | \$246 | \$11,836 | \$3,300 |

The following table is a tabular roll-forward of the cumulative amount of credit-related OTTI recognized in earnings:

| (dollars in thousands) | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
|--|------------------------------|------------|
| | HTMAFS | Total |
| Beginning balance of credit-related OTTI | \$- \$(15,034) | \$(15,034) |
| Additions for credit-related OTTI not previously recognized | - - | - |
| Additional credit-related OTTI previously recognized when there is no intent to sell before recovery of amortized cost basis | - (246) | (246) |
| Ending balance of credit-related OTTI | \$- \$(15,280) | \$(15,280) |

To determine credit-related OTTI, the Company analyzes the collateral of each individual tranche within each of the 13 individual pools in the Company's portfolio of pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs). The authoritative pronouncements for the determination, recognition and presentation of OTTI are contained in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC): Topic 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities* and Topic 325, *Investments – Other*. With this alignment, investment in debt and equity securities accounting will not require exclusive reliance on market participant assumptions regarding future cash flows but permits the use of reasonable judgment of the probability that the holder will be unable to collect all amounts due, which could result in OTTI. The Company engaged a third party structured finance firm to: review the underlying collateral of each PreTSL; research trustee reports to update relevant data and credit ratings of the underlying collateral; project default rates and cash flows of the collateral and simulate 10,000 Monte Carlo time-to-default scenarios, performed quarterly to arrive at the single best estimate of future cash flow for each tranche.

The sub-topics of ASC 320 provide the scope, steps and accounting guidance for impairment: 1) determine whether an investment is impaired; 2) evaluate whether impairment is other-than-temporary; then 3) recognition of OTTI. The guidance in ASC 320 retains and emphasizes the objective of OTTI assessment and the related disclosure requirements by aligning the OTTI methodology for certain securitizations. ASC 325 provides a scope exception for investments that were considered of high credit quality (i.e. rated "AA" or higher) at the time of acquisition. The application of the guidance contained in ASC 320 is used for two investments considered of high credit quality with ASC 325 used for the remaining eleven securities. The quarterly evaluations indicated there was a significant adverse change in cash flows in three of the tranches, thereby signifying the likelihood of the Company not being able to recover its principal. As a result, \$0.2 million of credit related OTTI was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The guidance prescribed in ASC 320 is used for investments that, upon purchase, were rated of high credit quality, "AA" or higher, by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The Company has two PreTSLs (XXIV and XXVII) that were of high credit quality, "AA" rated, upon acquisition. The PreTSL XXVII evaluation proved a high probability that the Company will be able to collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, by maturity and thus, determined the impairment is temporary with no further analysis required. PreTSL XXIV was evaluated under ASC 320 to determine if the Company expects to recover the remaining amortized cost basis and if OTTI is deemed to have occurred. An adverse change or short-fall in the expected cash flows compared to the amortized cost would be

recorded as credit-related OTTI. To assess the likelihood of recoverability, the present value of the best estimate of future cash flows is compared to the amortized cost. In this situation, the discount rate used was the interest rate implicit in the security at the date of acquisition. The application of the guidance on this security resulted in an adverse change in cash flows when compared quarterly to the last measurement date throughout 2011 and therefore, \$75,000 credit related OTTI was recorded in 2011.

The remaining eleven PreTSLs were rated "A" by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization at the date of acquisition and as such are considered beneficial interests of securitized financial assets. For these securities, the Company applies the guidance of ASC 325. Under this and other relevant guidance, if the fair value is below amortized cost and the present value of the best estimate of future cash flows declines significantly, evidencing a probable material adverse change in cash flows since the last measurement date, credit-related OTTI is deemed to exist and written down, through a charge to earnings, to the determined present value. The discount rate used under ASC 325 is the yield to accrete beneficial interest, which is representative of the resulting interest from the total gross estimated future cash flows less the current amortized cost. In applying this guidance to the remaining securities, PreTSLs IV and XIX measured an adverse change in cash flows when compared to the last estimate and credit related OTTI of \$35,000 and \$136,000, respectively was recorded in 2011.

The following table is the composition of the Company's pooled trust preferred securities on non-accrual status as of the period indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | | As of December 31, | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| Deal | Class | Book value | Fair value | Book value | Fair value |
| Pre TSL V | Mezzanine | \$- | \$25 | \$- | \$- |
| Pre TSL VII | Mezzanine | - | 79 | - | 68 |
| Pre TSL IX | B-1,B-3 | 1,605 | 529 | 1,679 | 527 |
| Pre TSL XI | B-3 | 1,119 | 357 | 1,125 | 407 |
| Pre TSL XV | B-1 | - | 20 | - | 21 |
| Pre TSL XVIII | C | 285 | 5 | 285 | 11 |
| Pre TSL XIX | C | 316 | 8 | 452 | 22 |
| Pre TSL XXIV | B-1 | 407 | 15 | 482 | 35 |
| | | \$3,732 | \$1,038 | \$4,023 | \$1,091 |

The securities included in the above table have experienced impairment of principal and interest was "paid-in-kind". When these two conditions exist, the security is placed on non-accrual status.

The following table provides additional information with respect to the Company's pooled trust preferred securities as of December 31, 2011:

(dollars in thousands)

| Deal | Class | Book value | Fair value | Unrealized gain (loss) | Moody's / Fitch ratings (1) | Current | Actual | Excess | Effective | subordina | subordina |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | | | number of banks / insurance companies | Actual deferrals and defaults as a % of current collateral | | | | |
| Pre TSL IV | Mezzanine | \$412 | \$193 | \$(219) | Ca / CCC | 6 / - | \$18,000 | 27.1 | \$10,118 | 19.6 | 35.8 |
| Pre TSL V | Mezzanine | - | 25 | 25 | Ba3 / D | 3 / - | 28,950 | 100.0 | None | N/A | N/A |
| Pre TSL VII | Mezzanine | - | 79 | 79 | Ca / C | 18 / - | 140,000 | 70.5 | None | N/A | N/A |
| Pre TSL IX | B-1,B-3 | 1,605 | 529 | (1,076) | Ca / C | - | 136,510 | 30.3 | None | N/A | N/A |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|----|---------|------|------|-----|------|--|
| | | | | | | 48 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | /- | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XI | B-3 | 1,119 | 357 | (762) | Ca / C | 62 | 185,280 | 29.7 | None | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | | | /- | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XV | B-1 | - | 20 | 20 | C / C | 63 | 187,300 | 35.0 | None | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | | | /9 | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XVI | C | - | - | - | Ca / C | 49 | 244,890 | 41.9 | None | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | | | /7 | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XVII | C | - | - | - | Ca / C | 50 | 151,720 | 35.3 | None | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | | | | /6 | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XVIII | C | 285 | 5 | (280) | Ca / C | 65 | 176,340 | 24.6 | None | N/A | 3.5 | |
| | | | | | | / | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XIX | C | 316 | 8 | (308) | C / C | 14 | 146,900 | 24.6 | None | N/A | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | | 55 | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XXIV | B-1 | 407 | 15 | (392) | Caa3 / CC | 14 | 361,300 | 37.6 | None | N/A | 11.9 | |
| | | | | | | 77 | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XXV | C-1 | - | - | - | C / C | 12 | 289,100 | 35.9 | None | N/A | 0.1 | |
| | | | | | | 61 | | | | | | |
| Pre TSL XXVII | B | 2,430 | 235 | (2,195) | Ca / CC | /9 | 91,800 | 27.1 | None | N/A | 22.1 | |
| | | | | | | 42 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | /7 | | | | | | |
| | | \$6,574 | \$1,466 | \$(5,108) | | | | | | | | |

(1) All ratings have been updated through December 31, 2011.

(2) Excess subordination represents the excess (if any) of the amount of performing collateral over the given class of bonds.

(3) Effective subordination represents the estimated percentage of the performing collateral that would need to defer or default at the next payment in order to trigger a loss of principal or interest. This differs from excess subordination in that it considers the effect of excess interest earned on the performing collateral.

4.

LOANS

The classifications of loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Commercial and industrial | \$68,372 | \$85,129 |
| Commercial real estate: | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 79,475 | 87,355 |
| Owner occupied | 76,611 | 69,338 |
| Construction | 9,387 | 12,501 |
| Consumer: | | |
| Home equity installment | 36,390 | 40,089 |
| Home equity line of credit | 32,486 | 29,185 |
| Auto | 13,539 | 10,734 |
| Other | 5,833 | 7,165 |
| Residential: | | |
| Real estate | 80,091 | 68,160 |
| Construction | 4,110 | 6,145 |
| Total | 406,294 | 415,801 |
| Less: | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (8,108) | (7,898) |
| Loans, net | \$398,186 | \$407,903 |

Net deferred loan costs of \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million have been added to the carrying values of loans at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company services real estate loans for investors in the secondary mortgage market which are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The approximate amount of mortgages serviced amounted to \$193.5 million as of December 31, 2011 and \$188.6 million as of December 31, 2010.

The Company utilizes an external independent loan review firm that reviews and validates the credit risk program on at least an annual basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management and the Board of Directors. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures.

Non-accrual loans

The decision to place loans on non-accrual status is made on an individual basis after considering factors pertaining to each specific loan. Commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans are placed on non-accrual status when management has determined that payment of all contractual principal and interest is in doubt or the loan is past due 90 days or more as to principal and interest, unless well-secured and in the process of collection. Consumer loans secured by real estate and residential mortgage loans are placed on non-accrual status at 120 days past due as to principal and interest and unsecured consumer loans are charged off when the loan is 90 days or more past due as to principal and interest.

Non-accrual loans, segregated by class, at December 31, were as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of December | |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Commercial and industrial | \$458 | \$165 |
| Commercial real estate: | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 2,406 | 2,000 |
| Owner occupied | 6,288 | 2,768 |
| Construction | 656 | - |
| Consumer: | | |
| Home equity installment | 1,017 | 601 |
| Home equity line of credit | 730 | 508 |
| Auto | - | 14 |
| Other | - | 14 |
| Residential: | | |
| Real estate | 2,329 | 3,805 |
| Construction | 78 | 94 |
| Total | \$13,962 | \$9,969 |

Troubled Debt Restructuring

A modification of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification constitutes a concession. The Company offers various types of concessions when modifying a loan, however, forgiveness of principal is rarely granted. Commercial and industrial loans modified in a TDR often involve temporary interest-only payments, term extensions, and converting revolving credit lines to term loans. Additional collateral, a co-borrower, or a guarantor is often requested. Commercial real estate and construction loans modified in a TDR often involve reducing the interest rate for the remaining term of the loan, extending the maturity date at an interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, or substituting or adding a new borrower or guarantor. Construction loans modified in a TDR may also involve extending the interest-only payment period. Residential mortgage loans modified in a TDR are primarily comprised of loans where monthly payments are lowered to accommodate the borrowers' financial needs for an extended period of time. After the lowered monthly payment period ends, the borrower would revert back to paying principal and interest per the original terms with the maturity date adjusted accordingly. Home equity modifications and automobile loan modifications are typically not made and therefore standard terms do not exist for loans of this type.

Loans modified in a TDR may or may not be placed in non-accrual status. As of December 31, 2011, total TDRs amounted to \$6.7 million of which \$1.4 million were on non-accrual status. At December 31, 2010, TDRs amounted to \$0.8 million. Partial charge-offs may be taken against the outstanding loan balance, but, only in rare instances. As a result, loans modified in a TDR for the Company may have the financial effect of increasing the specific allowance for loan losses associated with the loan. The Company considers all TDRs to be impaired loans. An allowance for impaired loans that have been modified in a TDR is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market price, or the estimated fair value of the collateral, less any selling costs, if the loan is collateral dependent. Management exercises significant judgment in developing these estimates.

The following presents by class, information related to loans modified in a TDR during the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Loans modified as a TDR for the: | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Three months ended December 31, 2011 | | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
| | Recorded Number of investment contracts (as of period end) | Increase in allowance (as of period end) | Recorded Number of investment contracts (as of period end) | Increase in allowance (as of period end) |
| Commercial & industrial | 1 | \$ 19 | 1 | \$ - |
| Commercial real estate - non-owner occupied | 1 | 314 | 3 | 3,493 |
| Commercial real estate - owner occupied | 1 | 492 | 2 | 2,913 |
| Total | 3 | \$ 825 | 6 | \$ 6,425 |

In the above table, the period end balances are inclusive of all partial pay downs and charge-offs since the modification date.

The following presents by class, loans in 2011 that subsequently defaulted (i.e., 90 days or more past due following a modification) during the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Loans modified as a TDR within the previous twelve months that subsequently defaulted during the: | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Three months ended December 31, 2011 | | Year ended December 31, 2011 | |
| | Number of contracts | Recorded investment | Number of contracts | Recorded investment |
| Commercial real estate - non-owner occupied | - | - | 1 | \$ 1,395 |

In the above table, the period end balances are inclusive of all partial pay downs and charge-offs since the modification date.

The \$1.4 million commercial real estate loan TDR that subsequently defaulted had been modified to lower payments. The Company has been applying all payments during this time to principal. The default did not necessitate an

increase in the reserve for loan losses on this loan as its fair value measurement exceeds the loan balance.

Loans modified in a TDR are closely monitored for delinquency as an early indicator of possible future default. If loans modified in a TDR subsequently default, the Company evaluates the loan for possible further impairment. The allowance may be increased, adjustments may be made in the allocation of the allowance or partial charge offs may be taken to further write-down the carrying value of the loan.

Past due loans

Loans are considered past due when the contractual principal and/or interest is not received by the due date. An aging analysis of past due loans, segregated by class of loans, as of the period indicated is as follows (dollars in thousands):

| December 31, 2011 | 30 - 59 Days past due | 60 - 89 Days past due | Past due | | Current | Total loans receivables | Recorded investment past due ≥ 90 days and accruing |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | 90 days or more * | Total past due | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 61 | \$ 20 | \$ 458 | \$ 539 | \$ 67,833 | \$ 68,372 | \$ - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 1,802 | 386 | 2,406 | 4,594 | 74,881 | 79,475 | - |
| Owner occupied | 134 | 71 | 6,288 | 6,493 | 70,118 | 76,611 | - |
| Construction | - | - | 656 | 656 | 8,731 | 9,387 | - |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Home equity installment | 450 | 161 | 1,017 | 1,628 | 34,762 | 36,390 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 11 | - | 730 | 741 | 31,745 | 32,486 | - |
| Auto | 437 | 181 | - | 618 | 12,921 | 13,539 | - |
| Other | 19 | 11 | - | 30 | 5,803 | 5,833 | - |
| Residential: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | 297 | 317 | 2,594 | 3,208 | 76,883 | 80,091 | 265 |
| Construction | - | - | 78 | 78 | 4,032 | 4,110 | - |
| Total | \$ 3,211 | \$ 1,147 | \$ 14,227 | \$ 18,585 | \$ 387,709 | \$ 406,294 | \$ 265 |

* Includes \$14.0 million of non-accrual loans.

| December 31, 2010 | 30 - 59 Days past due | 60 - 89 Days past due | Past due | | Current | Total loans receivables | Recorded investment past due ≥ 90 days and accruing |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | 90 days or more * | Total past due | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 15 | \$ 271 | \$ 262 | \$ 548 | \$ 84,581 | \$ 85,129 | \$ 98 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 56 | 17 | 2,000 | 2,073 | 85,282 | 87,355 | - |
| Owner occupied | 403 | 20 | 2,784 | 3,207 | 66,131 | 69,338 | 16 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | 12,501 | 12,501 | - |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | |
| Home equity installment | 206 | 104 | 712 | 1,022 | 39,067 | 40,089 | 111 |
| Home equity line of credit | 7 | 44 | 508 | 559 | 28,626 | 29,185 | - |
| Auto | 235 | 92 | 16 | 343 | 10,391 | 10,734 | 2 |
| Other | 21 | 12 | 13 | 46 | 7,119 | 7,165 | - |
| Residential: | | | | | | | |
| Real estate | - | 1,108 | 3,868 | 4,976 | 63,184 | 68,160 | 62 |
| Construction | - | - | 94 | 94 | 6,051 | 6,145 | - |
| Total | \$ 943 | \$ 1,668 | \$ 10,257 | \$ 12,868 | \$ 402,933 | \$ 415,801 | \$ 289 |

* Includes \$10.0 million of non-accrual loans.

Impaired loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events; it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Factors considered in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting payments when due. The significance of payment delays and/or shortfalls is determined on a case-by-case basis. All circumstances surrounding the loan are taken into account. Such factors include the length of the delinquency, the underlying reasons and the borrower's prior payment record. Impairment is measured on these loans on a loan-by-loan basis. Impaired loans include non-accrual loans and other loans deemed to be impaired based on the aforementioned factors. At December 31, 2011, impaired loans consisted of TDRs totaling \$5.3 million in addition to the \$14.0 million of non-accrual loans. Other than the non-accrual loans, totaling \$10.0 million, there were no other impaired loans as of December 31, 2010. Payments received on non-accrual loans are recognized on a cash basis. Payments are first applied against the outstanding principal balance, then to the recovery of any charged-off amounts. Any excess is treated as a recovery of interest income.

Impaired loans, segregated by class, as of the period indicated are detailed below:

| (dollars in thousands) | Unpaid principal balance | Recorded investment with allowance | Recorded investment with no allowance | Total recorded investment | Related allowance | Average recorded investment | Interest income recognized | Cash basis interest income recognized |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 549 | \$ 322 | \$ 179 | \$ 501 | \$ 63 | \$ 355 | \$ 2 | \$ - |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 5,434 | 3,144 | 2,176 | 5,320 | 301 | 3,026 | 53 | - |
| Owner occupied | 8,538 | 5,730 | 2,915 | 8,645 | 792 | 4,953 | 108 | 14 |
| Construction | 656 | 656 | - | 656 | 152 | 375 | - | - |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Home equity installment | 1,050 | 395 | 622 | 1,017 | 88 | 751 | 6 | 3 |
| Home equity line of credit | 730 | 229 | 501 | 730 | 55 | 488 | 2 | 1 |
| Auto | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| Residential: | | | | | | | | |
| Real Estate | 2,619 | 1,083 | 1,246 | 2,329 | 84 | 2,867 | 155 | 59 |
| Construction | 94 | 78 | - | 78 | - | 91 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 19,670 | \$ 11,637 | \$ 7,639 | \$ 19,276 | \$ 1,535 | \$ 12,921 | \$ 326 | \$ 77 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Unpaid principal balance | Recorded investment with allowance | Recorded investment with no allowance | Total recorded investment | Related allowance | Average recorded investment | Interest income recognized | Cash basis interest income recognized |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial & industrial | \$ 812 | \$ - | \$ 165 | \$ 165 | \$ - | \$ 422 | \$ 9 | \$ 3 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | |
| Non-owner occupied | 2,699 | 24 | 1,976 | 2,000 | 6 | 4,201 | 12 | 9 |
| Owner occupied | 2,768 | 2,445 | 323 | 2,768 | 523 | 3,018 | 11 | 8 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer: | | | | | | | | |
| Home equity installment | 718 | 287 | 314 | 601 | 29 | 738 | 13 | 9 |
| Home equity line of credit | 508 | 168 | 340 | 508 | 87 | 515 | - | - |
| Auto | 14 | - | 14 | 14 | - | 14 | - | - |
| Other | 14 | - | 14 | 14 | - | 14 | - | - |
| Residential: | | | | | | | | |
| Real Estate | 3,805 | 2,443 | 1,362 | 3,805 | 352 | 3,927 | 16 | 9 |
| Construction | 94 | 94 | - | 94 | 11 | 94 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 11,432 | \$ 5,461 | \$ 4,508 | \$ 9,969 | \$ 1,008 | \$ 12,943 | \$ 61 | \$ 38 |

Credit Quality Indicators

Commercial and industrial and commercial real estate

The Company utilizes a loan grading system and assigns a credit risk grade to its loans in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate portfolios. The grading system provides a means to measure portfolio quality and aids in the monitoring of the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The credit risk grades are arrived at using a risk rating matrix to assign a grade to each of the loans in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate portfolios.

The following is a description of each risk rating category the Company uses to classify each of its commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans:

Pass

Loans in this category have an acceptable level of risk and are graded in range of one to five. Secured loans generally have good collateral coverage. Current financial statements reflect acceptable balance sheet ratios, sales and earnings trends. Management is considered to be good, and there is some depth existing. Payment experience on the loans has been good with minor or no delinquency experience. Loans with a grade of one are of the highest quality in the range. Those graded five are of marginally acceptable quality.

Special Mention

Loans in this category are graded a six and may be protected but are potentially weak. They constitute a credit risk to the Company, but have not yet reached the point of adverse classification. Some of the following conditions may exist: little or no collateral coverage; lack of current financial information; delinquency problems; highly leveraged; available financial information reflects poor balance sheet ratios and profit and loss statements reflect uncertain trends; and document exceptions. Loans in this category should not remain on the list for an inordinate period of time (no more than one year) and then the loan should be passed or classified appropriately. Cash flow may not be sufficient to support total debt service requirements.

Substandard

Loans in this category are graded a seven and have a well-defined weakness which may jeopardize the ultimate collectability of the debt. The collateral pledged may be lacking in quality or quantity. Financial statements may indicate insufficient cash flow to service the debt; and/or do not reflect a sound net worth. The payment history indicates chronic delinquency problems. Management is considered to be weak. There is a distinct possibility that the Company may sustain a loss. All loans on non-accrual are rated substandard. Loans 90+ days past due unless otherwise fully supported should be classified substandard. Also, borrowers that are bankrupt are substandard.

Doubtful

Loans in this category are graded an eight and have a better than 50% possibility of the Company sustaining a loss, but the loss cannot be determined because of specific reasonable factors which may strengthen credit in the near-term. Many of the weaknesses present in a substandard loan exist. Liquidation of collateral, if any, is likely. Any loan graded lower than an eight is considered to be uncollectible and charged-off.

Consumer and Residential

For these portfolios, the Company utilizes payment activity, history and recency of payment. Therefore, the consumer and residential loan segments are regarded as homogeneous loan pools and as such are not risk rated. Non-performing loans are considered to be loans past due 90 days or more and accruing and non-accrual loans. All loans not classified as non-performing are considered performing.

The following table presents loans, segregated by class, categorized into the appropriate credit quality indicator category as the period indicated:

Commercial credit exposure

Credit risk profile by creditworthiness category

| (dollars in thousands) | Commercial and industrial | | Commercial real estate - non-owner occupied | | Commercial real estate - owner occupied | | Commercial real estate - construction | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---|------------|---|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 |
| Pass | \$ 64,064 | \$ 82,042 | \$ 65,819 | \$ 81,139 | \$ 66,298 | \$ 61,220 | \$ 6,911 | \$ 9,439 |
| Special mention | 2,953 | 2,212 | 5,681 | 1,974 | 1,627 | 514 | 1,246 | 1,849 |
| Substandard | 1,355 | 875 | 7,975 | 4,242 | 8,686 | 7,604 | 1,230 | 1,213 |
| Doubtful | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 68,372 | \$ 85,129 | \$ 79,475 | \$ 87,355 | \$ 76,611 | \$ 69,338 | \$ 9,387 | \$ 12,501 |

Consumer credit exposure

Credit risk profile based on payment activity

| (dollars in thousands) | Home equity installment | | Home equity line of credit | | Auto | | Other | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 |
| Performing | \$35,373 | \$ 39,377 | \$31,756 | \$ 28,677 | \$13,539 | \$ 10,719 | \$5,833 | \$ 7,152 |
| Non-performing | 1,017 | 712 | 730 | 508 | - | 15 | - | 13 |
| Total | \$36,390 | \$ 40,089 | \$32,486 | \$ 29,185 | \$13,539 | \$ 10,734 | \$5,833 | \$ 7,165 |

Mortgage lending credit exposure**Credit risk profile based on payment activity**

| (dollars in thousands) | Residential real estate | | Residential construction | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 | 12/31/2011 | 12/31/2010 |
| Performing | \$77,497 | \$ 64,292 | \$4,032 | \$ 6,051 |
| Non-performing | 2,594 | 3,868 | 78 | 94 |
| Total | \$80,091 | \$ 68,160 | \$4,110 | \$ 6,145 |

Allowance for loan losses

Management continually evaluates the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio and performs a formal review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (the allowance) on a quarterly basis. The allowance reflects management's best estimate of the amount of credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management's judgment is based on the evaluation of individual loans, past experience, the assessment of current economic conditions and other relevant factors including the amounts and timing of cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Those estimates may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged directly against the allowance when loans are deemed to be uncollectible. Recoveries from previously charged-off loans are added to the allowance when received.

Management applies two primary components during the loan review process to determine proper allowance levels. The two components are a specific loan loss allocation for loans that are deemed impaired and a general loan loss allocation for those loans not specifically allocated. The methodology to analyze the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

- § identification of specific impaired loans by loan category;
- § specific loans that are not impaired, but have an identified potential for loss;
 - § calculation of specific allowances where required for the impaired loans based on collateral and other objective and quantifiable evidence;
- § determination of homogenous pools by loan category and eliminating the impaired loans;
- § application of historical loss percentages (two-year average) to pools to determine the allowance allocation;

§ application of qualitative factor adjustment percentages to historical losses for trends or changes in the loan portfolio. Qualitative factor adjustments include:

- o levels of and trends in delinquencies and non-accrual loans;
- o levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries;
- o trends in volume and terms of loans;
- o changes in risk selection and underwriting standards;
- o changes in lending policies, procedures and practices;
- o experience, ability and depth of lending management;
- o national and local economic trends and conditions; and
- o changes in credit concentrations.

Allocation of the allowance for different categories of loans is based on the methodology as explained above. A key element of the methodology to determine the allowance is the Company's credit risk evaluation process, which includes credit risk grading of individual commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. Commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans are assigned credit risk grades based on the Company's assessment of conditions that affect the borrower's ability to meet its contractual obligations under the loan agreement. That process includes reviewing borrowers' current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and other information specific to each individual borrower. Upon review, the commercial loan credit risk grade is revised or reaffirmed as the case may be. The credit risk grades may be changed at any time management feels an upgrade or downgrade may be warranted. The credit risk grades for the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loan portfolios are taken into account in the reserve methodology and loss factors are applied based upon the credit risk grades. The loss factors applied are based upon the Company's historical experience as well as what we believe to be best practices and common industry standards. Historical experience reveals there is a direct correlation between the credit risk grades and loan charge-offs. The changes in allocations in the commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loan portfolio from period to period are based upon the credit risk grading system and from periodic reviews of the loan portfolio.

Each quarter, management performs an assessment of the allowance for loan losses. The Company's Special Assets Committee meets quarterly and the applicable lenders discuss each relationship under review and reach a consensus on the appropriate estimated loss amount based on current accounting guidance. The Special Assets Committee's focus is on ensuring the pertinent facts are considered and the reserve amounts pursuant to the accounting principles are reasonable. The assessment process includes the review of all loans on a non-accruing basis as well as a review of certain loans to which the lenders or the Company's Credit Administration function have assigned a criticized or classified risk rating. In 2011, the Company did not change its policy or methodology in calculating the allowance for loan losses from the policy or methodology used in 2010.

The Company's policy is to charge off unsecured consumer loans when they become 90 days or more past due as to principal and interest. In the other portfolio segments, amounts are charged off at the point in time when the Company deems the balance to be uncollectible.

Information related to the change in the allowance for loan losses and the Company's recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment as of the period indicated is as follows:

As of and for the year ended December 31, 2011

| (dollars in thousands) | Commercial & industrial | Commercial real estate | Consumer | Residential real estate | Unallocated | Total |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Allowance for Loan Losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,368 | \$ 4,239 | \$ 1,248 | \$ 863 | \$ 180 | \$7,898 |
| Charge-offs | 128 | 699 | 654 | 577 | - | 2,058 |
| Recoveries | 407 | 37 | 17 | 7 | - | 468 |
| Provision | (426 |) 402 | 824 | 758 | 242 | 1,800 |
| Ending balance | \$ 1,221 | \$ 3,979 | \$ 1,435 | \$ 1,051 | \$ 422 | \$8,108 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 63 | \$ 1,245 | \$ 143 | \$ 84 | | \$1,535 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,158 | \$ 2,734 | \$ 1,292 | \$ 967 | | \$6,151 |
| Loans Receivables: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 68,372 | \$ 165,473 | \$ 88,248 | \$ 84,201 | | \$406,294 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 501 | \$ 14,621 | \$ 1,747 | \$ 2,407 | | \$19,276 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 67,871 | \$ 150,852 | \$ 86,501 | \$ 81,794 | | \$387,018 |

As of and for the year ended December 31, 2010

| (dollars in thousands) | Commercial & industrial | Commercial real estate | Consumer | Residential real estate | Unallocated | Total |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Allowance for Loan Losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,406 | \$ 4,314 | \$ 1,253 | \$ 505 | \$ 96 | \$7,574 |
| Charge-offs | 452 | 892 | 463 | 2 | - | 1,809 |
| Recoveries | 4 | 3 | 39 | 2 | - | 48 |
| Provision | 410 | 814 | 419 | 358 | 84 | 2,085 |
| Ending balance | \$ 1,368 | \$ 4,239 | \$ 1,248 | \$ 863 | \$ 180 | \$7,898 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ - | \$ 529 | \$ 116 | \$ 363 | | \$1,008 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,368 | \$ 3,710 | \$ 1,132 | \$ 500 | | \$6,710 |
| Loans Receivables: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 85,129 | \$ 169,194 | \$ 87,173 | \$ 74,305 | | \$415,801 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 165 | \$ 4,768 | \$ 1,137 | \$ 3,899 | | \$9,969 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 84,964 | \$ 164,426 | \$ 86,036 | \$ 70,406 | | \$405,832 |

5. BANK PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Components of bank premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Land | \$2,072 | \$2,072 |
| Bank premises | 9,746 | 9,693 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 9,809 | 9,806 |
| Leasehold improvements | 5,110 | 5,090 |
| Total | 26,737 | 26,661 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (13,162) | (11,897) |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | \$13,575 | \$14,764 |

Depreciation expense, which includes amortization of leasehold improvements, was \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, and \$1.6 million in 2009, respectively.

The Company leases its Green Ridge, West Pittston, Moosic, Kingston, Peckville, Clarks Summit and Eynon branches and the former Scranton branch under the terms of operating leases. Rental expense was \$0.4 million in 2011, \$0.3 million in 2010 and \$0.4 million in 2009. The future minimum lease payments for the Company's branch network as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amount |
|------------------------|----------|
| 2012 | \$ 384 |
| 2013 | 375 |
| 2014 | 340 |
| 2015 | 344 |
| 2016 | 349 |
| 2017 and thereafter | 4,215 |
| Total | \$ 6,007 |

During 2009, the Company closed its Wyoming Ave., Scranton branch but continues to pay monthly lease payments under an operating lease agreement that expires in 2024. To help offset the expense related to the former Scranton branch, the Company receives rental income under a sublease agreement from an unrelated financial institution.

6. DEPOSITS

The scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit including certificates reciprocated in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) program as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amount | Percent |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 2012 | \$69,847 | 55.7 % |
| 2013 | 28,125 | 22.5 |
| 2014 | 15,019 | 12.0 |
| 2015 | 6,763 | 5.4 |
| 2016 | 3,279 | 2.6 |
| 2017 and thereafter | 2,312 | 1.8 |
| | \$125,345 | 100.0 % |

Excluding \$11.0 million of CDARS deposits, certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more aggregated \$41.9 million and \$51.3 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Certificates of deposit of \$250,000 or more aggregated \$13.6 million and \$19.1 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, investment securities with a combined fair value of \$106.7 million and letters of credit with a notional value of \$0.3 million were available to be pledged as qualifying collateral to secure public deposits and trust funds. The Company required \$26.5 million of the qualifying collateral to secure such deposits at year-end and the balance of \$80.2 million was available for other pledging needs.

7. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Short-term borrowings are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | \$ 9,507 | \$ 7,548 |
| Demand note, U.S. Treasury | - | 1,000 |
| Total | \$ 9,507 | \$ 8,548 |

The maximum and average amounts of short-term borrowings outstanding and related interest rates as of the periods indicated are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Maximum outstanding at any month end | Average outstanding | Weighted- average rate during the year | Rate at year-end | |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|
| December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Repurchase agreements | \$ 20,912 | \$ 11,939 | 0.44 | % | 0.26 % |
| Demand note, U.S. Treasury | 1,000 | 685 | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 21,912 | \$ 12,624 | | | |
| December 31, 2010 | | | | | |
| Overnight borrowings | \$ - | \$ 108 | 0.17 | % | 0.00 % |
| Repurchase agreements | 20,965 | 12,692 | 0.70 | | 0.28 |
| Demand note, U.S. Treasury | 1,089 | 610 | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| FHLB Advance | 9,500 | 9,370 | 0.59 | | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 31,554 | \$ 22,780 | | | |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| Overnight borrowings | \$ 29,133 | \$ 4,897 | 0.66 | % | 0.65 % |
| Repurchase agreements | 10,130 | 8,743 | 0.32 | | 0.30 |
| Demand note, U.S. Treasury | 986 | 526 | 0.00 | | 0.00 |
| Total | \$ 40,249 | \$ 14,166 | | | |

Overnight borrowings may include Fed funds purchased from correspondent banks and open repurchase agreements with the FHLB. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) are non-insured interest-bearing liabilities that have a perfected security interest in qualified investment securities of the Company. Repurchase agreements are reflected at the amount of cash received in connection with the transaction. The carrying value of the underlying qualified investment securities was approximately \$20.9 million and \$22.7 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company may be required to provide additional collateral based on the fair value of the underlying securities. The U. S. Treasury demand note is generally repaid within 1 to 90 days.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$105.6 million available to borrow from the FHLB, \$21.0 million from correspondent banks and approximately \$22.3 million that it could borrow at the Discount Window from the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. There were no outstanding borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window at December 31, 2011 or 2010.

8.

LONG-TERM DEBT

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

Long-term debt consists of outstanding advances from the FHLB of \$21.0 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. These advances are secured by blanket liens on all real estate and commercial and industrial loans with a combined weighted valuation for collateral purposes of \$124.1 million as of September 30, 2011 that was the qualifying collateral in in effect as of December 31, 2011. In addition, the Company voluntary pledged approximately \$3.0 million of mortgage-backed securities representing mortgages guaranteed by FNMA and FHLMC.

Maturities and weighted-average interest rates of long-term debt as of December 31, 2011 are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Amount | Rate |
|------------------------|----------|-------|
| 2013 | \$5,000 | 3.61% |
| 2016 | 16,000 | 5.26 |
| Total | \$21,000 | 4.87% |

The long-term debt outstanding as of December 31, 2011 consisted of convertible select FHLB advances that have fixed interest rates but may adjust quarterly should market rates increase beyond the issues' original or strike rates. Prepayment fees attached to the borrowings may be a deterrent from paying off the high-cost advances. However, in the event the underlying market rates rise above the rates currently paid on these borrowings, the FHLB rate will convert to a floating rate and the Company has the option at that time to repay or to renegotiate the converted advance. In February 2012, the Company paid off the \$5.0 million, 3.61% advance that was due to mature in the fourth quarter of 2013 and incurred a prepayment fee of \$0.2 million.

9.

STOCK PLANS

The Company has two stock-based compensation plans (the stock option plans). The stock option plans were shareholder-approved and permit the grant of share-based compensation awards to its directors, key officers and certain other employees. The Company believes that these stock option plans better align the interest of its directors, key officers and employees with the interest of its shareholders. The Company further believes that the granting of share-based awards under the provisions of the stock option plans is necessary to retain the knowledge base, continuity and expertise of its directors, key officers and employees. In the stock option plans, directors, key officers and certain other employees are eligible to be awarded stock options to purchase the Company's common stock at the fair market value on the date of grant.

The Company established the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan (the Directors Plan) and reserved 55,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance to its directors. In the Directors Plan, no stock options were awarded during 2011, 2010 or 2009. As of December 31, 2011, there were 18,300 unexercised stock options outstanding under this plan.

The Company has also established the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan) and reserved 55,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance to key officers and certain other employees. In the Incentive Plan, no stock options were awarded during 2011, 2010 or 2009. As of December 31, 2011, there were 5,490 unexercised stock options outstanding under this plan.

The stock option plans expired in 2011 and no new options may be granted unless the Company extends the existing plans or establishes a new equity-based compensation plan. The Company will propose a new equity-based compensation program in 2012. The new proposed equity compensation plan will require shareholder approval. Previously issued and currently outstanding options may be exercised pursuant to the terms of the stock option plans existing at the time of grant. If the proposed plan is approved by the Company's shareholders, the outstanding options may be cancelled and replaced with new grants.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plans as of and changes during the periods indicated are presented in the following table:

| | Options | Weighted- average exercise price | Weighted- average remaining contractual term (years) |
|--|----------|---|--|
| Outstanding and exercisable, December 31, 2008 | 42,980 | \$ 30.46 | 6.1 |
| Granted | - | - | |
| Exercised | - | - | |
| Forfeited | (5,390) | 31.61 | |
| Outstanding and exercisable, December 31, 2009 | 37,590 | 30.29 | 5.6 |
| Granted | - | - | |
| Exercised | - | - | |
| Forfeited | (10,610) | 30.82 | |
| Outstanding and exercisable, December 31, 2010 | 26,980 | 30.08 | 5.2 |
| Granted | - | - | |
| Exercised | - | - | |
| Forfeited | (3,190) | 33.18 | |
| Outstanding and exercisable, December 31, 2011 | 23,790 | \$ 29.67 | 4.9 |

In the above table, the weighted-average exercise price includes options with exercise prices ranging from \$26.05 to \$36.59.

As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, no intrinsic value existed because the strike price of all outstanding stock options exceeded the market price of the Company's stock.

Under the stock option plans, options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. The awards vest based on six months of continuous service from the date of grant and have 10-year contractual terms. Generally, all shares that are granted become fully vested.

The Company does not have stock options that are traded on organized capital exchanges. As such, the estimated fair value of options awarded under its stock option plans is determined using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Valuation Model (the model). There were no options granted in 2011, 2010 or 2009.

In addition to the two stock option plans, the Company established the 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP) and reserved 110,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. The ESPP was designed to promote broad-based employee ownership of the Company's stock and to motivate employees to improve job performance and enhance the financial results of the Company. Under the ESPP, employees use automatic payroll withholdings to purchase the Company's capital stock at a discounted price based on the fair market value of the capital stock as measured on either the commencement date or termination date. At December 31, 2011, 21,826 shares have been issued under the ESPP. The ESPP is considered a compensatory plan and as such, is required to comply with the provisions of authoritative accounting guidance. Accordingly, the Company recognizes compensation expense on its ESPP on the date the shares are purchased. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, compensation expense related to the ESPP approximated \$24,000, \$7,000 and \$5,000, respectively, and is included as a component of salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated statements of income.

The Company also established the dividend reinvestment plan (the DRP) for its shareholders. The DRP is designed to avail the Company's stock at no transactional cost to its shareholders. Cash dividends paid to shareholders who are enrolled in the DRP plus voluntary cash deposits received are used to purchase shares either directly from the Company, from shares that become available in the open market or from the Company's previously acquired treasury stock. The Company has reserved 300,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the DRP. Until further notice and action of the Company's Board of Directors, additional shares of stock purchased directly from the Company through the DRP are issued at 90% of fair value as of the investment date. As of December 31, 2011, there were 61,226 shares available for future issuance.

10.**INCOME TAXES**

Pursuant to the accounting guidelines related to income taxes, the Company has evaluated its material tax positions as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Under the “more-likely-than-not” threshold guidelines, the Company believes no significant uncertain tax positions exist, either individually or in the aggregate, that would give rise to the non-recognition of an existing tax benefit. In periods subsequent to December 31, 2011, determinations of potentially adverse material tax positions will be evaluated to determine whether an uncertain tax position may have previously existed or has been originated. In the event an adverse tax position is determined to exist, penalty and interest will be accrued, in accordance with the Internal Revenue Service guidelines, and will be recorded as a component of other expenses in the Company’s consolidated statements of income.

As of December 31, 2011, there were no unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would significantly affect the Company’s effective tax rate. Also, there were no penalties and interest recognized in the consolidated statements of income in 2011, 2010 and 2009 as a result of management’s evaluation of whether an uncertain tax position may exist nor does the Company foresee a change in its material tax positions that would give rise to the non-recognition of an existing tax benefit during the forthcoming twelve months. Tax returns filed with the Internal Revenue Service are subject to review by law under a three-year statute of limitations. The Company has not received notification from the IRS regarding adverse tax issues from tax returns filed for tax years 2011, 2010 or 2009.

The following temporary differences gave rise to the net deferred tax asset (a component of other assets in the consolidated balance sheets) as of the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of December | |
|--|----------------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities | \$5,192 | \$5,114 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 2,757 | 2,685 |
| Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities | 572 | 1,966 |
| Deferred interest from non-accrual assets | 653 | 532 |
| Stock-based compensation | 46 | 46 |
| Retirement settlement reserve | 6 | 15 |
| Other | 120 | 170 |
| Total | 9,346 | 10,528 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | (504) | (679) |
| Loan fees and costs | (879) | (561) |
| Other | (269) | (315) |
| Total | (1,652) | (1,555) |
| Deferred tax asset, net | \$7,694 | \$8,973 |

The components of the total provision (credit) for income taxes for the years indicated are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Current | \$1,760 | \$1,566 | \$158 |
| Deferred | (115) | (4,123) | (1,776) |
| Total provision (credit) for income taxes | \$1,645 | \$(2,557) | \$(1,618) |

77

The reconciliation between the expected statutory income tax and the actual provision (credit) for income taxes is as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Expected provision (credit) at the statutory rate | \$2,275 | \$(1,959) | \$(1,026) |
| Tax-exempt income | (563) | (545) | (512) |
| Nondeductible interest expense | 21 | 31 | 46 |
| Bank owned life insurance | (107) | (105) | (105) |
| Nondeductible other expenses and other, net | 29 | 21 | 25 |
| Low income housing credits | (10) | - | (46) |
| Actual provision (credit) for income taxes | \$1,645 | \$(2,557) | \$(1,618) |

11.

RETIREMENT PLAN

The Company has a defined contribution profit sharing 401(k) plan covering substantially all of its employees. The plan is subject to the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). Contributions to the plan approximated \$0.3 million in 2011 and 2010 and \$0.4 million in 2009, respectively.

12.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table represents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Carrying amount | Estimated fair value | Carrying amount | Estimated fair value |
| Financial assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$52,165 | \$52,165 | \$22,967 | \$22,967 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | 389 | 431 | 490 | 538 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 108,154 | 108,154 | 82,941 | 82,941 |
| FHLB Stock | 3,699 | 3,699 | 4,542 | 4,542 |
| Loans and leases | 398,186 | 400,100 | 407,903 | 402,174 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 4,537 | 4,661 | 213 | 217 |
| Accrued interest | 2,082 | 2,082 | 2,229 | 2,229 |
| Financial liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposit liabilities | 515,802 | 513,729 | 482,448 | 478,721 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Short-term borrowings | 9,507 | 9,507 | 8,548 | 8,548 |
| Long-term debt | 21,000 | 24,272 | 21,000 | 23,956 |
| Accrued interest | 293 | 293 | 440 | 440 |

The accounting guidelines establish a framework for measuring and disclosing information about fair value measurements.

The guidelines of fair value reporting instituted a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs used to measure fair value.

This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument;

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on the Company's own assumptions to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. Level 3 pricing for securities may also include unobservable inputs based upon broker-traded transactions.

A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Company uses fair value to measure certain assets and, if necessary, liabilities on a recurring basis when fair value is the primary measure for accounting. Thus, the Company uses fair value for AFS securities. Fair value is used on a non-recurring basis to measure certain assets when adjusting carrying values to market values, such as impaired loans and other real estate owned.

The following tables illustrate the financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis segregated by hierarchy fair value levels as of the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Total carrying value December 31, 2011 | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | \$ 25,873 | \$ - | \$ 25,873 | \$ - |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 30,159 | - | 30,159 | - |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 1,466 | - | - | 1,466 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 50,217 | - | 50,217 | - |
| Equity securities - financial services | 439 | 439 | - | - |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 108,154 | \$ 439 | \$ 106,249 | \$ 1,466 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Total carrying value December 31, 2010 | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Agency - GSE | \$16,288 | \$ - | \$ 16,288 | \$ - |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 24,171 | - | 24,171 | - |
| Corporate bonds: | | | | |
| Pooled trust preferred securities | 1,453 | - | - | 1,453 |
| MBS - GSE residential | 40,553 | - | 40,553 | - |
| Equity securities - financial services | 476 | 476 | - | - |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$82,941 | \$ 476 | \$ 81,012 | \$ 1,453 |

Equity securities in the AFS portfolio are measured at fair value using quoted market prices for identical assets and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Other than the Company's investment in corporate bonds,

consisting of pooled trust preferred securities, all other debt securities in the AFS portfolio are measured at fair value using market quotations provided by a third-party vendor, who is a provider of financial market data, analytics and related services to financial institutions. Assets classified as Level 2 use valuation techniques that are common to bond valuations. That is, in active markets whereby bonds of similar characteristics frequently trade, quotes for similar assets are obtained. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011, there were no transfers to and from Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements for financial assets measured on a recurring basis.

The Company's pooled trust preferred securities include both observable and unobservable inputs to determine fair value and, therefore, are considered Level 3 inputs. The accounting topics related to fair value measurement provides guidance on estimating fair value when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased in relation to normal market activity such as is the case with the Company's investment in pooled trust preferred securities. The requirements of fair value measurement also call for additional disclosures on fair value measurements and provide additional guidance on circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly.

The following table illustrates the changes in Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis for the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | As of and for the year ended December 31, | |
|---|---|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,453 | \$ 5,242 |
| Realized losses in earnings | (246) | (11,836) |
| Unrealized gains (losses) in OCI: | | |
| Gains | 624 | 10,152 |
| Losses | (312) | (2,019) |
| Settlement | (79) | - |
| Interest paid in kind | 23 | - |
| Accretion | 3 | - |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, amortization, and accretion, net | - | (86) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,466 | \$ 1,453 |

The following table illustrates the financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis segregated by hierarchy fair value levels as of the periods indicated:

| (dollars in thousands) | Total carrying value at December 31, 2011 | Quoted prices in | Significant other | Significant other |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | active markets (Level 1) | observable inputs (Level 2) | unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 10,102 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,102 |
| Other real estate owned | 902 | - | - | 902 |
| Total | \$ 11,004 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,004 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Total carrying value at December 31, 2010 | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant other unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Impaired loans | \$ 4,453 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,453 |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|------|------|----------|
| Other real estate owned | 1,042 | - | - | 1,042 |
| Total | \$ 5,495 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,495 |

From time-to-time, the Company may be required to record at fair value financial instruments on a non-recurring basis, such as impaired loans and other real estate owned (ORE). These non-recurring fair value adjustments involve the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write downs of individual assets.

The following describes valuation methodologies used for financial instrument measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

A loan is considered impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that Company will be unable to collect all scheduled payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are written down to fair value through the establishment of specific reserves, a component of the allowance for loan losses, and as such are carried at the lower of net recorded investment or the estimated fair value. Estimates of fair value of the collateral is determined based on a variety of information, including available valuations from certified appraisers for similar assets, present value of discounted cash flows and inputs that are estimated based on commonly used and generally accepted industry liquidation advance rates and estimates and assumptions developed by management. Management's assumptions may include consideration of location and occupancy of the property and current economic conditions. Because of the multitude of assumptions, many of which are subjective in nature, and the varying inputs and techniques used by appraisers, the Company recognizes that valuations could differ across a wide spectrum of valuation techniques employed and accordingly, fair value estimates for impaired loans are classified as Level 3.

The fair value for ORE is estimated in the same manner as impaired loans and, as such, is also classified as Level 3. As these properties are actively marketed, the estimated fair values may be periodically adjusted through incremental subsequent write-downs to reflect decreases in estimated values resulting from sales price observations and the impact of changing economic and market conditions.

Management of the Company concluded that the inputs used to determine fair value of its non-recurring financial instruments, including valuations received from third party certified appraisers, industry standard liquidation rates and other subjective inputs from management, contain a number of assumptions that are unobservable in the marketplace. Though management has not altered the methodology to determine fair value, for comparative purposes the amounts indicated as Level 2 inputs as of December 31, 2010 were reclassified as Level 3 inputs.

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Company's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments. Because of the nature of these instruments, the fair values of these off-balance sheet items are not material.

The notional amount of the Company's financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk was as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Off-balance sheet financial instruments: | | |
| Commitments to extend credit | \$78,983 | \$78,765 |
| Standby letters of credit | 9,134 | 8,743 |

Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit

The Company's exposure to credit loss from nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it

does for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are legally binding agreements to lend to customers. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of fees. Since commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's credit-worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if considered necessary by the Company on extension of credit, is based on management's credit assessment of the customer.

Financial standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are issued primarily to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The Company's performance under the guarantee is required upon presentation by the beneficiary of the financial standby letter of credit. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Company was not required to recognize any liability in connection with the issuance of these financial standby letters of credit.

The following table summarizes outstanding financial letters of credit as of December 31, 2011:

| (dollars in thousands) | Less than one year | More than one year to five years | Over five years | Total |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---------|
| Secured by: | | | | |
| Collateral | \$ 6,746 | \$ 380 | \$ 669 | \$7,795 |
| Bank lines of credit | 733 | - | - | 733 |
| | 7,479 | 380 | 669 | 8,528 |
| Unsecured | 82 | 524 | - | 606 |
| Total | \$ 7,561 | \$ 904 | \$ 669 | \$9,134 |

The Company has not incurred losses on its commitments in 2011, 2010 or 2009.

13. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings (loss) per share (EPS) is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed in the same manner as basic EPS but reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options to issue additional common stock were exercised, which would then result in additional stock outstanding to share in or dilute the earnings of the Company. The Company maintains two share-based compensation plans that may generate additional potentially dilutive common shares. Generally, dilution would occur if Company-issued stock options were exercised and converted into common stock. There were no potentially dilutive shares outstanding as of December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

In the computation of diluted EPS, the Company uses the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive effect of its granted but unexercised stock options. Under this method, the assumed proceeds received from shares issued, in a hypothetical stock option exercise, are assumed to be used to purchase treasury stock. Pursuant to the accounting guidance for earnings per share, proceeds include: proceeds from the exercise of outstanding stock options; compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized; and any “windfall” tax benefits that would be credited directly to shareholders’ equity when the grant generates a tax deduction (or a reduction in proceeds if there is a charge to equity). For a further discussion on the Company’s stock plans, see Note 9, “Stock Plans”, above.

The following data illustrates the data used in computing earnings per share and the effects on income and the weighted-average number of shares of potentially dilutive common stock for the years indicated:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| (dollars in thousands except per share data) | | | |
| Basic EPS: | | | |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 2,213,631 | 2,141,323 | 2,080,507 |
| Basic EPS | \$2.28 | \$(1.50) | \$(0.67) |
| Diluted EPS: | | | |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding | 2,213,631 | 2,141,323 | 2,080,507 |
| Diluted potential common shares | - | - | - |
| Weighted-average common shares and dilutive potential shares outstanding | 2,213,631 | 2,141,323 | 2,080,507 |
| Diluted EPS | \$2.28 | \$(1.50) | \$(0.67) |

14. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Under these guidelines, assets and certain off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets. For the Company in 2011 and 2010, the appropriate risk-weighting pursuant to regulatory guidelines, required a gross-up in the risk-weighting of securities that were rated below investment grade, thus significantly inflating the total risk-weighted assets. This requirement had an adverse impact on the total capital and Tier I capital ratios in both 2011 and 2010. The guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk-based capital to total risk-weighted assets (Total Risk Adjusted Capital) of 8%, including Tier I capital to total risk-weighted assets (Tier I Capital) of 4% and Tier I capital to average total assets (Leverage Ratio) of at least 4%. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company and the Bank exceeded all capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject.

To be categorized as well capitalized, the Company and the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. The Company's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are also presented in the table. No amounts were deducted from capital for interest-rate risk in either 2011 or 2010.

| (dollars in thousands) | Actual | | For capital adequacy purposes | | To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions | |
|--|-----------|-------|-------------------------------|--------|--|---------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of December 31, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 58,612 | 13.0% | ≥ \$36,153 | ≥ 8.0% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 58,403 | 12.9% | ≥ \$36,141 | ≥ 8.0% | ≥ \$45,176 | ≥ 10.0% |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 52,867 | 11.7% | ≥ \$18,077 | ≥ 4.0% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 52,725 | 11.7% | ≥ \$18,070 | ≥ 4.0% | ≥ \$27,106 | ≥ 6.0% |
| Tier I capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 52,867 | 8.7% | ≥ \$24,347 | ≥ 4.0% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 52,725 | 8.7% | ≥ \$24,331 | ≥ 4.0% | ≥ \$30,414 | ≥ 5.0% |
| As of December 31, 2010: | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 54,155 | 11.9% | ≥ \$36,516 | ≥ 8.0% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 53,810 | 11.8% | ≥ \$36,506 | ≥ 8.0% | ≥ \$45,632 | ≥ 10.0% |
| Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 48,351 | 10.6% | ≥ \$18,258 | ≥ 4.0% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 48,078 | 10.5% | ≥ \$18,253 | ≥ 4.0% | ≥ \$27,379 | ≥ 6.0% |
| Tier I capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 48,351 | 8.2% | ≥ \$23,601 | ≥ 4.0% | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | \$ 48,078 | 8.2% | ≥ \$23,584 | ≥ 4.0% | ≥ \$29,480 | ≥ 5.0% |

The Bank can pay dividends to the Company equal to the Bank's retained earnings which approximated \$45.7 million at December 31, 2011. However, such dividends are limited due to the capital requirements discussed above.

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

During the ordinary course of business, loans are made to executive officers, directors, greater than 5% shareholders and associates of such persons. These transactions are executed on substantially the same terms and at the rates prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with others. These loans do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavorable features. A summary of loan activity with officers, directors, associates of such persons and shareholders who own more than 5% of the Company's outstanding shares is as follows:

| | Years ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| (dollars in thousands) | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance, beginning | \$2,143 | \$6,829 | \$8,054 |
| Additions | 804 | 1,030 | 531 |
| Collections | (1,072) | (5,716) | (1,756) |
| Balance, ending | \$1,875 | \$2,143 | \$6,829 |

Aggregate loans to directors and associates exceeding 2.5% of shareholders' equity included in the table above are as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Number of persons | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Balance, beginning | \$1,866 | \$6,605 | \$7,578 |
| Additions | 39 | 706 | 106 |
| Collections | (361) | (5,445) | (1,079) |
| Balance, ending | \$1,544 | \$1,866 | \$6,605 |

As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, deposits from executive officers, directors and associates of such persons approximated \$10.7 million, \$8.5 million and \$6.9 million, respectively.

16. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following is a summary of quarterly results of operations for the years indicated:

| (dollars in thousands except per share data) | 2011 | | | | Total |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| | First quarter | Second quarter | Third quarter | Fourth quarter | |
| Interest income | \$6,550 | \$6,597 | \$6,376 | \$6,080 | \$25,603 |
| Interest expense | (1,316) | (1,279) | (1,128) | (1,038) | (4,761) |
| Net interest income | 5,234 | 5,318 | 5,248 | 5,042 | 20,842 |
| Provision for loan losses | (475) | (375) | (500) | (450) | (1,800) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | 1 | 15 | 13 | 34 | 63 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | (75) | - | (5) | (166) | (246) |
| Other income | 1,412 | 1,383 | 1,464 | 1,616 | 5,875 |
| Other expenses | (4,490) | (4,621) | (4,444) | (4,489) | (18,044) |
| Income before taxes | 1,607 | 1,720 | 1,776 | 1,587 | 6,690 |
| Provision for income taxes | (380) | (431) | (449) | (385) | (1,645) |
| Net income | \$1,227 | \$1,289 | \$1,327 | \$1,202 | \$5,045 |
| Net income per share | \$0.56 | \$0.59 | \$0.59 | \$0.54 | \$2.28 |

| 2010 | | | |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| First | Second | Third | Fourth |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| (dollars in thousands except per share data) | quarter | quarter | quarter | quarter | Total |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Interest income | \$7,041 | \$6,970 | \$6,954 | \$6,615 | \$27,580 |
| Interest expense | (1,882) | (1,753) | (1,681) | (1,511) | (6,827) |
| Net interest income | 5,159 | 5,217 | 5,273 | 5,104 | 20,753 |
| Provision for loan losses | (575) | (300) | (375) | (835) | (2,085) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | - | - | - | 2 | 2 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | (79) | (676) | (1,749) | (9,332) | (11,836) |
| Other income | 1,225 | 1,316 | 1,479 | 1,404 | 5,424 |
| Other expenses | (5,105) | (4,694) | (4,318) | (3,901) | (18,018) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 625 | 863 | 310 | (7,558) | (5,760) |
| (Provision) credit for income taxes | (69) | (144) | 45 | 2,724 | 2,556 |
| Net income (loss) | \$556 | \$719 | \$355 | \$(4,834) | \$(3,204) |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$0.26 | \$0.34 | \$0.16 | \$(2.26) | \$(1.50) |

| (dollars in thousands except per share data) | 2009 | | | | Total |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| | First quarter | Second quarter | Third quarter | Fourth quarter | |
| Interest income | \$7,827 | \$7,456 | \$7,454 | \$7,173 | \$29,910 |
| Interest expense | (3,002) | (2,715) | (3,033) | (2,047) | (10,797) |
| Net interest income | 4,825 | 4,741 | 4,421 | 5,126 | 19,113 |
| Provision for loan losses | (425) | (300) | (3,125) | (1,200) | (5,050) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | - | - | - | 11 | 11 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | (326) | (1) | (2,432) | (542) | (3,301) |
| Other income | 1,639 | 1,459 | 1,139 | 1,213 | 5,450 |
| Other expenses | (4,662) | (4,739) | (5,109) | (4,731) | (19,241) |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 1,051 | 1,160 | (5,106) | (123) | (3,018) |
| (Provision) credit for income taxes | (226) | (248) | 1,895 | 197 | 1,618 |
| Net income (loss) | \$825 | \$912 | \$(3,211) | \$74 | \$(1,400) |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$0.40 | \$0.44 | \$(1.55) | \$0.04 | \$(0.67) |

17.**CONTINGENCIES**

The nature of the Company's business generates litigation involving matters arising in the ordinary course of business. However, in the opinion of management of the Company after consulting with the Company's legal counsel, no legal proceedings are pending, which, if determined adversely to the Company or the Bank, would have a material effect on the Company's shareholders' equity or results of operations. No legal proceedings are pending other than ordinary routine litigation incident to the business of the Company and the Bank. In addition, to management's knowledge, no government authorities have initiated or contemplated any material legal actions against the Company or the Bank.

18.**RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued and the Company adopted the new accounting update related to a creditor's determination of whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). The update provides additional guidance to creditors for evaluating whether a modification or restructuring of a receivable is a TDR. The new guidance requires creditors to: evaluate modifications and restructurings of receivables using a more principles-based approach, which may result in more modifications and restructurings being considered TDRs; consider the receivable impaired when calculating the allowance for loan losses and provide additional disclosures about the TDR activities in accordance with the requirements of the recently adopted guidance related to disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. This update is effective retrospectively to January 1, 2011 for public companies with disclosures in this third quarter of 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In 2011, the FASB issued guidance related to Transfers and Servicing: Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements, which applies to all public entities. The guidance affects all entities that enter into agreements to transfer financial assets that both entitle and obligate the transferor to repurchase or redeem the

financial assets before their maturity. The amendments do not affect other transfers of financial assets. It removes from the assessment of effective control the criterion relating to the transferor's ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. Consequently, it also eliminates the requirement to demonstrate that the transferor possesses adequate collateral to fund substantially all the cost of purchasing replacement financial assets. Eliminating the transferor's ability criterion and related implementation guidance from an entity's assessment of effective control should improve the accounting for repos and other similar transactions. This pronouncement is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011 and is to be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of the new accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In 2011, the FASB issued an accounting update related to Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. This update amends existing Fair Value Measurements, to bring U.S. GAAP for fair value measurements in line with International Accounting Standards. The update clarifies existing guidance for items such as: the application of the highest and best use concept to non-financial assets and liabilities; the application of fair value measurement to financial instruments classified in a reporting entity's stockholder's equity; and disclosure requirements regarding quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements of level 3 assets. The guidance also creates an exception to existing guidance for entities which carry financial instruments within a portfolio or group, under which the entity is now permitted to base the price used for fair valuation upon a price that would be received to sell the net asset position or transfer a net liability position in an orderly transaction. The guidance also allows for the application of premiums and discounts in a fair value measurement if the financial instrument is categorized in level 2 or 3 of the fair value hierarchy. It also contains new disclosure requirements regarding fair value amounts categorized as level 3 in the fair value hierarchy such as: disclosure of the valuation process used; effects of and relationships between unobservable inputs; usage of nonfinancial assets for purposes other than their highest and best use when that is the basis of the disclosed fair value; and categorization by level of items disclosed at fair value, but not measured at fair value for financial statement purposes. For public entities, this update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the new accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting update related to, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The provisions of this update amend the accounting topic to facilitate the continued alignment of U.S. GAAP with International Accounting Standards. The update prohibits the presentation of the components of comprehensive income in the statement of stockholder's equity. Reporting entities are allowed to present either: a statement of comprehensive income, which reports both net income and other comprehensive income; or separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. Under previous GAAP, all 3 presentations were acceptable. Regardless of the presentation selected, the reporting entity is required to present all reclassifications between other comprehensive and net income on the face of the new statement or statements. The provisions of this update are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 31, 2011 for public entities. As the two remaining options for presentation existed prior to this update, early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this accounting update is not expected to have an impact on our financial statements.

In December, 2011, the FASB issued an update for the, Deferral of the Effective Date to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in the earlier update. In response to stakeholder concerns regarding the operational ramifications of the presentation of these reclassifications for current and previous years, the FASB has deferred the implementation date of this provision to allow time for further consideration. The other requirements related to presentation of comprehensive income, for the presentation of a combined statement of comprehensive income or separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income is still effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011.

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

The following is the condensed financial information for Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. on a parent company only basis as of and for the years indicated:

| Condensed Balance Sheets (dollars in thousands) | As of December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash | \$2 | \$2 |
| Investment in subsidiary | 53,253 | 46,356 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 439 | 476 |
| Other assets | - | 13 |
| Total | \$53,694 | \$46,847 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity: | | |
| Liabilities | \$70 | \$73 |
| Shareholders' equity | 53,624 | 46,774 |
| Total | \$53,694 | \$46,847 |

Edgar Filing: FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC - Form 10-K

| Condensed Income Statements (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income: | | | |
| Equity in undistributed earnings (loss) of subsidiary | \$4,184 | \$(4,246) | \$(2,684) |
| Dividends from subsidiary | 1,043 | 1,206 | 1,439 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | 27 | | |
| Other income | 19 | 17 | 19 |
| | | | |
| Total income (loss) | 5,273 | (3,023) | (1,226) |
| | | | |
| Operating expenses | 317 | 268 | 258 |
| | | | |
| Income (loss) before taxes | 4,956 | (3,291) | (1,484) |
| | | | |
| Credit for income taxes | 89 | 87 | 84 |
| | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) |

| Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (dollars in thousands) | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$5,045 | \$(3,204) | \$(1,400) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operations: | | | |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiary | (5,228) | 3,040 | 1,245 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 24 | 7 | 5 |
| Gain on sale of investment securities | (27) | - | - |
| Changes in other assets and liabilities, net | 14 | (14) | 27 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (172) | (171) | (123) |
| | | | |
| Cash flows provided by investing activities: | | | |
| Dividends received from subsidiary | 1,043 | 1,207 | 1,439 |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities | 55 | - | - |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 1,098 | 1,207 | 1,439 |
| | | | |
| Cash flows used in financing activities: | | | |
| Dividends paid, net of dividend reinvestment | (1,478) | (1,453) | (1,255) |
| Cash contributions from dividend reinvestment plan | 485 | 305 | - |
| Purchase of treasury stock | - | - | (57) |
| Withholdings to purchase capital stock | 67 | 67 | 41 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (926) | (1,081) | (1,271) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash | - | (45) | 45 |
| | | | |
| Cash, beginning | 2 | 47 | 2 |
| | | | |
| Cash, ending | \$2 | \$2 | \$47 |

Item 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

87

Item 9A: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, an evaluation was carried out by the Company's management, with the participation of its President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on such evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files or furnishes under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations, and are effective. The Company made no changes in its internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these controls during the last fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, provides smaller companies and debt-only issuers with a permanent exemption from the Sarbanes-Oxley internal control audit requirements. Without this exemption, these companies would have been required to comply with the internal control audit requirements for fiscal years ended on or after June 15, 2010. The permanent exemption applies to entities that are commonly referred to as non-accelerated filers and smaller reporting companies, such as the Company. Generally speaking, a non-accelerated filer and a smaller reporting company have public float, or market capitalization of less than \$75.0 million. The permanent exemption applies only to the Sarbanes-Oxley internal control audit requirements. Non-accelerated filers and smaller reporting companies are still required to disclose management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, and implemented in conjunction with management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any internal control, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even effective internal control can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Further, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of internal control may vary over time.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. This assessment was based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework," issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2011, the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Item 9B: OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

Item 10: DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required in this item is incorporated by reference herein, to the information presented in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The information required in this item is incorporated by reference herein, to the information presented in the Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the SEC.

Code of Ethics

Pursuant to Item 406 of Regulation S-K, the Company adopted a written code of ethics that applies to our directors, officers and employees, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, which is available on our website at <http://www.bankatfidelity.com> through the Investor Relations link and then under the headings “Other Information”, “Governance Documents.” In addition, copies of our code of ethics will be provided to shareholders upon written request to Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., Blakely and Drinker Streets, Dunmore, PA 18512 at no charge.

Item 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required in this item is incorporated by reference herein, to the information presented in the Company’s definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC.

Item 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required in this item is incorporated by reference herein, to the information presented in the Company’s definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC.

Item 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required in this item is set forth in Footnote No. 15 “Related Party Transactions”, of Part II, Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data”, and the information required by Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K is incorporated by reference herein to the information presented in the Company’s definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC.

Item 14: PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference herein, to the information presented in the Company’s definitive Proxy Statement for its 2012 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC.

PART IV

Item 15: EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1) Financial Statements - The following financial statements are included by reference in Part II, Item 8 hereof:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets
Consolidated Statements of Income
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial Statement Schedules are omitted because the required information is either not applicable, the data is not significant or the required information is shown in the respective financial statements or in the notes thereto or elsewhere herein.

(3) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated by reference as a part of this Form 10-K:

3(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Annex B of the Proxy Statement/Prospectus included in Registrant's Amendment 4 to its Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on April 6, 2000.

3(ii) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 21, 2007.

***10.1 1998 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999.

***10.2 1998 Stock Incentive Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999.

***10.3 Registrant's 2000 Dividend Reinvestment Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-152806 on Form S-3 filed on August 6, 2008 and by Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 on January 25, 2010.

***10.4 Registrant's 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001.

***10.5 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant's 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007.

***10.6 Registrant's 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001.

***10.7 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant's 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007.

***10.8 Registrant's 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Definitive proxy Statement filed with the SEC on March 28, 2002.

***10.9 Change of Control Agreement with Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated March 21, 2006.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2006.

***10.10 Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Daniel J. Santaniello, dated March 23, 2011.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2011.

***10.11 Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Timothy P. O'Brien, dated March 23, 2011.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2011.

***10.12 Change in Control and Severance Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and John T. Piszak, dated March 23, 2011.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2011.

11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. Included herein in Note 13 "Earnings per Share", contained within the notes to consolidated financial statements, and incorporated herein by reference.

12 Statement regarding computation of ratios. Included herein in Item 6, "Selected Financial Data."

13 Annual Report to Shareholders. Incorporated by reference to the 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders filed with the SEC on Form ARS.

14 Code of Ethics. Incorporated by reference to the 2003 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2004.

21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

90

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer, filed herewith.

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer, filed herewith.

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

101 Interactive data files: The following, from Fidelity D&D Bancorp, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, is formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010; Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. **

(b) The exhibits required to be filed by this Item are listed under Item 15(a) 3, above.

(c) Not applicable.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the interactive data files in Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: March 20, 2012 By: /s/ Daniel J. Santaniello
Daniel J. Santaniello,
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 20, 2012 By: /s/ Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr.
Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr.,
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following person on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

DATE

By: /s/ Daniel j. Santaniello March 20, 2012
Daniel J. Santaniello, President
and Chief
Executive Officer

By: /s/ Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr. March 20, 2012
Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr.,
Treasurer
and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Patrick J. Dempsey March 20, 2012
Patrick J. Dempsey, Chairman of
the
Board of Directors and Director

By: /s/ John T. Cognetti March 20, 2012
John T. Cognetti, Secretary and
Director

By: /s/ Michael J. McDonald March 20, 2012
Michael J. McDonald, Vice
Chairman
of the Board of Directors and
Director

By: /s/ David L. Tressler March 20, 2012
David L. Tressler, Director

By: /s/ Mary E. McDonald March 20, 2012
Mary E. McDonald, Assistant
Secretary and Director

By: /s/ Brian J. Cali March 20, 2012
Brian J. Cali, Director

Exhibit Index

Page

- 3(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant.** Incorporated by reference to Annex B of the Proxy Statement/Prospectus included in Registrant's Amendment 4 to its Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on April 6, 2000. *
- 3(ii) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 21, 2007. *
- 10.1 1998 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999. *
- 10.2 1998 Stock Incentive Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999. *
- 10.3 Registrant's 2000 Dividend Reinvestment Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-152806 on Form S-3 filed on August 6, 2008 and by Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 on January 25, 2010. *
- 10.4 Registrant's 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001. *
- 10.5 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant's 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007. *
- 10.6 Registrant's 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001. *
- 10.7 Amendment, dated October 2, 2007, to the Registrant's 2000 Stock Incentive Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 4, 2007. *
- 10.8 Registrant's 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit Appendix A to Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on March 28, 2002. *
- 10.9 Change of Control Agreement with Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated March 21, 2006.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2006. *
- 10.10 Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Daniel J. Santaniello, dated March 23, 2011.** Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2011. *
- 10.11 Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Timothy P. O'Brien, dated March 23, 2011.** Incorporated by

reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2011.

10.12 Change in Control and Severance Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and John T. Piszak, dated March 23, 2011. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit * 99.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2011.

| | |
|---|----|
| 11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. Included herein Note 13, “Earnings per Share”, contained within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and incorporated herein by reference. | 82 |
| 12 Statement regarding computation of ratios. Included herein in Item 6, “Selected Financial Data”. | 15 |
| 13 Annual Report to Shareholders. Incorporated by reference to the 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders filed with the SEC on Form ARS. | * |
| 14 Code of Ethics. Incorporated by reference to the 2003 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 29, 2004. | * |
| 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant. | 95 |
| 23 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. | 96 |
| 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer. | 97 |
| 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer. | 98 |
| 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | 99 |
| 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | 99 |
| | |
| 101 Interactive data files: The following, from Fidelity D&D Bancorp, Inc.’s. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, is formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010; Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders’ Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009; and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. | |

*Incorporated by Reference