FIRST MARINER BANCORP Form 10-K March 14, 2008

Use these links to rapidly review the document FIRST MARINER BANCORP Annual Report on Form 10-K December 31, 2007 TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ý ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

or

• TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from Commission file number 0-21815

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State of incorporation)

52-1834860 (IRS Employer Identification Number)

1501 S. Clinton Street, Baltimore, MD (Address of principal executive offices)

21224 (zip code)

410-342-2600

(Telephone number) Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on which registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.05 per share The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC Securities registered under Section 12 (g) of the Exchange Act: None

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer oAccelerated filer ýNon-accelerated filer oSmaller reporting company oIndicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes oNo ý

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was approximately \$65.013 million. Shares of Common Stock owned by each executive officer and directors have not been included as such persons are deemed to be affiliates.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 3, 2008 is 6,351,611 shares.

Documents incorporated by reference:

Proxy Statement Part III.

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

Annual Report on Form 10-K December 31, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		
Item 1	Business	3
Item 1A	Risk Factors	15
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 2	Properties	21
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	25
Item 4A	Executive Officers of the Registrant	25
PART II		
Item 5	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity	
	Securities	26
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	29
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	62
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	63
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures	104
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	104
Item 9B	Other Information	107
PART III		
Item 10	Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	107
Item 11	Executive Compensation	107
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	107
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	108
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	108
PART IV		
Item 15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	109

Page

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

General

First Mariner Bancorp ("First Mariner," on a parent only basis, and "the Company," "we," "our," or "us," on a consolidated basis) is a bank holding company whose business is conducted primarily through its wholly owned operating subsidiaries: First Mariner Bank (the "Bank"), Mariner Finance, LLC ("Mariner Finance"), and FM Appraisals, LLC ("FM Appraisals"). We were formed in 1995 and have total assets in excess of \$1.246 billion as of December 31, 2007. Our executive offices are located in the Canton area of Baltimore City at 1501 South Clinton Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224. Our telephone number is (410) 342-2600. We maintain internet sites located at www.1stmarinerbank.com, www.1stmarinerbancorp.com, www.1stmarinermortgage.com, www.vamortgage.com and www.marinerfinance.com.

The Bank is our largest operating subsidiary with assets exceeding \$1.133 billion as of December 31, 2007. The Bank was formed in 1995 through the merger of several small financial institutions. The Bank's primary market area for its core banking operations, which consist of traditional commercial and consumer lending, as well as retail and commercial deposit operations, is central Maryland as well as portions of Maryland's eastern shore. First Mariner Bank is an independent community bank, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").

The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business, with particular attention and emphasis on the needs of individuals and small to mid-sized businesses, and delivers a wide range of financial products and services that are offered by many larger competitors. Products and services include traditional deposit products, a variety of consumer and commercial loans, residential and commercial mortgage and construction loans, money transfer services, nondeposit investment products, and Internet banking and similar services. Most importantly, the Bank provides customers with access to local Bank officers who are empowered to act with flexibility to meet customers' needs in an effort to foster and develop long-term loan and deposit relationships.

First Mariner Mortgage, a division of the Bank, engages in mortgage-banking activities, providing mortgages and associated products to customers and selling most of those mortgages into the secondary market. The sourcing of loans for First Mariner Mortgage is through a retail delivery channel comprised of retail loan offices and Bank branches. During 2006, First Mariner Mortgage originated loans through both its retail delivery channel and its wholesale division. The wholesale division sourced loans through relationships with mortgage brokers. First Mariner Mortgage expanded its secondary marketing activities significantly during 2006 by accumulating and selling groups of loans and began hedging the interest rate risk associated with mortgage-banking through the use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars. During 2007, such activity decreased significantly due to decreased loan originations and we returned to managing interest rate risk through the use of best efforts delivery commitments with our investors on a loan by loan basis. Our last bulk sale was done during the second quarter of 2007. During the third quarter of 2007, we closed the wholesale lending operations of First Mariner Mortgage. First Mariner Mortgage currently operates offices in Maryland, Delaware, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and North Carolina. First Mariner Mortgage originated \$965.865 million in loans in 2007.

Next Generation Financial Services ("NGFS"), a division of the Bank, engages in the origination of reverse and conventional mortgages, providing these products directly through commission based loan officers throughout the United States. NGFS originates reverse mortgages for sale to Fannie Mae and other private investors. The Bank does not originate any reverse mortgages for its portfolio and currently sells all of its originations into the secondary market. The Bank retains the servicing rights on



reverse mortgages sold to Fannie Mae. NGFS is one of the largest originators of reverse mortgages in the United States.

Mariner Finance engages in traditional consumer finance activities, making small direct cash loans to individuals, the purchase of installment loan sales contracts from local merchants and retail dealers of consumer goods, and loans to individuals via direct mail solicitations, as well as a relatively low volume of mortgage loans. Mariner Finance currently operates branches in Maryland, Virginia, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Delaware. Mariner Finance had total assets of \$80.312 million as of December 31, 2007. A substantial majority of those assets are comprised of loans to customer in Maryland and Delaware.

FM Appraisals is a residential real estate appraisal preparation and management company that is headquartered in Baltimore City. FM Appraisals offers appraisal services for residential real estate lenders, including appraisal preparation, the compliance oversight of sub-contracted appraisers, appraisal ordering and administration, and appraisal review services. FM Appraisals provides these services to First Mariner Mortgage.

We do not conduct any foreign operations.

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports are available, free of charge, in the investor relations section of our Internet site at www.1stmarinerbancorp.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we have filed them with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on the websites listed above is not and should not be considered part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document.

Our Business Strategy

We are currently focused on growing assets and earnings by capitalizing on the broad network of Bank branches, mortgage offices, consumer finance offices, and ATMs that we established during our original infrastructure expansion phase.

To continue asset growth and profitability, our marketing strategy is targeted to:

Capitalize on our personal relationship approach that we believe differentiates us from our larger competitors;

Provide our customers with access to local executives who make key credit and other decisions;

Pursue commercial lending opportunities with small to mid-sized businesses that are underserved by our larger competitors;

Develop innovative financial products and services to generate additional sources of revenue;

Cross-sell our products and services to our existing customers to leverage relationships and enhance our profitability;

Review our branch performance to evaluate possible consolidations or relocations that may increase our efficiency; and

Adhere to rigorous credit standards to maintain good quality assets as we implement our growth strategy.

Financial Services We Provide

Commercial Banking. Our commercial loan unit focuses on loan originations from small and mid-sized businesses (generally up to \$20.0 million in annual sales) and such loans are usually accompanied by significant related deposits. Our commercial loan products include commercial

mortgage loans for the purchase or refinance of commercial properties; residential and commercial real estate construction and development loans; working capital loans and lines of credit; demand, term and time loans; and equipment, inventory and accounts receivable financing. We also offer an array of cash management services and deposit products to our commercial customers. Computerized on-line banking and remote deposit are currently available to our commercial customers.

Retail Banking. Our retail banking activities emphasize consumer deposit and checking accounts. We offer an extensive range of services to meet the varied needs of our customers from young persons to senior citizens. In addition to traditional products and services, we offer contemporary products and services, such as debit cards, mutual funds, annuities, insurance products, Internet banking, and electronic bill payment services. Our consumer loan products include home equity lines of credit, fixed rate second mortgages, new and used auto loans, new and used boat loans, overdraft protection, and unsecured personal credit lines.

Mortgage-Banking. Our mortgage-banking business is structured to provide a source of fee income largely from the process of originating residential mortgage loans for sale on the secondary market, as well as the origination of loans to be held in our loan portfolio. Mortgage-banking products include Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") and the federal Veterans Administration ("VA") loans, conventional and nonconforming first and second mortgages, reverse mortgages, and construction and permanent financing.

Community Reinvestment Act. We have a strong commitment to our responsibilities under the federal Community Reinvestment Act (the "CRA") and actively search for opportunities to meet the development needs of all members of the communities we serve, including persons of low to moderate income in a manner consistent with safe and sound banking practices. We currently fulfill this commitment by participating in loan programs sponsored or guaranteed by the FHA, the VA, the federally funded American Dream Downpayment Initiative, the Maryland Mortgage Program (CDA), the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta Closing Cost Assistance Program, the Section 8 to Home-Ownership Program and the Settlement Expense Loan Program.

Consumer Finance. We offer a wide variety of consumer finance products through Mariner Finance, which is focused on building market share by offering competitive products and services, delivered by experienced personnel who provide responsive service. Loan sizes are generally smaller than those originated by the Bank (approximately \$2,800). Mariner Finance currently serves approximately 27,000 customers in Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, New Jersey, and Tennessee.

Our Lending Activities

Loan Portfolio Composition. At December 31, 2007, our loan portfolio totaled \$854.920 million, representing approximately 68.6% of our total assets of \$1.247 billion. Our loans are generally secured by residential and commercial real estate, and over 79% of our total loans as of December 31, 2007 were secured by real estate. The majority of our lending activity is in the Mid-Atlantic region.

Real Estate Development and Construction Loans. We provide interim real estate acquisition development, and construction loans to builders, developers, and persons who will ultimately occupy their single-family dwellings. These loans are made within the Federal regulatory guidelines for maximum loan to value ratios. Generally, residential construction loans are made for up to 85% of the appraised value of the property, taking into consideration private mortgage insurance. Commercial real estate construction loans are generally made for 80% or less of the appraised value of the property. Development loans, made to improve raw land into lots on which structures may be built, are generally made for 75% or less of the appraised value of the property. Our real estate development and construction loan funds are disbursed periodically at pre-specified stages of completion. We carefully monitor these loans with on-site inspections and control of disbursements. Our real estate development

and construction loans are typical debt obligations of the borrowers and do not provide for our participation in residual profits or losses of the projects or involve equity positions through partnerships, joint ventures, or other similar structures.

Loans we provide to individuals for the construction of their primary residences are typically secured by the property under construction, frequently include additional collateral (such as second mortgage on the borrower's present home), and commonly have maturities of 9 to 12 months.

Loans provided by us to residential builders for the construction of residential homes require binding sales contracts on the property and the prospective buyers have been pre-qualified for permanent mortgage financing. Development loans are made only to developers with a proven track record. Generally, these loans are extended only when the borrower provides evidence that the lots under development will be sold to builders satisfactory to us.

We secure development and construction loans with the properties under development or construction and we typically obtain personal guarantees from the principals. Further, to assure that we do not place reliance solely in the value of the underlying property, we consider the financial condition and reputation of the borrower and any guarantors, the amount of the borrowers' equity in the project, independent appraisals, costs estimates, and pre-construction sale information.

Residential Real Estate Mortgage Loans. We originate adjustable- and fixed-rate residential mortgage loans, including reverse mortgages. Our mortgage loans are generally originated under terms, conditions and documentation acceptable to the secondary mortgage market. With the current exception of reverse mortgages, we will place some of these loans into our portfolio, although the vast majority are ultimately sold to investors.

Commercial Real Estate Mortgage Loans. We originate mortgage loans secured by commercial real estate. These loans are primarily secured by office buildings, retail buildings, warehouses, and general-purpose business space. Although terms may vary, our commercial mortgages generally have maturities of ten years or less. It is our general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of the borrowers and assignments of all leases related to the collateral.

Commercial Loans. We originate a variety of loans for business purposes. Less than one percent of all our commercial loans are unsecured. We make loans to provide working capital to businesses in the form of lines of credit, which may be secured by real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or other assets. The financial condition and cash flow of our commercial borrowers are closely monitored by the submission of corporate financial statements, personal financial statements and income tax returns. The frequency of submissions of required financial information depends on the size and complexity of the credit and the collateral that secures our loan. It is our general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of our commercial loan borrowers.

Consumer Loans. The Bank and Mariner Finance offer a variety of consumer loans. Consumer loans originated by the Bank are typically secured by residential real estate or personal property, including automobiles and boats. Our home equity loans (closed-end and lines of credit) are typically made up to 80% of the appraised value, less the amount of any existing prior liens on the property and generally have maximum terms of 10 years. We do offer home equity products with loan to value ratios of up to 100%, and mitigate our risk of loss on higher loan to value products with private mortgage insurance. The interest rates on our closed-end home equity loans are generally fixed, while interest rates on our home equity lines of credit are variable. Consumer finance products offered through Mariner Finance include loans for the purchase of consumer goods, direct cash lending, loans for seasonal purposes, home improvement loans, first mortgage loans, closed-end second mortgages, and loans originated though direct mail solicitation. Loans made by Mariner Finance are generally for terms less than five years, carry a fixed rate of interest, and are generally secured by consumer goods,

including automobiles. Mariner Finance also originates a limited number of closed-end home equity loans.

See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for the year ended December 31, 2007 for more detailed information concerning our loan portfolio, the individual portfolio types, and their effect on 2007 operations.

Our Credit Administration Process

Our lending activities are subject to written policies approved by our Board of Directors to ensure proper management of credit risk. We make loans that are subject to a well defined credit process that includes credit evaluation of borrowers, risk-rating of credits, establishment of lending limits and application of lending procedures, including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances, as well as procedures for on-going identification and management of credit deterioration. We conduct regular portfolio reviews to identify potential under-performing credits, estimate loss exposure, geographic and industry concentrations, and to ascertain compliance with our policies. For significant problem loans, we review and evaluate the financial strengths of our borrower and the guarantor, the related collateral and the effects of economic conditions.

Commercial and mortgage loan officers have no individual lending authority. Our loan committee of the Board of Directors is authorized to approve loans up to our banking subsidiary's legal lending limit, which approximates \$14.5 million as of December 31, 2007. We have established an in-house limit of \$5.0 million, which is reviewed periodically by the Board of Directors, and do have loans to a limited number of customers in excess of that amount.

We generally do not make loans to be held in our loan portfolio outside our market area unless the borrower has an established relationship with us and conducts its principal business operations within our market area. Consequently, we and our borrowers are affected by the economic conditions prevailing in our market area. Approximately 77% of our residential real estate development and construction loan portfolio consisted of loans to Maryland customers; an additional 20% consisted of loans to customers in the surrounding states and the District of Columbia; and 3% consisted of loans to customers in other states in the country. Approximately 84% of our commercial loan portfolio (commercial, commercial real estate, and commercial construction) consisted of loans to Maryland customers with an additional 14% consisting of loans to customers in the surrounding states and the District of Columbia. Commercial and commercial real estate loans to customers in other states in the country amounted to approximately 2% of our portfolio.

Mariner Finance's lending activities are subject to written policies approved by our Board of Directors. These loans are subject to a well-defined credit process that includes a credit evaluation of the borrower and the adequacy of available collateral. Mariner Finance's loan policy provides various levels of individual lending authority. Mariner Finance purchases installment sales contracts from dealers applying the same criteria. Dealers are subject to pre-approval due diligence and must have a proven track record with management. The majority of Mariner Finance's loans are to customers in Maryland (78.7%) and Delaware (18.7%), with an increasing volume in Virginia, New Jersey, and Tennessee.

Market

We consider our core market area to be the communities within the Baltimore/Washington corridor, including the eastern shore of Maryland and particularly Baltimore City and the counties of Baltimore, Anne Arundel, Harford, and Howard. Lending activities are broader and include areas outside of our core market area such as other Maryland counties, the District of Columbia and certain markets in contiguous states, as well as certain regional and national markets.

Our Competition

Banking and consumer finance. We operate in a highly competitive environment, competing for deposits and loans with commercial banks, thrifts, credit unions, mortgage companies, finance companies, Internet-based financial companies, and other financial entities. Our principal competitors include other community commercial banks and larger financial institutions with branches in our market area. Numerous mergers and consolidations involving financial entities in our market area have occurred in recent years, requiring us to compete with banks and finance companies with greater resources.

The primary factors we face in competing for deposits are interest rates, personalized service, the quality and range of financial services, convenience of office locations, and office hours. Competition for deposits comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, money market funds, Internet based banks, and other investment alternatives. The primary factors in competing for loans are interest rates, loan origination fees, the quality and range of lending services, responsiveness, and personalized service. Competition for loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, mortgage-banking firms, credit unions, finance companies, and other financial intermediaries. Many of the financial institutions operating in our market area offer certain services such as trust and international banking, which we do not offer, and have greater financial resources or have substantially higher lending limits.

To compete with other financial services providers, we principally rely upon local promotional activities, personal relationships established by our officers, directors and employees with our customers, and specialized services tailored to meet our customers' needs. In those instances where we are unable to accommodate a customer's needs, we will arrange for those services to be provided by other financial institutions with which we have a relationship.

Current banking laws facilitate interstate branching and merger activity among banks. This may result in an even greater degree of competition in the banking industry and we may be brought into competition with institutions with which we do not currently compete. As a result, intense competition in our market area may be expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

Mortgage-banking. Our mortgage-banking division also operates in an extremely competitive environment. In addition to competing with mortgage-banking divisions of other financial institutions, we compete with mortgage banking firms that are not under the same level of regulation as we are. Without similar regulatory constraints, these lesser regulated firms can be more responsive to customers. Additionally, competition in the mortgage-banking industry comes from the continuing evolution of the secondary mortgage market, the proliferation of mortgage products, increasing interest rate volatility, compounded by homeowners' increasing tendency to refinance their mortgages as the refinance process becomes more efficient and cost effective. These swings in mortgage origination volume have placed significant operational and financial pressures on mortgage lenders.

To compete effectively in this environment, we maintain a very high level of operational, technological, and managerial expertise, consistently offer a wide selection of mortgage loans through all marketing channels on a regional scale, provide high-quality service, and price our mortgage loans at competitive rates.

See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2007 for more detailed information concerning our mortgage-banking operations and its effect on our 2007 consolidated financial position and consolidated operations.

Supervision and Regulation

First Mariner and its subsidiaries are extensively regulated under federal and state law. Generally, these laws and regulations are intended to protect depositors and loan customers, not stockholders. The following is a summary description of certain provisions of certain laws that affect the regulation of bank holding companies, finance companies, and banks. The discussion is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable laws and regulations. Changes in such laws and regulations may have a material effect on the business and prospects of First Mariner and its subsidiaries.

Federal Bank Holding Company Regulation and Structure. First Mariner is a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and as such, it is subject to regulation, supervision, and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve" or "FRB"). First Mariner is required to file annual and quarterly reports with the Federal Reserve and to provide the Federal Reserve with such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Federal Reserve may conduct examinations of First Mariner and its subsidiaries.

With certain limited exceptions, First Mariner is required to obtain prior approval from the Federal Reserve before acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any voting securities or substantially all of the assets of a bank or bank holding company, or before merging or consolidating with another bank holding company. In acting on applications for such approval, the Federal Reserve must consider various statutory factors, including among others, the effect of the proposed transaction on competition in the relevant geographical and product markets, each party's financial condition and management resources and record of performance under the CRA. Additionally, with certain exceptions any person proposing to acquire control through direct or indirect ownership of 25% or more of any voting securities of First Mariner is required to give 60 days written notice of the acquisition to the Federal Reserve, which may prohibit the transaction, and to publish notice to the public.

With prior approval of the Federal Reserve, First Mariner may acquire more than 5% of the assets or outstanding shares of a company engaging in nonbank activities determined by the Federal Reserve to be closely related to the business of banking or of managing or controlling banks. Under current Federal Reserve regulations, such permissible nonbank activities include mortgage-banking, equipment leasing, securities brokerage, and consumer and commercial finance company operations.

First Mariner's subsidiary bank is subject to certain quantitative and qualitative restrictions on extensions of credit to the bank holding company or its subsidiaries, investments in its securities, and the use of its securities as collateral for loans to any borrower. These regulations and restrictions may limit the ability to obtain funds from First Mariner's subsidiary bank for its cash needs including funds for the payment of dividends, interest and operating expenses. Further, a bank holding company and its subsidiaries are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit, lease or sale of property, or furnishing of services. For example, a bank may not generally require a customer to obtain other services from itself or its affiliates, and may not require that a customer promise not to obtain other services from a competitor as a condition to an extension of credit to the customer. The Federal Reserve has ended the anti-tying rules for financial holding companies and their non-banking subsidiaries. Such rules were retained for bank holding companies and banks.

Under Federal Reserve policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks and to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank, and the Federal Reserve may charge the bank holding company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices for failure to commit resources to a subsidiary bank when required. A required capital injection may be called for at a time when the holding company does not have the resources to provide it. In addition, depository institutions insured by the FDIC can be held liable for any losses incurred by, or reasonably anticipated to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with the default of, or assistance provided to, a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution. Accordingly, in the event that any insured subsidiary of First Mariner causes a loss to the FDIC, other insured subsidiaries could be required to compensate the FDIC by reimbursing it for the estimated amount of such loss. Such cross guaranty liabilities generally are superior in priority to the obligations of the depository institution to its stockholders due solely to their status as stockholders and obligations to other affiliates.

During 2007, we entered into an agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond ("FRB-Richmond") to submit plans to improve our operating performance, reduce parent company leverage, enhance our enterprise-wide risk management, and enhance the effectiveness of our internal audit program. We have also agreed to give prior notice to the FRB-Richmond of any potential transaction involving significant capital expenditure. Management believes it has taken actions to address these issues and these agreements do not restrict or impede our ability to conduct normal business.

State Bank Holding Company Regulation. As a Maryland bank holding company, First Mariner is subject to various restrictions on its activities as set forth in Maryland law, in addition to those restrictions set forth in federal law. Under Maryland law, a bank holding company that desires to acquire a bank or bank holding company that has its principal place of business in Maryland must obtain approval from the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation. Also, a bank holding company and its Maryland chartered bank or trust company cannot directly or indirectly acquire banking or nonbanking subsidiaries or affiliates until the bank or trust company receives the approval of the Maryland Commissioner.

Federal and State Bank Regulation. First Mariner's banking subsidiary is a Maryland chartered trust company, with all the powers of a commercial bank regulated and examined by the Maryland Commissioner and the FDIC. The FDIC has extensive enforcement authority over the institutions it regulates to prohibit or correct activities that violate law, regulation or written agreement with the FDIC. Enforcement powers also regulate activities that are deemed to constitute unsafe or unsound practices. Enforcement actions may include the appointment of a conservator or receiver, the issuance of a cease and desist order, the termination of deposit insurance, the imposition of civil money penalties on the institution, its directors, officers, employees and institution-affiliated parties, the issuance of directives to increase capital, the issuance of formal and informal agreements, the removal of or restrictions on directors, officers, employees, and institution-affiliated parties, and the enforcement of any such mechanisms through restraining orders or other court actions.

In its lending activities, the maximum legal rate of interest, fees, and charges that a financial institution may charge on a particular loan depends on a variety of factors such as the type of borrower, the purpose of the loan, the amount of the loan, and the date the loan is made. Other laws tie the maximum amount that may be loaned to any one customer and the related interest to a financial institution's capital levels. The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal stockholders, or any related interest of such persons, which generally require that such credit extensions be made on substantially the same terms as are available to third persons dealing with the Bank and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment.

The CRA requires that, in connection with the examination of financial institutions within their jurisdictions, the FDIC evaluate the record of the financial institution in meeting the credit needs of their communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods and families, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those banks. These factors are also considered by all regulatory agencies in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open a branch or facility. As of the date of its most recent examination report, the Bank has a CRA rating of "Satisfactory."

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), each federal banking agency is required to prescribe, by regulation, noncapital safety and soundness standards for institutions under its authority. The federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, have adopted standards covering internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees, and benefits. FDICIA also imposed new capital standards on insured depository institutions. Institutions that fail to meet those standards may be required by the agency to develop a plan acceptable to the agency, specifying the steps that the institution will take to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. Management believes the Bank meets substantially all standards which have been adopted.

Before establishing new branch offices, the Bank must meet certain minimum capital stock and surplus requirements. With each new branch located outside the municipal area of the Bank's principal banking office, these minimal levels increase by \$120,000 to \$900,000, based on the population size of the municipal area in which the branch will be located. Prior to establishment of the branch, the Bank must obtain Commissioner and FDIC approval. If establishment of the branch involves the purchase of a bank building or furnishings, the total investment in bank buildings and furnishings cannot exceed, with certain exceptions, 50% of the Bank's unimpaired capital and surplus.

Financial Services Modernization. Effective in pertinent part on March 11, 2000, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA") revises the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and repeals the affiliation provisions of the federal Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which, taken together, limited the securities, insurance, and other non-banking activities of any company that controls an FDIC insured financial institution. Under the GLBA, bank holding companies can elect, subject to certain qualifications, to become a "financial holding company." The GLBA provides that a financial holding company may engage in a full range of financial activities, including insurance and securities sales and underwriting activities, real estate development, and, with certain exceptions, merchant banking activities, with new expedited notice procedures. The GLBA also permits certain qualified national banks to form "financial subsidiaries," which have broad authority to engage in all financial activities except insurance underwriting, insurance investments, real estate investment or development, and merchant banking, and expands the potential activities of subsidiaries of state banks, subject to applicable state law. The GLBA may increase the competition we encounter. First Mariner made an election to become a financial holding company in 2002, but withdrew that election in October, 2007.

Deposit Insurance. As an FDIC member institution, deposits of the Bank are currently insured to a maximum of \$100,000 per depositor through the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"), administered by the FDIC. The FDIC is required to establish the semi-annual assessments for DIF-insured depository institutions at a rate determined to be appropriate to maintain or increase the reserve ratio of the respective deposit insurance funds at or above 1.25% of estimated insured deposits or at such higher percentage that the FDIC determines to be justified for that year by circumstances raising significant risk of substantial future losses to the fund. Assessments are made on a risk-based premium system with nine risk classifications based on certain capital and supervisory measures. Financial institutions with higher levels of capital and involving a low degree of supervisory concern are assessed lower premiums than financial institutions with lower levels of capital or involving a higher degree of supervisory concern.

On February 8, 2007, the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act ("Reform Act") of 2007 was signed into law. The Reform Act increases the general limit on deposit insurance based on consumer price inflation, with the first increase taking effect on January 1, 2011, and additional adjustments made every five years thereafter. In addition, the Reform Act gives the FDIC the authority to manage its reserves more flexibly and over a longer time horizon by rescinding the automatic trigger of 1.25% of estimated insured deposits.

Limits on Dividends and Other Payments. First Mariner's current ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from its banking subsidiary. Both federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Federal law prohibits the payment of a dividend by an insured depository institution like the Bank if the depository institution is considered "undercapitalized" or if the payment of the dividend would make the institution "undercapitalized." See "Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991" below. We do not anticipate that such provisions will be applied to the Bank. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement which provides that, as a general matter, insured banks and bank holding companies may pay dividends only out of prior operating earnings. For a Maryland chartered bank or trust company, dividends may be paid out of undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, from surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. If however, the surplus of a Maryland bank is less than 100% of its required capital stock, cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings. In addition to these specific restrictions, bank regulatory agencies also have the ability to prohibit proposed dividends by a financial institution which would otherwise be permitted under applicable regulations if the regulatory body determines that such distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice.

Capital Requirements. The Federal Reserve and FDIC have adopted certain risk-based capital guidelines to assist in the assessment of the capital adequacy of a banking organization's operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets and items, such as letters of credit and recourse arrangements, which are recorded as off balance sheet items. Under these guidelines, nominal dollar amounts of assets and credit equivalent amounts of off balance sheet items are multiplied by one of several risk adjustment percentages, which range from 0% for assets with low credit risk, such as certain U.S. Treasury securities to 100% for assets with relatively high credit risk, such as business loans.

A banking organization's risk-based capital ratio is obtained by dividing its qualifying capital by its total risk adjusted assets. The regulators measure risk-adjusted assets, which include off balance sheet items, against both total qualifying capital (the sum of Tier 1 capital and limited amounts of Tier 2 capital) and Tier 1 capital. "Tier 1," or core capital, includes common equity, perpetual preferred stock (excluding auction rate issues), trust preferred securities (limited to one-third of other Tier 1 components), and minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries (less goodwill and other intangibles), subject to certain exceptions and limitations. "Tier 2," or supplementary capital, includes, among other things, limited-life preferred stock, hybrid capital instruments, mandatory convertible securities and trust preferred securities (above amounts not qualifying as Tier 1 capital), qualifying and subordinated debt, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations and less required deductions. The inclusion of elements of Tier 2 capital is subject to certain other requirements and limitations of the federal banking agencies. Banks and bank holding companies, subject to the risk-based capital guidelines are required to maintain a ratio of Tier 1 capital requirements when particular circumstances warrant. In addition to risk-based capital, banks and bank holding companies are required to maintain a minimum amount of Tier 1 capital to quarterly average assets, referred to as the leverage capital ratio, of at least 4%. First Mariner and the Bank maintained capital ratios that exceeded these minimum standards. See "Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and

Results of Operations," for the year ended December 31, 2007 for more detailed information concerning capital adequacy.

Federal banking agencies have adopted regulations specifying that the agencies will include, in their evaluations of a bank's capital adequacy, an assessment of the bank's interest rate risk ("IRR") exposure. The standards for measuring the adequacy and effectiveness of a banking organization's interest rate risk management includes a measurement of board of director and senior management oversight, and a determination of whether a banking organization's procedures for comprehensive risk management are appropriate to the circumstances of the specific banking organization. The Bank utilizes IRR models that are used to measure and monitor IRR. Additionally, the regulatory agencies have been assessing IRR on an informal basis for several years.

Failure to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject a banking organization to a variety of enforcement actions, including limitations on its ability to pay dividends, the issuance by the applicable regulatory authority of a capital directive to increase capital and, in the case of depository institutions, the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, as well as the measures described under "Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991" below, as applicable to undercapitalized institutions. In addition, future changes in regulations or practices could further reduce the amount of capital recognized for purposes of capital adequacy. Such a change could affect the ability of the Bank to grow and could restrict the amount of profits, if any, available for the payment of dividends to First Mariner.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991. In December 1991, Congress enacted FDICIA, which substantially revised the bank regulatory and funding provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and made significant revisions to several other federal banking statutes. FDICIA provides for, among other things, (i) publicly available annual financial condition and management reports for financial institutions, including audits by independent accountants, (ii) the establishment of uniform accounting standards by federal banking agencies, (iii) the establishment of a "prompt corrective action" system of regulatory supervision and intervention, based on capitalization levels with more scrutiny and restrictions placed on depository institutions with lower levels of capital, (iv) additional grounds for the appointment of a conservator or receiver, and (v) restrictions or prohibitions on accepting brokered deposits, except for institutions which significantly exceed minimum capital requirements. FDICIA also provides for increased funding of the FDIC insurance funds and the implementation of risked-based premiums.

A central feature of FDICIA is the requirement that the federal banking agencies take "prompt corrective action" with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Pursuant to FDICIA, the federal bank regulatory authorities have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tiered system for measuring the capital adequacy of the depository institutions that they supervise. Under these regulations, a depository institution is classified in one of the following capital categories: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized." An institution may be deemed by the regulators to be in a capitalization category that is lower than is indicated by its actual capital position if, among other things, it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to asset quality, management, earnings, or liquidity.

FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including payment of a cash dividend) or paying any management fees to its holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized. Undercapitalized depository institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit capital restoration plans. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is significantly undercapitalized. Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of other requirements and restrictions including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized and requirements to reduce total assets and stop accepting deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator, generally within 90 days of the date such institution is determined to be critically undercapitalized.

FDICIA provides the federal banking agencies with significantly expanded powers to take enforcement action against institutions that fail to comply with capital or other standards. Such action may include the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC or the appointment of a receiver or conservator for the institution. FDICIA also limits the circumstances under which the FDIC is permitted to provide financial assistance to an insured institution before appointment of a conservator or receiver.

Interstate Banking Legislation. The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 ("Riegle-Neal") was enacted into law on September 29, 1994. Riegle-Neal authorized federal banking agencies to approve interstate bank merger transactions even if such transactions are prohibited by the laws of a state. An exception to such authorization arises if the home state of one of the banks that is a party to the merger transaction opted out of the merger provisions of Riegle-Neal by adopting a law after the date of the enactment of the Riegle-Neal and prior to June 1, 1997. These laws must apply equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibit merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Riegle-Neal also permits interstate branch acquisitions if the laws of the state where the branch is located permits interstate branch acquisitions. The interstate merger and branch acquisitions permitted by Riegle-Neal are subject to nationwide and statewide insured deposit limitations as described in Riegle-Neal.

Riegle-Neal also authorizes the federal banking agencies to approve *de novo* interstate branching by national and state banks in states which specifically allow for such branching. To our knowledge, only two states, Texas and Montana, have opted out of the Riegle-Neal provisions relating to interstate mergers, acquisitions of branches and establishment of de novo branches. We anticipate that Riegle-Neal may increase competition within our market area, although we cannot predict the timing or the extent of such increased competition.

Privacy Legislation. Current Federal banking rules limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public personal financial information about customers to non-affiliated third parties. Under these rules, financial institutions must provide initial notices to customers about their privacy policies that provide a description of the conditions under which they may disclose non-public personal information to non-affiliated third parties. Institutions must also provide annual notices to current customers that provide a reasonable method for customers to "opt out" of disclosures to non-affiliated parties. These policies affect how customer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to third parties. We have implemented our privacy policies in accordance with the law.

USA Patriot Act. The USA Patriot Act of 2001 significantly increased the anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws to require additional due diligence for financial institutions. The law set standards for verifying customer information at account opening and maintenance of records, and created rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. The law requires financial

businesses to report cash transactions in excess of \$10,000 to the U.S. Treasury Department, and also requires reporting of suspicious customer activities.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 comprehensively revised the laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations, and corporate reporting for companies, such as First Mariner, with equity or debt securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established, among other things: 1) new requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise, and responsibilities; 2) additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; 3) new standards for auditors and regulation of audits; 4) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and 5) new and increased civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

Economic Monetary Policies and Economic Controls

We are affected by monetary policies of regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board, which regulates the national money supply in order to mitigate recessionary and inflationary pressures. Among the techniques available to the Federal Reserve Board are: engaging in open market transactions in U.S. Government securities, changing the discount rate on bank borrowings, changing reserve requirements against bank deposits, prohibiting the payment of interest on demand deposits, and imposing conditions on time and savings deposits. These techniques are used in varying combinations to influence the overall growth of bank loans, investments, and deposits. Their use may also affect interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits. The effect of governmental policies on our earnings cannot be predicted. However, our earnings will be impacted by movement in interest rates, as discussed in Part II Item 7A- "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

Our Future Depends on the Successful Growth of the Bank and Mariner Finance

Our primary business activity for the foreseeable future will be to act as a bank holding company. Our future profitability will therefore depend on the success and growth of the Bank and Mariner Finance. Our growth will depend, in large part, on our ability to leverage our existing infrastructure. The inability of the Bank to expand its business without substantially increasing the number of branches, the inability of our mortgage divisions to grow their residential mortgage business without substantially increasing its number of offices, or the inability of Mariner Finance to grow its consumer portfolio without substantially increasing its number of offices may prevent us from realizing our growth objectives.

A Significant Amount of Our Business is Concentrated in Real Estate Lending, and Most of this Lending Involves Maryland Real Estate

Approximately 25% of our loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and consumer real estate development and construction loans, which are secured by the real estate being developed in each case. In addition to the risk that the market values of the real estate securing these loans may deteriorate, these loans are also subject to the development risks that the projects will not be completed in a timely manner, or according to original specifications. Real estate development and construction projects that are not completed in a timely manner, or according to original specifications, are generally less marketable than projects that are fully developed. The loans underlying such projects may be subject to greater losses in the event that the real estate collateral becomes the source of repayment.

In addition to the financial strength and cash flow characteristics of the borrower in each case, the Bank often secures its loans with real estate collateral. At December 31, 2007, approximately 79% of the Bank's loans have real estate as a primary, secondary, or tertiary component of collateral. The real

estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. If we are required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan during a period of reduced real estate values to satisfy the debt, our earnings and capital could be adversely affected.

We Have A High Percentage Of Commercial, Commercial Real Estate, And Real Estate Acquisition And Development Loans In Relation To Our Total Loans And Total Assets

Our loan portfolio contains a high percentage of commercial, commercial real estate, and real estate acquisition and development loans in relation to our total loans and total assets. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, along with other federal banking regulators, issued final guidance on December 6, 2006 entitled, *Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices*, directed at institutions that have particularly high concentrations of commercial real estate loans within their lending portfolios. These types of loans also typically are larger than residential real estate loans and other commercial loans. Because the loan portfolio contains a number of commercial and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans may cause a significant increase in nonperforming loans. An increase in nonperforming loans could result, and has resulted, in a loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses, or an increase in loan charge-offs, which could have an adverse impact on financial results.

This recent guidance suggests that institutions whose commercial real estate loans exceed certain percentages of capital should implement heightened risk management practices appropriate to their concentration risk and may be required to maintain higher capital ratios than institutions with lower concentrations in commercial real estate lending. Based on our commercial real estate concentration as of December 31, 2007, we may be subject to further supervisory analysis during future examinations. Although we continuously evaluate our concentration and risk management strategies, we cannot guarantee that any risk management practices we implement will be effective to prevent losses relating to our commercial real estate portfolio. Management cannot predict the extent to which this guidance will impact our operations or capital requirements.

Mortgage-Banking Activities Generate a Significant Portion of Our Noninterest Income

A significant portion of our business involves making residential mortgage loans through our mortgage division, which accounted for approximately 30% and 44% of our noninterest income for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Real estate loan origination activity, including refinancings, is generally greater during periods of low or declining interest rates and favorable economic conditions, which had been favorably affected by relatively lower market interest rates during the past three years. However, we did experience a deterioration in market conditions during 2007 and continued adverse changes in market conditions could have an adverse impact on our earnings through lower origination volumes.

We Experience Interest Rate Risk On Our Loans Held For Sale Portfolio

We are exposed to interest rate risk in both our pipeline of mortgage originations (loans that have yet to close with the borrower) and in our warehouse loans (those loans that have closed with the borrower but have yet to be funded by investors). We have managed this interest rate risk primarily in two ways. Currently, we enter into agreements to sell our loans through the use of best efforts forward delivery contracts. Under this type of agreement we commit to sell a loan at an agreed price to an investor at the point in time the borrower commits to an interest rate on the loan, with the intent that the buyer assumes the interest rate risk on the loan. During 2006, a portion of our mortgage loan pipeline and warehouse were hedged utilizing forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars for loans to be sold under mandatory delivery contracts on a pooled or bulk basis. We

expected that these derivative financial instruments (forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars) would experience changes in fair value opposite to the change in fair value of the derivative loan commitments and our warehouse. However, the process of selling loans on a bulk basis and use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars to hedge interest rate risk associated with customer interest rate lock commitments involved greater risk than selling loans on an individual basis through best efforts forward delivery commitments. Hedging interest rate risk in bulk sales required management to estimate the expected "fallout" (rate lock commitments with customers that do not complete the loan process). Additionally, the fair value of the hedge may not have correlated precisely with the change in fair value of the rate lock commitments with the customer due to changes in market conditions, such as demand for loan products, or prices paid for differing types of loan products. Variances from management's estimates for customer fallout or market changes making the forward sale of mortgage-backed securities and/or Eurodollars non-effective may have resulted in higher volatility in our profits from selling mortgage loans originated for sale. We engaged an experienced third party to assist us in managing our activities in hedging and marketing our bulk sales delivery strategy.

During 2007, we curtailed our forward loan commitments and the corresponding hedging using forward contracts to sell securities due to the significantly lower production volume of loans during the year. Most of the loans sold during 2007 were done so on a best efforts basis. We had no mandatory forward sales commitments or hedges as of December 31, 2007.

We Experience Credit Risk Related To Our Residential Mortgage Production Activities

We also face credit risk related to our residential mortgage production activities. Credit risk is the potential for financial loss resulting from the failure of a borrower or an institution to honor its contractual obligations to us, including the risk that an investor will fail to honor its obligation under a best efforts forward delivery contract to purchase the loan from us. We manage mortgage credit risk principally by selling substantially all of the mortgage loans that we produce, limiting credit recourse to the Bank in those transactions, and by retaining high credit quality mortgages in our loan portfolio. We also limit our risk of loss on mortgage loan sales by establishing limits on activity to any one investor and by entering into contractual relationships with only those financial institutions that are approved by our Secondary Marketing Committee. The period of time between closing on a loan commitment with the borrower and funding by the investor ranges from between 15 and 90 days.

We Experience Risk Related To Covenants In Our Loan Sales Agreements With Investors

Our sales agreements with investors who buy our loans generally contain covenants which may require us to repurchase loans under certain provisions, including delinquencies, or return premiums paid by these investors should the loan be paid off early. Any loans we are required to repurchase may be considered impaired loans, with the potential for charge-offs and/or loss provision charges. The addition of these repurchased loans to our portfolio could adversely affect our earnings and asset quality ratios.

There may be certain loans in our portfolio that were originated for sale, but for various reasons, are unable to be sold. These loans are transferred to our loan portfolio at fair market value. Any deterioration in value of the loan during the period held in the portfolio is charged to the allowance for loan losses.

We May Experience Loan Losses in Excess of the Allowance

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. Management

maintains an allowance for loan losses based upon, among other things, historical loss experience in the loan portfolios, the levels and trends in past-due and nonaccrual loans, the status of nonaccrual loans and other loans identified as having the potential for further deterioration, credit risk and industry concentrations, trends in loan volume, the effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures or underwriting standards, and a continuing evaluation of the economic environment.

Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectibility of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for loan losses based upon a percentage of the outstanding balances and for specific loans when their ultimate collectibility is considered questionable. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate to absorb future losses, or if regulatory authorities require the Bank or Mariner Finance to increase the allowance for loan losses as a part of their examination process, our earnings and capital could be significantly and adversely affected.

As of December 31, 2007, the allowance for loan losses was \$12.789 million, which represented 1.50% of outstanding loans, net of unearned income. At such date, we had nonaccruing loans totaling \$24.389 million. Management actively administers its nonaccruing loans in an effort to minimize credit losses. Although management believes that its allowance for loan losses is adequate, there can be no assurance that the allowance will prove sufficient to cover future loan losses. Further, although management uses the best information available to make determinations with respect to the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used or adverse developments arise with respect to nonperforming or performing loans. Material additions to the allowance for loan losses would result in a decrease in net income and capital, and could have and have had a material adverse effect on us.

We currently hold a significant level of Bank Owned Life Insurance

We currently hold a significant level of Bank Owned Life Insurance on key employees and executives that have cash surrender values of \$34.931 million as of December 31, 2007. The eventual repayment of the cash surrender value is subject to the ability of various insurance companies to pay benefits in the event of the death of an insured employee, or return the cash surrender value to us in the event of our need for liquidity. We continuously monitor the financial strength of the various insurance companies with whom we carry policies. However, there is no assurance that one or more of these companies will not experience a decline in financial strength which could impair its ability to pay benefits or return our cash surrender value. Additionally, should we need to liquidate these policies for liquidity needs, we would be subject to taxation on the increase in cash surrender value as well as penalties for early termination of the insurance contracts. These events would have a negative impact on our earnings.

Economic Conditions and Monetary Policy

Our operating results will depend to a great extent upon the rate differentials between the yields earned on our loans, securities and other earning assets and the rates paid on our deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. These rate differentials are highly sensitive to many factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities, in particular the Federal Reserve Board. The makeup of our loan and deposit portfolios, in particular, determines our sensitivity to these factors. At December 31, 2007, we had a one year cumulative interest sensitivity gap of \$104.458 million. See Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Interest Rate Sensitivity."

Like other depository institutions, the Company is affected by the monetary policies implemented by the Federal Reserve Board and other federal entities. A primary instrument of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve Board is the restriction or expansion of the money supply through

open market operations, including the purchase and sale of government securities and the adjustment of reserve requirements. These actions may at times result in significant fluctuations in interest rates, which could have adverse effects on our operations. In particular, our ability to make loans, attract deposits and realize gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans, as well as public demand for loans, could be adversely affected. See Item 1 "Business Economic Monetary Policies and Economic Controls."

Our Ability to Pay Cash Dividends is Limited

Holders of shares of our common stock are entitled to dividends if declared by our board of directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. Although the board of directors has declared cash dividends in the past, it has discontinued such payments to conserve cash and capital resources, and does not intend to declare cash dividends until current earnings are sufficient to generate adequate internal capital to support growth. Our current ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank. Federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Additional restrictions are placed upon us by the policies of federal regulators, including the FRB's November 14, 1985 policy statement, which provides that bank holding companies should pay dividends only out of the past year's net income, and then only if their prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with their capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition.

Our ability to pay dividends is further subject to our ability to make payments of interest under junior subordinated debentures due through 2035 held by our statutory trusts Mariner Capital Trust II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII ("the trusts"). These payments are necessary to fund the distributions that the trusts each must pay to holders of its trust preferred securities (collectively, the "Mariner Trust Preferred Securities"). If we are unable to make such payments, if we determine to defer such payments, or if we default under our other obligations in connection with the Mariner Trust Preferred Securities, we will not be permitted to pay dividends to holders of our common stock until such time as we recommence making payments or are not otherwise in default.

In general, future dividend policy is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including the future earnings, capital requirements, regulatory constraints, and our financial condition as well as that of the Bank and Mariner Finance.

Our Management Controls a Significant Percentage of Our Stock

At December 31, 2007, our directors and executive officers beneficially owned approximately 1,916,000 shares of our common stock (either directly or with options), or 30% of our outstanding shares of common stock. Edwin F. Hale, Sr., who is our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and largest stockholder, beneficially owns 1,432,316 shares of common stock (with options), or 23% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2007. Because of the large percentage of stock held by our directors and executive officers, these persons could influence the outcome of any matter submitted to a vote of our stockholders.

Our Stock is Not Heavily Traded

The average daily trading volume of our shares on The Nasdaq National Market for the previous three months was approximately 8,000 shares. Thus, our common stock is not heavily traded and can be more volatile than stock trading in an active public market. Factors such as our financial results, the introduction of new products and services by us or our competitors, and various factors affecting the banking industry generally may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock. We cannot predict the extent to which an active public market for our common stock will develop or be sustained. In recent years, the stock market has experienced a high level of price and volume volatility,



and market prices for the stock of many companies have experienced wide price fluctuations that have not necessarily been related to their operating performance. Therefore, our stockholders may not be able to trade large blocks of shares at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire.

Our Stock is Not Insured

Investments in the shares of our common stock are not deposits and are not insured against loss by the government.

We Operate in a Competitive Market

We operate in a competitive environment, competing for deposits, loans and customers with commercial banks, thrifts, finance companies, and other financial entities. Competition for deposits comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, money market, Internet-based deposit intermediaries, mutual funds, and other investment alternatives. Competition for loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, mortgage-banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, and other financial intermediaries. Many of the financial intermediaries operating in our market area offer certain services, such as trust, investment, and international banking services, which we do not offer. In addition, companies with a larger capitalization and financial intermediaries not subject to regulatory restrictions have larger lending limits, and are thereby able to serve the needs of larger customers.

In November 1999, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA") was signed into law. GLBA revised the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and repealed the affiliation provisions of the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which, taken together, limited the securities, insurance, and other non-banking activities of any company that controls an FDIC insured financial institution. Under GLBA, a bank holding company can elect, subject to certain qualifications, to become a "financial holding company." GLBA provides that a financial holding company may engage in a full range of financial activities, including insurance and securities sales and underwriting activities, and real estate development, with new expedited notice procedures. First Mariner Bancorp has operated as a financial holding company since 2002, but recently withdrew that election. The termination of this financial holding company status may increase the competition we face in our market areas from bank holding companies that are also or may in the future become financial holding companies and from other entities that engage, or in the future may engage, in activities in which bank holding companies that are not also financial holding companies may not engage.

Finally, our continued growth and profitability will depend upon our ability to attract and retain skilled managerial, marketing, and technical personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the banking industry is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel.

Contracts With Our Officers May Discourage a Takeover or Adversely Affect Our Takeover Value

We have entered into change in control agreements with nine of our officers. These agreements provide for a payment to each officer of a multiple (ranging from 1 to 2.99) of his or her salary and bonus upon the occurrence of either a change in control that results in the loss of employment or a significant change in his or her employment. Thus, we may be required to make significant payments in the event that the rights under these agreements are triggered by a change in control. As a result, these contracts may discourage a takeover, or adversely affect the consideration payable to stockholders in the event of a takeover.

Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws May Discourage a Corporate Takeover

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation ("Articles"), and Amended and Restated Bylaws ("Bylaws"), contain certain provisions designed to enhance the ability of the Board of Directors to deal with attempts to acquire control of the Company. These provisions provide for the classification of our Board of Directors into three classes; directors of each class serve for staggered three year periods. The Articles also provide for supermajority voting provisions for the approval of certain business combinations. Although these provisions do not preclude a takeover, they may have the effect of discouraging a future takeover attempt which would not be approved by our Board of Directors, but pursuant to which stockholders might receive a substantial premium for their shares over then-current market prices. As a result, stockholders who might desire to participate in such a transaction might not have the opportunity to do so. Such provisions will also render the removal of our Board of Directors and of management more difficult and, therefore, may serve to perpetuate current management. Further, such provisions could potentially adversely affect the market price of the common stock.

ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There were no unresolved comments from the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission at December 31, 2007.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

We lease our executive offices located at 1501 South Clinton Street, Baltimore, Maryland. This location also houses a headquarters satellite branch office. We occupy approximately 75,500 square feet at this location, which is adjacent to our former headquarters building.

We own our former headquarters branch office located at 3301 Boston Street, Baltimore, Maryland. This location houses drive-up banking and customer parking facilities, as well as other administration offices.

We operate retail bank branches at the following locations:*

Maryland:

Annapolis(2) 161 A Jennifer Road Annapolis, MD 21401

Arbutus(2) 3720 Washington Blvd., Suite 100 Baltimore, MD 21227

Bel Air(3) 12 A Bel Air South Parkway Bel Air, MD 21015

Canton(1) 3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Canton Tower/Headquarters(2)(4) 1501 South Clinton Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Carroll Island(2) 176 Carroll Island Road Baltimore, MD 21220

Cockeysville(3) 9840 York Road Cockeysville, MD 21030

Columbia(2) 8835 Centre Park Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, MD 21045

Crofton(3) 1049 MD Route 3 Gambrills, MD 21054

Downtown Baltimore(2) 300 N. Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21201

Dundalk(2) 7860 Wise Avenue Baltimore, MD 21222

Easton(1) 8662 Alicia Drive Easton, MD 21601

Ellicott City(3) 10065 Baltimore National Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042 **Glen Burnie(2)** 305 South Crain Highway Glen Burnie, MD 21061

Hickory(3) 1403 Conowingo Road Belair, MD 21014

Loch Raven(1) 1641 East Joppa Road Baltimore, MD 21286

Lutherville/Timonium(2) 1738 York Road Lutherville, MD 21093

Ocean City(2) 12505 Coastal Highway Ocean City, MD 21842

Odenton(1) 1600 Annapolis Road Odenton, MD 21113

Owings Mills(3) 4800 Painters Mill Road Owings Mills, MD 21117

Perry Hall(1) 8843 Bel Air Road Perry Hall, MD 21236

Pikesville(1) 1013 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208

Pikesville Drive-Thru(2)(4) 1100 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208

Severna Park(2) 366A Gov Ritchie Highway Severna Park, MD 21146

Towson(1) 115 East Joppa Road Baltimore, MD 21286

Westminster(1)(5) 1010 Baltimore Boulevard Westminster, MD 21157

White Marsh(1) 10101 Philadelphia Road White Marsh, MD 21237

Woodlawn(3)

7007 Security Boulveard Baltimore, MD 21244

Pennsylvania:

Shrewsbury(2)

Market Square Shopping Center 549 South Main Street Shrewsbury, PA 17361

*	
	For our branch hours and remote ATM locations, please refer to our website at <u>www.lstmarinerbank.com</u> .
(1)	-
	Company owns branch
(2)	
	Company leases branch
(3)	
(0)	Company owns branch, but leases related land
(4)	
(.)	Office is a satellite branch
(5)	
(5)	Projected branch opening in fall of 2008

For more information on our lease commitments and costs see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K.

We operate mortgage offices at the following locations:

Maryland:

Annapolis(2) 2086 Generals Highway, 2nd Floor Annapolis, MD 21401

Canton/Headquarters(1) 3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Cambridge(2) 108 Dorchester Avenue Cambridge, MD 21613

Dunkirk(2) 10351 Southern Maryland Blvd. Dunkirk, MD 20754

Ellicott City(3) 10065 Baltimore National Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042

Rockville(2) 15722 Crabbs Branch Way Rockville, MD 20855

Salisbury(2) 309 East Main Street, Suite 100 Salisbury, MD 21801

Severna Park(2) 838 Ritchie Highway Severna Park, MD 21146

White Marsh(1) 10101 Philadelphia Road White Marsh, MD 21237

Connecticut:

Hamden(2) 2337 Whitney Avenue Hamden, CT 06518

Delaware:

Seaford(2) 604 North Porter Street Seaford, DE 19973

Wilmington(2)

3301 Lancaster Pike, Suite 5B Wilmington, DE 19805

Massachusetts:

Andover(2) 206 Andover Street Andover, MA 01810

Metro Boston(2) 160 Gould Street, Ste 102 Needham, MA 02494

South Shore(2) 200 Cordwainer Drive Norwell, MA 02061

North Carolina:

VA Mortgage(2)

203 Wolf Creek Professional Center Havelock, NC 28532

(1)

	Company owns office
(2)	

Company leases office (3)

Company owns office, but leases related land

We operate consumer finance offices at the following locations which are leased by Mariner Finance:

Maryland:

Bel Air 225 Briarhill Place, Suite I-1 Bel Air, MD 21015

Bowie 3440 Crain Highway Bowie, Md 20716

Canton/Headquarters/Central Approval 3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Cumberland 1050 West Industrial Blvd., Unit 7 Cumberland, MD 21502

Dundalk 1770 Merritt Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21222

Easton 8223-17 Elliott Road Easton, MD 21601

Essex 511A Eastern Boulevard Essex, MD 21221

Frederick 454 Prospect Boulevard Frederick, MD 21702

Germantown 19705 North Frederick Road Germantown, MD 20876

Glen Burnie 7400 Ritchie Highway, Suite E Glen Burnie, Md 21061

Hagerstown 1423 Dual Highway Hagerstown, MD 21740

Laurel 3421 Fort Meade Road Laurel, MD 20707

North East 113 North East Plaza North East, MD 21901

Overlea 7682 Belair Road Baltimore, MD 21236

Randallstown 3537 Brenbrook Drive Randallstown, MD 21133

Salisbury 319 B Civic Avenue Salisbury, MD 21804

Waldorf 2084 Crain Highway Waldorf, MD 20610

Westminster 625 Baltimore Boulevard Westminster, MD 21157

Woodlawn 6666 Security Blvd., Suite 16 Baltimore, MD 21207

Delaware:

Bear 1831 Pulaski Highway Bear, DE 19701

Dover 222 South Dupont Hwy, Suite 101 Dover, DE 19901

Milford 975A Dupont Boulevard Milford, DE 19963

Seaford 1026 West Stein Highway Seaford, DE 19973

New Jersey:

Turnersville 5851 Ft. 42 Plaza 42 Turnersville, NJ 08012

Tennessee:

Lawrenceburg(1) 221 E. Gaines Street Lawrenceburg, TN 38464

Murfreesboro 2805 Old Fort parkway Suite K Murfreesboro, TN 37128

Nashville

4907 Nolensville Road Nashville, TN 37211

Smyrna

639 President Place Smyrna, TN 37167

Virginia:

Chester

12654 Jefferson Davis Highway Chester, VA 23831

Mechanicsville(1)

7445 Lee Davis Road Suite 106 Mechanicsville, VA 23111

Woodbridge

1979 Daniel Stuart Square Woodbridge, VA 22191

(1)

Projected office opening in spring of 2008

Our bank branches range in total size from 2,000 to 4,000 square feet, mortgage offices generally range from 1,200 to 2,000 square feet and our Mariner Finance offices from 800 to 1,600 square feet. All of our locations are suitable and adequate to conduct business and support growth in customer and transaction volume.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are party to legal actions that are routine and incidental to our business. In management's opinion, the outcome of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There was no submission of matters to a vote of securities holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 4A EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Edwin F. Hale, Sr. (age 61) has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Mariner and of the Bank since 1995. Joseph A. Cicero (age 63) has been the President of First Mariner and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank since 1996. George H. Mantakos (age 65) has been Executive Vice President of First Mariner, and the President of the Bank since 1995. Mark A. Keidel (age 46) has been Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of First Mariner and the Bank since June 2000.

PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market for Common Stock

Our common stock trades on The NASDAQ National Market under the symbol "FMAR." The table below sets forth for the periods indicated the low and high market prices of our common stock as reported on The Nasdaq National Market. These over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices and do not include retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and they may not necessarily represent actual transactions. We currently have approximately 2,600 stockholders, and we did not pay a cash dividend in 2007 or 2006. See Item 1 "Business Supervision and Regulation" and Item 1A "Risk Factors Our Ability to Pay Cash Dividends is Limited" in this Form 10-K for information on dividend restrictions.

		Low		High
	-		_	
2007 Quarter ended:				
Fourth quarter	\$	4.89	\$	10.40
Third quarter		7.95		13.05
Second quarter		12.00		15.20
First quarter		15.00		18.61
2006 Quarter ended:				
Fourth quarter	\$	5 18.36	\$	20.45
Third quarter		18.51		19.50
Second quarter		18.30		19.60
First quarter		17.26		19.34
tion Plan Information				

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth the securities authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007:

Plan category	(A) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights		(B) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(C) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (A))		
Equity compensation plans approved	012 700	\$	12.47	154 457		
by security holders Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	813,788	¢	12.47	154,457		
T. 4 1	012 700	¢	10.47	154 457		
Total	813,788	\$	12.47	154,457		
	2	26				

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities(1)

The following table sets forth the Company's purchases of its Common Stock for the most recent fiscal quarter:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Plan	Maximum Number of Shares Yet to Purchase Under Plan
October 2007		\$	282,925	17,075
November 2007			282,925	17,075
December 2007			282,925	17,075

(1)

On July 18, 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved an extension to its share repurchase program, originally approved on July 20, 2004, of up to 300,000 shares (approximately 5%) of our outstanding common stock, which provides for open market or private purchases of stock until July 18, 2008. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company repurchased a total of 119,600 shares of our common stock at an approximate cost of \$1.162 million.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of the Company's Common Stock, with the performance of a broad market index and a nationally-recognized industry standard assuming in each case both an initial \$100 investment on December 31, 2002 and reinvestment of dividends as of the end of the Company's last five fiscal years. The Company has selected the Nasdaq Market Index as the relevant broad market index because prices for the Company's Common Stock are quoted on Nasdaq National Market. Additionally, the Company has selected the Nasdaq Bank Index as the relevant industry standard because such index consists of financial institutions which the Company believes generally possess assets, liabilities and operations more similar to the Company than other publicly-available indices. However, given the short history of the Company's operations and its rapid growth, the Company believes no truly appropriate comparative index exists.

		Period Ending										
	12/31/2002		12/31/2003			12/31/2004		12/31/2005		12/31/2006		12/31/2007
First Mariner Bancorp NASDAQ Bank Index	\$	100 100	\$	168.94 129.93		159.49 144.21		158.95 137.97	\$	168.48 153.15	\$	51.50 119.35
NASDAQ Market Index		100		150.01 28		162.89		165.13		180.85		198.60

ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	2007			2006	2005		2004		2003	
				(dollars in t	housa	unds, except per	share	data)		
Consolidated Statement of Operations										
Data:	<i>•</i>	11.600	<i>•</i>	10.011	÷		<i>•</i>	10.111	<i>•</i>	
Net interest income	\$	44,680	\$	49,266	\$	47,723	\$	42,441	\$	34,411
Provision for loan losses		8,915		2,315		3,287		2,243		2,536
Noninterest income		24,100		23,767		23,015		19,190		21,086
Noninterest expense		78,238		69,159		56,340		50,926		45,883
Income tax (benefit) expense Net (loss) income		(8,310) (10,063)		(365) 1,924		3,289 7,822		2,361 6,101		1,771 5,307
Consolidated Statement of Financial										
Condition Data:	¢	1.046.900	¢	1 2 (2 200	¢	1 262 479	¢	1 050 501	¢	1.057.052
Total assets	\$	1,246,822	\$	1,263,290	\$	1,362,478	\$	1,250,531	\$	1,057,853
Loans receivable, net		842,131 904,953		854,060		839,843		736,566		601,155
Deposits				924,938 132,557		876,010 131,000		825,417		747,733 110.000
Long-term borrowings Junior subordinated deferrable interest		155,130		152,557		151,000		134,369		110,000
debentures		73,724		73,724		73,724		58,249		47,939
Stockholders' equity		64,570		78,629		72,375		64,314		58,434
Stockholders equity		04,370		78,029		12,515		04,514		58,454
Per Share Data:										
Number of shares of common stock		6 051 611		6 407 705		()() 110		5.00(.011		5 (02 (27
outstanding at year end		6,351,611		6,427,725		6,262,442		5,826,011		5,693,637
Net (loss) income per common share:	¢	(1.57)	¢	0.20	¢	1.00	¢	1.06	¢	0.07
Basic	\$	(1.57)	2	0.30	\$	1.28 1.20	\$	1.06	\$	0.97
Diluted Cash dividends declared		(1.57)		0.29		1.20		0.96		0.88
Cash dividends declared										
Performance and Capital Ratios:										
Return on average assets		(0.81)9		0.14%		0.59%		0.54%		0.57%
Return on average equity		(13.83)%		2.53%	11.44%		10.11%	9.76%		
Net interest margin		4.07%		3.96%		3.88%		4.06%		3.99%
Average equity to average assets		5.85%		5.59%		5.13%		5.36%		5.83%
Year-end Tier 1 leverage ratio		7%		8%		7%		7%		8%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets		8%		10%		10%		9%		10%
Total capital to risk-weighted assets		14%)	16%	2	15%	b	14%)	15%
Asset Quality Ratios:										
Nonperforming assets to total assets		3.48%)	0.52%	,	0.29%	6	0.38%	,	0.48%
Allowance for loan losses at year-end to:										
Total loans, net of unearned income		1.50%)	1.43%	2	1.38%	b	1.28%)	1.43%
Nonperforming assets and 90 day										
past-due loans		27.57%)	36.61%	2	244.14%	0	150.84%)	118.61%
Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income		1.01%		0.19% 29	, D	0.14%	6	0.21%	2	0.19%

ITEM 7 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 10-K may contain forward-looking language within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements may include expressions about our confidence, policies, and strategies, provisions and allowance for loan losses, adequacy of capital levels, and liquidity. All statements included or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than statements that are purely historical, are forward-looking statements. Statements that include the use of terminology such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "estimates," and similar expressions also identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based on our current intent, belief, and expectations. Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include, but are not limited to, statements of our plans, strategies, objectives, intentions, including, among other statements, statements involving our projected loan and deposit growth, loan collateral values, collectibility of loans, anticipated changes in other operating income, payroll and branching expenses, branch, office and product expansion of the Company and its subsidiaries, and liquidity and capital levels. Such forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, including general economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which we operate, inflation, fluctuations in interest rates, legislation, and government regulation. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict. For a more complete discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward looking statements, see "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this Form 10-K. Except as required by applicable laws, we do not intend to publish updates or revisions of any forward-looking statements we make to reflect new information, future events,

The Company

The Company is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of Maryland and registered under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company began conducting business as First Mariner Bancorp in May 1995. Since 1995, the Company's strategy has involved building a network of banking branches, ATMs, and other financial services outlets to capture market share and build a community franchise for stockholders, customers, and employees. The Company is currently focused on growing assets and earnings by capitalizing on the broad network of bank branches, mortgage offices, consumer finance offices, and ATMs established during its infrastructure expansion phase. First Mariner is currently the fourth largest publicly traded bank holding company based in Maryland, with total assets of \$1.247 billion at December 31, 2007.

The Company's business is conducted primarily through its wholly owned subsidiaries: First Mariner Bank (the "Bank"), Mariner Finance, LLC ("Mariner Finance"), and FM Appraisals, LLC ("FM Appraisals"). First Mariner Bank is the largest operating subsidiary of the Company with assets exceeding \$1.133 billion as of December 31, 2007. The Bank was formed in 1995 through the merger of several small financial institutions. The Bank's primary market area for its core banking operations, which consist of traditional commercial and consumer lending, as well as retail and commercial deposit operations, is central Maryland as well as portions of Maryland's eastern shore. The Bank opened its first branch in Pennsylvania during 2007. The Bank is an independent community bank, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").

The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business, with particular attention and emphasis on the needs of individuals and small to mid-sized businesses, and delivers a wide range of financial products and services that are offered by many larger competitors. Products and services include traditional deposit products, a variety of consumer and commercial loans, residential and

commercial mortgage and construction loans, wire transfer services, nondeposit investment products, and Internet banking and similar services. Most importantly, the Bank provides customers with access to local Bank officers who are empowered to act with flexibility to meet customers' needs in an effort to foster and develop long-term loan and deposit relationships.

First Mariner Mortgage, a division of the Bank, engages in mortgage-banking activities, providing mortgages and associated products to customers and selling most of those mortgages into the secondary market. The sourcing of loans for First Mariner Mortgage is through a retail delivery channel comprised of retail loan offices and Bank branches. During 2006, First Mariner Mortgage originated loans through both its retail delivery channel and its wholesale division. The wholesale division sourced loans through relationships with mortgage brokers. First Mariner Mortgage expanded its secondary marketing activities significantly during 2006 by accumulating and selling groups of loans and began hedging the interest rate risk associated with mortgage-banking through the use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars. During 2007, such activity decreased significantly due to decreased loan originations and we returned to managing interest rate risk through the use of best efforts delivery commitments with our investors on a loan by loan basis. Our last bulk sale was done during the second quarter of 2007. During the third quarter of 2007, we closed the wholesale lending operations of First Mariner Mortgage. First Mariner Mortgage currently operates offices in Maryland, Delaware, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and North Carolina. First Mariner Mortgage originated \$965.865 million in loans in 2007.

Next Generation Financial Services ("NGFS"), a division of the Bank, engages in the origination of reverse and conventional mortgages, providing these products directly through commission based loan officers throughout the United States. NGFS originates reverse mortgages for sale to Fannie Mae and other private investors. The Bank does not originate any reverse mortgages for its portfolio and currently sells all of its originations into the secondary market. The Bank retains the servicing rights on reverse mortgages sold to Fannie Mae. NGFS is one of the largest originators of reverse mortgages in the United States.

Mariner Finance engages in traditional consumer finance activities, making small direct cash loans to individuals, the purchase of installment loan sales contracts from local merchants and retail dealers of consumer goods, and loans to individuals via direct mail solicitations, as well as a relatively low volume of mortgage loans. Mariner Finance currently operates branches in Maryland, Virginia, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Delaware. Mariner Finance had total assets of \$80.312 million as of December 31, 2007. A substantial majority of those assets are comprised of loans to customers in Maryland and Delaware.

FM Appraisals is a residential real estate appraisal preparation and management company that is headquartered in Baltimore City. FM Appraisals offers appraisal services for residential real estate lenders, including appraisal preparation, the compliance oversight of sub-contracted appraisers, appraisal ordering and administration, and appraisal review services. FM Appraisals provides these services to First Mariner Mortgage.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and follow general practices within the industry in which it operates. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the consolidated financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates,



assumptions, and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the consolidated financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. When applying accounting policies in such areas that are subjective in nature, management must use its best judgment to arrive at the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. Below is a discussion of our critical accounting policies.

Allowance for loan losses

A variety of estimates impact the carrying value of the loan portfolio including the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of underlying collateral, and the timing of loan charge-offs.

The allowance is established and maintained at a level that management believes is adequate to cover losses resulting from the inability of borrowers to make required payments on loans. Estimates for loan losses are arrived at by analyzing risks associated with specific loans and the loan portfolio. Current trends in delinquencies and charge-offs, the views of Bank regulators, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, and peer comparisons are also factors. The analysis also requires consideration of the economic climate and direction and change in the interest rate environment, which may impact a borrower's ability to pay, legislation impacting the banking industry, and environmental and economic conditions specific to the Bank's service areas. Because the calculation of the allowance for loan losses relies on estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, results may differ from our estimates.

Securities

Securities available for sale are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. The term "other than temporary" is not intended to indicate a permanent decline in value. Rather, it means that the prospects for near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Management reviews criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, as well as the reasons for the decline, to predict whether the loss in value is other than temporary. Once a decline in value is determined to be other than temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized.

Deferred income taxes

Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are subject to management's judgment based upon available evidence that future realization is more likely than not.

Loan income recognition

Interest income on loans is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal outstanding. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as a yield adjustment over the contractual loan terms. Accrual of interest is discontinued when its receipt is in doubt, which typically occurs when a loan becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest. Any interest accrued to income in the year when interest accruals are discontinued is generally reversed. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the principal balance and accrued



interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated the ability to pay and remain current. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal.

Loan Repurchases

Our sales agreements with investors who buy our loans generally contain covenants which may require us to repurchase loans under certain provisions, including delinquencies, or return premiums paid by those investors should the loan be paid off early. These covenants are usual and customary within the mortgage-banking industry. We maintain a reserve (included in other liabilities) for potential losses relating to these sales covenants.

Loans repurchased are accounted for under AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-3, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer. Under the SOP, loans repurchased must be recorded at market value at the time of repurchase with any deficiency for recording the loan compared to proceeds paid charged to earnings. Repurchased loans are carried on the balance sheet in the loan portfolio. Any further change in the underlying risk profile or further impairment is recorded as a specific reserve in the allowance for loan losses through the provision for loan losses.

Repurchased loans which are foreclosed upon are transferred to Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure at the time of ratification of foreclosure and recorded at estimated fair value. These assets remain in Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure until their disposition. Any declines in value during this time reduce the carrying amounts through a charge to noninterest expense.

Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure

We record foreclosed real estate assets at the lower of cost or estimated fair value on their acquisition dates and at the lower of such initial amount or estimated fair value less estimated selling costs thereafter. Estimated fair value is based upon many subjective factors, including location and condition of the property and current economic conditions. Because the calculation of fair value relies on estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, results may differ from our estimates.

Write-downs subsequent to transfer are included in our noninterest expenses, along with operating income, net of related expenses of such properties and gains or losses realized upon disposition.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

The following discussion compares our financial condition at December 31, 2007 to the financial condition at December 31, 2006 and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our accompanying financial statements and related notes as well as statistical information included in this report.

Overview

Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change

We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, effective January 1, 2007. The effect of adopting

this statement on existing eligible items at the time of adoption is recorded as a cumulative effect of accounting change in the financial statements through retained earnings and is detailed as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Janu	ance Sheet aary 1, 2007 to Adoption	 et Loss Adoption	Balance Sheet January 1, 2007 After Adoption of Fair Value Option
Investment trading securities	\$	42,569	\$ (1,618)	\$ 40,951
Long-term debt		60,000	(2,038)	62,038
Pretax cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option			(3,656)	
Increase in deferred tax assets			 1,412	
Cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option (charge to retained earnings)			\$ (2,244)	

We also adopted Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 06-4, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*, effective January 1, 2007 for our bank owned life insurance ("BOLI"). The cumulative effect of the accounting change recorded as a reduction to retained earnings was \$135,000, which recognized the cumulative liability for payments owed to employees from the Company upon their death.

See Note 22 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 for additional information about our adoption of SFAS No. 159 and EITF Issue No. 06-4.

Performance Overview

Our results for 2007 were significantly impacted by persistent negative trends in the residential real estate markets, which began in the later portion of 2006 and worsened considerably in 2007. Since our inception in 1995, we have originated and sold in excess of \$7 billion of loans into the secondary market under normal and customary recourse provisions, with little repurchase activity or credit related losses. During 2007, repurchased recourse demands increased dramatically as more of our loans experienced early payment defaults. The loans requiring repurchase were high loan-to-value ratio/low documentation ("ALT A") loans that were primarily originated in 2006 through our wholesale division and were repurchased due to delinquent payments by the borrower within the first 90 days of the loans term. During the first two quarters of 2007, the Company repurchased the majority of the anticipated buybacks and progressed through the collection process. As declines in real estate values have continued, the Company provided for additional reserves throughout 2007 for the anticipated loss exposure. Our results for 2007 included \$15.601 million in pretax losses relating to its exposure to ALT A residential loans originated by its wholesale division. These charges included \$4.477 million for the writedown of foreclosed assets awaiting sales or assets sold at a loss, \$3.934 million for write-downs on loans placed into the Company's loan portfolio during the year or settled repurchase claims, and approximately \$7.190 million in charges related to chargeoffs of existing loans and increases in loan loss reserve levels for ALT A loans which remain in the portfolio. In addition to these losses, earnings were further impacted by the loss of interest income on loans placed on nonaccrual status, the cost of carrying foreclosed properties, and higher legal and collection expenses relating to these loans.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had a total \$11.4 million (49 properties) of the repurchased loans in real estate acquired through foreclosure awaiting sale that have been written down to approximately 59% of their original appraised values. Approximately 60% (25 properties) are single family residential properties located in Northern Virginia, with the remainder made up of single

family properties in various other states. Additionally, we have \$9.203 million of ALT A nonperforming loans in our loan portfolio with specific reserves totaling \$1.349 million.

Management discontinued its offering of ALT A loans through its wholesale lending division at the end of 2006, and believes its exposure to and resolution of its repurchase provisions for these products is substantially complete. Originations of loans through our retail delivery channel performed well and we experienced minimal repurchase and credit losses from retail originated loans. The Company closed its wholesale lending operation entirely in July of 2007. No loans were repurchased in the fourth quarter of 2007. Management has renegotiated a significant portion of its covenants with its investors to substantially reduce our repurchase exposure in 2008.

We recorded a net loss of \$10.063 million for 2007 compared to net income of \$1.924 million for 2006, with diluted losses per share totaling \$(1.57) for 2007 compared to diluted earnings per share of \$0.29 in 2006. The decline in net income and earnings per share was a result of decreased gross revenue (net interest income and noninterest income) of \$4.253 million or 5.8%, and increased noninterest expenses and provision for loan losses of \$15.679 million or 21.9%. We recorded an income tax benefit of \$8.310 million in 2007 compared to \$365,000 in 2006.

Our largest category of revenue, net interest income, declined \$4.586 million or 9.3% due to lower levels of average earning assets, which decreased from \$1.243 billion in 2006 to \$1.099 billion in 2007. This decline, coupled with a substantial increase in loans placed on nonaccrual status, more than offset the increase in the net interest margin from 3.96% in 2006 to 4.07% in 2007. Noninterest income increased \$333,000 or 1.4% due to higher levels of commissions on sales of nondeposit investment products ("brokerage fees"), BOLI income, and insurance sales commissions. Gains realized on sales of investment securities were \$943,000 in 2007, compared to losses realized of \$3.037 million in 2006. These increases in noninterest income were largely offset by a decrease in mortgage-banking revenue of \$3.360 million.

Our increase in total expenses resulted from higher noninterest expenses (+ \$9.079 million) and an increase in our provision for loan losses of \$6.600 million. Noninterest expense growth was primarily the result of \$8.411 million in secondary marketing valuations for repurchases and anticipated repurchases of loans and write-downs on real estate acquired through foreclosure, as well as higher salaries and benefit costs and higher occupancy costs. The increase in the provision for loan losses was mainly due to increased charge-offs of ALT A mortgage loans. The provision for loan losses recorded resulted in an increase in the allowance for loan losses to 1.50% of total loans as of December 31, 2007 from 1.43% as of December 31, 2006. We recorded an income tax benefit of \$8.310 million in 2007 compared to \$365,000 in 2006 due to the significant pretax loss and an increase in the state income tax rate, which increased the value of deferred income taxes.

The return on average assets was (0.81)% for 2007 compared to 0.14% for 2006. The return on average equity for 2007 was (13.83)% compared to 2.53% for 2006. Average equity to average assets was 5.85% for 2007 compared to 5.59% for 2006. The decline in both the return on average assets and the return on average equity was the result of the lower net income.

Our total assets decreased by \$16.468 million or 1.3%, reflecting the weakened demand for real estate related lending. Earning assets decreased \$44.801 million or 4.0% from \$1.121 billion in 2006 to \$1.076 billion in 2007. Deposits decreased by \$19.985 million from the 2006 level of \$924.938 million to \$904.953 million in 2007. Stockholders' equity decreased \$14.059 million or 17.9% reflecting the 2007 loss, a decrease in other comprehensive income due to the decrease in the market value of securities classified as available for sale, and by shares repurchased under our stock repurchase plan. These items were offset somewhat by shares sold and issued upon the exercise of options and warrants and in connection with our employee stock purchase plan.



As a result of weakened residential real estate conditions, our asset quality deteriorated substantially due to weakened markets for residential real estate, which impacted all of our asset quality measures. We increased our allowance for loan losses to \$12.789 million, which totaled 29.5% of nonperforming assets as of December 31, 2007, compared to 187.9% as of December 31, 2006, as our level of nonperforming assets to total assets increased to 3.48% at December 31, 2007 from 0.52% at December 31, 2006. Our level of loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing interest decreased to \$3.019 million from \$27.274 million in 2006. Many of those loans that were delinquent in 2006 moved to nonperforming loans and subsequently to real estate acquired through foreclosure in 2007. Our ratio of net chargeoffs to average total loans was 1.01% in 2007 compared to 0.19% in 2006.

Capital adequacy levels continued to exceed the levels required to maintain "well-capitalized" status as defined by banking regulation. December 31, 2007 ratios for our capital leverage, Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets, and total capital to risk weighted assets were 6.9%, 8.2%, and 14.2%, respectively, compared to 7.8%, 10.0%, and 15.6%, respectively, at December 31, 2006. Our regulatory capital levels decreased due to the decline in stockholders' equity.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income/Margins

Our primary source of earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and the interest expense we pay on interest-bearing sources of funds, such as deposits and borrowings. The level of net interest income we earn is determined mostly by the average balances ("volume") and the rate spreads between our interest-earning assets and our funding sources.

Net interest income for 2007 decreased by \$4.586 million to \$44.680 million compared to \$49.266 million for 2006, primarily due to lower levels of earning assets and higher levels of nonperforming assets, which more than offset an increase in the net interest margin. The yield on average earning assets increased from 7.70% for 2006 to 8.06% for 2007 and the rates on average interest-bearing liabilities increased from 4.24% for 2006 to 4.39% for 2007. Rates on both earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities increased market interest rates. Although market interest rates declined over the last half of 2007, they were still higher on average than for the same time in 2006. Earning assets rate increases were also favorably impacted by a higher mix of higher yielding consumer loans as a percentage of earning assets. Average earning assets decreased from the 2006 level of \$1.243 billion to \$1.099 billion for 2007, while average interest-bearing liabilities decreased from the 2006 level of \$1.097 billion to \$998.941 million for 2007.

The net interest margin is the key performance measure for our net interest income. Our net interest margin is affected by our loan pricing, our mix of earning assets, and our distribution and pricing of deposits and borrowings. Our net interest margin (net interest income divided by average earning assets) increased to 4.07% for 2007, as compared to 3.96% for the comparable period in 2006, as rates on earning assets increased more than the increases in the costs of interest-bearing sources of funds.

Interest income. Total interest income decreased by \$7.233 million mostly due to the decreased volume of interest-earning assets. Average loans outstanding decreased by \$8.057 million, with decreases in commercial mortgages (-\$42.972 million) and consumer residential construction loans (-\$21.581 million), partially offset by increases in commercial loans and lines of credit (+\$1.993 million), commercial/residential construction (+\$11.333 million), residential mortgages (+\$21.619 million), and consumer loans (+\$21.551 million). The increase in residential mortgage loans is due primarily to the repurchase of previously sold first mortgage loans and transfers of loans originally held for sale. Average loans held for sale decreased \$24.261 million and average investment securities decreased by \$163.847 million. Yields on earning assets for the period increased to 8.06% from 7.70% due to the



higher rate environment in 2007 and the restructure of the investment portfolio in December 2006, which reduced the level of lower yielding investment securities in 2007 compared to 2006. The yield on total loans increased only six basis points from 8.69% to 8.75%, as the increase in market rates and growth in higher yielding loans was largely offset by the reversal of interest on loans placed into nonaccrual status.

Interest expense. Interest expense decreased by \$2.647 million, due to a decrease in the volume of interest-bearing liabilities, primarily borrowings, partially offset by an increase in the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities from 4.24% for 2006 to 4.39% for 2007. The decrease in average borrowings of \$129.379 million was due to pay-offs of short-term advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB) and decreased borrowings on the mortgage loan warehouse line of credit, which was closed in 2007. The increase in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was primarily a result of the higher interest rate environment in 2007.

The table below sets forth the average balances, net interest income and expense and average yields and rates for our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for 2007, 2006, and 2005.

Comparative Average Balances Yields and Rates

			200	07			2006				2005						
(dollars in thousands)		Average Balance	Int	erest (1)	Yield/ Rate		Average Balance	Int	terest (1)	Yield/ Rate		Average Balance		0		terest (1)	Yield/ Rate
ASSETS	_		_			_		_			_		_				
Loans (2):																	
Commercial loans and																	
lines of credit	\$	72,231	\$	5,546	7.68%	\$	70,238	\$	4,803	6.84%	\$	68,035	\$	3,791	5.57%		
Residential construction -																	
commercial		134,521		10,632	7.90%		123,188		10,968	8.90%		88,634		6,987	7.88%		
Commercial mortgages		292,612		21,662	7.40%		335,584		24,557	7.32%		331,975		22,899	6.90%		
Residential construction -																	
consumer		91,472		7,565	8.27%		113,053		8,977	7.94%		130,535		9,245	7.08%		
Residential mortgages		67,927		3,238	4.77%		46,308		2,733	5.90%		41,798		2,499	5.98%		
Consumer		188,387		25,441	13.50%		166,836		22,239	13.33%		143,742		16,520	11.49%		
						_							_				
Total loans		847,150		74,084	8.75%		855,207		74,277	8.69%		804,719		61,941	7.70%		
Loans held for sale		77,580		5,285	6.81%		101,841		7,877	7.73%		102,321		6,195	6.05%		
Investment securities, trading		00.101		5 0 7 0	5 40.01		262.060		10.045	4 70 0		200 700		12.044	1.260		
and available for sale		99,121		5,372	5.42%		262,968		12,365	4.70%		299,709		13,066	4.36%		
Interest-bearing deposits		68,694		3,411	4.97%		10,970		529	4.82%		9,428		284	3.01%		
Restricted stock investments,		(102		2(2	5.020		10 217		700	5 (00)		12.007		571	4 100		
at cost	_	6,123		363	5.93%	_	12,317		700	5.68%		13,997	_	574	4.10%		
Tratal associate associate		1,098,668		88,515	8.06%	_	1,243,303		95,748	7.70%	_	1,230,174		82,060	((70)		
Total earning assets Allowance for loan losses				88,515	8.00%				95,748	1.10%				82,000	6.67%		
Cash and other nonearning		(12,025)					(12,000)					(10,470)					
assets		157,440					129,867					113,118					
Total assets	\$	1,244,083		88,515		\$	1,361,170		95,748		\$	1,332,822		82,060			
						_							_				
LIABILITIES AND																	
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY																	
Interest-bearing deposits:																	
NOW deposits	\$	9,858		21	0.21%	\$	10,945		24	0.22%	\$	13,065		26	0.20%		
Savings deposits	Ψ	56,935		178	0.31%	Ψ	67,164		205	0.31%	Ψ	71,789		218	0.30%		
Money market deposits		287,837		10,127	3.52%		241,039		7,855	3.26%		203,222		3,215	1.58%		
Time deposits		384,157		17,446	4.54%		388,192		15,836	4.08%		395,645		13,270	3.35%		
I to a	_	,	_	., .		_	, -	_	- ,			,.	_				
Total interest-bearing deposits		738,787		27,772	3.76%		707,340		23,920	3.38%		683,721		16,729	2.45%		
Borrowings		260,154		16,063	6.17%		389,533		22,562	5.79%		401,530		17,608	4.39%		
Donowings		200,154	_	10,005	0.1770	_	507,555		22,302	5.1710	_	401,550	_	17,000	7.5770		
Total interest-bearing																	
liabilities		998,941		43,835	4.39%		1,096,873		46,482	4.24%		1,085,251		34,337	3.16%		
Noninterest-bearing demand		<i>,,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		15,055	1.0970		1,090,075		10,102	1.2170		1,005,251		51,557	5.10%		
deposits		163,011					179,210					174,115					
Other noninterest-bearing		,										,					
liabilities		9,359					9,008					5,091					
Stockholders' equity		72,772					76,079					68,365					
	_					_		_					_				
Total liabilities and																	
stockholders' equity	\$	1,244,083		43,835		\$	1,361,170		46,482		\$	1,332,822		34,337			
		,,		.,		-	, ,		.,=			,,		. ,==.			
Net interest income/net																	
interest spread			\$	44,680	3.67%			\$	49,266	3.46%			\$	47,723	3.51%		
			Ψ	11,000	5.0170			Ψ	19,200	5.4070			Ψ	11,125	5.5170		

	2007	2006	2005
Net interest margin (3)	4.07%	3.96%	3.88%
(1) There are no tax equ	ivalency adjustments		
	es of nonaccrual loans for the years ended Decer 8.465 million, \$3.817 million, and \$3.160 milli		included in the average loan balances for
(3) Net interest margin	is calculated as net interest income divided by a	werage earning assets	
		38	

The "Rate/Volume Analysis" below indicates the changes in our net interest income as a result of changes in volume and rates. We maintain an asset and liability management policy designed to provide a proper balance between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities to attempt to optimize interest margins while providing adequate liquidity for our anticipated needs.

Rate/Volume Analysis (1)

		200	7 Coi	mpared to 20	006			2006 Compared to 2005						
		Chan	ge Di	ue to Varian	ce I	n		Change Due to Variance In						
(dollars in thousands)		Rates	1	Volume		Total	Rates		Volume			Total		
INTEREST INCOME			_						_		_			
Loans:														
Commercial loans and lines of credit	\$	603	\$	140	\$	743	\$	886	\$	126	\$	1,012		
Residential construction - commercial		(1,292)		956		(336)		993		2,988		3,981		
Commercial mortgages		276		(3,171)		(2,895)		1,407		251		1,658		
Residential construction - consumer		360		(1,772)		(1,412)		1.049		(1,317)		(268)		
Residential mortgages		(597)		1,102		505		(33)		267		234		
Consumer		296		2,906		3,202		2,853		2,866		5,719		
Total loans		(354)		161	_	(193)		7,155		5,181	_	12,336		
Loans held for sale		(862)		(1,730)		(2,592)		1,711		(29)		1,682		
Investment securities, trading and available		(002)		(1,700)		(2,0)2)		1,711		(_>)		1,002		
for sale		1.660		(8,653)		(6,993)		977		(1,678)		(701)		
Interest-bearing deposits		16		2,866		2,882		193		52		245		
Restricted stock investments, at cost		29		(366)		(337)		201		(75)		126		
Total interest income	-	489		(7,722)		(7,233)		10,237		3,451		13,688		
	_		_		-		-		-		-			
INTEREST EXPENSE														
Interest-bearing deposits:		(1)						2		(4)				
NOW deposits		(1)		(2)		(3)		2		(4)		(2)		
Savings deposits		2		(29)		(27)		1		(14)		(13)		
Money market deposits		659		1,613		2,272		3,947		693		4,640		
Time deposits		1,776		(166)		1,610		2,820		(254)		2,566		
Total interest-bearing deposits		2,436		1,416		3,852		6,770		421		7,191		
Borrowings		1,413		(7,912)		(6,499)		5,494		(540)		4,954		
Total interest expense		3,849		(6,496)		(2,647)		12,264		(119)		12,145		
Net interest income	\$	(3,360)	\$	(1,226)	\$	(4,586)	\$	(2,027)	\$	3,570	\$	1,543		

(1)

Changes in interest income and interest expense that result from variances in both volume and rates have been allocated to rate and volume changes in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Noninterest Income

We derive noninterest income principally from mortgage-banking activities, service fees on our deposit accounts, ATM fees, brokerage fees, commissions we earn on sales of insurance products, and income from BOLI. Our noninterest income for the year ended December 31, 2007 totaled \$24.100 million, as compared to \$23.767 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, an increase of \$333,000 or 1.4%, due primarily to the gains realized on the sales of investment securities during 2007 of \$943,000, compared to a loss realized in 2006 of \$3.037 million. Excluding investment security gains and losses, noninterest income decreased \$3.647 million or 13.6%.

Mortgage-banking revenue decreased from \$10.506 million for 2006 to \$7.146 million for 2007 due to the lower volume of loans sold, the reversal of gains recognized on previously sold mortgage loans which had to be repurchased, and lower profit spreads on loans sold. The volume of loans decreased from \$1.192 billion in 2006 to \$965.865 million in 2007 due to the declining housing market, the discontinuance of certain mortgage products, and the closure of our wholesale lending division. While wholesale originations declined, retail and reverse mortgage originations increased. Reversal of gains and fee revenue due to recourse provisions increased from \$820,000 in 2006 to \$991,000 in 2007.

Brokerage fees increased \$411,000 during 2007, as we realized higher mutual fund and annuity sales and asset management fees. Deposit service charges declined to \$6.482 million in 2007 from \$6.887 million for 2006 due to lower overdraft income. Income from BOLI increased by \$322,000 due to the purchase of additional insurance policies in the second half of 2006 and the conversion of several existing policies to new carriers with higher crediting yields. Insurance sales commissions increased \$151,000 due to increased insurance product sales from Mariner Finance. We recognized \$702,000 in trading losses during 2007, mainly due to declines in value of borrowings accounted for at fair value. Our other noninterest income not detailed in the table below decreased \$122,000 or 6.7%, mainly the result of lower rental income from our former headquarters building.

The following table shows the detail of our noninterest income:

		Years ended December 31,								
(dollars in thousands)		2007		2006	2005					
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	\$	4,430	\$	7,614	\$	5,018				
Other mortgage-banking revenue		2,716		2,892		2,289				
ATM fees		3,219		3,161		3,135				
Service fees on deposits		6,482		6,887		7,185				
Trading loss on securities and long-term borrowings		(702)								
Gain (loss) on sales of investment securities, net		943		(3,037)						
Commissions on sales of nondeposit investment products		1,049		638		531				
Income from bank-owned life insurance		1,439		1,117		1,031				
Commissions on sales of other insurance products		2,822		2,671		2,512				
Other		1,702		1,824		1,314				
	\$	24,100	\$	23,767	\$	23,015				

Noninterest Expense

Our noninterest expenses increased \$9.079 million or 13.1% to \$78.238 million for 2007 compared to \$69.159 million for the same period of 2006. Increased write-downs of real estate acquired through foreclosure and write-downs on ALT A loans were the most significant factor in the increase in noninterest expenses. Excluding the write-downs of real estate acquired through foreclosure and ALT A loans, noninterest expense increased \$5.342 million or 8.3%.

Secondary marketing valuations reflect valuation allowances for potential future loan repurchases and write-downs on loans repurchased or transferred into the loan portfolio and totaled \$3.934 million in 2007, compared to \$4.450 million in 2006. The significant expense occurred in both years as the Bank experienced a significant increase in ALT A mortgage loans required to be repurchased under covenants contained in investor agreements. Write-downs and losses on real estate acquired through foreclosure reflect losses incurred when foreclosed properties are sold at less than their carrying cost or where write-downs are recorded to reflect declines in carrying amounts (fair value). These amounts have increased significantly as the number of properties foreclosed upon increased and values on properties placed in real estate acquired through foreclosure declined throughout 2007. These costs increased to \$4.477 million in 2007, compared to \$224,000 in 2006.

Our salary and employee benefits expenses increased \$1.270 million, or 3.6%, due to additional personnel costs for staffing hired to support the expansion of the consumer finance company and increased cost of employer provided health care. Also included is \$200,000 for severance benefits for the period, mostly related to the closing of wholesale lending operations. Occupancy expenses increased \$1.836 million to \$9.848 million from \$8.012 million in 2006, primarily due to additional space for the new executive and administrative offices, which we began to occupy in the third quarter of 2006 and occupied for the entire year of 2007. Occupancy expenses also increased due to additional offices of Mariner Finance and approximately \$200,000 of expense to sublease our former wholesale mortgage offices. Professional fees increased \$594,000 as a result of increased legal fees associated with foreclosures. Service and maintenance expense and furniture, fixtures, and equipment expense also increased (\$234,000 and \$440,000, respectively) due to increased locations. FDIC insurance premiums increased \$496,000 from \$109,000 in 2006 to \$605,000 in 2007 due to an increase in our insurance rates during 2007. Our other noninterest expenses not detailed in the table below increased \$583,000 or 15.1%.

The following table shows the detail of our noninterest expense:

Years ended December 31,

(dollars in thousands)	 2007	$\begin{array}{c} 8,012\\ 3,162\\ 958\\ 1,341\\ 1,811\\ 2,202\\ 747\\ 962\\ 602\\ 517\\ 224\\ 109\\ 850\\ 1,059\\ 906\\ 908\\ 233\\ 562\\ 701\\ 4,450\\ 3,853\\ \end{array}$	 2005	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 36,260	\$ 3	4,990	\$ 30,909
Net occupancy	9,848		8,012	6,104
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	3,602		3,162	3,057
Professional services	1,552		958	1,021
Advertising	1,219		1,341	1,352
Data processing	1,941		1,811	2,006
Service and maintenance	2,436		2,202	1,833
Office supplies	728		747	680
ATM expenses	1,030		962	1,146
Printing	573		602	543
Corporate insurance	560		517	401
Write-downs, losses, and costs of real estate acquired through foreclosure	4,477		224	28
FDIC premiums	605		109	113
Consulting fees	789		850	734
Marketing/promotion	821		1,059	866
Postage	949		906	764
Overnight delivery/courier	804		908	809
Security	258		233	151
Dues and subscriptions	522		562	438
Loan collection expenses	894		701	471
Secondary marketing valuation	3,934		4,450	
Other	 4,436		3,853	 2,914
	\$ 78,238	\$ 6	9,159	\$ 56,340

Income Tax Expense

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$8.310 million on a net loss before taxes of \$18.373 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of (45.2)% for 2007 in comparison to an income tax benefit of \$365,000 on income before taxes of \$1.559 million, resulting in an effective tax rate of (23.4)% for 2006. The increase in the tax benefit was primarily driven by the decrease in pretax income. Also impacting the tax benefit was the increase in the state of Maryland income tax rates effective January 1, 2008. The increase (to 8.25% from 7.00%) increased the future tax benefits of deferred

income tax assets and resulted in an increase in the income tax benefit recorded in 2007 in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes.

The Bank has earned significant state tax incentives through its participation in the One Maryland Economic Development ("One Maryland") and Job Creation Tax Credit programs. The tax incentives total \$5.5 million based upon a confirmation received from the Maryland Department of Business and Economic Development. We will realize the benefits of the incentives in our reported earnings as the credits can be utilized, in accordance with accounting standards that govern the recognition of investment tax credits. The amount of the credit that we can utilize will be determined by the level of Maryland taxable income for the Bank only, and will be recognized as a reduction in our income tax expense. Any unused One Maryland credits can be carried forward and will expire in 2016. The Job Creation Tax Credit can be carried forward for five years.

At December 31, 2007, we have \$19.368 million in state operating loss carryforwards, representing a deferred income tax asset of \$1.054 million. Management expects to fully realize the benefits of these tax loss carryforwards before their expiration beginning in 2013.

Financial Condition

At December 31, 2007, our total assets were \$1.247 billion as compared to \$1.263 billion at December 31, 2006, a decrease of 1.3%. Earning assets decreased \$44.801 million or 4.0% to \$1.076 billion from \$1.121 billion. The decline in assets was mainly due to decreases in investment securities, both trading and available for sale (-\$65.342 million), loans held for sale (-\$13.451 million), and net loans outstanding (-\$11.929 million), partially offset by increases in cash and due from banks (+\$2.355 million), real estate acquired through foreclosure (+\$16.541 million), and short-term investments (+\$45.997 million). We also experienced decreases in deposits (-\$19.985 million) and short-term borrowings (-\$3.375 million), partially offset by increases in total long-term borrowings (+\$22.573 million).

We continued to develop our bank branching and mortgage loan office network, expand our commercial and retail business development efforts, expand Mariner Finance, and successfully develop and market our deposit and loan products. However, we did experience balance sheet shrinkage due to the slowing of the regional real estate markets which softened loan demand and increased deposit competition.

Securities

Our investment portfolio at December 31, 2007, is comprised of highly marketable securities, with over 55% secured by the U.S. Government or U.S. Government agencies. The maturity structure of our investment portfolio is significantly influenced by the level of prepayment activity on mortgage-backed investments. At December 31, 2007, the average duration of our investment portfolio was 4.49 years, longer than the average duration of 3.80 years at December 31, 2006, primarily due to the increase in the projected lives of mortgage-backed securities, as well as the maturity of shorter duration securities during 2007.

We utilize the investment portfolio as part of our overall asset/liability management practices to enhance interest revenue while providing necessary liquidity for the funding of loan growth or deposit withdrawals. We continually monitor the credit risk associated with corporate investments and diversify the risk in the corporate portfolio. As of December 31, 2007, we held \$44.998 million in investment securities classified as available for sale and \$36.950 million in investment securities classified as trading. As of December 31, 2006, all investment securities were classified as available for sale. Our total investments in trust preferred securities, corporate obligations, and common stocks totaled \$21.427 million as of December 31, 2007 compared to \$39.402 million as of December 31, 2006.

Trading Securities. As of January 1, 2007, we transferred \$42.569 million of our investment securities from the available for sale portfolio to a trading portfolio. Since the transfer date, these securities have increased in value and we recognized \$383,000 in trading gains during 2007. The entire trading security portfolio consisted of mortgage-backed securities as of December 31, 2007.

The estimated fair values and weighted average yields of trading debt securities at December 31, 2007, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations.

(dollars in thousands)		stimated air Value	Weighted- Average Yield
Mortgage-backed securities			
Due after ten years	\$	36,950	4.54%
ked securities are assigned to maturity categories based on their final	moturity	7	

Mortgage-backed securities are assigned to maturity categories based on their final maturity.

Securities Available for Sale. Investment securities available for sale declined \$102.292 million due primarily to the transfer of securities available for sale to the trading securities portfolio (\$42.569 million), security sales of \$1.445 million, and normal principal payments on mortgage-backed securities and scheduled maturities of other investments (\$58.768 million). These decreases were offset by additional purchases of securities of \$999,000. At December 31, 2007, our net unrealized loss on securities classified as available for sale totaled \$2.997 million compared to a net loss of \$1.505 million at December 31, 2006. We consider the decline in market values to be temporary, largely due to increases in interest rates on bonds of similar characteristics, and do not expect to realize losses on any of the securities currently in the investment portfolio.

Our securities available for sale portfolio composition is as follows as of December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	 2007		2006	 2005		2004		2003
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 18,079	\$	62,281	\$ 161,112	\$	200,708	\$	149,763
Trust preferred securities	19,034		33,028	34,087		31,507		22,987
U.S. government agency notes			39,894	68,271		78,949		107,314
U.S. Treasury securities	1,017		998	986		1,000		1,001
Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions	2,975		2,965	2,969		2,973		
Corporate obligations	1,915		1,988	1,777				
Equity securities	478		1,395	1,310		1,531		1,274
Foreign government bonds	1,500		1,750	1,481		1,400		1,250
Other investment securities			2,991	4,946		4,897		4,848
		_		 	_		_	
	\$ 44,998	\$	147,290	\$ 276,939	\$	322,965	\$	288,437
		43						

The amortized cost, estimated fair values, and weighted average yields of debt securities at December 31, 2007, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations.

				Unr	ealize	d			
(dollars in thousands)		Amortized Cost		Gains		Losses	Estimated Fair Value		Weighted- Average Yield
Mortgage-backed securities:									
Due one to five years	\$	90	\$	1	\$		\$	91	5.50%
Due five to ten years		379		9				388	6.00%
Due after ten years		17,715		240		355		17,600	5.43%
Trust preferred securities:									
Due after ten years		21,872		2		2,840		19,034	6.23%
U.S. Treasury securities:									
Due one to five years		999		18				1,017	4.88%
Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions:									
Due after ten years		2,934		41				2,975	4.59%
Corporate obligations:									
Due within one year		977				11		966	6.36%
Due one to five years		980				31		949	5.80%
Foreign government bonds:									
Due within one year		750						750	4.43%
Due one to five years		750						750	4.37%
	\$	47,446	\$	311	\$	3,237	\$	44,520	5.73%
							_		

Weighted yields are based on amortized cost. Mortgage-backed securities are assigned to maturity categories based on their final maturity.

See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K, for further details about investment securities.

Loans

Our loan portfolio is expected to produce higher yields than investment securities and other interest-earning assets; the absolute volume and mix of loans and the volume and mix of loans as a percentage of total earning assets is an important determinant of our net interest margin.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio as of December 31:

_	2007		2006		2005		2004	_	2003
\$	72,590	\$	79,016	\$	67,759	\$	60,496	\$	65,934
	129,272		137,155		112,383		63,847		51,225
	279,578		317,848		349,430		315,676		244,316
	86,621		98,066		126,708		135,841		119,973
	84,892		56,221		40,817		41,589		40,122
	201,967		178,153		154,489		128,697		88,277
				-					
\$	854,920	\$	866,459	\$	851,586	\$	746,146	\$	609,847
		\$ 72,590 129,272 279,578 86,621 84,892 201,967	\$ 72,590 \$ 129,272 279,578 86,621 84,892 201,967	\$ 72,590 \$ 79,016 129,272 137,155 279,578 317,848 86,621 98,066 84,892 56,221 201,967 178,153	\$ 72,590 \$ 79,016 \$ 129,272 137,155 279,578 317,848 86,621 98,066 84,892 56,221 201,967 178,153	\$ 72,590 \$ 79,016 \$ 67,759 129,272 137,155 112,383 279,578 317,848 349,430 86,621 98,066 126,708 84,892 56,221 40,817 201,967 178,153 154,489	\$ 72,590 \$ 79,016 \$ 67,759 \$ 129,272 137,155 112,383 279,578 317,848 349,430 86,621 98,066 126,708 84,892 56,221 40,817 201,967 178,153 154,489	\$ 72,590 \$ 79,016 \$ 67,759 \$ 60,496 129,272 137,155 112,383 63,847 279,578 317,848 349,430 315,676 86,621 98,066 126,708 135,841 84,892 56,221 40,817 41,589 201,967 178,153 154,489 128,697	\$ 72,590 \$ 79,016 \$ 67,759 \$ 60,496 \$ \$ 129,272 137,155 112,383 63,847 279,578 317,848 349,430 315,676 86,621 98,066 126,708 135,841 84,892 56,221 40,817 41,589 201,967 178,153 154,489 128,697

Total loans decreased \$11.539 million as real estate development activity slowed and we elected to reduce our exposure to certain types of lending and in certain geographic areas. Higher balances occurred in our residential mortgage loan portfolio (+\$28.671 million), primarily due to repurchases of loans previously sold on the secondary market and transfers of loans from loans held for sale (see

additional information regarding repurchased and transferred loans below and in "Credit Risk Management" later in this section). Growth in consumer loans (+\$23.814 million) was mainly due to growth in consumer finance receivables generated by Mariner Finance. The growth in the aforementioned loan types was offset by decreases in our commercial real estate portfolio (- \$38.270 million), commercial residential construction portfolio (-\$7.883 million), consumer residential construction portfolio (-\$11.445 million), and our commercial portfolio (-\$6.426 million). Loan originations for all categories have been impacted by disruptions in the residential real estate markets, which have specifically curbed demand for construction and development lending products.

During 2007, we repurchased \$30.797 million in delinquent mortgage loans from investors in accordance with a covenant in our sales agreement. At December 31, 2007, \$17.736 million of those repurchased loans remained in the loan portfolio. A majority of those loans are at least 90 days past due. In addition to the write-downs of \$1.185 million on these repurchases, which reflects the initial valuation established at the time the loan was repurchased, we carry \$1.474 million of specific and general reserves against these loans in our allowance for loan losses. See the table in "Credit Risk Management" below for more information on these loans and their status.

Approximately 48.0% of our loans have adjustable rates as of December 31, 2007 compared to approximately 38.7% at December 31, 2006, including adjustable rate first mortgages indexed to either U.S. Treasury obligations or LIBOR and variable home equity lines of credit tied to the Prime interest rate. Our variable rate loans adjust to the current interest rate environment, whereas fixed rates do not allow this flexibility. If interest rates were to increase in the future, our interest earned on the variable rate loans would improve, and if rates were to fall, the interest we earn would decline, thus impacting our interest income. See our discussion in "Interest Rate Sensitivity" later in this section for more information on interest rate fluctuations.

The following table sets forth the maturity distribution for our loan portfolio at December 31, 2007. Some of our loans may be renewed or repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, the following table should not be used as a forecast of our future cash collections.

Maturing														
		In one year or less				After 1 through 5 years				After				
(dollars in thousands)		Fixed		Variable		Fixed		Variable		Fixed	_	Variable		Total
Commercial loans and lines of														
credit	\$	30,615	\$	27,953	\$	5,293	\$	7,710	\$	669	\$	350	\$	72,590
Residential construction -														
commercial		30,409		62,411		15,188		11,316		9,173		775		129,272
Commercial mortgages		65,163		74,493		41,499		69,537		2,020		26,866		279,578
Residential construction - consumer		86,406				195				20				86,621
Residential mortgages		8,926		1,040		1,886		4,411		14,501		54,128		84,892
Consumer		41,682		9,510		56,451		34,390		34,127		25,807		201,967
			_		_		_		_		-		-	
Total loans	\$	263,201	\$	175,407	\$	120,512	\$	127,364	\$	60,510	\$	107,926	\$	854,920
									-		-			

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from the inability of a borrower to meet its obligations. We manage credit risk by evaluating the risk profile of the borrower, repayment sources, the nature of the underlying collateral, and other support given current events, conditions, and expectations. We attempt to manage the risk characteristics of our loan portfolio through various control processes, such as credit evaluation of borrowers, establishment of lending limits, and application of lending procedures,

including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances. However, we seek to rely primarily on the cash flow of our borrowers as the principal source of repayment. Although credit policies and evaluation processes are designed to minimize our risk, management recognizes that loan losses will occur and the amount of these losses will fluctuate depending on the risk characteristics of our loan portfolio, as well as general and regional economic conditions.

We provide for loan losses through the establishment of an allowance for loan losses (the "allowance") by provisions charged against earnings. Our allowance for loan losses represents an estimated reserve for existing losses in the loan portfolio. We deploy a systematic methodology for determining our allowance for loan losses that includes a quarterly review process, risk rating, and adjustment to our allowance. We classify our portfolios as either consumer or commercial and monitor credit risk separately as discussed below. We evaluate the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses continually based on a review of all significant loans, with a particular emphasis on nonaccruing, past due, and other loans that we believe require special attention.

The allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (1) specific reserves and valuation allowances for individual credits; (2) general reserves for types or portfolios of loans based on historical loan loss experience, judgmentally adjusted for current conditions and credit risk concentrations; and (3) unallocated reserves. Combined specific reserves and general reserves by loan type are considered allocated reserves. All outstanding loans are considered in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance.

Commercial

Our commercial portfolio includes all secured and unsecured loans to borrowers for commercial purposes, including commercial lines of credit and commercial real estate. Our process for evaluating commercial loans includes performing updates on all loans that we have rated for risk. Our commercial loans are generally reviewed individually, in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, to determine impairment, accrual status, and the need for specific reserves. Our methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both qualitative and quantitative. Quantitative factors include our historical loss experience by loan type, collateral values, financial condition of borrowers, and other factors. Qualitative factors include judgments concerning general economic conditions that may affect credit quality, credit concentrations, the pace of portfolio growth, and delinquency levels; these qualitative factors are evaluated in connection with our unallocated portion of our allowance for loan losses. We periodically engage outside firms and experts to independently assess our methodology, and perform various loan review functions.

The process of establishing the allowance with respect to our commercial loan portfolio begins when a loan officer initially assigns each loan a risk grade, using established credit criteria. Approximately 50% of our risk grades are subject to review and validation annually by an independent consulting firm, as well as periodically by our internal credit review function. Our methodology employs management's judgment as to the level of future losses on existing loans based on our internal review of the loan portfolio, including an analysis of the borrowers' current financial position, and the consideration of current and anticipated economic conditions and their potential effects on specific borrowers and or lines of business. In determining our ability to collect certain loans, we also consider the fair value of any underlying collateral. We also evaluate credit risk concentrations, including trends in large dollar exposures to related borrowers, industry and geographic concentrations, and economic and environmental factors.

A commercial loan is determined to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Such a loan is not considered impaired during a period of delay in payment if we expect to collect all amounts due, including past-due interest. We generally consider a period of delay in payment to include delinquency up to 90 days. At December 31, 2007, management considered five

commercial construction loans, six consumer residential construction loans, and six commercial mortgage loans to be impaired under these criteria. As of December 31, 2007, we had impaired loans of \$14.447 million, \$11.813 million of which has been classified as nonaccrual, compared to \$1.771 million as of December 31, 2006. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, \$14.447 million and \$706,000, respectively, of total impaired loans were real estate collateral dependent. The reserve for loan losses for impaired loans was \$241,000 as of December 31, 2007 and \$536,000 as of December 31, 2006.

We place impaired loans on nonaccrual status when it is probable that we will be unable to collect any accrued and unpaid interest. Once a loan is placed on nonaccrual, it remains in nonaccrual status until the loan is current as to payment of both principal and interest and the borrower demonstrates the ability to pay and remain current. All payments made on nonaccrual loans are applied to the principal balance of the loan.

Consumer

Our consumer portfolio includes residential mortgage loans and other loans to individuals. Consumer and residential mortgage loans, excluding repurchased and transferred ALT A loans, are segregated into homogeneous pools with similar risk characteristics. Trends and current conditions in consumer and residential mortgage pools are analyzed and historical loss experience is adjusted accordingly. Adjustment factors for the consumer and residential mortgage portfolios are consistent with those for the commercial portfolios. Certain loans in the consumer portfolio identified as having the potential for further deterioration are analyzed individually to confirm the appropriate risk grading and accrual status, and to determine the need for a specific reserve. Consumer loans originated on the Bank that are greater than 120 days past due are charged off. For consumer loans originated by Mariner Finance, all such loans greater than ninety days past due are considered nonaccrual and charged off when they become 180 days past due. See discussion below under "ALT A Mortgages Repurchased" and "Transferred ALT A Loans" for detailed discussions on determining write-downs on repurchased and transferred ALT A loans.

ALT A Mortgages Repurchased. In accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-3, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer, we record repurchased loans at their estimated fair value at the time of repurchase. At December 31, 2007, we maintained \$17.736 million of loans repurchased in accordance with covenants in our sales agreements with investors.

In establishing the loan's estimated fair value, management makes significant assumptions concerning the ultimate collectibility of delinquent loans and their ultimate realizable value. While these projections are made with the most current data available to management, actual realized losses could differ due to the changes in the borrowers' willingness or ability to resolve the delinquency status, changes in the actual volume of future repurchases, changes in the real estate market, or changes in market values of those loans which are liquidated. Management updates these assumptions continually as greater experience becomes available.

We discontinued offering ALT A loans through our wholesale lending division at the end of 2006. We believe our exposure to and resolution of our repurchase obligations are substantially complete. We closed our wholesale lending operations entirely in July of 2007.

The following table shows the total portfolio of repurchased loans and their status as of December 31, 2007:

(dollars in thousands)	Ba	rincipal alance at purchase	Initial Write-Down	(Carrying Value	Additional Allocated Reserves (1)		
Nonaccrual 1st mortgages	\$	8,317	\$ 485	\$	7,832	\$ 588		
Nonaccrual 2nd mortgages		390	117		273	272		
Delinquent 1st mortgages (2)		1,625			1,625	115		
Modifications (3)		8,132	583		7,549	467		
Current loans		457			457	32		
	\$	18,921	\$ 1,185	\$	17,736	\$ 1,474		

(1)

Additional allocated reserves are included in the allowance for loan losses

(2)

Includes ALT A loans that are 30 days or more past due that are not on nonaccrual status, except for past-due modifications

(3)

Includes ALT A modifications that are 30 days or more past due that are not on nonaccrual status

All Alt A loans which were 60 days delinquent as of December 31, 2007 were evaluated individually for impairment, with any estimated loss compared to the carrying amount recorded as a specific reserve. All other Alt A loans were evaluated collectively for impairment and were assigned a seven percent or greater general reserve, depending on loan type.

The nonaccrual and delinquent loans are currently in the process of collection and the resolution of many of these loans may be through foreclosure of the property. The modifications in the table represent repurchased loans we have renegotiated at lower rates in order to improve the borrower's ability to pay.

Transferred ALT A Loans. In accordance with SFAS No. 65, *Accounting for Certain Mortgage Banking Activities*, any loans which are originally originated for sale into the secondary market and which we subsequently elect to transfer into the Company's loan portfolio are valued at fair value at the time of the transfer with any decline in value recorded as a charge to operating expense.

We maintain \$11.534 million in first-lien mortgage loans and \$4.259 million in second-lien mortgage loans that were transferred from loans held for sale to our mortgage and consumer loan portfolios, respectively. We recorded write-downs of \$482,000 and \$659,000 related to the transfer of first- and second-lien loans, respectively. These loans are ALT A loans originated for sale and subsequently transferred as the secondary market for these products became increasingly illiquid in 2007. All of the loans transferred were current with respect to principal and interest payments at the time of transfer. Currently, \$1.158 million of these loans are on nonaccrual, with specific reserves of \$489,000.

At December 31, 2007, consumer impaired loans consisted of ALT A loans and amounted to \$33.529 million at December 31, 2007, \$9.203 million of which were classified as nonaccrual. At December 31, 2007, all of the consumer impaired loans were real estate collateral dependent. The reserve for loan losses for impaired loans was \$2.303 million as of December 31, 2007. There were no consumer impaired loans at December 31, 2006.

Unallocated

The unallocated portion of the allowance is intended to provide for losses that are not identified when establishing the specific and general portions of the allowance and is based upon management's

evaluation of various conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. Such conditions include general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, credit quality trends (including trends in delinquencies and nonperforming loans expected to result from existing conditions), loan volumes and concentrations, specific industry conditions within portfolio categories, recent loss experience in particular loan categories, duration of the current business cycle, bank regulatory examination results, findings of external loan review examiners, and management's judgment with respect to various other conditions including loan administration and management and the quality of risk identification systems. Executive management reviews these conditions quarterly. We have risk management practices designed to ensure timely identification of changes in loan risk profiles; however, undetected losses may exist inherently within the loan portfolios. The judgmental aspects involved in applying the risk grading criteria, analyzing the quality of individual loans, and assessing collateral values can also contribute to undetected, but probable, losses.

Our total allowance at December 31, 2007 is considered by management to be sufficient to address the credit losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. However, our determination of the appropriate allowance level is based upon a number of assumptions we make about future events, which we believe are reasonable, but which may or may not prove valid. Thus, there can be no assurance that our charge-offs in future periods will not exceed our allowance for loan losses or that we will not need to make additional increases in our allowance for loan losses.

The following table summarizes the activities in our allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007	2006 2005		2004			2003		
Allowance for loan losses, beginning of year	\$ 12,399	\$	11,743	\$	9,580	\$	8,692	\$	7,188
Loans charged off:									
Commercial	(67)				(15)				
Residential construction - commercial	(1,481)						(4)		
Commercial mortgages	(495)								
Residential construction - consumer			(186)				(251)		(244)
Residential mortgages	(1,038)		(99)						(19)
Consumer (1)	(5,908)		(1,740)		(1,492)		(1,318)	_	(1,003)
Total loans charged off	 (8,989)		(2,025)		(1,507)		(1,573)		(1,266)
Recoveries:									
Commercial									17
Residential construction - commercial									65
Commercial mortgages									
Residential construction - consumer			22		89		11		
Residential mortgages	43								1
Consumer	 421		344		294		207		151
Total recoveries	464		366		383		218		234
Net charge-offs	(8,525)		(1,659)		(1,124)		(1,355)		(1,032)
Provision for loan losses	 8,915		2,315		3,287		2,243		2,536
				_		_			
Allowance for loan losses, end of period	\$ 12,789	\$	12,399	\$	11,743	\$	9,580	\$	8,692
Loans (net of premiums and discounts):									
Period-end balance	\$ 854,920	\$	866,459	\$	851,586	\$	746,146	\$	609,847
Average balance during period	847,150		855,207		804,719		652,506		552,528
Allowance as a percentage of period-end loan									
balance	1.50%	b	1.43%	, >	1.38%)	1.28%)	1.43%
Percent of average loans:									
Provision for loan losses	1.05%		0.27%		0.41%		0.34%		0.46%
Net charge-offs	1.01%	b	0.19%	0	0.14%)	0.21%)	0.19%

(1)

2007 includes \$3.545 million of ALT A second mortgage loans originated by First Mariner Bank

The following table summarizes our allocation of allowance by loan type as of December 31:

		2007			2006		2005				
(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Percent of Total	Percent of Loans to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Total	Percent of Loans to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Total	Percent of Loans to Total Loans		
Commercial	\$ 606	4.7%	8.5%\$	926	7.5%	9.1%\$	1,302	11.1%	8.0%		
Residential construction - commercial	1,456	11.4%	15.1%	2,749	22.2%	15.8%	1,031	8.8%	13.2%		
Commercial mortgages	2,316	18.1%	32.7%	3,073	24.8%	36.7%	2,908	24.8%	41.0%		

	2	2007		2	2006		2005		
	710	5 6 6	10.10	1.060	0.69	11.00	1.461	10.4%	14.00
Residential construction - consumer	719	5.6%	10.1%	1,068	8.6%	11.3%	1,461	12.4%	14.9%
Residential mortgages	1,542	12.1%	10.0%	28	0.2%	6.5%	18	0.1%	4.8%
Consumer	4,021	31.4%	23.6%	2,928	23.6%	20.6%	2,242	19.1%	18.1%
Unallocated	2,129	16.7%		1,627	13.1%		2,781	23.7%	
Total	\$ 12,789	100.0%	100.0%\$	12,399	100.0%	100.0%\$	11,743	100.0%	100.0%
			50						

		2004			2003	
(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Percent of Total	Percent of Loans to Total Loans	Amount	Percent of Total	Percent of Loans to Total Loans
Commercial	\$ 1,819	19.0%	8.1%\$	978	11.3%	10.8%
Residential construction - commercial	508	5.3%	8.6%	303	3.5%	8.4%
Commercial mortgages	2,804	29.3%	42.3%	2,481	28.5%	40.0%
Residential construction - consumer	1,523	15.9%	18.2%	1,853	21.3%	19.7%
Residential mortgages	20	0.2%	5.6%	46	0.5%	6.6%
Consumer	1,653	17.2%	17.2%	1,139	13.1%	14.5%
Unallocated	 1,253	13.1%		1,892	21.8%	
Total	\$ 9,580	100.0%	100.0%\$	8,692	100.0%	100.0%

Based upon management's evaluation, provisions are made to maintain the allowance as a best estimate of inherent losses within the portfolio. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$12.789 million and \$12.399 million as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, and the provision for loan losses recognized to maintain the allowance was \$8.915 million in 2007 and \$2.315 million in 2006. The provision for loan losses increased primarily due to increased delinquencies and declines in estimated collateral value of our repurchased mortgage portfolio, which resulted in increases in allocated reserves for residential first mortgages of \$1.514 million and consumer second mortgages of \$1.093 million, as well as to replenish the allowance for increased charge-offs. We recorded net charge-offs of \$8.525 million during 2007 compared to net charge-offs of \$1.659 million for the same period in 2006. Charge offs in 2007 included \$4.583 million of ALT A first and second mortgages, \$1.481 million in residential construction loans, and \$495,000 in commercial mortgages. Total charge-offs for the Bank totaled \$6.829 million, while Mariner Finance charge-offs were \$2.160 million in 2007. Net charge-offs as compared to average loans outstanding increased to 1.01%, as compared to 0.19% during the same period of 2006.

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets, expressed as a percentage of total assets, totaled 3.48% at December 31, 2007 and 0.52% at December 31, 2006. The increase as compared to December 31, 2006 reflects an increase in both real estate acquired by foreclosure and nonaccrual loans. The following tables show the distribution of nonperforming assets and loans greater than 90 days past due as of December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007		2006		2005		2004		2003
Nonaccruing loans	\$	24,389	\$	4,158	\$	3,019	\$	4,628	\$ 4,774
Real estate acquired through foreclosure		18,981		2,440		931		65	 296
Total nonperforming assets	\$	43,370	\$	6,598	\$	3,950	\$	4,693	\$ 5,070
Loans past-due 90 days or more and accruing	\$	3,019	\$	27,274	\$	860	\$	1,658	\$ 2,258

(dollars in thousands)		2007	2006		
Nonaccruing loans:					
Commercial	\$		\$	1,158	
Residential construction commercial		5,268			
Commercial mortgages		3,926		575	
Residential construction consumer		3,362		539	
Alt A first and second mortgages		9,203		613	
Other residential mortgages		823		257	
Other consumer		1,807		1,016	
	\$	24,389	\$	4,158	
Real estate acquired through foreclosure:					
Commercial	\$		\$		
Residential construction commercial	Ŷ	3,601	Ψ		
Commercial mortgages		1,101			
Residential construction consumer		2,299		349	
Alt A first and second mortgages		11,980		527	
Other residential mortgages		,		1,564	
Other consumer					
	\$	18,981	\$	2,440	
Loans past-due 90 days or more and accruing:					
Commercial	\$	92	\$		
Residential construction commercial				14,339	
Commercial mortgages		663		1,939	
Residential construction consumer		219		1,044	
Alt A first and second mortgages		1,825		9,842	
Other residential mortgages					
Other consumer		220		110	
	\$	3,019	\$	27,274	

Potential Problem Loans. Potential problem loans consist of loans that are currently performing in accordance with contractual terms; however, management has designated these assets as potential problem loans due to concerns about the ability of the obligor to continue to comply with repayment terms that may result from the obligor's potential operating or financial difficulties. At the end of 2007, loans of this type that are not included in the above table of nonperforming and past-due loans amounted to approximately \$32.710 million, compared to \$41.901 million at the end of 2006. Twenty-seven of the loans (83% of total potential problem loans) are commercial relationships that are well secured by commercial real estate and other business assets that are considered sufficient to collect all amounts due in the event of deterioration

in the customer's financial condition. The remaining ten loans (17% of total potential problem loans) are consumer residential construction loans that are well

secured by property with residential real estate as the highest and best use and have an appraised value in excess of the carrying value of the loan. The average relationship was \$884,000 and the largest relationship was \$3.307 million. Depending on changes in the economy and other future events, these loans and others not presently identified as problem loans could be reclassified as nonperforming or impaired loans in the future.

Deposits

We use deposits as the primary source of funding of our loans. We offer individuals and businesses a wide variety of deposit accounts. These accounts include checking, savings, money market, and certificates of deposit and are obtained primarily from communities we serve.

Deposits totaled \$904.953 million as of December 31, 2007, decreasing \$19.985 million or 2.2% from the December 31, 2006 balance of \$924.938 million. The decrease in deposits is primarily due to regular savings accounts, NOW accounts, and noninterest-bearing demand deposits, partially offset by increases in money market accounts and time deposits. The mix of deposits has changed somewhat during 2007, with a higher percentage of interest-bearing transaction accounts and time deposits, including brokered deposits, and less noninterest-bearing demand and regular savings accounts as of December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006. The following table details the average amount and the average rate paid for each category of deposits as of December 31:

	 2007			2006		2005			
(dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Average Rate		Average Balance	Average Rate		Average Balance	Average Rate	
NOW accounts	\$ 9,858	0.21%	\$	10,945	0.22%	\$	13,065	0.20%	
Money market accounts	287,837	3.52%		241,039	3.26%		203,222	1.58%	
Savings accounts	56,935	0.31%		67,164	0.31%		71,789	0.30%	
Certificates of deposit	384,157	4.54%		388,192	4.08%		395,645	3.35%	
Total interest-bearing deposits	738,787	3.76%		707,340	3.38%		683,721	2.45%	
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	 163,011		_	179,210			174,115		
Total deposits	\$ 901,798	3.08%	\$	886,550	2.70%	\$	857,836	1.95%	
			_						

The following table provides the maturities of certificates of deposit in amounts of \$100,000 or more at December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007			2006	 2005
Maturing in:					
3 months or less	\$	13,870	\$	11,175	\$ 7,038
Over 3 months through 6 months		70,361		21,886	6,899
Over 6 months through 12 months		23,118		26,343	14,282
Over 12 months		49,319		94,343	132,239
	\$	156,668	\$	153,747	\$ 160,458

Core deposits represent deposits which we believe will not be affected by changes in interest rates, and therefore, will be retained regardless of the movement of interest rates. We consider our core deposits to be all noninterest-bearing, NOW, money market accounts less than \$100,000, and saving deposits, as well as all time deposits less than \$100,000 that mature in greater than one year. As of December 31, 2007, our core deposits were \$419.872 million. The remainder of our deposits could be susceptible to attrition due to interest rate movements.

Borrowings

Our borrowings consist of short-term promissory notes issued to certain qualified investors, short-term and long-term advances from the FHLB, a mortgage warehouse line of credit, long-term repurchase agreements with callable options, a mortgage loan, and a line of credit to finance consumer receivables. Our short-term promissory notes are in the form of commercial paper, which reprice daily and have maturities of 270 days or less. Our advances from the FHLB may be in the form of short-term or long-term obligations. Short-term advances have maturities for one year or less and can be paid without penalty. Long-term borrowings through the FHLB have original maturities up to 14 years and generally contain prepayment penalties.

Long-term borrowings, which totaled \$155.130 million and \$132.557 million at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, consist of long-term advances from the FHLB, a line of credit used to fund consumer finances receivables, and a mortgage loan on our former headquarters building. The amortized cost of FHLB advances totaled \$85.000 million at December 31, 2007, unchanged from December 31, 2006; however, \$60.000 million of the advances are now recorded at fair value (\$63.123 million) in accordance with SFAS No. 159, making the total carrying amount of FHLB advances \$88.123 million. See more detailed discussion about the advances recorded at fair value under "Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change" in this section above. As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the balance on the mortgage loan was \$9.407 million and \$9.557 million, respectively, and the balance on the consumer receivable line of credit was \$57.600 million and \$38.000 million, respectively.

Short-term borrowings consist of short-term promissory notes, short-term advances from the FHLB, and a mortgage warehouse line of credit secured by certain loans held for sale. Short-term borrowings decreased \$3.375 million, from \$40.884 million at December 31, 2006 to \$37.509 million at December 31, 2007, due to the pay-off of the warehouse line of credit in 2007 and a decline in short-term promissory notes.

See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K, for further details about our borrowings and additional borrowing capacity.

Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures

As an ongoing part of our funding and capital planning, we issue trust preferred securities from statutory trusts, which are wholly owned by First Mariner Bancorp. The proceeds from the sales of trust preferred securities, combined with our equity investment in these trusts, are exchanged for subordinated deferrable interest debentures. We currently maintain seven of these trusts with aggregated debentures of \$73.724 million as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K, for more information concerning our junior subordinated deferrable trust debentures.

Capital Resources

Stockholders' equity decreased \$14.059 million in 2007 to \$64.570 million as of December 31, 2007 from \$78.629 million as of December 31, 2006. Retained earnings declined by the net loss of \$10.063 million for 2007 and the net cumulative effects of accounting change adjustments of \$135,000 related to the adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-4 and \$2.244 million related to our adoption of SFAS No. 159 effective January 1, 2007.

Common stock and additional paid-in-capital decreased by \$668,000 due to stock repurchases of \$1.162 million, partially offset by the sale of stock through the exercise of options and warrants (\$25,000), shares issued through the employee stock purchase plan (\$346,000), and stock compensation awards (\$123,000). Accumulated other comprehensive loss declined by \$885,000 due to the decrease in estimated fair values of the securities portfolio, partially offset by the cumulative effect of accounting

change adjustment related to the adoption of SFAS No. 159 and the transfer of investment securities available for sale to the trading category.

Banking regulatory authorities have implemented strict capital guidelines directly related to the credit risk associated with an institution's assets. Banks and bank holding companies are required to maintain capital levels based on their "risk adjusted" assets so that categories of assets with higher "defined" credit risks will require more capital support than assets with lower risk. Additionally, capital must be maintained to support certain off-balance sheet instruments.

To date, we have provided for our capital requirements mainly through the funds we received from stock offerings and issuances of trust preferred securities. In the future, we may consider raising capital from time to time through an offering of common stock or other securities. As reflected in the table below, both the Company and the Bank have exceeded their capital adequacy requirements as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and met the requirement for "well capitalized" status under Federal Banking Regulation. We continually monitor our capital adequacy ratios to ensure that we exceed regulatory capital requirements.

We have a total of \$71.500 million in trust preferred securities which are eligible as capital for regulatory purposes. As of December 31, 2007, \$22.126 million in trust preferred securities was included in Tier 1 capital and the remaining \$49.374 million was included in Total Capital. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K, for more information concerning our trust preferred securities.

Capital is classified as Tier 1 capital (common stockholders' equity less certain intangible assets plus a portion of the trust preferred securities) and Total Capital (Tier 1 plus the allowed portion of the allowance for loan losses and the portion of trust preferred securities not included in Tier 1 capital). Minimum required levels must at least equal 4% for Tier 1 capital and 8% for Total Capital. In addition, institutions must maintain a minimum of 4% leverage capital ratio (Tier 1 capital to average total assets for the previous quarter).

Our capital position is presented in the following table:

	D	ecember 31,		Minimum Requirements for	To be Well Capitalized Under
	2007	2006	2005	Capital Adequacy Purposes	Prompt Corrective Action Provision
Regulatory capital ratios:					
Leverage:					
Consolidated	6.9%	7.8%	7.4%	4.0%	5.0%
Bank	7.1%	7.3%	6.8%	4.0%	5.0%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets:					
Consolidated	8.2%	10.0%	9.5%	4.0%	6.0%
Bank	8.6%	9.6%	9.0%	4.0%	6.0%
Total capital to risk-weighted assets:					
Consolidated	14.2%	15.6%	14.9%	8.0%	10.0%
Bank	10.4%	11.7%	10.7%	8.0%	10.0%
Liquidity					

Liquidity describes our ability to meet financial obligations, including lending commitments and contingencies that arise during the normal course of business. Liquidity is primarily needed to meet the borrowing and deposit withdrawal requirements of our customers, as well as to meet current and planned expenditures. These cash requirements are met on a daily basis through the inflow of deposit funds, and the maintenance of short-term overnight investments, maturities and calls in our investment

portfolio, and available lines of credit with the FHLB, which requires pledged collateral. Fluctuations in deposit and short-term borrowing balances may be influenced by the interest rates paid, general consumer confidence and the overall economic environment. There can be no assurances that deposit withdrawals and loan fundings will not exceed all available sources of liquidity on a short-term basis. Such a situation would have an adverse effect on our ability to originate new loans and maintain reasonable loan and deposit interest rates, which would negatively impact earnings.

The borrowing requirements of customers include commitments to extend credit and the unused portion of lines of credit (collectively "commitments"), which totaled \$200.760 million at December 31, 2007. Historically, many of the commitments expire without being fully drawn; therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Commitments for real estate development and construction, which totaled \$61.743 million, or 30.7% of the total at December 31, 2007, are generally short-term in nature, satisfying cash requirements with principal repayments as construction properties financed are generally repaid with permanent financing. Available credit lines represent the unused portion of credit previously extended and available to the customer as long as there is no violation of material contractual conditions. Commitments to extend credit for residential mortgage loans of \$24.444 million, or 12.2% of the total at December 31, 2007, generally expire within 60 days. Commercial commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit of \$1.241 million, or 0.6%, of the total at December 31, 2007, generally do not extend for more than 12 months. Consumer commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit of \$33.672 million, or 16.8% of the total at December 31, 2007, are generally open ended. At December 31, 2007, available home equity lines totaled \$79.660 million, or 39.7% of the total. Home equity credit lines generally extend for a period of 10 years, before being converted to an amortizing loan.

Capital expenditures for various branch locations and equipment can be a significant use of liquidity. As of December 31, 2007, we plan on expending approximately \$4.000 million in the next 12 months on our premises and equipment.

Customer withdrawals are also a principal use of liquidity, but are generally mitigated by growth in customer funding sources, such as deposits and short-term borrowings. While balances may fluctuate up and down in any given period, historically we have experienced a steady increase in total customer funding sources.

The Bank's principal sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents (which are cash on hand or amounts due from financial institutions, federal funds sold, money market mutual funds, and interest bearing deposits), available for sale and trading securities, loans held for sale, deposit accounts, brokered deposits, and borrowings. The levels of such sources are dependent on the Bank's operating, financing, and investing activities at any given time. We continue to primarily rely on core deposits from customers to provide stable and cost-effective sources of funding to support our loan growth. We also seek to augment such deposits with longer term and higher yielding certificates of deposit. Cash and cash equivalents, which totaled \$91.321 million at December 31, 2007, have immediate availability to meet our short-term funding needs. Our entire investment portfolio is classified as either available for sale or trading, is highly marketable, and available to meet our liquidity needs. Loans held for sale, which totaled \$80.920 million at December 31, 2007, are committed to be sold into the secondary market and generally are funded within 60 days. Our residential real estate portfolio includes loans that are underwritten to secondary market criteria and provide us an additional source of liquidity. Additionally, our residential construction loan portfolio provides a source of liquidity as construction periods generally range from 9-12 months, and these loans are subsequently financed with permanent first mortgages and sold into the secondary market. Our loan to deposit ratio stood at 94.5% as of December 31, 2007 and 93.7% at December 31, 2006.

We also have the ability to utilize established credit as an additional source of liquidity. To use the vast majority of our credit lines, we must pledge certain loans and/or investment securities before advances can be obtained. As of December 31, 2007, we maintained lines of credit totaling

\$314.349 million, with available borrowing capacity of \$71.309 million based upon loans and investments eligible and available for pledging.

We are not aware of any known trends, demands, commitments, or uncertainties that are reasonably likely to result in material changes in our liquidity.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest rate sensitivity is an important factor in the management of the composition and maturity configurations of our earning assets and our funding sources. The primary objective of our asset/liability management is to ensure the steady growth of our primary earnings component, net interest income. Our net interest income can fluctuate with significant interest rate movements. We may attempt to structure the statement of financial condition so that repricing opportunities exist for both assets and liabilities in roughly equivalent amounts at approximately the same time intervals. However, imbalances in these repricing opportunities at any point in time may be appropriate to mitigate risks from fee income subject to interest rate risk, such as mortgage-banking activities.

The measurement of our interest rate sensitivity, or "gap," is one of the techniques used in asset/liability management. Interest sensitive gap is the dollar difference between our assets and liabilities which are subject to interest rate pricing within a given time period, including both floating rate or adjustable rate instruments and instruments which are approaching maturity.

Our management and our board of directors oversee the asset/liability management function and meet periodically to monitor and manage the statement of financial condition, control interest rate exposure, and evaluate pricing strategies. We evaluate the asset mix of the statement of financial condition continually in terms of several variables: yield, credit quality, funding sources, and liquidity. Our management of the liability mix of the statement of financial condition focuses on expanding our various funding sources and promotion of deposit products with desirable repricing or maturity characteristics.

In theory, we can diminish interest rate risk through maintaining a nominal level of interest rate sensitivity. In practice, this is made difficult by a number of factors including cyclical variation in loan demand, different impacts on our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities when interest rates change, and the availability of our funding sources. Accordingly, we strive to manage the interest rate sensitivity gap by adjusting the maturity of and establishing rates on the earning asset portfolio and certain interest-bearing liabilities commensurate with our expectations relative to market interest rates. Additionally, we may employ the use of off-balance sheet instruments, such as interest rate swaps or caps, to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. Generally, we attempt to maintain a balance between rate-sensitive assets and liabilities that is appropriate to minimize our overall interest rate risk, not just our net interest margin.

Our interest rate sensitivity position as of December 31, 2007 is presented in the following table. Our assets and liabilities are scheduled based on maturity or repricing data except for mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities that are based on prevailing prepayments assumptions and core deposits which are based on core deposit studies done for banks in the Mid-Atlantic region. These assumptions are validated periodically by management. The difference between our rate-sensitive assets and rate-sensitive liabilities or the interest rate sensitivity gap, is shown at the bottom of the table. As of December 31, 2007, our interest sensitive assets exceeded our interest sensitive liabilities within a one year period by \$104.458 million or 8.4% of total assets, compared to \$206.053 million or 16.3% as of December 31, 2006. The change in our interest rate sensitivity gap occurred primarily due to the maturity of shorter-term securities during 2007.

(dollars in thousands)	 180 days or less	181 days- one year		One-five years		> 5 years or non- sensitive		Total
Interest-earning assets:								
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 52,232	\$	\$		\$		\$	52,232
Investment securities	27,771	4,806		23,266		26,105		81,948
Restricted stock investments	5,983							5,983
Loans held for sale	80,920							80,920
Loans	 524,129	 126,146		187,342	_	17,303		854,920
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 691,035	\$ 130,952	\$	210,608	\$	43,408	\$	1,076,003
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Savings accounts	\$ 3,601	\$ 2,827	\$	17,409	\$	28,080	\$	51,917
NOW accounts	1,294	1,217		7,495		12,089		22,095
Money market accounts	233,278	1,797		11,062		17,842		263,979
Certificates of deposit	197,198	104,620		115,434				417,252
Borrowings and junior subordinated								
debentures	171,697			85,259		9,407		266,363
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 607,068	\$ 110,461	\$	236,659	\$	67,418	\$	1,021,606
Interest rate sensitive gap position:								
Period	\$ 83,967	\$ 20,491	\$	(26,051)	\$	(24,010)		
% of assets	6.74%	1.64%)	(2.09)%		(1.93)	%	
Cumulative	\$ 83,967	\$ 104,458	\$	78,407	\$	54,397		
% of assets	6.74%	8.38%)	6.29%		4.36%	, >	
Cumulative risk sensitive assets to risk								
sensitive liabilities	113.83%	114.56%)	108.22%		105.32%	, 2	

While we monitor interest rate sensitivity reports, we primarily test our interest rate sensitivity through the deployment of simulation analysis. We use earnings simulation models to estimate what effect specific interest rate changes would have on our net interest income and net income. Simulation analysis provides us with a more rigorous and dynamic measure of interest sensitivity. Changes in prepayments have been included where changes in behavior patterns are assumed to be significant to the simulation, particularly mortgage related assets. Call features on certain securities and borrowings are based on their call probability in view of the projected rate change, and pricing features such as interest rate floors are incorporated. Our fee income produced by mortgage-banking operations may also be impacted by changes in rates. As long-term rates increase, the volume of fixed rate mortgage loans originated for sale in the secondary market may decline and reduce our revenues generated by this line of business. We attempt to structure our asset and liability management strategies to mitigate the impact on net interest income by changes in market interest rates. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to manage interest rate risk so as to avoid significant adverse effects on net interest income. At December 31, 2007, the simulation model provided the following profile of our interest rate risk measured over a one-year time horizon, assuming a parallel shift in a yield curve based off the U.S. dollar forward swap curve adjusted for certain pricing assumptions:

			Immediate Ra	ate Change	
			+200BP	-200BP	
 Net interest income			(1.83)%	1.06%	

Both of the above tools used to assess interest rate risk have strengths and weaknesses. Because the gap analysis reflects a static position at a single point in time, it is limited in quantifying the total impact of market rate changes which do not affect all earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities

equally or simultaneously. In addition, gap reports depict the existing structure, excluding exposure arising from new business. While the simulation process is a powerful tool in analyzing interest rate sensitivity, many of the assumptions used in the process are highly qualitative and subjective, and are subject to the risk that past historical activity may not generate accurate predictions of the future. The model also assumes parallel movements in interest rates, which means both short-term and long-term rates will change equally. Nonparallel changes in interest rates (short-term rates changing differently from long-term rates) could result in significant differences in projected income amounts when compared to parallel tests. Both measurement tools taken together, however, provide an effective evaluation of our exposure to changes in interest rates, enabling management to better control the volatility of earnings.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

(dollans in thousands)

We enter into off-balance sheet arrangements in the normal course of business. These arrangements consist primarily of commitments to extend credit, lines of credit and letters of credit, potential loan repurchases, certain contractual obligations, and certain derivatives.

Credit Commitments

Credit commitments are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition to the contract. Loan commitments generally have interest rates fixed at current market amounts, fixed expiration dates, and may require payment of a fee. Lines of credit generally have variable interest rates. Such lines do not represent future cash requirements because it is unlikely that all customers will draw upon their lines in full at any time. Letters of credit are commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party.

Outstanding loan commitments, unused lines of credit, and letters of credit were as follows at December 31, 2007:

(douars in thousands)		
Commitments to extend credit	\$	86,187
Unused lines of credit		114,573
Letters of credit		4,973
	\$	205,733

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the borrower is the contract amount of the commitment. Loan commitments, lines of credit, and letters of credit are made on the same terms, including collateral, as outstanding loans. We are not aware of any accounting loss we would incur by funding our commitments.

Potential Loan Repurchases

We have established a reserve for potential loan repurchases in the amount of \$325,000 as of December 31, 2007, which is included in other liabilities. This amount reflects the anticipated settlement of an outstanding repurchase claim with one of the our significant investors of bank-originated mortgages. The settlement, if reached, will extinguish current outstanding claims of the investor which existed as of December 31, 2007. Management is not aware of any other material repurchase claims in existence as of December 31, 2007. Additionally, we maintain a reserve of \$40,000, which represents potential obligations for return of premium fees in the event of early payoff of loans sold to investors.

Management has renegotiated its obligation to repurchase loans with its investors, substantially reducing or eliminating its obligation to repurchase loans due to early payment defaults. In exchange

for the less restrictive obligation, we may be paid lower premiums for sales of our loans. Management does not believe the lower premiums received will have a material impact on mortgage-banking revenue.

Contractual Obligations

First Mariner has certain obligations to make future payments under contract. At December 31, 2007, the aggregate contractual obligations and commitments are:

	Payments Due by Period										
(dollars in thousands)		Total		Less than one year		Over 1-3 Years		Over 3-5 years		After 5 years	
Certificates of deposit	\$	417,252	\$	298,772	\$	108,297	\$	10,183	\$		
Borrowings and junior subordinated debentures		266,363		37,509		63,123		57,600		108,131	
Annual rental commitments under noncancelable											
leases		33,461		4,974		8,870		6,265		13,352	
			_		_		_		_		
	\$	717,076	\$	341,255	\$	180,290	\$	74,048	\$	121,483	

Notional Amount of Derivatives

The Bank, through First Mariner Mortgage, enters into interest rate lock commitments, which are commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding and the customers have locked into that interest rate. The Bank also has corresponding forward sales commitments related to these interest rate lock commitments. The market value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts is not readily ascertainable with precision because rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts are not actively traded in stand-alone markets. The Bank determines the fair value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts by measuring the change in the value of the underlying asset, while taking into consideration the probability that the rate lock commitments will close.

During 2007, we curtailed selling our loans in bulk and discontinued using forward contracts to sell securities as a hedging tool. All of our originations for sale activity is now hedged using best efforts forward sales commitments. As of December 31, 2007, we had no forward contracts to sell any securities.

Information pertaining to the notional amounts of our derivative financial instruments follows as of December 31, 2007:

(dollars in thousands)		lotional Amount	Estimated Fair Value		
Interest rate lock commitments	\$	18,867	\$	18,843	
Open hedge positions: Forward sales commitments on loan pipeline and funded loans		89,256		89,280	

The net effect on our income statement from marking to market the forward contracts, the interest rate lock commitments and mortgage loans held for sale are not considered material to the overall operations of the consolidated company.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

Inflation may be expected to have an impact on our operating costs and thus on net income. A prolonged period of inflation could cause interest rates, wages, and other costs to increase and could adversely affect our results of operations unless the fees we charge could be increased correspondingly.

Our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K, have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the change in the relative purchasing power of money over time and due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike most industrial companies, nearly all of our assets are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on our performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the price of goods and services. We believe that the impact of inflation was not material for 2007 or 2006.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 22 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K, for discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Financial Review 2006/2005

For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recorded net income of \$1.924 million or \$0.29 per diluted share, a decrease of 75.4% from net income of \$7.822 million or \$1.20 per diluted share in 2005. Our gross revenue (net interest income and noninterest income) increased \$2.295 million while our noninterest expenses, the provision for credit losses, and income taxes increased by \$8.193 million.

Our net interest income for 2006 increased \$1.543 million or 3.2% from 2005, due to an increase in average interest-earning assets of 1.1% and an increase in the average yield on earning assets from 6.67% in 2005 to 7.70% in 2006. Our net interest margin increased 8 basis points to 3.96% in 2006 compared to 3.88% in 2005. The benefit realized from increased yields on earning assets was more than offset by higher rates paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings, which increased from 3.16% in 2005 to 4.24% in 2006.

Our provision for loan losses decreased to \$2.315 million in 2006 from \$3.287 million in 2005. Our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2006 represented 1.43% of loans outstanding, an increase from December 31, 2005 of 1.38% of loans outstanding. We recognized net chargeoffs of \$1.659 million in 2006 compared to \$1.124 million in 2005.

Our total noninterest income increased 3.3% to \$23.767 million, which included a net loss on sales of investment securities of \$3.037 million. Excluding losses on sales of securities, our noninterest income increased \$3.789 million or 16.5%. The increase was mostly due to an increase in our revenue from mortgage-banking activities that increased by \$3.199 million or 43.8%, driven by higher levels of loans originated and sold into the secondary market and higher pricing margins, somewhat offset by increases in forfeited revenue for early prepayments and defaults. Our service fees on deposits decreased \$298,000 or 4.1% due to lower levels of overdraft fees. Brokerage fees increased \$107,000 due primarily to higher sales volumes of fixed annuities. Sales of other insurance products from Mariner Finance increased \$159,000.

We incurred noninterest expense growth of 22.8% to \$69.159 million in 2006. Our salaries and benefits increased 13.2% to \$34.990 million primarily due to additional personnel costs for new positions supporting the increase in the number of loans and deposits, staffing hired to support the expansion of the consumer finance company and wholesale mortgage activities, increased costs of employer provided health care, and higher performance-based incentive costs. Our occupancy costs increased by 31.3% or \$1.908 million, reflecting an increase in lease expense due to additional space occupied for the new executive and administrative offices, increased amortization of property improvements, and the expansion of consumer finance operations. Service and maintenance expense also increased 20.1% due to the increased locations. During 2006, we provided \$4.450 million for a



valuation allowance for repurchases and potential repurchases of loans previously sold on the secondary market.

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$365,000 in 2006, compared to income tax expense of \$3.289 million recorded in 2005. Our effective income tax rate for 2006 was (23.4)% compared to 29.6% for 2005. The decrease in our effective tax rate was due to lower pretax income for 2006 of \$1.559 million compared to \$11.111 million in 2005.

ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Results of operations for financial institutions, including us, may be materially and adversely affected by changes in prevailing economic conditions, including declines in real estate values, rapid changes in interest rates and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Our loan portfolio is concentrated primarily in central Maryland and portions of Maryland's eastern shore and is, therefore, subject to risks associated with these local economies.

See "Interest Rate Sensitivity" in Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Form 10-K for more information about market risk.

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders First Mariner Bancorp Baltimore, Maryland

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of First Mariner Bancorp and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of its operations and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"), and our report dated March 6, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion.

As discussed in Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115," as of December 31, 2007.

/S/ STEGMAN & COMPANY

Baltimore, Maryland March 6, 2008

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

		December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006	
		(dollars in	thou	sands)
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	39,089	\$	36,734
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits		52,232		6,235
Trading securities, at fair value		36,950		1 17 200
Securities available for sale, at fair value		44,998		147,290
Loans held for sale		80,920		94,371
Loans receivable		854,920		866,459
Allowance for loan losses		(12,789)		(12,399)
Loans, net		842,131		854,060
Real estate acquired through foreclosure		18,981		2,440
Restricted stock investments		5,983		6,449
Premises and equipment, net		52,215		49,062
Accrued interest receivable		7,181		10,579
Deferred income taxes		12,428		6,806
Bank-owned life insurance		34,931		33,492
Prepaid expenses and other assets		18,783	_	15,772
Total assets	\$	1,246,822	\$	1,263,290
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities: Deposits:				
Noninterest-bearing	\$	149,710	\$	186,720
Interest-bearing		755,243		738,218
Total deposits		904,953		924,938
Short-term borrowings		37,509		40,884
Long-term borrowings, at fair value		63,123		
Long-term borrowings		92,007		132,557
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		73,724		73,724
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		10,936		12,558
Total liabilities		1,182,252		1,184,661
Stockholders' equity:				
Common stock, \$.05 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 6,351,611 and 6,427,725				
shares issued and outstanding, respectively		318		321
Additional paid-in capital		56,458		57,123
Retained earnings		9,603		22,109
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	_	(1,809)		(924)
Total stockholders' equity		64,570		78,629
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	1,246,822	\$	1,263,290

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

	For	For the Years Ended December 31,						
	2007	20)6	2005				
		(dollars in th except per sl	,	<i>.</i>				
Interest income:	¢ 70.4	260 ¢	00.154 ¢	CO 126				
Loans Sometics and other corning assets	\$ 79,3		82,154 \$ 13,594	,				
Securities and other earning assets	9,.	140	15,394	13,924				
Total interest income	88,5	515	95,748	82,060				
Interest expense:								
Deposits	27,7	772	23,920	16,729				
Short-term borrowings		316	9,299	6,596				
Long-term borrowings	14,7		13,263	11,012				
Total interest surgers	42.5	225	46,482	24 227				
Total interest expense	43,8	555	40,482	34,337				
Net interest income	44,0	580	49,266	47,723				
Provision for loan losses		915	2,315	3,287				
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	35,7	765	46,951	44,436				
Noninterest income:								
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	4	120	7614	5 019				
Other mortgage-banking revenue		430 716	7,614 2,892	5,018 2,289				
ATM fees		219	3,161	3,135				
Service fees on deposits		482	6,887	7,185				
Trading loss on securities and long-term borrowings		702)	0,007	7,105				
Gain (loss) on sales of securities, net			(3,037)					
Commissions on sales of nondeposit investment products)49	638	531				
Income from bank-owned life insurance		139	1,117	1,031				
Commissions on sales of other insurance products		322	2,671	2,512				
Other		702	1,824	1,314				
Total noninterest income	24,	100	23,767	23,015				
Noninterest expenses:								
Salaries and employee benefits	36,2	260	34,990	30,909				
Occupancy, net		348	8,012	6,104				
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment		548 502	3,162	3,057				
Professional services		552	958	1,021				
Advertising		219	1,341	1,352				
Data processing		941	1,811	2,006				
ATM expenses)30	962	1,146				
Write-downs, losses, and costs of real estate acquired through foreclosure		177	224	28				
Service and maintenance	2,4	436	2,202	1,833				
Secondary marketing valuation	3,9	934	4,450					
Other	11,9	939	11,047	8,884				
Total noninterest expenses	78,2	238	69,159	56,340				
ו טומו חטווותבובאו באףכוואבא	/8,.	230	09,159	50,540				

Net (loss) income before income taxes	(18,373)	1,559	11,111
Income tax (benefit) expense	(8,310)	(365)	3,289
Net (loss) income	\$ (10,063)	\$ 1,924	\$ 7,822
Net (loss) income per common share - Basic	\$ (1.57)	\$ 0.30	\$ 1.28
Net (loss) income per common share - Diluted	\$ (1.57)	\$ 0.29	\$ 1.20

For the Years Ended December 31,

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

						,,	7, 2000, and 2005		
	Number of Shares of Common Stock	Comr Stoo		Additional Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Ste	Total ockholders' Equity
				(dollars in thous	sands	s excent numh	er of shares)		
Balance at January 1, 2005	5,826,011	\$	291	\$ 51,792	\$	12,363		\$	64,314
Net income						7,822	. ,		7,822
Common stock issued, net of costs	531,181		27	4,974					5,001
Common stock repurchased, net of									
costs	(94,750)		(5)	(1,573)					(1,578)
Other comprehensive loss							(3,184)		(3,184)
L					_			_	
Balance at December 31, 2005	6,262,442		313	55,193		20,185	(3,316)		72,375
Net income						1,924			1,924
Common stock issued, net of costs	196,183		9	1,875					1,884
Common stock repurchased, net of									
costs	(30,900)		(1)	(581)					(582)
Stock-based compensation expense				51					51
Tax effect of options				585					585
Other comprehensive income							2,392		2,392
					_				
Balance at December 31, 2006	6,427,725		321	57,123		22,109	(924)		78,629
Cumulative effect of accounting	.,,					,_ ~,	()		,,
changes						(2,443)	993		(1,450)
Net loss						(10,063)			(10,063)
Common stock issued, net of costs	43,486		2	369					371
Common stock repurchased, net of	,								
costs	(119,600)		(5)	(1,157)					(1,162)
Stock-based compensation expense				123					123
Other comprehensive loss							(1,878)		(1,878)
					_				
Balance at December 31, 2007	6,351,611	\$	318	\$ 56,458	\$	9,603	\$ (1,809)	\$	64,570

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	2007	2006	2005			
		(dollars in thousands	s)			
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Net (loss) income	\$ (10,063)	\$ 1,924	\$ 7,822			
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash from operating activities:	114	51				
Stock-based compensation	114	51				
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation	5.240	(585)	2.072			
Depreciation and amortization	5,240	4,744	3,872			
Amortization of unearned loan fees and costs, net	(597)	(1,022)	(923)			
Amortization of premiums and discounts on loans, net	(997)	(690)	(724)			
Amortization of premiums and discounts on mortgage-backed securities, net	10	218	267			
Loss on trading securities and borrowings	702	(7(14))	(5.010)			
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	(4,430)	(7,614)	(5,018)			
(Gain) loss on sale of securities available for sale	(943)	3,037				
Gain on real estate acquired through foreclosure	70	(14)				
Loss on disposal of premises and equipment	70	107				
Write-downs and losses on real estate acquired through foreclosure	3,720	197				
Repurchase of mortgage loans	(30,797)	(13,458)				
Secondary marketing valuation	3,934	4,450	(1.(20)			
Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable	3,398	(2,542)	(1,620)			
Provision for loan losses	8,915	2,315	3,287			
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance	(1,439)	(1,117)	(1,031)			
Originations of mortgage loans held for sale	(965,865)	(1,191,676)	(1,199,017)			
Proceeds from mortgage loans held for sale	953,355	1,197,271	1,191,639			
Deferred income taxes	(3,578)	(2,372)	(961)			
Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities Net increase in prepaids and other assets	(5,683) (3,344)	(1,884) (4,014)	4,103 (715)			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(48,278)	(12,781)	981			
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Principal repayments, net of loan disbursements	41,387	(4,035)	(105,782)			
Purchases of premises and equipment	(8,463)	(13,444)	(27,067)			
Proceeds from disposals of premises and equipment	(0,100)	40	239			
Sales (purchases) of restricted stock investments, net	466	7,198	(1,761)			
Activity in securities available for sale:	100	,,190	(1,701)			
Sales	1,445	97,387				
Maturities/calls/repayments	58,768	39,190	50,939			
Purchases	(999)	(6,284)	(10,367)			
Maturities/calls/repayments of trading securities	4,577	(0,-0.)	(10,201)			
Proceeds from sales of real estate acquired through foreclosure	4,150	981				
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance	,	(5,000)				
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	101,331	116,033	(93,799)			
	101,001	110,000	(,,,,,))			
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(19,985)	48,929	50,593			
Net increase (decrease) in other borrowed funds and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	16,075	(156,934)	74,190			
Repayment of repurchase agreements	10,075	(150,754)	(25,000)			
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation		585	(23,000)			
Proceeds from stock issuance, net of costs	371	1,884	5,001			
Repurchase of common stock, net of costs	(1,162)	(582)	(1,578)			
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(4,701)	(106,118)	103,206			

For the Years Ended December 31,

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	48,352		(2,866)	10,388
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	42,969		45,835	35,447
	 	_		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 91,321	\$	42,969	\$ 45,835
Supplemental information:				
Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds	\$ 43,700	\$	46,038	\$ 33,769
Income taxes paid			2,925	3,509
Real estate acquired through foreclosure	24,410		2,673	866
Transfer of loans held for sale to loan portfolio	16,934			
C	G			

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization, Basis of Presentation, and Use of Estimates

First Mariner Bancorp ("First Mariner," on a parent only basis and "we," "our," or "us" on a consolidated basis) is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the state of Maryland. First Mariner is headquartered in Baltimore, Maryland, and was originally organized as "MarylandsBank Corp." in May 1994. MarylandsBank Corp.'s name was changed to "First Mariner Bancorp" in May 1995. First Mariner Bancorp owns 100% of common stock of First Mariner Bank (the "Bank") and 100% of the interests in Mariner Finance, LLC ("Mariner Finance") and FM Appraisals, LLC ("FM Appraisals").

Most of our activities are with customers within the Central Maryland region. A portion of activities related to consumer finance operations and mortgage lending are more dispersed and cover parts of the Mid-Atlantic region and other regions outside of the state of Maryland. Note 3 describes the types of securities that we invest in, and Note 4 discusses our lending activities. We do not have any concentrations to any one industry or customer.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First Mariner and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to amounts previously reported to conform with classifications made in 2007.

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, loan repurchases and related valuations, real estate acquired through foreclosure, impairment of securities available for sale, and deferred taxes. In connection with these determinations, management evaluates historical trends and ratios and, where appropriate, obtains independent appraisals for significant properties and prepares fair value analyses. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid securities with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. For reporting purposes, assets grouped in the Statement of Financial Condition under the captions "Cash and due from banks" and "Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits" are considered cash or cash equivalents. For financial statement purposes, these assets are carried at cost. Cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, and interest-bearing deposits have overnight maturities and are generally in excess of amounts that would be recoverable under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance.

Securities

We designate securities into one of the three categories at the time of purchase. Debt securities that we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and recorded at amortized cost. Debt and equity securities are classified as trading securities if bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. Trading securities are reported at

estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Debt securities not classified as held to maturity and debt and equity securities not classified as trading securities are considered available for sale and are reported at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of tax effects, in accumulated other comprehensive income. Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, and designated \$42.569 million in securities as trading securities which were previously designated as available for sale. In accordance with SFAS No. 159, we recorded a cumulative effect of accounting change reduction to retained earnings related to the securities in the amount of \$993,000 (net of deferred tax impact) as of January 1, 2007.

If a decline in value of an individual security classified as held to maturity or available for sale is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of that security is reduced to its fair value and the amount of the write-down is reflected in our earnings. Estimated fair value is determined based on bid prices received from third party pricing services or bid quotations received from securities dealers. Gains or losses on the sales of securities are calculated using a specific-identification basis and are determined on a trade-date basis. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized over the term of the security using methods that approximate the interest method. Gains and losses on trading securities are recognized regularly in income as the fair value of those securities changes.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans originated for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. Market value is determined based on outstanding investor commitments or, in the absence of such commitments, based on current investor yield requirements or third party pricing models. Gains and losses on loan sales are determined using the specific-identification method.

Loans Receivable

Our loans receivable are stated at their principal balance outstanding, net of related deferred fees and costs. Interest income on our loans is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal outstanding. For smaller loans, we place loans in nonaccrual status when they are contractually past due 90 days as to either principal or interest, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection or earlier, when, in the opinion of management, the collection of principal and interest is in doubt. Management may grant a waiver from nonaccrual status for a 90-day past-due loan that is both well secured and in the process of collection. For larger loans and certain mortgage loans, Management applies SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, to determine accrual status. Under SFAS No. 114, when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all payments due, including interest, we generally place the loan on nonaccrual. A loan remains in nonaccrual status until the loan is current as to payment of both principal and interest and the borrower demonstrates the ability to pay and remain current. As a result of our ongoing review of the loan portfolio, we may classify loans as nonaccrual even though the presence of collateral or the borrower's financial strength may be sufficient to provide for ultimate repayment. We recognize interest on nonaccrual loans only when it is received. Loans are charged-off when a loan or a portion thereof is considered uncollectible.

We identify impaired loans and measure impairment (i) at the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (ii) at the observable market price, or (iii) at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If our measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize an impairment loss through a valuation allowance and corresponding charge to provision for loan losses. We do not apply these provisions to larger groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans such as consumer installment and residential first

and second mortgage loans. We evaluate these loans collectively for impairment, except for 60 days past due high loan-to-value ratio/low documentation ("ALT A") loans, to which we apply SFAS No. 114.

We determine a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. We do not consider a loan impaired during a period of delay in payment if we expect to collect all amounts due, including interest past-due. Generally we consider a period of delay in payment to include delinquency up to 90 days, but often extend this period if the loan is collateralized by residential or commercial real estate with a low loan-to-value ratio, and where collection and repayment efforts are progressing.

When the ultimate collectibility of an impaired loan's principal is in doubt, wholly or partially, all cash receipts are applied to principal. Once the recorded principal balance has been reduced to zero, future cash receipts are applied to interest income, to the extent any interest has been foregone, and then they are recorded as recoveries of any amounts previously charged off. When this doubt no longer exists, cash receipts are applied under the contractual terms of the loan agreements. Origination and commitment fees and direct origination costs on loans held for investment generally are deferred and amortized to income over the contractual lives of the related loans using the interest method. Under certain circumstances, commitment fees are recognized over the commitment period or upon expiration of the commitment. Fees to extend loans three months or less are recognized in income upon receipt. Unamortized loan fees are recognized in income when the related loans are sold or prepaid.

Repurchased Loans

In accordance with AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-3, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer, we record repurchased loans at estimated fair value at the time of repurchase.

In establishing the loan's estimated fair value, management makes significant assumptions concerning the ultimate collectibility of delinquent loans and their ultimate realizable value. While these projections are made with the most current data available to management, actual realized losses could differ due to the changes in the borrowers' willingness or ability to resolve the delinquency status, changes in the actual volume of future repurchases, or changes in fair values of those loans which are liquidated. Management updates these assumptions continually as greater experience becomes available.

Transferred Loans

In accordance with SFAS No. 65, Accounting for Certain Mortgage Banking Activities, any loans which are originally originated for sale into the secondary market and which we subsequently elect to transfer into the Company's loan portfolio are valued at fair value at the time of the transfer with any decline in value recorded as a charge to operating expense.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Our allowance for loan losses represents an estimated amount that, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable incurred losses on existing loans. The allowance for loan losses consists of an allocated component and an unallocated component. The components of the allowance for loan losses represent an estimation done pursuant to either SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, or SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is determined through careful and continuous review and evaluation of the loan portfolio and involves the balancing of factors as outlined below to establish a prudent level. Loans deemed uncollectible are charged against, while recoveries are credited to, the allowance. Management adjusts the level of the allowance through the provision for loan losses, which is recorded as a current period operating expense. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the

allowance consists of several key elements, which include the formula allowance, specific allowance and the unallocated allowance.

The formula allowance is calculated by applying loss factors to corresponding categories of outstanding homogenous loans. Loss factors are based on our historical loss experience, or for newer classes of loans, management's estimate of probable losses. The use of these loss factors is intended to reduce the difference between estimated losses inherent in the portfolio and observed losses.

Specific allowances are established in cases where management has identified significant conditions or circumstances related to a loan that leads management to believe the probability that a loss may be incurred in an amount different from the amount determined by the formula allowance calculation. Management determines fair value of the loan and recognizes any impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, and recognizes any such impairment as a specific allowance.

The unallocated allowance is based upon management's evaluation of various conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. Such conditions include, but are not limited to, general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, credit quality trends (including trends in delinquencies and nonperforming loans expected to result from existing conditions), loan volumes and concentrations, specific industry conditions within portfolio categories, recent loss experience in particular loan categories, duration of the current business cycle, bank regulatory examination results, findings of internal loan examiners, and management's judgment with respect to various other conditions including loan administration and management and the quality of risk identification systems. Executive management and the Board of Directors review these conditions quarterly.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. However, the determination of the allowance requires significant judgment, and estimates of probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio can vary significantly from the amounts actually observed. While management uses all available information to recognize probable incurred losses, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in the loans comprising the loan portfolio and changes in the financial condition of borrowers which may result from changes in economic conditions. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's and Mariner Finance's loan portfolio and allowance for loan losses. Such review may result in recognition of additions to the allowance based on their judgments of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure

We record foreclosed real estate assets at the lower of cost or estimated fair value on their acquisition dates and at the lower of such initial amount or estimated fair value less estimated selling costs thereafter. Subsequent write-downs are included in our noninterest expenses, along with operating income, net of related expenses of such properties and gains or losses realized upon disposition.

Restricted Stock Investments

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, is required to maintain an investment in capital stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB") in varying amounts based on asset size and on amounts borrowed from the FHLB. Because no ready market exists for this stock and it has no quoted market value, the Bank's investment in this stock is carried at cost.

The Bank maintains an investment in capital stock of several bankers banks. Because no ready market exists for this stock and it has no quoted market value, the Bank's investment in these stocks is carried at cost.



Premises and Equipment

Our premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are accumulated using straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Additions and betterments are capitalized and charges for repairs and maintenance are expensed when incurred. The cost and accumulated depreciation or amortization is eliminated from the accounts when an asset is sold or retired and the resultant gain or loss is credited or charged to income. Premises and equipment have estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 39 years. We capitalize the cost of imputed interest we incur for significant real estate construction and development and charge the amount of capitalized interest to depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the asset. Capitalized interest is computed and capitalized based on the average invested amount in the development project over the construction period at the rate of the Company's incremental borrowing cost for the construction period.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

Bank owned life insurance is carried at the aggregate cash surrender value of life insurance policies owned where the Company or its subsidiaries are named beneficiaries. Increases in cash surrender value derived from crediting rates for underlying insurance policies is credited to noninterest income. We adopted Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 06-4, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements* effective January 1, 2007 for BOLI. The adoption of this Issue resulted in the recording of a cumulative effect of accounting change as a reduction to retained earnings of \$135,000.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Borrowings

In conjunction with our adoption of SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, as of January 1, 2007, we began recording certain of our long-term borrowings at fair value, with corresponding changes in fair values recorded in income. On January 1, 2007, we recorded a cumulative effect of accounting change reduction to retained earnings in the amount of \$1.251 million (net of deferred tax impact) related to \$60.000 million in borrowings that we began recording at fair value.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes in stockholders' equity during a period, except those relating to investments by and distributions to stockholders. Our comprehensive income consists of net earnings and unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale and is presented in Note 19. Accumulated other comprehensive income is displayed as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred income taxes are provided on income and expense items when they are reported for financial statement purposes in

periods different from the periods in which these items are recognized in the income tax returns. Deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that such amounts will be realized based upon consideration of available evidence, including tax planning strategies and other factors.

We adopted FASB Interpretation ("FIN") 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, as of January 1, 2007. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The adoption had no material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

We recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

Net (Loss) Income Per Share

Our basic (loss) income per share is computed by dividing net (loss) income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted (loss) income per share is computed after adjusting the denominator of the basic (loss) income per share computation for the effect of all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. The dilutive effects of options, warrants and their equivalents are computed under the "treasury stock" method, and are provided in Note 15.

Stock-Based Compensation

In January 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004)*, for our stockholder- approved Long-Term Incentive Plan, which permits the grant of share options and shares to our directors and key employees. We made the transition to fair value-based compensation using the modified version of the prospective application, which means the fair value-based method prescribed under SFAS 123R applies to new awards, modification of previous awards, repurchases and cancellations after January 1, 2006 and to any awards that retain service requirements after January 1, 2006.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we applied the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based employee compensation plans. Under this method, compensation cost was recognized for awards of shares of common stock to employees only if the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date (or other measurement date, if later) was greater than the amount the employee was required to pay to acquire the stock.

Loan Servicing

We recognize as assets the rights to service mortgage loans for others ("MSRs") based on their estimated fair value at the time of sale of the underlying mortgage loan. We account for MSRs in accordance with SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140.* Fair value is determined through a review of valuation assumptions that are supported by market and economic data collected from various outside sources.

Amortization of MSRs is recorded based on the cash flows as estimated by future net servicing income, including the write-off of MSRs associated with loans that are paid in full. The projected future cash flows are calculated and updated monthly by applying market-based assumptions. Impairment for MSRs is determined based on the fair value of the rights, stratified by predominate risk characteristics according to interest rate and type of related loan. Any impairments would be recognized through a valuation allowance with a corresponding charge recorded in income.

Advertising

We expense our advertising costs as incurred, except payments for major sponsorships which are amortized over an estimated life not to exceed one year. Advertising expenses were \$1.219 million, \$1.341 million, and \$1.352 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

(2) Restrictions on Cash and Due From Banks

The Bank is required by the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") to maintain certain cash reserve balances based principally on deposit liabilities. At both December 31, 2007 and 2006, the required reserve balance was \$1.000 million. The Bank has also pledged \$15.000 million and \$3.000 million in cash against the long-term FHLB borrowings and for exposure on debit card transactions, respectively, as of December 31, 2007.

(3) Securities

The composition of our securities is as follows at December 31:

	2007											
dollars in thousands)		mortized Cost	-	realized Gains	I	Unrealized Losses		Estimated Fair Value				
Available for Sale:												
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	18,184	\$	250	\$	355	\$	18,079				
Trust preferred securities		21,872		2		2,840		19,034				
Equity securities		549				71		478				
U.S. Treasury securities		999		18				1,017				
Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions		2,934		41				2,975				
Corporate obligations		1,957				42		1,915				
Foreign government bonds		1,500						1,500				
	\$	47,995	\$	311	\$	3,308	-	44,998				

Trading:

Mortgage-backed securities

36,950

\$ 81,948

	2006											
(dollars in thousands)		Amortized Cost				Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value					
Available for Sale:												
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	64,108	\$	173	\$	2,000	\$	62,281				
Trust preferred securities		33,056		129		157		33,028				
Equity securities		1,046		349				1,395				
U.S. Treasury securities		1,000				2		998				
Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions		2,937		28				2,965				
U.S. government agency notes		40,000				106		39,894				
Corporate obligations		1,907		81				1,988				
Foreign government bonds		1,750						1,750				
Other bonds and annuities		2,991						2,991				
			_		_		_					
	\$	148,795	\$	760	\$	2,265	\$	147,290				

Contractual maturities of debt securities at December 31, 2007 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(dollars in thousands)	A	mortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value			
Available for Sale:						
Due in one year or less	\$	1,727	\$	1,716		
Due after one year through five years	Ŷ	2,729	Ŷ	2,716		
Due after ten years		24,806		22,009		
Mortgage-backed securities		18,184		18,079		
	\$	47,446		44,520		
Trading:						
Mortgage-backed securities				36,950		
			\$	81,470		

The following table shows the level of our gross unrealized losses and the fair value of the associated securities by type and maturity for securities available for sale:

_	Less than	12 mo	onths	12 months or more			Total				
		U	nrealized Losses								realized Losses
\$	4,924	\$	11	\$	7,872	\$	344	\$	12,796	\$	355
	14,359		2,346		3,630		494		17,989		2,840
	1,915		42						1,915		42
	478		71						478		71
\$	21,676	\$	2,470	\$	11,502	\$	838	\$	33,178	\$	3,308
	Fa \$	Estimated Fair Value \$ 4,924 14,359 1,915 478	Estimated Fair Value U \$ 4,924 \$ 14,359 1,915 478	Fair Value Losses \$ 4,924 \$ 11 14,359 2,346 1,915 42 478 71	Estimated Fair Value Unrealized Losses E Fair \$ 4,924 \$ 11 \$ 14,359 \$ 2,346 1,915 42 478 71	Estimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesEstimated Fair Value\$ 4,924\$ 11\$ 7,87214,3592,3463,6301,9154247871	Estimated Fair Value Unrealized Losses Estimated Fair Value Unrealized Losses \$ 4,924 \$ 11 \$ 7,872 \$ 14,359 14,359 2,346 3,630 1,915 42 478 71	Estimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesEstimated Fair ValueUnrealized Losses\$ 4,924\$ 11\$ 7,872\$ 34414,3592,3463,6304941,9154247871	Estimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesEstimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesE Fair Fair Value\$ 4,924\$ 11\$ 7,872\$ 344\$ 49414,3592,3463,6304941,9154247871	Estimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesEstimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesEstimated Fair Value\$ 4,924\$ 11\$ 7,872\$ 344\$ 12,79614,3592,3463,63049417,9891,915421,91547871	Estimated Fair ValueUnrealized LossesEstimated Fair ValueUnrealized

For securities available for sale, gross unrealized losses totaled \$3.308 million as of December 31, 2007 and equaled 9.97% of the fair value of securities with unrealized losses as of this date. A total of 22 securities were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2007, with the largest single unrealized loss in any one security totaling \$850,000. Seven securities were in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

All of our temporarily impaired securities, with the exception of trust preferred securities, are defined as impaired due to declines in fair values resulting from increases in interest rates or wider credit spreads compared to the time they were purchased. We have the ability to hold these securities to maturity, when all of these securities will be repaid in full, and do not expect to realize losses on any of these holdings. As such, management does not consider the impairments to be other than temporary.

Trust preferred securities considered temporarily impaired are issues of other banks and bank holding companies we currently hold in our portfolio. In addition to increases in interest rates, which have resulted in declines in market value, we believe other factors have impacted market values in this segment of our securities portfolio. Certain securities have experienced declines in credit ratings from credit rating firms, which have devalued these specific securities. Also, changes in the market have limited the demand for these securities and reduced their liquidity. While some of these issuers have reported weaker financial performance since acquisition of these securities, the majority of these issuers continue to possess more than acceptable credit risk in management's opinion. Management closely monitors these securities for changes in credit risk and we have the ability to hold these securities to their maturity without any loss of principal or interest. Management does not consider the impairment of these securities to be other than temporary.

At December 31, 2007, there were no securities of a single issuer, other than U.S. Government securities or U.S. sponsored agencies securities, which exceeded 10% of stockholders' equity.

During 2007, 2006, and 2005, we recognized gross losses on the sale of securities of \$0, \$3.063 million, and \$0, respectively. During 2007, 2006, and 2005, we recognized gross gains on sale of securities of \$943,000, \$26,000, and \$0, respectively.

At December 31, 2007, we held securities with an aggregate carrying value (fair value) of approximately \$55.025 million that we have pledged as collateral for FHLB advances and other borrowings.

(4) Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses

Approximately 85% of our loans receivable are to customers located in the state of Maryland. Loans are extended only after evaluation by management of customers' creditworthiness and other relevant factors on a case-by-case basis. For loans with real estate collateral, we generally do not lend more than 90% of the appraised value of a property and require private mortgage insurance on residential mortgages with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%. In addition, we generally obtain personal guarantees of repayment from our borrowers and/or others for construction, commercial, and multi-family residential loans and disburse the proceeds of construction and similar loans only as work progresses on the related projects.

We generally consider our residential lending to involve less risk than other forms of lending, although our payment experience on these loans is dependent, to some extent, on economic and market conditions in our primary lending area. Commercial and construction loan repayments are generally dependent on the operations of the related properties or the financial condition of its borrower or guarantor. Accordingly, repayment of such loans can be more susceptible to adverse conditions in the real estate market and the regional economy.

Loans receivable are summarized as follows at December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	 2007	2006		
Loans secured by first mortgages on real estate:				
Residential	\$ 84,973	\$	56,724	
Commercial	280,102		318,236	
Consumer residential construction	86,430		98,006	
Construction, net of undisbursed principal	129,647		137,769	
	 	_		
	581,152		610,735	
Commercial	72,356		79,001	
Loans secured by second mortgages on real estate	98,833		102,367	
Consumer	100,671		73,190	
Loans secured by deposits and other	2,430		1,957	
Total loans	855,442		867,250	
Unamortized loan discounts, net	(445)		(220)	
Unearned loan fees, net	(77)		(571)	
	\$ 854 020	\$	866 450	
	\$ 854,920	Ф	866,459	

Included in consumer loan totals in the above table are overdrawn commercial and retail checking accounts totaling \$458,000 and \$417,000 as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Included in net unearned loan fees and costs in the above table is unearned income on installment loans of \$1.114 million and \$1.281 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The following table provides information concerning nonperforming assets and past-due loans at December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007			2006
Nonaccruing loans	\$	24,389	\$	4,158
Real estate acquired through foreclosure		18,981		2,440
			_	
Total nonperforming assets	\$	43,370	\$	6,598
	_			
Loans past-due 90 days or more and accruing	\$	3,019	\$	27,274
			_	

The interest income which would have been recorded on nonaccrual loans if those loans had been handled in accordance with their contractual terms was approximately \$2.778 million, \$388,000, and \$395,000 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. The actual interest income recorded on these loans in 2007, 2006, and 2005 was approximately \$731,000, \$159,000, and \$118,000, respectively.

Commercial loans we consider impaired at December 31, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$14.447 million and \$1.771 million, respectively. The reserve for loan losses for commercial impaired loans was approximately \$241,000 at December 31, 2007 and \$536,000 at December 31, 2006. The average recorded investment in commercial impaired loans was approximately \$14.287 million, \$1.412 million, and \$2.035 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively, and no income has been accrued or collected on the majority of these loans while they have been classified as impaired.

Consumer loans we consider impaired at December 31, 2007 consisted of ALT A loans and totaled \$33.529 million. The reserve for loan losses for consumer impaired loans was approximately \$829,000 at December 31, 2007. The average recorded investment in consumer impaired loans was approximately \$26.071 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. There were no consumer impaired loans at December 31, 2006.

Troubled debt restructures ("TDRs"), which are loans that have been restructured due to the borrower's inability to maintain a current status on the loan, that are not included in the nonaccrual balance above amounted to approximately \$10.474 million as of December 31, 2007. The interest income which would have been recorded on TDRs if those loans had been handled in accordance with their contractual terms was approximately \$588,000 in 2007 and the actual interest income recorded on these loans in 2007 was approximately \$186,000.

Changes in the allowance for losses on loans are summarized as follows:

	Years ended December 31,							
(dollars in thousands)		2007			2006	2005		
Balance at beginning of year		\$	12,399	\$	11,743	\$	9,580	
Provision for loan losses			8,915		2,315		3,287	
Charge-offs			(8,989)		(2,025)		(1,507)	
Recoveries			464		366		383	
				_				
Balance at end of year		\$	12,789	\$	12,399	\$	11,743	
	77							

(5) Mortgage Servicing Rights

We retain servicing on certain loans we sell into the secondary market. At December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 our servicing portfolio totaled \$110.397 million, \$1.436 million, and \$1.834 million, respectively. Of the amounts serviced as of December 31, 2007, \$109.107 million relates to servicing of reverse mortgage loans sold to Fannie Mae. Servicing loans for others generally consists of collecting mortgage payments (or disbursing payments in the case of reverse mortgages), disbursing payments to investors, and foreclosure processing. Loan servicing income is recorded upon receipt and includes servicing fees from investors and certain charges collected from borrowers, such as late payment fees. MSRs arise from contractual agreements between the Bank and investors in mortgage loans. Under these agreements, we perform loan servicing functions in exchange for fees and other remuneration. We recognized \$61,000, \$9,000, and \$16,000 in contractual mortgage servicing income in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

A summary of the key economic assumptions used to measure total MSRs as of December 31, 2007 and the sensitivity of the fair values to adverse changes in those assumptions follows (*dollars in thousands*):

Fair value of MSRs	\$ 670
Weighted-average life (in years)(1)	5.5
Discount rate	8.25%
Option-adjusted spread ("OAS")	2.75%
Sensitivity Analysis	
Discount Rate Assumption (Change in OAS):	
Decrease in fair value from 100bp adverse change	\$ 20
Decrease in fair value from 200bp adverse change	40
Decrease in fair value from 300bp adverse change	58
Prepayment Speed Assumption (Assumed Age Borrower Vacates Property)	
Decrease in fair value from 5-year adverse change	\$ 130
Decrease in fair value from 10-year adverse change	309

Decrease in fair value from 15-year adverse change

(1)

The majority of our MSRs are related to reverse mortgages for which there are no calculable contractual lives

474

The value of MSRs is derived from the net positive cash flows associated with the servicing contracts. The Company receives a net servicing fee of generally \$240 per loan annually. The precise market value of MSRs cannot be readily determined because these assets are not actively traded in stand-alone markets. Our MSRs valuation process uses a discounted cash flow model combined with analysis of current market data to arrive at an estimate of fair value at each balance sheet date. The key assumptions used in the valuation of MSRs include mortgage prepayment speeds (average lives), which are a function of the age of the borrower, and the discount rate (projected LIBOR plus option-adjusted spread). Changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. The discount rate used to determine the present value of estimated future net servicing income represents the required rate of return investors in the market would expect for an asset with similar risk.



We did not have any servicing rights or related amortization for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. The following is the activity of MSRs for the year ended December 31, 2007:

(dollars in thousands)		
Balance at beginning of year	\$	
Originated MSRs		732
Amortization		(55)
Net change in fair value due to pay-offs		(7)
	_	
Balance at end of year	\$	670

No valuation allowances were required at December 31, 2007 for MSRs. The value of originated MSRs are included in our statements of operations under the caption "gains on sale of mortgage loans." Servicing income, net of amortization and changes in fair value, are included in the caption entitled "other mortgage-banking revenue."

(6) Credit Commitments

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, provided that terms and conditions established in the related contracts are met. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had commitments to originate first mortgage loans on real estate of approximately \$24.444 million and \$57.333 million, respectively, most of which were committed for sale in the secondary market.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, we also had commitments to loan funds under unused home equity lines of credit aggregating approximately \$79.660 million and \$78.767 million, respectively, and unused commercial lines of credit, retail checking lines of credit, as well as unfunded construction commitments aggregating approximately \$96.656 million and \$158.127 million, respectively. Such commitments generally carry a fixed rate of interest, while home equity lines of credit are generally variable.

Commitments for first mortgage loans generally expire within 60 days and are normally funded with loan principal repayments, excess liquidity, and deposits. Since certain commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent our future cash requirements.

Substantially all outstanding commitments at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are for loans to be secured by real estate with appraised values in excess of the commitment amounts. Our exposure to credit loss under these contracts in the event of nonperformance by the other parties is represented by the commitment amounts, assuming the collateral has no value.

Letters of credit are commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, letters of credit totaled \$4.973 million and \$4.677 million, respectively.

We have established a reserve for potential loan repurchases in the amount of \$325,000 as of December 31, 2007, which is included in other liabilities. This amount reflects the anticipated settlement of an outstanding repurchase claim with one of the Company's significant investors of bank-originated mortgages. The settlement, if reached, will extinguish current outstanding claims of the investor which existed as of December 31, 2007. Management is not aware of any other material repurchase claims in existence as of December 31, 2007.

(7) Related Party Transactions

During the ordinary course of business, we make loans to our directors and their affiliates and several of our policy making officers on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and

collateral, as those prevailing for comparable transactions with other customers. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, transactions in related party loans were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	20	007	2006	 2005
Beginning balance	\$	23	\$ 1,628	\$ 1,882
Additions		8	23	247
Repayments		(6)	(957)	(501)
Change in officers/directors			(671)	
	\$	25	\$ 23	\$ 1,628

Unused loan commitments to directors and policy making officers totaled \$594,000 as of December 31, 2007 and \$992,000 as of December 31, 2006. Letters of credit included in the unused loan commitments above and in the totals in Note 6 above issued on behalf of directors and policy making officers totaled \$400,000 for December 31, 2007 compared to \$763,000 at December 31, 2006.

We currently lease 75,500 square feet of a building owned by Edwin F. Hale, Sr., CEO of the Company, for our executive offices and various operational departments. We began to occupy the space in August of 2006. We paid \$2.364 million and \$1.091 million in rent on this location in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

We leased from Hale Properties, LLC, a company owned by Mr. Hale, 34,500 square feet of general office space at 1516 Baylis Street, Baltimore, Maryland, which housed a significant portion of the Company's servicing and operations units. We vacated this space before the end of 2006, moving our operational divisions into the new executive office tower on South Clinton Street and into our old executive office building on Boston Street. We paid \$209,000 and \$389,000 in rent expense on this location in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

We also leased 18,400 square feet of storage space and disaster recovery facilities at two other locations owned by Mr. Hale. In 2007, 2006, and 2005, we paid \$75,000, \$86,000, and \$83,000, respectively, in rent for these facilities. During 2006, we vacated the storage facility.

For the first three months of 2005, we also leased our (former) headquarters building from Hale Properties, LLC. Rent expense on this location amounted to approximately \$334,000 for 2005. In March of 2005, we purchased the building from Mr. Hale for a purchase price of \$20 million.

The Bank sponsors the activities of the Baltimore Blast, a professional soccer team owned by Mr. Hale. The Bank paid approximately \$175,000 in 2007 and \$176,000 in 2006 for a sponsorship package which includes printed material and Bank banners displayed at Baltimore Blast games, prize giveaways, free tickets, and employee recognition nights. In addition to the Bank sponsorship, Mariner Finance paid approximately \$20,000 in both 2007 and 2006 in sponsorship of Baltimore Blast activities. We have letters of credit with the Baltimore Blast in the amount of \$400,000 that are secured by cash.

We have obtained the naming rights to the major indoor sports/entertainment facility in Baltimore from Mr. Hale who obtained them from the City of Baltimore. We pay Mr. Hale \$75,000 per year for the naming rights, which is the same as Mr. Hale pays the City of Baltimore. We have a letter of credit with the City of Baltimore in the amount of \$375,000 securing performance under the contract.

All related party transactions are subject to review by management and the Audit Committee and approved by the full Board of Directors. We believe that the terms for all related party transactions are at least as favorable as those that could be obtained from a third party.

(8) Premises and Equipment

We own property and equipment as follows at December 31:

(dollars in thousands)		2007	2006	
Land	\$	10,982	\$	10,982
Buildings and improvements		26,927		23,714
Leasehold improvements		9,986		9,572
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment		26,753		24,441
Total, at cost		74,648		68,709
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization		(22,433)		(19,647)
-	-			
Total premises and equipment	\$	52,215	\$	49,062
			_	

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was \$5.240 million, \$4.744 million, and \$3.872 million, respectively.

We lease various branch and general office facilities to conduct our operations. The leases have remaining terms which range from a period of less than 1 year to 30 years. Most leases contain renewal options which are generally exercisable at increased rates. Some of the leases provide for increases in the rental rates at specified times during the lease terms, prior to the expiration dates.

The leases generally provide for payment of property taxes, insurance, and maintenance costs by the Company. The total rental expense for all real property leases amounted to \$5.424 million, \$3.666 million, and \$2.919 million for 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

Our minimum lease payments due for each of the next five years are as follows (1):

(dollars in thousands)		
2008	\$	4,974
2008	Φ	4,97
2010		4,04
2011		3,33 2,93
2012		2,93
Thereafter	_	13,35
	\$	33,46
	Ψ	55,10

(1)

includes an estimated 3% annual increase cap (based on the change in the consumer price index) on our headquarters lease

(9) Deposits

Deposits are summarized as follows at December 31:

	:	2007	2006		
(dollars in thousands)	Amount	Weighted- Average Effective Rate	Amount	Weighted- Average Effective Rate	
Noncertificate:					
Savings	\$ 51,92	0.31%	\$ 58,377	0.31%	
Interest-bearing demand	22,09	0.21%	26,193	0.22%	
Money market accounts	263,97	3.52%	256,678	3.26%	
Noninterest-bearing demand	149,7	10	186,720		
Total noncertificate deposits	487,70)1	527,968		
Certificates of deposit:					
Original maturities:					
Under 12 months	49,99	99 4.85%	15,686	4.08%	
12 to 60 months	322,29	98 4.51%	337,163	4.06%	
IRA and KEOGH	44,95	55 4.59%	44,121	4.27%	
Total certificates of deposit	417,2:	52	396,970		
Total deposits	\$ 904,95	53	\$ 924,938		

Time deposits mature as follows:

	2007				2006		
(dollars in thousands)		Amount	% of Total	I	Amount	% of Total	
Within 6 months	\$	162,025	38.83%	\$	94,799	23.88%	
Over 6 months - 12 months		136,747	32.77%		71,599	18.04%	
Over 12 months - 24 months		58,520	14.03%		150,831	37.99%	
Over 24 months - 36 months		49,777	11.93%		35,254	8.88%	
Over 36 months - 48 months		4,380	1.05%		40,684	10.25%	
Over 48 months		5,803	1.39%		3,803	0.96%	
	\$	417,252	100.00%	\$	396,970	100.00%	

The Bank offers certain certificate products that provide customers a "one-time" withdrawal option that the customer may exercise at any time without penalty. As of December 31, 2007, certificates that permitted early withdrawal totaled \$26.117 million.

Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more totaled \$156.668 million and \$153.747 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(10) Borrowings and Repurchase Agreements

Our borrowings consist of short-term and long-term advances from the FHLB, short-term promissory notes, a warehouse line of credit, long-term repurchase agreements with callable options, a mortgage loan, and a line of credit to finance consumer receivables.

The FHLB advances are available under a specific collateral pledge and security agreement, which currently allows us to borrow up to 20% of the Bank's total assets and requires that we maintain collateral for all of our borrowings in the form of cash, overnight investments equal to

advances, specific first- and second-mortgage loans or commercial mortgages, or securities equal to 103% of advances. Long-term FHLB advances are fixed-rate instruments with various call provisions. Short-term advances are in the form of overnight borrowings with rates changing daily.

We maintained a \$75.000 million mortgage warehouse line of credit to assist in the funding of our mortgage-banking business that was secured by the pledging of loans from the Company's loans held for sale portfolio. The line of credit was closed in 2007.

Long-term repurchase agreements are fixed-rate obligations and require the company to pledge Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") securities or cash. These repurchase agreements were repaid in 2005.

The line of credit to finance consumer receivables represents borrowings by Mariner Finance and is a line of credit maintained with a commercial bank which permits Mariner Finance to borrow up to \$60.000 million at a variable rate of interest. The line of credit is secured by eligible receivables of Mariner Finance and is also guaranteed by First Mariner Bancorp. Other covenants relating to the line of credit require Mariner Finance to maintain predetermined levels of operating performance, credit quality, and equity levels, as well as other usual and customary requirements.

The mortgage loan on our headquarters building is with a commercial bank on which we pay a fixed rate of 5.58% until maturity in 2031. The carrying value of the property securing the loan was approximately \$19.146 million as of December 31, 2007.



Certain information regarding our borrowings and repurchase agreements are as follows as of December 31:

(dollars in thousands)		2007	2006	2005
Amount outstanding at year-end:				
FHLB short-term advances	\$	\$	\$	163,500
FRB short-term borrowings	ψ	Ψ	Ψ	105,500
Short-term promissory notes		37,509	40,298	32,720
Mortgage warehouse line of credit		57,507	586	3,156
Consumer finance line of credit		57,600	38,000	36,290
FHLB long-term advances		88,123	85,000	85,000
Long-term repurchase agreements		00,125	05,000	05,000
Mortgage loan		9.407	9,557	9,710
Weighted-average interest rate at year-end:		9,407	9,557	9,710
FHLB short-term advances				4.44%
				4.4470
FRB short-term borrowings		2.1107	3.50%	0 560
Short-term promissory notes		3.11%		0.56%
Mortgage warehouse line of credit		6 6501	6.07%	5.14%
Consumer finance line of credit		6.65%	8.41%	7.42%
FHLB long-term advances		5.68%	5.68%	5.99%
Long-term repurchase agreements		5 50 %	5 500	5 50%
Mortgage loan		5.58%	5.58%	5.58%
Maximum outstanding at any month-end:				
FHLB short-term advances	\$	\$	173,500 \$	225,500
FRB short-term borrowings				
Short-term promissory notes		58,704	40,860	36,955
Mortgage warehouse line of credit		16,878	45,602	3,156
Consumer finance line of credit		57,600	38,000	36,290
FHLB long-term advances		88,123	85,000	85,000
Long-term repurchase agreements				15,000
Mortgage loan		9,546	9,689	9,799
Average outstanding:				
FHLB short-term advances	\$	696 \$	131,099 \$	173,510
FRB short-term borrowings			75	71
Short-term promissory notes		39,614	30,814	30,640
Mortgage warehouse line of credit		1,184	25,693	62
Consumer finance line of credit		48,947	33,505	30,213
FHLB long-term advances		86,513	85,000	85,000
Long-term repurchase agreements				15,123
Mortgage loan		9,476	9,623	7,379
Weighted-average interest rate during the year:				
FHLB short-term advances		5.58%	5.29%	3.59%
FRB short-term borrowings			6.00%	4.36%
Short-term promissory notes		3.00%	2.35%	1.20%
Mortgage warehouse line of credit		7.34%	6.36%	4.07%
Consumer finance line of credit		7.54%	7.78%	6.64%
FHLB long-term advances		5.67%	5.56%	4.91%
Long-term repurchase agreements				4.33%
Mortgage loan		5.66%	5.66%	5.63%
	84			2.2270

The principal maturities of long-term borrowings are as follows as of December 31, 2007:

(aonars in mousanas)	
2008	\$
2009	
2010	63,123
2011	57,600
2012	
After 2012	34,407
	\$ 155,130

The maturities listed in the above table for 2010 are callable immediately at the option of the issuer, while \$25.000 million maturing after 2012 is callable beginning in 2010.

We have pledged securities with a carrying value (fair value) of \$55.025 million, loans with a carrying value of \$112.848 million, and cash of \$15.000 million as collateral for FHLB advances, the warehouse line of credit, and the long-term line of credit.

(11) Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures

(dollars in thousands)

The following table shows the subordinated debt issued by First Mariner Bancorp and the related Trust Preferred Securities issued at December 31, 2007 (*dollars in thousands*):

Trust	Subordinated Debt Issued to Trust	Security Title	Trust Preferred Securities Issued by Trust	Date of Original Issue	Optional Redemption Date	Stated Maturity
MCT II	10,310	Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities	10,000	December 10, 2002	December 15, 2007	December 10, 2032
MCT III	14,949	5-year Fixed Trust Preferred Securities	14,500	June 18, 2003	July 7, 2008	July 7, 2033
MCT IV	12,380	Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities	12,000	August 18, 2003	August 18, 2008	August 18, 2033
MCT V	10,310	Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities	10,000	September 25, 2003	October 8, 2008	October 8, 2033
MCT VI	10,310	Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities	10,000	October 21, 2004	January 7, 2010	January 7, 2035
MCT VII	5,155	Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities	5,000	August 18, 2005	September 15, 2010	September 15, 2035
MCT VIII	10,310	5-year Fixed Trust Preferred Securities	10,000	December 28, 2005	December 30, 2010	December 30, 2035
Total	\$ 73,724		\$ 71,500			

We have issued subordinated debt to Mariner Capital Trusts ("MCT"), including MCT II, MCT IV, MCT V, MCT VI, MCT VI, and MCT VIII (the "Trusts"). The Trusts are Delaware business trusts for which all the common securities are owned by First Mariner and which were formed for the purpose of issuing Trust Preferred Securities. In accordance with the provisions of FIN 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51*, we have deconsolidated the Trusts, and their financial position and results of operations are not included in our consolidated financial position and results of operations. The terms of the subordinated debt issued to the Trusts and the Trust Preferred Securities issued by the Trusts are identical.

The Trust Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable, in whole or in part, upon repayment of their underlying subordinated debt at their respective maturities or their earlier redemption. The subordinated debt is redeemable prior to maturity at our option on or after its optional redemption dates.

The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT II accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 335 basis points. The Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT III accrue interest at a fixed rate of interest, initially at 5.66% on the

outstanding securities. The interest rate will reset July 7, 2008 to a floating rate equal to 3-month LIBOR rate plus 325 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT IV accrue interest at a variable

rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 305 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT V accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 310 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VI accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 205 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VII accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 205 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VII accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 195 basis points. The Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VIII accrue interest at an initial fixed rate of interest of 6.26%, then reset on December 30, 2010 to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 150 basis points.

The interest expense (including amortization of the cost of issuance) on Trust Preferred Securities was \$5.501 million in 2007, \$5.384 million in 2006, and \$3.762 million in 2005.

The junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts. First Mariner has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the Trusts.

Under applicable regulatory guidelines, a portion of the Trust Preferred Securities will qualify as Tier I capital, and the remaining portion will qualify as Tier II capital. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, \$22.126 million of the outstanding Trust Preferred Securities qualify as Tier I capital and the remaining \$49.374 million of the Trust Preferred Securities qualify as Tier II capital at December 31, 2007.

(12) Employee Benefit Plans

(a)

Profit Sharing Plan

We established a defined contribution plan in 1997, covering our employees meeting certain age and service eligibility requirements. The Plan provides for cash deferrals qualifying under Section 401(k). We make matching contributions to the plan which totaled \$583,000, \$530,000, and \$481,000 in 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively.

(b)

Stock Options

We have stock option award arrangements, which provide for the granting of options to acquire common stock to our directors and key employees. Option prices are equal to or greater than the estimated fair market value of the common stock at the date of the grant. Options generally expire ten years after the date of grant. There have been no modifications to the existing plans.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we applied the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based employee compensation plans. Under this method, compensation cost is recognized for awards of shares of common stock to employees only if the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date (or other measurement date, if later) is greater than the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock.

In January 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004)*, for our stockholder-approved Long-Term Incentive Plan, which permits the grant of share options and shares to our directors and key employees. We made the transition to fair value-based compensation using the modified version of the prospective application, which means the fair value-based method prescribed under SFAS 123R applies to new awards, modification of previous awards, repurchases and cancellations after January 1, 2006 and to any awards that retain service requirements after January 1, 2006. The determination of compensation cost for awards granted prior to January 1, 2006 is based on the same methods and on the same fair values previously determined for the pro forma disclosures previously required. We recognized stock based compensation cost of \$114,000, \$51,000, and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively. We expect to incur \$37,000 in additional stock based compensation expense related to the unvested portion of options over the next two years.



Information with respect to stock options is as follows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005:

	2007		Weighted-			2006	Weighted-	
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at beginning of year	793,022	\$ 12.84			981,934	\$ 12.16		
Granted	42,950	7.85			9,600	18.62		
Exercised	(2,650)	10.88			(188,279)			
Forfeited/cancelled	(19,534)	17.38			(10,233)			
Oustanding at end of								
year	813,788	12.47	5.4	\$ 23	3 793,022	12.84	6.2	\$ 4,532
Exercisable at end of year	809,832	12.47	5.4	\$ 25	3 793,022	12.84	6.2	\$ 4,532
						2005		

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	738,239	\$ 10.05
Granted	271,800	17.66
Exercised	(23,800)	9.95
Forfeited/cancelled	(4,305)	10.69
Oustanding at end of year	981,934	12.16
Exercisable at end of year	981,934	12.16

The weighted average fair values of our option grants for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 were \$3.57, \$5.71, and \$6.01, respectively, on the dates of grants. The fair values of our options granted were calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for the years ended December 31:

	2007	2006	2005
Dividend yield			
Expected volatility	28.08%	15.61%	15.74%
Risk-free interest rate	4.20%	5.12%	4.25%
Expected lives	9.5 years	8 years	8 years

For 2005, prior to adoption of SFAS 123R, the option price was equal to the market price of the common stock at the date of grant for all of our options and, accordingly, we did not record compensation expense related to options granted. If we had applied the fair value-based method to

recognize compensation cost for the options granted, our net income and net income per share would have been changed to the following pro forma amounts for the year ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)	_	2005
Net income, as reported	\$	7,822
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined using the fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(1,471)
Pro forma net income	\$	6,351
Earnings per share:		
Basic as reported	\$	1.28
Basic pro forma	\$	1.04
Diluted as reported	\$	1.20
Diluted pro forma	\$	0.98

The total intrinsic value of options exercised and the related tax benefit amounted to \$11,300 and \$0, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2007, and \$1.810 million and \$585,000, respectively, during the year ended December 31, 2006. Proceeds from exercises of stock options amounted to \$29,000 and \$1.779 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

Options outstanding are summarized as follows at December 31, 2007:

Exercise Price	Options Outstanding (Shares)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Options Exercisable (Shares)
\$ 4.00	1,200	3.0	1,200
5.41	31,500	10.0	31,500
5.50	93,500	3.1	93,500
5.63	40,750	2.2	40,750
6.25	3,000	2.4	3,000
6.45	400	3.5	400
7.10	3,500	3.3	3,500
7.40	250	3.7	250
8.69	10,000	1.9	10,000
9.16	850	4.0	850
9.86	1,350	4.8	1,350
10.45	118,000	4.0	118,000
10.50	3,000	1.5	3,000
10.70	650	4.2	650
11.68	166,500	5.0	166,500
11.75	11,500	1.1	11,500
11.95	700	5.1	700
12.03	3,500	4.3	3,500
12.10	6,000	4.3	6,000
13.00	700	5.3	700
13.33	8,900	9.3	4,944
13.52	4,000	5.3	4,000
16.67	5,900	7.3	5,900
16.70	1,800	7.8	1,800
16.95	2,400	5.8	2,400
17.45	42,138	8.0	42,138
17.77	201,700	7.1	201,700
18.20	6,150	6.3	6,150
18.38	33,500	6.0	33,500
18.94	2,450	8.9	2,450
19.30	8,000	8.3	8,000
	813,788		809,832

(c)

Stock Purchase

We currently offer an employee stock purchase plan whereby our employees can purchase our stock through payroll deductions. While our employee stock purchase plan provides for up to a 10% discount from market value at issuance, we do not recognize compensation expense on the discount as: substantially all employees that meet limited employment qualifications may participate in the plan on an equitable basis; the plan incorporates no option features, the purchase price is based solely on the market price of the shares at the date of purchase, and employees are permitted to cancel participation before the purchase date and obtain a refund of amounts previously paid and; the discount from the market price does not exceed the per-share amount of share issuance costs that would have been incurred to raise a significant amount of capital by a public offering.

(13) Income Taxes

Our income tax (benefit) expense consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007		2006		2005	
Current Deferred	\$	(11,888) 3,578	\$ (2,737) 2,372	\$	2,328 961	
Income tax (benefit) expense	\$	(8,310)	\$ (365)	\$	3,289	

Income tax (benefit) expense is reconciled to the amount computed by applying the federal corporate tax rate of 34% to income before taxes as follows for the years ended December 31:

		2007			2006		2005		
(dollars in thousands)	A	Amount	Rate	1	Amount	Rate	1	Amount	Rate
Tax at statutory federal rate	\$	(6,247)	(34.0)%	\$	530	34.0%	\$	3,778	34.0%
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit		(919)	(5.0)%					(1)	
Change in valuation allowance					(321)	(20.6)%		(25)	(0.2)%
Investment income		(37)	(0.2)%		(46)	(3.0)%		(45)	(0.4)%
Bank-owned life insurance		(489)	(2.7)%		(380)	(24.4)%		(351)	(3.2)%
Insurance income		(55)	(0.3)%		(34)	(2.2)%		(37)	(0.3)%
Federal income tax credits		(168)	(0.9)%		(168)	(10.8)%		(168)	(1.5)%
Other		(395)	(2.1)%		54	3.6%		138	1.2%
	\$	(8,310)	(45.2)%	\$	(365)	(23.4)%	\$	3,289	29.6%
				_		. ,	_		

The tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and income tax basis of assets and liabilities relate to the following at December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	 2007		2006	
Deferred tax assets:				
Allowance for losses on loans	\$ 5,045	\$	4,789	
Amortization of intangible assets	103		113	
Valuation allowance and secondary marketing reserve	4,089		1,758	
State net operating loss carryforward	1,054		292	
Nonaccrual interest	554		87	
Total deferred tax assets	 10,845		7,039	
	 - ,		.,	
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Depreciation	899		747	
Other	143		67	
Total deferred tax liabilities	 1,042	_	814	
	 , ,			
Net deferred tax asset attributable to operations	9,803		6,225	
Unrealized gain on investments charged				
to other comprehensive income	2,625		581	
Net deferred tax asset	\$ 12,428	\$	6,806	

We have net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes of approximately \$19.368 million that are available to offset future state taxable income. The loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2019. The recorded value of the net deferred tax asset was previously reduced by a valuation allowance to the amount then expected to be realized. During 2006, analysis determined that

the entire amount of the net deferred tax asset was realizable and the valuation allowance was reversed.

The Bank has earned significant state tax incentives through its participation in the One Maryland Economic Development ("One Maryland") and Job Creation Tax Credit programs. The tax incentives total \$5.5 million based upon a confirmation received from the Maryland Department of Business and Economic Development. We will realize the benefits of the incentives in our reported earnings as the credits can be utilized, in accordance with accounting standards that govern the recognition of investment tax credits. The amount of the credit that we can utilize will be determined by the level of Maryland taxable income for the Bank only, and will be recognized as a reduction in our income tax expense. Any unused One Maryland credits can be carried forward and will expire in 2016. The Job Creation Tax Credit can be carried forward for five years. The total amount of tax credits not utilized as of December 31, 2007 is approximately \$4.100 million. A portion of the credit may be received if not entirely used after the fourth taxable year. Management is currently investigating its rights to receive credits in the form of cash rather than through a reduction of income tax liability.

The Bank has invested in a partnership that owns and manages seven affordable housing projects in the Mid-Atlantic area. Through its interest in the partnership, the Bank receives tax incentives in the form of Federal tax credits that can be used to offset current Federal income taxes. Tax credits associated with the limited partnership (assuming the 5% limited partnership interest currently owned by the Bank) is projected to total \$1.599 million and will be available to the limited partners from January 2003 through December 2012. The annual tax credits anticipated to be available approximate \$168,000. The Bank invested \$1.5 million to obtain its 5% interest in the partnership in July of 2003, and recognized \$168,000 in tax credits in each of 2007, 2006, and 2005. Total credits utilized to date through December 31, 2007 amounted to \$754,000.

(14) Other Expenses

The following summarizes our other noninterest expenses for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007		2006	2005		
				_		
Office supplies	\$	728	\$ 747	\$	680	
Printing		573	602		543	
Corporate insurance		560	517		401	
FDIC premiums		605	109		113	
Consulting fees		789	850		734	
Marketing/promotion		821	1,059		866	
Postage		949	906		764	
Overnight delivery/courier		804	908		809	
Security		258	233		151	
Dues and subscriptions		522	562		438	
Loan collection expenses		894	701		471	
Other		4,436	3,853		2,914	
	\$	11,939	\$ 11,047	\$	8,884	

(15) Dividends and Earnings Per Share

As a depository institution whose deposits are insured by the FDIC, the Bank may not pay dividends or distribute any of its capital assets while it remains in default on any assessment due the FDIC. The Bank currently is not in default under any of its obligations to the FDIC. As a commercial bank under the Maryland Financial Institution Law, the Bank may declare cash dividends from undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation, out of

surplus in excess of 100% of its required capital stock, after providing for due or accrued expenses, losses, interest, and taxes.

First Mariner and the Bank, in declaring and paying dividends, are also limited insofar as minimum capital requirements mandated by regulatory authorities. First Mariner and the Bank comply with such capital requirements.

Our current ability to pay dividends to stockholders is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from our banking subsidiary. Both federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. The FRB has issued a policy, which provides that, as a general matter, insured banks and bank holding companies may pay dividends only out of prior operating earnings. For a Maryland state-chartered bank or trust company, dividends may be paid out of undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, from surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. Cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings.

Information relating to the calculations of our (loss) earnings per common share is summarized as follows for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)	 2007		2006	2005
Net (loss) income basic and diluted	\$ (10,063)	\$	1,924	\$ 7,822
Weighted-average shares outstanding basic	 6,396,142		6,318,205	6,104,481
Dilutive securities options and warrants			323,520	384,638
Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding dilutive	6,396,142		6,641,725	6,489,119
(Loss) earnings per share basic	\$ (1.57)	\$	0.30	\$ 1.28
(Loss) earnings per share diluted	\$ (1.57)	\$	0.29	\$ 1.20

For the year ended December 31, 2007, all options were antidilutive due to our realized net loss. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, options to purchase shares of common stock which were antidilutive and excluded from the above computation were 8,600 and 257,250, respectively.

(16) Regulatory Matters

Various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies apply to us as well as our banking subsidiary. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2007, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject. As of December 31, 2007, the Bank was "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events that management believes would change the Bank's category.

Our regulatory capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, were as follows:

				Minimum Requirements for Capital Adequacy Purposes		Capitalized U Prompt Corre	nder ective
Actual Amount		Ratio		Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
\$	147,212	14.2%	\$	82,924	8.0%	103,655	10.0%
	96,638	10.4%		74,076	8.0%	92,595	10.0%
	84,879	8.2%		41,462	4.0%	62,193	6.0%
	79,510	8.6%		37,038	4.0%	55,557	6.0%
	84,879	6.9%		49,330	4.0%	61,663	5.0%
	79,510	7.1%		45,117	4.0%	56,397	5.0%
\$	164,263	15.6%	\$	84,329	8.0%	\$ 105,412	10.0%
	111,372	11.7%		76,253	8.0%	95,316	10.0%
	105,875	10.0%		42,165	4.0%	63,247	6.0%
	91,946	9.6%		38,126	4.0%	57,190	6.0%
	105,875	7.8%		54,418	4.0%	68,022	5.0%
	91,946	7.3%		50,559	4.0%	63,198	5.0%
	\$	Amount \$ 147,212 96,638 84,879 79,510 84,879 79,510 \$ 164,263 111,372 105,875 91,946 105,875	Amount Ratio \$ 147,212 14.2% 96,638 10.4% 84,879 8.2% 79,510 8.6% 84,879 6.9% 79,510 7.1% \$ 164,263 15.6% 111,372 11.7% 105,875 10.0% 91,946 9.6%	Amount Ratio \$ 147,212 14.2% \$ \$ 96,638 10.4% \$ \$ 84,879 8.2% \$ \$ 84,879 8.6% \$ \$ 79,510 7.1% \$ \$ 164,263 15.6% \$ \$ 164,263 15.6% \$ \$ 105,875 10.0% \$ \$ 105,875 7.8% \$	Actual Amount Ratio Requirem for Capin Adequate Purpose \$ 147,212 14.2% \$ 82,924 96,638 10.4% 74,076 \$ 84,879 8.2% 41,462 79,510 8.6% 37,038 84,879 6.9% 49,330 79,510 7.1% 45,117 \$ 164,263 15.6% \$ 84,329 111,372 11.7% 76,253 105,875 10.0% 42,165 91,946 9.6% 38,126 105,875 7.8% 54,418	Actual Amount Ratio Amount Ratio \$ 147,212 14.2% \$ 82,924 8.0% \$ 96,638 10.4% 74,076 8.0% \$ 84,879 8.2% 41,462 4.0% \$ 84,879 8.2% 41,462 4.0% \$ 79,510 8.6% 37,038 4.0% \$ 79,510 7.1% 45,117 4.0% \$ 164,263 15.6% \$ 84,329 8.0% \$ 105,875 10.0% 42,165 4.0% \$ 105,875 7.8% 54,418 4.0%	Actual Amount Ratio Amount Ratio Capital Adequacy Purposes To be We Capitalized U Prompt Corre Action Provi \$ 147,212 14.2% \$ 82,924 8.0% 103,655 96,638 10.4% 74,076 8.0% 92,595 84,879 8.2% 41,462 4.0% 62,193 79,510 8.6% 37,038 4.0% 55,557 84,879 6.9% 49,330 4.0% 61,663 79,510 7.1% 45,117 4.0% 56,397 \$ 164,263 15.6% \$ 84,329 8.0% \$ 105,412 111,372 11.7% 76,253 8.0% \$ 105,412 105,875 10.0% 42,165 4.0% 63,247 91,946 9.6% 38,126 4.0% 57,190 105,875 7.8% 54,418 4.0% 68,022

The FDIC, through the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"), generally insures deposits of accountholders up to \$100,000. The Bank pays an annual premium to provide for this insurance. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System and is required to maintain an investment in the stock of the FHLB based on specific percentages of outstanding mortgages, total assets, or FHLB advances. Purchases and sales of stock are made directly with the Bank at par value.

During 2007, we entered into an agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond ("FRB-Richmond") to submit plans to improve our operating performance, reduce parent company leverage, enhance our enterprise-wide risk management and enhance the effectiveness of our internal audit program. We have also agreed to give prior notice to the FRB-Richmond of any potential transaction involving significant capital expenditure. Management believes it has taken actions to address these issues and these agreements do not restrict or impede our ability to conduct normal business.

(17) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following table shows details of the financial instruments as of December 31, 2007 for which we elected to apply the fair value option under SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*:

(dollars in thousands)	•	CarryingQuotedValuePrices(Fair Value)(Level 1)		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Trading Gains and (Losses)	Total Changes In Fair Values Included In Period Earnings	
Trading securities	\$	36,950	\$	36,950	\$	\$ 383	\$ 383
Securities available for sale		44,998		,	44,998		
Long-term borrowings at fair value		63.123			63.123	(1.085)	(1.085)

The carrying value and estimated fair value of financial instruments as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 for those financial instruments for which we did not elect to apply SFAS 157 are summarized as follows:

	2	007		2006				
(Carrying Value		Estimated Fair Value		Carrying Value		Estimated Fair Value	
\$	91,321	\$	91,321	\$	42,969	\$	42,969	
					147,290		147,290	
	80,920		80,920		94,371		94,371	
	854,920		862,168		866,459		872,519	
	5,983		5,983		6,449		6,449	
	670		670					
	904,953		906,719		924,938		921,769	
	129,516		132,499		173,441		174,858	
	73,724		68,016		73,724		79,652	
	18,867		18,843		49,353		49,282	
	89,256		89,280		99,555		99,572	
					59,500		59,512	
	\$	Carrying Value \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 80,920 \$ 854,920 5,983 670 904,953 129,516 73,724 18,867 89,256	Value I \$ 91,321 \$ \$ 91,321 \$ \$ 80,920 \$ \$ 80,920 \$ \$ 5,983 670 \$ 904,953 \$ \$ 129,516 73,724 \$ 18,867 \$ \$ 89,256 \$	Carrying Value Estimated Fair Value \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 80,920 \$ 80,920 \$ 854,920 \$ 862,168 \$ 5,983 5,983 670 670 904,953 906,719 129,516 132,499 73,724 68,016 18,867 18,843 89,256 \$ 89,280	Carrying Value Estimated Fair Value \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ \$ 0,920 80,920 \$ \$ 80,920 862,168 \$ \$ 5,983 5,983 \$ \$ 670 670 \$ 904,953 906,719 \$ 129,516 132,499 \$ 73,724 68,016 \$ 18,867 18,843 \$ 89,256 89,280 \$	Carrying Value Estimated Fair Value Carrying Value \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 42,969 \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 42,969 \$ 147,290 80,920 94,371 \$ 80,920 \$ 80,920 94,371 \$ 854,920 \$ 862,168 \$ 866,459 5,983 5,983 6,449 670 670 670 904,953 906,719 924,938 129,516 132,499 173,441 73,724 68,016 73,724 18,867 18,843 49,353 89,256 89,280 99,555 59,500 59,500 59,500	Carrying Value Estimated Fair Value Carrying Value \$ 91,321 \$ 91,321 \$ 42,969 \$ 147,290 \$ 0,920 \$0,920 94,371 \$ 854,920 \$ 862,168 \$ 866,459 \$ 5,983 5,983 6,449 670 670 670 904,953 906,719 924,938 129,516 132,499 173,441 73,724 68,016 73,724 18,867 18,843 49,353 89,256 89,280 99,555	

Market or dealer quotes are available for derivatives and many balance sheet items for which SFAS No. 157 was not applied; otherwise, pricing or valuation models are applied using current market information to estimate fair value. In some cases considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amount for cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Securities (trading and available for sale)

The fair value of securities available for sale is based on bid prices received from an external pricing service. The fair value of trading securities is based on bid quotations received from securities dealers.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market, which may be indicated by the committed sales price for loans under contract to sell but are not yet funded or by third party quoted market values for loans not yet committed to be sold. Due to the short holding period of these loans, generally 14 to 60 days, the carrying amount of loans held for sale is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Loans Receivable

Loans were segmented into portfolios with similar financial characteristics. Loans were also segmented by type such as residential, multifamily, and nonresidential construction and land, second mortgage loans, commercial, and consumer. Each loan category was further segmented by fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and performing and nonperforming categories. The fair value of each loan category was calculated by discounting anticipated cash flows based on weighted-average contractual maturity, weighted-average coupon, and discount rate.

The fair value for nonperforming loans was determined by reducing the carrying value of nonperforming loans by our historical loss percentage for each specific loan category.

Restricted Stock Investments

The carrying value of restricted stock investments is a reasonable estimate of fair value as these investments do not have a readily available market.

Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing deposits, interest-bearing NOW accounts, money market, and statement savings accounts, is deemed to be equal to the carrying amounts. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate for certificates of deposit was estimated using the rate currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Long- and Short-Term Borrowings and Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures

Long- and short-term borrowings and junior subordinated notes were segmented into categories with similar financial characteristics. Carrying values were discounted using a cash flow approach based on market rates as of December 31, 2007.

Derivative Loan Commitments

Commitments to Originate Loans. We engage an experienced third party to estimate the fair market value of our interest rate lock commitments ("IRLC"). At the time of issuance the estimated fair value of an IRLC is zero. Subsequent to issuance, the value of an IRLC can be either positive or negative depending on the change in value of the underlying mortgage loan.

Forward Sales Commitments on Loan Pipeline and Funded Loans. Fair value for the commitments is determined by sales price for those loans under contract but not yet funded and by third party quoted market values for those loans not yet under contract.

Forward Sales of Mortgage-Backed Securities, and Short Eurodollar Futures Contracts. Fair value of these commitments is determined based upon the quoted market values of the securities.

Servicing Rights

Fair value of servicing rights are estimated based on the future servicing income of the servicing receivables utilizing management's best estimate of remaining loan lives and discounted at the original discount rate.

Other Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The disclosure of fair value amounts does not include the fair values of any intangibles, including core deposit intangibles. Core deposit intangibles represent the value attributable to total deposits based on an expected duration of customer relationships.

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from a one-time sale of our total holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of our financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect estimates.

(18) Segment Information

We operate in three business segments commercial and consumer banking, consumer finance, and mortgage-banking. Commercial and consumer banking is conducted through the Bank and involves delivering a broad range of financial products and services, including lending and deposit taking, to individuals and commercial enterprises. Consumer and commercial banking also include our treasury function and an allocation for corporate overhead and administration. Consumer finance is conducted through Mariner Finance, and involves making small direct consumer loans and the purchase of retail installment sales contracts. Mortgage-banking is conducted through First Mariner Mortgage and Next Generation Financial Services, divisions of the Bank, and involves originating residential single-family forward and reverse mortgages for sale in the secondary market and to the Bank.



The following table presents certain information regarding our business segments:

For the year ended December 31, 2007

(dollars in thousands)		mmercial and sumer Banking				Aortgage- Banking		Total
Interest income	\$	66,656	\$	16,574	\$	5,285	\$	88,515
Interest expense		35,236		4,089		4,510		43,835
Net interest income		31,420		12,485		775		44,680
(Reversal of) provision for loan losses		(367)		2,215		7,067		8,915
Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan								
losses		31,787		10,270		(6,292)		35,765
Noninterest income		14,383		3,482		6,235		24,100
Noninterest expense		48,208		11,081		18,949		78,238
Net intersegment income		79				(79)		
Net (loss) income before income taxes	\$	(1,959)	\$	2,671	\$	(19,085)	\$	(18,373)
Total assets	\$	1,085,590	\$	80,312	\$	80,920	\$	1,246,822
10141 455015	φ	1,085,590	φ	60,512	φ	60,920	φ	1,240,822

For the year ended December 31, 2006

(dollars in thousands)	 nmercial and umer Banking	onsumer Finance	lortgage- Banking	Total
Interest income	\$ 73,808	\$ 14,063	\$ 7,877	\$ 95,748
Interest expense	37,852	3,299	5,331	46,482
Net interest income	35,956	10,764	2,546	49,266
Provision for loan losses	150	2,165		 2,315
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	35,806	8,599	2,546	46,951
Noninterest income	10,876	3,121	9,770	23,767
Noninterest expense	43,612	9,345	16,202	69,159
Net intersegment income	 42		 (42)	
Net income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 3,112	\$ 2,375	\$ (3,928)	\$ 1,559
Total assets	\$ 1,103,008	\$ 65,911	\$ 94,371	\$ 1,263,290
	97			

For the year ended December 31, 2005

(dollars in thousands)	 Commercial and Consumer Consumer Banking Finance		Mortgage- Banking		Total	
Interest income	\$ 65,398	\$	10,467	\$ 6,195	\$	82,060
Interest expense	 28,700		2,005	3,632		34,337
Net interest income	36,698		8,462	2,563		47,723
Provision for loan losses	 1,575		1,712			3,287
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	35,123		6,750	2,563		44,436
Noninterest income	13,462		2,581	6,972		23,015
Noninterest expense	39,686		7,757	8,897		56,340
Net intersegment income	(905)			905		
Net income before income taxes	\$ 7,994	\$	1,574	\$ 1,543	\$	11,111
			.,	-,		,
Total assets	\$ 1,220,856	\$	49,271	\$ 92,351	\$	1,362,478

(19) Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is defined as net income plus transactions and other occurrences which are the result of nonowner changes in equity. Our nonowner equity changes are comprised of unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities that will be accumulated with net income in determining comprehensive income.

Components of our comprehensive income are as follows for the years ended December 31:

(dollars in thousands)	2007			2006	 2005
Net (loss) income	\$	(10,063)	\$	1,924	\$ 7,822
Other comprehensive income items:					
Cumulative effect of accounting change for certain investments, net of tax expense of \$625, \$0, and \$0, respectively		993			
Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the period (net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(817), \$332, and \$(2,003), respectively)		(1,299)		528	(3,184)
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) (net of tax expense (benefit) of \$364, \$(1,173), and \$0, respectively) included in net (loss) income		579		(1,864)	
Total other comprehensive (loss) income		(885)		2,392	(3,184)
Total comprehensive (loss) income	\$	(10,948)	\$	4,316	\$ 4,638
			_		



(20) Quarterly Results of Operations

The following is a summary of unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	2007										
(dollars in thousands)	Four	th Quarter	Thir	d Quarter	Sec	ond Quarter	Fir	st Quarte	r		
Interest income	\$	22,069	\$	22,076	\$	22,391	\$	21,9	79		
Interest expense		10,933		11,197		10,933	_	10,7	72		
Net interest income		11,136		10,879		11,458		11,2	07		
Provision for loan losses		3,452		2,411		2,515		5	37		
Other operating income		5,933		5,753		5,409		6,0			
Gain on sale of securities				56				8	87		
Operating expenses		20,101	_	20,012		20,478		17,6	47		
Loss before taxes		(6,484)		(5,735)		(6,126)		(28)		
income tax benefit		(3,766)		(2,154)		(2,262)			28)		
Net (loss) income	\$	(2,718)	\$	(3,581)	\$	(3,864)	\$	1	00		
Net (loss) income per common share (basic)	\$	(0.43)	\$	(0.56)	\$	(0.60)	\$	0.	02		
Net (loss) income per common share (diluted)	\$	(0.43)	\$	(0.56)	\$	(0.60)	\$	0.	02		
	•	10.40	.	12.05		15.00	.	10	<i>(</i> 1		
Market prices: high	\$	10.40	\$	13.05	\$	15.20	\$	18.	01		
low		4.89		7.95		12.00		15.	00		
· ·		4.89		7.95	200			15.	00		
	Four	4.89 th Quarter	T	7.95 hird Quarter	200		ter		00 Quarter		
low		th Quarter	T \$	hird Quarter	200	6 Second Quar	_	First	Quarter		
low (dollars in thousands)	Four \$		-		200 8	6 Second Quar \$ 24,	_				
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense		th Quarter 24,467	-	hird Quarter 24,77	200	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11,:	188	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense		th Quarter 24,467 12,489	-	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37	200	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12,	188 546	First	Quarter 22,3		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses		th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009	-	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26	200 8 4 4	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12,	188 546 642 623	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Other operating income		th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978	-	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40	200 8 4 4 1 7	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12,	188 546 642	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4		
low dollars in thousands) Interest income Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Other operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities		th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942	-	hird Quarter 24,777 12,37 12,40 26 6,47	200 8 4 4 1 7 6	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11,; 12, 7,;	188 546 642 623	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9		
low dollars in thousands) Interest income Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Other operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities Operating expenses		th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063) 21,294	-	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26 6,47 2 16,06	200 88 44 11 77 66 11	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12, 7, 16,	188 546 642 623 387 286	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9 15,5		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Other operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities Operating expenses Loss) income before taxes		th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063)	-	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26 6,47 2	200	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12, 7, 16, 3,	188 546 642 623 387	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Dther operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities Dperating expenses Loss) income before taxes income tax (benefit) expense		th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063) 21,294 (6,446)	\$	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26 6,47 2 16,06 2,58	200	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11,; 12,; 7,; 16,; 3,	188 546 642 623 387 286 120	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9 15,5 2,3 6		
low dollars in thousands) Interest income Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Other operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities Operating expenses Loss) income before taxes Income tax (benefit) expense Net (loss) income	\$	th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063) 21,294 (6,446) (2,466) (3,980)	\$	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26 6,47 21 16,06 2,58 54 2,04	2000	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12, 7, 16, 3, \$ 2,	188 546 642 623 387 286 120 919 201	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9 15,5 2,3 6 1,6		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Other operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities Operating expenses Loss) income before taxes income tax (benefit) expense Net (loss) income	\$	th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063) 21,294 (6,446) (2,466)	\$	hird Quarter 24,777 12,377 12,400 26 6,477 20 16,06 2,588 54	2000	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12, 7, 16, 3, \$ 2,	188 546 642 623 387 286 120 919	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9 15,5 2,3		
low dollars in thousands) interest income interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Dther operating income Loss) gain on sale of securities Dperating expenses Loss) income before taxes income tax (benefit) expense Net (loss) income Net (loss) income per common share (basic)	\$	th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063) 21,294 (6,446) (2,466) (3,980)	\$ \$ \$	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26 6,47 21 16,06 2,58 54 2,04	2000	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12, 7, 16, 3, \$ 2, \$ 0	188 546 642 623 387 286 120 919 201	First	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9 15,5 2,3 6 1,6 0.		
low (dollars in thousands)	\$ \$ 	th Quarter 24,467 12,489 11,978 1,009 6,942 (3,063) 21,294 (6,446) (2,466) (3,980) (0.63)	\$ \$ \$	hird Quarter 24,77 12,37 12,40 26 6,47 20 16,06 2,58 54 2,04 0.3	2000	6 Second Quar \$ 24, 11, 12, 7, 16, 3, 8 2, \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	188 546 642 623 387 2286 120 919 201 0.35	First (\$ \$ \$	Quarter 22,3 10,0 12,2 4 5,9 15,5 2,3 6 1,6		

Row does not total to year to date earnings per share as no quarterly dilution in fourth quarter due to quarterly realized net loss

(1)

(21) Financial Information of Parent Company

The following is financial information of First Mariner Bancorp (parent company only):

Statements of Financial Condition

		December 31						
(dollars in thousands)		2007						
Assets:								
Cash and interest-bearing deposits	\$	10,946	\$	2,127				
Subordinated notes	Ψ	7,500	Ψ	7,500				
Loans receivable		6,501		19,854				
Securities available for sale		478		1,395				
Investment in subsidiaries		108,849		117,685				
Company-owned life insurance		2,900		2,778				
Other assets		3,205		2,318				
Total assets	\$	140,379	\$	153,657				
		,	_	,				
Liabilities and stockholders' equity:								
Other liabilities	\$	2,085	\$	1,304				
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures		73,724		73,724				
Stockholders' equity		64,570		78,629				
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	140,379	\$	153,657				
1	Ŧ	- ,- , , ,						

Statements of Operations

	For the Years Ended December 31						
(dollars in thousands)	2007		2006		2005		
Income:							
Interest on investments and interest-bearing deposits	\$ 365	\$	261	\$	130		
Interest on subordinated note	508		493		411		
Interest on loans	863		1,205		645		
Gain on sale of securities	943		26				
Other income	1,030		883		846		
Total income	3,709		2,868		2,032		
Expenses:							
Interest expense	5,501		5,384		3,762		
Professional expenses	325		297		259		
Other expenses	 370		366		402		
Total expenses	6,196		6,047		4,423		
Loss before income tax expense (benefit)	 (2,487)		(3,179)		(2,391)		
Income tax expense (benefit)	63		(412)		(96)		
Loss before equity in undistributed net (loss) income of subsidiaries	 (2,550)		(2,767)		(2,295)		
Equity in undistributed net (loss) income of subsidiaries	(7,513)		4,691		10,117		

		For the Years Ended December 31,								
Net (loss) income		\$ (10,003) \$ 1,924 \$ 7,822	Ż							
	100									

Statements of Cash Flows

		For the Years Ended December 31,							
(dollars in thousands) Cash flows from operating activities:		2007	2006		2005				
Loss before undistributed net income of subsidiaries	\$	(2,550)	\$	(2,767)	\$	(2,295)			
Gain on sale of securities		(943)		(26)					
Increase in other assets		(3,665)		(352)		(436)			
Income from company-owned life insurance		(122)		(100)		(100)			
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation				(585)					
Increase in other liabilities		768		327		627			
Net cash used in operating activities		(6,512)		(3,503)		(2,204)			
Cash flows from investing activities:									
Dividends from (investment in) subsidiaries		1,324		(1,695)		(16,874)			
Loan repayments (disbursements), net		13,353		(12,598)		4,075			
Purchase of subordinated note						(2,500)			
Sale of securities available for sale		1,445		116					
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		16,122		(14,177)		(15,299)			
Cash flows from financing activities:									
Proceeds from stock issuance, net		371		1,884		5,001			
Repurchase of common stock, net		(1,162)		(582)		(1,578)			
Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation				585					
Proceeds from issuance of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures						15,475			
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(791)		1,887		18,898			
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		8,819		(15,793)		1,395			
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		2,127		17,920		16,525			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	10,946	\$	2,127	\$	17,920			
Cash and cash equivalents at the or year	Ψ	10,740	Ψ	2,127	Ψ	17,720			

(22) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Pronouncements Adopted

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140.* This statement amends SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140 by: permitting fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation; clarifying which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133; establishing a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; clarifying that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and amending SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140. This statement amends SFAS No. 140 with respect to the

accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. It requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time an obligation is undertaken to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations and requires all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The statement permits the choice between the "amortization method" and the "fair value measurement method" for the subsequent measurement of the servicing assets or liabilities and allows for a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities at initial adoption. The statement also requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures, and transition. In May 2007, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48-1, *Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48*, which provides guidance on how an enterprise should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. These interpretations are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of these interpretations did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We adopted this standard effective January 1, 2007, with no material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") on Issue No. 06-4, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*. EITF 06-4 requires the recognition of a liability and related compensation costs for endorsement split-dollar life insurance policies that provide a benefit to an employee that extends to postretirement periods as defined in SFAS No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The EITF reached a consensus that Bank Owned Life Insurance policies purchased for this purpose do not effectively settle the entity's obligation to the employee in this regard and, thus, the entity must record compensation costs and a related liability. Entities should recognize the effects of applying this Issue through either, (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effective adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the balance sheet as of the beginning of the year of adoption, or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. This Issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. We adopted Issue No. 06-4 effective January 1, 2007 for BOLI. The adoption of this Issue resulted in the recording of a cumulative effect of accounting change as a reduction to retained earnings of \$135,000.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, which generally permits the measurement of selected eligible financial instruments, including investment securities, at fair value as of specified election dates and the reporting of unrealized gains or losses on those instruments in

earnings at each subsequent reporting date. Generally, the fair value option may be applied on an instrument by instrument basis but, once applied, the election is irrevocable and is applied to the entire instrument. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted as of the beginning of a fiscal year that begins on or before November 15, 2007.

We adopted SFAS No. 159 on January 1, 2007. The effect of adopting this statement on existing eligible items at the time of adoption is recorded as a cumulative effect of accounting change through retained earnings in the financial statements and is detailed as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Balance SheetJanuary 1, 2007Net LossPrior to AdoptionUpon Adoption			Balance Sheet January 1, 2007 After Adoption of Fair Value Option			
Investment trading securities	\$	42,569	\$	(1,618)	\$	40,951	
Long-term debt		60,000		(2,038)		62,038	
Pretax cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option				(3,656)			
Increase in deferred tax assets				1,412			
Cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option (charge to retained earnings)			\$	(2,244)			

Management believes the adoption was appropriate in order to more closely align the impact of interest rate movements within stockholders' equity. Prior to adoption, the securities were marked to market through the Company's stockholders' equity, with no offsetting impact of any borrowings or other interest-bearing liabilities, which may act as a natural interest rate risk hedge. By treating certain assets and liabilities with similar characteristics as fair value instruments, both positions will be subject to fair value adjustments through earnings and ultimately stockholders' equity. Management believes the adoption will minimize the volatility in reported stockholders' equity as the borrowing position will also be subject to fair value treatment.

As a result of our early adoption of SFAS No. 159, we elected to transfer \$42.569 million of securities previously held as available for sale to trading securities. These securities were selected based upon their yield (under 5%) and quality of available pricing data. The average life of the bonds selected was 5.22 years. In addition, we elected to record \$60.000 million of our long-term borrowings at fair value. The borrowings selected were fixed rate (6.07%) with an average remaining life of 3.24 years and have a consistent and reliable pricing source. Retained earnings as of January 1, 2007 was reduced by \$2.244 million, net of tax, as a result of the election. This is a permanent adjustment to retained earnings; however, there is no impact to total stockholders' equity from the securities classification because the market value adjustment of the available for sale securities was already recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. This one-time charge will not be recognized in current earnings based upon application of SFAS No. 159. In addition, a pre-tax loss of \$702,000 was recognized in 2007 due to a net decrease in the fair value of these financial instruments since January 1, 2007.

Interest income on trading securities and interest expense on long-term borrowings at fair value is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal outstanding. Premiums and discounts related to trading securities are expensed at time of purchase. The interest from trading securities is included in the Statements of Operations in "Interest income from investments and other earning assets" and the interest on borrowings at fair value is included in the Statements of Operations in "Interest expense from long-term borrowings."

Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Effective

On November 5, 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission staff released Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") 109, *Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings.* This SAB supersedes SAB 105 and expresses the current view that, consistent with the guidance in SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140*, and SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, the expected net future cash flows related to the associated servicing of the loan should be included in the measurement of all written loan commitments that are accounted for at fair value through earnings. Management has evaluated the effects of the rule and does not anticipate a material impact on the our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations*. The objective of this Statement is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a reporting entity provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. To accomplish that, this Statement establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer:

Recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree

Recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase

Determines what information is to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination

This Statement applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. There is no current impact on us. Any future impact will only be for acquisitions of other companies completed after this date and is not something that is measurable at this time.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51*. This statement amends ARB 51 to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It also amends certain of ARB 51's consolidation procedures for consistency with the requirements of SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*. This statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Management does not anticipate the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the our financial statements.

ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding The Effectiveness Of Disclosure Controls And Procedures

Senior management maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods provided in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, senior management has recognized that any

controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and therefore has been required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of First Mariner Bancorp ("the Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The internal control process has been designed under our supervision to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management has conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, utilizing the framework established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 is effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Any internal control system, no matter how well designed, will have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders First Mariner Bancorp Baltimore, Maryland

We have audited First Mariner Bancorp and subsidiaries (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO").

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows of the Company and our report dated March 6, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Stegman & Company

Baltimore, Maryland March 6, 2008

Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10 DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Certain information relating to our directors and executive officers and our Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance is incorporated by reference herein from our proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 2, 2008, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

The information called for by Item 10 with respect to executive officers is set forth above in Part I, Item 4A under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant."

Code of Ethics

We have a Code of Conduct and Ethics that applies to our employees, officers, and directors, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer. A copy of this Code of Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at www.1stmarinerbancorp.com (investor relations section), or a written copy can be obtained by request. We intend to disclose any changes in or waivers from our code by posting such information on our website. We also have adopted an Executive Code of Conduct and Ethics Policy that addresses (i) trading prohibitions during "blackout period;" (ii) prohibitions against insider trading; (iii) corporate opportunities; and (iv) loans to insiders policy.

ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Certain information relating to our directors' and executive officers' compensation and our Compensation Committee's report on executive compensation is incorporated by reference herein from our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 2, 2008, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

See information relating to our stock performance in Item 5 "Market for the Registrants Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" in Part II of this 10-K.

ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Certain information required by this item is set forth in the following sections of our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 2, 2008, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.



For information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans, please refer to the table captioned "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in Item 5 "Market for the Registrants Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" in Part II of this 10-K.

ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Certain information relating to certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated by reference herein from our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 2, 2008, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year. Information is also included in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth herein in Item 8- "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data in Part II of this 10-K."

ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information regarding fees paid to our independent registered public accounting firm, Stegman & Company, is incorporated by reference herein from our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 2, 2008, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

PART IV

ITEM 15 EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(1), (2) Financial Statements a. Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 (a)(3) Exhibits Required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K. See Exhibit Index following Signatures b. Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K See the Exhibit Index following the Signatures 109

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

/s/ EDWIN F. HALE SR.

Edwin F. Hale Sr.

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in their capacities as indicated on the 14th day of March, 2008.

By:

/s/ EDWIN F. HALE SR.

Date: March 14, 2008

Edwin F. Hale Sr., Chief Executive Officer and Director

/s/ JOSEPH A. CICERO

Joseph A. Cicero, President and Director

/s/ BARRY B. BONDROFF

Barry B. Bondroff, Director

/s/ JOHN BROWN III

John Brown III, Director

/s/ EDITH B. BROWN

Edith B. Brown, Director

/s/ ROBERT CARET

Robert Caret, Director

/s/ HOWARD FRIEDMAN

Howard Friedman, Director

/s/ MARK A. KEIDEL

Mark A. Keidel, Chief Financial Officer

/s/ GEORGE H. MANTAKOS

George H. Mantakos, Executive Vice President and Director

/s/ JOHN MCDANIEL

John McDaniel, Director

/s/ JOHN J. OLIVER

John J. Oliver, Director

/s/ PATRICIA SCHMOKE

Patricia Schmoke, Director

/s/ HECTOR TORRES

Hector Torres, Director

/s/ MICHAEL R. WATSON

Michael R. Watson, Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as amended, file no. 333-16011 (the "1996 Registration Statement"))
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of First Mariner's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002)
- 3.3 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Bylaws of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of First Mariner's Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2007)
- 10.1 1996 Stock Option Plan of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registration Statement)
- 10.2 Employment Agreement dated May 1, 1995 between First Mariner Bancorp and First Mariner Bank and George H. Mantakos (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the 1996 Registration Statement)
- 10.3 Lease Agreement dated March 1, 1996 between First Mariner Bank and Mars Super Markets, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the 1996 Registration Statement)
- 10.4 Lease Agreement dated November 1, 1997 between Edwin F. Hale, Sr. and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333-53789-01)
- 10.5 1998 Stock Option Plan of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333- 53789-01)
- 10.6 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333-53789-01)
- 10.7 Lease Agreement dated as of June 1, 1998 between Building #2, L.L.C. and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333-53789-01)
- 10.8 Lease Agreement dated June 18, 2002 between Hale Properties, LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to First Mariner's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.)
- 10.9 First Mariner Bancorp 2002 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to Attachment A to First Mariner's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 5, 2002)
- 10.10 Lease Agreement dated as of March 1, 2003 between Building No. 2 LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.11 Lease Agreement dated March 1, 2003 between Canton Crossing LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.12 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Edwin F. Hale, Sr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.13 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Joseph A. Cicero (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)

- 10.14 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and George H. Mantakos (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.15 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Mark A. Keidel (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.16 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Dennis E. Finnegan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.17 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Brett J. Carter (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.18 Lease Agreement dated June 2, 2003 between Canton Crossing LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003)
- 10.19 First Mariner Bancorp 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to First Mariner's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 1, 2004)
- 10.20 First Mariner Bancorp 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to First Mariner's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 1, 2004)
- 10.21 Purchase and Sale Agreement dated October 20, 2004 among First Mariner Bancorp, Canton Crossing LLC and Hale Canton, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2004)
- 10.22 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2005)
- 10.23 Form of Incentive Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2005)
- 10.24 Lease Agreement dated May 12, 2005 between First Mariner Bancorp and Hale Properties, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2005.)
- 10.25 First Amendment to Lease Agreement dated November 15, 2005 between First Mariner Bancorp and Canton Crossing Tower, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2005)
- 10.26 Description of Board Fees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2005)
- 10.27 Description of 2006 Executive Bonus Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2006)
- 10.28 Lease Agreement dated January 8, 2007 between First Mariner Bank and Canton Crossing Tower, LLC, (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007)
- 10.29 Description of 2007 Short-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007)

- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant filed herewith
- 23.1 Consent of Stegman & Company filed herewith
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, filed herewith
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, filed herewith
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.