

UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA INC
Form 10-Q
August 15, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

Commission file number 000-25917

UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 63-0833573
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
organization)

200 East Nashville Avenue, Atmore, Alabama 36502
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(251) 446-6000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report(s), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as define in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of August 12, 2011.

Class A Common Stock.... 2,348,185 Shares

Class B Common Stock.... -0- Shares

UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA, INC.

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

INDEX

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION		PAGE
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Income</u>	4
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	36
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	46
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION		
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	47
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	47

Index

PART I--FINANCIAL INFORMATION

United Bancorporation Of Alabama, Inc.
and Subsidiary
Consolidated Balance Sheets

Item 1. Financial Statements

	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$10,455,302	\$18,179,566
Interest bearing deposits in banks	42,441,489	62,786,543
Cash and cash equivalents	52,896,791	80,966,109
Securities available for sale (amortized cost of \$66,014,500 and \$69,518,789 respectively)	66,211,005	68,808,624
Securities held to maturity (fair values of \$21,748,689 and \$17,302,864 respectively)	21,390,928	17,262,606
Loans held for sale	857,000	-
Loans held for investment	263,755,383	261,770,815
Less: Allowance for loan losses	5,405,326	5,139,998
Net loans held for investment	258,350,057	256,630,817
Premises and equipment, net	16,117,302	16,472,056
Interest receivable	2,065,190	2,192,768
Other assets	12,887,418	14,723,722
Other real estate owned	10,852,521	10,163,992
Total assets	441,628,212	467,220,694
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	122,669,478	142,681,749
Interest bearing	268,073,556	274,350,971
Total deposits	390,743,034	417,032,720
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta	1,197,900	1,280,300
Treasury, tax, and loan account	887,507	944,078
Interest payable	342,947	402,953
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	1,585,576	1,731,437
Note payable to Trust	10,310,000	10,310,000
Total liabilities	405,066,964	431,701,488
Stockholders' equity	10,114,279	10,080,227

Edgar Filing: UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA INC - Form 10-Q

Preferred stock of \$.01 par value. Authorized 250,000 shares;10,300 shares, net of discount		
Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value.		
Authorized 5,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding, 2,389,127 shares	23,891	23,891
Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value.		
Authorized 250,000 shares; no shares issued or outstanding	-	-
Additional paid in capital	6,963,919	6,815,176
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) net of tax	117,898	(426,105)
Retained earnings	19,854,120	19,721,667
	37,074,107	36,214,856
Less: 63,965 and 86,757 treasury shares, at cost, respectively	512,859	695,650
Total stockholders' equity	36,561,248	35,519,206
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$441,628,212	\$467,220,694

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Index

United Bancorporation Of Alabama, Inc.
 And Subsidiary
 Consolidated Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Income
 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest income:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$4,004,038	\$4,233,077	\$7,901,026	\$8,371,846
Interest on investment securities available for sale:				
Taxable	430,250	396,013	868,379	824,678
Nontaxable	82,102	237,282	166,748	495,650
Total investment income	512,352	633,295	1,035,127	1,320,328
Other interest income	29,871	39,271	75,563	72,005
Total interest income	4,546,261	4,905,643	9,011,716	9,764,179
Interest expense:				
Interest on deposits	794,015	1,140,477	1,660,422	2,445,931
Interest on other borrowed funds	70,281	72,776	139,335	141,149
Total interest expense	864,296	1,213,253	1,799,757	2,587,080
Net interest income	3,681,965	3,692,390	7,211,959	7,177,099
Provision for loan losses	300,000	600,000	600,000	1,038,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	3,381,965	3,092,390	6,611,959	6,139,099
Noninterest income:				
Service charge on deposits	793,622	834,472	1,590,304	1,660,489
Investment securities gains, net	25,104	59,889	25,104	219,354
Mortgage loan and related fees	57,466	82,736	121,036	137,701
Other	271,860	233,216	442,948	422,806
Total noninterest income	1,148,052	1,210,313	2,179,392	2,440,350
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries and benefits	2,213,492	2,153,319	4,421,362	4,321,981
Net occupancy expense	484,811	557,790	968,502	1,096,266
Other	1,327,274	1,505,006	2,605,638	2,737,353
Total noninterest expense	4,025,577	4,216,115	7,995,502	8,155,600
Earnings before income tax expense (benefits)	504,440	86,588	795,849	423,849
Income tax expense (benefits)	139,976	(58,767)	204,023	(77,309)
Net earnings	364,464	145,355	591,826	501,158
Preferred stock dividends	51,500	128,750	103,000	257,500
Accretion on preferred stock discount	15,249	16,192	32,153	32,153
Net earnings available to common shareholders	\$297,715	\$413	\$456,673	\$211,505

Edgar Filing: UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA INC - Form 10-Q

Basic earnings per share	\$0.13	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.09
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.13	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.09
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	2,325,162	2,279,669	2,319,621	2,277,940
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	2,325,162	2,280,456	2,319,621	2,278,727
Cash dividend per share	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Statement of Comprehensive Income

Net earnings	\$364,464	\$145,355	\$591,826	\$501,158
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized holding gains arising during the period	676,370	488,290	559,065	516,427
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net earnings	(15,062)	(35,933)	(15,062)	(131,612)
Comprehensive Income	\$1,025,772	\$597,712	\$1,135,829	\$885,973

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Index

United Bancorporation Of Alabama, Inc
 And Subsidiary
 Consollidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net earnings	\$ 591,826	\$ 501,158
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities		
Provision for loan losses	600,000	1,038,000
Depreciation of premises and equipment	471,032	583,058
Net amortization of premium / discount on investment securities available for sale	207,859	118,576
Net amortization of premium / discount on investment securities held to maturity	83,089	26,128
Gain on sales of investment securities available for sale, net	(25,104)	(219,354)
Loss (gain) on sale of other real estate	9,281	(27,066)
Originations of loans held for sale	(1,493,350)	-
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	636,350	-
Stock-based compensation	12,446	13,748
Decrease in interest receivable	127,578	364,122
Decrease in other assets	1,474,857	533,366
Decrease in interest payable	(60,006)	(140,721)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(145,861)	54,887
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,489,997	2,845,902
Cash flows from investing activities		
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal repayments of investment securities available for sale	4,912,042	13,933,798
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and principal repayments of investment securities held to maturity	2,387,586	5,235,000
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale	5,554,800	6,405,693
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(7,145,308)	(28,837,863)
Purchases of investment securities held to maturity	(6,598,997)	-
Net increase in loans	(3,832,411)	(580,138)
Purchases of premises and equipment, net	(116,278)	(40,170)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate	814,142	236,846
Net cash used in investing activities	(4,024,424)	(3,646,834)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	(26,289,686)	34,548,696
Cash dividends - preferred stock	(103,000)	(257,500)
Cash dividends - common stock	(3,234)	(3,220)
Repayments of advances from FHLB Atlanta	(82,400)	(82,400)
Increase (decrease) in other borrowed funds	(56,571)	242,296

Edgar Filing: UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(26,534,891)	34,447,872
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(28,069,318)	33,646,940
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	80,966,109	54,668,111
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 52,896,791	\$ 88,315,051
Supplemental disclosures		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 1,859,763	\$ 2,727,801
Income taxes	52,509	51,704
Noncash transactions		
Transfer of loans to other real estatethrough foreclosure	\$ 1,513,171	\$ 1,304,139

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Index

UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA, INC.
AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1 – General

This report includes interim consolidated financial statements of United Bancorporation of Alabama, Inc. (the “Corporation”) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, United Bank (the “Bank”). The interim consolidated financial statements in this report have not been audited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods have been made. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim periods. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Index

NOTE 2 – Net Earnings per Share

Basic net earnings per share were computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Common stock outstanding consists of issued shares less treasury stock. Diluted net earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and the dilutive effects of the shares subject to options and restricted stock grants awarded under the Corporation's equity incentive plans, based on the treasury stock method using an average fair market value of the stock during the respective periods. There was no dilutive effect for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 because the exercise price of the stock options was greater than the fair value of the stock as of June 30, 2011. Presented below is a summary of the components used to calculate diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.13	\$-	\$0.20	\$0.09
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,325,162	2,279,669	2,319,621	2,277,940
Effect of the assumed exercise of stock options based on the treasury stock method using average market price	-	787	-	787
Total weighted average common shares and potential common stock outstanding	2,325,162	2,280,456	2,319,621	2,278,727

Index

NOTE 3 – Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
June 30, 2011				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$20,159,111	\$179,918	\$(42,935)	\$20,296,094
U.S. government sponsored agencies	31,867,490	345,553	(191,962)	32,021,081
State and political subdivisions	9,012,111	130,971	(173,386)	8,969,696
Mortgage-backed securities	4,965,635	-	(48,941)	4,916,694
Equity securities	10,153	-	(2,713)	7,440
	\$66,014,500	\$656,442	\$(459,937)	\$66,211,005
December 31, 2010				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$19,207,231	\$157,497	\$(135,666)	19,229,062
U.S. governmentsponsored agencies	35,391,603	273,654	(497,372)	35,167,885
State and political subdivisions	9,744,841	69,416	(447,406)	9,366,851
Mortgage-backed securities	5,164,961	-	(126,710)	5,038,251
Equity securities	10,153	-	(3,578)	6,575
	\$69,518,789	\$500,567	\$(1,210,732)	\$68,808,624

Index

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities held to maturity at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
June 30, 2011				
U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$14,855,639	\$331,276	\$(66,567)	\$15,120,348
Mortgage-backed securities	6,535,289	93,052	-	6,628,341
	\$21,390,928	\$424,328	\$(66,567)	\$21,748,689
December 31, 2010				
U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$17,262,606	\$290,222	\$(249,964)	\$17,302,864
	\$17,262,606	\$290,222	\$(249,964)	\$17,302,864

Index

Those investment securities classified as available for sale which have an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are detailed below:

June 30, 2011

Description of Securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses
U.S. Treasury securities	\$3,032,344	\$(42,935)	\$-	\$-	\$3,032,344	\$(42,935)
U.S. government sponsored agencies	13,241,628	(191,962)	-	-	13,241,628	(191,962)
State and political subdivisions	2,325,159	(53,880)	1,079,276	(119,506)	3,404,435	(173,386)
Mortgage-backed securities	4,916,694	(48,941)	-	-	4,916,694	(48,941)
Equity securities	-	-	7,440	(2,713)	7,440	(2,713)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$23,515,825	\$(337,718)	\$1,086,716	\$(122,219)	\$24,602,541	\$(459,937)

December 31, 2010

Description of Securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses
U.S. Treasury securities	\$3,954,531	\$(135,666)	\$-	\$-	\$3,954,531	\$(135,666)
U.S. government sponsored agencies	17,681,269	(497,372)	-	-	17,681,269	(497,372)
State and political subdivisions	4,537,904	(289,375)	636,830	(158,031)	5,174,734	(447,406)
Mortgage-backed securities	5,038,251	(126,710)	-	-	5,038,251	(126,710)
Equity securities	-	-	6,575	(3,578)	6,575	(3,578)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$31,211,955	\$(1,049,123)	\$643,405	\$(161,609)	\$31,855,360	\$(1,210,732)

Index

Those investment securities classified as held to maturity which have an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are detailed below.

June 30, 2011

Description of Securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses
U.S. Government sponsored agencies	\$6,752,953	\$(66,567)	\$-	\$-	\$6,752,953	\$(66,567)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$6,752,953	\$(66,567)	\$-	\$-	\$6,752,953	\$(66,567)

December 31, 2010

Description of Securities	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses	Fair Value	Unrealized losses
U.S. Government sponsored agencies	\$7,640,567	\$(249,964)	\$-	\$-	\$7,640,567	\$(249,964)
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$7,640,567	\$(249,964)	\$-	\$-	\$7,640,567	\$(249,964)

U.S. Treasury securities. The unrealized loss on one investment in U.S. Treasury obligations was caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of the investment do not permit the issuer to settle the security at a price less than the amortized cost base of the investment. Because the Corporation does not currently intend to sell the investment and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investment before recovery of the amortized cost base, which may be at maturity, the Corporation does not consider this investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

U.S. Government sponsored agencies. The unrealized losses on twelve investments in direct obligations of U.S. government sponsored agencies were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Corporation does not currently intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity, the Corporation does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

States and political subdivisions. The unrealized losses associated with thirteen securities issued by state and political subdivisions are primarily driven by wider credit spreads and changes in interest rates. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Corporation does not currently intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity, the Corporation does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

Index

Mortgage-backed securities. The unrealized losses on the Corporation's investment in two mortgage-backed securities were caused by interest rate increases. As the mortgaged-backed securities are obligations of GNMA and a direct obligation of the U.S. Government, the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality. Because the Corporation does not currently intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity, the Corporation does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

Equity securities. The Corporation's investment in equity securities consists of a single investment in the common stock of a government-sponsored enterprise. Because of the Corporation's ability and intent to hold the investment for a reasonable period of time sufficient for a forecasted recovery of fair value, the Corporation does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011.

Index

The following table presents the amortized costs, fair value and weighted-average yield of securities available for sale by contractual maturity at June 30, 2011. In some cases, the issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without call or prepayment penalties prior to the contractual maturity date. Rates are calculated on a fully tax-equivalent basis using a 35% Federal Income Tax rate.

	Within 1 Year	1 to 5 Years	5 to 10 Years	Over 10 Years	Total
Amortized Cost					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$8,013,619	\$8,062,098	\$4,083,394	\$-	\$20,159,111
U.S. government sponsored agencies	-	18,572,835	13,294,655	-	31,867,490
State and political subdivisions	149,935	563,866	2,988,833	5,309,477	9,012,111
Mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	4,965,635	4,965,635
Equity securities	10,153	-	-	-	10,153
Total	\$8,173,707	\$27,198,799	\$20,366,882	\$10,275,112	\$66,014,500
Fair Value					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$8,049,688	\$8,194,844	\$4,051,562	\$-	\$20,296,094
U.S. government sponsored agencies	-	18,705,727	13,315,354	-	32,021,081
State and political subdivisions	150,963	590,135	3,009,989	5,218,609	8,969,696
Mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	4,916,694	4,916,694
Equity securities	7,440	-	-	-	7,440
Total	\$8,208,091	\$27,490,706	\$20,376,905	\$10,135,303	\$66,211,005
Total Average Yield	1.04	% 1.64	% 2.84	% 3.88	% 2.28

The following table presents the amortized costs, fair value and weighted-average yield of securities held to maturity by contractual maturity at June 30, 2011. In some cases, the issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without call or prepayment penalties prior to the contractual maturity date. Rates are calculated on a fully tax-equivalent basis using a 35% Federal income tax rate.

	Within 1 Year	1 to 5 Years	5 to 10 Years	Over 10 Years	Total
Amortized Cost					
U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$999,913	\$7,036,207	\$6,819,519	\$-	\$14,855,639
Mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	6,535,289	6,535,289
Total	\$999,913	\$7,036,207	\$6,819,519	\$6,535,289	\$21,390,928
Fair Value					
U.S. government sponsored agencies	\$1,009,525	\$7,357,871	\$6,752,952	\$-	\$15,120,348
Mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	6,628,341	6,628,341
Total	\$1,009,525	\$7,357,871	\$6,752,952	\$6,628,341	\$21,748,689
Total Average Yield	2.02	% 2.76	% 2.11	% 3.16	% 2.64

Index

The gross gains and gross losses realized by the Corporation from sales of investment securities available for sale for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross gains realized	\$ 25,697	\$ 69,583	\$ 25,697	\$ 306,058
Gross losses realized	(593)	(9,694)	(593)	(86,704)
Net gain (loss) realized	\$ 25,104	\$ 59,889	\$ 25,104	\$ 219,354

There were no sales of securities held to maturity for the three or six months ended June 30, 2011 or 2010.

Investment securities available for sale with fair values of \$30,551,574 and \$28,143,732 at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure federal funds lines, Federal Home Loan Bank advances, and public and trust deposits as required by law and for other purposes.

Investment securities held to maturity with amortized costs of \$13,856,669 and \$15,424,330 at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure federal funds lines and public and trust deposits as required by law and for other purposes.

Restricted equity securities (included in Other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets) consist of the following as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	\$ 946,700	\$ 1,046,700
First National Bankers' Bank stock	825,000	825,000
	\$ 1,771,700	\$ 1,871,700

Index

NOTE 4 – Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Real estate:		
Construction and land loans	\$ 36,081,554	\$ 36,825,855
Farmland	30,286,286	30,269,876
1-4 family residential mortgage	56,879,895	58,342,993
Multifamily	3,478,769	3,082,066
Commercial	72,848,376	73,800,481
Agriculture	24,012,923	12,633,816
Commercial	22,146,804	29,413,147
Consumer	14,027,496	13,597,817
States and political subdivisions	3,899,262	3,735,144
Other loans	94,018	69,620
Gross loans held for investment	263,755,383	261,770,815
Allowance for loan losses	(5,405,326)	(5,139,998)
Net loans held for investment	258,350,057	256,630,817
Loans held for sale	857,000	-
Total loans	\$ 259,207,057	\$ 256,630,817

Historically, the Bank has acted in an agent or broker capacity when originating mortgage loans for customers. During the second quarter, the Bank began to originate mortgage loans in a principal capacity and hold them for resale. These loans, totaling \$857,000 as of June 30, 2011, are held pending sale at their fair value and identified in the table above. The Bank may be exposed to additional, yet contained, risks associated with the addition of loans held for sale to both the its loan portfolio and operations. These risks are further discussed in Risk Factors.

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six month periods ended (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	2010
Balance at beginning of year	5,140	7,436
Provision charged to expense	600	1,038
Loans charged off	(448)	(807)
Recoveries	113	74
Balance at end of period	5,405	7,741

Index

At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the amounts of nonaccrual loans were \$15,146,000 and \$20,891,000, respectively.

The Corporation assigns a risk rating to each loan when approved. The rating categories are based on information about the ability of borrowers to service the debt. Such information includes, among other things, current financial information, payment history, credit documentation and current economic conditions. Loan Officers are expected and required to initiate recommendations for changes in assigned risk ratings according to changes in the overall levels of risk in each loan in their portfolio no less than monthly. The current risk rating will be reviewed from time to time by the Chief Credit Officer and the Special Assets Officer for concurrence. The Corporation uses the following guidelines in determining the appropriate risk rating:

Grade 1: Investment Grade – There is an absence of credit risk. Loans in this category are fully secured by United Bank certificates of deposit or savings accounts (demand deposit accounts are not eligible as collateral). The certificate should be sufficient in amount to cover principal and interest.

Grade 2: Minimal Credit Risk – The overall financial condition is very strong. Businesses should have high liquidity, a history of stable and predictable earnings, a strong management team and the primary source of repayment is clear and subject to little risk. Customers should have a substantial net worth in liquid assets with a well defined source of repayment.

Grade 3: Attractive Credit Risk - The overall financial condition is good. Financial statements are current and show satisfactory income, profits, cash flow, and debt service coverage, debt to worth ratio and credit history. Loans in this category are properly structured and documented and require only minimal supervision.

Grade 4: Average Risk – The overall financial condition is average. Credit history has been satisfactory. Refinancing could be obtained with normal effort. Financial statements are current and show some volatility in income, profits, cash flow, debt service coverage or credit history. The volatility is easily identifiable and has been addressed and does not constitute an unwarranted level of risk.

Grade 5: Acceptable Risk – The overall financial condition of the business or individual is acceptable. There is more than average credit risk and the credit should be more closely watched but there is little chance of loss. While acceptable, loans in this category may warrant close monitoring for any number of reasons including inconsistent earnings, leveraged balance sheet, economic conditions, collateral requiring close supervision, financial information that is stale or incomplete or irregular payment record.

Index

Grade 6: Monitor - This asset has potential weakness and deserves management attention. If left uncorrected the potential weakness may result in deterioration of the overall financial condition. There is no room for debt expansion and they are fully leveraged. If liquidation were to take place there could be a minimal loss and thus an analysis should be made to determine if a specific reserve is needed.

Grade 7: Substandard – This asset is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged. Loans in this category involve more than a normal risk. There is limited opportunity to refinance. If liquidation were to take place there could be some recognized loss exposure. If the loan is determined to be impaired, an analysis will be performed to determine the amount of reserve, if any, to be recognized.

Grade 8: Doubtful – A loss is highly likely and there probably will be a default. There is no ability to refinance. At this point collection effort should be in full process. Loans in this category will be reserved at a specific amount in line with the impairment analysis performed if the loan is determined to be impaired.

These risk ratings are summarized into categories as follows: Pass includes loans with Grades 1-5, Special Mention includes loans with a Grade of 6, and Substandard / Doubtful include loans with Grades 7 and 8.

The following tables summarize the credit risk profile of the loan portfolio by internally assigned grades as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands).

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard / Doubtful	Total
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$21,355	\$3,852	\$10,875	\$36,082
Farmland	20,105	5,049	5,132	30,286
1-4 family residential mortgage	51,406	4,171	1,303	56,880
Multifamily	3,479	-	-	3,479
Commercial	50,770	12,183	9,895	72,848
Agriculture	18,641	3,480	1,892	24,013
Commercial	19,902	774	1,471	22,147
Consumer	13,941	63	23	14,027
States and political subdivisions	3,899	-	-	3,899
Other loans	94	-	-	94
Total	\$203,592	\$29,572	\$30,591	\$263,755

Approximately \$534,000 of the \$30,591,000 identified as Substandard / Doubtful above were considered Doubtful as of June 30, 2011.

Index

The following table summarizes the credit risk profile of our loan portfolio by internally assigned grades as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard / Doubtful	Total
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$19,306	\$4,250	\$13,270	\$36,826
Farmland	18,438	6,352	5,480	30,270
1-4 family residential mortgage	52,544	3,538	2,261	58,343
Multifamily	3,082	-	-	3,082
Commercial	53,704	12,026	8,070	73,800
Agriculture	8,807	2,110	1,717	12,634
Commercial	21,853	3,223	4,337	29,413
Consumer	13,335	65	198	13,598
States and political subdivisions	3,735	-	-	3,735
Other loans	65	-	5	70
Total	\$194,869	\$31,564	\$35,338	\$261,771

Approximately \$615,000 of the \$35,338,000 identified as Substandard / Doubtful above were considered Doubtful as of December 31, 2010.

Index

The following table details the Bank's non-accrual loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Non-accrual loans:		
Real estate:		
Construction, land development, and other land loans	\$7,948	\$ 8,966
Farmland	851	1,210
1-4 family residential mortgage	508	801
Multifamily	-	-
Commercial	2,998	2,803
Agriculture	1,468	1,603
Commercial	1,371	2,162
Consumer	2	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-
Other loans	-	-
Total nonaccrual loans	\$15,146	\$ 17,545

The following table details the Bank's allowance for loan loss as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Allowance for loan losses:		
Real estate:		
Construction, land development, and other land loans	\$2,298	\$ 2,176
Farmland	147	119
1-4 family residential mortgage	557	576
Multifamily	8	7
Commercial	1,209	1,100
Agriculture	293	201
Commercial	714	772
Consumer	167	178
States and political subdivisions	9	9
Other loans	3	2
Total allowance for loan losses	\$5,405	\$ 5,140

Index

Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010		
Average amount of loans outstanding, net	\$264,795	\$282,441		
Reserve for loan losses:				
Beginning balance	\$5,140	\$7,436		
Loans charged off:				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	(41)	(188)		
Farmland	-	-		
1-4 family residential mortgage	(199)	(238)		
Multifamily	-	-		
Commercial	(109)	(29)		
Agriculture	(17)	-		
Commercial	(39)	(241)		
Consumer	(42)	(107)		
States and political subdivisions	-	-		
Other loans	(1)	(4)		
Total charged off	(448)	(807)		
Recoveries:				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	4	8		
Farmland	-	-		
1-4 family residential mortgage	5	40		
Multifamily	-	-		
Commercial	9	-		
Agriculture	7	10		
Commercial	72	2		
Consumer	16	13		
States and political subdivisions	-	-		
Other loans	-	1		
Total recoveries	113	74		
Loans charged off, net	(335)	(733)		
Additions to the allowance charged to operations	600	1,038		
Ending balance	\$5,405	\$7,741		
Net annualized charge offs to average loans	0.25	%	0.52	%

Index

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events; it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due (both principal and interest) according to the terms of the loan agreement.

The following tables detail the Bank's impaired loans, by portfolio class, as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands).

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
With no specific allowance reserved				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$229	\$229	\$-	\$2
Farmland	663	663	-	-
1-4 family residential mortgage	427	427	-	-
Multifamily	-	-	-	-
Commercial	314	314	-	11
Agriculture	1,352	1,352	-	-
Commercial	113	632	-	1
Consumer	207	207	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-	-
Total	\$3,305	\$3,824	\$-	\$14

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
With specific allowance reserved				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$8,697	\$10,300	\$1,181	\$36
Farmland	147	147	69	-
1-4 family residential mortgage	634	634	56	26
Multifamily	-	-	-	-
Commercial	2,889	2,889	665	-
Agriculture	116	116	65	-
Commercial	1,382	2,632	495	3
Consumer	-	-	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-	-
Total	\$13,865	\$16,718	\$2,531	\$65

Index

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
Total Impaired Loans				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$8,926	\$10,529	\$1,181	\$38
Farmland	810	810	69	-
1-4 family residential mortgage	1,061	1,061	56	26
Multifamily	-	-	-	-
Commercial	3,203	3,203	665	11
Agriculture	1,468	1,468	65	-
Commercial	1,495	3,264	495	4
Consumer	207	207	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-	-
Total	\$17,170	\$20,542	\$2,531	\$79

The following tables detail the Bank's impaired loans, by portfolio class, as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
With no specific allowance reserved				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$2,748	\$3,948	\$-	\$2
Farmland	3,485	3,485	-	55
1-4 family residential mortgage	1,320	1,320	-	42
Multifamily	-	-	-	-
Commercial	256	256	-	11
Agriculture	1,338	1,338	-	45
Commercial	1,157	3,168	-	12
Consumer	-	-	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-	-
Total	\$10,304	\$13,515	\$-	\$167

Index

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
With specific allowance reserved				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$6,813	\$7,315	\$1,029	\$95
Farmland	150	150	33	5
1-4 family residential mortgage	223	223	129	9
Multifamily	-	-	-	-
Commercial	2,871	2,871	565	61
Agriculture	252	252	74	14
Commercial	987	987	445	8
Consumer	-	-	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-	-
Total	\$11,296	\$11,798	\$2,275	\$192

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
Total Impaired Loans				
Real estate:				
Construction and land loans	\$9,561	\$11,263	\$1,029	\$97
Farmland	3,635	3,635	33	60
1-4 family residential mortgage	1,543	1,543	129	51
Multifamily	-	-	-	-
Commercial	3,127	3,127	565	72
Agriculture	1,590	1,590	74	59
Commercial	2,144	4,155	445	20
Consumer	-	-	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-	-
Total	\$21,600	\$25,313	\$2,275	\$359

The impaired loan amounts above included approximately \$213,000 and \$383,000 of troubled debt restructured loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Of the \$21,600,000 in impaired loans as of December 31, 2010, approximately \$266,000 was charged off against the allowance, \$1,716,000 was foreclosed and recorded as ORE, and \$3,965,000 was repaid in the first six months of 2011. The remainder was not considered impaired in the 2011 analysis.

Index

The average amount of impaired loans for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$19,385,000. If impaired loans had been current throughout their terms, interest income would have been increased by \$392,554 as of the six months ended June 30, 2011. There was \$78,500 of interest income recognized from impaired loans for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the allowance related to impaired loans and the impaired loan balances by portfolio segment at June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	ALL Ending Balance	Individually Evaluated	Collectively Evaluated
Real estate:			
Construction and land loans	\$1,181	\$8,926	\$-
Farmland	69	810	-
1-4 family residential mortgage	56	1,061	-
Multifamily	-	-	-
Commercial	665	3,203	-
Agriculture	65	1,468	-
Commercial	495	1,495	-
Consumer	-	207	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-
Total	\$2,531	\$17,170	\$-

Index

The following table summarizes the allowance related to impaired loans and the impaired loan balances by portfolio segment at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	ALL Ending Balance	Individually Evaluated	Collectively Evaluated
Real estate:			
Construction and land loans	\$1,029	\$9,561	\$-
Farmland	33	3,635	-
1-4 family residential mortgage	129	1,543	-
Multifamily	-	-	-
Commercial	565	3,127	-
Agriculture	74	1,590	-
Commercial	445	2,144	-
Consumer	-	-	-
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-
Other loans	-	-	-
Total	\$2,275	\$21,600	\$-

Index

The table below provides an analysis of past due status as of June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Past Due Loans (Accruing Interest)				Nonaccrual	Current	Total Loans
	30-59 days	60-89 days	90+ days	Total			
Real estate:							
Construction and land loans	\$393	\$24	\$-	\$417	\$7,948	\$27,717	\$36,082
Farmland	365	-	-	365	851	29,070	30,286
1-4 family residential mortgage	497	56	-	553	508	55,819	56,880
Multifamily	-	-	-	-	-	3,479	3,479
Commercial	1,733	-	-	1,733	2,998	68,117	72,848
Agriculture	34	-	-	34	1,468	22,511	24,013
Commercial	37	-	-	37	1,371	20,739	22,147
Consumer	49	14	-	63	2	13,962	14,027
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-	-	3,899	3,899
Other loans	-	-	-	-	-	94	94
Totals	\$3,108	\$94	\$-	\$3,202	\$15,146	\$245,407	\$263,755

The table below provides an analysis of past due status as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Past Due Loans (Accruing Interest)				Nonaccrual	Current	Total Loans
	30-59 days	60-89 days	90+ days	Total			
Real estate:							
Construction and land loans	\$860	\$106	\$-	\$966	\$8,966	\$26,894	\$36,826
Farmland	2,527	18	519	3,064	1,210	25,996	30,270
1-4 family residential mortgage	155	51	-	206	801	57,336	58,343
Multifamily	-	-	-	-	-	3,082	3,082
Commercial	880	610	-	1,490	2,803	69,507	73,800
Agriculture	-	-	-	-	1,603	11,031	12,634
Commercial	119	-	-	119	2,162	27,132	29,413
Consumer	100	15	19	134	-	13,464	13,598
States and political subdivisions	-	-	-	-	-	3,735	3,735
Other loans	1	-	-	1	-	69	70
Totals	\$4,642	\$800	\$538	\$5,980	\$17,545	\$238,246	\$261,771

NOTE 5 – Operating Segments

The Corporation operates in only one segment – commercial banking.

Index

NOTE 6 – Stock Based Compensation

At June 30, 2011, the Corporation had two stock-based compensation plans. The 1998 Stock Option Plan and the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan are described more fully in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Corporation recognizes compensation expense for all stock based payments based upon the grant date fair value.

Stock Options

1998 Stock Option Plan

The following table represents stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares under option	average exercise price per share	remaining contractual life
Options outstanding, beginning of period	22,486	16.15	
Granted	—	—	
Surrendered	—	—	
Exercised	—	—	
Options outstanding, end of period	22,486	16.15	1.7
Exercisable, end of period	22,486	16.15	1.7

There was no intrinsic value of option shares outstanding and exercisable for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The 1998 Stock Option Plan expired pursuant to its terms effective December 22, 1998 and no additional awards will be made under such plan.

Index

2007 Equity Incentive Plan

The following table represents stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Shares under option	Weighted average exercise price per share	Weighted average remaining contractual life
Options outstanding, beginning of period	4,000	\$ 14.85	8.4
Granted	—	—	
Surrendered	—	—	
Exercised	—	—	
Options outstanding, end of period	4,000	14.85	7.9
Exercisable, end of period	2,400	14.85	7.9

There was no intrinsic value of option shares outstanding and exercisable for the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Restricted Stock

The following table represents restricted stock activity under the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2011:

	Restricted stock activity	Weighted average fair value
Shares under grant at beginning of period	5,515	16.65
Granted	—	—
Surrendered	—	—
Vested	—	—
Shares under grant at end of period	5,515	16.65

Shares available for future stock grants to employees and directors under the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan of United Bancorporation of Alabama, Inc. were 293,843 at June 30, 2011.

As of June 30, 2011, there was \$50,383 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to the nonvested share based compensation arrangements granted under the 1998 and 2007 Plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a period of approximately 3 years.

NOTE 7 – Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Corporation uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic (FASB ASC 820), the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Corporation's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the

assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

Index

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with this guidance, the Corporation groups its financial assets and financial liabilities generally measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

Level 1 - Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets and liabilities generally include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Valuation is based on inputs other than quoted prices included within level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. The valuation may be based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Valuation is based on unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Index

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Available for Sale Securities

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would include highly liquid government bonds, mortgage products and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows. Level 2 securities include U.S. agency securities, mortgage-backed agency securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions and certain corporate, asset backed and other securities. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

	Assets Measured at Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level (2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
AFS Securities	\$66,211,005	\$20,303,534	\$45,907,471	\$ -

	Assets/Liabilities Measured at Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level (2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
AFS Securities	\$ 68,808,624	\$21,203,537	\$47,605,087	\$ -

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Impaired loans are carried at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate, or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Corporation records the loan impairment as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Corporation records the loan impairment as nonrecurring Level 3.

Index

Other Real Estate (Foreclosed Assets)

Other real estate is adjusted to fair value upon transfer from the loan portfolio. Subsequently, other real estate assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Corporation records the other real estate as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Corporation records the other real estate as nonrecurring Level 3.

Index

The following tables present the assets carried on the balance sheet by asset type and by level within the FASB ASC 820 valuation hierarchy (as described above) as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

	Carrying Value at June 30, 2011				Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level3	Total gains (losses)
Impaired loans (1)	\$ 11,604,753	\$-	\$-	\$ 11,604,753	\$(576,632)
Foreclosed assets	10,853,521	-	-	10,853,521	(9,281)

(1) Losses related to loans were recognized as either charge-offs or specific allocations of the allowance for loan loss

	Carrying Value at December 31, 2010				Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2010
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level3	Total losses
Impaired loans	\$ 11,367,697	\$-	\$-	\$ 11,367,697	\$(4,023,954)
Foreclosed assets	10,163,992	-	-	10,163,992	(199,999)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments are explained below. Where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using discounted cash flow and other valuation techniques. Discounted cash flows can be significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. The following fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and should not be considered representative of the liquidation value of the Corporation's financial instruments, but rather a good-faith estimate of the fair value of financial instruments held by the Corporation. FASB ASC 820 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements.

Index

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating the fair value of its financial instruments:

(a) Cash and Short-term Investments

Fair value approximates the carrying value of such assets.

(b) Investment Securities and Other Securities

The fair value of investment securities is based on quoted market prices. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows. The fair value of other securities, which includes Federal Home Loan Bank stock and other correspondent stocks, approximates their carrying value.

(c) Loans

The fair value of loans is calculated using discounted cash flows and excludes lease-financing arrangements. The discount rates used to determine the present value of the loan portfolio are estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan portfolio. The estimated maturities are based on the Corporation's historical experience with repayments adjusted to estimate the effect of current market conditions.

(d) Bank Owned Life Insurance

The fair value of bank owned life insurance approximates its carrying value.

(e) Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest bearing demand deposits, NOW accounts, savings and money market deposit accounts, approximates the carrying value. Certificates of deposit have been valued using discounted cash flows. The discount rates used are based on estimated market rates for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

The fair value estimates in the table below do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market.

(f) FHLB, Other Borrowed Funds and Subordinated Debt

The fair value of the Corporation's other borrowed funds and subordinated debt approximates the carrying value of such liabilities. The fair value of FHLB advances have been valued using discounted cash flows. The discount rates used are based on estimated market rates for borrowings of similar remaining maturities.

(g) Accrued Interest

The fair value of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates their carrying value.

Index

(h) Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit

There is no market for the commitment to extend credit and standby letters of credit and they were issued without explicit cost. Therefore, it is not practical to establish their fair value.

The carrying value and estimated fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying amount	Estimated fair value
(Dollars in Thousands)				
Financial assets:				
Cash and short-term investments	\$52,897	\$52,897	\$80,966	\$80,966
Investment securities	87,602	87,960	86,071	86,111
Loans held for sale	857	857	—	—
Loans held for investment, net of the allowance for loan losses	258,350	261,706	256,631	264,155
Bank owned life insurance	2,900	2,900	2,845	2,845
Correspondent bank stock	1,772	1,772	1,872	1,872
Accrued interest receivable	2,065	2,065	2,193	2,193
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	390,743	393,845	417,033	420,546
Other borrowed funds	888	888	944	944
FHLB advances	1,198	1,336	1,280	1,534
Subordinated Debt	10,310	10,310	10,310	10,310
Accrued interest payable	343	343	403	403

Index

NOTE 8 – Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

FASB ASC 310 Receivables (“ASC 310”) was amended to enhance disclosures about credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. The amendments require an entity to disclose credit quality information, such as internal risk grades, more detailed nonaccrual and past due information, and modifications of its financing receivables. The disclosures under ASC 310, as amended, were effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. This amendment did not have a significant impact on the Corporation’s financial results, but it has significantly expanded the disclosures that the Corporation is required to provide.

On April 5, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02 “A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring”, which clarifies when creditors should classify loan modifications as troubled debt restructurings. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after the beginning of the year. The guidance on measuring the impairment of a receivable restructured in a troubled debt restructuring is effective on a prospective basis. The Corporation is currently evaluating the new guidance.

Index

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward Looking Statements

When used or incorporated by reference herein, the words “anticipate”, “estimate”, “expect”, “project”, “target”, “goal” similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. Such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risk, uncertainties, and assumptions including those set forth herein. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those expected or projected. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. The Corporation expressly disclaims any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein to reflect any change in the Corporation’s expectations with regard to any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The Corporation’s accounting and reporting policies are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and conform to general practices within the banking industry. The Corporation’s significant accounting policies are described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2010 as filed in the Corporation’s annual report on Form 10-K. Certain accounting policies require management to make significant estimates and assumptions, which have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities, and we consider these to be critical accounting policies. The estimates and assumptions used are based on historical experience and other factors that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions, which could have a material impact on the carrying value of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and results of operations for the reporting periods.

Results of Operations

The following financial review is presented to provide an analysis of the results of operations of the Corporation and the Bank for the six and three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, compared. This review should be used in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements included in the Form 10-Q.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Compared

Summary

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$591,826, an increase from the \$501,158 that was recorded in the same period in 2010. Net income available to common shareholders was \$456,673 in 2011 compared to \$211,505 in the 2010 period, both after recording the payment of the dividend on preferred shares and the associated amortization of warrants related to the Corporation’s participation in the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) in 2010 and Community Development Capital Initiative (CDCI) in 2011. The dividend on the CDCI dividends in 2011 were \$103,000 compared with the \$257,500 for the CPP dividends in 2010. This created improvement in the net income available to shareholders. The specifics of the changes are discussed in detail below.

Index

As previously discussed in the report for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, total assets and non interest bearing deposits decreased from December 31, 2010 as a large depositor used funds to pay down loans at other lenders. The decline in the first quarter was \$17,500,000 for both deposits and assets. The second quarter saw smaller changes as assets declined by an additional \$6,600,000. The decline was centered in interest bearing deposits; as, the bank was not aggressive in the time deposit market and reduced balances in response to slow loan growth and continued high levels of liquidity.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income was \$7,211,959 during the first half of 2011, an increase of \$34,860 or 0.5% from the level experienced during the same period in 2010. The very small change was the result of the effects of lower loan volume being offset by wider spreads between interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. The net interest income on a tax equivalent basis was 3.60% for the first half of 2011 as compared to 3.53% for the same period in 2010.

Total interest income decreased \$752,463 (7.7%) in the first half of 2011. The effect of the reduction in interest income is primarily the result of the lower level of total interest earning assets, in particular loans. Loans averaged \$20,000,000 less in the first half of 2011 compared with the same period in 2010. While the loan balances significantly decreased, the yield on loans increased to 6.11% in 2011 from 6.01% in the 2010 period. Additionally, the full effect of the realignment of the investment portfolio, undertaken in 2010, was reflected in the changes between the 2011 and 2010 periods. The average volume of tax advantaged investments was lower between the periods by \$16,700,000 and the average volume of taxable investments was higher by \$19,500,000. This reflects the change made in the third quarter of 2010 that reduced tax advantaged investments. The taxable equivalent yield on earnings assets decreased to 4.49% in 2011 from 4.76% in 2010 and earning assets declined by \$14,200,000.

The decline in interest income was offset by a reduction in interest expense of \$787,323 or 30.4%. Interest bearing liabilities decreased by an average of \$13,200,000 for the first half of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily on the reduction of the volume of time deposits. Time deposits in 2011 averaged \$15,600,000 lower than in the 2010 period. Because loan demand was weak, the out of market CD portfolio was allowed to mature without being replaced and the banking subsidiary was not aggressive in bidding for public funds and allowed interest sensitive deposits to mature. The average rate paid on these deposits declined by 0.65% to 1.68%. The volume of savings and money market accounts increased by \$2,000,000 between the 2011 and 2010 periods while the rate paid remained constant at 0.20%. The total cost of interest bearing liabilities decreased to 1.27% in 2011 from 1.74% in the 2010 period.

Index

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses totaled \$600,000 for the first half of 2011 as compared to \$1,038,000 for the same period in 2010. For further discussion of this item see Allowance for Loan Losses below.

Noninterest Income

Compared to the first half of 2010, total noninterest income decreased by \$260,958 or 10.7% for the first half of 2011 to \$2,179,392. The reduction in investment securities gains of \$194,250, to \$25,104 from \$219,354, accounts for the majority of the decrease. Revenue from service charges and fees on deposit accounts decreased by \$70,185. Overdraft fees declined by \$167,000 within the service charge category. This decline was partially offset by increases in interchange fees of \$62,000 and fees on corporate analysis checking accounts of \$30,000. Fees from the origination of mortgage loans declined by \$16,664. Other revenue was higher by \$20,142.

Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$7,995,502 and \$8,155,600, respectively. This represents a decrease of \$160,098, or 2.0%, for the first half of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010.

Expenses related to salaries and benefits increased by \$99,381 (2.3%) to \$4,421,362 in the 2011 period from \$4,321,981 in 2010. The cost of providing health insurance increased by \$45,495 or 15.3%. Increased participation in the 401(k) employee savings plan caused expenses to rise by \$23,000. Salaries increased by \$33,340 or 1% in 2011 over 2010.

Occupancy cost declined by \$127,764 or 11.7%. Reduced depreciation expense was responsible for \$108,663 of the difference as the Corporation continues with its plan of making capital expenditures only for necessary items. These are items that if not corrected have a negative impact on effectiveness or customer service. Repairs and maintenance has been lower in recent history as the Corporation has utilized a service contract for prepaid maintenance. The reduction in the first half of 2011 versus the first half of 2010 is \$15,651 or 8.3%. This contract is ending in the June/July time frame and it is likely that the cost of repairs will increase in future periods.

Index

Other non-interest expense experienced a decline of \$131,715 or 4.8% in the first half of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. ORE expenses and legal fees were both elevated in 2010 and are lower in the first half of 2011 than in the same period last year by \$94,000 and \$46,000 respectively. Credit card expense and interchange expense are both higher (by \$58,000 and \$34,000) reflecting the increased revenue and volume in the products. The cost of FDIC insurance was reduced in the first half by \$28,000 versus the 2010 period and several volume related items, primarily items pertaining to loan, ATM, and credit card activity, showed reductions in expense of \$30,000 on reduced activity.

Income Tax Expense/Benefit

Earnings before taxes for the first half of 2011 were \$795,849 as compared to \$423,849 in the same period of 2010. In the first half of 2011, the Corporation recorded an income tax expense of \$204,023 as opposed to the benefit of \$77,309 recorded in the first half of 2010. The increased expense was caused by a reduction in nontaxable interest income from investment in municipal securities and increased profitability in general.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, Compared

Summary

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was \$364,464, an increase from the \$145,355 that was recorded in the same period in 2010. Net income available to common shareholders was \$297,715 in 2011 compared to \$413 in the 2010 period. Preferred dividends decreased in the 2011 period by \$77,250 as the result of the exchange of the preferred stock issued to the U. S. Treasury under the CPP into preferred stock issued to the U. S. Treasury under the CDCI program. As has been discussed in previous reports, the dividend rate was reduced to 2% from 5% and this creates the lower dividend amount. The associated amortization of the warrants related to the Corporation's participation in the Capital Purchase Program continues in place. The specifics of the changes are discussed in detail below.

The net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis was 3.75% for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to 3.55% for the same period in 2010.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income was \$3,681,965 during the second quarter of 2011 or level with the \$3,692,390 recorded in the same period in 2010.

Total interest income decreased \$359,382 (7.3%) in the second quarter of 2011. The reduction in interest income is primarily the result of the lower level of interest earning assets, particularly loan assets. As discussed in the Corporation's Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2010, one large customer had deposited significant funds into the Bank during the second quarter of 2010. These funds have been withdrawn, primarily in the last half of 2010. Additional funds were deployed in the second quarter of 2011 by the customer to fund a major project. The result is the reduction in average non-interest bearing deposits of \$13,700,000 in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the second quarter of 2010. In addition, loan demand was very weak with loans averaging \$17,600,000 less than in 2010. The result was \$229,040 lower income from loans in the quarter compared to the same quarter in 2010. Income from the investment portfolio declined by \$120,943; as a result of the restructuring of the investment portfolio in the third quarter of 2010. In this restructuring shorter term securities were sold and redeployed in slightly longer term, high quality issues to reduce interest rate sensitivity and reduce the level of tax advantaged income. The reinvestment rate was higher than the yield at which the securities were sold, but lower than the yield realized in the second quarter of 2010. This reduced yield resulted in the lower revenue during the quarter.

Index

The interest income decline was almost completely offset by a reduction in interest expense of \$348,957 or 28.8%. Interest bearing liabilities decreased by an average of \$15,600,000 for the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. As mentioned in the discussion of the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Bank was not aggressive in retaining time deposits and out of market time deposits and these categories saw average declines of approximately \$8,400,000 each, in the second quarter of 2011. The rate paid on interest bearing liabilities was lower at 1.22% in 2011 vs. 1.62% in 2010, a reduction of 0.40%.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses totaled \$300,000 for the second quarter of 2011 as compared to \$600,000 for the same period in 2010. For further discussion of this account see Allowance for Loan Losses below.

Noninterest Income

Total noninterest income decreased \$62,261 or 5.1% for the second quarter of 2011. Reduced investment securities gains of \$34,785 and a decrease in mortgage origination revenue of \$25,270 account for most of the reduction. Changes in service charge revenue and other revenue counter each other. Service charge revenue in the second quarter of 2011 is lower than the same quarter in 2010 by \$40,850 or 4.9%. Overdraft fees for the period were lower than the prior year period by \$85,600 as the full effects of new regulations introduced in 2010 were in place. Interchange fees rose from the prior year by \$31,000 on increased volume. All other income increased between the quarters by \$38,644.

Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense decreased \$190,538, or 4.5%, in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same quarter of 2010.

Index

Occupancy expenses were lower by \$72,980 (13.1%) due to lower depreciation expense (\$55,539) as plant and equipment replacements continued to be done on an “as needed basis” or for items that had a possible negative impact on customer service. Other categories of occupancy expenses were also generally lower. Items that represent discretionary expenses were generally level with the same quarter in 2010.

In other noninterest expense, a decrease of \$177,732 was experienced. Expenses for other real estate, legal and FDIC insurance decreased by \$62,457, \$50,758, and \$82,581 respectively from the elevated level of the year ago period. These decreases were offset by increased expense for interchange (\$15,065) and the expense component of the credit card operation (\$28,360). Smaller decreases were experienced in other activity based expense categories.

Income Tax Expense/Benefit

Earnings before taxes for the second quarter of 2011 were \$504,440 as compared \$86,588 in the second quarter of 2010. Income tax expense in the 2011 period was \$139,976 versus a benefit of \$58,767 in 2010. The change in nontaxable income and the general increase in profitability are the reasons for the increase.

Financial Condition and Liquidity

Total assets on June 30, 2011 were \$441,628,212, a decrease of \$25,592,482 or 5.5% from December 31, 2010. Total deposits decreased by \$26.3 million or 6.3% while loans increased by \$2.0 million. Total equity (common and preferred) increased by \$859,251 to \$37.1 million for the six month period. The decrease in assets and deposits is the result of the factors discussed above.

The Corporation continues to take steps to maintain a strong liquidity position that is designed to provide sufficient availability of funds to meet planned and potential emergency needs. This liquidity position has been held at a higher than historical level because of the continued economic uncertainty. The ratio of total loans to deposits on June 30, 2011 was 67.5% as compared to 62.8% on December 31, 2010. The increase is the result of the small increase in loans and the decrease in deposits discussed above. The maintenance of the unusually high level of liquidity continues to be under review with the objective being that when economic conditions improve and the market for bank funding becomes more functional, it will no longer be necessary to keep the level as high.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents were \$52,896,791 as of June 30, 2011, a decrease of \$28,069,318 or 34.7%, from December 31, 2010. The change is primarily the result of the reduction of deposits from the single customer to pay debt discussed in Summary above and the increased level of loans.

Index

Investment Securities – Available for Sale

Investment securities available for sale decreased \$2,597,619, or 3.8%, compared to December 31, 2010 as only a portion of maturing, sold or called securities were reinvested in this category.

Investment Securities – Held to Maturity

Investment securities held to maturity increased \$4,128,322, or 23.9%. Securities designated as held to maturity are not liquid or subject to sale. The Corporation reviews the limits and target levels on this category regularly. As a result of its review, during the second quarter of 2011 a portion of maturing, sold or called securities were reinvested in this category.

Loans

Gross loans increased by \$1,984,568 or 0.8% at June 30, 2011, from December 31, 2010. The increase is primarily the result of the funding of seasonal agricultural production loans (\$6.3 million) and farmland loans (\$5.7 million) which were offset by declines in commercial loans (\$5 million) and real estate loans (\$2 million). The agricultural loan increase has been aided through the expansion and diversification of this type of lending into the central Baldwin County, Alabama market where the bank has had branches and a presence, but has recently been able to obtain new loan customers. The Bank continues to seek loans to qualified borrowers.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which, in management's opinion, is appropriate to provide for estimated losses in the portfolio at the balance sheet date. Factors considered in determining the adequacy of the allowance include historical loan loss experience, the amount and trend of past due loans, loans classified from the most recent regulatory examinations and internal reviews, general economic conditions, the effect of lending policies and effectiveness of management and the current portfolio mix including concentrations. The amount charged to the provision is that amount deemed necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level indicative of the associated risk, as determined by management, of the current portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses consists of two portions: the impaired portion and the non-impaired portion. The impaired portion is based on identified problem loans and is determined based on an assessment of credit risk related to those loans. Specific loss estimate amounts are included in the allowance based on an evaluation of the individual credits. Any loan categorized as loss is charged off or fully reserved in the period which the loan is so categorized.

The non-impaired portion of the allowance is for probable inherent losses which exist as of the evaluation date even though they may not have been identified by the more objective processes for the impaired portion of the allowance. This is due to the risk of error and inherent imprecision in the process. This portion of the allowance is particularly subjective and requires judgments based upon qualitative factors, which do not lend themselves to exact mathematical calculations. Some of the factors considered are changes in credit concentrations, loan mix, historical loss experience, experience of loan management, effects of lending policies and general economic environment in the Corporation's markets.

Index

The Corporation continues with the methodology introduced in the fourth quarter of 2010 in which the Corporation began to segment the loan portfolio by type of loan for analysis and to compute the needed reserve on non-impaired loans based on historical charge off performance for each segment. This was done to more accurately reflect performance of individual portfolio segments; as well as, to obtain more precise information regarding these segments. Also, this methodology allows the Corporation to better target loan growth by concentrating on those segments with lower loss histories and aid in identification of areas or segments requiring more attention. The effect on the calculated level of the reserve will be in direct proportion to the loss rate and volume of those sectors with loss rate histories either higher or lower than the average loss rate for the portfolio as a whole.

At June 30, 2011, the ratio of reserves to total loans was 2.05% compared to the ratio at December 31, 2010 of 1.96%. The amount of reserve allocated to specific loans at June 30, 2011 was \$2,530,805 versus the level at December 31, 2010 of \$2,275,207.

The Corporation has procedures in place to identify and deal with problem loans and potential problem loans. It is the goal of the Corporation to identify any problems, to develop and execute strategies to deal with those identified and establish reserves to deal with identified and historic shortfalls. Although reserves may be considered appropriate at a point in time, future events may change the ability of a borrower to pay or the underlying value of collateral. The Corporation will continue to monitor closely the condition of the portfolio and, in the current, uncertain economy, continue with its program to strengthen the level of reserves.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment decreased \$354,754, or 2.2%, during the first half of 2011. This reduction is primarily attributable to the assets being depreciated with little additional capital spending to counter the reduction.

Deposits

Total deposits decreased approximately \$26,290,000, or 6.3%, at June 30, 2011 from December 31, 2010, including a decrease of approximately \$20,000,000 in non-interest bearing deposits and a decrease of approximately \$6,300,000 in interest bearing deposits. The decline was anticipated and the withdrawal of deposits by the large customer and the lack of aggressive time deposit pricing described in the Summary section of the first half comparison are the primary reasons.

Index

Liquidity

One of the Corporation's goals is to provide adequate funds to meet changes in loan demand or any potential increase in the normal level of deposit withdrawals. This goal is accomplished primarily by generating cash from operating activities and maintaining sufficient short-term assets. These sources, coupled with a stable deposit base, allow the Corporation to fund earning assets and maintain the availability of funds. Management believes that the Corporation's traditional sources of maturing loans and investment securities, cash from operating activities and a strong base of core deposits are adequate to meet the Corporation's liquidity needs for normal operations. To provide additional liquidity, the Corporation has historically utilized market based sources such as short-term financing through the purchase of federal funds, and a borrowing relationship with the Federal Home Loan Bank. In the current economy, these sources are not as reliable as in more normal times. The Corporation has chosen to maintain on balance sheet sources of liquidity such as deposits at the Federal Reserve, federal funds sold and liquid, short term investments at higher than historical levels to assure an adequate source of liquid funding. This strategy has depressed the net interest margin as these short-term, highly liquid assets have lower yields than loans or longer term, less liquid assets. Should the Corporation's traditional sources of liquidity be constrained, forcing the Corporation to pursue avenues of funding not typically used, the Corporation's net interest margin could be further impacted negatively. The Corporation's bank subsidiary has an Asset Liability Management Committee, which has as its primary objective the maintenance of specific funding and investment strategies to achieve short-term and long-term financial goals. The Corporation's liquidity at June 30, 2011 is considered appropriate by management.

Capital Adequacy

Total stockholders' equity on June 30, 2011, was \$36,561,248, an increase of \$1,042,042 from December 31, 2010. This increase is comprised of current period earnings of \$591,826 and is supplemented by an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income net of tax of \$544,003; offset by dividends and amortization of \$135,153 related to the U.S. Treasury's Community Development Capital Initiative as described more fully in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010; and the recognition of \$12,446 of compensation expense related to previous years' grants of stock options and restricted stock.

The table below sets forth various capital ratios for the Corporation and the Bank. Under current regulatory guidelines, debt associated with trust preferred securities qualifies for Tier 1 capital treatment. At June 30, 2011, trust preferred securities included in Tier 1 capital totaled \$10 million.

Federal and State of Alabama Regulators have established quantitative measures to ensure capital adequacy requiring the Corporation and its Bank to maintain minimum capital levels. The primary target capital ratio is the maintenance of the Tier I Leverage Ratio by the Bank at or above 8.50% of average assets during any quarter. In the second quarter of 2011, the Bank reported in its "Call Report" a Tier I Leverage Ratio of 9.21% of average assets. Management believes as of June 30, 2011 that the Corporation and its Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. The payment of dividends has a direct impact on capital adequacy and is subject to approval by the Federal and State of Alabama regulators.

Index

Information regarding risk-based capital and leverage ratios of the Corporation and the Bank are set forth in the table below:

	June 30, 2011		Well Capitalized Treatment
United Bancorporation of Alabama, Inc.			
Total risk-based capital	15.28	%	N/A
Tier 1 risk-based capital	14.04		N/A
Leverage Ratio	9.17		N/A
United Bank			
Total risk-based capital	15.27	%	10.00 %
Tier 1 risk-based capital	14.02		6.00
Leverage ratio	9.21		5.00

Based on management's projections, existing regulatory capital should be sufficient to satisfy capital requirements in the foreseeable future for existing operations.

Off Balance Sheet items

The Bank is a party to financial obligations with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business. The financial obligations include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit issued to customers.

The following table sets forth the off-balance sheet risk of the Bank as of the end of the period.

	June 30, 2011
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 34,866,693
Standby letters of credit	1,406,922

Index

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Based on evaluation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer of the Corporation have concluded that as of such date the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information the Corporation is required to disclose in its filings under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Corporation's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Index

PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are funded loan commitments of the Bank that are accompanied by third-party agreements to purchase at a date subsequent to the original commitment between the Bank and its borrower. Should the subsequent sale of the loan, or loans, from the Bank to the third party not occur due to either the failure of the third-party to complete the transaction or changes in macro-market conditions, the Bank could be required to lower the fair value of the loan in order to sell the loan to another entity or maintain the loan as held for investment. The difference between the values of the original loan contract and the later value would be recognized as a reduction of bank earnings.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a)Exhibits.

31.1Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

	101.INS	Instance Document
101.SCH		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE		XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED BANCORPORATION OF ALABAMA, INC.

Date: August 12, 2011

Robert R. Jones, III /s/ Robert R. Jones, III
President and Chief Executive Officer

Allen O. Jones, Jr. /s/ Allen O. Jones, Jr.
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Index

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
<u>31.1</u>	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<u>31.2</u>	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<u>32.1</u>	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
<u>32.2</u>	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
