SANDISK CORP Form 10-Q November 05, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

R QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number: 000-26734

SANDISK CORPORATION

| (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) | |
|--|---------------------|
| Delaware | 77-0191793 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of | (I.R.S. Employer |
| incorporation or organization) | Identification No.) |
| | |
| 601 McCarthy Blvd. | 95035 |
| Milpitas, California | 95055 |
| (Address of principal executive offices) | (Zip Code) |

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (408) 801-1000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes R No⁻⁻

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes R No⁻⁻

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer R Accelerated filer " Non accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes "

No R

Number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, \$0.001 par value, as of September 30, 2012: 241,788,556.

SanDisk Corporation Index

| | | Page No. |
|-----------|--|---|
| PART I. F | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012 | <u>3</u> |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 | <u>4</u> |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 | <u>5</u> |
| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 | <u>6</u> |
| | Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | <u>7</u> |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>39</u> |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | <u>52</u> |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | <u>54</u> |
| PART II. | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | <u>55</u> |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | <u>55</u> |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 55 75 75 75 75 75 75 8 - 1 |
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | <u>75</u> |
| Item 4. | Mine Safety Disclosures | <u>75</u> |
| Item 5. | Other Information | <u>75</u> |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | <u>75</u> |
| | Signatures | |
| | Exhibit Index | <u>E - 1</u> |
| | | |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Accumulated other comprehensive income

SANDISK CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

| (Unaudited) | | |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| | September 30, | January 1, |
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,110,485 | \$1,167,496 |
| Short-term marketable securities | 1,485,584 | 1,681,492 |
| Accounts receivable from product revenues, net | 465,618 | 521,763 |
| Inventory | 852,725 | 678,382 |
| Deferred taxes | 100,328 | 100,409 |
| Other current assets | 303,888 | 206,419 |
| Total current assets | 4,318,628 | 4,355,961 |
| Long-term marketable securities | 2,818,969 | 2,766,263 |
| Property and equipment, net | 612,633 | 344,897 |
| Notes receivable and investments in Flash Ventures | 1,770,355 | 1,943,295 |
| Deferred taxes | 178,149 | 199,027 |
| Goodwill | 201,735 | 154,899 |
| Intangible assets, net | 265,876 | 287,691 |
| Other non-current assets | 155,166 | 122,615 |
| Total assets | \$10,321,511 | \$10,174,648 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable trade | \$318,347 | \$258,583 |
| Accounts payable to related parties | 226,136 | 276,275 |
| Convertible short-term debt | 892,684 | |
| Other current accrued liabilities | 199,183 | 337,517 |
| Deferred income on shipments to distributors and retailers and deferred revenue | 260,564 | 220,999 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,896,914 | 1,093,374 |
| Convertible long-term debt | 780,464 | 1,604,911 |
| Non-current liabilities | 436,122 | 415,524 |
| Total liabilities | 3,113,500 | 3,113,809 |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 11) | | |
| EQUITY | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock | | |
| Common stock | 242 | 243 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 5,014,331 | 4,934,565 |
| Retained earnings | 1,882,265 | 1,796,849 |
| | 215 520 | 222 701 |

4

332,701

315,520

| Total stockholders' equity Non-controlling interests | 7,212,358 (4,347 | 7,064,358) (3,519 |) | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Total equity | 7,208,011 | 7,060,839 | | | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$10,321,511 | \$10,174,648 | | | | |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements. | | | | | | |

SANDISK CORPORATION

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| | (In thousands, | except per shar | e amounts) | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Product | \$1,182,159 | \$1,321,904 | \$3,233,785 | \$3,814,111 | |
| License and royalty | 91,031 | 94,128 | 277,221 | 271,114 | |
| Total revenues | 1,273,190 | 1,416,032 | 3,511,006 | 4,085,225 | |
| Cost of product revenues | 880,469 | 790,465 | 2,398,086 | 2,281,264 | |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | 9,800 | 13,186 | 32,712 | 26,556 | |
| Total cost of product revenues | 890,269 | 803,651 | 2,430,798 | 2,307,820 | |
| Gross profit | 382,921 | 612,381 | 1,080,208 | 1,777,405 | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Research and development | 150,336 | 135,271 | 443,690 | 400,145 | |
| Sales and marketing | 57,938 | 48,538 | 159,234 | 144,195 | |
| General and administrative | 40,205 | 40,567 | 110,488 | 116,020 | |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | 2,369 | 1,878 | 6,676 | 2,608 | |
| Total operating expenses | 250,848 | 226,254 | 720,088 | 662,968 | |
| Operating income | 132,073 | 386,127 | 360,120 | 1,114,437 | |
| Interest income | 14,687 | 14,754 | 44,680 | 46,873 | |
| Interest (expense) and other income (expense), net | (28,382) | (38,332) | (100,888)) | (103,090 | |
| Total other income (expense), net | (13,695) | (23,578) | (56,208) | (56,217 | |
| Income before income taxes | 118,378 | 362,549 | 303,912 | 1,058,220 | |
| Provision for income taxes | 41,871 | 129,296 | 100,051 | 352,453 | |
| Net income | \$76,507 | \$233,253 | \$203,861 | \$705,767 | |
| | | | | | |
| Net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.32 | \$0.97 | \$0.84 | \$2.96 | |
| Diluted | \$0.31 | \$0.96 | \$0.83 | \$2.90 | |
| Shares used in computing net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | 241,694 | 239,836 | 242,284 | 238,720 | |
| Diluted | 244,221 | 243,680 | 245,502 | 243,782 | |
| | | | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

))

SANDISK CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

| (Chadaled) | Three months September 30, 2012 | | | Nine months September 30 2012 | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|---|-----------|---|
| Net income | (In thousands) \$76,507 | \$233,253 | | \$203,861 | | \$705,767 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), before tax: Unrealized holding gain (loss) on marketable securities | 6,233 | (9,048 |) | 17,995 | | 9,357 | |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized gain on marketable securities included in net income | (888) | (604 |) | (2,090 |) | (6,073 |) |
| | 5,345 | (9,652 |) | 15,905 | | 3,284 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 39,088 | 80,427 | | (7,955 |) | 89,768 | |
| Unrealized holding gain (loss) on derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges | 11,239 | 26,863 | | (27,681 |) | 30,288 | |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized (gain) loss on derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges included in net income | 11,184 | (7,386 |) | 6,399 | | (11,933 |) |
| | 22,423 | 19,477 | | (21,282 |) | 18,355 | |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), before tax | 66,856 | 90,252 | | (13,332 |) | 111,407 | |
| Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income (loss) | 6,857 | 9,342 | | 3,849 | | 20,798 | |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 59,999 | 80,910 | | (17,181 |) | 90,609 | |
| Comprehensive income | \$136,506 | \$314,163 | | \$186,680 | | \$796,376 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

SANDISK CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

| (Unaudited) | Nine months September 30 2012 (In thousands |), October 2, 2011 | |
|---|--|--------------------|----|
| Cash flows from operating activities: Net income | \$203,861 | \$705,767 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | \$203,801 | \$705,707 | |
| Deferred taxes | 9,554 | (77,842 |) |
| Depreciation | 9,554 112,471 | 84,959 |) |
| Amortization | 128,825 | | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | 118,035 |) |
| | 70 50 282 | (1,929 |) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 59,283 | 44,678 | `` |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | |) (15,820 |) |
| Impairment, restructuring and other | |) (25,118 |) |
| Other non-operating | 67,066 | 63,771 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | F (001 | (00.157 | |
| Accounts receivable from product revenues, net | 56,081 | (89,157 |) |
| Inventory | |) (164,798 |) |
| Other assets | 35,387 | (69,443 |) |
| Accounts payable trade | 59,764 | 38,368 | |
| Accounts payable to related parties | |) 17,077 | |
| Other liabilities | (268,913 |) 215,672 | |
| Total adjustments | 10,367 | 138,453 | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 214,228 | 844,220 | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of short and long-term marketable securities | (1,950,164 |) (2,500,913 |) |
| Proceeds from sales of short and long-term marketable securities | 1,583,503 | 2,276,356 | , |
| Proceeds from maturities of short and long-term marketable securities | 478,475 | 505,920 | |
| Acquisition of property and equipment, net | |) (114,267 |) |
| Investment in Flash Ventures | |) (83,316 | Ś |
| Notes receivable issuances to Flash Ventures | |) (399,281 | Ś |
| Notes receivable proceeds from Flash Ventures | 357,876 | 248,516 | , |
| Purchased technology and other assets | |) (100,000 |) |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired | |) (317,649 | Ś |
| Net cash used in investing activities | |) (484,634 | |
| Net easil used in investing activities | (175,55) |) (+0+,05+ |) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from sale (purchase) of convertible bond hedge | | 1,494 | |
| Proceeds from sale (purchase) of warrants | | (1,158 |) |
| Repayment of debt financing | | (211,441 |) |
| Proceeds from employee stock programs | 77,770 | 81,791 | |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 14,116 | 15,820 | |
| Share repurchase program | (191,504 |) — | |
| Net cash received for share repurchase contracts | 2,675 | | |
| _ | | | |

| Net cash used in financing activities | (96,943 |) | (113,494 |) | | |
|---|-------------|---|-------------|---|--|--|
| Effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on cash | 1,063 | | (391 |) | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (57,011 |) | 245,701 | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 1,167,496 | | 829,149 | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | \$1,110,485 | | \$1,074,850 | | | |
| The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements. | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

SANDISK CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

These interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited but reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments and accruals, necessary to present fairly the financial position of SanDisk Corporation and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of September 30, 2012, the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 and the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with United States ("U.S.") generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") have been omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included in the Company's most recent Annual Report on Form 10 K filed with the SEC on February 23, 2012. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year.

Basis of Presentation. The Company's fiscal year ends on the Sunday closest to December 31, and its fiscal quarters consist of 13 weeks and generally end on the Sunday closest to March 31, June 30, and September 30. The third quarters of fiscal years 2012 and 2011 ended on September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively. For accounting and disclosure purposes, the exchange rates of 77.76, 77.17 and 76.78 at September 30, 2012, January 1, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively, were used to convert Japanese yen to U.S. dollars. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified in the footnotes to conform to the current period presentation, including line items within income tax expense (benefit) allocated to accumulated other comprehensive income in Note 4, "Balance Sheet Information."

Organization and Nature of Operations. The Company was incorporated in Delaware on June 1, 1988. The Company designs, develops, markets and manufactures flash memory storage card products used in a wide variety of consumer electronics products. The Company operates in one segment, flash memory storage products.

Principles of Consolidation. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Non-controlling interests represent the minority shareholders' proportionate share of the net assets and results of operations of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements also include the results of companies acquired by the Company from the date of each acquisition.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. The estimates and judgments affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, intellectual property claims, product returns, inventories and inventory reserves, valuation and impairments of marketable securities and

investments, impairments of goodwill and long-lived assets, income taxes, warranty obligations, restructuring, contingencies, share-based compensation and litigation. The Company bases estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that its management believes are reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities when those values are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could materially differ from these estimates.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Recent Accounting Pronouncements. In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued authoritative guidance related to the testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. The guidance gives an entity the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived asset is impaired. Under the amendments in this update, an entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment in any period and proceed directly to the quantitative impairment test. An entity may resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. This guidance is effective for interim and annual impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 with early adoption permitted. The Company expects to early-adopt this guidance in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012 for its annual indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment test and does not believe the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. Investments and Fair Value Measurements

The Company's total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, | January 1, |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,110,485 | \$1,167,496 |
| Short-term marketable securities | 1,485,584 | 1,681,492 |
| Long-term marketable securities | 2,818,969 | 2,766,263 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities | \$5,415,038 | \$5,615,251 |

For certain of the Company's financial instruments, including cash held in banks, accounts receivable and accounts payable, the carrying amounts approximate fair value due to their short maturities, and are therefore excluded from the fair value tables below.

Financial assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following types of instruments (in thousands):

| | September | 30, 2012 | | January 1, 2 | 012 | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | Level 3 Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Leve 3 | el Total |
| Money marke funds | | \$— | \$— \$606,845 | \$901,500 | \$— | \$— | \$901,500 |
| Fixed income securities | 22,988 | 4,451,060 | - 4,474,048 | 214,431 | 4,312,929 | | 4,527,360 |
| Derivative assets | _ | 6,938 | — 6,938 | | 21,093 | | 21,093 |
| Other | | _ | | _ | 4,501 | | 4,501 |
| Total financia assets | ¹ \$629,833 | \$4,457,998 | \$— \$5,087,831 | \$1,115,931 | \$4,338,523 | \$— | \$5,454,454 |
| Derivative liabilities | \$— | \$6,759 | \$— \$6,759 | \$— | \$45,835 | \$— | \$45,835 |
| Total financia liabilities | ¹ \$— | \$6,759 | \$— \$6,759 | \$— | \$45,835 | \$— | \$45,835 |

Financial assets and liabilities measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis were presented on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2012 | | | January 1, 2 | .012 | .2 | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|------------------|--|--|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 Total | | |
| Cash equivalents ⁽¹⁾ | \$608,845 | \$167,495 | \$— \$776,340 | \$926,994 | \$54,111 | \$—\$981,105 | | |
| Short-term marketable securities | 15,826 | 1,469,758 | — 1,485,584 | 155,538 | 1,525,954 | — 1,681,492 | | |
| 5 | 5,162 | 2,813,807 | — 2,818,969 | 33,399 | 2,732,864 | — 2,766,263 | | |

| Long-term marketable securities | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Other current assets and other non-current assets | | 6,938 | — 6,938 | _ | 25,594 | — 25,594 |
| Total financial assets | \$629,833 | \$4,457,998 | \$— \$5,087,831 | \$1,115,931 | \$4,338,523 | \$\$5,454,454 |
| Other current accrued liabilities | \$— | \$4,272 | \$—\$4,272 | \$— | \$40,045 | \$\$40,045 |
| Non-current liabilities | | 2,487 | — 2,487 | _ | 5,790 | — 5,790 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$— | \$6,759 | \$—\$6,759 | \$— | \$45,835 | \$—\$45,835 |

(1) Cash equivalents exclude cash of \$334.1 million and \$186.4 million included in Cash and cash equivalents on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, respectively.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had no transfers of financial assets or liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2. As of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, the Company had no financial assets or liabilities categorized as Level 3.

As of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, the Company had not elected the fair value option for any financial assets and liabilities for which such an election would have been permitted.

Available-for-Sale Investments. Available-for-sale investments were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2012 | | | | January 1, 2012 | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|----|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | | Gross æUnrea Loss | | z ea ir Value | Amortized Cost | Unrealize | Gross edinrealiz Loss | ize d Fair Value |
| Fixed income securities: U.S. Treasury and | | | | | | | | | |
| government agency securities | \$29,414 | \$16 | \$(1 |) | \$29,429 | \$219,366 | \$69 | \$(4 |) \$219,431 |
| U.S. government-sponsored agency securities | 87,948 | 56 | _ | | 88,004 | 97,087 | 10 | (26 |) 97,071 |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 808,426 | 4,524 | (36 |) | 812,914 | 780,650 | 1,707 | (3,889 |) 778,468 |
| Asset-backed securities | s 198,315 | 532 | (5 |) | 198,842 | 180,828 | 61 | (149 |) 180,740 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3,764 | 25 | (14 |) | 3,775 | 1,137 | 5 | | 1,142 |
| Municipal notes and bonds | 3,313,224 | | | ć | 3,341,084 | 3,231,240 | 20,470 | (1,202 |) 3,250,508 |
| Total available-for-sale investments | \$4,441,091 | \$33,171 | \$(214 | 1) | \$4,474,048 | \$4,510,308 | \$22,322 | \$(5,270 | 0) \$4,527,36 |

The fair value and gross unrealized losses on the available-for-sale securities that have been in an unrealized loss position, aggregated by type of investment instrument, and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2012, are summarized in the following table (in thousands). Available-for-sale securities that were in an unrealized gain position have been excluded from the table.

| | Less than 12 mc | onths | Greater than 12 months | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----|
| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Los | SS |
| U.S. Treasury and government agency securities | \$3,420 | \$(1) | \$— | \$— | |
| U.S. government-sponsored agency securities | 28,995 | | _ | | |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 66,262 | (16) | 7,727 | (20 |) |
| Asset-backed securities | 6,804 | (5) | _ | | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,863 | (14) | — | | |
| Municipal notes and bonds | 101,058 | (73) | 17,131 | (85 |) |
| Total | \$208,402 | \$(109) | \$24,858 | \$(105 |) |

The gross unrealized loss related to U.S. Treasury and government agency securities, U.S. government-sponsored agency securities, corporate and municipal notes and bonds, and asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities was primarily due to changes in interest rates. The gross unrealized loss on all available-for-sale fixed income securities at September 30, 2012 was considered temporary in nature. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than the cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and the Company's intent and ability to hold an investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. For debt security investments, the Company considered additional factors including the Company's intent to sell the investments or whether it is "more likely than not" the Company will be required to sell the investments before the recovery of its amortized cost.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows the gross realized gains and losses on sales of available-for-sale securities (in thousands).

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, October 2, | | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross realized gains | \$940 | \$1,276 | \$2,964 | \$6,890 |
| Gross realized losses | (52) | (672 |) (874 |) (882) |

Fixed income securities by contractual maturity as of September 30, 2012 are shown below (in thousands). Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers of the securities may have the right to prepay obligations.

| Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|----------------|-------------|
| \$1,651,569 | \$1,655,079 |
| 2,789,522 | 2,818,969 |
| \$4,441,091 | \$4,474,048 |
| | 2,789,522 |

For those financial instruments where the carrying amounts differ from fair value, the following table represents the related carrying values and the fair values, which are based on quoted market prices (in thousands). These financial instruments were categorized as Level 1 as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012.

| - | September 30, 2 | 012 | January 1, 2012 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value | |
| 1% Convertible Sr. Notes due 2013 | \$892,684 | \$919,987 | \$852,146 | \$914,140 | |
| 1.5% Convertible Sr. Notes due 2017 | 780,464 | 1,135,140 | 752,765 | 1,177,500 | |
| Total | \$1,673,148 | \$2,055,127 | \$1,604,911 | \$2,091,640 | |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company uses derivative instruments primarily to manage exposures to foreign currency. The Company's primary objective in holding derivatives is to reduce the volatility of earnings and cash flows associated with changes in foreign currency. The program is not designated for trading or speculative purposes. The Company's derivatives expose the Company to credit risk to the extent that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreement. The Company seeks to mitigate such risk by limiting its counterparties to major financial institutions and by spreading the risk across several major financial institutions. In addition, the potential risk of loss with any one counterparty resulting from this type of credit risk is monitored by the Company on an ongoing basis.

The Company recognizes derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet at fair value and provides qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. Changes in fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of the derivatives are recorded as cost of product revenues or other income (expense), or as accumulated other comprehensive income ("OCI"). The Company does not offset or net the fair value amounts of derivative instruments and separately discloses the fair value amounts of the derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities.

Cash Flow Hedges. The Company uses forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges to hedge a portion of future forecasted purchases in Japanese yen. The gain or loss on the effective portion of a cash flow hedge is initially reported as a component of accumulated OCI and subsequently reclassified into cost of product revenues in the same period or periods in which the cost of product revenues is recognized, or reclassified into other income (expense) if the hedged transaction becomes probable of not occurring. Any gain or loss after a hedge is no longer designated because it is no longer probable of occurring or it is related to an ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge, as well as any amount excluded from the Company's hedge effectiveness, is recognized as other income (expense) immediately. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had forward contracts in place to hedge future purchases over the next twelve months of 26.3 billion Japanese yen, or approximately \$338 million based upon the exchange rate as of September 30, 2012, the Company had no forward contracts in place to hedge future purchases beyond the next twelve months.

Other Derivatives. Other derivatives that are non-designated consist primarily of forward and cross currency swap contracts to minimize the risk associated with the foreign exchange effects of revaluing monetary assets and liabilities. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies and the associated outstanding forward and cross currency swap contracts were marked-to-market at September 30, 2012 with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in other income (expense). As of September 30, 2012, the Company had foreign currency forward contracts hedging exposures in European euros, British pounds and Japanese yen. Foreign currency forward contracts were outstanding to buy and sell U.S. dollar equivalents of approximately \$230 million and \$143 million in foreign currencies, respectively, based upon the exchange rates at September 30, 2012.

The Company currently has cross currency swap contracts with various counterparties to exchange Japanese yen for U.S. dollars that require the Company to comply with certain covenants, the strictest of which is to maintain a minimum liquidity of \$1.0 billion. Liquidity is defined as the sum of the Company's cash and cash equivalents and short and long-term marketable securities. These cross currency swap contracts were outstanding to sell U.S. dollar equivalents of approximately \$185 million based upon the exchange rates at September 30, 2012. Should the

Company fail to comply with these covenants, the Company may be required to settle the unrealized gain or loss on the foreign exchange contracts prior to the original maturity date. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of September 30, 2012.

The amounts in the tables below include fair value adjustments related to the Company's own credit risk and counterparty credit risk.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Fair Value of Derivative Contracts. Fair value of derivative contracts as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Derivative assets reported in | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|------------|--|
| | Other Current Assets | | Other Non-current Assets | | |
| | September 30, | January 1, | September 30, | January 1, | |
| | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Designated cash flow hedges | | | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$4,149 | \$14,890 | \$— | \$— | |
| | 4,149 | 14,890 | — | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts not designated | 2,789 | 6,203 | — | | |
| Total derivatives | \$6,938 | \$21,093 | \$— | \$— | |
| | Derivative liabilities reported in | | | | |
| | | • | Non-current Lia | hilities | |
| | Other Current A | ccrued Liabilities | Non-current Lia September 30. | | |
| | Other Current A September 30, | ccrued Liabilities January 1, | September 30, | January 1, | |
| Designated cash flow hedges | Other Current A | ccrued Liabilities | | | |
| Designated cash flow hedges Foreign exchange contracts | Other Current A September 30, | ccrued Liabilities January 1, | September 30, | January 1, | |
| 6 | Other Current A September 30, 2012 | ccrued Liabilities January 1, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | January 1, | |
| 6 | Other Current A September 30, 2012 \$1,243 | ccrued Liabilities January 1, 2012 \$3,265 | September 30, 2012 | January 1, | |

Foreign Exchange and Equity Market Risk Contracts Designated as Cash Flow Hedges. The impact of the effective portion of designated cash flow derivative contracts on the Company's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | | Nine months ended | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------|
| | Amount of gain recognized in OCI | | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from OCI to earnings | | Amount of gain (loss) recognized in OCI | | Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from OCI to earnings | |
| | September | 30 ctober 2, | September 3 | 3 Q ,ctober 2, | September | 3 Q ,ctober 2, | September | 30ctober 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$11,239 | \$21,617 | \$(11,184) | \$7,386 | \$(27,681) | \$21,338 | \$(6,399) | \$11,933 |
| Equity market risk contract | _ | 5,246 | _ | _ | _ | 8,950 | | _ |

Foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges relate primarily to wafer purchases in Japanese yen. Gains and losses associated with foreign exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges are expected to be recorded in cost of product revenues when reclassified out of accumulated OCI. Losses from the equity market risk contract were recorded in other income (expense) when reclassified out of accumulated OCI. The Company expects to realize the majority of the accumulated OCI balance related to foreign exchange contracts within the next twelve months.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table includes the ineffective portion of designated cash flow derivative contracts and the forward points excluded for the purposes of cash flow hedging designation recognized in other income (expense) (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | | Nine months ended | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------|-------------------|-----|------------|---|
| | September 30, | Octobe | er 2, | September | 30, | October 2, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$(1,136 |) \$(584 |) | \$(6,382 |) | \$(3,025 |) |

Effect of Non-Designated Derivative Contracts on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The effect of non-designated derivative contracts on the Company's results of operations recognized in other income (expense) was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| | September 30, 2012 | October 2, 2011 | September 30, 2012 | October 2, 2011 | |
| Gain (loss) on foreign exchange contracts including forward point income | \$(652 | \$(13,864 |) \$1,934 | \$(15,900 |) |
| Gain from revaluation of foreign currency exposures hedged by foreign exchange contracts | 2,359 | 16,668 | 390 | 18,739 | |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Balance Sheet Information

Accounts Receivable from Product Revenues, net. Accounts receivable from product revenues, net, were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, | January 1, | |
|--|---------------|------------|---|
| | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Trade accounts receivable | \$604,112 | \$692,702 | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (5,544 |) (5,717 |) |
| Price protection, promotions and other activities | (132,950) | (165,222 |) |
| Total accounts receivable from product revenues, net | \$465,618 | \$521,763 | |
| Inventory. Inventory was as follows (in thousands): | | | |
| | September 30, | January 1, | |
| | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Raw material | \$535,427 | \$398,308 | |
| Work-in-process | 106,564 | 89,332 | |
| Finished goods | 210,734 | 190,742 | |
| Total inventory | \$852,725 | \$678,382 | |
| Other Current Assets. Other current assets were as follows (in thousands): | | | |
| | September 30, | January 1, | |
| | 2012 | 2012 | |
| Royalty and other receivables | \$41,773 | \$53,443 | |
| Other non-trade receivable | | 26,875 | |
| Prepaid expenses | 13,621 | 17,274 | |
| Tax-related receivables | 220,979 | 67,157 | |
| Prepayment to Flash Forward Ltd. | 20,577 | 20,577 | |
| Other current assets | 6,938 | 21,093 | |
| Total other current assets | \$303,888 | \$206,419 | |
| | , | | |

Notes Receivable and Investments in Flash Ventures. Notes receivable and investments in Flash Partners Ltd., Flash Alliance Ltd. and Flash Forward Ltd. (collectively referred to as "Flash Ventures") were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, | January 1, |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Notes receivable, Flash Partners Ltd. | \$199,331 | \$291,564 |
| Notes receivable, Flash Alliance Ltd. | 688,014 | 973,176 |
| Notes receivable, Flash Forward Ltd. | 180,041 | 32,396 |
| Investment in Flash Partners Ltd. | 254,986 | 258,184 |
| Investment in Flash Alliance Ltd. | 375,518 | 368,459 |
| Investment in Flash Forward Ltd. | 72,465 | 19,516 |
| Total notes receivable and investments in Flash Ventures | \$1,770,355 | \$1,943,295 |

Equity-method investments and the Company's maximum loss exposure related to Flash Ventures are discussed further in Note 11, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees – Flash Partners, Flash Alliance and Flash Forward" and Note 12, "Related Parties and Strategic Investments."

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company assesses financing receivable credit quality through financial and operational reviews of the borrower and creditworthiness, including credit rating agency ratings, of significant investors of the borrower, where material or known. Impairments, when required, are recorded in other income (expense). The Company makes or will make long-term loans to Flash Ventures to fund new process technologies and additional wafer capacities. The Company aggregates its Flash Ventures notes receivable into one class of financing receivables due to the similar ownership interest and common structure in each Flash Ventures entity. For all reporting periods presented, no loans were past due and no loan impairments were recorded.

Other Non-Current Assets. Other non-current assets were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, | January 1, |
|--|---------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Prepaid tax on intercompany transactions | \$43,211 | \$46,489 |
| Prepayment to Flash Forward Ltd. | 10,289 | 29,396 |
| Convertible note issuance costs | 9,179 | 12,992 |
| Long-term prepaid income tax | 63,008 | 3,956 |
| Other non-current assets | 29,479 | 29,782 |
| Total other non-current assets | \$155,166 | \$122,615 |

Other Current Accrued Liabilities. Other current accrued liabilities were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, | |
|---|---------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Accrued payroll and related expenses | \$79,473 | \$132,182 |
| Derivative contract payables | 4,272 | 40,045 |
| Taxes payable | 8,181 | 61,144 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 107,257 | 104,146 |
| Total other current accrued liabilities | \$199,183 | \$337,517 |

Non-current liabilities. Non-current liabilities were as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, | January 1, |
|---|---------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | \$43,174 | \$44,262 |
| Income tax liabilities | 210,114 | 218,994 |
| Deferred credits on intercompany transactions | 62,749 | 67,926 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 120,085 | 84,342 |
| Total non-current liabilities | \$436,122 | \$415,524 |
| | | |

Warranties. The liability for warranty expense is included in Other current accrued liabilities and Non-current liabilities in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and the activity was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$31,640 | \$23,156 | \$26,957 | \$24,702 |
| | 4,565 | 5,689 | 18,044 | 13,893 |

| Additions and adjustments to cost of product | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| revenues | | | | | |
| Usage | (4,339 |) (5,310 |) (13,135 |) (15,060 |) |
| Balance, end of period | \$31,866 | \$23,535 | \$31,866 | \$23,535 | |
| | | | | | |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The majority of the Company's products have a warranty of less than three years, with a small number of products having a warranty ranging up to ten years or more. For 100-year or lifetime warranties, the Company uses the estimated useful life of the product to calculate the warranty exposure. A provision for the estimated future cost related to warranty expense is recorded at the time of customer invoice. The Company's warranty liability is affected by customer and consumer returns, product failures, number of units sold and repair or replacement costs incurred. Should actual product failure rates, or repair or replacement costs, differ from the Company's estimates, increases or decreases to its warranty liability would be required.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. Accumulated other comprehensive income presented in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets consists of unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments, foreign currency translation and hedging activities, net of tax, for all periods presented (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2012 | January 1, 2012 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Accumulated net unrealized gain (loss) on: | | |
| Available-for-sale investments | \$21,060 | \$10,849 |
| Foreign currency translation | 294,679 | 300,788 |
| Hedging activities | (219 |) 21,064 |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | \$315,520 | \$332,701 |

The amount of income tax expense (benefit) allocated to available-for-sale investments, foreign currency translation and hedging activities was as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Available-for-sale investments | \$1,860 | \$(3,479) | \$5,694 | \$5,777 |
| Foreign currency translation | 4,997 | 10,906 | (1,845) | 11,754 |
| Hedging activities | — | 1,915 | | 3,267 |
| | \$6,857 | \$9,342 | \$3,849 | \$20,798 |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill. Goodwill balances are presented below (in thousands):

| | Carrying |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| | Amount |
| Balance as of January 1, 2012 | \$154,899 |
| Acquisitions | 42,979 |
| Acquisition earn-out provision | 3,857 |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$201,735 |

Goodwill increased by \$43.0 million due to the Company's acquisition of 100% of the outstanding shares of FlashSoft Corporation ("FlashSoft") on February 13, 2012 and Schooner Information Technology, Inc. ("Schooner") on June 21, 2012. In addition, during the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recognized \$3.9 million of goodwill under an achieved earn-out provision related to an acquisition consummated in fiscal year 2004.

FlashSoft is a provider of software caching solutions that enable flash-based products to be configured as high-performance cache. The acquisition of FlashSoft adds software caching solutions to the Company's growing portfolio of enterprise storage solutions. The goodwill resulted from expected synergies from the transaction, including the Company's resources and complementary products, and is not deductible for tax purposes. The preliminary estimates of fair value for the liabilities assumed from the acquisition are subject to change as the Company obtains additional information related to certain legal contingency matters during the measurement period (up to one year from the acquisition date).

Schooner is an enterprise software company that develops flash-optimized database and data store solutions. Schooner's products complement the Company's growing portfolio of enterprise storage solutions and flash-optimized software offerings that enable customers to accelerate the performance of data-intensive applications and reduce overall cost of ownership. The goodwill resulted from expected synergies from the transaction, including the Company's resources and complementary products, and is not deductible for tax purposes. The preliminary estimates of fair value for the liabilities assumed from the acquisition are subject to change as the Company obtains additional information related to certain legal contingency matters during the measurement period (up to one year from the acquisition date).

Intangible Assets. Intangible asset balances are presented below (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2012 | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Gross Carrying | Accumulated | Net Carrying |
| | Amount | Amortization | Amount |
| Developed product technology | \$200,010 | \$(58,245 |) \$141,765 |
| Core technology | 80,500 | (79,829 |) 671 |
| Customer relationships | 13,050 | (8,377 |) 4,673 |
| Trademarks | 5,700 | (1,554 |) 4,146 |
| Covenants not to compete | 3,100 | (1,230 |) 1,870 |
| Acquisition-related intangible assets | 302,360 | (149,235 |) 153,125 |
| Technology licenses and patents | 133,340 | (59,224 |) 74,116 |
| Total intangible assets subject to amortization | 435,700 | (208,459 |) 227,241 |
| Acquired in-process research and development | 38,635 | | 38,635 |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | January 1, 2012 | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Gross Carrying | Accumulated | Net Carrying |
| | Amount | Amortization | Amount |
| Developed product technology | \$172,800 | \$(30,014 |) \$142,786 |
| Core technology | 79,800 | (75,349 |) 4,451 |
| Customer relationships | 12,200 | (3,643 |) 8,557 |
| Trademarks | 5,300 | (633 |) 4,667 |
| Covenants not to compete | 700 | (209 |) 491 |
| Acquisition-related intangible assets | 270,800 | (109,848 |) 160,952 |
| Technology licenses and patents | 131,340 | (40,801 |) 90,539 |
| Total intangible assets subject to amortization | 402,140 | (150,649 |) 251,491 |
| Acquired in-process research and development | 36,200 | — | 36,200 |
| Total intangible assets | \$438,340 | \$(150,649 |) \$287,691 |

Acquisition-related intangible assets increased in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 due to the acquisitions of FlashSoft and Schooner. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company reclassified \$0.7 million of acquired in-process research and development to core technology and commenced amortization.

The annual expected amortization expense of intangible assets as of September 30, 2012, excluding acquired in-process research and development, is presented below (in thousands):

| | Estimated Amortization Expense | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|--|
| | Acquisition-relate Technology | | |
| | Intangible Licenses | | |
| | Assets | Patents | |
| Fiscal year: | | | |
| 2012 (remaining three months) | \$12,184 | \$6,159 | |
| 2013 | 44,865 | 23,337 | |
| 2014 | 40,482 | 21,231 | |
| 2015 | 40,318 | 20,056 | |
| 2016 | 15,276 | 3,333 | |
| Total intangible assets subject to amortization | \$153,125 | \$74,116 | |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Financing Arrangements

The following table reflects the carrying value of the Company's convertible debt (in thousands):

| September 30, | January 1, | |
|---------------|--|--|
| 2012 | 2012 | |
| \$928,061 | \$928,061 | |
| (35,377 |) (75,915 |) |
| 892,684 | 852,146 | |
| | | |
| 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | |
| (219,536 |) (247,235 |) |
| 780,464 | 752,765 | |
| 1,673,148 | 1,604,911 | |
| (892,684 |) — | |
| \$780,464 | \$1,604,911 | |
| | 2012 \$928,061 (35,377 892,684 1,000,000 (219,536 780,464 1,673,148 (892,684 | 2012 2012 \$928,061 \$928,061 (35,377) (75,915 892,684 852,146 1,000,000 1,000,000 (219,536) (247,235 780,464 752,765 1,673,148 1,604,911 (892,684) — |

1% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2013. In May 2006, the Company issued and sold \$1.15 billion in aggregate principal amount of 1% Convertible Senior Notes due May 15, 2013 (the "1% Notes due 2013") at par and has subsequently repurchased \$221.9 million of principal amount of these notes. The 1% Notes due 2013 may be converted, under certain circumstances, based on an initial conversion rate of 12.1426 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (which represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$82.36 per share). The net proceeds to the Company from the offering of the 1% Notes due 2013 were \$1.13 billion. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had \$928.1 million outstanding in aggregate principal amount at par.

The Company separately accounts for the liability and equity components of the 1% Notes due 2013. The principal amount of the liability component of \$753.5 million as of the date of issuance was recognized at the present value of its cash flows using a discount rate of 7.4%, the Company's borrowing rate at the date of the issuance for a similar debt instrument without the conversion feature. The carrying value of the equity component was \$394.3 million as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012.

The following table presents the amount of interest cost recognized relating to the contractual interest coupon, amortization of bond issuance costs and amortization of the discount on the liability component of the 1% Notes due 2013 (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Contractual interest coupon | \$2,319 | \$2,504 | \$6,959 | \$8,254 |
| Amortization of bond issuance costs | 695 | 1,935 | 2,087 | 3,649 |
| Amortization of bond discount | 13,515 | 13,456 | 39,816 | 43,743 |
| Total interest cost recognized | \$16,529 | \$17,895 | \$48,862 | \$55,646 |

The effective interest rate on the liability component of the 1% Notes due 2013 was 7.4% for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011. The remaining bond discount of \$35.4 million as of September 30, 2012 will be amortized over the remaining life of the 1% Notes due 2013, which is approximately 0.6 years.

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1% Notes due 2013, the Company sold warrants to acquire shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$95.03 per share. Due to the repurchase of a portion of the outstanding 1% Notes due 2013 in fiscal year 2011, the Company unwound a pro-rata portion of the warrants. The counterparties may now purchase up to 11.3 million shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$95.03 per share. As of September 30, 2012, the warrants had an expected life of approximately 0.9 years and will expire on 20 different dates from August 23, 2013 through September 20, 2013. At expiration, the Company may, at its option, elect to settle the warrants on a net share basis. As of September 30, 2012, the remaining warrants had not been exercised and remain outstanding. In addition, at issuance, counterparties agreed to sell to the Company up to approximately 14.0 million shares of its common stock, which is the number of shares initially

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

issuable upon conversion of the 1% Notes due 2013 in full, at a conversion price of \$82.36 per share. Due to the repurchase of a portion of the outstanding 1% Notes due 2013 in fiscal year 2011, the Company unwound a pro-rata portion of the convertible bond hedge. The Company may now purchase up to 11.3 million shares of its common stock at a conversion price of \$82.36 per share. This convertible bond hedge transaction will be settled in net shares and will terminate upon the earlier of the maturity date of the 1% Notes due 2013 or the first day that none of the 1% Notes due 2013 remain outstanding due to conversion or otherwise. Settlement of the convertible bond hedge in net shares on the expiration date would result in the Company receiving net shares equivalent to the number of shares issuable by it upon conversion of the 1% Notes due 2013. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had not purchased any shares under the remaining convertible bond hedge agreement.

1.5% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2017. In August 2010, the Company issued and sold \$1.0 billion in aggregate principal amount of 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes due August 15, 2017 (the "1.5% Notes due 2017") at par. The 1.5% Notes due 2017 may be converted, under certain circumstances described below, based on an initial conversion rate of 19.0931 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (which represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$52.37 per share). The net proceeds to the Company from the sale of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 were \$981.0 million.

The Company separately accounts for the liability and equity components of the 1.5% Notes due 2017. The principal amount of the liability component of \$706.0 million as of the date of issuance was recognized at the present value of its cash flows using a discount rate of 6.85%, the Company's borrowing rate at the date of the issuance for a similar debt instrument without the conversion feature. The carrying value of the equity component was \$294.0 million as of September 30, 2012, unchanged from the date of issuance.

The following table presents the amount of interest cost recognized relating to the contractual interest coupon, amortization of bond issuance costs and amortization of the discount on the liability component of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Contractual interest coupon | \$3,750 | \$3,750 | \$11,250 | \$11,250 |
| Amortization of bond issuance costs | 667 | 667 | 2,000 | 2,028 |
| Amortization of bond discount | 9,170 | 8,594 | 27,111 | 25,505 |
| Total interest cost recognized | \$13,587 | \$13,011 | \$40,361 | \$38,783 |

The effective interest rate on the liability component of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 was 6.85% for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011. The remaining unamortized interest discount of \$219.5 million as of September 30, 2012 will be amortized over the remaining life of the 1.5% Notes due 2017, which is approximately 4.9 years.

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.5% Notes due 2017, the Company sold warrants to acquire shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$73.33 per share. As of September 30, 2012, the warrants had an expected life of approximately 5.2 years and will expire on 40 different dates from November 13, 2017 through January 10, 2018. At each expiration date, the Company may, at its option, elect to settle the warrants on a net share basis. As of September 30, 2012, the warrants had not been exercised and remain outstanding. In addition, counterparties agreed to sell to the Company up to approximately 19.1 million shares of the Company's common stock, which is the number of

shares initially issuable upon conversion of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 in full, at a price of \$52.37 per share. This convertible bond hedge transaction will be settled in net shares and will terminate upon the earlier of the maturity date of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 or the first day that none of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 remain outstanding due to conversion or otherwise. Settlement of the convertible bond hedge in net shares on the expiration date would result in the Company receiving net shares equivalent to the number of shares issuable by the Company upon conversion of the 1.5% Notes due 2017. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had not purchased any shares under this convertible bond hedge agreement.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

7. Share Repurchase Program

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$500.0 million of the Company's outstanding common stock over a period of up to five years. Under this program, shares repurchased are recorded as a reduction to capital in excess of par value and retained earnings in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Since the inception of this stock repurchase program, the Company has repurchased 4.8 million shares for \$195.5 million, of which 4.7 million shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$191.5 million were repurchased during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. As part of its share repurchase program, the Company may from time-to-time enter into structured share repurchase arrangements with financial institutions. These arrangements generally require the Company to make an upfront cash payment in exchange for the right to receive shares of its common stock or cash at the expiration of the agreement, dependent upon the volume-weighted average price of the Company's common stock at the expiration date. The initial cash principal payment, as well as the subsequent repayment of principal and return on principal, if settled in cash, are recorded as a component of capital in excess of par value in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company entered into structured share repurchase arrangements that required upfront cash payments of \$40.0 million. These arrangements settled within the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and resulted in zero stock repurchases and the Company receiving its original upfront cash payment of \$40.0 million and returns totaling \$2.7 million. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had \$304.5 million available for usage under this share repurchase program.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. Share-based Compensation

Share-based Plans. The Company has a share-based compensation program that provides its Board of Directors with broad discretion in creating equity incentives for employees, officers, non-employee board members and non-employee service providers. This program includes incentive and non-statutory stock option awards, stock appreciation right awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance-based cash bonus awards for Section 16 executive officers and an automatic grant program for non-employee board members pursuant to which such individuals will receive option grants or other stock awards at designated intervals over their period of board service. These awards are granted under various programs, all of which are stockholder approved. Stock option awards generally vest as follows: 25% of the shares vest on the first anniversary of the vesting commencement date and the remaining 75% vest proportionately each quarter over the next 12 quarters of continued service. Restricted stock unit awards generally vest in equal annual installments over a 4-year period. Initial grants to non-employee board members under the automatic grant program vest in equal annual installments over a 4-year period and subsequent grants to non-employee board members set forth in that program. Additionally, the Company has an Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") that allows employees to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the fair market value at the subscription date or the date of purchase, whichever is lower.

FlashSoft Corporation Amended and Restated 2011 Equity Plan. The FlashSoft Corporation Amended and Restated 2011 Equity Plan was assumed pursuant to the Company's acquisition of FlashSoft on February 13, 2012. Unvested restricted stock unit awards that were outstanding under this plan on February 13, 2012 were assumed by the Company and are governed by the existing terms of the plan. Restricted stock unit awards granted under this plan generally vest in equal annual installments over a 4-year period.

Valuation Assumptions

Option Plan Shares. The fair value of the Company's stock options granted to employees, officers and non-employee board members was estimated using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Dividend yield | None | None | None | None |
| Expected volatility | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.42 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.51% | 0.80% | 0.60% | 1.52% |
| Expected term | 4.3 years | 4.3 years | 4.3 years | 4.2 years |
| Estimated annual forfeiture rate | 8.59% | 8.57% | 8.59% | 8.57% |
| Weighted average fair value at grant date | \$15.39 | \$13.99 | \$16.63 | \$17.72 |

Employee Stock Purchase Plan Shares. The fair value of the Company's ESPP shares issued to employees was estimated using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Dividend yield | None | None | None | None |
| Expected volatility | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 0.43 |

| Risk-free interest rate | 0.14% | 0.08% | 0.15% | 0.13% |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Expected term | ¹∕₂ year | ¹∕₂ year | ¹∕₂ year | ¹∕₂ year |
| Weighted average fair value at purchase date | \$11.38 | \$10.44 | \$11.87 | \$12.30 |
| | | | | |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Share-based Compensation Plan Activities

Stock Options and SARs. A summary of stock option and stock appreciation rights ("SARs") activity under all of the Company's share-based compensation plans as of September 30, 2012 and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is presented below (in thousands, except for weighted average exercise price and remaining contractual term):

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|---------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Options and SARs outstanding at January 1, 2012 | 17,559 | \$36.55 | 3.4 | \$257,251 |
| Granted | 2,171 | 46.82 | | |
| Exercised | (2,853) | 22.42 | | 66,663 |
| Forfeited | (275) | 36.28 | | |
| Expired | (235) | 52.82 | | |
| Options and SARs outstanding at September 30, 2012 | 16,367 | 40.15 | 3.3 | 130,753 |
| Options and SARs vested and expected to vest after September 30, 2012, net of forfeitures | 15,797 | 40.00 | 3.2 | 129,132 |
| Options and SARs exercisable at September 30, 2012 | 10,878 | 39.71 | 2.2 | 99,862 |

At September 30, 2012, the total compensation cost related to stock options granted to employees under the Company's share-based compensation plans but not yet recognized was approximately \$78.1 million, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average period of approximately 2.5 years.

Restricted Stock Units. Restricted stock units ("RSUs") are settled in shares of the Company's common stock upon vesting on a one-for-one basis. Typically, vesting of RSUs is subject to the employee's continuing service to the Company. The cost of these awards is determined using the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, and compensation is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite vesting period.

A summary of the changes in RSUs outstanding under the Company's share-based compensation plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is presented below (in thousands, except for weighted average grant date fair value):

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|--------|--|------------------------------|
| Non-vested share units at January 1, 2012 | 2,051 | \$40.22 | \$100,913 |
| Granted | 1,697 | 45.25 | |
| Vested | (640 |) 47.82 | 29,227 |
| Forfeited | (144 |) 42.54 | |
| Assumed through acquisition | 59 | 46.63 | |
| Non-vested share units at September 30, 2012 | 3,023 | 42.74 | 131,307 |

As of September 30, 2012, the Company had approximately \$92.9 million of unrecognized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to RSUs, which will be recognized over a weighted average estimated remaining life of 2.9 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. At September 30, 2012, there was approximately \$2.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the Company's ESPP that is expected to be recognized over a period of approximately 0.4 years.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Share-based Compensation Expense. The following tables set forth the detailed allocation of the share-based compensation expense (in thousands):

| | Three months er | nded | Nine months ended | | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Share-based compensation expense by caption | : | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | \$1,929 | \$1,283 | \$5,389 | \$3,316 | |
| Research and development | 10,379 | 8,345 | 31,029 | 23,273 | |
| Sales and marketing | 3,794 | 2,704 | 11,057 | 7,746 | |
| General and administrative | 3,848 | 3,397 | 11,808 | 10,343 | |
| Total share-based compensation expense | 19,950 | 15,729 | 59,283 | 44,678 | |
| Total tax benefit recognized | (5,464) | (3,977) | (15,309) | (11,709) | |
| Decrease in net income | \$14,486 | \$11,752 | \$43,974 | \$32,969 | |
| Share-based compensation expense by type of award: | | | | | |
| Stock options and SARs | \$8,550 | \$8,224 | \$27,192 | \$23,873 | |
| RSUs | 9,405 | 6,201 | 25,907 | 15,311 | |
| ESPP | 1,995 | 1,304 | 6,184 | 5,494 | |
| Total share-based compensation expense | 19,950 | 15,729 | 59,283 | 44,678 | |
| Total tax benefit recognized | (5,464) | (3,977) | (15,309) | (11,709) | |
| Decrease in net income | \$14,486 | \$11,752 | \$43,974 | \$32,969 | |

Share-based compensation expense of \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million related to manufacturing personnel was capitalized into inventory as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, respectively.

The total grant date fair value of options and RSUs vested was as follows (in thousands):

| | | , | |
|-----------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| Three months en | nded | Nine months en | ded |
| September 30, | September 30, October 2, | | October 2, |
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| \$7,751 | \$7,153 | \$30,725 | \$26,554 |
| 520 | 539 | 24,104 | 10,884 |
| ed \$8,271 | \$7,692 | \$54,829 | \$37,438 |
| ŧ | September 30, 2012 \$7,751 520 | 20122011\$7,751\$7,153520539 | September 30, 2012October 2, 2011September 30, 2012\$7,751\$7,153\$30,725\$20\$3924,104 |

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

9. Provision for Income Taxes

The following table presents the provision for income taxes and the effective tax rate (in thousands, except percentages):

| | Three months ended | | | | Nine months ended | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------|---|
| | September 30, Octob | | October 2, September 30 | | September 30, | , October 2, | | |
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Provision for income taxes | \$41,871 | | \$129,296 | | \$100,051 | | \$352,453 | |
| Effective tax rate | 35.4 | % | 35.7 | % | 32.9 | % | 33.3 | % |

The provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 differs from the U.S. statutory tax rate primarily due to the tax impact of earnings from foreign operations, state taxes, non-deductibility of certain share-based compensation and tax-exempt interest income. The provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2011 differs from the U.S. statutory tax rate primarily due to the tax impact of earnings from foreign operations, state taxes, non-deductibility of certain share-based compensation, tax-exempt interest income and the benefit from federal and California research and development credits. Earnings and taxes resulting from foreign operations are largely attributable to the Company's Irish, Chinese, Israeli and Japanese entities. As of September 30, 2012, the Company believes that most of its deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized, except for certain loss and credit carry forwards in the U.S. and foreign tax jurisdictions, for which the Company has provided a valuation allowance.

Unrecognized tax benefits were \$179.5 million and \$185.8 million as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, respectively. Unrecognized tax benefits that would impact the effective tax rate in the future were approximately \$80.4 million at September 30, 2012. Income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 included interest and penalties of \$0.8 million, \$2.3 million, \$0.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

The Company is subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income taxes in multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. In February 2012, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed its field audit of the Company's federal income tax returns for the years 2005 through 2008 and issued the Revenue Agent's Report. The most significant proposed adjustments are comprised of related party transactions between SanDisk Corporation and its foreign subsidiaries. The Company is contesting these adjustments through the IRS Appeals Office and cannot predict when a resolution will be reached.

The Company strongly believes the IRS's position regarding the intercompany transactions is inconsistent with applicable tax laws, judicial precedent and existing Treasury regulations, and that the Company's previously reported income tax provisions for the years in question are appropriate. The Company believes that an adequate provision has been made for the adjustments from tax examinations. However, the outcome of tax audits cannot be predicted with certainty. If any issues addressed in the Company's tax audits are resolved in a manner that is not consistent with management's expectations, the Company could be required to adjust its provision for income tax in the period such resolution occurs.

The IRS recently initiated an examination of the Company's federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2009 through 2011. The Company does not expect a resolution of this audit to be reached during the next twelve months. In addition, the Company is currently under audit by various state and international tax authorities. The Company cannot

reasonably estimate the outcome of these examinations, or provide assurance that the outcome of these examinations will not materially harm the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. Net Income per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three months en | ded | Nine months ended | |
|--|-----------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 2, | September 30, | October 2, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Numerator for basic net income per share: | | | | |
| Net income | \$76,507 | \$233,253 | \$203,861 | \$705,767 |
| Denominator for basic net income per share: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 241,694 | 239,836 | 242,284 | 238,720 |
| Basic net income per share | \$0.32 | \$0.97 | \$0.84 | \$2.96 |
| | | | | |
| Numerator for diluted net income per share: | | | | |
| Net income | \$76,507 | \$233,253 | \$203,861 | \$705,767 |
| Denominator for diluted net income per share: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 241,694 | 239,836 | 242,284 | 238,720 |
| Incremental common shares attributable to | | | | |
| exercise of outstanding employee stock options | 2 527 | 3,844 | 3,218 | 5,062 |
| and SARs (assuming proceeds would be used | 2,521 | 5,077 | 5,210 | 3,002 |
| to purchase common stock), RSUs and ESPP | | | | |
| Shares used in computing diluted net income | 244,221 | 243,680 | 245,502 | 243,782 |
| per share | 277,221 | 243,000 | 243,302 | 2+3,702 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$0.31 | \$0.96 | \$0.83 | \$2.90 |
| | | | | |
| Anti-dilutive shares excluded from net income | 71,653 | 71,010 | 70,322 | 68,134 |
| per share calculation | , 1,000 | , 1,010 | 10,522 | 00,104 |

Basic earnings per share exclude any dilutive effects of stock options, SARs, RSUs, warrants and convertible debt. Diluted earnings per share include the dilutive effects of stock options, SARs, RSUs and ESPP. Certain common stock issuable under stock options, SARs, warrants, the 1% Notes due 2013 and the 1.5% Notes due 2017 have been omitted from the diluted net income per share calculation because their inclusion is considered anti-dilutive.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

11. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Flash Partners. The Company has a 49.9% ownership interest in Flash Partners Ltd. ("Flash Partners"), a business venture with Toshiba Corporation ("Toshiba") which owns 50.1%, formed in fiscal year 2004. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had notes receivable from Flash Partners of \$199.3 million, denominated in Japanese yen. These notes are secured by the equipment purchased by Flash Partners using the note proceeds. The Company also has guarantee obligations to Flash Partners; see "Off-Balance Sheet Liabilities." At September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, the Company had an equity investment in Flash Partners of \$255.0 million and \$258.2 million, respectively, denominated in Japanese yen, offset by \$82.7 million and \$85.8 million, respectively, of cumulative translation adjustments recorded in accumulated OCI. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, the Company recorded a basis adjustment of \$0.5 million, \$2.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, to its equity in earnings from Flash Partners related to the difference between the basis in the Company's equity investment compared to the historical basis of the assets recorded by Flash Partners.

Flash Alliance. The Company has a 49.9% ownership interest in Flash Alliance Ltd. ("Flash Alliance"), a business venture with Toshiba which owns 50.1%, formed in fiscal year 2006. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had notes receivable from Flash Alliance of \$688.0 million, denominated in Japanese yen. These notes are secured by the equipment purchased by Flash Alliance using the note proceeds. The Company also has guarantee obligations to Flash Alliance; see "Off-Balance Sheet Liabilities." At September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, the Company had an equity investment in Flash Alliance of \$375.5 million and \$368.5 million, respectively, denominated in Japanese yen, offset by \$90.3 million and \$92.6 million, respectively, of cumulative translation adjustments recorded in accumulated OCI. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, the Company recorded a basis adjustment of \$3.9 million, \$11.7 million, \$4.6 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, to its equity earnings from Flash Alliance related to the difference between the basis in the Company's equity investment compared to the historical basis of the assets recorded by Flash Alliance.

Flash Forward. The Company has a 49.9% ownership interest in Flash Forward Ltd. ("Flash Forward"), a business venture with Toshiba which owns 50.1%, formed in fiscal year 2010. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had notes receivable from Flash Forward of \$180.0 million, denominated in Japanese yen. These notes are secured by the equipment purchased by Flash Forward using the note proceeds. The Company also has guarantee obligations to Flash Forward; see "Off-Balance Sheet Liabilities." At September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, the Company had an equity investment in Flash Forward of \$72.5 million and \$19.5 million, respectively, denominated in Japanese yen, offset by \$3.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, of cumulative translation adjustments recorded in accumulated OCI. As of September 30, 2012, Phase 1 of Fab 5 was equipped to a portion of the potential equipment capacity and the Company had invested in 50% of that equipment. The Company's share of the equipped portion of Fab 5 is running at full utilization. The Company does not plan to invest in further Fab 5 capacity expansion for the remainder of fiscal year 2012.

Inventory Purchase Commitments with Flash Ventures. Purchase orders placed under Flash Ventures for up to three months are binding and cannot be canceled. These outstanding purchase commitments are included as part of the total "Noncancelable production purchase commitments" in the "Contractual Obligations" table below.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Off-Balance Sheet Liabilities

Flash Ventures. Flash Ventures sells and leases back from a consortium of financial institutions ("lessors") a portion of its tools and has entered into equipment master lease agreements totaling 387.6 billion Japanese yen, or approximately \$4.99 billion based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the total amount outstanding from these master leases was 155.3 billion Japanese yen, or approximately \$2.00 billion based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012, of which the amount of the Company's guarantee obligation of the Flash Ventures' master lease agreements, which reflects future payments and any lease adjustments, was 77.7 billion Japanese yen, or approximately \$999 million based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012.

The master lease agreements contain customary covenants for Japanese lease facilities. In addition to containing customary events of default related to Flash Ventures that could result in an acceleration of Flash Ventures' obligations, the master lease agreements contain an acceleration clause for certain events of default related to the Company as guarantor, including, among other things, the Company's failure to maintain a minimum stockholders' equity of at least \$1.51 billion, and for some of the leases, the Company's failure to maintain a minimum corporate rating of BB- from Standard & Poors ("S&P") or Moody's Corporation ("Moody's"), or a minimum corporate rating of BB+ from Rating & Investment Information, Inc. ("R&I"). As of September 30, 2012, Flash Ventures was in compliance with all of its master lease covenants. As of September 30, 2012, the Company's R&I credit rating was BBB, three notches above the required minimum corporate rating threshold from R&I; and the Company's S&P credit rating was BB, one notch above the required minimum corporate rating threshold from S&P. If both S&P and R&I were to downgrade the Company's credit rating below the minimum corporate rating threshold, the Company's stockholders' equity falls below \$1.51 billion, or other events of default occur, Flash Ventures would become non-compliant under its master equipment lease agreements and would be required to negotiate a resolution to the non-compliance to avoid acceleration of the obligations under such agreements. Such resolution could include, among other things, supplementary security to be supplied by the Company, as guarantor, or increased interest rates or waiver fees, should the lessors decide they need additional collateral or financial consideration under the circumstances. If a non-compliance event were to occur and if the Company failed to reach a resolution, the Company could be required to pay a portion or the entire outstanding lease obligations covered by its guarantee under such Flash Ventures master lease agreements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table details the Company's portion of the remaining guarantee obligations under each of Flash Ventures' master lease facilities (both original and refinanced leases) in both Japanese yen and U.S. dollar equivalent based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012.

| Master Lease Agreements by Execution Date | Lease Type | Lease Amounts | | Expiration |
|---|------------|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| | | (Yen in billions) | (Dollars | |
| | | | in thousands) | |
| Flash Partners | | | | |
| February 2008 | Original | ¥1.5 | \$18,794 | 2013 |
| April 2010 | Refinanced | 1.7 | 21,415 | 2014 |
| January 2011 | Refinanced | 2.9 | 37,195 | 2014 |
| November 2011 | Refinanced | 7.2 | 93,092 | 2014 |
| March 2012 | Refinanced | 4.5 | 58,438 | 2015 |
| | | 17.8 | 228,934 | |
| Flash Alliance | | | | |
| November 2007 | Original | 3.2 | 41,112 | 2013 |
| June 2008 | Original | 10.9 | 140,526 | 2013 |
| March 2012 | Original | 9.0 | 115,958 | 2017 |
| July 2012 | Refinanced | 9.5 | 122,170 | 2017 |
| | | 32.6 | 419,766 | |
| Flash Forward | | | | |
| November 2011 | Original | 14.9 | 191,528 | 2016 |
| March 2012 | Original | 9.0 | 115,867 | 2017 |
| July 2012 | Original | 3.4 | 42,759 | 2017 |
| - | C | 27.3 | 350,154 | |
| Total guarantee obligations | | ¥77.7 | \$998,854 | |

The following table details the breakdown of the Company's remaining guarantee obligations between the principal amortization and the purchase option exercise price at the end of the term of the master lease agreements, in annual installments as of September 30, 2012 in U.S. dollars based upon the yen/dollar exchange rate at September 30, 2012 (in thousands).

| Annual Installments | Payment of Principal Amortization | Purchase Optior Exercise Price a Final Lease Terms | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------|
| Year 1 | \$216,964 | \$148,726 | \$365,690 |
| Year 2 | 184,495 | 23,960 | 208,455 |
| Year 3 | 138,853 | 56,918 | 195,771 |
| Year 4 | 89,683 | _ | 89,683 |
| Year 5 | 47,138 | 92,117 | 139,255 |
| Total guarantee obligations | \$677,133 | \$321,721 | \$998,854 |

³⁰

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Guarantees

Indemnification Agreements. The Company has agreed to indemnify suppliers and customers for alleged patent infringement. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. The Company may periodically engage in litigation as a result of these indemnification obligations. The Company's insurance policies exclude coverage for third-party claims for patent infringement. Although the liability is not remote, the nature of the patent infringement indemnification obligations prevents the Company from making a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount it could be required to pay to its suppliers and customers. Historically, the Company has not made any significant indemnification payments under any such agreements. As of September 30, 2012, no amounts had been accrued in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to these indemnification guarantees.

As permitted under Delaware law and the Company's certificate of incorporation and bylaws, the Company has agreements, or has assumed agreements in connection with its acquisitions, whereby it indemnifies certain of its officers and employees, and each of its directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer, employee or director is, or was, serving at the Company's or the acquired company's request in such capacity. The term of the indemnification period is for the officer's, employee's or director's lifetime. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company has a Director and Officer insurance policy that may reduce its exposure and enable it to recover all or a portion of any future amounts paid. As a result of its insurance policy coverage, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal. The Company has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of September 30, 2012 or January 1, 2012, as these liabilities are not reasonably estimable even though liabilities under these agreements are not remote.

The Company and Toshiba have agreed to mutually contribute to, and indemnify each other and Flash Ventures for, environmental remediation costs or liability resulting from Flash Ventures' manufacturing operations in certain circumstances. The Company and Toshiba have also entered into a Patent Indemnification Agreement under which, in many cases, the Company will share in the expenses associated with the defense and cost of settlement associated with such claims. This agreement provides limited protection for the Company against third-party claims that NAND flash memory products manufactured and sold by Flash Ventures infringe third-party patents. The Company has not made any indemnification payments under any such agreements. As of September 30, 2012, no amounts have been accrued in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to these indemnification guarantees.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following tables summarize the Company's contractual cash obligations, commitments and off-balance sheet arrangements at September 30, 2012, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on its liquidity and cash flows in future periods.

Contractual Obligations. Contractual cash obligations and commitments as of September 30, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Total | | 1 Year or Less (Remaining 3 months in Fiscal 2012) | 2 –3 Years (Fiscal 2013 and 2014) | 4 –5 Years (Fiscal 2015 and 2016) | More than 5 Years (Beyond Fiscal 2016) |
|---|-------------|--------|--|---|---|---|
| Facility and other operating leases | \$18,558 | (5) | \$2,319 | \$10,513 | \$4,821 | \$905 |
| Flash Partners ⁽¹⁾ | 706,114 | (5)(6) | 68,303 | 414,004 | 159,270 | 64,537 |
| Flash Alliance ⁽¹⁾ | 1,437,469 | (5)(6) | 141,100 | 808,808 | 355,903 | 131,658 |
| Flash Forward ⁽¹⁾ | 558,381 | (5)(6) | 35,989 | 281,921 | 211,477 | 28,994 |
| Toshiba research and development | 102,209 | (5) | 36,535 | 50,674 | 15,000 | |
| Capital equipment purchase commitments | 89,812 | | 85,058 | 4,754 | _ | _ |
| 1% Convertible senior notes principal and interest ⁽²⁾ | 937,341 | | 4,640 | 932,701 | _ | _ |
| 1.5% Convertible senior notes principal and interest ⁽³⁾ | 1,075,000 | | _ | 30,000 | 30,000 | 1,015,000 |
| Operating expense commitments | 58,384 | | 51,975 | 6,409 | | |
| Noncancelable production purchase commitments ⁽⁴⁾ | 327,964 | (5) | 327,873 | 91 | _ | _ |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$5,311,232 | | \$753,792 | \$2,539,875 | \$776,471 | \$1,241,094 |
| | | | | | | |

Includes reimbursement for depreciation and lease payments on owned and committed equipment, funding

(1) commitments for loans and equity investments and reimbursement for other committed expenses. Funding commitments assume no additional operating lease guarantees; new operating lease guarantees can reduce funding commitments.

In May 2006, the Company issued and sold \$1.15 billion in aggregate principal amount of 1% Notes due 2013. The (2) Company will pay cash interest on the outstanding notes at an annual rate of 1.0%, payable semi-annually on May

(2) Company win pay cash interest on the outstanding notes at an annual rate of 1.0%, payable semi-annually on May 15 and November 15 of each year until May 15, 2013. In fiscal year 2011, the Company redeemed \$221.9 million of the outstanding notes.

In August 2010, the Company issued and sold \$1.0 billion in aggregate principal amount of 1.5% Notes due 2017.

⁽³⁾ The Company will pay cash interest on the outstanding notes at an annual rate of 1.5%, payable semi-annually on August 15 and February 15 of each year until August 15, 2017.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes Flash Ventures, related party vendors and other silicon source vendor purchase commitments.

⁽⁵⁾ Includes amounts denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar, which are subject to fluctuation in exchange rates prior to payment and have been translated using the exchange rate at September 30, 2012.

⁽⁶⁾ Excludes amounts related to the master lease agreements' purchase option exercise price at final lease term.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. Off-balance sheet arrangements are as follows (in thousands):

| September 30, |
|---------------|
| 2012 |
| \$998,854 |

Guarantee of Flash Ventures equipment leases (1)

(1) The Company's guarantee obligation, net of cumulative lease payments, was 77.7 billion Japanese yen, or approximately \$999 million based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company has excluded \$210.1 million of unrecognized tax benefits (which includes penalties and interest) from the contractual obligation table above due to the uncertainty with respect to the timing of associated future cash flows at September 30, 2012. The Company is unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authorities.

The Company leases many of its office facilities and operating equipment for various terms under long-term, noncancelable operating lease agreements. The leases expire at various dates from fiscal year 2012 through fiscal year 2020. Future minimum lease payments are presented below (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2012 | |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Fiscal year: | | |
| 2012 (remaining three months) | \$2,446 | |
| 2013 | 6,652 | |
| 2014 | 4,916 | |
| 2015 | 4,083 | |
| 2016 | 1,449 | |
| 2017 and thereafter | 905 | |
| | 20,451 | |
| Sublease income to be received in the future under noncancelable subleases | (1,893) | |
| Net operating leases | \$18,558 | |

Net rent expense was as follows (in thousands):

| - | Three months er | nded | Nine months ended | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------|--|--|
| | September 30, October 2, | | September 30, | October 2, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Rent expense, net | \$1,712 | \$2,019 | \$4,510 | \$5,866 | | |

On January 31, 2012 the Company purchased for \$87.5 million five adjacent buildings in Milpitas, California; three of which were previously leased by the Company. Pursuant to this purchase, the Company terminated the three building lease agreements with remaining aggregate lease obligations of \$3.9 million that were set to expire in 2013.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. Related Parties and Strategic Investments

Flash Ventures with Toshiba. The Company owns 49.9% of each entity within Flash Ventures and accounts for its ownership position under the equity method of accounting. The Company's obligations with respect to the Flash Ventures master lease agreements, take-or-pay supply arrangements and research and development cost sharing are described in Note 11, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees." The financial and other support provided by the Company in all periods presented was either contractually required or the result of a joint decision to expand wafer capacity, transition to new technologies or refinance existing equipment lease commitments. Flash Ventures are variable interest entities. The Company evaluated whether it is the primary beneficiary of any of the entities within Flash Ventures for all periods presented and determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of any of the entities within Flash Ventures because it does not have a controlling financial interest in any of those entities. In determining whether the Company is the primary beneficiary, the Company analyzed the primary purpose and design of Flash Ventures, the activities that most significantly impact Flash Ventures' economic performance, and whether the Company had the power to direct those activities. The Company concluded based upon its 49.9% ownership in Flash Ventures are conducted that the Company lacked the power to direct most of the activities that most significantly impact Flash Ventures' economic performance.

The Company purchased NAND flash memory wafers from Flash Ventures and made prepayments, investments and loans to Flash Ventures totaling \$708.5 million, \$2.14 billion, \$739.7 million and \$2.30 billion in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively. The Company received loan repayments from Flash Ventures of \$146.1 million, \$357.9 million, \$163.4 million and \$248.5 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011, respectively. At September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, the Company had accounts payable balances due to Flash Ventures of \$225.8 million and \$275.8 million, respectively.

The Company's maximum reasonably estimable loss exposure (excluding lost profits), based upon the exchange rate at each respective balance sheet date, as a result of its involvement with Flash Ventures is presented below (in millions).

| | September 30, | January 1, |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2012 |
| Notes receivable | \$1,067 | \$1,297 |
| Equity investments | 703 | 646 |
| Operating lease guarantees | 999 | 732 |
| Prepayments | 31 | 50 |
| Maximum loss exposure | \$2,800 | \$2,725 |

Solid State Storage Solutions LLC. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company formed Solid State Storage Solutions LLC ("S4"), a venture with third parties to license intellectual property. S4 qualifies as a variable interest entity. The Company is considered the primary beneficiary of S4 and the Company consolidates S4 in its Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented. The Company considered multiple factors in determining it was the primary beneficiary, including its overall involvement with the venture, contributions and participation in operating activities. S4's assets and liabilities were not material to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

13. Litigation

The flash memory industry is characterized by significant litigation seeking to enforce patent and other intellectual property rights. The Company's patent and other intellectual property rights are primarily responsible for generating license and royalty revenue. The Company seeks to protect its intellectual property through patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, confidentiality agreements and other methods, and has been and likely will continue to enforce such rights as appropriate through litigation and related proceedings. The Company expects that its competitors and others who hold intellectual property rights related to its industry will pursue similar strategies. From time-to-time, it has been and may continue to be necessary to initiate or defend litigation against third parties. These and other parties could bring suit against the Company. In each case listed below where the Company is the defendant, the Company intends to vigorously defend the action. At this time, the Company does not believe it is reasonably possible that losses related to the litigation described below have occurred beyond the amounts, if any, which have been accrued. However, legal discovery and litigation is highly unpredictable and future legal developments may cause current estimates to change in future periods.

Patent Infringement Litigation Initiated by SanDisk. On October 24, 2007, the Company filed a Complaint for patent infringement in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (the "District Court") against the following defendants: Phison Electronics Corp. ("Phison"); Silicon Motion Technology Corp., Silicon Motion, Inc. (Taiwan), Silicon Motion, Inc. (California), and Silicon Motion International, Inc. (collectively, "Silicon Motion"); Synergistic Sales, Inc. ("Synergistic"); USBest Technology, Inc. dba Afa Technologies, Inc. ("USBest"); Skymedi Corp. ("Skymedi"); Chipsbank Microelectronics (HK) Co., Ltd., Chipsbank Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., and Chipsbank Microelectronics Co., Ltd., (collectively, "Chipsbank"); Infotech Logistic LLC ("Infotech"); Zotek Electronic Co., Ltd., dba Zodata Technology Ltd. (collectively, "Zotek"); Power Quotient International Co., Ltd., and PQI Corp. (collectively, "PQI"); PNY Technologies, Inc. ("PNY"); Kingston Technology Co., Inc., Kingston Technology Corp., Payton Technology Corp., and MemoSun, Inc. (collectively, "Kingston"); Buffalo, Inc., Melco Holdings, Inc., and Buffalo Technology (USA), Inc. (collectively, "Buffalo"); Verbatim Corp. ("Verbatim"); Transcend Information Inc. (Taiwan), Transcend Information Inc. (California, U.S.A.), and Transcend Information Maryland, Inc., (collectively, "Transcend"); Imation Corp., Imation Enterprises Corp., and Memorex Products, Inc. (collectively, "Imation"); Add-On Computer Peripherals, Inc. and Add-On Computer Peripherals, LLC (collectively, "Add-On Computer Peripherals"); Add-On Technology Co., A-Data Technology Co., Ltd., and A-Data Technology (USA) Co., Ltd., (collectively, "A-DATA"); Apacer Technology Inc. and Apacer Memory America, Inc. (collectively, "Apacer"); Acer, Inc.; Behavior Tech Computer Corp. and Behavior Tech Computer (USA) Corp. (collectively, "Behavior"); Corsair Memory, Inc. ("Corsair"); Dane-Elec Memory S.A., and Dane-Elec Corp. USA, (collectively, "Dane-Elec") EDGE Tech Corp. ("EDGE"); Interactive Media Corp, ("Interactive"); LG Electronics, Inc., and LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., (collectively, "LG"); TSR Silicon Resources Inc. ("TSR"); and Welldone Co. ("Welldone"). In this action (the "'607 Action"), the Company initially asserted that the defendants infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 5,719,808 (the "808 patent"), 6,763,424 (the "424 patent"); 6,426,893 (the "893 patent"); 6,947,332 (the "332 patent"); and 7,137,011 (the "011 patent"). The Company entered into a stipulation dismissing the '332 patent. The Company concurrently filed a second Complaint for patent infringement in the same District Court against the following defendants: Phison, Silicon Motion, Synergistic, USBest, Skymedi, Zotek, Infotech, PQI, PNY, Kingston, Buffalo, Verbatim, Transcend, A-DATA, Apacer, Behavior, and Dane-Elec. In this action (the "605 Action"), the Company asserted that the defendants infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,149,316 (the "316 patent") and 6,757,842 (the "842 patent"). The Company seeks damages and injunctive relief in both actions. Settlement agreements were reached with, and the Company has dismissed its claims against, Imation, Phison, Silicon Motion, Skymedi, Verbatim, Corsair, Add-On Computer Peripherals, EDGE, Infotech, Interactive, PNY, TSR and Welldone. In addition, the Company's claims against Chipsbank, Acer, Behavior, Dane-Elec, LG, PQI, USBest, Transcend,

A-DATA, Apacer, Buffalo and Synergistic were dismissed without prejudice. In light of these settlements and dismissals, Kingston is the only remaining defendant.

The District Court consolidated the '605 and '607 Actions and stayed these actions during the pendency of related proceedings before the U.S. International Trade Commission, which are now closed. After lifting the stay, the District Court set the trial to begin on February 28, 2011. On September 22, 2010, the District Court issued a Markman Order construing certain terms from the remaining patents. In light of the District Court's Markman Order, the Company withdrew its allegations regarding the '808 and '893 patents. On February 15, 2011, the District Court issued a Summary Judgment Order that found that certain Kingston products with a Phison PS3006 controller contributorily infringed claims 20, 24, 28 and 30 of the '424 patent. In doing so, the District Court found that there were no substantial non-infringing uses for these Kingston products. As part of the order, the District Court also ruled that the majority of accused Kingston products (ones that did not contain the Phison PS3006 controller) did not infringe the asserted claims of the '424 patent. The Summary Judgment Order further found

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

that none of the accused Kingston products infringed the asserted claims of the '842 and '316 patents. The Summary Judgment Order also found that the Company had standing to sue Kingston on the '842 and '316 patents and that the Company was not entitled to damages for Kingston's sales prior to October 2007. The Company disagrees with various aspects of the District Court's rulings in the Summary Judgment Order. On February 17, 2011, the Company and Kingston filed a stipulated dismissal with the District Court, stating that rather than proceeding to trial against Kingston products containing the Phison PS3006 controller, which represented a small amount of damages, the Company agreed to dismiss its claim against the Kingston PS3006 product and Kingston agreed to dismiss its invalidity and/or enforceability counterclaims against the Company's patents, thereby allowing either party to appeal. Under the terms of the stipulated dismissal, the Company and Kingston have the right to re-file the dismissed claims if (a) an appellate court reverses, remands, or vacates, in whole or in part, the District Court's September 22, 2010 Claim Construction Order, or the District Court's February 15, 2010 Summary Judgment, and (b) the case is returned to the District Court for further proceedings. The stipulation for dismissal does not prejudice either the Company or Kingston's right to appeal this matter in whole or in part.

The District Court entered an amended final judgment dismissing these actions on March 29, 2011. The Company filed a timely notice of appeal from that judgment on April 19, 2011, and the appeal was argued before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on March 7, 2012.

On October 9, 2012, the Federal Circuit reversed the judgment in principal part, holding that the district court had erred in granting summary judgment because its decision was based on erroneous constructions of key terms in the '316, '842, and '424 patents, and because the district court misapplied the "dedication-disclosure rule" under the doctrine of equivalents. With respect to the '893 and '808 patents, as well as claims 1 and 10 of the '842 patent, the Federal Circuit held that it lacked jurisdiction. The Federal Circuit's judgment returns the case to the district court in Wisconsin, so that the Company's infringement claims with respect to the '316, '842, and '424 patents can be asserted under the correct understanding of their claims.

Patent Infringement Litigation Initiated by SanDisk. On May 4, 2010, the Company filed a Complaint for patent infringement in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (the "District Court") against Kingston and Imation. The Company has since dismissed its claims against Imation in light of a confidential settlement agreement between the parties. In this action, the Company asserts U.S. Patent Nos. 7,397,713; 7,492,660; 7,657,702; 7,532,511; 7,646,666; 7,646,667; and 6,968,421. The Company seeks damages and injunctive relief. Kingston has answered the Complaint denying infringement and raising several affirmative defenses and related counterclaims. These defenses and related counterclaims include, among others, non-infringement, invalidity, implied license, express license, unenforceability for alleged patent misuse, lack of standing and bad faith litigation. Kingston also asserted antitrust counterclaims against the Company alleging monopolization, attempted monopolization and agreement in restraint of trade, all under the Sherman Act. Kingston also asserted state law unfair competition counterclaims. The Company has denied Kingston's counterclaims. The District Court issued a Markman Order construing certain claim terms of the patents on March 16, 2011. On June 10, 2011, the Company filed a motion seeking a summary judgment in connection with Kingston's antitrust counterclaims and Kingston's implied license defense. On June 10, 2011, Kingston filed a motion seeking a summary judgment of non-infringement concerning all of the Company's asserted patent claims, invalidity of certain of those claims, and in connection with certain of the Company's damages claims. On August 12, 2011, the District Court granted Kingston's motion for summary judgment as to all of the Company's infringement claims. On October 13, 2011, the District Court granted the Company's motion for summary judgment on Kingston's monopolization and attempted monopolization counterclaims, but denied the Company's motion for summary judgment on Kingston's federal agreement-in-restraint-of-trade counterclaim and state law unfair

competition counterclaim. A bench trial on Kingston's remaining counterclaims was held the week of November 7, 2011. On March 27, 2012, the District Court issued a decision finding in favor of the Company on Kingston's remaining federal antitrust and state law unfair competition counterclaims. The District Court issued a final judgment on April 20, 2012, entering judgment in Kingston's favor on all of the Company's infringement claims and judgment in the Company's favor on all of Kingston's federal antitrust and state law unfair competition counterclaims. On April 30, 2012, Kingston filed a notice of appeal. The Company filed a notice of appeal on May 18, 2012. The Company filed its opening brief on appeal on August 13, 2012. Kingston filed its reply brief on October 12, 2012. The Company's reply brief is due November 20, 2012. Kingston's reply brief is due December 12, 2012.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Patent Infringement Litigation Initiated by SanDisk (United Kingdom). On April 4, 2011, following the detention by Customs Authorities in the United Kingdom of several consignments of Universal Serial Bus ("USB") flash drive products imported by Kingston Digital Europe Limited ("KDEL"), SanDisk IL Ltd. and the Company commenced patent infringement proceedings against KDEL in the Patents Court in the Chancery Division of the High Court. The subject matter of the proceedings concerns Kingston USB flash drive products suspected of infringing three Company patents, being European patents (UK) numbered 1.092,193, 1,548,604 and 1,746,513. The Company seeks injunctive relief, damages, costs and associated remedies in those proceedings. A further related company, Kingston Technology Europe Limited, was initially named in the proceedings but was removed after KDEL admitted to all importation of the relevant products. KDEL filed its Defence (i.e., Defense) on May 19, 2011 denying infringement and seeking revocation of all three patents. Following the Company's application in March 2012, Kingston Technology Co., Inc. ("KTCI") and Kingston Technology Corporation ("KTC") were joined as co-defendants. Both KTC and KTCI served a Joint Defence with KDEL on April 30, 2012, and provided further disclosure in June and July 2012. Following disclosure, the Company made an application to the Court to rely upon experimental evidence, which was granted by the Court after hearings on July, 24 and 27, 2012. The Court also postponed the trial and consequential case management deadlines. Exchange of expert reports is now due on June 3, 2013, and the trial is scheduled to commence in the week of January 20, 2014 and expected to last up to three weeks.

Patent Litigation Initiated By SanDisk. On October 27, 2011, in response to infringement allegations by Round Rock Research LLC ("Round Rock"), the Company filed a lawsuit against Round Rock in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District Court"). The lawsuit seeks a declaratory judgment that eleven Round Rock patents are invalid and/or not infringed by flash memory products sold by the Company. On March 1, 2012, Round Rock filed its answer and counterclaim, in which it asserted that the eleven patents-in-suit are valid and infringed by the Company. On May 3, 2012, the Company amended its complaint to add a twelfth patent. On May 17, 2012, Round Rock answered and counterclaimed, asserting that the Company infringed the twelfth patent added to the case. The Company filed motions for summary judgment of invalidity of two of the asserted patents on July 5, 2012, and Round Rock withdrew both patents on July 19, 2012. The parties have exchanged positions on claim interpretation, and claim construction briefing is ongoing. A claim construction hearing is currently scheduled for January 16, 2013.

In addition, Round Rock filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware on May 3, 2012, asserting that the Company is infringing eleven patents. The patents being asserted against the Company in the lawsuit in the District of Delaware include one patent that is also being litigated between the parties in the action pending in the District Court. Round Rock subsequently filed an amended complaint in Delaware alleging that the Company's alleged infringement was willful. On August 14, 2012, the parties agreed to dismiss the common patent in the Delaware action and proceed to litigate that patent only in California.

Ritz Camera Federal Antitrust Class Action. On June 25, 2010, Ritz Camera & Image, LLC ("Ritz") filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District Court"), alleging that the Company violated federal antitrust law by conspiring to monopolize and monopolizing the market for flash memory products. The lawsuit captioned Ritz Camera & Image, LLC v. SanDisk Corporation, Inc. and Eliyahou Harari, purports to be on behalf of direct purchasers of flash memory products sold by the Company and joint ventures controlled by the Company from June 25, 2006 through the present. The Amended Complaint alleges that the Company created and maintained a monopoly by fraudulently obtaining patents and using them to restrain competition and by allegedly converting other patents for its competitive use. On February 24, 2011, the District Court issued an Order granting in part and denying in part the Company's motion to dismiss which resulted in Dr. Harari being dismissed as a defendant. In addition, the Company filed a motion requesting that the District Court certify for immediate interlocutory appeal

the portion of its Order denying the Company's motion to dismiss based on Ritz's lack of standing to pursue Walker Process antitrust claims. The Company answered the Complaint on March 10, 2011, denying all of Ritz's allegations of wrongdoing. On September 7, 2011, the District Court granted the Company's motion to certify for interlocutory appeal. On September 19, 2011, the Company filed a petition for permission to file an interlocutory appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (the "Federal Circuit"). On October 27, 2011, the District Court administratively closed the case pending the Federal Circuit's ruling on the Company's petition. The briefing on appeal has been completed. The Federal Circuit held oral argument on October 5, 2012. The Federal Circuit has not issued a decision.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Samsung Federal Antitrust Action Against Panasonic and SD-3C. On July 15, 2010, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Samsung") filed this action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District Court") alleging various claims against Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America (collectively, "Panasonic") and SD-3C, LLC ("SD-3C") under federal antitrust law pursuant to Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act, and under California antitrust and unfair competition laws. Such claims are based on, inter alia, alleged conduct related to the licensing practices and operations of SD-3C. The Complaint further seeks a declaration that Panasonic and SD-3C engaged in patent misuse and that the patents subject to such alleged misuse should be held unenforceable. The Company is not named as a defendant in this case, but it established SD-3C along with Panasonic and Toshiba, and the Complaint includes various factual allegations concerning the Company. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss on September 24, 2010, and thereafter Samsung filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") on October 14, 2010, which was also based on alleged conduct related to the licensing practices and operations of the SD-3C, and contained the same claims as the original Complaint. On August 25, 2011, the District Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the FAC. The District Court dismissed the patent misuse claim with prejudice, but gave Samsung leave to amend its other claims. On September 16, 2011, Samsung filed its Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"), which continued to assert claims based on alleged conduct related to the licensing practices and operations of the SD-3C and contained the same claims as the original complaint, except for the patent misuse claim. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the SAC on October 6, 2011. By Order dated January 3, 2012, the Court granted defendants' motion without leave to amend. Samsung filed a notice of appeal, dated January 25, 2012. The briefing on appeal has been completed.

Federal Antitrust Class Action Against SanDisk, et al. On March 15, 2011, a putative class action captioned Oliver v. SD 3C LLC, et al was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District Court") on behalf of a nationwide class of indirect purchasers of Secure Digital ("SD") cards alleging various claims against the Company, SD 3C, Panasonic, Toshiba, and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. under federal antitrust law pursuant to Section 1 of the Sherman Act, California antirust and unfair competition laws, and common law. Plaintiffs allege the Company (along with the other members of SD 3C) conspired to artificially inflate the royalty costs associated with manufacturing SD cards in violation of federal and California antitrust and unfair competition laws, which in turn allegedly caused plaintiffs to pay higher prices for SD cards. The allegations are similar to, and incorporate by reference the complaint in the Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Panasonic Corporation; Panasonic Corporation of North America; and SD 3C LLC described above. On November 23, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint, and on February 21, 2012, the Company and the other defendants filed a joint motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint. On May 21, 2012, the District Court granted the motion to dismiss, with prejudice. On June 20 2012, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal. Appellants' Opening Brief is due March 11, 2013; the Answering Brief is due May 24, 2013: and the Reply Brief is due June 21, 2013. The Company received two demand letters dated March 30, 2011, and one demand letter dated February 13, 2012, pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 93A §9 ("93A Demand Letters"). The letters gave notice of intention to file a class action lawsuit on behalf of a nationwide class of indirect purchasers of SD cards alleging various claims against the Company, SD 3C, Panasonic; Toshiba, and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. under Massachusetts unfair competition law if the Defendants do not tender a settlement. These letters generally repeat the allegations in the antitrust cases filed against SD 3C and Panasonic defendants in Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Panasonic Corp., et al. and against the Company, SD 3C, Panasonic defendants, and Toshiba defendants in Oliver v. SD-3C LLC, et al. On April 21, 2011, the Company responded to the March 30, 2011 letters detailing their deficiencies. On March 21, 2012, the Company responded to the February 13, 2012 letter similarly detailing its deficiencies.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Statements in this report, which are not historical facts, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These statements may contain words such as "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" or other wording indicating future results or expectations. Forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially include, but are not limited to, those discussed under "Risk Factors" in Part II, Item 1A, and elsewhere in this report. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially harmed by any of these or other factors. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements to reflect any event or circumstance that arises after the date of this report. References in this report to "SanDis[®]," "we," "our," and "us" refer collectively to SanDisk Corporation, a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries.

Overview

We are a global leader in flash memory storage solutions. Our goal is to provide simple, reliable and affordable storage solutions for consumer and enterprise use in a wide variety of formats and devices. We sell our products globally to original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs, and retail customers.

We design, develop and manufacture data storage solutions in a variety of form factors using our flash memory, proprietary controller and firmware technologies. We purchase the vast majority of our NAND flash memory supply requirements through our significant flash venture relationships with Toshiba Corporation, or Toshiba, which produce and provide us with leading-edge, low-cost memory wafers. Our removable card products are used in a wide range of consumer electronics devices such as mobile phones, digital cameras, gaming devices and laptop computers. Our embedded flash products are also used in a wide range of products such as mobile phones, tablets, eReaders, ultrabooks, notebooks and other computing platforms, as well as global positioning system, or GPS, devices, gaming systems and imaging devices. For computing platforms, which include notebooks, desktop computers and servers, we provide high-speed, high-capacity storage solutions such as solid state drives, or SSDs, that can be used in lieu of hard disk drives.

Our strategy is to be an industry-leading supplier of NAND flash storage solutions and to develop large scale markets for NAND-based storage products. We intend to maintain our technology leadership by investing in advanced technologies and NAND flash memory fabrication capacity in order to produce leading-edge, low-cost NAND flash memory for use in a variety of end-products, including consumer, mobile phone and computing devices. We are a one-stop-shop for our OEM and retail customers, selling in high volumes all major NAND flash storage solutions for our target markets.

Our results are primarily driven by worldwide demand for flash storage devices, which in turn primarily depends on demand for consumer electronic products and for SSDs in computing devices and enterprise storage systems. We believe the markets for flash storage are generally price elastic, meaning that a decrease in the price per gigabyte results in increased demand for higher capacities and the emergence of new applications for flash storage. Accordingly, we expect that as we reduce the price of our flash devices, consumers will demand an increasing number of gigabytes and/or units of memory and that over time, new markets will emerge. In order to profitably capitalize on this price elasticity, we must reduce our cost per gigabyte at a rate similar to the decrease in selling price per gigabyte, while at the same time increasing the average capacity and/or the number of product units sold. We continually seek to achieve these cost reductions through technology improvements, primarily by increasing the amount of memory stored in a given area of silicon.

Our industry is characterized by rapid technology transitions. Since our inception, we have been able to scale NAND flash technology through fifteen generations over approximately twenty-two years. However, the pace at which NAND flash technology is transitioning to new generations is expected to slow due to inherent physical technology limitations. We currently expect to be able to continue to scale our NAND flash technology through a few additional generations, but beyond that there is no certainty that further technology scaling can be achieved cost-effectively with the current NAND flash technology and architecture. We also continue to invest in future alternative technologies, including our 3-Dimensional resistive RAM, or 3D ReRAM, technology can no longer scale at a sufficient rate, or at all. We have made investments in Bit-cost scaleable 3-Dimensional NAND, or BiCS, and other technologies. We believe BiCS technology, if successful, could enable further memory cost reductions beyond the NAND roadmap. However, even when NAND flash technology can no longer scale further, we expect NAND flash technology and potential alternative technology is to coexist for an extended period of time.

| Results of Operation | ons | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------------|-----|--------------------------|-------|------|-----------|-------|------|------------|-------|------|
| | Three mon | | ded | | | | Nine mont | | ed | | | |
| | September | - | | October 2, | | | September | - | | October 2, | | |
| | 2012 | Reve | | | Revei | nues | 2012 | Reve | nues | 2011 | Rever | nues |
| Product revenues | \$1,182.2 | s, exce 92.9 | | ercentages) \$1,321.9 | 93.4 | % | \$3,233.8 | 92.1 | % | \$3,814.1 | 93.4 | % |
| License and royalty revenues | 91.0 | 7.1 | % | 94.1 | 6.6 | % | 277.2 | 7.9 | % | 271.1 | 6.6 | % |
| Total revenues | 1,273.2 | 100.0 | % | 1,416.0 | 100.0 | % | 3,511.0 | 100.0 | % | 4,085.2 | 100.0 | % |
| Cost of product revenues Amortization of | 880.5 | 69.2 | | 790.5 | 55.9 | % | 2,398.1 | 68.3 | % | 2,281.3 | 55.8 | % |
| acquisition-related intangible assets | 9.8 | 0.7 | % | 13.1 | 0.9 | % | 32.7 | 0.9 | % | 26.5 | 0.7 | % |
| Total cost of product revenues | 890.3 | 69.9 | % | 803.6 | 56.8 | % | 2,430.8 | 69.2 | % | 2,307.8 | 56.5 | % |
| Gross profit Operating | 382.9 | 30.1 | % | 612.4 | 43.2 | % | 1,080.2 | 30.8 | % | 1,777.4 | 43.5 | % |
| expenses | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Research and development | 150.3 | 11.8 | % | 135.3 | 9.6 | % | 443.7 | 12.6 | % | 400.1 | 9.8 | % |
| Sales and marketing | 57.9 | 4.5 | % | 48.5 | 3.4 | % | 159.2 | 4.5 | % | 144.2 | 3.5 | % |
| General and administrative | 40.2 | 3.2 | % | 40.6 | 2.8 | % | 110.5 | 3.2 | % | 116.0 | 2.8 | % |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | 2.4 | 0.2 | % | 1.9 | 0.1 | % | 6.7 | 0.2 | % | 2.7 | 0.1 | % |
| Total operating expenses | 250.8 | 19.7 | % | 226.3 | 15.9 | % | 720.1 | 20.5 | % | 663.0 | 16.2 | % |
| • | 132.1 | 10.4 | % | 386.1 | 27.3 | % | 360.1 | 10.3 | % | 1,114.4 | 27.3 | % |
| Other income (expense), net | (13.7) | (1.1 | %) | (23.5) | (1.7 | %) | (56.2) | (1.6 | %) | (56.2) | (1.4 | %) |
| Income before income taxes | 118.4 | 9.3 | % | 362.6 | 25.6 | % | 303.9 | 8.7 | % | 1,058.2 | 25.9 | % |
| Provision for income taxes | 41.9 | 3.3 | % | 129.3 | 9.1 | % | 100.0 | 2.9 | % | 352.4 | 8.6 | % |
| Net income | \$76.5 | 6.0 | % | \$233.3 | 16.5 | % | \$203.9 | 5.8 | % | \$705.8 | 17.3 | % |

Product Revenues

| | Three mont | hs ended | | Nine months ended | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|----|--|
| | September 3 | Percent | | September | Percent | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | | 2012 | 2011 | Chang | e | |
| | (In millions | , except perce | ntages) | | | | | | |
| OEM | \$697.7 | \$859.3 | (18.8 | %) | \$1,946.3 | \$2,528.8 | (23.0 | %) | |
| Retail | 484.5 | 462.6 | 4.7 | % | 1,287.5 | 1,285.3 | 0.2 | % | |
| Product revenues | \$1,182.2 | \$1,321.9 | (10.6 | %) | \$3,233.8 | \$3,814.1 | (15.2 | %) | |

The decrease in our product revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was comprised of a decline in average selling price per gigabyte of 49%, partially offset by an increase in gigabytes sold of 76%. A primary factor in the decrease in product revenues was a steep rate of price decline in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, largely due to oversupply in the NAND industry in the first half of fiscal year 2012. OEM product revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2012 decreased compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to decreased sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, partially offset by increased sales of SSDs for the notebook market. Retail product revenues in the three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to the three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to the three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months ended october 2, 2011, due primarily to he three months en

The decrease in our product revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was comprised of a decline in average selling price per gigabyte of 49%, partially offset by an increase in gigabytes sold of 65%. A primary factor in the decrease in product revenues was a steep rate of price decline in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 largely due to oversupply in the NAND industry in the first half of fiscal year 2012. OEM product revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 decreased compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to lower sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, and lower sales of wafers and components, partially offset by increased sales of SSDs for the server and notebook markets. Retail product revenues in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 increased compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, due primarily to higher sales of cards for mobile devices, as well as SSDs and USB drives, partially offset by lower sales of cards for the imaging market, and lower sales of audio/video products.

Our ten largest customers represented approximately 46% and 40% of our total revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 48% and 50% in the three and nine months ended October 2, 2011, respectively. One customer represented 16% of our total revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2012 and no customer exceeded 10% of our total revenues during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. No customer exceeded 10% of our total revenues during the three months ended October 2, 2011 and one customer represented 11% of our total revenues in the three months ended October 2, 2011 and one customer represented 11% of our total revenues in the nine months ended October 2, 2011.

| Geographic | cal Product | Reve | nue | s | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----------|-----------------------|------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Three mo | onths e | | | | | | | Nine mor | ths er | | | | | | |
| | September 2012 | er 30 Produ Reve | act | | % of Produ Reve | ici | Perc Cha | | Septembe 2012 | % of r 30 Produ Reve | | 2011 | % of Produ Reve | | Perc Char s | |
| | (In millio | ons, ex | cep | ot percenta | ges) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| United States | \$164.2 | 13.9 | % | \$180.8 | 13.7 | % | (9.2 | %) | \$464.9 | 14.4 | % | \$540.2 | 14.2 | % | (13.9 |) %) |
| Asia-Pacifi | c 806.6 | 68.2 | % | 889.4 | 67.3 | % | (9.3 | %) | 2,140.6 | 66.2 | % | 2,628.0 | 68.9 | % | (18.5 | 5%) |
| Europe, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Middle Eas | st 153.7 | 13.0 | % | 188.3 | 14.2 | % | (18. | 4%) | 461.9 | 14.3 | % | 491.8 | 12.9 | % | (6.1 | %) |
| and Africa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| foreign | 57.7 | 4.9 | % | 63.4 | 4.8 | % | (9.0 | %) | 166.4 | 5.1 | % | 154.1 | 4.0 | % | 8.0 | % |
| countries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Product | \$1 182 2 | 100 (| 0% | \$1,321.9 | 100.0 | 0% | (10) | 6%) | \$3 233 8 | 100.0 | 0% | \$3,814.1 | 100.0 | 0% | (15) | ? %) |
| revenues | ψ1,102.2 | 100.0 | , 10 | ψ1,521.7 | 100.0 | 10 | (10. | 0 /0) | ψ <i>J</i> ,2 <i>J</i> J.0 | 100.0 | , 10 | ψυ,017.1 | 100.0 | , 10 | (13.2 | - 10) |

The decrease in product revenues in Asia-Pacific, or APAC, which includes Japan, for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to decreased OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, partially offset by higher retail sales of mobile cards, USB drives and imaging cards. The decrease in product revenues in the United States for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to lower OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, and lower retail sales of USB drives, partially offset by increased sales of SSDs for the server and notebook markets. The decrease in product revenues in Europe, Middle East and Africa, or EMEA, for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to lower OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices.

Geographical Product Revenues

The decrease in product revenues in APAC for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to decreased OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, partially offset by higher retail sales of cards for mobile devices, USB drives and SSDs. The decrease in product revenues in the United States for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to lower retail sales of cards for mobile and imaging devices, and lower OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, partially offset by increased SSD revenue for the server and notebook markets. The decrease in product revenues in EMEA for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to decreased OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, partially offset by increased SSD revenue for the server and notebook markets. The decrease in product revenues in EMEA for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was primarily due to decreased OEM sales of cards and embedded products for mobile devices, and lower retail sales of cards for the imaging market, partially offset by increased retail sales of mobile devices, and increased sales of SSDs for the server market.

Table of Contents

License and Royalty Revenues

| | Three mont | hs ended | Nine months ended | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|----|-----------|---------|-------|----|
| | September 3 | 30Qctober 2, | Percer | nt | September | Percent | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 Change | | | 2012 | 2011 | Chang | ge |
| | (In millions | , except perce | entages) | | | | | |
| License and royalty revenues | \$91.0 | \$94.1 | (3.3 | %) | \$277.2 | \$271.1 | 2.3 | % |

The decrease in our license and royalty revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to decreased card royalties.

The increase in our license and royalty revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to higher licensable flash memory sales reported by our licensees.

Gross Profit and Margin

| - | Three more | s ended | | | Nine months ended | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|-------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------|---|---------|----|
| | September 30,October 2, | | | , | Percent | September 30,October 2, | | | | Percent | |
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | Change | 2012 | | 2011 | | Chang | e |
| | (In million | 1s, (| except perc | ent | ages) | | | | | | |
| Product gross profit | \$291.9 | | \$518.3 | | (43.7 %) | \$803.0 | | \$1,506.3 | | (46.7 | %) |
| Product gross margin (as a percent of product revenues) | 24.7 | % | 39.2 | % | | 24.8 | % | 39.5 | % | | |
| Total gross margin (as a percen of total revenues) | ^t 30.1 | % | 43.2 | % | | 30.8 | % | 43.5 | % | | |

The decrease in product gross margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three and nine months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to average selling price reductions exceeding cost reductions, with the average selling price reduction largely influenced by oversupply in the NAND industry in the first half of fiscal year 2012.

In addition, for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, the decrease in product gross margin also reflected an increased mix of certain lower margin embedded mobile products.

In addition, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, the decrease in product gross margin was due to inventory-related charges and an increase in amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets resulting from our acquisitions, partially offset by an insurance recovery in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 primarily resulting from a Flash Ventures power outage that occurred in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010.

Research and Development

| - | Three mo | onths ended | | Nine mon | Nine months ended | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Septemb | er 30,October 2, | Percent | Septembe | September 30,October 2, | | | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | 2012 | 2011 | Change | | | | | |
| | (In millio | ons, except perce | entages) | | | | | | | | |
| Research and development | \$150.3 | \$135.3 | 11.1 % | \$443.7 | \$400.1 | 10.9 % | | | | | |
| Percent of revenue | 11.8 | % 9.6 | % | 12.6 | % 9.8 % | | | | | | |

The increase in our research and development expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to higher employee-related costs of \$4.6 million as headcount increased, and higher third-party engineering costs of \$7.8 million.

The increase in our research and development expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to higher employee-related costs of \$22.7 million as headcount increased, an increase in third-party engineering costs of \$35.3 million and higher technology license amortization of \$10.2 million, partially offset by the elimination of Fab 5 start-up costs, which were \$24.7 million in the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, and other expense reductions.

Sales and Marketing

| | Three mo | onths ended | Nine months ended | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|--------|--|--|--|
| | Septembe | er 30,October 2, | Percent | September 3 | Percent | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | 2012 | 2011 | Change | | | |
| | (In millio | ns, except percer | ntages) | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing | \$57.9 | \$48.5 | 19.4 % | \$159.2 | \$144.2 | 10.4 % | | | |
| Percent of revenue | 4.5 | % 3.4 % | 0 | 4.5 % | 6 3.5 % | | | | |

The increase in our sales and marketing expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to increased employee-related costs of \$4.2 million as headcount increased, and increased branding and merchandising costs of \$5.4 million.

The increase in our sales and marketing expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to increased employee-related costs of \$7.8 million as headcount increased, and increased branding and merchandising costs of \$8.1 million, partially offset by lower outside service costs of \$0.9 million.

General and Administrative

| | Three mo | onths ended | | Nine months ended | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Septemb | er 30,October 2, | Percent | Septembe | September 30,October 2, | | | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | 2012 | 2011 | Change | | | | |
| | (In millio | ons, except perce | ntages) | | | | | | | |
| General and administrative | \$40.2 | \$40.6 | (1.0 %) | \$110.5 | \$116.0 | (4.7 %) | | | | |
| Percent of revenue | 3.2 | % 2.8 % | 0 | 3.2 | % 2.8 % | 1 | | | | |

Our general and administrative expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012, was approximately the same as the three months ended October 2, 2011.

The decrease in our general and administrative expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to insurance recoveries of (\$4.6) million recorded in the

second quarter of fiscal year 2012 for previously recorded legal expense.

Amortization of Acquisition-Related Intangible Assets

| - | | nths ended r 30October | 2, Percent | rcent Nine months ended September 30Qctober 2, | | | | | ent |
|---|-------|---------------------------|------------|---|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| | 2012 | 2011 ns, except pe | Change | | 2012 | | 2011 | Chan | ge |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | \$2.4 | \$1.9 | C , | % | \$6.7 | S | \$2.7 | 148.1 | % |
| Percent of revenue | 0.2 | % 0.1 | % | | 0.2 | % (| 0.1 % | , | |

The increase in amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three and nine months ended October 2, 2011, reflected increased amortization of intangible assets from our acquisitions of Pliant Technology, Inc., or Pliant, which was completed on May 24, 2011, FlashSoft Corporation, which was completed on February 13, 2012, and Schooner Information Technology, Inc., which was completed on June 23, 2012.

Other Income (Expense), Net

| | Three mo | onths ended | Nine more | Nine months ended | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------|----|--|
| | Septembe | er 30Qctober 2 | , Percen | Septemb | September 30Qctober 2, | | | | |
| | 2012 | Chang | Change 2012 | | 2011 | Chang | e | | |
| | (In millio | ons, except per | centages) | | | | | | |
| Interest income | \$14.7 | \$14.8 | (0.7 | %) | \$44.7 | \$46.9 | (4.7 | %) | |
| Interest expense | (30.1 |) (30.9 |) 2.6 | % | (89.2 |) (94.4 |) 5.5 | % | |
| Other income (expense), net | 1.7 | (7.4 |) 123.0 | % | (11.7 |) (8.7 |) (34.5 | %) | |
| Total other income (expense), net | \$(13.7 |) \$(23.5 |) 41.7 | % | \$(56.2 |) \$(56.2 |) — | % | |

The change in total other income (expense), net for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended October 2, 2011, was due primarily to an expense of (\$11.5) million incurred in the three months ended October 2, 2011 for the change in fair value of the liability component of the convertible debt related to the repurchase of a portion of the 1% Notes due 2013.

Total other income (expense), net was the same for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended October 2, 2011. Other income (expense), net for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 included a non-recurring charge incurred by Flash Ventures and losses on non-designated foreign exchange contracts in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, a loss related to our portion of the net loss incurred by one of our equity method investments. Other income (expense), net for the nine months ended October 2, 2011 included an expense of (\$11.5) million for the change in fair value of the liability component of the convertible debt related to the repurchase of a portion of the 1% Notes due 2013.

Provision for Income Taxes

| | Three months ended | | | Nine months ended | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | September 30,October 2, | | Percent | September 30,October 2, | | Percent |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | 2012 | 2011 | Change |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Provision for income taxes | \$41.9 | \$129.3 | (67.6 %) | \$100.0 | \$352.4 | (71.6 %) |
| Effective tax rate | 35.4 | % 35.7 | % | 32.9 | % 33.3 % |) |

The provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 differs from the U.S. statutory tax rate primarily due to the tax impact of earnings from foreign operations, state taxes, non-deductibility of certain share-based compensation and tax-exempt interest income. The provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended October 2, 2011 differs from the U.S. statutory tax rate primarily due to the tax impact of earnings from foreign operations, state taxes, non-deductibility of certain share-based compensation, tax-exempt interest income and the benefit from federal and California research and development credits. Earnings and taxes resulting from foreign operations are largely attributable to our Irish, Chinese, Israeli and Japanese entities. As of September 30, 2012, we believe that most of our deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized, except for certain loss and credit carry forwards in the U.S. and foreign tax jurisdictions, for which we have provided a valuation allowance.

Unrecognized tax benefits were \$179.5 million and \$185.8 million as of September 30, 2012 and January 1, 2012, respectively. Unrecognized tax benefits that would impact the effective tax rate in the future were approximately \$80.4 million at September 30, 2012. Income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and October 2, 2011 included interest and penalties of \$0.8 million, \$2.3 million, \$0.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

We are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income taxes in multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. In February 2012, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") completed its field audit of our federal income tax returns for the years 2005 through 2008 and issued the Revenue Agent's Report. The most significant proposed adjustments are comprised of related party transactions between SanDisk Corporation and its foreign subsidiaries. We are contesting these adjustments through the IRS Appeals Office and cannot predict when a resolution will be reached.

We strongly believe the IRS's position regarding the intercompany transactions is inconsistent with applicable tax laws, judicial precedent and existing Treasury regulations, and that our previously reported income tax provisions for the years in question are appropriate. We believe that an adequate provision has been made for the adjustments from tax examinations. However, the outcome of tax audits cannot be predicted with certainty. If any issues addressed in our tax audits are resolved in a manner that is not consistent with management's expectations, we could be required to adjust our provision for income tax in the period such resolution occurs.

The IRS recently initiated an examination of our federal income tax returns for fiscal years 2009 through 2011. We do not expect a resolution of this audit to be reached during the next twelve months. In addition, we are currently under audit by various state and international tax authorities. We cannot reasonably estimate the outcome of these examinations, or provide assurance that the outcome of these examinations will not materially harm our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Reconciliation of Net Income.

| | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|---|--|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| | September | 30,October 2, | September 30,October 2, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 2011 | |
| | (In millions except per share amounts) | | | |
| Net income | \$76.5 | \$233.3 | \$203.9 \$705.8 | |
| Share-based compensation | 20.0 | 15.7 | 59.3 44.7 | |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | 12.2 | 15.1 | 39.4 29.2 | |
| Convertible debt interest | 22.7 | 42.8 | 66.9 90.0 | |
| Income tax adjustments | (13.6 |) (14.7) | (44.3) (48.8) | |
| Non-GAAP net income | \$117.8 | \$292.2 | \$325.2 \$820.9 | |
| | | | | |
| Diluted net income per share: | \$0.31 | \$0.96 | \$0.83 \$2.90 | |
| Share-based compensation | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.24 0.18 | |
| Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.16 0.12 | |
| Convertible debt interest | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.27 0.37 | |
| Income tax adjustments | (0.05 |) (0.06) | (0.18) (0.20) | |
| Non-GAAP diluted net income per share: | \$0.48 | \$1.20 | \$1.32 \$3.37 | |
| Shares used in computing diluted net income per share (in thousands): | | | | |
| GAAP | 244,221 | 243,680 | 245,502 243,782 | |
| | | | | |
| Non-GAAP | 244,287 | 243,947 | 245,472 243,828 | |
| | | | | |

We believe that providing this additional information is useful in enabling the investor to better assess and understand operating performance, especially when comparing results with previous periods or forecasting performance for future periods, primarily because management typically monitors the business excluding these items. We also use these non-GAAP measures to establish operational goals and for measuring performance for compensation purposes. However, analysis of results on a non-GAAP basis should be used as a complement to, and in conjunction with and not as a replacement for, data presented in accordance with GAAP.

We believe that the presentation of non-GAAP measures, including non-GAAP net income and non-GAAP diluted net income per share, provides important supplemental information to management and investors about financial and business trends relating to our operating results. We believe that the use of these non-GAAP financial measures also provides consistency and comparability with our past financial reports.

We have historically used these non-GAAP measures when evaluating operating performance because we believe that the inclusion or exclusion of the items described below provides an additional measure of our core operating results and facilitates comparisons of our core operating performance against prior periods and our business model objectives. We have chosen to provide this information to investors to enable them to perform additional analyses of past, present and future operating performance and as a supplemental means to evaluate our ongoing core operations. Externally, we believe that these non-GAAP measures continue to be useful to investors in their assessment of our operating performance and their valuation of our company.

Internally, these non-GAAP measures are significant measures used by us for purposes of: •evaluating our core operating performance;

•establishing internal budgets;

•setting and determining variable compensation levels;

•calculating return on investment for development programs and growth initiatives;

•comparing performance with internal forecasts and targeted business models;

•strategic planning; and

•benchmarking performance externally against our competitors.

We exclude the following items from our non-GAAP measures:

Share-based Compensation Expense. These expenses consist primarily of expenses for share-based compensation, such as stock options, restricted stock units and our employee stock purchase plan. Although share-based compensation is an important aspect of the compensation of our employees and executives, we exclude share-based compensation expenses from our non-GAAP measures primarily because they are non-cash expenses. Further, share-based compensation expenses are based on valuations with many underlying assumptions not in our control that vary over time and may include modifications that may not occur on a predictable cycle, neither of which are necessarily indicative of our ongoing business performance. In addition, the share-based compensation expenses recorded are often unrelated to the actual compensation an employee realizes. We believe that it is useful to exclude share-based compensation expense for investors to better understand the long-term performance of our core operations and to facilitate comparison of our results to our prior periods and to our peer companies.

Amortization of Acquisition-related Intangible Assets. We incur amortization of intangible assets in connection with acquisitions. Since we do not acquire businesses on a predictable cycle, we exclude these items in order to provide investors and others with a consistent basis for comparison across accounting periods.

Convertible Debt Interest. We incur non-cash economic interest expense relating to the implied value of the equity conversion component of the convertible debt. The value of the equity conversion component is treated as a debt discount and amortized to interest expense over the life of the notes using the effective interest rate method. We also incur interest expense equal to the change in fair value of the liability component of the convertible debt when we repurchase a portion of the convertible debt. We exclude these non-cash interest expenses that do not represent cash interest payments made to our note holders.

Income Tax Adjustments. This amount is used to present each of the amounts described above on an after-tax basis, considering jurisdictional tax rates, consistent with the presentation of non-GAAP net income.

From time-to-time in the future, there may be other items that we may exclude if we believe that doing so is consistent with the goal of providing useful information to investors and management.

Limitations of Relying on Non-GAAP Financial Measures. We have incurred and will incur in the future, many of the costs that we exclude from the non-GAAP measures, including share-based compensation expense, impairment of goodwill and acquisition-related intangible assets, amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets and other acquisition-related costs, non-cash economic interest expense associated with our convertible debt and income tax adjustments. These measures should be considered in addition to results prepared in accordance with GAAP, but should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, GAAP results. These non-GAAP measures may be different than the non-GAAP measures used by other companies.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash flows were as follows:

| | Nine months ended | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|----|
| | September 30,October 2, | | Percent | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | |
| | (In millions, except percentages) | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$214.2 | \$844.2 | (74.6 | %) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (175.4 |) (484.6 |) 63.8 | % |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (96.9 |) (113.5 |) 14.6 | % |
| Effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on cash | 1.1 | (0.4 |) ** | |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$(57.0 |) \$245.7 | (123.2 | %) |

** Amount not meaningful

Operating Activities. The decrease in cash provided by operations in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012, compared to the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, resulted primarily from a decrease in net income. Cash flow from accounts receivable increased due to lower sales and higher collections in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012 as compared with the first nine months of fiscal year 2011. Cash flow related to inventory was a higher usage of cash compared to the prior year primarily due to higher inventory levels. Cash flow from other assets increased compared to the prior year primarily due to a prior year prepayment of building-related costs for Flash Forward Ltd., or Flash Forward. Cash flow related to accounts payable to related parties decreased primarily due to the timing of payments to Flash Ventures as compared to the prior year. Cash flow from other liabilities decreased primarily due to higher tax payments in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012 was primarily related to the acquisition of property and equipment of (\$382.6) million and acquisitions, net of cash acquired, of (\$69.4) million, partially offset by net proceeds from short and long-term marketable securities of \$111.8 million and net proceeds from Flash Ventures of \$165.1 million. Net cash used in investing activities in the first nine months of fiscal year 2011 was primarily related to net loans and investments made to Flash Ventures of (\$234.1) million, cash used for the Pliant acquisition of (\$317.6) million, purchases of technology and other assets of (\$100.0) million and acquisition of property and equipment of (\$114.3) million, offset by net proceeds from short and long-term marketable securities of \$281.4 million.

Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities for the first nine months of fiscal year 2012 was primarily related to share repurchases in the amount of (\$191.5) million, partially offset by cash received from employee stock programs. In the first nine months of fiscal year 2011, net cash used in financing activities was primarily related to the repurchase of a portion of our 1% Notes due 2013 of (\$211.4) million, partially offset by cash received from employee stock programs.

Liquid Assets. At September 30, 2012, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term marketable securities of \$2.60 billion. We had \$2.82 billion of long-term marketable securities, which we believe are also liquid assets, but are classified as long-term due to the remaining contractual maturity of the investment being greater than one year.

Short-Term Liquidity. As of September 30, 2012, our working capital balance was \$2.42 billion. We expect cash usage for property and equipment, as well as loans and investments to the Flash Ventures, to total approximately \$250.0 million for fiscal year 2012, of which \$217.5 million was spent in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012.

At September 30, 2012, we had \$928.1 million aggregate principal amount of 1% Notes due May 15, 2013, which we expect to settle in cash upon maturity.

Depending on the demand for our products, we may decide to make additional investments, which could be substantial, in wafer fabrication foundry capacity and assembly and test manufacturing equipment to support our business. We may also make equity investments in other companies, engage in merger or acquisition transactions, or purchase or license technologies. These activities may require us to raise additional financing, which could be difficult to obtain, and which if not obtained in satisfactory amounts, could prevent us from funding Flash Ventures, increasing our wafer supply, developing or enhancing our products, engaging in investments in or acquisitions of companies, growing our business, responding to competitive pressures or unanticipated industry changes, or taking advantage of other future opportunities, any of which could harm our business.

Our short-term liquidity could be impacted in part by our ability to maintain compliance with covenants in the outstanding Flash Ventures master lease agreements. The Flash Ventures master lease agreements contain customary covenants for Japanese lease facilities as well as an acceleration clause for certain events of default related to us as guarantor, including, among other things, our failure to maintain a minimum stockholder equity of at least \$1.51 billion and for some of the leases, our failure to maintain a minimum corporate rating of BB- from Standard & Poors, or S&P, or Moody's Corporation, or Moody's, or a minimum corporate rating of BB+ from Rating & Investment Information, Inc., or R&I. As of September 30, 2012, Flash Ventures was in compliance with all of its master lease covenants. As of September 30, 2012, our R&I credit rating was BBB, three notches above the required minimum corporate rating threshold for R&I; and our S&P credit rating was BB, one notch above the required minimum corporate rating threshold for S&P.

If our stockholders' equity falls below \$1.51 billion, or both S&P and R&I were to downgrade our credit rating below the minimum corporate rating threshold, or other events of default occur, Flash Ventures would become non-compliant with certain covenants under certain master equipment lease agreements and would be required to negotiate a resolution to the non-compliance to avoid acceleration of the obligations under such agreements. Such resolution could include, among other things, supplementary security to be supplied by us, as guarantor, or increased interest rates or waiver fees, should the lessors decide they need additional collateral or financial consideration under the circumstances. If a resolution was unsuccessful, we could be required to pay a portion or up to the entire \$999 million outstanding lease obligations covered by our guarantees under such Flash Ventures master lease agreements, based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012, which would negatively impact our short-term liquidity.

As of September 30, 2012, the amount of cash and cash equivalents and short and long-term marketable securities held by foreign subsidiaries was \$889.1 million. We provide for U.S. income taxes on the earnings of foreign subsidiaries unless the earnings are considered indefinitely invested outside of the U.S. As of January 1, 2012, no provision had been made for U.S. income taxes or foreign withholding taxes on \$361.8 million of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries since we intend to indefinitely reinvest these earnings outside the U.S. We have also not provided U.S. income taxes or foreign withholding taxes on undistributed foreign earnings generated in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. We determined that the calculation of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these cumulative unremitted earnings was not practicable. If these earnings were distributed to the U.S., we would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes and foreign withholding taxes reduced by available foreign tax credits.

In October 2011, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program under which we may acquire up to \$500.0 million of our outstanding common stock over a period of up to five years. As of September 30, 2012, we had cumulatively repurchased 4.8 million shares for \$195.5 million, including 4.7 million shares for \$191.5 million, in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012. Under this program, share purchases may be made from time-to-time in both the open market and privately negotiated transactions, and may include the use of derivative contracts, structured share repurchase agreements and Rule 10b5-1 trading plans. The stock repurchase program does not obligate us to purchase any particular amount of shares.

Long-Term Requirements. Depending on the demand for our products, we may decide to make additional investments, which could be substantial, in wafer fabrication foundry capacity and assembly and test manufacturing equipment to support our business. We may also make equity investments in other companies, engage in merger or acquisition transactions, or purchase or license technologies. These activities may require us to raise additional financing, which could be difficult to obtain, and which if not obtained in satisfactory amounts, could prevent us from funding Flash Ventures, increasing our wafer supply, developing or enhancing our products, engaging in investments in or acquisitions of companies, growing our business, responding to competitive pressures or unanticipated industry changes, or taking advantage of other future opportunities, any of which could harm our business.

Financing Arrangements. At September 30, 2012, we had \$928.1 million aggregate principal amount of 1% Notes due 2013 outstanding and \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 1.5% Notes due 2017 outstanding. See Note 6, "Financing Arrangements," in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q for more detail.

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1% Notes due 2013, we sold warrants to acquire shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$95.03 per share. As of September 30, 2012, the warrants had an expected life of approximately 0.9 years and expire on 20 different dates from August 23, 2013 through September 20, 2013. At expiration, we may, at our option, elect to settle the warrants on a net share basis. In addition, concurrent with the issuance of the 1% Notes due 2013, we entered into a convertible bond hedge in which counterparties agreed to sell to us up to approximately 14.0 million shares of our common stock, which is the number of shares initially issuable upon conversion of the 1% Notes due 2013 in full, at a conversion price of \$82.36 per share. During fiscal year 2011, concurrent with the repurchase of a portion of the outstanding 1% Notes due 2013, we unwound a pro-rata portion of the convertible bond hedge and warrants and received net proceeds of \$0.3 million from this unwinding, which was recorded in equity. We may now purchase up to 11.3 million shares of our common stock at a

conversion price of \$82.36 per share. As of September 30, 2012, none of the remaining warrants had been exercised nor had we purchased any shares under the remaining convertible bond hedge. The convertible bond hedge will be settled in net shares and will terminate upon the earlier of the maturity date of the 1% Notes due 2013 or the first day that none of the 1% Notes due 2013 remain outstanding due to conversion or otherwise. Settlement of the convertible bond hedge in net shares on the expiration date would result in us receiving net shares equivalent to the number of shares issuable by us upon conversion of the 1% Notes due 2013.

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.5% Notes due 2017, we sold warrants to acquire shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$73.33 per share. As of September 30, 2012, the warrants had an expected life of approximately 5.2 years and expire on 40 different dates from November 13, 2017 through January 10, 2018. At each expiration date, we may, at our option, elect to settle the warrants on a net share basis. As of September 30, 2012, the warrants had not been exercised and remained outstanding. In addition, concurrent with the issuance of the 1.5% Notes due 2017, we entered into a convertible bond hedge transaction in which counterparties agreed to sell to us up to approximately 19.1 million shares of our common stock, which is the number of shares initially issuable upon conversion of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 or the first day none of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 remains outstanding due to conversion or otherwise. Settlement of the convertible bond hedge in net shares, based on the number of shares issuable upon conversion of the 1.5% Notes due 2017, on the expiration date would result in us receiving net shares equivalent to the number of shares issuable by us upon conversion of the 1.5% Notes due 2017. As of September 30, 2012, we had not purchased any shares under this convertible bond hedge agreement.

Ventures with Toshiba. We are a 49.9% owner in each entity within Flash Ventures, our business ventures with Toshiba to develop and manufacture NAND flash memory products. These NAND flash memory products are manufactured by Toshiba at Toshiba's Yokkaichi, Japan operations using the semiconductor manufacturing equipment owned or leased by Flash Ventures. This equipment is funded or will be funded by investments in or loans to Flash Ventures from us and Toshiba as well as through operating leases received by Flash Ventures from third-party banks and guaranteed by us and Toshiba. Flash Ventures purchases wafers from Toshiba at cost and then resells those wafers to us and Toshiba at cost plus a markup. We are contractually obligated to purchase half of Flash Ventures' NAND wafer supply or pay for 50% of the fixed costs of Flash Ventures. We are not able to estimate our total wafer purchase obligations beyond our rolling three month purchase commitment because the price is determined by reference to the future cost to produce the wafers. See Note 12, "Related Parties and Strategic Investments," in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10 Q.

As of September 30, 2012, Phase 1 of Fab 5 was equipped to a portion of the potential equipment capacity and we had invested in 50% of that equipment. Our share of the equipped portion of Fab 5 is running at full utilization. We do not plan to invest in further Fab 5 capacity expansion for the remainder of fiscal year 2012. See Note 11, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees," in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

The cost of the wafers we purchase from Flash Ventures is recorded in inventory and ultimately cost of product revenues. Flash Ventures are variable interest entities; however, we are not the primary beneficiary of these ventures because we do not have a controlling financial interest in each venture. Accordingly, we account for our investments under the equity method and do not consolidate.

For semiconductor manufacturing equipment that is leased by Flash Ventures, we and Toshiba jointly guarantee on an unsecured and several basis, 50% of the outstanding Flash Ventures' lease obligations under original master lease agreements entered into by Flash Ventures. These master lease obligations are denominated in Japanese yen and are noncancelable. Our total master lease obligation guarantee as of September 30, 2012 was 77.7 billion Japanese yen, or

approximately \$999 million based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, we made a \$62.2 million prepayment for Flash Forward building-related costs. As of September 30, 2012, \$30.9 million was remaining, of which \$20.6 million was classified as Other current assets and \$10.3 million was classified as Other non-current assets.

From time-to-time, we and Toshiba mutually approve the purchase of equipment for the Flash Ventures in order to convert to new process technologies or add wafer capacity. Flash Partners Ltd., or Flash Partners, and Flash Alliance Ltd., or Flash Alliance, have already reached full wafer capacity.

Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our contractual obligations and off-balance sheet arrangements at September 30, 2012, and the effect those contractual obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow over the next five years are presented in textual and tabular format in Note 11, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees," in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

Impact of Currency Exchange Rates

Exchange rate fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our most significant foreign currency exposure is to the Japanese yen in which we purchase the vast majority of our NAND flash wafers. In addition, we also have significant costs denominated in the Chinese renminbi and the Israeli new shekel, and we have revenue denominated in the European euro, the British pound, the Japanese yen, the Chinese renminbi and the Canadian dollar. We do not enter into derivatives for speculative or trading purposes. We use foreign currency forward and cross currency swap contracts to mitigate transaction gains and losses generated by certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. We use foreign currency forward contracts and options to partially hedge our future Japanese yen costs for NAND flash wafers. Our derivative instruments are recorded at fair value in assets or liabilities with final gains or losses recorded in other income (expense) or as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, or OCI, and subsequently reclassified into cost of product revenues in the same period or periods in which the cost of product revenues is recognized. These foreign currency exchange exposures may change over time as our business and business practices evolve, and they could harm our financial results and cash flows. See Note 3, "Derivatives and Hedging Activities," in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

For a discussion of foreign operating risks and foreign currency risks, see Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors."

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and operating results is based upon our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes. The estimates and judgments affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosure of contingent liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, intellectual property claims, product returns, inventories and inventory reserves, valuation and impairments of marketable securities and investments, impairments of goodwill and long-lived assets, income taxes, warranty obligations, restructuring, contingencies, share-based compensation and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities when those values are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could materially differ from these estimates.

There were no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. For information about critical accounting policies, see the discussion of critical accounting policies in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 23, 2012.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

Interest Rate Risk. Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our investment portfolio. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. As of September 30, 2012, a hypothetical 50 basis point increase in interest rates would result in an approximate \$30.7 million decline (less than 0.69%) of the fair value of our available-for-sale debt securities.

Foreign Currency Risk. The majority of our revenues are transacted in the U.S. dollar, with some revenues transacted in the European euro, the British pound, the Japanese yen, the Chinese renminbi and the Canadian dollar. Our flash memory costs, which represent the largest portion of our cost of product revenues, are denominated in Japanese yen. We also have some cost of product revenues denominated in the Chinese renminbi. The majority of our operating expenses are denominated in the U.S. dollar; however, we have expenses denominated in the Israeli new shekel and numerous other currencies. On the balance sheet, we have numerous foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities, with the largest monetary exposure being our balances with Flash Ventures, which are denominated in Japanese yen.

We enter into foreign currency forward and cross currency swap contracts to hedge the gains or losses generated by the remeasurement of our significant foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities. The fair value of these contracts is reflected as other assets or other liabilities and the change in fair value of these balance sheet hedge contracts is recorded into earnings as a component of other income (expense) to largely offset the change in fair value of the foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities which is also recorded in other income (expense).

We use foreign currency forward contracts to partially hedge future Japanese yen flash memory costs. These contracts are designated as cash flow hedges and are carried on our balance sheet at fair value with the effective portion of the contracts' gains or losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently recognized in cost of product revenues in the same period the hedged cost of product revenues is recognized.

At September 30, 2012, we had foreign currency forward contracts and cross currency swap contracts in place that amounted to a net sale in U.S. dollar equivalent of \$60.8 million and \$37.3 million in foreign currencies to hedge our foreign currency denominated monetary net asset position over the next twelve months and beyond the next twelve months, respectively. The notional amount and unrealized gain or loss of our outstanding cross currency swap and foreign currency forward contracts that are non-designated (balance sheet hedges) as of September 30, 2012 are shown in the table below. In addition, this table shows the change in fair value of these balance sheet hedges assuming a hypothetical adverse foreign currency exchange rate movement of 10 percent. These changes in fair values would be largely offset in other income (expense) by corresponding changes in the fair values of the foreign currency denominated monetary assets and liabilities.

| | Notional Amount | Unrealized Gain (Loss) as of September 30, 2012 | | Change in Fair Value Due to 10% Adverse Rate Movement | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | (In millions) | | | | |
| Balance sheet hedges | | | | | |
| Cross currency swap contracts sold | \$(185.2 |) \$(0.8 |) | \$(20.2 |) |
| Forward contracts sold | (143.2 |) (1.7 |) | (14.9 |) |

| Forward contracts purchased | 230.3 | (0.2 |) 25.2 | |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|---|
| Total net outstanding contracts | \$(98.1 |) \$(2.7 |) \$(9.9 |) |

At September 30, 2012, we had foreign currency forward contracts in place that amounted to a net purchase in U.S. dollar equivalent of approximately \$338.1 million to partially hedge our expected future wafer purchases in Japanese yen. The maturities of these contracts were 11 months or less. The notional amount and fair value of our outstanding forward contracts that are designated as cash flow hedges as of September 30, 2012 is shown in the table below. In addition, this table shows the change in fair value of these cash flow hedges assuming a hypothetical adverse foreign currency exchange rate movement of 10 percent.

| | Notional Amount | Fair Value as of September 30, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---|---------|---|
| | (In millions) | | | |
| Cash flow hedges | | | | |
| Forward contracts purchased | \$338.1 | \$2.9 | \$(30.5 |) |

Notwithstanding our efforts to mitigate some foreign exchange risks, we do not hedge all of our foreign currency exposures, and there can be no assurances that our mitigating activities related to the exposures that we hedge will adequately protect us against risks associated with foreign currency fluctuations.

Market Risk. With the U.S. long-term sovereign credit rating below the highest available rating and the risk of additional future downgrades or related downgrades by recognized credit rating agencies, the investment choices for our cash and marketable securities portfolio could be reduced, which could negatively impact our non-operating results. We do not have direct ownership of European sovereign debt in our investment portfolio. Sales to Europe accounted for approximately 13% of our total revenues in the first nine months of fiscal year 2012, and any significant uncertainties in the European financial markets could impact our revenues and financial condition in Europe or elsewhere.

All of the potential changes noted above are based on sensitivity analysis performed on our financial position at September 30, 2012. Actual results may differ materially.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management has evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2012. Based on their evaluation as of September 30, 2012, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) were effective at the reasonable assurance level to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and regulations and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Note 13, "Litigation," in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following description of the risk factors associated with our business includes any material changes to and supersedes the description of the risk factors associated with our business previously disclosed in Part II, Item 1A of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended July 1, 2012.

Our operating results may fluctuate significantly, which may harm our financial condition and our stock price. Our quarterly and annual operating results have fluctuated significantly in the past and we expect that they will continue to fluctuate in the future. Our results of operations are subject to fluctuations and other risks, including, among others:

competitive pricing pressures, resulting in lower average selling prices and lower or negative product gross margins; potential delays in product development or lack of customer acceptance of our solutions, particularly OEM products such as our embedded flash storage solutions, and client and enterprise SSD solutions;

unpredictable or changing demand for different form factors, capacities and underlying memory technologies in our products;

excess or mismatched captive memory output or capacity, which could result in write-downs for excess inventory, lower of cost or market charges, lower average selling prices, fixed costs associated with under-utilized capacity or other consequences;

inability to maintain or gain market share in client and enterprise SSD markets or delays in the adoption or high volume ramp of captive memory in our enterprise SSD products;

increased memory component and other costs as a result of currency exchange rate fluctuations for the U.S. dollar, particularly with respect to the Japanese yen;

timing, volume and cost of wafer production from Flash Ventures as impacted by fab start-up delays and costs, technology transitions, lower than expected yields or production interruptions;

lower than anticipated demand, including due to general economic weakness in our markets;

fluctuations or declines in our license and royalty revenues due to license agreement renewals on less favorable terms, non-renewals, business performance of our licensees, or if licensees or we fail to perform on contractual obligations; inability to enhance current products or develop new products on a timely basis or in advance of our competitors; inability to develop, or unexpected difficulties or delays in developing or ramping with acceptable yields new technologies such as 1Y-nanometer or subsequent process technologies, X3 NAND memory architecture, BiCS technology, 3D ReRAM, or other advanced alternative technologies;

expansion of industry supply, including low-grade supply useable in limited markets, creating excess supply of flash products in the market, causing our average selling prices to decline faster than our costs;

failure to adequately invest in future technologies, manufacturing capacity and products;

inability to obtain non-captive memory supply of the right product mix and quality in the time frame necessary to meet demand, or inability to realize an adequate margin on non-captive purchases;

insufficient non-memory materials or capacity from our suppliers and contract manufacturers to meet demand or increases in the cost of non-memory materials or capacity;

loss of branded product sales due to our sales of non-branded products, wafers and components;

insufficient assembly and test or retail packaging and shipping capacity from our Shanghai, China facility or our contract manufacturers, or labor unrest, strikes or other disruptions at any of these facilities;

difficulty in forecasting and managing inventory levels due to non-cancelable contractual obligations to purchase materials, such as custom non-memory materials, and the need to build finished product in advance of customer purchase orders;

increasing concentration of market share among a limited number of companies that purchase NAND flash-based products could result in a significant portion of our revenues generated from a limited number of customers; the financial strength and market share of our customers;

• errors or defects in our products caused by, among other things, errors or defects in the memory or controller components, including memory and non-memory components we procure from third-party suppliers; and the other factors described in this "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this report.

Competitive pricing pressures and excess supply have resulted in lower average selling prices and negative product gross margins in the past, and if we do not experience adequate price elasticity or sufficient demand for our products, our revenues may decline. The NAND flash memory industry has, from time-to-time, experienced periods of over-supply, during which our price declines exceeded our cost declines, resulting in declining or even negative product gross margins. Price declines may be influenced by, among other factors, supply exceeding demand, macroeconomic factors, technology transitions, conversion of industry DRAM capacity to NAND, and new technologies or other actions taken by us or our competitors to gain market share. Industry capacity is expected to continue to grow, and if capacity grows at a faster rate than market demand, the industry could again experience significant price declines, which would harm our average selling prices, or we may incur adverse purchase commitments due to under-utilization of Flash Ventures' capacity, both of which would harm our margins and operating results. Additionally, if our technology transitions take longer or are more costly than anticipated to complete, or our cost reductions fail to keep pace with the rate of price declines, our product gross margins and operating results will be harmed, which could lead to quarterly or annual net losses.

Over our history, price decreases have generally been more than offset by increased unit demand and demand for products with increased storage capacity. However, there have been periods during which price declines outpaced unit and gigabyte growth, resulting in reduced revenue as compared to prior comparable periods.

There can be no assurance that current and future price reductions will result in sufficient demand for increased product capacity or unit sales, or that future cost reductions will be adequate to keep pace with price reductions. If price reductions are not offset by growth in product capacity or unit sales, or if price reductions are higher than cost reductions, our revenues and/or margins could be harmed.

Our revenues depend in large part on our ability to achieve design wins from our OEM customers and the success of products sold by our OEM customers. Our primary OEM products include: cards for mobile devices, embedded memory products, and SSDs for the notebook and server markets. Our OEM revenue is primarily dependent upon our products meeting OEM specifications and the achievement of design wins in an OEM's products such as mobile phones, tablets, computers and enterprise servers. Even if our products meet OEM specifications, our sales to these customers are dependent upon the OEMs choosing our products over those of our competitors, on the OEMs' ability to create, market and sell their products successfully, and our ability to supply our products in sufficient quantity. If our OEM customers are not successful in selling their current or future products in sufficient quantity, our operating results and financial condition could be harmed. For example, in fiscal year 2012, we experienced a decline in sales of cards to certain OEM customers because these OEMs decided to stop bundling cards or to bundle lower capacity cards with certain mobile phones. It is possible that this debundling trend will grow, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to make up for reduced OEM card demand with higher sales of other products.

The future growth of our business depends in part on maintaining or gaining market share in the client and enterprise SSD markets. Over the next several years, it is expected that some of the fastest growth areas for NAND flash will be SSD solutions in client and enterprise markets. We are making significant investments in the development of hardware and software solutions for the SSD markets. In order to successfully maintain or gain market share in SSD solutions, we must continue to increase our investment in SSD product development. We also must grow our sales and marketing resources and qualify our solutions using our captive memory with additional customers. If we are unable to successfully develop and sell client and enterprise SSD solutions, we could lose sales and corresponding profit

opportunities, which would harm our operating results.

Our enterprise SSD business is characterized by sales to a limited number of customers with long design, qualification and sales cycles and customers/products that do not lend themselves to the same rapid technology transitions as our other products. The enterprise SSD market is comprised of a relatively limited number of customers, with long design, qualification and test cycles prior to sales. Enterprise sales cycles can be long and unpredictable, and require considerable time and expense. For example, we may be required to customize our product to interoperate with an OEM's product, which could further lengthen the sales cycle. We may need to spend substantial time, money and other resources in our sales process without any assurance that our efforts will produce any sales. As a result of this lengthy and uncertain sales cycle, it is difficult for us to predict when customers will qualify and purchase products, if at all, and as a result, our operating results may vary significantly and may be harmed. There can be no assurance that we will be able to accurately predict demand for these products in the future. The difficulty in forecasting demand and the customized nature of our products for certain OEMs also makes it difficult to anticipate our inventory requirements, which may cause us to over-produce finished goods, resulting in inventory write-offs, or under-produce finished goods, harming our ability to meet customer requirements and generate sales. Due to long customer product cycles, we may not be able to benefit from the rapid technology transitions that drive cost reductions in our consumer-based products and this may lead to variability in our product gross margins. We are in the process of transitioning our enterprise solutions products from non-captive memory to captive memory. If there are delays in qualifying captive memory for these products, we may experience unexpected declines in our revenue or margins from these products.

We require an adequate level of product gross margins to continue to invest in our business. Our ability to generate sufficient product gross margins and profitability to invest in our business is influenced by supply/demand balance in the flash memory industry, our ability to reduce our cost per gigabyte at an equal or higher rate than the price decline per gigabyte, our ability to develop new products and technologies, the rate of growth of our target markets, the competitive position of our products, the continued acceptance of our products by our customers and our management of production capacity. For example, we experienced negative product gross margins for fiscal year 2008 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2009 due to sustained aggressive industry price declines as well as inventory charges primarily due to lower of cost or market write downs. If we fail to maintain adequate product gross margins and profitability, our business and financial condition would be harmed and we may have to reduce, curtail or terminate certain business activities, including funding technology development and capacity expansion.

Sales to a small number of customers represent a significant portion of our revenues, and if we were to lose one or more of our major customers or licensees, or experience any material reduction in orders from any of our customers, our revenues and operating results could suffer. Our ten largest customers represented approximately 46% and 40% of our total revenues in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 48% and 50% in the three and nine months ended October 2, 2011, respectively. One customer represented 16% of our total revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2012. The composition of our major customer base has changed over time, including shifts between OEM and retail-based customers, and there have been changes in the market share concentration among our customers. We expect fluctuations in our customer base and the mix of our revenue by customer to continue as our markets and strategies evolve, which could make our revenues less predictable from period-to-period. If we were to lose one or more of our major customers or licensees, or experience any material reduction in orders from any of our customers or in sales of licensed products by our licensees, our revenues and operating results could suffer. If we fail to comply with the contractual terms of our significant customer contracts, the business covered under these contracts and our financial results may be harmed and we might face legal and financial liability related to unfulfilled contractual obligations. Additionally, our license and royalty revenues may decline significantly in the future as our existing license agreements and patents expire or if licensees or we fail to perform contractual obligations. Our sales are generally made from standard purchase orders rather than long-term contracts. Accordingly, our customers, including our major customers, may generally terminate or reduce their purchases from us at any time without notice or penalty.

Our business and the markets we address are subject to significant fluctuations in supply and demand, and our investments in Flash Ventures may result in periods of significant excess inventory. We have previously experienced periods of oversupply, including after beginning the ramp of Flash Alliance in late 2007 and throughout 2008. We began investing in Flash Forward wafer capacity in the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 and paused wafer capacity expansion in January 2012. Future increases in captive memory capacity in Flash Forward could result in excess supply and harm our business and operating results. The adverse effects could include, among other things, significant decreases in our product prices, significant excess, obsolete or lower of cost or market inventory write-downs or under-utilization charges which would harm our gross margins and could result in the impairment of our investments in Flash Ventures.

Our inability to obtain sufficient or the right mix of flash memory supply could cause us to lose sales and market share and harm our operating results. Demand from our customers may exceed supply or may not match the available supply of captive and non-captive flash memory available to us. It is uncertain whether additional supply provided by captive technology transitions or fab expansions will enable us to meet demand. While we have various sources of non-captive supply, our purchases of non-captive supply may be limited if we cannot obtain the right mix of non-captive product within an adequate lead time or at a cost that allows us to generate an adequate gross margin, or our customers do not qualify non-captive product. Our inability to obtain adequate or the right mix of supply to meet demand may cause us to lose sales, market share and corresponding profits, which would harm our operating results.

We depend on Flash Ventures and third parties for silicon supply and any disruption or shortage in our supply from these sources will reduce our revenues, earnings and gross margins. All of our flash memory system products require silicon supply for the memory and controller components. The substantial majority of our flash memory is currently supplied by Flash Ventures and to a much lesser extent by third-party silicon suppliers. Any disruption or shortage in supply of flash memory from our captive or non-captive sources, including disruptions due to disasters, supply chain interruptions and other factors, would harm our operating results.

The concentration of Flash Ventures in Yokkaichi, Japan, magnifies the risks of supply disruption. Earthquakes and power outages have resulted in production line stoppages and loss of wafers in Yokkaichi, and similar stoppages and losses may occur in the future. For example, in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010, a brief power fluctuation at the Yokkaichi municipal power plant occurred that caused a disruption in operations at both Fab 3 and Fab 4, resulting in a loss of wafers and costs associated with bringing the fabs back online. Additionally, in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, a maintenance issue at Flash Ventures resulted in a brief power outage in Fab 4 which resulted in a loss of wafers and costs associated with bringing the Fab back on line. Also, in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, the March 11, 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan caused a brief equipment shutdown at Flash Ventures, which resulted in some wafer loss. While the March 11, 2011 earthquake did not directly damage Flash Ventures' facilities, it did result in the delayed or canceled delivery of certain tools and materials from suppliers impacted by the earthquake. The Yokkaichi location, and Japan in general, are often subject to earthquakes, typhoons and other natural disasters, which could result in production stoppage, a loss of wafers, the incurrence of significant costs, or supply chain shortages for memory production.

Moreover, Toshiba's employees that produce Flash Ventures' products are covered by collective bargaining agreements and any strike or other job action by those employees could interrupt our wafer supply from Flash Ventures. If we experience a disruption in our captive wafer supply or if our non-captive sources fail to supply wafers in the amounts and at the times we require, or we do not place orders with sufficient lead time to receive non-captive supply, we may not have sufficient supply to meet demand and our operating results could be harmed.

Currently, our controller wafers are manufactured by third-party foundries. Any disruption in the manufacturing operations of our controller wafer vendors would result in delivery delays, harm our ability to make timely shipments of our products and harm our operating results until we could qualify an alternate source of supply for our controller wafers, which could take several quarters to complete.

In times of significant growth in global demand for flash memory, demand from our customers may outstrip the supply of flash memory and controllers available to us from our current sources. If our silicon vendors are unable to satisfy our requirements on competitive terms or at all, we may lose potential sales and market share, and our business, financial condition and operating results may suffer. Any disruption or delay in supply from our silicon sources could significantly harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

Our strategy of investing in captive manufacturing sources could harm us if our competitors are able to produce products at lower cost or if industry supply exceeds demand. We secure captive sources of NAND through our significant investments in manufacturing capacity. We believe that investing in captive NAND capacity provides us with the lowest cost supply and access to supply during periods of high demand. To the extent we secure manufacturing capacity and supply that is in excess of demand, or our cost is not competitive with other NAND suppliers, we may not achieve an adequate return on our significant investments, which could lead to impairments, and our revenues, gross margins and market share may be harmed. For example, we recorded charges of \$121 million and \$63 million in fiscal year 2008 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, respectively, for adverse purchase commitments associated with under-utilization of Flash Ventures' capacity. We also invest in captive product assembly and test manufacturing capacity exceeds demand, our gross margins would be harmed.

We make significant investments in captive memory manufacturing and if we do not invest appropriately, our operating results may be harmed. Our captive memory supply growth comes from investments in technology transitions and new capacity. These investment decisions require significant planning and lead-time before an increase in supply can be realized. Our investment in captive memory manufacturing sources is affected by many factors, including the timing, rate and type of investment by us and Toshiba, our partner in Flash Ventures, our profitability, our estimation of market demand and our liquidity position. If we or Toshiba under-invest in captive memory capacity or technology transitions in our Flash Ventures, we may not have enough captive supply to meet demand on a timely and cost effective basis, which could result in decreased sales, loss of market share and increased costs compared to our competitors. Conversely, if we invest in too much captive memory capacity, our supply could exceed demand or we may operate manufacturing facilities at less than full capacity, either of which could result in write-downs for excess inventory, lower of cost or market charges, lower average selling prices, charges associated with under-utilized capacity or other consequences, all of which would harm our operating results and financial condition.

From time-to-time, we overestimate our requirements and build excess inventory, or underestimate our requirements and have a shortage of supply, either of which could harm our financial results. The majority of our products are sold directly or indirectly into consumer markets, which are difficult to accurately forecast. Also, a substantial majority of our quarterly sales are from orders received and fulfilled in that quarter. Additionally, we depend upon timely reporting from our customers as to their inventory levels and sales of our products in order to forecast demand for our products. We have in the past significantly over-forecasted or under-forecasted actual demand for our products. The failure to accurately forecast demand for our products will result in lost sales or excess inventory, both of which will harm our business, financial condition and operating results. In addition, we may increase our inventory in anticipation of increased demand or as captive wafer capacity ramps. If demand does not materialize, we may be forced to write-down excess inventory or write-down inventory to the lower of cost or market, as was the case in fiscal year 2008, which may harm our financial condition and operating results.

During periods of excess supply in the market for our flash memory products, we may lose market share to competitors who aggressively lower their prices. In order to remain competitive, we may be forced to sell inventory below cost. If we lose market share due to price competition or we must write-down inventory, our operating results and financial condition could be harmed. Conversely, under conditions of tight flash memory supply, we may be unable to adequately increase our production volumes or secure sufficient supply in order to maintain our market share. In addition, longer than anticipated lead times for advanced semiconductor manufacturing equipment or higher than expected equipment costs could harm our ability to meet our supply requirements or to reduce future production costs. If we are unable to maintain market share, our operating results and financial condition could be harmed.

Our ability to respond to changes in market conditions from our forecast is limited by our purchasing arrangements with our silicon sources. Some of these arrangements provide that the first three months of our rolling six-month projected supply requirements are fixed and we may make only limited percentage changes in the second three months of the period covered by our supply requirement projections.

Our products also contain non-silicon components that have long lead-times requiring us to place orders several months in advance of anticipated demand. This long lead-time increases our risk that forecasts will vary substantially from actual demand, which could lead to excess inventory or loss of sales.

Our business depends significantly upon sales through retailers and distributors, and if our retailers and distributors are not successful, we could experience reduced sales, substantial product returns or increased price protection claims, any of which would harm our business, financial condition and operating results. A significant portion of our sales is made through retailers, either directly or through distributors. Sales through these channels typically include rights to return unsold inventory and protection against price declines, as well as participation in various cooperative marketing programs. As a result, we do not recognize revenue until after the product has been sold through to the end user, in the case of sales to retailers, or to our distributors' customers, in the case of sales to distributors. Price protection against declines in our selling prices has the effect of reducing our deferred revenues, and eventually our revenues. If our retailers and distributors are not successful, due to weak consumer retail demand, competitive issues, decline in consumer confidence, shift in demand from physical store locations to internet sales, or other factors, we could experience reduced sales as well as substantial product returns or price protection claims, which would harm our business, financial condition and operating results. Except in limited circumstances, we do not have exclusive relationships with our retailers or distributors and, therefore, must rely on them to effectively sell our products over those of our competitors. Certain of our retail and distributor partners are experiencing financial difficulty and continued negative economic conditions could cause further liquidity issues for our retail and distributor customers. Negative changes in customer credit-worthiness, the ability of our customers to access credit, or the bankruptcy or shutdown of any of our significant retail or distribution partners would harm our revenue and our ability to collect outstanding receivable balances. In addition, we have certain retail customers to which we provide inventory on a consigned basis, and a bankruptcy or shutdown of these customers could preclude us from taking possession of our consigned inventory, which could result in inventory charges.

The future growth of our business depends on the development and performance of new markets and products for flash memory. Our future growth is dependent on the development of new markets, new applications and new products for flash memory. Historically, the digital camera market provided the majority of our revenues. Today, the mobile market, including mobile phones, tablets, e-readers and similar mobile devices, represents the largest source of our product revenues and we believe that SSDs are the next large end market. There can be no assurance that the use of flash memory in existing markets and products will develop and grow fast enough, or that new markets will adopt flash technologies in general or our products in particular, to enable us to grow. Our revenue and future growth is also significantly dependent on international markets, and we may face difficulties entering, or maintaining sales in, some international markets. Some international markets are subject to a higher degree of commodity pricing or tariffs and import taxes than in the U.S., subjecting us to increased pricing and margin pressure.

If actual manufacturing yields are lower than our expectations, we may incur increased costs and experience product shortages. The fabrication of our products requires wafers to be produced in a highly controlled and ultra-clean environment. Semiconductor manufacturing yields and product reliability are a function of both design and manufacturing process technology, and production delays may be caused by equipment malfunctions, fabrication facility accidents or human error. Yield problems may not be identified during the production process or solved until an actual product is manufactured and can be tested. We have, from time-to-time, experienced lower yields that have harmed our business and operating results, including in connection with transitions to new generations of products. If actual yields are low, we will experience higher costs and reduced product supply, which could harm our business, financial condition and operating results. For example, if the production ramp and/or yield of NAND technology on a future process node, such as 1Y-nanometer, does not increase as expected, our cost competitiveness would be harmed, we may not have adequate supply or the right product mix to meet demand, and our business, financial condition and operating results will be harmed.

Successive generations of our products have incorporated semiconductors with greater memory capacity per chip. If Flash Ventures encounters difficulties in transitioning to new technologies or architectures or competitors transition faster than Flash Ventures, our cost per gigabyte may not remain competitive with other flash memory producers,

which would harm our gross margins and financial results. In addition, we could face design, manufacturing and equipment challenges when transitioning to the next generation of technologies beyond NAND flash technology.

We have periodically experienced significant delays in the development and volume production ramp of our products. Similar delays could occur in the future and could harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

In transitioning to new technologies and products, we may not achieve OEM design wins or our OEM customers may delay transition to new technologies, and we may experience product delays, cost overruns or performance issues that could harm our business. The transition to new generations of products, such as products containing 19-nanometer and smaller process technologies and/or X3 NAND technologies, is highly complex and requires new controllers, new test procedures and modifications to numerous aspects of our manufacturing processes, resulting in extensive qualification of the new products by our OEM customers and us. If we fail to achieve OEM design wins with new technologies such as 19-nanometer or the use of X3 in certain products, or if our OEM customers choose to transition to these new technologies more slowly than our roadmap plans, we may be unable to achieve the cost structure required to support our profit objectives or may be unable to grow or maintain our OEM market share. There can be no assurance that technologies will meet customer specifications. Any material delay in a development or qualification schedule could delay deliveries and harm our operating results.

Future alternative non-volatile storage technologies or other disruptive technologies could make NAND flash memory obsolete or less attractive, and we may not have access to those new technologies on a cost-effective basis, or at all; or new technologies could reduce the demand for flash memory in a variety of applications or devices, any of which could harm our operating results and financial condition. We have a three-pronged strategy towards our investments and efforts in technology scaling and migration: (1) NAND scaling; (2) BiCS technology; and (3) 3D ReRAM. The pace at which NAND flash technology is transitioning to new generations is slowing down due to inherent technology limitations. We currently expect to be able to continue to scale our NAND flash technology through two additional generations, but beyond that there is no certainty that further technology scaling can be achieved cost effectively with the current NAND flash technology and architecture. In the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, we made investments in BiCS and other technologies. In BiCS technology, the memory cells are packed along the vertical axis as opposed to the horizontal axis as in the current NAND flash technologies. We believe BiCS technology, if successful, could enable further memory cost reductions beyond the existing NAND roadmap, until 3D ReRAM technology is developed and fully ramped into high volume production. We also continue to invest in future alternative technologies, particularly our 3D ReRAM technology, which we believe may be a viable alternative to NAND flash technology, when NAND flash technology can no longer scale at a sufficient rate, or at all. However, even when NAND flash technology can no longer be further scaled, we expect NAND flash technology and potential alternative technologies to coexist for an extended period of time. The success of our overall technology strategy is also dependent in part upon the development by third-party suppliers of advanced semiconductor materials and process technologies, such as extreme ultraviolet, or EUV. Our technology development of NAND, BiCS and 3D ReRAM is done in conjunction with Toshiba, and the success of our development could be influenced by the rate of investment by Toshiba and by the ability of SanDisk and Toshiba to jointly agree on a technology path. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in developing 3D ReRAM technology, BiCS or other technologies, or that we will be able to achieve the yields, quality or capacities to be cost competitive with existing or other alternative technologies.

Others are developing alternative non-volatile technologies such as MRAM, ReRAM, Memristor, vertical or stacked NAND, phase-change memory, charge-trap flash and other technologies. Successful broad-based commercialization of one or more of these technologies could reduce the future revenue and profitability of NAND flash technology and could supplant the potential alternative 3D ReRAM or BiCS technologies that we are developing. In addition, we generate license and royalty revenues from NAND technology and we own intellectual property, or IP, for 3D ReRAM and BiCS technology, and if NAND flash technology is replaced by a technology other than 3D ReRAM or BiCS, our ability to generate license and royalty revenues would be reduced. Also, we may not have access to or we may have to pay royalties to access alternative technologies that we do not develop internally. If our competitors successfully develop new or alternative technologies, and we are unable to scale our technology on an equivalent basis, our competitors may have an advantage. These new or alternative technologies may enable products that are

smaller, have a higher capacity, lower cost, lower power consumption or have other advantages. If we cannot compete effectively, our operating results and financial condition will suffer.

Alternative technologies or storage solutions such as cloud storage, enabled by high bandwidth wireless or internet-based storage could reduce the need for physical flash storage within electronic devices. These alternative technologies could harm the overall market for flash-based products, which could seriously harm our operating results.

We develop new applications, products, technologies and standards, which may not be widely adopted by consumers or enterprises, or, if adopted, may reduce demand for our older products; our competitors seek to develop new standards which could reduce demand for our products. We devote significant resources to the development of new applications, products and standards and the enhancement of existing products and standards with higher memory capacities and other enhanced features. Any new applications, products, technologies, standards or enhancements we develop may not be commercially successful. The success of our new products is dependent on a number of factors, including market acceptance, OEM design wins, our ability to manage risks associated with new products and production ramp issues. For example, demand for enterprise SSD products is expected to grow as these solutions enable increased throughput and decreased costs within enterprise data centers, and demand for client SSD products is expected to grow as these solutions provide personal computing devices with faster boot-up time, longer battery life and other advantages. We cannot guarantee that OEMs will adopt our SSD solutions, that products that include our SSD solutions will be successful or that these markets will grow as anticipated. For SSDs to be widely adopted, the cost of flash memory may need to decline further so that the price point for the end consumer is compelling. In addition, we will need to develop new SSDs and other flash solutions and our solutions must meet the specifications required to gain customer qualification and acceptance.

New applications may require significant upfront investment with no assurance of long-term commercial success or profitability. As we introduce new standards or technologies, it can take time for these new standards or technologies to be adopted, for consumers to accept and transition to these new standards or technologies and for significant sales to be generated, if at all.

Competitors or other market participants could seek to develop new standards for flash memory products that, if accepted by device manufacturers or consumers, could reduce demand for our products. For example, certain handset manufacturers and flash memory chip producers have developed a new standard, referred to as Universal Flash Storage, or UFS, for flash memory cards used in mobile phones. Intel Corporation, or Intel, and Micron Technology, Inc., or Micron, have also developed a new specification for a NAND flash interface, called Open NAND Flash Interface, commonly referred to as ONFI, which would be used primarily in computing devices. If new standards are broadly accepted and we do not adopt these new standards in our products, our revenues and results of operations may be harmed.

We face competition from numerous manufacturers and marketers of products using flash memory and if we cannot compete effectively, our operating results and financial condition will suffer. We face competition from NAND flash memory manufacturers and from companies that buy NAND flash memory and incorporate it into their end products.

NAND/Embedded Manufacturers. Our primary NAND flash memory manufacturer competitors include Hynix Semiconductor, Inc., or Hynix, Intel, Micron, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., or Samsung, and Toshiba. Certain of these competitors are large companies that may have greater advanced wafer manufacturing capacity, substantially greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources, better recognized brand names and more diversified businesses than we do, which may allow them to produce flash memory chips in high volumes at low costs and to sell these flash memory chips themselves or to our flash card competitors at a low cost. Some of these competitors have substantially greater resources than we do, have well recognized brand names or have the ability to operate their business on lower margins than we do. In addition, many of our competitors have more diversified semiconductor manufacturing capabilities and can internally produce integrated solutions that include NAND flash, DRAM, custom ASICs or other integrated products, while our captive manufacturing capability is solely dedicated to NAND flash. The success of our competitors may harm our future revenues or margins and may result in the loss of our key customers. Current and future competitors produce, or could produce, alternative flash or other memory technologies that compete against our NAND flash technology or our alternative technologies, which may reduce demand or accelerate price declines for NAND flash memory. Furthermore, the future rate of scaling of the NAND flash

technology design that we employ may slow down significantly, which would slow down cost reductions that are fundamental to the adoption of NAND flash technology in new applications. If our scaling of NAND flash technology slows down relative to our competitors, our business would be harmed and our investments in captive fabrication facilities could be impaired. Our cost reduction activities are dependent in part on the purchase of new specialized manufacturing equipment, and if this equipment is not generally available or is allocated to our competitors, our ability to reduce costs could be limited.

Flash Memory Assemblers and Resellers. We also compete with flash memory product assemblers and resellers. These companies purchase flash memory components and assemble flash memory products. Our primary competitors currently include, among others, Kingston Technology Company, Inc., or Kingston, Lexar Media, Inc., or Lexar, PNY Technologies, Inc., or PNY, Sony Corporation and Transcend Information, Inc., or Transcend. In the USB flash drive market, we face competition from a large number of competitors, including Dexxxon Digital Storage, Inc., dba EMTEC Electronics, or EMTEC, Kingston, Lexar, PNY, Transcend and Verbatim Americas LLC, or Verbatim. We sell flash memory in the form of white label cards, wafers or components to certain OEMs who sell flash products that may ultimately compete with our branded products in the retail or OEM channels. The sales volumes and pricing to these OEMs can be highly variable and these OEMs may be more inclined to switch to an alternative supplier based on short-term price fluctuations or the timing of product availability. This could harm our branded market share and reduce our sales and profits.

Client Storage Solution Manufacturers. In the market for client SSDs for notebooks, we face competition from large NAND flash producers such as Intel, Micron, Samsung and Toshiba, who have established relationships with computer manufacturers, and we also face competition from third-party SSD solution providers such as OCZ Technology Group, Inc.

Enterprise Storage Solution Manufacturers. In the market for enterprise SSDs for servers, we compete in the enterprise storage solutions market where we face competition from companies such as Fusion-io, Inc., Intel, LSI Corporation, Micron, Samsung, Smart Modular Technologies (WWH), Inc., STEC, Inc. and Toshiba.

We believe that our ability to compete successfully depends on a number of factors, including:

price, quality and on-time delivery of products; product performance, availability and differentiation; meeting OEM product specifications and achieving design wins; success in developing new applications and new market segments; sufficient availability of cost-efficient supply; efficiency of production; ownership and monetization of IP rights; timing of new product announcements or introductions; the development of industry standards and formats; the number and nature of competitors in a given market; and general market and economic conditions.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully in the future.

Our ability to generate adequate margins for certain products may be limited by our ability to secure components or materials required to produce those products. Our products require certain non-flash memory components and materials for which we do not have captive supply. Our ability to generate adequate margins could be impacted by an inability to cost effectively source those components or materials. For example, we supply multi-chip package, or MCP, storage solutions for use in mobile devices. These MCP solutions include both NAND flash memory and DRAM; however, since we do not have a captive supply of DRAM, there could be periods in which we are unable to cost-effectively source the DRAM that we require. If we are unable to source certain components or materials cost effectively or at all, our revenue and/or margins may be harmed.

Our license and royalty revenues may fluctuate or decline significantly in the future due to license agreement renewals or if licensees fail to perform on a portion or all of their contractual obligations. If our existing licensees do not renew

their licenses upon expiration, renew them on less favorable terms, or we are not successful in signing new licensees in the future, our license revenue, profitability, and cash provided by operating activities would be harmed. As our older patents expire, and the coverage of our newer patents may be different, it may be more difficult to negotiate or renew favorable license agreement terms or a license agreement at all. For example, in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, our license and royalty revenues decreased sequentially primarily due to a new license agreement with Samsung that was effective in the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, and contains a lower effective royalty rate compared to the previous license agreement. To the extent that we are unable to renew license agreements under similar terms, or at all, our financial results would be harmed by the reduced license and royalty revenue and we may incur significant patent litigation costs to enforce our patents against these licensees. If our licensees or we fail to perform on contractual obligations, we may incur costs to enforce or defend the terms of our licenses and there can be no assurance that our enforcement, defense or collection efforts will be effective. If we license new IP from third-parties or existing licensees, we may be required to pay license fees, royalty payments or offset existing license revenues. In addition, we may be subject to disputes, claims or other disagreements on the timing, amount or collection of royalties or license payments under our existing license agreements.

Our financial performance depends significantly on worldwide economic conditions and the related impact on consumer and enterprise spending, which have deteriorated in many countries and regions, and may not recover in the foreseeable future. Demand for our products is harmed by negative macroeconomic factors affecting consumer and enterprise spending. Continuing high unemployment rates, low levels of consumer liquidity, risk of default on sovereign debt and volatility in credit and equity markets have weakened consumer confidence and decreased consumer and enterprise spending in many regions around the world. These and other economic factors may reduce demand for our products and harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

Under certain conditions, the Flash Ventures' master equipment lease obligations could be accelerated, which would harm our business, operating results, cash flows and liquidity. Flash Ventures' master lease agreements contain customary covenants for Japanese lease facilities. In addition to containing customary events of default related to Flash Ventures that could result in an acceleration of Flash Ventures' obligations, some of the master lease agreements contain an acceleration clause for certain events of default related to us as guarantor, including, among other things, our failure to maintain a minimum stockholders' equity of at least \$1.51 billion, or our failure to maintain a minimum corporate rating of either BB- from S&P or Moody's, or a minimum corporate rating of BB+ from R&I. As of September 30, 2012, Flash Ventures was in compliance with all of its master lease covenants. As of September 30, 2012, our R&I credit rating was BBB, three notches above the required minimum corporate rating threshold for R&I; and our S&P credit rating was BB, one notch above the required minimum corporate rating threshold for S&P.

If our stockholders' equity falls below \$1.51 billion or both S&P and R&I were to downgrade our credit rating below the minimum corporate rating threshold, Flash Ventures would become non-compliant with certain covenants under its master equipment lease agreements and would be required to negotiate a resolution to the non-compliance to avoid acceleration of the obligations under such agreements. Such resolution could include, among other things, supplementary security to be supplied by us, as guarantor, or increased interest rates or waiver fees, should the lessors decide they need additional collateral or financial consideration. If an event of default occurs and if we fail to reach a resolution, we may be required to pay a portion or the entire outstanding lease obligations up to approximately \$999 million, based upon the exchange rate at September 30, 2012, covered by our guarantee under Flash Ventures' master lease agreements, which would significantly reduce our cash position and may force us to seek additional financing, which may not be available.

The semiconductor industry is subject to significant downturns that have harmed our business, financial condition and operating results in the past and may do so again in the future. The semiconductor industry is highly cyclical and is characterized by constant and rapid technological change, rapid product obsolescence, price declines, evolving standards, short product life cycles and wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. The industry has experienced significant downturns, often in connection with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles of both semiconductor companies and their customers' products and declines in general economic conditions. The flash memory industry has several times in the past experienced significant excess supply, reduced demand, high inventory levels and accelerated declines in selling prices. If we again experience oversupply of NAND flash products, we may be forced to hold excessive inventory, sell our inventory below cost and record inventory write-downs, all of which would place additional pressure on our results of operation and our cash position.

We depend on our captive assembly and test manufacturing facility in China and our business could be harmed if this facility does not perform as planned. Our reliance on our captive assembly and test manufacturing facility near Shanghai, China has increased significantly and we now utilize this factory to satisfy a majority of our assembly and test requirements, to produce products with leading-edge technologies such as multi-stack die packages and to provide order fulfillment. In addition, our Shanghai, China facility is responsible for packaging and shipping our retail products within Asia and Europe. Any delays in adding new equipment capacity, interruptions in production or the ability to ship product, or issues with manufacturing yields at our captive facility could harm our operating results and

financial condition. Furthermore, if we were to experience labor unrest, or strikes, or if wages were to significantly increase, our ability to produce and ship products could be impaired and we could experience higher labor costs, which could harm our operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

We depend on our third-party subcontractors and our business could be harmed if our subcontractors do not perform as planned. We rely on third-party subcontractors for a portion of our wafer testing, chip assembly, product assembly, product testing and order fulfillment. From time-to-time, our subcontractors have experienced difficulty meeting our requirements. If we are unable to increase the amount of capacity allocated to us from our current subcontractors or qualify and engage additional subcontractors, we may not be able to meet demand for our products. We do not have long-term contracts with some of our existing subcontractors. We do not have exclusive relationships with any of our subcontractors and, therefore, cannot guarantee that they will devote sufficient resources to manufacturing our products. We are not able to directly control product delivery schedules or quality assurance. Furthermore, we manufacture on a turnkey basis with some of our subcontractors. In these arrangements, we do not have visibility and control of their inventories of purchased parts necessary to build our products or of the progress of our products through their assembly line. Any significant problems that occur at our subcontractors, or their failure to perform at the level we expect, could lead to product shortages or quality assurance problems, either of which would harm our operating results.

Our products may contain errors or defects, which could result in the rejection of our products, product recalls, damage to our reputation, lost revenues, diverted development resources, increased service costs and warranty claims and litigation. Our products are complex, must meet stringent user requirements and may contain errors or defects, and the majority of our products provide a warranty period, which is usually less than three years with a small number of products having a warranty ranging up to ten or more years. Generally, our OEM customers have more stringent requirements than other customers and our concentration of revenue from OEMs, especially OEMs who purchase our enterprise storage and client storage products, could result in increased expenditures for product testing, or increase our service costs and potentially lead to increased warranty or indemnification claims. Errors or defects in our products may be caused by, among other things, errors or defects in the memory or controller components, including components we procure from non-captive sources. In addition, the substantial majority of our flash memory is supplied by Flash Ventures, and if the wafers contain errors or defects, our overall supply could be harmed. These factors could result in the rejection of our products, damage to our reputation, lost revenues, diverted development resources, increased customer service and support costs, indemnification of our customers' product recall and other costs, warranty claims and litigation. We record an allowance for warranty and similar costs in connection with sales of our products, but actual warranty and similar costs may be significantly higher than our recorded estimate and harm our operating results and financial condition.

Our new products have, from time-to-time, been introduced with design and production errors at a rate higher than the error rate in our established products. We must estimate warranty and similar costs for new products without historical information and actual costs may significantly exceed our recorded estimates. Warranty and similar costs may be even more difficult to estimate as we increase our use of non-captive supply. Underestimation of our warranty and similar costs would harm our operating results and financial condition.

Certain of our products contain encryption or security algorithms to protect third-party content and user-generated data stored on our products. To the extent our products are hacked or the encryption schemes are compromised or breached, this could harm our business by hurting our reputation, requiring us to employ additional resources to fix the errors or defects and expose us to litigation and indemnification claims. This could potentially impact future collaboration with content providers or lead to product returns or claims against us due to actual or perceived vulnerabilities.

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations that could harm our business, operating results and financial condition. A significant portion of our business is conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, which exposes us to adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. An increase in the value of the U.S. dollar could increase the real cost to our customers of our products in those markets outside the U.S. where we sell in dollars, and a

weakened U.S. dollar could increase local operating expenses and the cost of raw materials to the extent purchased in foreign currencies. These exposures may change over time as our business and business practices evolve, and they could harm our financial results and cash flows. Our most significant exposure is related to our purchases of NAND flash memory from Flash Ventures, which are denominated in Japanese yen. For example, in recent years the Japanese yen has significantly appreciated relative to the U.S. dollar. This has increased our cost of NAND flash wafers, negatively impacting our gross margins and operating results. In addition, our investments in Flash Ventures are denominated in Japanese yen and further strengthening of the Japanese yen would increase the cost to us of future funding or increase the value of our investments, increasing our exposure to asset impairments. Macroeconomic weakness in other parts of the world could lead to further strengthening of the Japanese yen, which would harm our gross margins, operating results, cost of future Flash Venture funding and increase the risk of asset impairment. We also have foreign currency exposures related to certain non-U.S. dollar-denominated revenue and operating expenses in Europe and Asia. Additionally, we have exposures to emerging market currencies, which can be extremely volatile. We also have significant monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in non-functional currencies.

We enter into foreign exchange forward and cross currency swap contracts to reduce the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on certain foreign currency assets and liabilities. In addition, we hedge certain anticipated foreign currency cash flows with foreign exchange forward and option contracts, primarily for Japanese yen-denominated inventory purchases. We generally have not hedged our future equity investments, distributions and loans denominated in Japanese yen related to Flash Ventures.

Our attempts to hedge against currency risks may not be successful, which could harm our operating results. In addition, if we do not successfully manage our hedging program in accordance with accounting guidelines, we may be subject to adverse accounting treatment, which could harm our operating results. There can be no assurance that this hedging program will be economically beneficial to us for numerous reasons, including that hedging may reduce volatility, but prevent us from benefiting from a favorable market trend. Further, the ability to enter into foreign exchange contracts with financial institutions is based upon our available credit from such institutions and compliance with covenants and other restrictions. Operating losses, third-party downgrades of our credit rating or instability in the worldwide financial markets, including the downgrade of the credit rating of the U.S. government, could impact our ability to effectively manage our foreign currency exchange rate risk, which could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

We rely on our suppliers and contract manufacturers, some of which are the sole source of supply for our non-memory components, and capacity limitations or the absence of a back-up supplier exposes our supply chain to unanticipated disruptions or potential additional costs. We do not have long-term supply agreements with many of our suppliers and some of our contract manufacturers, certain of which are sole sources of supply for our non-memory components. From time-to-time, certain materials may become difficult or more expensive to obtain, which could impact our ability to meet demand and could harm our profitability. Our business, financial condition and operating results could be significantly harmed by delays or reductions in shipments if we are unable to obtain sufficient quantities of these components or develop alternative sources of supply in a timely manner, on competitive terms, or at all.

Our global operations and operations at Flash Ventures and third-party subcontractors are subject to risks for which we may not be adequately insured. Our global operations are subject to many risks including errors and omissions, infrastructure disruptions, such as large-scale outages or interruptions of service from utilities or telecommunications providers, supply chain interruptions, third-party liabilities and fires or natural disasters. No assurance can be given that we will not incur losses beyond the limits of, or outside the scope of, the coverage of our insurance policies. From time-to-time, various types of insurance have not been available on commercially acceptable terms or, in some cases, at all. There can be no assurance that in the future we will be able to maintain existing insurance coverage or that premiums will not increase substantially. We maintain limited insurance coverage and, in some cases, no coverage at all, for natural disasters and environmental damages, as these types of insurance are sometimes not available or available only at a prohibitive cost. For example, our test and assembly facility in Shanghai, China, on which we significantly rely, may not be adequately insured against all potential losses. Accordingly, we may be subject to uninsured or under-insured losses. We depend upon Toshiba to obtain and maintain sufficient property, business interruption and other insurance for Flash Ventures. If Toshiba fails to do so, we could suffer significant unreimbursable losses, and such failure could also cause Flash Ventures to breach various financing covenants. In addition, we insure against property loss and business interruption resulting from the risks incurred at our third-party subcontractors; however, we have limited control as to how those sub-contractors run their operations and manage their risks, and as a result, we may not be adequately insured.

We and our suppliers rely upon certain rare earth materials that are necessary for the manufacturing of our products, and our business could be harmed if we or our suppliers experience shortages or delays of these rare earth materials. Rare earth materials are critical to the manufacture of some of our products. We and/or our suppliers acquire these materials from a number of countries, including the People's Republic of China. We cannot predict whether the

government of China or any other nation will impose regulations, quotas or embargoes upon the materials incorporated into our products that would restrict the worldwide supply of these materials or increase their cost. If China or any other major supplier were to restrict the supply available to us or our suppliers or increase the cost of the materials used in our products, we could experience a shortage in supply and an increase in production costs, which would harm our operating results.

If our security measures are breached and unauthorized access is obtained to our information technology systems, we may lose proprietary data. Our security measures may be breached as a result of third-party action, including computer hackers, employee error, malfeasance or otherwise, and result in unauthorized access to our customers' data or our data, including our IP and other confidential business information, or our information technology systems. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access, or to sabotage systems, change frequently, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. Any security breach could result in disclosure of our trade secrets or confidential customer, supplier or employee data, which could result in legal liability, harm to our reputation and other harm to our business.

We may need to raise additional financing, which could be difficult to obtain, and which if not obtained in satisfactory amounts may prevent us from funding Flash Ventures, developing or enhancing our products, taking advantage of future opportunities, growing our business or responding to competitive pressures or unanticipated industry changes, any of which could harm our business. We currently believe that we have sufficient cash resources to fund our operations as well as our anticipated investments in Flash Ventures for at least the next twelve months; however, we may decide to raise additional funds to maintain the strength of our balance sheet or fund our operations, and we cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain additional financing on favorable terms, or at all. The current challenging worldwide financing environment could make it more difficult for us to raise funds on reasonable terms, or at all. From time-to-time, we may decide to raise additional funds through equity, public or private debt, or lease financings. If we issue additional equity securities, our stockholders will experience dilution and the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of common stock. If we raise funds through debt or lease financing, we will have to pay interest and may be subject to restrictive covenants, which could harm our business. If we cannot raise funds on acceptable terms, if and when needed, our credit rating may be downgraded, and we may not be able to develop or enhance our technology or products, fulfill our obligations to Flash Ventures, take advantage of future opportunities, grow our business or respond to competitive pressures or unanticipated industry changes, any of which could harm our business.

We may be unable to protect our IP rights, which would harm our business, financial condition and operating results. We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright and trade secret laws, confidentiality procedures and licensing arrangements to protect our IP rights. In the past, we have been involved in significant and expensive disputes regarding our IP rights and those of others, including claims that we may be infringing patents, trademarks and other IP rights of third-parties. We expect that we will be involved in similar disputes in the future.

There can be no assurance that:

any of our existing patents will continue to be held valid, if challenged;

patents will be issued for any of our pending applications;

any claims allowed from existing or pending patents will have sufficient scope or strength to protect us; our patents will be issued in the primary countries where our products are sold in order to protect our rights and potential commercial advantage; or

any of our products or technologies do not infringe on the patents of other companies.

In addition, our competitors may be able to design their products around our patents and other proprietary rights. We also have patent cross-license agreements with several of our leading competitors. Under these agreements, we have enabled competitors to manufacture and sell products that incorporate technology covered by our patents. While we obtain license and royalty revenue or other consideration for these licenses, if we continue to license our patents to our competitors, competition may increase and may harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

There are both flash memory producers and flash memory card manufacturers who we believe may infringe our intellectual property. Enforcement of our rights often requires litigation. If we bring a patent infringement action and are not successful, our competitors would be able to use similar technology to compete with us. Moreover, the defendant in such an action may successfully countersue us for infringement of their patents or assert a counterclaim that our patents are invalid or unenforceable. If we do not prevail in the defense of patent infringement claims, we could be required to pay substantial damages, cease the manufacture, use and sale of infringing products, expend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology, discontinue the use of specific processes or obtain licenses to the technology infringed.

We and certain of our officers are at times involved in litigation, including litigation regarding our IP rights or that of third parties, which may be costly, may divert the efforts of our key personnel and could result in adverse court rulings, which could materially harm our business. We are often involved in litigation, including cases involving our IP rights and those of others. We are the plaintiff in some of these actions and the defendant in others. Some of the actions seek injunctions against the sale of our products and/or substantial monetary damages, which if granted or awarded, could materially harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

Litigation is subject to inherent risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. Factors that could cause litigation results to differ include, but are not limited to, the discovery of previously unknown facts, changes in the law or in the interpretation of laws, and uncertainties associated with the judicial decision-making process. If we receive an adverse judgment in any litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages and/or cease the manufacture, use and sale of products. Litigation, including IP litigation, can be complex, can extend for a protracted period of time, can be very expensive, and the expense can be unpredictable. Litigation initiated by us could also result in counter-claims against us, which could increase the costs associated with the litigation and result in our payment of damages or other judgments against us. In addition, litigation may divert the efforts and attention of some of our key personnel.

From time-to-time, we have sued, and may in the future sue, third parties in order to protect our IP rights. Parties that we have sued and that we may sue for patent infringement may countersue us for infringing their patents. If we are held to infringe the IP or related rights of others, we may need to spend significant resources to develop non-infringing technology or obtain licenses from third parties, but we may not be able to develop such technology or acquire such licenses on terms acceptable to us, or at all. We may also be required to pay significant damages and/or discontinue the use of certain manufacturing or design processes. In addition, we or our suppliers could be enjoined from selling some or all of our respective products in one or more geographic locations. If we or our suppliers are enjoined from selling any of our respective products, or if we are required to develop new technologies or pay significant monetary damages or are required to make substantial royalty payments, our business would be harmed.

We may be obligated to indemnify our current or former directors or employees, or former directors or employees of companies that we have acquired, in connection with litigation or regulatory investigations. These liabilities could be substantial and may include, among other things, the costs of defending lawsuits against these individuals; the cost of defending shareholder derivative suits; the cost of governmental, law enforcement or regulatory investigations; civil or criminal fines and penalties; legal and other expenses; and expenses associated with the remedial measures, if any, which may be imposed.

We continually evaluate and explore strategic opportunities as they arise, including business combinations, strategic partnerships, collaborations, capital investments and the purchase, licensing or sale of assets. Potential continuing uncertainty surrounding these activities may result in legal proceedings and claims against us, including class and derivative lawsuits on behalf of our stockholders. We may be required to expend significant resources, including management time, to defend these actions and could be subject to damages or settlement costs related to these actions.

Moreover, from time-to-time, we agree to indemnify certain of our suppliers and customers for alleged patent infringement. The scope of such indemnity varies but generally includes indemnification for direct and consequential damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. We may be engaged in litigation as a result of these indemnification obligations. Third-party claims for patent infringement are excluded from coverage under our insurance policies. A future obligation to indemnify our customers or suppliers may harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

For additional information concerning legal proceedings, see Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceedings."

We may be unable to license, or license at a reasonable cost, IP from third parties as needed, which could expose us to liability for damages, increase our costs or limit or prohibit us from selling products. If we incorporate third-party technology into our products or if we are found to infringe the IP of others, we could be required to license IP from third-parties. We may also need to license some of our IP to others in order to enable us to obtain important cross-licenses to third-party patents. We cannot be certain that licenses will be offered when we need them, that the terms offered will be acceptable, or that these licenses will help our business. If we do obtain licenses from third

parties, we may be required to pay license fees, royalty payments, or offset license revenues. In addition, if we are unable to obtain a license that is necessary to manufacture our products, we could be required to suspend the manufacture of products or stop our product suppliers from using processes that may infringe the rights of third parties. We may not be successful in redesigning our products, or the necessary licenses may not be available under reasonable terms.

Changes in the seasonality of our business may result in our inability to accurately forecast our product purchase requirements. Sales of our products in the consumer electronics market are subject to seasonality. Sales have typically increased significantly in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, sometimes followed by significant declines in the first quarter of the following fiscal year. However, the current global economic environment may impact typical seasonal trends, making it more difficult for us to forecast our business. Changes in the product or channel mix of our business can also impact seasonal patterns, adding to complexity in forecasting demand. If our forecasts are inaccurate, we may lose market share or procure excess inventory or inappropriately increase or decrease our operating expenses, any of which could harm our business, financial condition and operating results. This seasonality may also lead to higher volatility in our stock price and the need for significant working capital investments in receivables and inventory, including the need to build inventory levels in advance of our projected high volume selling seasons.

Because of our international business and operations, we must comply with numerous international laws and regulations, and we are vulnerable to political instability and other risks related to international operations. Currently, a large portion of our revenues are derived from our international operations, and all of our products are produced overseas in China, Japan and Taiwan. We are, therefore, affected by the political, economic, labor, environmental, public health and military conditions in these countries. For example, China does not currently have a comprehensive and highly developed legal system, particularly with respect to the protection of IP rights. This results, among other things, in the prevalence of counterfeit goods in China. The enforcement of existing and future laws and contracts remains uncertain, and the implementation and interpretation of such laws may be inconsistent. Such inconsistency could lead to piracy and degradation of our IP protection. Although we engage in efforts to prevent counterfeit products from entering the market, those efforts may not be successful. Our operating results and financial condition could be harmed by the sale of counterfeit products. In addition, customs regulations in China are complex and subject to frequent changes and, in the event of a customs compliance issue, our ability to import to and export from our factory in Shanghai, China could be adversely affected, which could harm our operating results and financial condition.

Our international business activities could also be limited or disrupted by any of the following factors:

the need to comply with foreign government regulation;

the need to comply with U.S. regulations on international business, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and rules regarding conflict minerals;

changes in diplomatic and trade relationships;

reduced sales to our customers or interruption to our manufacturing processes in the Pacific Rim that may arise from regional issues in Asia, including natural disasters or labor strikes;

imposition of regulatory requirements, tariffs, import and export restrictions and other barriers and restrictions; ehanges in, or the particular application of, government regulations;

import or export restrictions that could affect some of our products, including those with encryption technology; duties and/or fees related to customs entries for our products, which are all manufactured offshore;

longer payment cycles and greater difficulty in accounts receivable collection;

adverse tax rules and regulations;

weak protection of our IP rights;

delays in product shipments due to local customs restrictions; and

delays in research and development that may arise from political unrest at our development centers in Israel or other countries.

Our common stock and convertible notes prices have been, and may continue to be, volatile, which could result in investors losing all or part of their investments. The market prices of our common stock and convertible notes have fluctuated significantly in the past and may continue to fluctuate in the future. We believe that such fluctuations will

continue as a result of many factors, including financing plans, future announcements concerning us, our competitors or our principal customers regarding financial results or expectations, technological innovations, industry supply and demand dynamics, new product introductions, governmental regulations, the commencement or results of litigation or changes in earnings estimates by analysts. In addition, in recent years the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations and the market prices of the securities of high-technology and semiconductor companies have been especially volatile, often for reasons outside the control of the particular companies. These fluctuations as well as general economic, political and market conditions may harm the market price of our common stock as well as the prices of our outstanding convertible notes.

We may not be able to realize the potential financial or strategic benefits of business acquisitions or strategic investments, which could hurt our ability to grow our business, develop new products or sell our products. We have acquired and invested in other businesses that offer products, services and technologies that we believe will help expand or enhance our existing products and business. We may enter into future acquisitions of, or investments in, businesses, in order to complement or expand our current businesses or enter into new markets. Negotiation and integration of acquisitions or strategic investments could divert management's attention and other company resources. Any of the following risks associated with past or future acquisitions or investments could impair our ability to grow our business, achieve the expected return on our investment, develop new products and sell our products and ultimately could harm our growth or financial results:

difficulty in combining the technology, products, operations or workforce of the acquired business with our business; difficulty in entering into new markets in which we have limited or no experience, such as software solutions, and where competitors have stronger positions;

loss of, or impairment of relationships with, any of our key employees, vendors or customers; difficulty in operating in new and potentially disperse locations;

• disruption of our ongoing businesses or the ongoing business of the company we invest in or acquire;

failure to realize the potential financial or strategic benefits of the transaction;

difficulty integrating the accounting, management information, human resources and other administrative systems of the acquired business;

disruption of or delays in ongoing research and development efforts and release of new products to market; diversion of capital and other resources;

assumption of liabilities;

issuance of equity securities that may be dilutive to our existing stockholders;

diversion of resources and unanticipated expenses resulting from litigation arising from potential or actual business acquisitions or investments;

• failure of the due diligence processes to identify significant issues with product quality, technology and development, or legal and financial issues, among other things;

incurring non-recurring charges, increased contingent liabilities, adverse tax consequences, depreciation or deferred compensation charges, amortization of intangible assets or impairment of goodwill, which could harm our results of operations; and

potential delay in customer purchasing decisions due to uncertainty about the direction of our product offerings or those of the acquired business.

Mergers and acquisitions of high-technology companies are inherently risky and subject to many factors outside of our control and no assurance can be given that our previous or future acquisitions will be successful, will deliver the intended benefits of such acquisition, and will not materially harm our business, operating results or financial condition. Failure to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions could materially harm our business and operating results.

Our success depends on our key personnel, including our senior management, and the loss of key personnel or the transition of key personnel could disrupt our business. Our success greatly depends on the continued contributions of our senior management and other key research and development, sales, marketing and operations personnel. We do not have employment agreements with any of our executive officers and they are free to terminate their employment with us at any time. Our success will depend on our ability to recruit and retain additional highly-skilled personnel. We have relied on equity awards in the form of stock options and restricted stock units as one means for recruiting and retaining highly skilled talent and a reduction in our stock price may reduce the effectiveness of share-based awards in retaining employees.

Terrorist attacks, war, threats of war and government responses thereto may negatively impact our operations, revenues, costs and stock price. Terrorist attacks, U.S. military responses to these attacks, war, threats of war and any corresponding decline in consumer confidence could have a negative impact on consumer demand. Any of these events may disrupt our operations or those of our customers and suppliers and may affect the availability of materials needed to manufacture our products or the means to transport those materials to manufacturing facilities and finished products to customers. Any of these events could also increase volatility in the U.S. and world financial markets, which could harm our stock price and may limit the capital resources available to us and our customers or suppliers, or adversely affect consumer confidence. We have substantial operations in Israel including a development center in Northern Israel, near the border with Lebanon, and a research center in Omer, Israel, which is near the Gaza Strip, areas that have experienced significant violence and political unrest. Turmoil and unrest in Israel, the Middle East or other regions could cause delays in the development or production of our products and could harm our business and operating results.

Natural disasters or epidemics in the countries in which we or our suppliers or subcontractors operate could harm our supply chain operations. Our supply chain operations, including those of our suppliers and subcontractors, are concentrated in the United States, Japan, Taiwan, China and Singapore. In the past, certain of these areas have been affected by natural disasters such as earthquakes, tsunamis, floods and typhoons, and some areas have been affected by epidemics, such as avian flu or H1N1 flu. If a natural disaster or epidemic were to occur in one or more of these areas, we could incur a significant work or production stoppage. For example, a massive earthquake occurred in Japan in March 2011 resulting in a tool stoppage at Fabs 3 and 4; which resulted in loss of wafers and increased cost to bring the Fabs back on line. The impact of these potential events is magnified by the fact that we do not have insurance for most natural disasters, including earthquakes and tsunamis. The impact of a natural disaster could harm our business and operating results.

Disruptions in global transportation could impair our ability to deliver or receive product on a timely basis or at all, causing harm to our financial results. Our raw materials, work-in-process and finished products are primarily distributed via air. If there are significant disruptions in air travel, we may not be able to deliver our products or receive raw materials. Any natural disaster that affects air travel in Asia could disrupt our ability to receive raw materials in, or ship finished product from, our Shanghai, China facility or our Asia-based contract manufacturers. As a result, our business and operating results may be harmed.

We rely on information systems to run our business and any prolonged down time could harm our business operations and/or financial results. We rely on an enterprise resource planning system, as well as multiple other systems, databases, and data centers to operate and manage our business. Any information system problems, programming errors or unanticipated system or data center interruptions could impact our continued ability to successfully operate our business and could harm our financial results or our ability to accurately report our financial results on a timely basis.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents, stockholder rights plan and Delaware law could discourage or delay a change in control and negatively impact our stockholders. We have taken a number of actions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover attempt. For example, we have a stockholders' rights plan that would cause substantial dilution to a stockholder, and substantially increase the cost paid by a stockholder, who attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by our board of directors. This could discourage an acquisition of us. In addition, our certificate of incorporation grants our board of directors the authority to fix the rights, preferences and privileges of and issue up to 4,000,000 shares of preferred stock without stockholder action (2,000,000 shares of preferred stock have already been reserved under our stockholder rights plan). Issuing preferred stock could have the effect of making it more difficult and less attractive for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock. Preferred stock may also have other rights, including economic rights senior to our common stock that could harm the market value of our common stock. In addition, we are subject to the anti-takeover provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. This section provides that a corporation may not engage in any business combination with any interested stockholder, defined broadly as a beneficial owner of 15% or more of that corporation's voting stock, during the three-year period following the time that a stockholder became an interested stockholder. This provision could delay or discourage a change of control of SanDisk.

Unanticipated changes in our tax provisions or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our profitability. We are subject to income and other taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Our tax liabilities are affected by the amounts we charge for inventory, services, licenses, funding and other items in intercompany transactions. We are subject to ongoing tax audits in various jurisdictions. Tax authorities may disagree with our intercompany charges or other matters and assess additional taxes. For example, we were under a federal income tax audit by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, for fiscal years 2005 through 2008 and received the Revenue Agent's report in February 2012. The most significant proposed adjustments are comprised of related party

transactions between our U.S. parent company and our foreign subsidiaries. We are contesting these adjustments through the IRS Appeals Office and cannot predict when a resolution will be reached. Furthermore, we are currently under a federal income tax audit by the IRS for fiscal years 2009 through 2011. While we regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision, tax audits are inherently uncertain and an unfavorable outcome could occur. An unanticipated unfavorable outcome in any specific period could harm our operating results for that period or future periods. The financial cost and our attention and time devoted to defending income tax positions may divert resources from our business operations, which could harm our business and profitability. The IRS audit may also impact the timing and/or amount of our refund claim. In addition, our effective tax rate in the future could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and the discovery of new information in the course of our tax return preparation process. In particular, the carrying value of deferred tax assets, which are predominantly in the U.S., is dependent on our ability to generate future taxable income in the U.S. Any of these changes could harm our profitability.

We may be subject to risks associated with laws, regulations and customer initiatives relating to the environment, conflict minerals or other social responsibility issues. Production and marketing of products in certain states and countries may subject us to environmental and other regulations including, in some instances, the responsibility for environmentally safe disposal or recycling. Such laws and regulations have recently been passed in several jurisdictions in which we operate, including Japan and certain states within the U.S. In addition, climate change issues, energy usage and emissions controls may result in new environmental legislation and regulations, at the international, federal or state level, that may make it more difficult or expensive for us, our suppliers and our customers to conduct business. Any of these regulations could cause us to incur additional direct costs, as well as increased indirect costs related to our relationships with our customers and suppliers, and otherwise harm our operations and financial condition.

Government regulators or our customers may require us to comply with product or manufacturing standards that are more restrictive than current laws and regulations related to environmental matters, conflict minerals or other social responsibility initiatives. The implementation of these standards could affect the sourcing, cost and availability of materials used in the manufacture of our products. Non-compliance with these standards could cause us to lose sales to these customers and compliance with these standards could increase our costs, which may harm our operating results.

New "conflict minerals" regulations may cause us to incur additional expenses and could limit the supply and increase the cost of certain metals used in manufacturing our products. In August 2012, the SEC adopted new rules establishing additional disclosure and reporting requirements regarding the use of specified minerals, or conflict minerals, that are necessary to the functionality or production of products manufactured or contracted to be manufactured. These new rules will require us to determine, disclose and report whether or not such conflict minerals originate from the Democratic Republic of the Congo or an adjoining country. These new rules could affect our ability to source certain materials used in our products at competitive prices and could impact the availability of certain minerals used in the manufacture of our products, including, copper, gold and tungsten. As there may be only a limited number of suppliers of "conflict free" minerals, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain necessary conflict free minerals in sufficient quantities or at competitive prices. Our customers, including our OEM customers, may require that our products be free of conflict minerals, and our revenues and margins may be harmed if we are unable to procure conflict free minerals at a reasonable price, or at all, or are unable to pass through any increased costs associated with meeting these demands. Additionally, we may face reputational challenges with our customers and other stakeholders if we are unable to sufficiently verify the origins of all minerals used in our products through the due diligence procedures that we implement. We may also face challenges with government regulators and our customers and suppliers if we are unable to sufficiently verify that the metals used in our products are conflict free. We expect that there may be material costs associated with complying with the disclosure requirements, such as costs related to determining the source of certain minerals used in our products, as well as costs related to possible changes to products, processes, or sources of supply as a consequence of such verification and disclosure requirements.

In the event we are unable to satisfy regulatory requirements relating to internal controls, or if our internal control over financial reporting is not effective, our business could suffer. In connection with our certification process under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we have identified in the past and will, from time-to-time in the future, identify deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting. There can be no assurance that individually or in the aggregate these deficiencies would not be deemed to be a material weakness or significant deficiency. A material weakness or significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting could have a materially adverse impact on our reported financial results and the market price of our stock could significantly decline. Additionally, adverse publicity related to the disclosure of a material weakness in internal controls could harm our reputation, business and stock price. Any internal control or procedure, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving desired control objectives and cannot prevent human error, intentional misconduct or fraud.

We have significant financial obligations related to Flash Ventures, which could impact our ability to comply with our obligations under our 1% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes due 2017. We have entered into agreements to guarantee or provide financial support with respect to lease and certain other obligations of Flash Ventures in which we have a 49.9% ownership interest. As of September 30, 2012, we had guarantee obligations for Flash Ventures' master lease agreements denominated in Japanese yen of approximately \$999 million based on the exchange rate at September 30, 2012. In addition, we have significant commitments for the future fixed costs of Flash Ventures, and we will incur significant obligations with respect to Flash Forward as well as continued investment in Flash Partners and Flash Alliance. Due to these and our other commitments, we may not have sufficient funds to make payments under or repay the notes.

The net share settlement feature of the 1% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes due 2017 may have adverse consequences. The 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017 are subject to net share settlement, which means that we will satisfy our conversion obligation to holders by paying cash in settlement of the lesser of the principal amount and the conversion value of the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017 and by delivering shares of our common stock in settlement of any and all conversion obligations in excess of the principal amount. Accordingly, upon conversion of a note, holders might not receive any shares of our common stock, or they might receive fewer shares of common stock relative to the conversion value of the note.

Our failure to convert the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017 into cash or a combination of cash and common stock upon exercise of a holder's conversion right in accordance with the provisions of the applicable indenture would constitute a default under that indenture. We may not have the financial resources or be able to arrange for financing to pay such principal amount in connection with the surrender of the 1% Notes due 2013, which are due in May 2013, and surrender of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 for conversion. While we do not currently have any debt or other agreements that would restrict our ability to pay the principal amount of any convertible notes in cash, we may enter into such an agreement in the future, which may limit or prohibit our ability to make any such payment. In addition, a default under either indenture could lead to a default under existing and future agreements governing our indebtedness. If, due to a default, the repayment of related indebtedness were to be accelerated after any applicable notice or grace periods, we may not have sufficient funds to repay such indebtedness and amounts owing in respect of the conversion of any convertible notes.

The convertible note hedge transactions and warrant transactions and/or early termination of the convertible note hedge and warrant transactions may affect the value of the notes and our common stock. In connection with the pricing of the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017, we have entered into privately negotiated convertible note hedge transactions with the underwriters in the offerings of the notes (collectively, the "dealers") or their respective affiliates. The convertible note hedge transactions cover, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments, the number of shares of our common stock that initially underlie the 1% Notes due 2013 and the 1.5% Notes due 2017. These transactions are expected to reduce the potential dilution with respect to our common stock upon conversion of the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017. However, if there is a counterparty default or other nonperformance under the hedge transactions, we may not be able to reduce the potential dilution with respect to our common stock upon conversion of our 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017, or we may not be refunded our initial costs associated with such hedge transactions.

Separately, we have also entered into privately negotiated warrant transactions with the dealers or their respective affiliates, relating to the same number of shares of our common stock, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments. We used approximately \$67.3 million of the net proceeds of the offering of the 1% Notes due 2013 and \$104.8 million of the net proceeds of the offering of the 1.5% Notes due 2017 to fund the cost to us of the convertible note hedge transactions (after taking into account the proceeds to us from the warrant transactions) entered into in connection with the offerings of the notes. These transactions were accounted for as an adjustment to our stockholders' equity.

The 1% Notes due 2013 and the 1.5% Notes due 2017 have a conversion feature with a strike price of \$82.36 and \$52.37, respectively. If our weighted average stock price goes above the strike price of either the 1% Notes due 2013 and/or the 1.5% Notes due 2017 during any of our reporting periods, we will be required to include additional shares in our diluted earnings per share calculation, which will result in a decrease in our reported earnings per share. While we have entered into convertible note hedge transactions which will effectively increase the strike price from an economic standpoint and reduce the potential dilution upon conversion, the impact of the convertible note hedge transactions will not be reflected in our reported diluted earnings per share.

In addition, we may, from time-to-time, repurchase a portion of the 1% Notes due 2013 or the 1.5% Notes due 2017. In connection with any such repurchases, we may early terminate a portion of the convertible note hedge transactions we entered into with respect to the 1% Notes due 2013 or the 1.5% Notes due 2017 that we repurchase, and a portion of the warrant transactions we entered into at the time of the offerings of those notes. In connection with any such termination of a portion of the hedge and warrant transactions, the counterparties to those transactions are expected to unwind various over-the-counter derivatives and/or sell our common stock in open market and/or privately negotiated transactions, which could harm the market price of our common stock and the notes.

Table of Contents

In connection with the convertible note hedge and warrant transactions, the dealers or their respective affiliates:

have entered into various over-the-counter cash-settled derivative transactions with respect to our common stock concurrently with, or shortly following, the pricing of the notes; and may enter into, or may unwind, various over-the-counter cash-settled derivative transactions and/or purchase or sell shares of our common stock in open market and/or privately negotiated transactions following the pricing of the notes, including during any observation period related to a conversion of notes.

The dealers or their respective affiliates are likely to modify their hedge positions, from time-to-time, prior to conversion or maturity of the notes by purchasing and selling shares of our common stock, other of our securities or other instruments they may wish to use in connection with such hedging. In particular, such hedging modification may occur during any observation period for a conversion of the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017, which may have a negative effect on the value of the consideration received in relation to the conversion of those notes. In addition, we intend to exercise options we hold under the convertible note hedge transactions whenever notes are converted. To unwind their hedge positions with respect to those exercised options, the dealers or their respective affiliates expect to purchase or sell shares of our common stock in open market and/or privately negotiated transactions and/or enter into or unwind various over-the-counter derivative transactions with respect to our common stock during the observation period, if any, for the converted notes.

The effect, if any, of any of these transactions and activities on the market price of our common stock or the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017 will depend in part on market conditions and cannot be ascertained at this time, but any of these activities could adversely affect the value of our common stock and the value of the 1% Notes due 2013 and 1.5% Notes due 2017, and as a result, the amount of cash and the number of shares of common stock, if any, the holders will receive upon the conversion of the notes.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. The table below summarizes information about our purchases of equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act during the three fiscal months ended September 30, 2012.

| | | | Total Number | Approximate | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾ | Average Price Paid per Share (2) | of Shares | Dollar Value of | |
| | | | Purchased as | Shares that | |
| | | | Part of | May Yet Be | |
| | | | Publicly | Purchased | |
| | | | Announced | Under the | |
| | | | Plans or | Plans or | |
| | | | Programs (1) | Programs ⁽²⁾ | |
| July 2, 2012 to July 29, 2012 | 147,100 | \$35.27 | 147,100 | \$336,756,192 | |
| July 30, 2012 to August 26, 2012 | 360,000 | 41.97 | 360,000 | 321,648,674 | |
| August 27, 2012 to September 30, 2012 | 389,800 | 43.92 | 389,800 | 304,529,774 | |
| Total | 896,900 | 41.72 | 896,900 | | |

(1) On October 27, 2011, we announced a board-approved plan authorizing us to repurchase up to \$500.0 million of our common stock in the open market or otherwise through October 26, 2016.

⁽²⁾ Does not include amounts paid for commissions.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The information required by this item is set forth on the exhibit index which follows the signature page of this report.

75

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SANDISK CORPORATION (Registrant)

Dated: November 5, 2012

By: /s/ Judy Bruner Judy Bruner Executive Vice President, Administration and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| | | Incorporated by Reference | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|--|
| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Title | Form | File No. | Exhibit No. | Filing Date Provi Here | |
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant. | S-1 | 33-96298 | 3.2 | 8/29/1995 | |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated December 9, 1999. | 10-Q | 000-26734 | 3.1 | 8/16/2000 | |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment of the Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated May 11, 2000. | S-3 | 333-85686 | 4.3 | 4/5/2002 | |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated May 26, 2006. | 8-K | 000-26734 | 3.1 | 6/1/2006 | |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated May 27, 2009. | 8-K | 000-26734 | 3.1 | 5/28/2009 | |
| 3.6 | Certificate of Designations for the Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on April 24, 1997. | 8-K/A | 000-26734 | 3.5 | 5/16/1997 | |
| 3.7 | Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on September 24, 2003. | 8-A | 000-26734 | 3.2 | 9/25/2003 | |
| 3.8 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant dated December 14, 2011. | 8-K | 000-26734 | 3.1 | 12/19/2011 | |
| 4.1 | Rights Agreement, dated as of September 15, 2003, by and between the Registrant and Computershare Trust Company, Inc. | 8-A | 000-26734 | 4.2 | 9/25/2003 | |
| 4.2 | Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement, dated as of November 6, 2006, by and between the Registrant and Computershare Trust Company, Inc. | 8-A/A | 000-26734 | 4.2 | 11/8/2006 | |
| 4.3 | Indenture (including form of Notes) with respect to the Registrant's 1.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013, dated as of May 15, 2006, by and between the Registrant and The Bank of New York. | 8-K | 000-26734 | 4.1 | 5/15/2006 | |
| 4.4 | Indenture (including form of Notes) with respect to the Registrant's 1.5% Convertible Senior Notes due 2017, dated as of August 25, 2010, by and between the Registrant and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. | 8-K | 000-26734 | 4.1 | 8/25/2010 | |

| 12.1 | Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges. | Х |
|---------|--|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | X |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | X |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* | х |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* | X |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document.** | Х |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.** | Х |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.** | Х |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.** | Х |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.** | Х |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.** | Х |

*Furnished herewith.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL files hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration ** statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed

** statement of prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 of 12 of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

E - 1