

ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC.  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2010  
United States

Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2010

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Transition Period From \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number 0-10593

ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 11-2481903  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1450 Broadway, New York, NY 10018  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 730-0030  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Non -  
accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)  
Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of Common Stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value – 72,301,741 shares as of August 3, 2010.

---

## INDEX

## FORM 10-Q

## Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

	Page No.
Part I. Financial Information	3
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009	3
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Income Statements – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity – Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	5
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	6
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	32
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	32
Part II. Other Information	33
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	33
Item 1A. Risk Factors	33
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 6. Exhibits	37
Signatures	38

## Part I. Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements

Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(in thousands, except par value)

	June 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2009
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current Assets:</b>		
Cash (including restricted cash of \$3,009 in 2010 and \$6,163 in 2009)	\$ 60,236	\$ 201,544
Accounts receivable	68,507	62,667
Deferred income tax assets	2,173	1,886
Other assets - current	23,823	14,549
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>154,739</b>	<b>280,646</b>
<b>Property and equipment:</b>		
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	12,492	9,060
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(3,255)	(2,611)
	9,237	6,449
<b>Other Assets:</b>		
Restricted cash	15,866	15,866
Marketable securities	7,284	6,988
Goodwill	189,641	170,737
Trademarks and other intangibles, net	1,404,563	1,254,689
Deferred financing costs, net	3,956	4,803
Investments and joint ventures	58,026	36,568
Other assets – non-current	39,498	25,867
	1,718,834	1,515,518
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,882,810</b>	<b>\$ 1,802,613</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Current liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 28,910	\$ 24,446
Deferred revenue	19,921	14,802
Current portion of long-term debt	77,197	93,251
Other current liabilities	4,000	-
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>130,028</b>	<b>132,499</b>
Non-current deferred income taxes	127,648	117,090
Long-term debt, less current maturities	528,772	569,128
Long-term deferred revenue	11,032	11,831
Other liabilities	14,339	2,293
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>811,819</b>	<b>832,841</b>
<b>Commitments and contingencies</b>		
<b>Stockholders' Equity</b>		

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$.001 par value shares authorized 150,000; shares issued 73,535 and 72,759 respectively	74	73
Additional paid-in capital	740,839	725,504
Retained earnings	244,784	195,469
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3,602)	(4,032)
Less: Treasury stock – 1,246 and 1,219 shares at cost, respectively	(8,359)	(7,861)
Total Iconix Stockholders' Equity	973,736	909,153
Non-controlling interest	97,255	60,619
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,070,991	969,772
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 1,882,810	\$ 1,802,613

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Income Statements  
(in thousands, except earnings per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Licensing and other revenue	\$ 76,013	\$ 56,408	\$ 147,717	\$ 106,909
Selling, general and administrative expenses	26,369	17,368	48,687	33,638
Expenses related to specific litigation	201	83	207	137
Operating income	49,443	38,957	98,823	73,134
Other expenses				
Interest expense	10,672	10,111	21,701	20,549
Interest and other income	(724)	(572)	(1,778)	(1,175)
Equity earnings on joint ventures	(1,104)	(770)	(2,217)	(807)
Other expenses - net	8,844	8,769	17,706	18,567
Income before income taxes	40,599	30,188	81,117	54,567
Provision for income taxes	14,480	10,897	28,544	19,627
Net income	\$ 26,119	\$ 19,291	\$ 52,573	\$ 34,940
Less: Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	\$ 1,578	\$ -	\$ 3,258	\$ -
Net income attributable to Iconix Brand Group, Inc.	\$ 24,541	\$ 19,291	\$ 49,315	\$ 34,940
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.58
Diluted	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.56
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:				
Basic	72,169	62,467	71,855	60,044
Diluted	74,749	65,060	74,589	62,765

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

(in thousands)

	Common Shares	Stock Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Non- Controlling Interest	Total
Balance at January 1, 2010	72,759	\$ 73	\$ 725,504	\$ 195,469	\$ (4,032)	\$ (7,861)	\$ 60,619	\$ 969,772
Shares issued on exercise of stock options	104	-	700	-	-	-	-	700
Shares issued on vesting of restricted stock	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shares issued for earn-out on acquisition	597	1	9,688	-	-	-	-	9,689
Tax benefit of stock option exercises	-	-	315	-	-	-	-	315
Compensation expense in connection with restricted stock and stock options	-	-	4,632	-	-	-	-	4,632
Shares repurchased on vesting of restricted stock and exercise of stock options	-	-	-	-	-	(498)	-	(498)
Comprehensive income:								
Net income	-	-	-	49,315	-	-	3,258	52,573
Realization of cash flow hedge, net of tax	-	-	-	-	134	-	-	134
Change in fair value of securities, net of tax	-	-	-	-	296	-	-	296
Total comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53,003
Non-controlling interest of acquired	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,378	33,378

companies

Balance at June 30,

2010	73,535	\$	74	\$	740,839	\$	244,784	\$	(3,602)	\$	(8,359)	\$	97,255	\$	1,070,991
------	--------	----	----	----	---------	----	---------	----	---------	----	---------	----	--------	----	-----------

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

---



## Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 52,573	\$ 34,940
Depreciation of property and equipment	644	672
Amortization of trademarks and other intangibles	4,224	3,563
Amortization of deferred financing costs	1,142	1,161
Amortization of convertible note discount	7,345	6,761
Stock-based compensation expense	4,632	3,327
Change in non-controlling interest	-	(271)
Allowance for doubtful accounts	1,983	542
Accrued interest on long-term debt	(1,611)	237
(Earnings) loss on equity investment in joint venture	(2,217)	(536)
Realization of cash flow hedge	134	106
Deferred income taxes	9,218	11,259
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of business acquisitions:</b>		
Accounts receivable	1,639	(11,397)
Other assets - current	(3,253)	969
Other assets	3,869	560
Deferred revenue	(7,546)	(1,189)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	9,711	(8,826)
Net cash provided by operating activities	82,487	41,878
<b>Cash flows used in investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(649)	(20)
Additions to trademarks	(18)	(68)
Acquisition of interest in Hardy Way	-	(9,000)
Acquisition of interest in MG Icon	(4,000)	-
Acquisition of Peanuts Worldwide	(172,054)	-
Payment of expenses related to acquisitions	(1,160)	-
Distributions to equity partners	1,919	-
Earn-out payment on acquisition	(799)	(9,400)
Net cash used in investing activities	(176,761)	(18,488)
<b>Cash flows used in financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of new stock, net of cost	-	152,787
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	700	2,638
Shares repurchased on vesting of restricted stock and exercise of stock options	(498)	(232)
Shares repurchased on the open market	-	(1,455)
Payment of long-term debt	(64,051)	(49,584)
Non-controlling interest contribution	16,500	2,066
Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements	315	3,302
Restricted cash - current	3,154	(4,372)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(43,880)	105,150
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(138,154)	128,540
Cash, beginning of period	195,381	66,404

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Cash, end of period	\$	57,227	194,944
Balance of restricted cash - current		3,009	5,247
Total cash including current restricted cash, end of period	\$	60,236	200,191

6

---

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>Cash paid during the period:</b>		
Income taxes	\$ 12,491	\$ 5,332
Interest	\$ 10,839	\$ 11,917

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>Acquisitions:</b>		
Common stock issued	\$ 9,689	\$ 8,000

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Iconix Brand Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
June 30, 2010

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management of Iconix Brand Group, Inc. (the "Company", "we", "us", or "our"), all adjustments (consisting primarily of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months ("Current Quarter") and six months ("Current Six Months") ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full fiscal year.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 ("2009").

2. Investments and Joint Ventures

Scion

Scion LLC ("Scion") is a brand management and licensing company formed by the Company with Shawn "Jay-Z" Carter in March 2007 to buy, create and develop brands across a spectrum of consumer product categories. On November 7, 2007, Scion, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Artful Holdings LLC ("Artful Holdings"), purchased Artful Dodger, an exclusive, high end urban apparel brand for a purchase price of \$15.0 million. The Artful Dodger brand is currently licensed to Roc Apparel Group LLC ("Roc Apparel") in the United States and its territories and has been licensed to wholesale partners and distributors in Canada and Europe.

At inception, the Company determined that it would consolidate Scion since, under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 810 "Consolidation", the Company is the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity.

In March 2009, the Company, through its investment in Scion, effectively acquired a 16.6% interest in Roc Apparel, its licensee for the Roc Apparel and Artful Dodger brands, for \$1. The Company has determined that Roc Apparel is a variable interest entity as defined by ASC Topic 810. However, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The investment in Roc Apparel is accounted for under the cost method of accounting. As part of the transaction, the Company and its Scion partner each contributed approximately \$2.1 million to Scion, totaling approximately \$4.1 million, which was deposited as cash collateral under the terms of Roc Apparel's financing agreements. During the Current Quarter, approximately \$3.3 million of the collateral was released to Scion and distributed to the Scion members equally. The remaining \$0.8 million of cash collateral, which is owned by Scion, is included as short-term restricted cash in the Company's balance sheet. During the Current Quarter and the Current Six Months, the Company recognized approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million in dividends from its investment in Roc Apparel, respectively, which is included in interest and other income in the unaudited condensed consolidated income statement.

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued guidance under ASC Topic 810 regarding non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. This guidance requires the recognition of a non-controlling interest (formerly known as a “minority interest”) as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent’s equity.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the carrying value of the consolidated assets that are collateral for the variable interest entity’s obligations total \$13.1 million and \$13.7 million, respectively, which is comprised of the Artful Dodger trademark.

#### Iconix China

In September 2008, the Company and Novel Fashions Holdings Limited (“Novel”) formed a joint venture (“Iconix China”) to develop and market the Company’s brands in the People’s Republic of China, Hong Kong, Macau and Taiwan (the “China Territory”). Pursuant to the terms of this transaction, the Company contributed to Iconix China substantially all rights to its brands in the China Territory and committed to contribute an additional \$5.0 million, and Novel committed to contribute \$20 million. Upon closing of the transaction, the Company contributed \$2.0 million and Novel contributed \$8.0 million. In September 2009, the parties amended the terms of the transaction documents to eliminate the obligation of the Company to make any additional contributions and to reduce Novel’s remaining contribution commitment to \$9.0 million, payable as follows: \$4.0 million payable on or prior to August 1, 2010, \$3.0 million payable on or prior to June 1, 2011, and \$2.0 million payable on or prior to June 1, 2012. Each of these payments is subject to reduction by mutual agreement of the parties.

At inception, the Company determined, in accordance with ASC Topic 810, based on the corporate structure, voting rights and contributions of the Company and Novel, Iconix China is a variable interest entity and not subject to consolidation, as, under ASC Topic 810, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of Iconix China. The Company has recorded its investment under the equity method of accounting.

#### Iconix Latin America

In December 2008, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its brands in Mexico, Central America, South America and the Caribbean (the “Latin America Territory”) to Iconix Latin America LLC (“Iconix Latin America”), a then newly formed subsidiary of the Company. On December 29, 2008, New Brands America LLC (“New Brands”), an affiliate of the Falic Group, purchased a 50% interest in Iconix Latin America. In consideration for its 50% interest in Iconix Latin America, New Brands agreed to pay \$6.0 million to the Company. New Brands paid \$1.0 million upon closing of this transaction and has committed to pay an additional \$5.0 million over the 30-month period following closing. As of June 30, 2010, the balance owed to the Company under this obligation is \$3.0 million, which is included in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet in other assets - current.

Based on the corporate structure, voting rights and contributions of the Company and New Brands, Iconix Latin America is not subject to consolidation. This conclusion was based on the Company’s determination that the entity met the criteria to be considered a “business,” and therefore was not subject to consolidation due to the “business scope exception” of ASC Topic 810. As such, the Company has recorded its investment under the equity method of accounting.

#### Hardy Way

In May 2009, the Company acquired a 50% interest in Hardy Way LLC (“Hardy Way”), the owner of the Ed Hardy brands and trademarks, for \$17.0 million, comprised of \$9.0 million in cash and 588,688 shares of the Company’s common stock valued at \$8.0 million. In addition, the sellers of the 50% interest received an additional \$1.0 million in shares of the Company’s common stock pursuant to an earn-out based on royalties received by Hardy Way for the year ending December 31, 2009.

Based on the corporate structure, voting rights and contributions of the Company and Hardy Way, Hardy Way is not subject to consolidation. This conclusion was based on the Company’s determination that the entity met the criteria to be considered a “business,” and therefore was not subject to consolidation due to the “business scope exception” of ASC Topic 810. As such, the Company has recorded its investment under the equity method of accounting.

#### IPH Unltd

In October 2009, the Company consummated, through a newly formed subsidiary, IP Holdings Unltd LLC (“IPH Unltd”), a transaction with the sellers of the Ecko portfolio of brands, including Ecko and Zoo York (the “Ecko Assets”), pursuant to which the sellers sold and/or contributed the Ecko Assets to the IPH Unltd joint venture in exchange for a 49% membership interest in IPH Unltd and \$63.5 million in cash which had been contributed to IPH Unltd by the Company. As a result of this transaction, the Company owns a 51% controlling membership interest in IPH Unltd. In addition, IPH Unltd borrowed \$90.0 million from a third party to repay certain indebtedness of the sellers (Note 5).

The following table is a reconciliation of cash paid to sellers and the fair value of the sellers non-controlling interest: (000’s omitted)

Cash paid to sellers	\$ 63,500
Fair value of 49% non-controlling interest to sellers	57,959
	121,459

The estimated fair value of the assets acquired, less long-term debt issued, is allocated as follows:  
(000's omitted)

Trademarks	\$ 203,515
License agreements	6,830
Non-compete agreement	400
Goodwill	714
Long-term debt issued	(90,000)
	\$ 121,459

ASC Topic 810 affirms that consolidation is appropriate when one entity has a controlling financial interest in another entity. The Company owns a 51% membership interest in IPH Unltd compared to the minority owner's 49% membership interest. Further, the Company believes that the voting and veto rights of the minority shareholder are merely protective in nature and does not provide them with substantive participating rights in IPH Unltd. As such, IPH Unltd is subject to consolidation by the Company, which is reflected in the Company's financial statements as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

In accordance with ASC Topic 810, the Company recognizes the non-controlling interest of IPH Unltd as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent's equity.

The Ecko and Zoo York trademarks have been determined by management to have an indefinite useful life and accordingly, consistent with ASC Topic 350, no amortization is being recorded in the Company's consolidated income statements. The goodwill and trademarks are subject to a test for impairment on an annual basis. Any adjustments resulting from the finalization of the purchase price allocations will affect the amount assigned to the Company's consolidated income statement. The \$0.7 million of goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes. The license agreements are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining contractual periods of approximately 1 to 9 years.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the carrying value of the consolidated assets that are collateral for the variable interest entity's obligations total \$209.8 million and \$210.3 million, respectively, which is comprised of trademarks and license agreements. The assets of the Company are not available to the variable interest entity's creditors.

#### Iconix Europe

In December 2009, the Company contributed substantially all rights to its brands in all member states and candidate states of the European Union and certain other European countries (the "European Territory") to Iconix Europe LLC, a newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company ("Iconix Europe"). Also in December 2009 and shortly after the formation of Iconix Europe, an investment group led by The Licensing Company and Albion Equity Partners LLC purchased a 50% interest in Iconix Europe through Brand Investments Vehicles Group 3 Limited ("BIV"), to assist the Company in developing, exploiting, marketing and licensing the Company's brands in the European Territory. In consideration for its 50% interest in Iconix Europe, BIV agreed to pay \$4.0 million, of which \$3.0 million was paid upon closing of this transaction in December 2009, the remaining \$1.0 million to be paid in December 2010, and is included in other assets - current on the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

At inception, the Company determined, in accordance with ASC 810, based on the corporate structure, voting rights and contributions of the Company and BIV, that Iconix Europe is not a variable interest entity and not subject to consolidation. The Company has recorded its investment under the equity method of accounting.



MG Icon

In March 2010, the Company acquired a 50% interest in MG Icon LLC (“MG Icon”), the owner of the Material Girl brands and trademarks and other rights associated with the artist, performer and celebrity known as "Madonna", from Purim LLC (“Purim”) for \$20.0 million, \$4.0 million of which was paid at closing. Of the remaining \$16.0 million owed to Purim, \$4.0 million is included in other current liabilities and \$12.0 million is included in other liabilities. In addition, Purim may be entitled to receive additional consideration pursuant to an earn-out based on certain qualitative criteria.

Based on the corporate structure, voting rights and contributions of the Company and MG Icon, MG Icon is not subject to consolidation. This conclusion was based on the Company’s determination that the entity met the criteria to be considered a “business,” and therefore was not subject to consolidation due to the “business scope exception” of ASC Topic 810. As such, the Company has recorded its investment under the equity method of accounting.

## Peanuts Holdings

On April 26, 2010, the Company entered into an interest purchase agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with United Feature Syndicate, Inc ("UFS") and The E.W. Scripps Company (the "Parent") (Parent and UFS, collectively, the "Sellers") to purchase all of the issued and outstanding interests ("Interests") of Peanuts Worldwide LLC (formerly known as Character Licensing, LLC), a newly formed Delaware limited liability company ("Peanuts Worldwide"), to which, prior to the closing of the acquisition, the Peanuts brand and related assets and certain other assets were contributed by UFS. On June 3, 2010 (the "Closing Date"), the Company assigned its right to buy all of the Interests to Peanuts Holdings LLC ("Peanuts Holdings"), a newly formed Delaware limited liability company and joint venture owned 80% by Icon Entertainment LLC ("IE"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, and 20% by Beagle Scout LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Beagle") owned by certain Schulz family trusts.

Further, on the Closing Date, IE and Beagle entered into an operating agreement with respect to Peanuts Holdings (the "Operating Agreement"). Pursuant to the Operating Agreement, the Company, through IE, and Beagle made capital contributions of \$141.0 million and \$34.0 million, respectively, in connection with the acquisition of Peanuts Worldwide. The Interests were then purchased for \$172.1 million in cash, as adjusted for acquired working capital (see below).

In connection with the Operating Agreement, the Company through IE, loaned \$17.5 million to Beagle (the "Beagle Note"), the proceeds of which were used to fund Beagle's capital contribution to Peanuts Holdings in connection with the acquisition of Peanuts Worldwide. The Beagle Note bears interest at 6% per annum, with minimum principal payable in equal annual installments of approximately \$2.2 million beginning June 3, 2011, with any remaining unpaid principal balance and accrued interest to be due on June 3, 2015, the Beagle Note maturity date. The Beagle Note is secured by the membership interest in Peanuts Holdings owned by Beagle. At June 30, 2010, the \$2.2 million current portion is included in other assets - current in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet and the \$15.3 million long term portion is included in other assets - non-current.

The cash paid to the Sellers and the estimated fair value of the assets acquired, less working capital assumed, is allocated as follows:

(000's omitted)

Cash paid to sellers by Iconix Brand Group, Inc.	\$ 138,054
Fair value of 20% non-controlling interest	33,378
	\$ 171,432
<b>Trademarks and Copyrights</b>	<b>\$ 153,000</b>
License agreements	1,080
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	2,783
Goodwill	17,515
Cash	1,494
Accounts receivable	7,169
Other assets - current	6,567
Deferred revenue	(9,685)
Accrued artist royalties	(7,823)
Other current liabilities	(668)
	\$ 171,432

ASC Topic 810 affirms that consolidation is appropriate when one entity has a controlling financial interest in another entity. The Company owns an 80% membership interest in Peanuts Holdings, compared to the minority owner's 20% membership interest. As such, Peanuts Holdings is subject to consolidation with the Company, which is reflected in the Company's financial statements as of June 30, 2010.

In accordance with ASC Topic 810, the Company recognizes the non-controlling interest of Peanuts Holdings as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent's equity. As such, for the Current Quarter and Current Six Months, the amount of net income attributable to the non-controlling interest is approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, and has been included in net income attributable to non-controlling interest in the unaudited condensed consolidated income statement.

The Peanuts trademarks and copyrights have been determined by management to have an indefinite useful life and accordingly, consistent with ASC Topic 350, no amortization is being recorded in the Company's consolidated income statements. The goodwill and trademarks are subject to a test for impairment on an annual basis. Any adjustments resulting from the finalization of the purchase price allocations will be recorded in the consolidated income statement. The \$17.5 million of goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes. The licensing agreements are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining contractual periods of approximately 1 to 5 years.

At June 30, 2010, the impact of consolidating Peanuts Holdings on the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet has increased current assets by \$16.6 million, non-current assets by \$174.3 million, current liabilities by \$15.3 million and total liabilities by \$15.3 million. For the Current Quarter, Peanuts Holdings had approximately \$5.3 million in revenue and \$4.6 million in operating expense. To date, the Company has incurred approximately \$1.5 million in transaction costs related to this acquisition, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the unaudited condensed consolidated income statements for the Current Quarter and the Current Six Months.

### 3. Fair Value Measurements

ASC Topic 820 "Fair Value Measurements", which the Company adopted on January 1, 2008, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires expanded disclosures about fair value measurement. While ASC 820 does not require any new fair value measurements in its application to other accounting pronouncements, it does emphasize that a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820 established the following fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs) and (2) the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs):

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 2: Other inputs that are observable directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities or market-corroborated inputs

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data and which requires the owner of the assets or liabilities to develop its own assumptions about how market participants would price these assets or liabilities

The valuation techniques that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

(A) Market approach - Uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities

(B) Income approach - Uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount based on current market expectations about those future amounts, including present value techniques, option-pricing models and excess earnings method

(C) Cost approach - Based on the amount that would currently be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement cost)

To determine the fair value of certain financial instruments, the Company relies on Level 2 inputs generated by market transactions of similar instruments where available, and Level 3 inputs using an income approach when Level 1 and

Level 2 inputs are not available. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of financial assets and financial liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy. The following table summarizes the instruments measured at fair value at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

12

---

Carrying Amount as of June 30, 2010 (000's omitted)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Valuation Technique
Marketable Securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,284	(B)
Cash Flow Hedge	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	(A)

Carrying Amount as of December 31, 2009 (000's omitted)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Valuation Technique
Marketable Securities	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,988	(B)
Cash Flow Hedge	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	(A)

### Marketable Securities

Marketable securities, which are accounted for as available-for-sale, are stated at fair value in accordance with ASC Topic 320 "Investments – Debt and Equity" and consist of auction rate securities ("ARS"). Temporary changes in fair market value are recorded as other comprehensive income or loss, whereas other than temporary markdowns will be realized through the Company's income statement.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company held ARS with a face value of \$13.0 million and a fair value of approximately \$7.3 million. In December 2008, the insurer of the ARS exercised its put option to replace the underlying securities of the ARS with its preferred securities. Prior to the second quarter of 2009 the ARS had paid cash dividends according to their stated terms. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company received notice from the insurer that payment of cash dividends ceased as of July 31, 2009 and would be resumed only if the board of directors of the insurer declared such cash dividends to be payable at a later date. The insurer's board of directors temporarily reinstated dividend payments for the 4-week period from December 23, 2009 to January 15, 2010. In January 2010, the Company commenced a lawsuit against the broker-dealer of these ARS alleging, among other things, fraud, and seeking full recovery of the \$13.0 million face value of the ARS, as well as legal costs and punitive damages. Prior to June 30, 2009, the Company estimated the fair value of its ARS with a discounted cash flow model where the Company used the expected rate of cash dividends to be received. As the cash dividend payments have ceased, the Company has changed its methodology for estimating the fair value of the ARS. Beginning June 30, 2009, the Company has estimated the fair value of its ARS using the present value of the weighted average of several scenarios of recovery based on management's assessment of the probability of each scenario. The Company considered a variety of factors in its model including: credit rating of the issuer and insurer, comparable market data (if available), current macroeconomic market conditions, quality of the underlying securities, and the probabilities of several levels of recovery and reinstatement of the cash dividend payments. As a result of its evaluation, during the Current Six Months the Company has recorded an unrealized pre-tax gain of approximately \$0.3 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to stockholders' equity to reflect a temporary increase in the fair value of the ARS. The Company believes the cumulative decrease in fair value since inception is temporary due to general macroeconomic market conditions. Further, the Company has the ability and intent to hold the ARS until an anticipated full redemption. These funds will not be available to the Company unless a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside the auction process, or if recovery is realized through settlement or legal judgment of the action brought against the broker-dealer. As the ARS have failed to auction and may not auction successfully in the near future, the Company has classified its ARS as non-current. The Company continues to monitor the auction rate securities market as well as the financial condition of the insurer of the ARS and considers its impact, if any, on the fair value of its ARS. The following table summarizes the activity for the period:

Auction Rate Securities	(000's omitted)	
	2010	2009

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Balance at January 1	\$	6,988	\$	7,522
Additions		-		-
Gains (losses) reported in earnings		-		-
Gains (losses) reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss		296		(66)
Balance at June 30	\$	7,284	\$	7,456

13

---

## Cash Flow Hedge

On July 26, 2007, the Company purchased a hedge instrument from Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc. (“LBSF”) to mitigate the cash flow risk of rising interest rates on the Term Loan Facility (see Note 5 for a description of this credit agreement). This hedge instrument caps the Company’s exposure to rising interest rates at 6.00% for LIBOR for 50% of the forecasted outstanding balance of the Term Loan Facility (“Interest Rate Cap”). Based on management’s assessment, the Interest Rate Cap qualifies for hedge accounting under ASC Topic 815 “Derivatives and Hedging”. On a quarterly basis, the value of the hedge is adjusted to reflect its current fair value, with any adjustment flowing through other comprehensive income. The fair value of this instrument is obtained by comparing the characteristics of this cash flow hedge with similarly traded instruments, and is therefore classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of the Interest Rate Cap was approximately \$1. On October 3, 2008, LBSF filed a petition for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The Company does not believe that the LBSF bankruptcy filing and its potential impact on LBSF will have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable and accrued expenses approximated their carrying values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value of the note receivable from New Brands (see Note 2) approximates its \$3.0 million carrying value; the fair value of the note receivable due from the purchasers of the Canadian trademark for Joe Boxer approximates its \$4.0 million carrying value; the fair value of the note payable to Purim LLC approximates its \$16.0 million carrying value; and the fair value of the Beagle Note approximates its \$17.5 million carrying value. The fair value of the estimated fair values of other financial instruments subject to fair value disclosures, determined based on broker quotes or quoted market prices or rates for the same or similar instruments, and their related carrying amounts are as follows:

(000's omitted)	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Long-term debt, including current portion	\$ 605,969	\$ 612,164	\$ 662,379	\$ 650,732

Financial instruments expose the Company to counterparty credit risk for nonperformance and to market risk for changes in interest. The Company manages exposure to counterparty credit risk through specific minimum credit standards, diversification of counterparties and procedures to monitor the amount of credit exposure. The Company’s financial instrument counterparties are substantial investment or commercial banks with significant experience with such instruments.

## Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of ASC Topic 820 with respect to its non-financial assets and liabilities requiring non-recurring adjustments to fair value using a market participant approach. The Company uses a discounted cash flow model with level 3 inputs to measure the fair value of its non-financial assets and liabilities. The Company also adopted the provisions of ASC 820 as it relates to purchase accounting for its acquisitions. The Company has goodwill, which is tested for impairment at least annually, as required by ASC Topic 350 “Intangibles – Goodwill and Other”. Further, in accordance with ASC Topic 350, the Company’s indefinite-lived trademarks are tested for impairment at least annually, on an individual basis as separate single units of accounting. Similarly, consistent with ASC Topic 360 as it relates to accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, the Company assesses whether or not there is impairment of the Company’s definite-lived trademarks. There was no impairment, and therefore no write-down, of any of the Company’s long-lived assets during the Current Six Months or the Prior Year Six Months.





## 4. Trademarks and Other Intangibles, net

Trademarks and other intangibles, net consist of the following:

(000's omitted)	Estimated Lives in Years	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Indefinite life trademarks and copyrights	Indefinite(1)	\$ 1,382,713	\$ 9,498	\$ 1,229,695	\$ 9,498
Definite life trademarks	10-15	19,571	4,442	19,571	3,715
Non-compete agreements	2-15	10,475	8,412	10,475	7,644
Licensing agreements	1-9	30,103	16,010	29,023	13,338
Domain names	5	570	507	570	450
		\$ 1,443,432	\$ 38,869	\$ 1,289,334	\$ 34,645

(1) The amortization for the Candie's and Bongo trademarks is as of June 30, 2005. Effective July, 1 2005, the Company changed their useful lives to indefinite.

Amortization expense for intangible assets for the Current Quarter and Prior Year Quarter was \$2.1 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, and \$4.2 million and \$3.6 million for the Current Six Months and Prior Year Six Months, respectively. With the exception of the Badgley Mischka and Artful Dodger trademarks which the Company has determined have definite lives, the trademarks and copyrights of the Company have been determined to have an indefinite useful life and accordingly, consistent with ASC 350, no amortization will be recorded in the Company's consolidated income statements. Instead, each of these intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually on an individual basis as separate single units of accounting, with any related impairment charge recorded to the statement of operations at the time of determining such impairment. Similarly, consistent with ASC 360, there was no impairment of the definite-lived trademarks.

## 5. Debt Arrangements

The Company's debt is comprised of the following:

(000's omitted)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Convertible Notes	\$ 255,041	\$ 247,696
Term Loan Facility	170,725	217,632
Asset-Backed Notes	83,017	94,865
Ecko Note	85,000	90,000
Sweet Note	12,186	12,186
Total	\$ 605,969	\$ 662,379

## Convertible Notes

On June 20, 2007, the Company completed the issuance of \$287.5 million principal amount of the Company's 1.875% convertible senior subordinated notes due June 2012 ("Convertible Notes") in a private offering to certain institutional investors. The net proceeds received by the Company from the offering were approximately \$281.1 million.



The Convertible Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 1.875%, payable semi-annually in arrears on June 30 and December 31 of each year, beginning December 31, 2007. However, the Company recognizes an effective interest rate of 7.85% on the carrying amount of the Convertible Notes. The effective rate is based on the rate for a similar instrument that does not have a conversion feature. The Convertible Notes will be convertible into cash and, if applicable, shares of the Company's common stock based on a conversion rate of 36.2845 shares of the Company's common stock, subject to customary adjustments, per \$1,000 principal amount of the Convertible Notes (which is equal to an initial conversion price of approximately \$27.56 per share) only under the following circumstances: (1) during any fiscal quarter beginning after September 30, 2007 (and only during such fiscal quarter), if the closing price of the Company's common stock for at least 20 trading days in the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter is more than 130% of the conversion price per share, which is \$1,000 divided by the then applicable conversion rate; (2) during the five business day period immediately following any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of the Convertible Notes for each day of that period was less than 98% of the product of (a) the closing price of the Company's common stock for each day in that period and (b) the conversion rate per \$1,000 principal amount of the Convertible Notes; (3) if specified distributions to holders of the Company's common stock are made, as set forth in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes ("Indenture"); (4) if a "change of control" or other "fundamental change," each as defined in the Indenture, occurs; (5) if the Company chooses to redeem the Convertible Notes upon the occurrence of a "specified accounting change," as defined in the Indenture; and (6) during the last month prior to maturity of the Convertible Notes. If the holders of the Convertible Notes exercise the conversion provisions under the circumstances set forth, the Company will need to remit the lower of the principal balance of the Convertible Notes or their conversion value to the holders in cash. As such, the Company would be required to classify the entire amount outstanding of the Convertible Notes as a current liability in the following quarter. The evaluation of the classification of amounts outstanding associated with the Convertible Notes will occur every quarter.

Upon conversion, a holder will receive an amount in cash equal to the lesser of (a) the principal amount of the Convertible Note or (b) the conversion value, determined in the manner set forth in the Indenture. If the conversion value exceeds the principal amount of the Convertible Note on the conversion date, the Company will also deliver, at its election, cash or the Company's common stock or a combination of cash and the Company's common stock for the conversion value in excess of the principal amount. In the event of a change of control or other fundamental change, the holders of the Convertible Notes may require the Company to purchase all or a portion of their Convertible Notes at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. If a specified accounting change occurs, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Convertible Notes in whole for cash, at a price equal to 102% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. Holders of the Convertible Notes who convert their Convertible Notes in connection with a fundamental change or in connection with a redemption upon the occurrence of a specified accounting change may be entitled to a make-whole premium in the form of an increase in the conversion rate.

Pursuant to guidance issued under ASC Topic 815, the Convertible Notes are accounted for as convertible debt in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet and the embedded conversion option in the Convertible Notes has not been accounted for as a separate derivative. For a discussion of the effects of the Convertible Notes and the Convertible Note Hedge and Sold Warrants discussed below on earnings per share, see Note 7.

In June 2008, the FASB issued guidance under ASC Topic 815 regarding the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock. This guidance provides that an entity should use a two step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock, including evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. It also clarifies on the impact of foreign currency denominated strike prices and market-based employee stock option valuation instruments on the evaluation. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company has

evaluated the impact of this guidance, and has determined it will have no impact on the Company's results of operations and financial position in 2010, and will have no impact on the Company's results of operations and financial position in future fiscal periods.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the amount of the Convertible Notes accounted for as a liability was approximately \$255.0 million and \$247.7 million, and is reflected on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as follows:

(000's omitted)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Equity component carrying amount	\$ 41,309	\$ 41,309
Unamortized discount	32,459	39,804
Net debt carrying amount	255,041	247,696

For the Current Quarter and the Current Six Months, the Company recorded additional non-cash interest expense of \$3.4 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, representing the difference between the stated interest rate on the Convertible Notes and the rate for a similar instrument that does not have a conversion feature. For the Prior Year Quarter and the Prior Year Six Months, the Company recorded additional non-cash interest expense of \$3.2 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

For both the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter, cash interest expense relating to the Convertible Notes was approximately \$1.3 million. For both the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months, cash interest expense relating to the Convertible Notes was approximately \$2.7 million.

The Convertible Notes do not provide for any financial covenants.

In connection with the sale of the Convertible Notes, the Company entered into hedges for the Convertible Notes ("Convertible Note Hedges") with respect to its common stock with two entities, one of which was Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc. ("Lehman OTC" and together with the other counterparty, the "Counterparties"). Pursuant to the agreements governing these Convertible Note Hedges, the Company purchased call options (the "Purchased Call Options") from the Counterparties covering up to approximately 10.4 million shares of the Company's common stock of which 40% were purchased from Lehman OTC. These Convertible Note Hedges are designed to offset the Company's exposure to potential dilution upon conversion of the Convertible Notes in the event that the market value per share of the Company's common stock at the time of exercise is greater than the strike price of the Purchased Call Options (which strike price corresponds to the initial conversion price of the Convertible Notes and is simultaneously subject to certain customary adjustments). On June 20, 2007, the Company paid an aggregate amount of approximately \$76.3 million of the proceeds from the sale of the Convertible Notes for the Purchased Call Options, of which \$26.7 million was included in the balance of deferred income tax assets at June 30, 2007 and is being recognized over the term of the Convertible Notes. As of June 30, 2010, the balance of deferred income tax assets related to this transaction was approximately \$10.8 million.

The Company also entered into separate warrant transactions with the Counterparties whereby the Company, pursuant to the agreements governing these warrant transactions, sold to the Counterparties warrants (the "Sold Warrants") to acquire up to 3.6 million shares of the Company's common stock of which 40% were sold to Lehman OTC, at a strike price of \$42.40 per share of the Company's common stock. The Sold Warrants will become exercisable on September 28, 2012 and will expire by the end of 2012. The Company received aggregate proceeds of approximately \$37.5 million from the sale of the Sold Warrants on June 20, 2007.

Pursuant to guidance issued under ASC Topic 815 Derivatives and Hedging as it relates to accounting for derivative financial instruments indexed to, and potentially settled in, a company's own stock, the Convertible Note Hedge and the proceeds received from the issuance of the Sold Warrants were recorded as a charge and an increase, respectively, in additional paid-in capital in stockholders' equity as separate equity transactions. As a result of these transactions, the Company recorded a net reduction to additional paid-in-capital of \$12.1 million in June 2007.

The Company has evaluated the impact of adopting guidance issued under ASC Topic 815 regarding embedded features as it relates to the Sold Warrants, and has determined it will have no impact on the Company's results of operations and financial position in 2010, and will have no impact on the Company's results of operations and financial position in future fiscal periods.

As the Convertible Note Hedge transactions and the warrant transactions were separate transactions entered into by the Company with the Counterparties, they are not part of the terms of the Convertible Notes and will not affect the holders' rights under the Convertible Notes. In addition, holders of the Convertible Notes will not have any rights with respect to the Purchased Call Options or the Sold Warrants.

If the market value per share of the Company's common stock at the time of conversion of the Convertible Notes is above the strike price of the Purchased Call Options, the Purchased Call Options entitle the Company to receive from the Counterparties net shares of the Company's common stock, cash or a combination of shares of the Company's common stock and cash, depending on the consideration paid on the underlying Convertible Notes, based on the excess of the then current market price of the Company's common stock over the strike price of the Purchased Call

Options. Additionally, if the market price of the Company's common stock at the time of exercise of the Sold Warrants exceeds the strike price of the Sold Warrants, the Company will owe the Counterparties net shares of the Company's common stock or cash, not offset by the Purchased Call Options, in an amount based on the excess of the then current market price of the Company's common stock over the strike price of the Sold Warrants.

These transactions will generally have the effect of increasing the conversion price of the Convertible Notes to \$42.40 per share of the Company's common stock, representing a 100% percent premium based on the last reported sale price of the Company's common stock of \$21.20 per share on June 14, 2007.

On September 15, 2008 and October 3, 2008, respectively, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("Lehman Holdings") and its subsidiary, Lehman OTC, filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Southern District of New York ("Bankruptcy Court"). On September 17, 2009, the Company filed proofs of claim with the Bankruptcy Court relating to the Lehman OTC Convertible Note Hedges. The Company will continue to monitor the bankruptcy filings of Lehman Holdings and Lehman OTC with respect to such claims. The Company currently believes that the bankruptcy filings and their potential impact on these entities will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The terms of the Convertible Notes and the rights of the holders of the Convertible Notes are not affected in any way by the bankruptcy filings of Lehman Holdings or Lehman OTC.

## Term Loan Facility

In connection with the acquisition of the Rocawear brand, in March 2007, the Company entered into a \$212.5 million credit agreement with Lehman Brothers Inc., as lead arranger and bookrunner, and Lehman Commercial Paper Inc. ("LCPI"), as syndication agent and administrative agent (the "Credit Agreement" or "Term Loan Facility"). At the time, the Company pledged to LCPI, for the benefit of the lenders under the Term Loan Facility (the "Lenders"), 100% of the capital stock owned by the Company in its subsidiaries, OP Holdings and Management Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("OPHM"), and Studio Holdings and Management Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("SHM"). The Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by each of OPHM and SHM, as well as by two of its other subsidiaries, OP Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("OP Holdings"), and Studio IP Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Studio IP Holdings").

On October 3, 2007, in connection with the acquisition of Official-Pillowtex LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Official-Pillowtex"), with the proceeds of the Convertible Notes, the Company pledged to LCPI, for the benefit of the Lenders, 100% of the capital stock owned by the Company in Mossimo, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("MI"), and Pillowtex Holdings and Management Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("PHM"), each of which guaranteed the Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement. Simultaneously with the acquisition of Official-Pillowtex, each of Mossimo Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Mossimo Holdings"), and Official-Pillowtex guaranteed the Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement. On September 10, 2008, PHM was converted into a Delaware limited liability company, Pillowtex Holdings and Management LLC ("PHMLLC"), and the Company's membership interest in PHMLLC was pledged to LCPI in place of the capital stock of PHM.

On July 26, 2007, the Company purchased a hedge instrument to mitigate the cash flow risk of rising interest rates on the Term Loan Facility. See Note 3.

On December 17, 2007, in connection with the acquisition of the Starter brand, the Company borrowed an additional \$63.2 million pursuant to the Term Loan Facility (the "Additional Borrowing"). The net proceeds received by the Company from the Additional Borrowing were \$60 million.

On February 24, 2010, Barclays Bank PLC ("Barclays") was appointed as successor Administrative Agent under the Credit Agreement.

On June 23, 2010, in connection with the acquisition of Peanuts Worldwide (see Note 2), the Company pledged to Barclays, for the benefit of the Lenders, its 100% membership interest in IE. On such date, IE became a guarantor of the Company's obligations under the Credit Agreement, and IE pledged to Barclays, for the benefit of the Lenders, its 80% membership interest in Peanuts Holdings.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company may borrow an additional \$36.8 million under the terms of the Term Loan Facility.



The guarantees under the Term Loan Facility are secured by a pledge to Barclays, for the benefit of the Lenders, of, among other things, the Ocean Pacific/OP, Danskin, Rocawear, Mossimo, Cannon, Royal Velvet, Fieldcrest, Charisma, Starter, Waverly and Peanuts trademarks and related intellectual property assets, license agreements and proceeds therefrom. Amounts outstanding under the Term Loan Facility bear interest, at the Company's option, at the Eurodollar rate or the prime rate, plus an applicable margin of 2.25% or 1.25%, as the case may be, per annum. The Credit Agreement provides that the Company is required to repay the outstanding term loan in equal quarterly installments in annual aggregate amounts equal to 1.00% of the aggregate principal amount of the loans outstanding, subject to adjustment for prepayments, in addition to an annual payment equal to 50% of the excess cash flow from the subsidiaries subject to the Term Loan Facility, as described in the Credit Agreement, with any remaining unpaid principal balance to be due on April 30, 2013 (the "Loan Maturity Date"). Upon completion of the Convertible Notes offering, the Loan Maturity Date was accelerated to January 2, 2012. The Term Loan Facility can be prepaid, without penalty, at any time. On March 11, 2008, the Company paid to LCPI, for the benefit of the Lenders, \$15.6 million, representing 50% of the excess cash flow from the subsidiaries subject to the Term Loan Facility for 2007. As a result of such payment, the Company is no longer required to pay the quarterly installments described above. The Term Loan Facility requires the Company to repay the principal amount of the term loan outstanding in an amount equal to 50% of the excess cash flow of the subsidiaries subject to the Term Loan Facility for the most recently completed fiscal year. On March 17, 2010, the Company paid to Barclays, for the benefit of the Lenders, \$47.2 million, representing 50% of the excess cash flow from the subsidiaries subject to the Term Loan Facility for the year ended December 31, 2009. As of June 30, 2010, \$29.7 million has been classified as current portion of long-term debt, which represents 50% of the estimated excess cash flow for the Current Six Months of the subsidiaries subject to the Term Loan Facility. The aggregate amount of 50% of the excess cash flow for all four quarters in 2010 is payable during the first quarter of 2011. For the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter, the effective interest rate of the Term Loan Facility was 2.55% and 3.47%, respectively. For the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months, the effective interest rate of the Term Loan Facility was 2.52% and 3.60%, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the balance of the Term Loan Facility was \$170.7 million. As of June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all material covenants set forth in the Credit Agreement. The \$272.5 million in proceeds from the Term Loan Facility were used by the Company as follows: \$204.0 million was used to pay the cash portion of the initial consideration for the acquisition of the Rocawear brand; \$2.1 million was used to pay the costs associated with the Rocawear acquisition; \$60 million was used to pay the consideration for the acquisition of the Starter brand; and \$3.9 million was used to pay costs associated with the Term Loan Facility. The costs of \$3.9 million relating to the Term Loan Facility have been deferred and are being amortized over the life of the loan, using the effective interest method. As of June 30, 2010, the subsidiaries subject to the Term Loan Facility were Studio IP Holdings, SHM, OP Holdings, OPHM, Mossimo Holdings, MI, Official-Pillowtex, PHMLLC and IE (collectively, the "Term Loan Facility Subsidiaries"). As of June 30, 2010, the Term Loan Facility Subsidiaries, directly or indirectly, owned the following trademarks, excluding certain territories covered by the Iconix China, Iconix Latin America and Iconix Europe joint ventures (see Note 2): Danskin, Rocawear, Starter, Ocean Pacific/OP, Mossimo, Cannon, Royal Velvet, Fieldcrest, Charisma, Waverly and Peanuts.

#### Asset-Backed Notes

The financing for certain of the Company's acquisitions has been accomplished through private placements by its subsidiary, IP Holdings LLC ("IP Holdings") of asset-backed notes ("Asset-Backed Notes") secured by intellectual property assets (trade names, trademarks, license agreements and payments and proceeds with respect thereto relating to the Candie's, Bongo, Joe Boxer, Rampage, Mudd and London Fog brands) of IP Holdings. At June 30, 2010, the balance of the Asset-Backed Notes was \$83.0 million, \$25.3 million of which is included in the current portion of long-term debt on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Cash on hand in the bank account of IP Holdings is restricted at any point in time up to the amount of the next debt principal and interest payment required under the Asset-Backed Notes. Accordingly, \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million as

of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, are included as restricted cash within the Company's current assets. Further, in connection with IP Holdings' issuance of Asset-Backed Notes, a reserve account has been established and the funds on deposit in such account will be applied to the final principal payment with respect to the Asset-Backed Notes. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$15.9 million has been classified as non-current and disclosed as restricted cash within other assets on the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Interest rates and terms on the outstanding principal amount of the Asset-Backed Notes as of June 30, 2010 are as follows: \$28.1 million principal amount bears interest at a fixed interest rate of 8.45% with a six year term, \$12.4 million principal amount bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.12% with a six year term, and \$42.5 million principal amount bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.99% with a six and a half year term. The Asset-Backed Notes have no financial covenants by which the Company or its subsidiaries need comply. The aggregate principal amount of the Asset-Backed Notes is required to be fully paid by February 22, 2013.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries (other than IP Holdings) is obligated to make any payment with respect to the Asset-Backed Notes, and the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries (other than IP Holdings) are not available to IP Holdings' creditors. The assets of IP Holdings are not available to the creditors of the Company or its subsidiaries (other than IP Holdings).

#### Ecko Note

In connection with the Ecko transaction, IPH Unltd issued a promissory note ("Ecko Note") to a third party creditor for \$90.0 million. IPH Unltd's obligations under the Ecko Note are secured by the Ecko portfolio of trademarks and related intellectual property assets (including Ecko and Zoo York), further guaranteed personally by the minority owner of IPH Unltd, with no recourse to the Company. Amounts outstanding under the Ecko Note bear interest at 7.50% per annum, with minimum principal payable in equal quarterly installments of \$2.5 million, with any remaining unpaid principal balance and accrued interest to be due on June 30, 2014, the Ecko Note maturity date. The Ecko Note may be prepaid without penalty, and would be applied to the scheduled quarterly principal payments in the order of their maturity. As of June 30, 2010, the total principal balance of the Ecko Note is \$85.0 million, of which \$10.0 million is included in the current portion of long-term debt on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet.

## Sweet Note

On April 23, 2002, the Company acquired the remaining 50% interest in Unzipped (see Note 8) from Sweet Sportswear, LLC (“Sweet”) for a purchase price comprised of 3,000,000 shares of its common stock and \$11.0 million in debt, which was evidenced by the Company’s issuance of the 8% Senior Subordinated Note due in 2012 (“Sweet Note”). Prior to August 5, 2004, Unzipped was managed by Sweet pursuant to the Management Agreement (as defined in Note 8), which obligated Sweet to manage the operations of Unzipped in return for, commencing in the fiscal year ended January 31, 2003 (“Fiscal 2003”), an annual management fee based upon certain specified percentages of net income achieved by Unzipped during the three- year term of the Management Agreement. In addition, Sweet guaranteed that the net income, as defined in the Management Agreement, of Unzipped would be no less than \$1.7 million for each year during the term, commencing with Fiscal 2003. In the event that the guarantee was not met for a particular year, Sweet was obligated under the Management Agreement to pay the Company the difference between the actual net income of Unzipped, as defined, for such year and the guaranteed \$1.7 million. That payment, referred to as the shortfall payment, could be offset against the amounts due under the Sweet Note at the option of either the Company or Sweet. As a result of such offsets, the balance of the Sweet Note was reduced by the Company to \$3.1 million as of December 31, 2006 and \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2005 and was reflected in Long- term debt. This note bears interest at the rate of 8% per year and matures in April 2012.

In November 2007, the Company received a signed judgment related to the Sweet Sportswear/Unzipped litigation. See Note 10 for an update to this litigation.

The judgment stated that the Sweet Note (originally \$11.0 million when issued by the Company upon the acquisition of Unzipped from Sweet in 2002) should total approximately \$12.2 million as of December 31, 2007. The recorded balance of the Sweet Note, prior to any adjustments related to the judgment was approximately \$3.2 million. The Company increased the Sweet Note by approximately \$6.2 million and recorded the expense as an expense related to specific litigation. The Company further increased the Sweet Note by approximately \$2.8 million to record the related interest and included the charge in interest expense. As of June 30, 2010, the Sweet Note is approximately \$12.2 million and included in the current portion of long-term debt. The Sweet Note bears interest, which was accrued for during the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months and included in accounts payable and accrued expenses, at the rate of 8% per year.

In addition, in November 2007 the Company was awarded a judgment of approximately \$12.2 million for claims made by it against Hubert Guez and Apparel Distribution Services, Inc. (“ADS”). As a result, the Company recorded a receivable of approximately \$12.2 million and recorded the benefit in special charges during the year ended December 31, 2007. This receivable is included in other assets - non-current and bears interest, which was accrued for during Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months, at the rate of 8% per year.

## Debt Maturities

As of June 30, 2010, the Company’s debt maturities on a calendar year basis are as follows:

	Total	July 1 through December 31, 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
(000’s omitted)						
Convertible Notes1	\$ 255,041	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 255,041	\$ -	\$ -
Term Loan Facility	170,725	-	29,736	140,989	-	-
Asset-Backed Notes	83,017	12,368	26,380	33,468	10,801	-
Ecko Note	85,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	50,000

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

Sweet Note	12,186	12,186	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 605,969	\$ 29,554	\$ 66,116	\$ 439,498	\$ 20,801	\$ 50,000

1 Reflects the net debt carrying amount of the Convertible Notes on the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010, in accordance with accounting for convertible notes. The principal amount owed to the holders of the Convertible Notes is \$287.5 million.

20

---

## 6. Stockholders' Equity

### Public Offering

On June 9, 2009, the Company completed a public offering of common stock pursuant to a registration statement that had been declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). All 10,700,000 shares of common stock offered by the Company in the final prospectus were sold at \$15.00 per share. Net proceeds to the Company from the offering amounted to approximately \$152.8 million.

### 2009 Equity Incentive Plan

On August 13, 2009, the Company's stockholders approved the Company's 2009 Equity Incentive Plan ("2009 Plan"). The 2009 Plan authorizes the granting of common stock options or other stock-based awards covering up to 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. All employees, directors, consultants and advisors of the Company, including those of the Company's subsidiaries, are eligible to be granted non-qualified stock options and other stock-based awards (as defined) under the 2009 Plan, and employees are also eligible to be granted incentive stock options (as defined) under the 2009 Plan. No new awards may be granted under the Plan after August 13, 2019.

### Stockholder Rights Plan

In January 2000, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a stockholder rights plan. Under the plan, each stockholder of common stock received a dividend of one right for each share of the Company's outstanding common stock, entitling the holder to purchase one thousandth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value, \$0.01 per share of the Company, at an initial exercise price of \$6.00. The rights become exercisable and will trade separately from the common stock ten business days after any person or group acquires 15% or more of the common stock, or ten business days after any person or group announces a tender offer for 15% or more of the outstanding common stock. This plan expired by its terms on January 26, 2010.

### Stock Repurchase Program

On November 3, 2008, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to \$75 million of the Company's common stock over a period of approximately three years (the "Program"). The Program replaces any prior plan or authorization. The Program does not obligate the Company to repurchase any specific number of shares and may be suspended at any time at management's discretion. During 2009, the Company repurchased 200,000 shares under the Program for approximately \$1.5 million. No shares were repurchased under the Program by the Company during the Current Six Months.

### Stock Options

The Black-Scholes option valuation model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options.

The fair value for these options and warrants for all years was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

Expected Volatility	30 - 45%
---------------------	----------

Expected Dividend Yield	0%
Expected Life (Term)	3 - 7 years
Risk-Free Interest Rate	3.00 - 4.75%

The options that the Company granted under its plans expire at various times, either five, seven or ten years from the date of grant, depending on the particular grant.

Summaries of the Company's stock options, warrants and performance related options activity, and related information for the year Current Six Months are as follows:

21

---

Options	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding January 1, 2010	3,094,079	\$ 4.48
Granted	15,000	16.33
Canceled	-	-
Exercised	(104,334)	6.75
Expired	(16,844)	1.31
Outstanding June 30, 2010	2,987,901	\$ 5.05
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	2,984,567	\$ 5.04

Compensation expense related to stock option grants for the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter was approximately \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively. Compensation expense related to stock option grants for the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months was approximately \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively.

#### Warrants

	Warrants	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding January 1, 2010	286,900	\$ 16.99
Granted	-	-
Canceled	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Expired/Forfeited	-	-
Outstanding June 30, 2010	286,900	\$ 16.99
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	286,900	\$ 16.99

All warrants issued in connection with acquisitions are recorded at fair market value using the Black Scholes model and are recorded as part of purchase accounting. Certain warrants are exercised using the cashless method.

The Company values other warrants issued to non-employees at the commitment date at the fair market value of the instruments issued, a measure which is more readily available than the fair market value of services rendered, using the Black Scholes model. The fair market value of the instruments issued is expensed over the vesting period.

#### Restricted stock

Compensation cost for restricted stock is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the Company's stock at the date the common stock is issued over the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock (which is generally zero). The compensation cost, net of projected forfeitures, is recognized over the period between the issue date and the date any restrictions lapse, with compensation cost for grants with a graded vesting schedule recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in substance, multiple awards. The restrictions do not affect voting and dividend rights.

The following tables summarize information about unvested restricted stock transactions (shares in thousands):

Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
--------	--

Non-vested, January 1, 2010	2,041,126	\$	17.28
Granted	292,035		14.06
Vested	(64,427)		16.08
Forfeited/Canceled	(1,266)		7.90
Non-vested, June 30, 2010	2,267,468	\$	16.90



Compensation expense related to restricted stock grants for the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter was approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. Compensation expense related to restricted stock grants for the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months was approximately \$4.5 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. An additional amount of \$17.4 million is expected to be expensed over a period of approximately one to five years. During the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter the Company withheld shares valued at approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million of its restricted common stock in connection with net share settlement of restricted stock grants and option exercises. During the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months the Company withheld shares valued at approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, of its restricted common stock in connection with net share settlement of restricted stock grants and option exercises.

#### Shares Reserved for Issuance

At June 30, 2010, 1,918,566 common shares were reserved for issuance under the 2009 Plan, and 40,030 common shares were reserved for issuance of stock options under the 2006 Stock Option Plan. There were no common shares available for issuance under the 2002, 2001, and 2000 Stock Option Plans.

#### 7. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share includes no dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect, in periods in which they have a dilutive effect, the effect of restricted stock-based awards and common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and warrants. The difference between basic and diluted weighted-average common shares results from the assumption that all dilutive stock options outstanding were exercised and all convertible notes have been converted into common stock.

As of June 30, 2010, of the total potentially dilutive shares related to restricted stock-based awards, stock options and warrants, 1.6 million were anti-dilutive, compared to 1.8 million as of December 31, 2009.

As of June 30, 2010, of the performance related restricted stock-based awards issued in connection with the Company's employment agreement with its chairman, chief executive officer and president, 1.3 million of such awards (which is included in the total 1.6 million anti-dilutive stock-based awards described above) were anti-dilutive and therefore not included in this calculation.

Warrants issued in connection with the Company's Convertible Notes financing were anti-dilutive and therefore not included in this calculation. Portions of the Convertible Notes that would be subject to conversion to common stock were anti-dilutive as of June 30, 2010 and therefore not included in this calculation.

A reconciliation of shares used in calculating basic and diluted earnings per share follows:

(000's omitted)	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30, (unaudited)		June 30, (unaudited)	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Basic	72,169	62,467	71,855	60,044
Effect of exercise of stock options	1,958	2,280	1,949	2,151
Effect of contingent common stock issuance	-	-	176	295
Effect of assumed vesting of restricted stock	622	313	609	275
Diluted	74,749	65,060	74,589	62,765

8. Unzipped Apparel, LLC (“Unzipped”)

On October 7, 1998, the Company formed Unzipped with its then joint venture partner Sweet, the purpose of which was to market and distribute apparel under the Bongo label. The Company and Sweet each had a 50% interest in Unzipped. Pursuant to the terms of the joint venture, the Company licensed the Bongo trademark to Unzipped for use in the design, manufacture and sale of certain designated apparel products.

On April 23, 2002, the Company acquired the remaining 50% interest in Unzipped from Sweet for a purchase price of three million shares of the Company's common stock and \$11 million in debt evidenced by the Sweet Note. See Note 5. In connection with the acquisition of Unzipped, the Company filed a registration statement with the SEC for the three million shares of the Company's common stock issued to Sweet, which was declared effective by the SEC on July 29, 2003.

Prior to August 5, 2004, Unzipped was managed by Sweet pursuant to a management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). Unzipped also had a supply agreement with Azteca Productions International, Inc. ("Azteca") and a distribution agreement with ADS. All of these entities are owned or controlled by Hubert Guez.

On August 5, 2004, Unzipped terminated the Management Agreement with Sweet, the supply agreement with Azteca and the distribution agreement with ADS and commenced a lawsuit against Sweet, Azteca, ADS and Hubert Guez. See Note 10.

There were no transactions with these related parties during the Current Six Months or Prior Year Six Months.

In November 2007, a judgment was entered in the Unzipped litigation, pursuant to which the \$3.1 million in accounts payable to ADS/Azteca (previously shown as "accounts payable - subject to litigation") was eliminated and recorded in the income statement as a benefit to the "expenses related to specific litigation". For further information on the Unzipped litigation, see note 10.

As a result of the judgment, in 2007 the balance of the \$11.0 million principal amount Sweet Note, originally issued by the Company upon the acquisition of Unzipped from Sweet in 2002, including interest, was increased from approximately \$3.2 million to approximately \$12.2 million as of December 31, 2007. Of this increase, approximately \$6.2 million was attributed to the principal of the Sweet Note and the expense was recorded as an expense related to specific litigation. The remaining \$2.8 million of the increase was attributed to related interest on the Sweet Note and recorded as interest expense. As of June 30, 2010, the full \$12.2 million current balance of the Sweet Note and \$2.4 million of accrued interest are included in the current portion of long term debt and accounts payable and accrued expenses, respectively.

In addition, in November 2007 the Company was awarded a judgment of approximately \$12.2 million for claims made by it against Hubert Guez and ADS. As a result, the Company recorded a receivable of approximately \$12.2 million and recorded the benefit in special charges for 2007. As of June 30, 2010, this receivable and the associated accrued interest of \$2.4 million are included in other assets - non-current.

#### 9. Expenses Related to Specific Litigation

Expenses related to specific litigation consist of legal expenses and costs related to the Unzipped litigation. For the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter, the Company recorded expenses related to specific litigation of \$0.2 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively. For the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months, the Company recorded expenses related to specific litigation of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

#### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

##### Sweet Sportswear/Unzipped litigation

On July 7, 2010, the California Court of Appeal ruled on the parties' respective appeals (the "July 7 Rulings") from orders entered by the trial court in the lawsuit filed by the Company in the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, against Unzipped's former manager, supplier and distributor Sweet, Azteca and ADS and Hubert Guez, a principal of these entities and former member of the Company's board of directors (collectively referred to as the "Guez defendants").

In summary, the Court of Appeal ruled that the Guez defendants have a combined liability to the Company of approximately \$50 million, exclusive of additional amounts owed as pre- or post-judgment interest at the annual rate of 10% simple interest. The July 7 Rulings also affirmed the jury's verdicts rejecting all of the Guez defendants'

counterclaims.

In addition, the July 7 Rulings reversed the trial court's earlier summary judgment order dismissing the Company's fraud claims against Guez relating to certain representations and warranties made in the October 18, 2002 Equity Acquisition Agreement in which the Company acquired Sweet's 50% interest in Unzipped. The July 7 Rulings also affirmed the trial court's earlier dismissals of claims alleging business torts brought by Sweet (in both of two similar cases) against the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Neil Cole.

The Company believes that it has the right to offset some of the amounts owed to it under the July 7 Rulings against sums it otherwise would owe to Sweet under the Sweet Note (see note 5). In addition, a portion of these judgments is secured by undertakings posted with the court by or on behalf of Guez and ADS. The Company will be proceeding aggressively to collect amounts owed to it. There can be no assurance, however, of the Company's ability to collect on all of the amounts awarded to it under the July 7 Rulings. Moreover, the Guez defendants have requested a rehearing on certain Court of Appeal's rulings and, in addition, may also request the California Supreme Court to entertain an appeal of the July 7 Rulings. The Company intends to continue to vigorously defend its positions.

#### Normal Course litigation

From time to time, the Company is also made a party to litigation incurred in the normal course of business. While any litigation has an element of uncertainty, the Company believes that the final outcome of any of these routine matters will not have a material effect on the Company's financial position or future liquidity.

### 11. Related Party Transactions

#### The Candie's Foundation

The Candie's Foundation, a charitable foundation founded by Neil Cole for the purpose of raising national awareness about the consequences of teenage pregnancy, owed the Company \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. In February 2010, the Candie's Foundation received a contribution of approximately \$0.7 million from a licensee of the Company. The Candie's Foundation intends to pay-off the entire borrowing from the Company during 2010, although additional advances will be made as and when necessary.

#### Travel

The Company recorded expenses of approximately \$80,000 and \$0 for the Current Quarter and Prior Year Quarter, respectively, and \$100,000 and \$158,000 for the Current Six Months and Prior Year Six Months, respectively, for the hire and use of aircraft solely for business purposes owned by a company in which the Company's chairman, chief executive officer and president is the sole owner. Management believes that all transactions were made on terms and conditions no less favorable than those available in the marketplace from unrelated parties.

### 12. Segment and Geographic Data

The Company has one reportable segment, licensing and commission revenue generated from its brands. The geographic regions consist of the United States and Other (which principally represents Canada, Japan and Europe). Long lived assets are substantially all located in the United States. Revenues attributed to each region are based on the location in which licensees are located.

The net revenues by type of license and information by geographic region are as follows:

(000's omitted)	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30, (unaudited)		June 30, (unaudited)	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Revenues by product line:</b>				
Direct-to-retail license	\$ 38,199	\$ 30,990	\$ 76,763	\$ 54,026
Wholesale license	37,339	25,033	69,941	52,038
Other	475	385	1,013	845

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

	\$	76,013	\$	56,408	\$	147,717	\$	106,909
--	----	--------	----	--------	----	---------	----	---------

Revenues by geographic region:

United States	\$	69,751	\$	53,481	\$	137,924	\$	101,714
Other		6,262		2,927		9,793		5,195
	\$	76,013	\$	56,408	\$	147,717	\$	106,909

25

---

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The statements that are not historical facts contained in this report are forward looking statements that involve a number of known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict and many of which are beyond our control, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward looking statements. These risks are detailed our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and other SEC filings. The words "believe", "anticipate," "expect", "confident", "project", provide "guidance" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement was made.

Executive Summary. We are a brand management company engaged in licensing, marketing and providing trend direction for a diversified and growing consumer brand portfolio. Our brands are sold across every major segment of retail distribution, from luxury to mass. As of June 30, 2010 we owned the following brands: Candie's, Bongo, Badgley Mischka, Joe Boxer, Rampage, Mudd, London Fog, Mossimo, Ocean Pacific, OP, Danskin, Danskin Now, Rocawear, Cannon, Royal Velvet, Fieldcrest, Charisma, Starter, and Waverly. In addition, Scion LLC, a joint venture in which we have a 50% investment, owns the Artful Dodger brand; Hardy Way, a joint venture in which we have a 50% investment, owns the Ed Hardy brands; and IPH Unltd, a joint venture in which we have a 51% controlling investment, owns the Ecko and Zoo York brands. On March 9, 2010, we purchased 50% of MG Icon, the owner of the Material Girl brand and trademarks and simultaneously entered into a multi-year license agreement for the Material Girl brand with Macy's Retail Holdings, Inc. On June 3, 2010 we acquired the Peanuts brand and related assets through Peanuts Holdings, a joint venture in which we have an 80% controlling investment. We license our brands worldwide through approximately 1,600 direct-to-retail and wholesale licenses for use across a wide range of product categories, including footwear, fashion accessories, sportswear, home products and décor, and beauty and fragrance. Our business model allows us to focus on our core competencies of marketing and managing brands without many of the risks and investment requirements associated with a more traditional operating company. Our licensing agreements with leading retail and wholesale partners throughout the world provide us with a predictable stream of guaranteed minimum royalties.

Our growth strategy is focused on increasing licensing revenue from our existing portfolio of brands through adding new product categories, expanding the retail penetration of our existing brands and optimizing the sales of our licensees. We will also seek to continue the international expansion of our brands by partnering with leading licensees and/or joint venture partners throughout the world. Finally, we believe we will continue to acquire iconic consumer and character-based brands with applicability to a wide range of merchandise categories and an ability to further diversify our brand portfolio.

### Results of Operations

The three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009

Licensing and Other Revenue. Licensing and other revenue for the Current Quarter increased to \$76.0 million from \$56.4 million for the Prior Year Quarter. In the Current Quarter, we recorded approximately \$15.0 million in aggregate revenue related to our acquisitions of the Ecko assets and the Peanuts assets, for which there was no comparable revenue in the Prior Year Quarter. The primary drivers of the remaining increase in revenue from the Prior Year Quarter to the Current Quarter are as follows: an aggregate increase of approximately \$3.9 million from our three direct-to-retail driven brands with Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Wal-Mart"), an aggregate increase of approximately \$0.5 million from our two direct-to-retail driven brands at Kohl's Corporation ("Kohl's"), and an increase of approximately \$0.6 million from our Charisma brand primarily due to its direct-to-retail with Costco.

Operating Expenses. Consolidated selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”), expenses totaled \$26.4 million in the Current Quarter compared to \$17.4 million in the Prior Year Quarter. The increase of \$9.0 million was primarily driven by aggregate SG&A expenses, including travel and transactions costs incurred by the Company, of \$8.0 million incurred by our Ecko (acquired October 2009) and Peanuts (acquired June 2010) businesses, which are consolidated and have no comparable SG&A expenses in the Prior Year Quarter.

For the Current Quarter and the Prior Year Quarter, our expenses related to specific litigation included an expense for professional fees of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, relating to litigation involving Unzipped. See Notes 8, 9 and 10 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on our litigation involving Unzipped.

Operating Income. Operating income for the Current Quarter increased to \$49.4 million, or approximately 65% of total revenue, compared to \$39.0 million or approximately 69% of total revenue in the Prior Year Quarter. This decrease in our operating margin percentage is primarily the result the increase in SG&A as it relates to the Peanuts acquisition, offset by the increase in revenue, for the reasons detailed above.



Other Expenses - Net – Other expenses - net remained relatively static in the Current Quarter as compared to the Prior Year Quarter. An increase of approximately \$0.6 million in interest expense was primarily attributed to interest expense of \$1.6 million related to the Ecko Note, which was entered into as part of our acquisition of the Ecko assets and for which there was no comparable interest expense in the Prior Year Quarter, offset by a decrease in \$0.8 million in interest expense from our variable rate debt due to a lower debt balance as a result of our principal payment in March 2010. This aggregate increase in interest expense was offset by an aggregate increase in our equity earnings on joint ventures of approximately \$0.3 million primarily due to earnings from our MG Icon joint venture (created in February 2010) for which there was no comparable earnings in the Prior Year Quarter. Further, an increase in interest income of approximately \$0.2 million can be attributed to a higher average cash balance during the Current Quarter as compared to the Prior Year Quarter.

Provision for Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for the Current Quarter is approximately 35.7% resulting in the \$14.5 million income tax expense, as compared to an effective income tax rate of 36.1% in the Prior Year Quarter which resulted in the \$10.9 million income tax expense.

Net Income. Our net income was \$26.1 million in the Current Quarter, compared to net income of \$19.3 million in the Prior Year Quarter, as a result of the factors discussed above.

The six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009

Licensing and Other Revenue. Licensing and other revenue for the Current Six Months increased to \$147.7 million from \$106.9 million for the Prior Year Six Months. In the Current Six Months, we recorded approximately \$24.6 million in aggregate revenue related to our acquisitions of the Ecko assets and the Peanuts assets, for which there was no comparable revenue in the Prior Year Six Months. The primary drivers of the remaining increase in revenue from the Prior Year Six Months to the Current Six Months are as follows: an aggregate increase of approximately \$13.9 million from our three direct-to-retail driven brands with Wal-Mart, an increase of approximately \$2.3 million from our Charisma brand primarily due to its direct-to-retail license with Costco, and an aggregate increase of approximately \$1.0 million from our two direct-to-retail driven brands at Kohl's.

Operating Expenses. SG&A totaled \$48.7 million in the Current Six Months compared to \$33.6 million in the Prior Year Six Months. The increase of approximately \$15.1 million was primarily driven by aggregate SG&A expenses of \$9.3 million incurred by our Ecko (acquired October 2009) and Peanuts (acquired June 2010) businesses, including transaction costs and related travel expenses, which are consolidated and have no comparable SG&A expenses in the Prior Year Quarter. The remaining increase was primarily driven by the following factors: (i) an increase of approximately \$1.7 million in advertising and marketing related expenses and (ii) an increase of approximately \$1.5 million in payroll costs primarily due to bonuses paid to employees in the Current Six Months. The remaining increase is due to an increase in general overhead costs as we expand our business.

For the Current Six Months and the Prior Year Six Months, our expenses related to specific litigation included an expense for professional fees of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, relating to litigation involving Unzipped. See Notes 8, 9 and 10 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on our litigation involving Unzipped.

Operating Income. Operating income for the Current Six Months increased to \$98.8 million, or approximately 67% of total revenue, compared to \$73.1 million or approximately 68% of total revenue in the Prior Year Six Months. This slight decrease in our operating margin percentage is primarily the result the increase in SG&A as it relates to the Peanuts acquisition, offset by the increase in revenue, for the reasons detailed above.

Other Expenses - Net – Other expenses - net decreased \$0.9 million from approximately \$18.6 million in the Prior Year Six Months to approximately \$17.7 million in the Current Six Months. An increase of approximately \$1.2 million in interest expense was primarily attributed to interest expense of approximately \$3.3 million related to the Ecko Note, which was entered into as part of our acquisition of the Ecko assets and for which there was no comparable interest expense in the Prior Year Six months, offset primarily by a decrease in \$1.8 million in interest expense from our variable rate debt due to a lower debt balance as a result of our principal payment in March 2010. This aggregate increase in interest expense was offset by an aggregate increase in our equity earnings on joint ventures of approximately \$1.4 million primarily due to earnings from our MG Icon joint venture (created in February 2010) for which there was no comparable earnings in the Prior Year Six Months, and from our Hardy Way joint venture (created in May 2009). Further, an increase in interest income of approximately \$0.6 million can be attributed to a higher average cash balance during the Current Six Months as compared to the Prior Year Six Months.

Provision for Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for the Current Six Months is approximately 35.2% resulting in the \$28.5 million income tax expense, as compared to an effective income tax rate of 36.0% in the Prior Year Six Months which resulted in the \$19.6 million income tax expense.

Net Income. Our net income was \$52.6 million in the Current Six Months, compared to net income of \$34.9 million in the Prior Year Six Months, as a result of the factors discussed above.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Liquidity

Our principal capital requirements have been to fund acquisitions, working capital needs, and to a lesser extent, capital expenditures. We have historically relied on internally generated funds to finance our operations and our primary source of capital needs for acquisitions has been the issuance of debt and equity securities. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our cash totaled \$60.2 million and \$201.5 million, respectively, including short-term restricted cash of \$3.0 million and \$6.2 million, respectively.

In March 2010, we completed our acquisition of 50% of MG Icon, a limited liability company and owner of the Material Girl brands, for \$20.0 million, \$4.0 million of which was cash paid to the sellers at closing.

In June 2010, we completed our acquisition, through our 80% owned joint venture Peanuts Holdings, of Peanuts Worldwide, the owner of the Peanuts brand and related assets. Approximately \$138.1 million of cash contributed by us was included in the total cash of \$172.1 million paid to the sellers at closing. Further and related to this transaction, we loaned \$17.5 million to Beagle under the terms of the Beagle Note, the proceeds of which were used to pay Beagle's portion of the purchase price.

Our term loan facility requires us to repay the principal amount of the term loan outstanding in an amount equal to 50% of the excess cash flow of the subsidiaries subject to the term loan facility for the most recently completed fiscal year. For the Current Six Months, we paid approximately \$47.2 million of the principal balance, which represents 50% of the excess cash flow of the subsidiaries subject to the term loan facility for the year ended December 31, 2009.

We believe that cash from future operations as well as currently available cash will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated working capital requirements for the foreseeable future. We intend to continue financing future brand acquisitions through a combination of cash from operations, bank financing and the issuance of additional equity and/or debt securities. See Notes 5 and 6 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of certain prior financings consummated by us.

As of June 30, 2010, our marketable securities consist of auction rate securities, herein referred to as ARS. Beginning in the third quarter of 2007, \$13.0 million of our ARS had failed auctions due to sell orders exceeding buy orders. In December 2008, the insurer of the ARS exercised its put option to replace the underlying securities of the auction rate securities with its preferred securities. Further, although these ARS had paid dividends according to their stated terms, we had received notice from the insurer that the payment of cash dividends will cease after July 31, 2009 and only temporarily reinstated for the 4-week period from December 23, 2009 through January 15, 2010, to be resumed if the board of directors of the insurer declares such cash dividends to be payable at a later date. In January 2010, we commenced a lawsuit against the broker-dealer of these ARS alleging, among other things, fraud, and seeking full recovery of the \$13.0 million face value of the ARS, as well as legal costs and punitive damages. These funds will not be available to us until a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside the auction process or we realize recovery through settlement or legal judgment. Prior to June 30, 2009, we estimated the fair value of our ARS with a discounted cash flow model where we used the expected rate of cash dividends to be received. As regular cash

dividend payments have ceased, we changed our methodology for estimating the fair value of the ARS. Beginning June 30, 2009, we estimated the fair value of our ARS using the present value of the weighted average of several scenarios of recovery based on our assessment of the probability of each scenario. We considered a variety of factors in our analysis including: credit rating of the issuer and insurer, comparable market data (if available), current macroeconomic market conditions, quality of the underlying securities, and the probabilities of several levels of recovery and reinstatement of cash dividend payments. As the aggregate result of our quarterly evaluations, \$13.0 million of our ARS have been written down to \$7.3 million as a cumulative unrealized pre-tax loss of \$5.7 million to reflect a temporary decrease in fair value. As the write-down of \$5.7 million has been identified as a temporary decrease in fair value, the write-down has not impacted our earnings and is reflected as an other comprehensive loss in the stockholders' equity section of our consolidated balance sheet. We believe this decrease in fair value is temporary due to general macroeconomic market conditions. Further, we have the ability and intent to hold the ARS until an anticipated full redemption. We believe our cash flow from future operations and our existing cash on hand will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated working capital requirements for the foreseeable future, regardless of the timeliness of the auction process or other recovery.

## Changes in Working Capital

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 the working capital ratio (current assets to current liabilities) was 1.19 to 1 and 2.12 to 1, respectively. This decrease was driven primarily by a decrease in our cash as a result of our acquisition of the Peanuts brand and related assets, offset by the reduction in the current portion of our short term debt, as well as the factors set forth below:

### Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities increased approximately \$40.6 million, from \$41.9 million in the Prior Year Six Months to \$82.5 million in the Current Six Months. This increase in net cash provided by operating activities of \$40.6 million is primarily due to an increase in net income of approximately \$17.6 million from \$34.9 million in the Prior Year Six Months to \$52.6 million in the Current Six Months for the reasons discussed above, as well as an aggregate increase in net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities (net of acquisitions) of \$24.3 million from approximately \$19.9 million of net cash used in operating activities in the Prior Year Six Months to approximately \$4.4 million of net cash provided by operating activities in the Current Six Months.

### Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities in the Current Six Months increased \$158.3 million, from \$18.5 million in the Prior Year Six Months to \$176.8 million in the Current Six Months. This increase is primarily due to our purchase of Peanuts Worldwide for \$172.1 million, as well as \$4.0 million paid in connection with our acquisition of a 50% interest in MG Icon. In the Prior Year Six Months we paid cash earn-outs totaling \$9.4 million, as compared to \$0.8 million in cash earn-outs in the Current Six Months, both of which were related to acquisitions prior to 2009 and were recorded as increases to goodwill. Further, in the Prior Year Six Months we paid \$9.0 million in connection with our acquisition of a 50% interest in Hardy Way.

### Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities increased \$149.1 million, from \$105.2 million of net cash provided by financing activities in the Prior Year Six Months to net cash used in financing activities of \$43.9 million in the Current Six Months. The main driver of this net increase of cash used in financing activities of \$149.1 million was an increase of \$152.8 million cash received in the Prior Year Six Months related to our public offering of our common stock in June 2009, with no comparable transaction in the Current Six Months, and an increase of \$14.5 million in principal payments on our long-term debt during the Current Six Months as compared to the Prior Year Six Months. Specifically, our payment in March 2010 of 50% of the excess cash flow from the subsidiaries subject to the term loan facility for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$47.2 million, as compared to our payment in March 2009 of 50% of the excess cash flow from the subsidiaries subject to the term loan facility for the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$38.7 million. This was offset by a contribution of \$16.5 million from Beagle in connection with our purchase of Peanuts Worldwide through our joint venture Peanuts Holdings, as compared to a contribution of \$2.1 million in the Prior Year Six Months related to our investment in our joint venture Scion. See Note 2 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on these joint ventures.

### Other Matters

#### New Accounting Standards

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance under ASC Topic 810 "Consolidation". This guidance amends the consolidation guidance for variable interest entities, herein referred to as a VIE, by requiring an on-going qualitative

assessment of which entity has the power to direct matters that most significantly impact the activities of a VIE and has the obligation to absorb losses or benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. This guidance is effective for us beginning in January 1, 2010 and the results of its adoption are reflected herein.

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance under ASC Topic 605 regarding revenue recognition for multiple deliverable revenue arrangements. This guidance eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method and expands the disclosures related to multiple deliverable revenue arrangements. This guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010, with earlier adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact our results of operations or financial position.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance under ASC Topic 810 regarding scope clarification on accounting and reporting for decreases in ownership of a subsidiary. This guidance clarifies that the scope of the decrease in ownership provisions of ASC Topic 810 applies to a subsidiary or group of assets that is a business, a subsidiary that is a business that is transferred to an equity method investee or a joint venture or an exchange of a group of assets that constitutes a business for a noncontrolling interest in an entity. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of the period in which an entity adopts guidance under ASC Topic 810 regarding non-controlling interests, and if it has been previously adopted, the first interim or annual period ending on or after December 15, 2009, applied retrospectively to the first period that the entity adopted this guidance, and its requirements are reflected herein.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance under ASC Topic 820 as it relates to improving disclosures on fair value measurements. This guidance requires new disclosures regarding transfers in and out of the Level 1 and 2 and activity within Level 3 fair value measurements and clarifies existing disclosures of inputs and valuation techniques for Level 2 and 3 fair value measurements. This guidance also includes conforming amendments to employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosure of activity within Level 3 fair value measurements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those years, and its requirements are reflected herein.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASC Topic 855 - Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. ASC Topic 855 requires an entity that is an SEC filer to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued and removes the requirement that an SEC filer disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. ASC Topic 855 was effective upon issuance. The adoption of this standard had no effect on our results of operation or financial position.

#### Summary of Critical Accounting Policies.

Several of our accounting policies involve management judgments and estimates that could be significant. The policies with the greatest potential effect on our consolidated results of operations and financial position include the estimate of reserves to provide for collectability of accounts receivable. We estimate the collectability considering historical, current and anticipated trends of our licensees related to deductions taken by customers and markdowns provided to retail customers to effectively flow goods through the retail channels, and the possibility of non-collection due to the financial position of its licensees' and their retail customers. Due to our licensing model, we do not have any inventory risk and have reduced our operating risks, and can reasonably forecast revenues and plan expenditures based upon guaranteed royalty minimums and sales projections provided by our retail licensees.

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We review all significant estimates affecting the financial statements on a recurring basis and records the effect of any adjustments when necessary.

In connection with our licensing model, we have entered into various trademark license agreements that provide revenues based on minimum royalties and additional revenues based on a percentage of defined sales. Minimum royalty revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over each period, as defined, in each license agreement. Royalties exceeding the defined minimum amounts are recognized as income during the period corresponding to the licensee's sales.

In June 2001, the FASB issued guidance under ASC Topic 350 "Intangibles Goodwill and Other", which changed the accounting for goodwill from an amortization method to an impairment-only approach. Upon our adoption of this

guidance, on February 1, 2002, we ceased amortizing goodwill. As prescribed under this guidance, we had goodwill tested for impairment during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, and no write-downs from impairments were necessary. Our tests for impairment utilize discounted cash flow models to estimate the fair values of the individual assets. Assumptions critical to our fair value estimates are as follow: (i) discount rates used to derive the present value factors used in determining the fair value of the reporting units and trademarks; (ii) royalty rates used in our trade mark valuations; (iii) projected average revenue growth rates used in the reporting unit and trademark models; and (iv) projected long-term growth rates used in the derivation of terminal year values. These tests factor in economic conditions and expectations of management and may change in the future based on period-specific facts and circumstances.

Impairment losses are recognized for long-lived assets, including certain intangibles, used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are not sufficient to recover the assets carrying amount. Impairment losses are measured by comparing the fair value of the assets to their carrying amount. For the Current Quarter and Prior Year Quarter there was no impairment present for these long-lived assets.



Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted guidance under ASC Topic 718 “Compensation – Stock Compensation”, which requires companies to measure and recognize compensation expense for all stock-based payments at fair value. Under this guidance, using the modified prospective method, compensation expense is recognized for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, January 1, 2006. Prior to the adoption of this guidance, we accounted for our stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement principles of accounting principles board, or APB, Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for stock issued to employees,” and related interpretations. Accordingly, the compensation cost for stock options had been measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of our common stock at the date of the grant over the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock.

We account for income taxes in accordance with guidance under ASC Topic 740 “Income Taxes”. Under this guidance, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. In determining the need for a valuation allowance, management reviews both positive and negative evidence pursuant to the requirements of this guidance, including current and historical results of operations, the annual limitation on utilization of net operating loss carry forwards pursuant to Internal Revenue Code section 382, future income projections and the overall prospects of our business. Based upon management's assessment of all available evidence, including our completed transition into a licensing business, estimates of future profitability based on projected royalty revenues from our licensees, and the overall prospects of our business, management concluded that it is more likely than not that the net deferred income tax asset will be realized.

We adopted guidance under ASC Topic 740, beginning January 1, 2007, as it relates to uncertain tax positions. The implementation of this guidance did not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations. The total unrecognized tax benefit was \$1.1 million at the date of adoption. At March 31, 2010, the total unrecognized tax benefit was \$1.2 million. However, the liability is not recognized for accounting purposes because the related deferred tax asset has been fully reserved in prior years. We are continuing our practice of recognizing interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. There was no accrual for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions for the Current Quarter. We file federal and state tax returns and we are generally no longer subject to tax examinations for fiscal years prior to 2006.

Marketable securities, which are accounted for as available-for-sale, are stated at fair value in accordance with guidance under ASC Topic 320 “Debt and Equity Securities”, and consist of auction rate securities. Temporary changes in fair market value are recorded as other comprehensive income or loss, whereas other than temporary markdowns will be realized through our statement of operations. On January 1, 2008, we adopted guidance under ASC Topic 820, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires expanded disclosures about fair value measurement. While this guidance does not require any new fair value measurements in its application to other accounting pronouncements, it does emphasize that a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation. Although we believe our judgments, estimates and/or assumptions used in determining fair value are reasonable, making material changes to such judgments, estimates and/or assumptions could materially affect such impairment analyses and our financial results.

#### Seasonal and Quarterly Fluctuations.

The majority of the products manufactured and sold under our brands and licenses are for apparel, accessories, footwear and home products and decor, which sales vary as a result of holidays, weather, and the timing of product shipments. Accordingly, a portion of our revenue from our licensees, particularly from those licensees whose actual

sales royalties exceed minimum royalties, is subject to seasonal fluctuations. The results of operations in any quarter therefore will not necessarily be indicative of the results that may be achieved for a full fiscal year or any future quarter.

#### Other Factors

We continue to seek to expand and diversify the types of licensed products being produced under our various brands, as well as diversify the distribution channels within which licensed products are sold, in an effort to reduce dependence on any particular retailer, consumer or market sector. The success of our company, however, will still remain largely dependent on our ability to build and maintain brand awareness and contract with and retain key licensees and on our licensees' ability to accurately predict upcoming fashion trends within their respective customer bases and fulfill the product requirements of their particular retail channels within the global marketplace.

Unanticipated changes in consumer fashion preferences, slowdowns in the U.S. economy, changes in the prices of supplies, consolidation of retail establishments, and other factors noted in "Part II - Item 1A-Risk Factors," could adversely affect our licensees' ability to meet and/or exceed their contractual commitments to us and thereby adversely affect our future operating results

Effects of Inflation. We do not believe that the relatively moderate rates of inflation experienced over the past few years in the United States, where we primarily operate, have had a significant effect on revenues or profitability.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We limit exposure to foreign currency fluctuations by requiring the majority of our licenses to be denominated in U.S. dollars. Our note receivable due from the purchasers of the Canadian trademark for Joe Boxer is denominated in Canadian dollars. If there were an adverse change of 10% in the exchange rate from Canadian dollars to U.S. dollars, the expected effect on net income would be immaterial.

We are exposed to potential loss due to changes in interest rates. Investments with interest rate risk include marketable securities. Debt with interest rate risk includes the fixed and variable rate debt. As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$170.7 million in variable interest debt under our Term Loan Facility. To mitigate interest rate risks, we have purchased derivative financial instruments such as interest rate hedges to convert certain portions of our variable rate debt to fixed interest rates. If there were an adverse change of 10% in interest rates, the expected effect on net income would be immaterial.

We invested in certain auction rate securities, herein referred to as ARS. Beginning in the third quarter of 2007 and through the Current Quarter, our balance of ARS failed to auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders, and the insurer of the ARS exercised its put option to replace the underlying securities of the ARS with its preferred securities. Further, although the ARS had paid cash dividends according to their stated terms, the payment of cash dividends ceased after July 31, 2009 and were only temporarily reinstated for the four week period from December 23, 2009 to January 15, 2010. The dividends would be resumed only if the board of directors of the insurer declared such cash dividends to be payable at a later date. In January 2010, we commenced a lawsuit against the broker-dealer of these ARS alleging, among other things, fraud, and seeking full recovery of the \$13.0 million face value of the ARS, as well as legal costs and punitive damages. These funds will not be available to us unless a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside the auction process, or if we realize recovery through settlement or legal judgment of the action brought against the broker-dealer. We estimated the fair value of our ARS to be \$7.3 million, using the present value of the weighted average of several scenarios of recovery based on our assessment of the probability of each scenario. We believe this cumulative decrease in fair value is temporary due to general macroeconomic market conditions. Further, we have the ability and intent to hold the ARS until an anticipated full redemption. The cumulative effect of the failure to auction since the third quarter of fiscal 2007 has resulted in an accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$5.7 million which is reflected in the stockholders' equity section of the consolidated balance sheet.

As described elsewhere in Note 5 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, in connection with the initial sale of our convertible notes, we entered into convertible note hedges with affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Lehman Brothers Inc. At such time, the hedging transactions were expected, but were not guaranteed, to eliminate the potential dilution upon conversion of the convertible notes. Concurrently, we entered into warrant transactions with the hedge counterparties.

On September 15, 2008 and October 3, 2008, respectively, Lehman Holdings and Lehman OTC filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in bankruptcy court. We had purchased 40% of the convertible note hedges from Lehman OTC and we had sold 40% of the warrants to Lehman OTC. If Lehman OTC does not perform such obligations and the price of our common stock exceeds the \$27.56 conversion price (as adjusted) of the convertible notes, the effective conversion price of the Convertible Notes (which is higher than the actual \$27.56 conversion price due to these hedges) would be reduced and our existing stockholders may experience dilution at the time or times the convertible notes are converted. On September 17, 2009, we filed proofs of claim with the bankruptcy court relating to the Lehman OTC convertible note hedges. We will continue to monitor the bankruptcy filings of Lehman Holdings and Lehman OTC with respect to such claims. We currently believe, although there can be no assurances, that the bankruptcy filings and their potential impact on these entities will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The effect, if any, of any of these transactions and activities on the trading price of our common stock will depend in part on market conditions and cannot be ascertained at this time, but any of these activities could adversely affect the value of our common stock.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, herein referred to as the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. The purpose of disclosure controls is to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with or submitted to the SEC under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information required to be included in our periodic SEC filings and ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

The principal executive officer and principal financial officer also conducted an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, herein referred to as internal control, to determine whether any changes in internal control occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that may have materially affected or which are reasonably likely to materially affect internal control. Based on that evaluation, there has been no change in the Company's internal control during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to affect, the Company's internal control.

## PART II. Other Information

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 10 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the risk factors disclosed in Part 1, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, set forth below are certain factors that have affected, and in the future could affect, our operations or financial condition. We operate in a changing environment that involves numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could impact our operations. The risks described below and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our financial condition and/or operating results.

Our existing and future debt obligations could impair our liquidity and financial condition, and in the event we are unable to meet our debt obligations we could lose title to our trademarks.

As of June 30, 2010, our unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheet reflects debt of approximately \$606.0 million, including secured debt of \$338.7 million (\$170.7 million under our Term Loan Facility, \$83.0 million under Asset-Backed Notes issued by our subsidiary, IP Holdings, and \$85.0 million under the Promissory Note issued by IPH Unltd), primarily all of which was incurred in connection with our acquisition activities. In accordance with ASC 820, our Convertible Notes are included in our \$606.0 million of consolidated debt at a net debt carrying value of \$255.0 million; however, the principal amount owed to the holders of our Convertible Notes is \$287.5 million. We may also assume or incur additional debt, including secured debt, in the future in connection with, or to fund, future acquisitions. Our debt obligations:

- could impair our liquidity;
- could make it more difficult for us to satisfy our other obligations;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow to payments on our debt obligations, which reduces the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other corporate requirements;
-

could impede us from obtaining additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate purposes;

impose restrictions on us with respect to the use of our available cash, including in connection with future acquisitions;

make us more vulnerable in the event of a downturn in our business prospects and could limit our flexibility to plan for, or react to, changes in our licensing markets; and

- could place us at a competitive disadvantage when compared to our competitors who have less debt.

While we believe that by virtue of the guaranteed minimum and percentage royalty payments due to us under our licenses we will generate sufficient revenues from our licensing operations to satisfy our obligations for the foreseeable future, in the event that we were to fail in the future to make any required payment under agreements governing our indebtedness or fail to comply with the financial and operating covenants contained in those agreements, we would be in default regarding that indebtedness. A debt default could significantly diminish the market value and marketability of our common stock and could result in the acceleration of the payment obligations under all or a portion of our consolidated indebtedness. In the case of our term loan facility, it would enable the lenders to foreclose on the assets securing such debt, including the Ocean Pacific/OP, Danskin, Rocawear, Starter, Mossimo, Waverly and Peanuts trademarks and related assets, as well as the trademarks and related assets acquired by us in connection with the Official-Pillowtex acquisition.

If we are unable to identify and successfully acquire additional trademarks, our growth may be limited, and, even if additional trademarks are acquired, we may not realize anticipated benefits due to integration or licensing difficulties.

A key component of our growth strategy is the acquisition of additional trademarks. Historically, we have been involved in numerous acquisitions of varying sizes. We continue to explore new acquisitions. However, as our competitors continue to pursue our brand management model, acquisitions may become more expensive and suitable acquisition candidates could become more difficult to find. In addition, even if we successfully acquire additional trademarks or the rights to use additional trademarks, we may not be able to achieve or maintain profitability levels that justify our investment in, or realize planned benefits with respect to, those additional brands.

Although we seek to temper our acquisition risks by following acquisition guidelines relating to the existing strength of the brand, its diversification benefits to us, its potential licensing scale and credit worthiness of licensee base, acquisitions, whether they be of additional intellectual property assets or of the companies that own them, entail numerous risks, any of which could detrimentally affect our results of operations and/or the value of our equity. These risks include, among others:

- unanticipated costs associated with the target acquisition;
- negative effects on reported results of operations from acquisition related charges and amortization of acquired intangibles;
- diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;
- the challenges of maintaining focus on, and continuing to execute, core strategies and business plans as our brand and license portfolio grows and becomes more diversified;
- adverse effects on existing licensing relationships;
- potential difficulties associated with the retention of key employees, and the assimilation of any other employees, who may be retained by us in connection with or as a result of our acquisitions; and
- risks of entering new domestic and international markets (whether it be with respect to new licensed product categories or new licensed product distribution channels) or markets in which we have limited prior experience.

When we acquire intellectual property assets or the companies that own them, our due diligence reviews are subject to inherent uncertainties and may not reveal all potential risks. We may therefore fail to discover or inaccurately assess undisclosed or contingent liabilities, including liabilities for which we may have responsibility as a successor to the seller or the target company. As a successor, we may be responsible for any past or continuing violations of law by

the seller or the target company, including violations of decency laws. Although we generally attempt to seek contractual protections through representations, warranties and indemnities, we cannot be sure that we will obtain such provisions in our acquisitions or that such provisions will fully protect us from all unknown, contingent or other liabilities or costs. Finally, claims against us relating to any acquisition may necessitate our seeking claims against the seller for which the seller may not indemnify us or that may exceed the scope, duration or amount of the sellers indemnification obligations.



Acquiring additional trademarks could also have a significant effect on our financial position and could cause substantial fluctuations in our quarterly and yearly operating results. Acquisitions could result in the recording of significant goodwill and intangible assets on our financial statements, the amortization or impairment of which would reduce our reported earnings in subsequent years. No assurance can be given with respect to the timing, likelihood or financial or business effect of any possible transaction. Moreover, as discussed below, our ability to grow through the acquisition of additional trademarks will also depend on the availability of capital to complete the necessary acquisition arrangements. In the event that we are unable to obtain debt financing on acceptable terms for a particular acquisition, we may elect to pursue the acquisition through the issuance by us of shares of our common stock (and, in certain cases, convertible securities) as equity consideration, which could dilute our common stock because it could reduce our earnings per share, and any such dilution could reduce the market price of our common stock unless and until we were able to achieve revenue growth or cost savings and other business economies sufficient to offset the effect of such an issuance. As a result, there is no guarantee that our stockholders will achieve greater returns as a result of any future acquisitions we complete.

A substantial portion of our licensing revenue is concentrated with a limited number of licensees such that the loss of any of such licensees could decrease our revenue and impair our cash flows.

Our licensees Wal-Mart, Target, Kohl's and Kmart, were our four largest direct-to-retail licensees during the Current Six Months, representing approximately 27%, 11%, 6% and 5%, respectively, of our total revenue for such period, while Li & Fung USA was our largest wholesale licensee, representing approximately 13% of our total revenue for such period. Our license agreement with Target for the Mossimo trademark grants it the exclusive U.S. license for substantially all Mossimo-branded products for a current term expiring in January 2012; our second license agreement with Target for the Fieldcrest mark grants it the exclusive U.S. license for substantially all Fieldcrest-branded products for a term expiring in January 2015; and our third license agreement with Target grants it the exclusive U.S. license for Waverly Home for a broad range of Waverly Home-branded products for a term expiring in January 2015. Our license agreement with Wal-Mart for the Ocean Pacific and OP trademarks grants it the exclusive license in the U.S., Canada, Mexico, China, India and Brazil for substantially all Ocean Pacific/OP-branded products for an term expiring June 30, 2011; our second license agreement with Wal-Mart for the Danskin Now trademark grants it the exclusive license in the U.S., Canada, Argentina, and Central America for substantially all Danskin Now-branded products for an initial term expiring December 2010; and our third license agreement with Wal-Mart for the Starter trademark grants it the exclusive license in the U.S., Canada and Mexico for substantially all Starter-branded products for an initial term expiring December 2013. Our license agreement with Kohl's for the Candie's trademark grants it the exclusive U.S. license for a wide variety of Candie's-branded product categories for a term expiring in January 2016, and our license agreement with Kohl's for the Mudd trademark grants it the exclusive U.S. license for a wide variety of Mudd-branded product categories for an initial term expiring in January 2015. Our license agreement with Kmart grants it the exclusive U.S. license with respect to the Joe Boxer trademark for a wide variety of product categories for a current term expiring in December 2015 and our license agreement with Kmart for the Cannon trademark granted the exclusive license in the U.S. and Canada for a wide variety of product categories for an initial term expiring February 1, 2014. Our license agreements with Li & Fung USA grant it the exclusive worldwide license with respect to our Royal Velvet trademarks for a variety of products sold exclusively at Bed Bath & Beyond in the U.S., and the exclusive license (in many countries outside of the U.S. and Canada) for the Cannon trademark for a variety of products. The term for each of these licenses with Li & Fung USA expires on December 31, 2013. Our license agreements with Wear Me Apparel LLC ("Wear Me"), a subsidiary of Li & Fung USA, for the Rocawear trademark grant it the exclusive licenses for the U.S. and its territories for sleepwear, underwear, swimwear and outerwear expire on December 31, 2010; our license agreements with Wear Me for certain Ecko trademarks grant it the exclusive licenses for the U.S. and its territories for sleepwear, underwear, swimwear and outerwear expire on December 31, 2013; and our license agreements with Wear Me for the Zoo York trademark grant it the exclusive licenses for the U.S. and its territories for sleepwear, underwear, swimwear and outerwear trademark expires on December 31, 2014. Because we are dependent on these licensees for a significant portion of our licensing revenue, if any of them

were to have financial difficulties affecting its ability to make guaranteed payments, or if any of these licensees decides not to renew or extend its existing agreement with us, our revenue and cash flows could be reduced substantially.

We are dependent upon our chief executive officer and other key executives. If we lose the services of these individuals we may not be able to fully implement our business plan and future growth strategy, which would harm our business and prospects.

Our success as a marketer and licensor of intellectual property is largely due to the efforts of Neil Cole, our president, chief executive officer and chairman. Our continued success is largely dependent upon his continued efforts and those of the other key executives he has assembled. Although we have entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Cole, expiring on December 31, 2012, as well as employment agreements with other of our key executives, there is no guarantee that we will not lose their services. To the extent that any of their services become unavailable to us, we will be required to hire other qualified executives, and we may not be successful in finding or hiring adequate replacements. This could impede our ability to fully implement our business plan and future growth strategy, which would harm our business and prospects.

We have a material amount of goodwill and other intangible assets, including our trademarks, recorded on our balance sheet. As a result of changes in market conditions and declines in the estimated fair value of these assets, we may, in the future, be required to write down a portion of this goodwill and other intangible assets and such write-down would, as applicable, either decrease our net income or increase our net loss.

As of June 30, 2010, goodwill represented approximately \$189.6 million, or approximately 10% of our total consolidated assets, and trademarks and other intangible assets represented approximately \$1,404.6 million, or approximately 75% of our total consolidated assets. Under current U.S. GAAP accounting standards, goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets, including some of our trademarks, are no longer amortized, but instead are subject to impairment evaluation based on related estimated fair values, with such testing to be done at least annually. While, to date, no impairment write-downs have been necessary, any write-down of goodwill or intangible assets resulting from future periodic evaluations would, as applicable, either decrease our net income or increase our net loss and those decreases or increases could be material.

Convertible note hedge and warrant transactions that we have entered into may affect the value of our common stock.

In connection with the initial sale of our convertible notes, we purchased hedge instruments, herein referred to as convertible note hedges, from affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Lehman Brothers Inc. At such time, the hedging transactions were expected, but were not guaranteed, to eliminate the potential dilution upon conversion of the convertible notes. Concurrently, we entered into warrant transactions with the hedge counterparties.

On September 15, 2008 and October 3, 2008, respectively, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., or Lehman Holdings, and its subsidiary, Lehman Brothers OTC Derivatives Inc., or Lehman OTC, filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Southern District of New York, herein referred to as the bankruptcy court. On September 17, 2009, we filed proofs of claim with the bankruptcy court relating to the Lehman OTC convertible note hedges. We had purchased 40% of the convertible note hedges from Lehman OTC, or the Lehman note hedges, and we had sold 40% of the warrants to Lehman OTC. Lehman OTC's obligations under the Lehman note hedges are guaranteed by Lehman Holdings. If the Lehman note hedges are rejected or terminated in connection with the Lehman OTC bankruptcy, we would have a claim against Lehman OTC and Lehman Holdings, as guarantor, for the damages and/or close-out values resulting from any such rejection or termination. While we intend to pursue any claim for damages and/or close-out values resulting from the rejection or termination of the Lehman note hedges, at this point in the Lehman bankruptcy cases it is not possible to determine with accuracy the ultimate recovery, if any, that we may realize on potential claims against Lehman OTC or Lehman Holdings, as guarantor, resulting from any rejection or termination of the Lehman note hedges. We also do not know whether Lehman OTC will assume or reject the Lehman note hedges, and therefore cannot predict whether Lehman OTC intends to perform its obligations under the Lehman note hedges. As a result, if Lehman OTC does not perform such obligations and the price of our common stock exceeds the \$27.56 conversion price (as adjusted) of the convertible notes, the effective conversion price of the convertible notes (which is higher than the actual \$27.56 conversion price due to these hedges) would be reduced and our existing stockholders may experience dilution at the time or times the convertible notes are converted. The extent of any such dilution would depend, among other things, on the then prevailing market price of our common stock and the number of shares of common stock then outstanding, but we believe the impact will not be material and will not affect our income statement presentation. We are not otherwise exposed to counterparty risk related to the Lehman bankruptcies. We currently believe, although there can be no assurance, that the bankruptcy filings and their potential impact on these entities will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We will continue to monitor the bankruptcy filings of Lehman Holdings and Lehman OTC.

Moreover, in connection with the warrant transactions with the counterparties, to the extent that the price of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the warrants, the warrant transactions could have a dilutive effect on our

earnings per share.

Due to the recent downturn in the market, certain of the marketable securities we own may take longer to auction than initially anticipated, if at all.

Marketable securities consist of auction rate securities, herein referred to as ARS. From the third quarter of 2007 to the present, our balance of ARS failed to auction due to sell orders exceeding buy orders, and the insurer of the ARS exercised its put option to replace the underlying securities of the auction rate securities with its preferred securities. Further, although the ARS had paid cash dividends according to their stated terms, the payment of cash dividends ceased after July 31, 2009, and would be resumed only if the board of directors of the insurer declares such cash dividends to be payable at a later date. The insurer's board of directors temporarily reinstated dividend payments for the 4-week period from December 23, 2009 to January 15, 2010. In January 2010, we commenced a lawsuit against the broker-dealer of these ARS alleging, among other things, fraud, and seeking full recovery of the \$13.0 million face value of the ARS, as well as legal costs and punitive damages. These funds will not be available to us unless a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside the auction process, or if we realize recovery through settlement or legal judgment of the action being brought against the broker. As a result, \$13.0 million of our ARS have been written down to approximately \$7.3 million, based on our evaluation, as an unrealized pre-tax loss to reflect a temporary decrease in fair value, reflected as an accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$5.7 million in the stockholders' equity section of our consolidated balance sheet. We estimated the fair value of our ARS using the present value of the weighted average of several scenarios of recovery based on our assessment of the probability of each scenario. We believe this cumulative decrease in fair value is temporary due to general macroeconomic market conditions. Further, we have the ability and intent to hold the securities until an anticipated full redemption. However, there are no assurances that a successful auction will occur, that we can find a buyer outside the auction process, or that we will realize full recovery through settlement or legal judgment.

A decline in general economic conditions resulting in a decrease in consumer-spending levels and an inability to access capital may adversely affect our business.

Many economic factors beyond our control may impact our forecasts and actual performance. These factors include consumer confidence, consumer spending levels, employment levels, availability of consumer credit, recession, deflation, inflation, a general slowdown of the U.S. economy or an uncertain economic outlook. Furthermore, changes in the credit and capital markets, including market disruptions, limited liquidity and interest rate fluctuations, may increase the cost of financing or restrict our access to potential sources of capital for future acquisitions.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table represents information with respect to purchases of common stock made by the Company during the Current Quarter:

Month of purchase	Total number of shares purchased(1)	Average price paid per share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
April 1 – April 30	16,324	\$ 16.56	\$ -	\$ 71,722,003
May 1 – May 31	1,010	\$ 17.69	\$ -	\$ 71,722,003
June 1 – June 30	9,800	\$ 15.18	\$ -	\$ 71,722,003
Total	27,134	\$ 16.10	\$ -	\$ 71,722,003

(1) On November 3, 2008, the Company announced that the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$75 million of the Company's common stock over a period ending October 30, 2011. This authorization replaced any prior plan or authorization. The current plan does not obligate the Company to repurchase any specific number of shares and may be suspended at any time at management's discretion. Amounts not purchased under the stock repurchase program represent shares surrendered to the Company to pay withholding taxes due upon the vesting of restricted stock.

## Item 6. Exhibits

### EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT

Exhibit 10.1	Purchase Agreement dated as of April 26, 2010 by and among Iconix Brand Group, Inc., United Feature Syndicate, Inc. and the E.W. Scripps Company. (1)
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To Rule 13a-14 or 15d-14 of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Edgar Filing: ICONIX BRAND GROUP, INC. - Form 10-Q

- Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To Rule 13a-14 or 15d-14 of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 302 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant To Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (1) Previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 30, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein. The Registrant has omitted certain schedules and exhibits and shall furnish supplementally to the SEC, copies of any of the omitted schedules and exhibits upon request by the SEC.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Iconix Brand Group, Inc.  
(Registrant)

Date: August 4, 2010

/s/ Neil Cole  
Neil Cole  
Chairman of the Board, President  
and Chief Executive Officer  
(on Behalf of the Registrant)

Date: August 4, 2010

/s/ Warren Clamen  
Warren Clamen  
Executive Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer